

# Non-trivial $t$ -intersecting families for symplectic polar spaces

Tian Yao\*, Benjian Lv† and Kaishun Wang‡

Sch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a symplectic polar space over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_m$  denote the set of all  $m$ -dimensional subspaces in  $\mathcal{P}$ . We say a  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  is trivial if there exists a  $t$ -dimensional subspace contained in each member of this family. In this paper, we determine the structure of maximum sized non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting subfamilies of  $\mathcal{P}_m$ .

**Key words** Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem, symplectic polar space, non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting family

**AMS classification:** 05D05, 05A30, 51A50

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two positive integers with  $n \geq k$ . For an  $n$ -element set  $X$ , denote the set of all  $k$ -subsets of  $X$  by  $\binom{X}{k}$ . Given a positive integer  $t$ , we say a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{X}{k}$  is  $t$ -intersecting if  $|A \cap B| \geq t$  for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . A  $t$ -intersecting family is called *trivial* if every element of this family contains a fixed  $t$ -subset of  $X$ . The famous Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem [6, 7, 20] states that a  $t$ -intersecting family with maximum size is trivial when  $n > (t + 1)(k - t + 1)$ . The structure of non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting families with maximum size was completely determined in 1996 [1, 11]. Recently, some other maximal non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting families were described in [4, 10, 14].

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q$  is a prime power, and  $\binom{V}{k}_q$  denote the family of all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V$ . We usually replace “ $k$ -dimensional subspace” with “ $k$ -subspace” for short. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{V}{k}_q$  be a family with  $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2) \geq t$  for any  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , which we say is  $t$ -intersecting. In particular,  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *trivial* if there exists a  $t$ -subspace contained in each element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem for vector space [8, 13, 18] shows that a  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\binom{V}{k}_q$  with maximum size is trivial when  $\dim V > 2k$ . The structure of maximum sized non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\binom{V}{k}_q$  was determined via the parameter “ $t$ -covering number” [2, 5].

---

\*E-mail: yaotian@mail.bnu.edu.cn

†E-mail: bjlv@bnu.edu.cn

‡Corresponding author. E-mail: wangks@bnu.edu.cn

Let  $f$  be a non-degenerate sesquilinear form defined on  $V$ . An  $m$ -subspace  $M$  of  $V$  is called *totally isotropic* if  $f(x, y) = 0$  holds for any  $x, y \in M$ . Denote the set of all totally isotropic subspaces of  $V$  with respect to  $f$  by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Equipped with the inclusion relation,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *classical polar space*, denoted by the same symbol  $\mathcal{P}$ . There are six kinds of classical polar spaces [12]. In this paper, we consider the case that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *symplectic polar space*, where  $n = 2\nu$  and  $f$  is an alternating bilinear form.

For  $0 \leq m \leq \nu$ , denote the set of all  $m$ -subspaces in  $\mathcal{P}$  by  $\mathcal{P}_m$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}_m$ . If  $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2) \geq t$  for any  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , we say  $\mathcal{F}$  is  *$t$ -intersecting*. If there exists a fixed  $t$ -subspace contained in each member of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we say  $\mathcal{F}$  is *trivial*. The maximum sized  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  was proven to be trivial if  $\nu \geq m$  for  $t = 1$  [16, 17], or  $2\nu \geq 3m + 1$  with  $(2\nu, q) \neq (3m + 1, 2)$  for  $t \geq 2$  [15].

For a subspace  $A$  of  $V$ , let  $\mathcal{M}(m; A)$  denote the set of all members of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  contained in  $A$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  and  $U \in \mathcal{P}_m$  with  $\dim(T \cap U) = m - 1$ , write

$$\mathcal{H}_1(T, U) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : T \subset F, \dim(F \cap U) \geq t\} \cup \mathcal{M}(m; T + U).$$

For  $Z \in \binom{V}{t+2}$ , write

$$\mathcal{H}_2(Z) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : \dim(F \cap Z) \geq t + 1\}.$$

Our main result states the structure of non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting subfamilies of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  with maximum size under certain conditions.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\nu, m$  and  $t$  be integers such that  $\nu \geq m + 1 = t + 2$ , or  $2\nu \geq \max\{3m + 2, 2m + 2t + 6\}$  with  $m \geq t + 2$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximum sized non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}_m$ . Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *If  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  and  $U \in \mathcal{P}_m$  with  $T + U \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\frac{m}{2} - 1 < t \leq m - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$  for some  $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$ , or  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{P}_1$  and  $U \in \mathcal{P}_3$  with  $T + U \in \mathcal{P}_4$  if  $(m, t) = (3, 1)$ .*

## 2 Inequalities for sizes of two $t$ -intersecting families

In this section, we always assume that  $m \geq t + 2$ . We prove bounds for the families  $\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$  for  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  and  $U \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\dim(T \cap U) = t - 1$ . Then we compare the size of  $\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$  with the size of  $\mathcal{H}_2(Z)$  under the condition that  $T + U \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$ .

