

Maximal subsets free of arithmetic progressions in arbitrary sets *

Semchankau Aliaksei

March 4, 2022

Abstract

We consider the problem of determining the maximum cardinality of a subset containing no arithmetic progressions of length k in a given set of size n . It is proved that it is sufficient, in a certain sense, to consider the interval $[1, \dots, n]$. The study continues the work of Komlós, Sulyok, and Szemerédi.

1 Introduction

Let us consider an arbitrary set $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and integer $k \geq 3$. We define the value $f_k(B)$ to be the cardinality of maximal subset of B , which does not contain nontrivial arithmetical progression of length k (we say arithmetical progression is trivial if all of its elements are equal). Let us consider the function

$$\phi_k(n) := \min_{|B|=n} f_k(B).$$

Now we introduce the function $g_k(n) := f_k([1, 2, \dots, n])$. Let $\rho_k(n) := g_k(n)/n$ be a density of maximal set free of arithmetical progressions of length k in segment $[1, \dots, n]$. We know following estimates for $\rho_k(n)$:

$$\frac{1}{e^{c_k \sqrt{\ln n}}} \ll \rho_k(n) \ll \frac{1}{(\ln \ln n)^{s_k}},$$

where c_k, s_k are positive constants, depending only on k . Lower bound belongs to Behrend [Beh46], and upper bound belongs to Gowers [Gow01]. Historical retrospective on special case $k = 3$ can be found in works [Shk06], [Blo12].

At first sight it seems natural to expect the equality $\phi_k(n) = g_k(n)$ to hold, although it turns out to be false already for $n = 5, k = 3$: $g_3(5) = f_3([1, 2, 3, 4, 5]) = 4 > 3 = f_3(\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7\}) = \phi_3(5)$. However, intuition still predicts that $\phi_k(n)$ does not differ much from $g_k(n)$. In this direction it was proved by Komlós, Sulyok, and Szemerédi [KSS75] that following inequality holds:

$$\phi_3(n) > (1/2^{15} + o(1))g_3(n), n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 14-11-00433.

In O’Bryent’s work [OBr13] it is stated, without proof, that constant $1/2^{15}$ might be improved to $1/34$.

In here we demonstrate the following:

Theorem 1. *For any integer $k \geq 3$ there exists such sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ of natural numbers such that for any element n in it following inequality holds:*

$$\phi_k(n) > (1/4 + o(1))g_k(n).$$

Furthermore, the sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ is rather dense in the sense that any segment of the form $[n, ne^{(\ln n)^{1/2+o(1)}}]$ contains at least one element of this sequence.

As we see this result improves bound from [KSS75] for a subsequence of \mathbb{N} . We obtain constant $1/4$ since we ‘compress’ given set of numbers modulo a prime number twice and keep roughly half of the elements each time. Our method differs from the one presented at [KSS75] by fewer amount of operations (constists of 2 ‘compressions’), and therefore by saving more elements of the initial set.

For natural n we denote by $[n]$ the segment $[1, \dots, n]$.

2 Compressing Lemmas

Let us consider some set of integers $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. We call set $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ a *compression* of set X , if for any triples $(i, j, k) \in [n]^3$ equality $x_i - 2x_j + x_k = 0$ implies $y_i - 2y_j + y_k = 0$ (notice that we do not imply any order of x_i and y_i). This definition is closely related to Freiman homomorphism, see [TV06].

Now we state a hypothesis, which we prove only in special case, which however would suffice for us.

Hypothesis 1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is such subpolynomial function $h(n) = h_\epsilon(n)$, such that for any integer set X of size n there exists such $Y \subseteq X$, $|Y| \leq \epsilon n$, for which $X \setminus Y$ might be compressed into subset of segment $[nh(n)]$.*

We prove it for all $\epsilon \in (3/4, 1)$. For the sake of transparency, we break the proof into several lemmas. Since we are only interested in behaviour of $h(n)$ for large n , we would only consider a case when n is large enough.