For positive integers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $a \geq b$ , define the *Gaussian binomial coefficient* by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q := \prod_{0 \leq i < b} \frac{q^{a-i} - 1}{q^{b-i} - 1},$$

and set  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = 1$ . It is routine to check that the size of  $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ . From now on, we will omit the subscript  $q$ . We present some properties for the Gaussian binomial coefficient and the symplectic polar space, which will be used throughout our proof.

For positive integers  $m, i$  with  $m > i$ , we have

$$q^{m-i} < \frac{q^m - 1}{q^i - 1} < q^{m-i+1}, \quad q^{i(m-i)} \leq \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} < q^{i(m-i+1)}. \quad (1)$$

For positives  $m_1, m$  with  $m_1 \leq m \leq \nu$ , let  $N(\nu, m, m_1)$  be the number of members of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  containing a fixed member of  $\mathcal{P}_{m_1}$ . From [19, Theorem 3.38], we derive that

$$N(\nu, m, m_1) = \prod_{i=1}^{m-m_1} \frac{q^{2(\nu-m+i)} - 1}{q^i - 1}. \quad (2)$$

For  $1 \leq m \leq \nu - 1$ , let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -subspace of  $V$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  a basis of  $Q$ . Note that the rank of matrix  $(f(\alpha_i, \alpha_j))_{m \times m}$  does not depend on the choose of the basis. It is routine to check that its rank is  $2s$  for some non-negative integer  $s$ . Then we say  $Q$  has *type*  $(m, s)$ . Note that  $Q$  has type  $(m, 0)$  if and only if  $Q$  is totally isotropic. By [19, Theorem 3.27], the number of members of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  contained in a fixed  $(m+1, 1)$ -type subspace is  $q+1$ .

For  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_m$  and a subspace  $A$  of  $V$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_A$  denote the set of members of  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $A$ . Write

$$f_0(\nu, m, t) = \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+1) - q \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\nu, m, t$  be positive integers with  $m \geq t+2$  and  $2\nu \geq 3m-t+3$ . Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  and  $U \in \mathcal{P}_m$  with  $\dim(T \cap U) = t-1$ . Then the following hold.*

- (i) *If  $T+U \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ , then  $|\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)| > f_0(\nu, m, t)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $T+U \notin \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ , then  $|\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)| < f_0(\nu, m, t)$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\dim(T+U) = m+1$ .

(i) Write all  $(t+1)$ -subspaces of  $T+U$  containing  $T$  as  $W_1, \dots, W_{\begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , let  $\mathcal{W}_i$  denote the set of all  $m$ -subspaces in  $\mathcal{P}$  containing  $W_i$ . For  $F \in \mathcal{P}_m$  with  $T \subset F$ , if  $\dim(F \cap (T+U)) = l \geq t+1$ , it appears  $\begin{bmatrix} l-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  times in  $\mathcal{W}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{\begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-t$ , write  $\mathcal{E}_i = \{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : T \subset F, \dim(F \cap (T+U)) = t+i\}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} |\mathcal{W}_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{m-t} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{E}_i|.$$

Similarly, we have

$$q \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2) = \sum_{i=2}^{m-t} q \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{E}_i|.$$

From  $\begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} < q \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  for  $i \geq 3$ , we obtain

$$f_0(\nu, m, t) \leq |\{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : T \subset F, t+1 \leq \dim(F \cap (T+U)) \leq t+2\}|. \quad (3)$$

Then (i) follows from (3) and the definition of  $\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$ .

(ii) Since  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{P}_m$  and  $T + U \notin \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ , there exist  $\alpha \in T \setminus U$  and  $\gamma \in U \setminus T$  such that  $f(\alpha, \gamma) \neq 0$ . Therefore,

$$|\{S \in \mathcal{M}(t+1; T+U) : T \subset S\}| \leq \left| \left\{ S \in \begin{bmatrix} T+U \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix} : T \subset S \right\} \setminus \{\langle T, \gamma \rangle\} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1.$$

Notice that

$$\{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : T \subset F, \dim(F \cap (T+U)) \geq t+1\} \subset \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{M}(t+1; T+U), T \subset S} (\mathcal{H}_1(T, U))_S$$

and  $T + U$  has type  $(m+1, 1)$ . Then we have

$$|\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)| \leq \left( \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \right) N(\nu, m, t+1) + (q+1).$$

By (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)| - f_0(\nu, m, t)}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} &\leq -\frac{q^{2(\nu-t-1)} - 1}{q^{m-t-1} - 1} + q \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{q+1}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} \\ &< -q^{2\nu-m-t-1} + q^{2(m-t)+1} + q+1 \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

Let  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{P}_m$  with  $T + U \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ , and  $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$ . From [19, Lemma 3.11], we derive that  $|\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)|$  and  $|\mathcal{H}_2(Z)|$  do not depend on the choice of  $T$ ,  $U$  and  $Z$ . Write

$$h_1(\nu, m, t) = |\mathcal{H}_1(T, U)|, \quad h_2(\nu, m, t) = |\mathcal{H}_2(Z)|.$$

Notice that

$$h_2(\nu, m, t) = \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+1) - q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\nu, m$  and  $t$  be positive integers with  $m \geq t+2$  and  $2\nu \geq 3m+1$ . Then the following hold.*

- (i) *If  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$ , then  $h_2(\nu, m, t) < h_1(\nu, m, t)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\frac{m}{2} - 1 < t \leq m - 2$ , then  $h_2(\nu, m, t) \geq h_1(\nu, m, t)$  and equality holds if and only if  $(m, t) = (3, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) By (1), (2) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{h_1(\nu, m, t) - h_2(\nu, m, t)}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} \\ &> \left( \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{q^{2(\nu-t-1)} - 1}{q^{m-t-1} - 1} - q \left( \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &> q^{t+2} \cdot q^{m-2t-2} \cdot q^{2\nu-t-m-1} - q^{2(m-t)+1} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h_1(\nu, m, t) > h_2(\nu, m, t)$ .

(ii) Let  $U \in \mathcal{P}_m$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  with  $T + U \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ . Suppose  $\frac{m}{2} - 1 < t \leq m - 3$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$ . Write

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : T \subset F, \dim(F \cap (T + U)) = j\}$$

for each  $t + 1 \leq j \leq m$ , and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(I, F) \in \mathcal{P}_{t+1} \times \mathcal{P}_m : T \subset I \subset T + U, I \subset F\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{j=t+1}^m \mathcal{A}_j$ . We have

$$|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{B}| = |\{F \in \mathcal{M}(m; T + U) : T \not\subset F\}| = q^{m-t+1} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By double counting  $|\mathcal{L}|$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+1) = |\mathcal{L}| = \sum_{j=t+1}^m |\mathcal{A}_j| \cdot \begin{bmatrix} j-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = |\mathcal{B}| + \sum_{j=t+2}^m q \begin{bmatrix} j-t-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{A}_j|.$$

Since  $m \geq t + 3$ , by [9, Theorem 2.10], we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_{m-1}| = \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \left( (q^{\nu-m+1} + q^2) \begin{bmatrix} \nu-m-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{2(\nu-m)} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Then by (1), we get

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}_{m-1}|}{|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{B}|} > \frac{q^{2(m-t-1)} \cdot q^{2(\nu-m)-1}}{q^{m-t+1} \cdot q^t} \geq q^{3m-2t-2} \cdot q^{-(m+1)} > 1.$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \cup \mathcal{B}$ . It is routine to check that

$$h_1(\nu, m, t) = |\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{B}| < |\mathcal{L}| - (q-1)|\mathcal{A}_{t+2}|.$$

If  $2t > m - 1$ , since  $2\nu \geq 3m + 1$ , by (1), (2) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_2(\nu, m, t) - h_1(\nu, m, t)}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} &> \left( \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{q^{2(\nu-t-1)} - 1}{q^{m-t-1} - 1} - q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\geq q^{m-t+1} \cdot q^{2t-m} \cdot q^{2\nu-m-t-1} - q^{t+2} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $2t = m - 1$ , from (1), (2),  $2\nu \geq 3m + 2$ ,  $t \geq 2$  and [9, Theorem 2.10], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &h_2(\nu, m, t) - h_1(\nu, m, t) \\ &> (q-1)|\mathcal{A}_{t+2}| - q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2) \\ &> (q-1) \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot q^{\frac{t(t-1)}{2} + 2(t-1)(\nu-2t-2) + 2(t-1)} - q^t \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} q^{2(t-1)(\nu-2t-2) + \frac{t(t-1)}{2} + 2(t-1)} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h_1(\nu, m, t) < h_2(\nu, m, t)$ .