Lemma 2.1 (on compression into an interval of exponential length). *Any set of size n might be compressed into a subset of the segment $[4n^4 6^{n/2}]$.*

Proof. Having set X we want to build $Y \subset [4n^4 6^{n/2}]$ such that Y is a compression of X .

We assign to X a following matrix A . Let us enumerate all nontrivial arithmetical progressions of length 3 in X :

$$(i_1, j_1, k_1), (i_2, j_2, k_2), \dots, (i_p, j_p, k_p),$$

where p is the total amount of progressions. Clearly, for any triple (i_s, j_s, k_s) equality

$$x_{i_s} - 2x_{j_s} + x_{k_s} = 0$$

holds. We set A to be a matrix of size $p \times n$. At s th row of A we put 1 at i_s th and k_s th column, and -2 at j_s th column. Other entries are occupied with zeros.

For example, set $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ would be assigned with the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear from the definition of matrix A that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore, Y is a compression of X if and only if

$$A \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us consider an arbitrary set X of size n and its assigned matrix A : $Ax = 0$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$. We would demonstrate the existence of such $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ such that its coordinates are distinct natural numbers not exceeding $4n^4 6^{n/2}$, satisfying $Ay = 0$.

Let us solve the equation $Ax = 0$. We choose maximal amount of linearly independent rows and put them to new matrix A' . Certainly, $A'x = 0 \Leftrightarrow Ax = 0$.

We denote size of A' by $m \times n$, $m < n$ (clearly A and A' are degenerate, since sum of elements in each row equals 0). Let us distinguish independent (basis) variables from dependent ones. Clearly, there are exactly m dependent variables among x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Let us swap coordinates in $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and rows in A' in such a way such that first coordinates of x are dependent, and last coordinates are independent. Via the Gauss elimination method we reduce the system to the following form:

$$A''x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(order of x_1, x_2, \dots might have changed after elimination). By Gauss elimination property there exists such nonsingular square matrix M for which $A'' = MA'$. Notice that this equality would still hold if we keep only first m columns of A'' and A' . Therefore, if E and D' are corresponding square matrices, then equality $E = MD'$ (E is the identity matrix) holds. Clearly, $M = (D')^{-1}$. It is known that

$$M = (D')^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\det(D'_{1,1})}{\det(D')} & \dots & \frac{\det(D'_{1,m})}{\det(D')} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\det(D'_{m,1})}{\det(D')} & \dots & \frac{\det(D'_{m,m})}{\det(D')} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D'_{i,j}$ are adjoint matrices. Thus, $\|\det D' \times M\|_\infty$ does not exceed the absolute value of determinant of matrix consisting of elements $1, -2, 0$, (with at most two -1 and at most one 2 in each row), which we can bound by $(\sqrt{1^2 + 1^2 + (-2)^2})^m = 6^{m/2}$ by Hadamard inequality.

Since A' also consists of elements $-2, 1, 0$, equality $A'' = MA'$ implies that all elements of $\det D'A''$ are integers with absolute values not exceeding $2m6^{m/2} \leq 2n6^{n/2}$.

Now we turn to construction of desired $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, satisfying all the conditions above. Let us consider equation $A''x = 0$ and denote its first m elements by w_1, \dots, w_m , and remaining by z_1, \dots, z_t , $m + t = n$. We have:

$$A''x = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \cdots \\ w_m \\ z_1 \\ \cdots \\ z_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

or

$$w_1 + a_{1,1}z_1 + a_{1,2}z_2 + \cdots + a_{1,t}z_t = 0,$$

...

$$w_m + a_{m,1}z_1 + a_{m,2}z_2 + \cdots + a_{m,t}z_t = 0.$$

We know that any $a_{i,j}$ becomes integer when multiplied by $\det D'$ not exceeding $2n6^{n/2}$ by absolute value. From here we obtain that for any w_i there exists such $\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,t}$ (negative correspondent elements of A'' , multiplied by $\det D'$), such that

$$w_i = \frac{\alpha_{i,1}z_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i,t}z_t}{\det D'},$$

where all of $\alpha_{i,j}$ are integer and bounded by $2n6^{n/2}$ in absolute value.