Now suppose  $m = t + 2$ . Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}(t + 2; T + U)$  containing  $T$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_0 &= \{F \in \mathcal{M}(t + 2; T + U) : T \not\subset F\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_1 &= \{F \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2} : T \not\subset F, \dim(F \cap M) = t + 1\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_2 &= \{F \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2} : T \subset F, \dim(F \cap M) \geq t + 1\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_3 &= \{F \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2} : F \cap M = T, \dim(F \cap (T + U)) = t + 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $|\mathcal{B}_0| = \begin{bmatrix} t+3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q^3 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . By [9, Theorem 2.10], we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{B}_1| &= \left( (q^{\nu-t+1} + q^3) \begin{bmatrix} \nu - t - 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{2(\nu-t)-1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ |\mathcal{B}_3| &= (q^{\nu-t+1} + q^4) \begin{bmatrix} \nu - t - 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{2(\nu-t-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{H}_1(T, U) = \mathcal{B}_0 \dot{\cup} \mathcal{B}_2 \dot{\cup} \mathcal{B}_3$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_2(M) = \mathcal{B}_1 \dot{\cup} \mathcal{B}_2$ , which imply that  $h_2(\nu, m, t) - h_1(\nu, m, t) = |\mathcal{B}_1| - |\mathcal{B}_0| - |\mathcal{B}_3|$ . When  $t = 1$ , it is routine to check that  $h_2(\nu, 3, 1) - h_1(\nu, 3, 1) = 0$ . If  $t \geq 2$ , from  $2\nu \geq 3m + 1 = 3t + 7$  and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}& h_2(\nu, t + 2, t) - h_1(\nu, t + 2, t) \\ & \geq \left( (q^{\nu-t+1} + q^3)q^{\nu-t-3} + q^{2(\nu-t)-1} \right) q^{t-1} - \left( (q^{\nu-t+1} + q^4)q^{\nu-t-3} + q^{2(\nu-t)} \right) - q^{t+3} \\ & \geq q^{2\nu-2t-2} - q^{t+3} \\ & > 0,\end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_m$  is a maximum sized non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting family. When  $m = t + 1$  with  $\nu \geq m + 1$ , by [3, Remarks (ii) in Section 9.3], there exists  $Z \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \subset \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Observe that  $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$  or  $Z$  has type  $(t + 2, 1)$ . Then it is routine to check that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$  where  $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$ . To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, in view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, it is sufficient to show that  $|\mathcal{F}| < f_0(\nu, m, t)$  if  $m \geq t + 2$  with  $2\nu \geq \max\{3m + 2, 2m + 2t + 6\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is not one of the expected families in Theorem 1.1. We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}(a, 0; 2\nu)$  with  $a \leq m - 1$ , and  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{P}_m$  be a  $t$ -intersecting family. Suppose that there exists  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\dim(A \cap Y) = r \leq t - 1$ . Then there exists  $R \in \mathcal{P}_{a+t-r}$  with  $A \subset R$  such that*

$$|\mathcal{Y}_A| \leq \begin{bmatrix} m - r \\ t - r \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{Y}_R|.$$

*Proof.* W.l.o.g., assume that  $\mathcal{Y}_A \neq \emptyset$ . Write

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H \in \mathcal{M}(a + t - r, 0; A + Y) : A \subset H\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $t$ -intersecting, for each  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_A$ , we have  $\dim(G \cap Y) \geq t$ , which implies that  $\dim(G \cap (A + Y)) \geq a + t - r$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $|\mathcal{Y}_B| \leq |\mathcal{Y}_R|$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{H}$ . Observe that

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq \binom{m-r}{t-r}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_A \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{Y}_B.$$

Then the desired result holds.  $\square$

For  $S \in \mathcal{P}_s$ , we say  $S$  is a  $t$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\dim(S \cap F) \geq t$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Write

$$\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{\dim S : S \text{ is a } t\text{-cover of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-trivial and each member of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $t$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $t + 1 \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{F}) \leq m$ . Notice that each member of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  containing  $S$  is also a member of  $\mathcal{F}$  because of the maximality of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The proof in the following is divided into two cases.

### 3.1 The case $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = t + 1$

**Assumption 1.** Let  $\nu, m, t$  be positive integers with  $2\nu \geq \max\{3m + 2, 2m + 2t + 6\}$  and  $m \geq t + 2$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximum sized non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  with  $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = t + 1$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all  $t$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}$  with dimension  $t + 1$ . Set  $X = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\nu, m, t, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}$  and  $X$  be as in Assumption 1. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $t$ -intersecting family with  $t \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{S}) \leq t + 1$ . Moreover, if  $\tau_t(\mathcal{S}) = t$ , then  $t + 1 \leq \dim X \leq m + 1$  and  $\dim(F \cap X) = \dim X - 1$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T$ , where  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  is contained in each member of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for contradiction that there exist  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) < t$ . By (2), the number of  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$  containing  $S_2$  is  $N(\nu, t+2, t+1) = \binom{2(\nu-t-1)}{1}$ . Observe that the number of members of  $\mathcal{M}(t+2; S_1 + S_2)$  containing  $S_2$  is at most  $\binom{t+1}{1}$ . Since  $2\nu > 3(t+1)$ , we have  $\binom{2(\nu-t-1)}{1} > \binom{t+1}{1}$ . Then

$$\{A \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2} : S_2 \subset A \not\subset S_1 + S_2\} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2} : S_2 \subset A\} \setminus \{A \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2} : A \subset S_1 + S_2\} \neq \emptyset.$$

For  $S'_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$  with  $S_2 \subset S'_2 \not\subset S_1 + S_2$ , it is routine to check that  $S'_2 \cap S_1 = S_2 \cap S_1$ . Repeating this discussion several times, we obtain  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{P}_m$  containing  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively, satisfying that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = S_1 \cap S_2$ . By the maximality of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $t$ -intersecting, as desired. From  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $t$ -intersecting, we get  $t \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{S}) \leq t + 1$ .

Suppose  $\tau_t(\mathcal{S}) = t$  and  $T$  is a member of  $\mathcal{P}_t$  contained in each member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , observe that  $\dim(S \cap F) = t$ . Then

$$m + 1 \leq \dim(T + F) \leq \dim(S + F) = m + 1.$$

Note that  $T + F \subset S + F$ . We get  $S + F = T + F$ , which implies that  $T + F = X + F$ . From  $\dim(T + F) = m + 1$ , we get  $\dim(F \cap X) = \dim X - 1$  and  $\dim X \leq m + 1$ . Together with  $\dim X \geq \dim S = t + 1$ , the desired result holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\nu, m, t, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}$  and  $X$  be as in Assumption 1. If  $\tau_t(\mathcal{S}) = t$  and  $\dim X = m + 1$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  and  $U \in \mathcal{P}_m$  with  $T + U \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  is contained in each member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T$ , by Lemma 3.2, we have  $\dim(U \cap X) = m$  and  $X = T + U$ , which imply that

$$\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T \subset \{F \in \mathcal{M}(m; T + U) : T \not\subset F\}.$$

For each  $G \in \mathcal{F}_T$ , since  $\dim(U \cap G) \geq t$  and  $\dim(T \cap U) = t - 1$ , we have  $\dim(G \cap (T + U)) \geq t + 1$ , which implies that

$$\mathcal{F}_T \subset \{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : T \subset F, \dim(F \cap (T + U)) \geq t + 1\}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{H}_1(T, H)$  is non-trivially  $t$ -intersecting. By the maximality of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_1(T, U)$ .

If  $X \notin \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ , by Lemma 2.1, we have  $|\mathcal{F}| < h_1(\nu, m, t)$ . Note  $\mathcal{F}$  is maximum sized. Then we get  $T + U \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\nu, m, t, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}$  and  $X$  be as in Assumption 1. If  $\tau_t(\mathcal{S}) = t$  and  $t + 1 \leq \dim X \leq m$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| < f_0(\nu, m, t)$ .*

*Proof.* We investigate  $\mathcal{F}$  in two cases.

**Case 1.**  $\dim X = t + 1$ .

In this case, we have  $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$ . Let  $S$  be the unique member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Observe that

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S \cup \left( \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{M}(t; S)} \mathcal{F}_R \setminus \mathcal{F}_S \right). \quad (4)$$

For each  $R \in \mathcal{M}(t; S)$ , since  $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = t + 1$ , there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_S$  such that  $\dim(F \cap R) \leq t - 1$ . Note that  $\dim(F \cap S) = t$ . We get  $\dim(F \cap R) = t - 1$ . Let  $H_1 = F + R$ . We have  $\dim H_1 = m + 1$ . It is routine to check that  $S \subset H_1$  and  $\dim(F' \cap H_1) \geq t + 1$  for any  $F' \in \mathcal{F}_R \setminus \mathcal{F}_S$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_R \setminus \mathcal{F}_S \subset \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{M}(t+1; H_1) \setminus \{S\}, R \subset I} \mathcal{F}_I. \quad (5)$$