We now aim to find such a solution $w_1, \dots, w_m, z_1, \dots, z_t$, where all variables are distinct, natural and do not exceed $4n^46^{n/2}$.

Now we demonstrate that it is possible to choose from multidimensional cube $[0, K - 1]^t$, (where $K = n^2$), such t -tuple (z_1, \dots, z_t) , so that all elements in $y = (z_1, \dots, z_t, w_1, \dots, w_m)$ would be distinct. Indeed, amount of possible points belonging to cube is K^t . Any equality of the form $z_i = z_j, z_i = w_j, w_i = w_j$ determines a hyperplane of the form $\alpha_1z_1 + \dots + \alpha_tz_t = 0$ - clearly, all integer points belonging to hyperplane can be projected onto the face of hypercube (and projections are integers, too). Therefore there are at most K^{t-1} integer points on any hyperplane. In total, there are at most C_n^2 such hyperplanes, therefore, they contain at most $C_n^2 K^{t-1} < K^t$ points in total.

Having this coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_t) we construct corresponding w_1, \dots, w_m , multiply all elements of $y = (z_1, \dots, w_1, \dots)$ by $\det D'$ and obtain an integer-valued vector, whose maximal element does not exceed either $n^2 \times \det D' \leq n^2 \times 6^{n/2}$, (if it was one of z_i), or $n \times \max(\alpha) \times \max(z_i) \leq n \times 2n6^{n/2} \times n^2 = 2n^46^{n/2}$ (if it was one of w_i) — and therefore we can bound maximal element as $2n^46^{n/2}$. To get rid off negative numbers, we shift coordinates of y 'to right' to obtain set of naturals, with maximal element not exceeding $2 \times 2n^46^{n/2}$. \square

Remark 1. Clearly, one cannot get rid off exponential multiplier c^n , since there is not such compression for set $\{0, 1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^n\}$ that would make maximal element less than 2^n .

Lemma 2.2 (on compression into subset of segment of cubic length). *If set X of size n belongs to segment $[1, \dots, M]$, where $M = 4n^4 6^{n/2}$, then there exists such subset $X' \subseteq X$, $|X'| \geq |X|/2$ which might be compressed into subset of segment $[n^3]$*

Proof. Let us consider first prime numbers $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots$. Let us take the minimal prime number which does not divide any difference in X and denote it by p_{k+1} . Then for any $p_t, t \leq k$, there are such distinct x_i, x_j , such that $p_t | (x_i - x_j)$. From here we obtain

$$2 \times 3 \times 5 \times \dots \times p_k | \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i - x_j).$$

From here we obtain the following bound (via using $p_k > k, |x_i - x_j| < M$):

$$2 \times 3 \times \dots \times k \leq M^{\frac{n^2 - n}{2}}.$$

Apply log to both parts:

$$k \ln k - k \leq \frac{n^2 - n}{2} \ln M,$$

from where it is easy to observe that $p_{k+1} < 2n^3$ for large enough n .

Thus, there exists such prime $p \leq 2n^3$ which does not divide any difference in X . Let us now consider a set $X' = \{x_1 \pmod{p}, x_2 \pmod{p}, \dots\}$. It has size n , and belongs to segment $[0, \dots, p-1]$, therefore intersects by half with one of the segments $L_1 = [0, \dots, p/2]$, and $L_2 = [p/2, p-1]$ (it is clear, that if elements form a progression in X , then so their images do in $X' \cap L_i$, provided that all of them belong to this image), and therefore we can remove at most half of the elements such that remaining set is compressible into subset of segment $[n^3]$. \square