For each  $I \in \mathcal{M}(t+1; H_1) \setminus \{S\}$  with  $R \subset I$ , there exists  $F'' \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\dim(F'' \cap I) \leq t - 1$ . Then from  $R \subset I$  and  $\dim(S \cap F'') \geq t$ , we have  $\dim(F'' \cap I) = t - 1$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_I| \leq \binom{m-t+1}{1} N(\nu, m, t+2).$$

Note that

$$|\{I \in \mathcal{M}(t+1; H_1) : R \subset S, I \neq S\}| \leq \binom{m-t+1}{1} - 1 = q \binom{m-t}{1},$$

$$|\mathcal{F}_S| \leq N(\nu, m, t+1), \quad |\mathcal{M}(t; S)| = \binom{t+1}{1}.$$



It follows from (4) and (5) that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq N(\nu, m, t+1) + q \begin{bmatrix} m-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2).$$

By (1), (2) and  $2\nu \geq 2m + 2t + 6$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f_0(\nu, m, t) - |\mathcal{F}|}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} \\ & \geq q \begin{bmatrix} m-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{q^{2(\nu-t-1)} - 1}{q^{m-t-1} - 1} - q \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} m-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ & > q^{2\nu-2t-1} - q^{2(m-t)+1} - q^{2m-t+3} \\ & > 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

**Case 2.**  $t+2 \leq \dim X \leq m$ .

Write  $k = \dim X$ . Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{P}_t$  is contained in each member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . For  $G \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T$ , let  $H_2 = T + G$ . For each  $G' \in \mathcal{F}_T$ , if  $G' \cap X = T$ , since  $\dim(G \cap G') \geq t$  and  $T \not\subseteq G$ , we have  $\dim(G' \cap H_2) \geq t+1$ . On the other hand, if  $T \subsetneq G' \cap X$ , then there exists a member of  $\mathcal{M}(t+1; X)$  containing  $T$ . Write

$$\mathcal{A} := \{A \in \mathcal{M}(t+1; H_2) : T \subset A \not\subseteq X\}, \quad \mathcal{B} := \{B \in \mathcal{M}(t+1; X) : T \subset B\}.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \left( \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_A \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}_B \right). \quad (6)$$

For each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , by assumption,  $A$  is not a  $t$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists  $G'' \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T$  such that  $\dim(G'' \cap A) \leq t-1$ . Together with  $\dim(G'' \cap T) = t-1$ , we have  $\dim(G'' \cap A) = t-1$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_A| \leq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we get  $\dim(T \cap G) = t-1$ , which implies that  $\dim H_2 = m+1$  and  $X = T + (G \cap X) \subset H_2$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} m-k+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\mathcal{B}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Together with  $|\mathcal{F}_B| \leq N(\nu, m, t+1)$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , from (6) we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_T| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+1) + q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} m-k+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2). \quad (7)$$

Write  $\mathcal{C} = \{C \in \mathcal{M}(k-1; X) : T \not\subseteq C\}$ . Suppose  $X \notin \mathcal{P}_k$ , then  $X$  has type  $(k, 1)$ . Note that  $|\mathcal{C}| \leq q+1$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T| \leq (q+1)N(\nu, m, k-1).$$

If  $X \in \mathcal{P}_k$ , we have  $|\mathcal{C}| = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} k-t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T| \leq q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (N(\nu, m, k-1) - N(\nu, m, k)).$$

Since  $2\nu \geq 3m+2$  and  $m \geq k \geq t+2 \geq 3$ , by (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (N(\nu, m, k-1) - N(\nu, m, k))}{N(\nu, m, k-1)} \\ &= q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( 1 - \frac{q^{m-k+1} - 1}{q^{2(\nu-k+1)} - 1} \right) \\ &> q^{k-t} \cdot q^{t-1} \cdot \left( 1 - q^{m+k-2\nu-1} \right) \\ &\geq q+1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T| \leq q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (N(\nu, m, k-1) - N(\nu, m, k)) \quad (8)$$

whenever  $X$  is a member of  $\mathcal{P}_k$  or not.

Suppose  $k \geq t+3$ . For each  $t+3 \leq l \leq m$ , write

$$u(l) = q^{l-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (N(\nu, m, l-1) - N(\nu, m, l)).$$

For each  $t+3 \leq l < m$ , since  $2\nu \geq 3m+2 > m+l$ , by (1), (2) and  $u(l+1) \leq q^{l-t+1} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, l)$ , we have

$$\frac{u(l)}{u(l+1)} \geq \frac{1}{q} \cdot \left( \frac{q^{2(\nu-l+1)} - 1}{q^{m-l+1} - 1} - 1 \right) > q^{2\nu-m-l} - \frac{1}{q} > 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f_0(\nu, m, t) - |\mathcal{F}|}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} \\ &\geq q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} m-k+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{q^{2(\nu-t-1)} - 1}{q^{m-t-1} - 1} - q \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} m-k+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - u(t+3) \\ &> q^{2\nu-2t-1} - q^{2(m-t)+1} - q^{2m-2t+2} - q^{t+3} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

follows from (1), (2), (7), (8) and  $2\nu \geq \max\{3m+2, 2m+2t+6\}$ , as desired.

Now suppose that  $k = t+2$ . For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , since  $T \not\subset C$ ,  $C$  is not a  $t$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus there exists  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\dim(E \cap C) \leq t-1$ . Notice that  $\dim(E \cap X) \geq t$ , which implies that  $\dim(E \cap C) = t-1$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}_C| \leq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2)$  follows from Lemma 3.1. Together with  $|\mathcal{C}| \leq \max\{q+1, \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\} = q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , we get

$$|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_T| \leq q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} N(\nu, m, t+2). \quad (9)$$

Then from (1), (2), (7), (9) and  $2\nu \geq \max\{3m+2, 2m+2t+6\}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f_0(\nu, m, t) - |\mathcal{F}|}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} \\ & \geq q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} m-k+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{q^{2(\nu-t-1)-1}}{q^{m-t-1}-1} - q \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \left( q^{k-t} \begin{bmatrix} m-k+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ & > q^{2\nu-2t-1} - q^{2(m-t)+1} - q^{2m-2t+2} - q^{m+3} \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\nu, m, t$  and  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}$  be as in Assumption 1. If  $\tau_t(\mathcal{S}) = t+1$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$  for some  $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$ .*

*Proof.* By  $\tau_t(\mathcal{S}) = t+1$  and Lemma 3.2,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Therefore, by [3, Remarks (ii) in Section 9.3], there exists  $Z \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+2 \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $\mathcal{S} \subset \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Pick different members  $A, B$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $E = A \cap B$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is non-trivial, there exists  $C \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $E \not\subset C$ . For any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $E \subset F$ , together with  $\dim(F \cap C) \geq t$ , we get  $\dim(F \cap Z) \geq t+1$ . If  $E \not\subset F$ , then  $F \cap A$  and  $F \cap B$  are two different subspaces of  $Z$  with dimension at least  $t$ , which implies that  $\dim(F \cap Z) \geq t+1$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ . By the maximality of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2(Z)$  is  $t$ -intersecting, we have  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ .

Observe that  $A+B=Z$  and  $\dim(A \cap B) = t$ . If  $Z \notin \mathcal{P}_{t+2}$ ,  $Z$  has type  $(t+2, 1)$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| = (q+1)N(\nu, m, t+1)$ . To finish the proof, it is sufficient to show that

$$(q+1)N(\nu, m, t+1) < h_2(\nu, m, t).$$

Since  $2\nu \geq 3m+2$ , by (1) and (2), we have

$$\frac{h_2(\nu, m, t)}{N(\nu, m, t+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{q^{m-t-1}-1}{q^{2(\nu-t-1)}-1} > q^{t+1} - q^{-3} > q+1,$$

as desired.  $\square$

### 3.2 The case $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) \geq t+2$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\nu, m, t$  be positive integers with  $2\nu \geq \max\{3m+2, 2m+2t+6\}$  and  $m \geq t+2$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximum sized non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}_m$  with  $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) \geq t+2$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| < f_0(\nu, m, t)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = r$ . For each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , observe that

$$\mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{M}(t; S)} \mathcal{F}_W.$$

For each  $W \in \mathcal{M}(t; S)$ , w.l.o.g., assume that  $\mathcal{F}_W \neq \emptyset$ . It is routine to check that  $\begin{bmatrix} m-a \\ t-a \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{t-a}$  for each  $0 \leq a \leq t-1$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, there exist some totally isotropic

subspaces  $W_1, \dots, W_u$  such that  $W = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_u$  with  $\dim W_u \geq r$ ,  $\dim W_{u-1} < r$  and

$$|\mathcal{F}_{W_{i-1}}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\dim W_i - \dim W_{i-1}} |\mathcal{F}_{W_i}|$$

for each  $i = 1, \dots, u$ . Notice that  $\dim W_u \leq m$  from

$$|\mathcal{F}_{W_u}| \geq |\mathcal{F}_W| / \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\dim W_u - t} > 0.$$

When  $t+2 \leq a \leq m-1$  and  $2\nu \geq 3m-t$ , we have

$$\frac{N(\nu, m, a)}{N(\nu, m, a+1)} = \frac{q^{2(\nu-a)-1}}{q^{m-a}-1} \geq q^{2\nu-m-a} \geq q^{m-t+1} \geq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{F}_W| \leq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\dim W_u - t} N(\nu, m, \dim W_u) \leq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{r-t} N(\nu, m, r).$$

Together with  $|\mathcal{M}(t; S)| \leq \begin{bmatrix} r \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ , we get

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} r \\ t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{r-t} N(\nu, m, r).$$

For each integer  $s$  with  $t \leq s \leq m$ , write

$$g(s) = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{s-t} N(\nu, m, s).$$

For  $t \leq s \leq m-1$ , from (1), (2) and  $2\nu \geq 3m+2$ , we obtain

$$\frac{g(s+1)}{g(s)} = \frac{(q^{s+1}-1)(q^{m-t+1}-1)(q^{m-s}-1)}{(q^{s-t+1}-1)(q-1)(q^{2(\nu-s)}-1)} < q^{2m+s+2-2\nu} \leq q^{3m+1-2\nu} < 1.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 N(\nu, m, t+2).$$

Since  $2\nu \geq 2m+2t+6$ , by (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f_0(\nu, m, t) - |\mathcal{F}|}{N(\nu, m, t+2)} \\ & \geq \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{q^{2(\nu-t-1)-1}}{q^{m-t-1}-1} - q \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \\ & > q^{2\nu-2t-1} - q^{2(m-t)+1} - q^{2(m+2)} \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research is supported by NSFC (12071039).

## References

- [1] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, The complete non-trivial-intersection theorem for systems of finite sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 76 (1996) 121–138.
- [2] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer, A. Chowdhury, P. Frankl, T. Mussche, B. Patkós and T. Szőnyi, A Hilton-Milner Theorem for Vector Spaces, *Electronic J. combin.* 17 (2010) #R71.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1989.
- [4] M. Cao, B. Lv and K. Wang, The structure of large non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting families for finite sets, arXiv: 2008.10784v1.
- [5] M. Cao, B. Lv, K. Wang and S. Zhou, non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting families for vector spaces, arXiv: 2007.11767v1.
- [6] P. Erdős, C. Ko, and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2* (12) (1961) 313–320.
- [7] P. Frankl, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem is true for  $n = ckt$ , in: *Combinatorics*, vol. I, Proc. Fifth Hungarian Colloq., Keszthely, 1976, in: *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, vol. 18, North-Holland, 1978, pp. 365–375.
- [8] P. Frankl and R. Wilson, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for vector spaces, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 43(2) (1986) 228–236.
- [9] J. Guo, F. Li and K. Wang, Anzahl formulas of subspaces in symplectic spaces and their applications, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 438 (2013) 3321–3335.
- [10] J. Han and Y. Kohayakawa, The maximum size of a non-trivial intersecting uniform family that is not a subfamily of the Hilton-Milner family, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145(1) (2017) 73–87.
- [11] A. Hilton and E. Milner, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 18 (1967) 369–384.
- [12] J. Hirschfeld and J. Thas, *General Galois Geometries*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York 1991.
- [13] W.N. Hsieh, Intersection theorems for systems of finite vector spaces, *Discrete Math.* 12(1) (1975) 1–16.
- [14] A. Kostochka and D. Mubayi, The structure of large intersecting families, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145 (6) (2017) 2311–2321.

- [15] X. Liu, Q. Fan and Q. Sun, Research of the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem Based on Symplectic Spaces over Finite Fields, *Journal of Heibei Normal University (Natural Science Edition)* 42(4) (2018) 277–283.
- [16] K. Metsch, An Erdős-Ko-Rado for finite classical polar spaces, *J. Algebr. Comb.* 43 (2016) 375–397.
- [17] V. Pepe, L. Storme and F. Vanhove, Theorems of Erdős-Ko-Rado type in polar spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 118(4) (2011) 1291–1312.
- [18] H. Tanaka, Classification of subsets with minimal width and dual width in Grassmann, bilinear forms and dual polar graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 113 (2006) 903–910.
- [19] Z. Wan, *Geometry of Classical Groups over Finite Fields*, 2nd edition, Science Press, Beijing/New York 2002.
- [20] R.M. Wilson, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *Combinatorica* 4 (1984) 247–257.