Lemma 2.3 (on compression into subset of segment of almost-linear length). *If set X of size n belongs to segment $[8n^3]$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $X' \subseteq X$, $|X'| \geq (1/2 - \epsilon)|X|$ such that $[C_\epsilon n \ln n]$, where C_ϵ is a constant, depending only on ϵ .*

Proof. For n sufficiently large we consider prime numbers in segment $[2n, \dots, 2cn \ln n]$, where c is a positive constant. By Tchebyshev theorem, when n is large enough, this segment would contain at least cn prime numbers. We number them as $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s, s > cn$. Consider triples (i, j, t) , where i, j, t are such that $p_t | (x_i - x_j)$. Notice that each pair (i, j) of indexes participates in at most 2 triples, since $|x_i - x_j| < 8n^3$ and cannot be divisible by 3 or more distinct prime numbers exceeding $2n$. Therefore, there are at most n^2 such triples. By Dirichlet's box principle some p_t corresponds to at most $n^2/cn = n/c$ triples. We remove from X all x_i, x_j , belonging to any of this triples, and remaining set X_r would have size at least $(1 - \frac{2}{c})|X|$.

For set X_r it is true that difference of any two distinct elements is not divisible by any prime $p_t < 2cn \ln n$, and in the same spirit as in previous lemma we remove from X_r at most half of the elements such that remaining set might be compressed into subset X' of segment $[2cn \ln n]$. Since we can take constant c arbitrary large (and, accordingly, take $n > n(c)$), we have proved the desired assertion for any $\epsilon > 0$. \square

Now we turn to a proof of the Hypothesis 1 in the special case $\epsilon \in (3/4, 1)$:

Proof. We assume that $\epsilon \in (3/4, 1)$. First we compress set X of n elements into subset of segment $[4n^4 6^{n/2}]$ by Lemma 2.1. Then we throw away at most half of the elements and compress X into subset of segment $[n^3]$ by Lemma 2.2. Now we fix some $\delta > 0$ and apply Lemma 2.3 to $X \subseteq [1, \dots, n^3] \sim [1, \dots, 8(\frac{n}{2})^3]$, throw away at most $(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)\frac{n}{2}$ elements and compress remaining elements into the segment $[1, C_\delta \frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{n}{2}]$. In total we loose at most

$$\frac{n}{2} + (\frac{1}{2} + \delta)\frac{n}{2} = (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2})n$$

elements, so we take δ such that inequality $\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2} \leq \epsilon$ holds. Obviously, $\delta := 2\epsilon - \frac{3}{2} > 0$ would work. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1

In what follows, we would need a following lemma:

Lemma 3.1 (on lower-bound for density). *For any natural a, b and natural $k \geq 3$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\rho_k(3ab) \geq \rho_3(a)\rho_k(b)/3.$$

Proof. Let us bisect a segment of length $3ab$ into a segments of length $3b$. Let us choose among them those, whose numbers correspond to maximal subset of segment $[a]$, free of arithmetical progressions of length 3 (clearly, there would be exactly $g_3(a) = a\rho_3(a)$ of such segments). We bisect chosen segments into subsegments of length b , and only keep ‘middle’ ones. Then we take a maximal subset free of arithmetical progressions of length k of size $g_k(b) = b\rho_k(b)$ in each of these middle subsegments. Clearly, the union of all those subsets does not contain any arithmetical progression of length k , and therefore $\rho_k(3ab) \geq g_3(a)g_k(b)/3ab = \rho_3(a)\rho_k(b)/3$. \square

Before proving Theorem 1, we need following inequality:

Lemma 3.2. *For large enough natural n , natural $k \geq 3$ and positive real $\alpha \in (0, 1/4)$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\phi_k(n) > \alpha n \rho_k(C_{\alpha, k} n \ln n).$$

Proof. Let us consider an arbitrary set X of n elements. By special case of Hypothesis 1 with $1 - \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \rightarrow \epsilon$, one can remove at most ϵn elements in such a way, so that remaining set might be compressed into subset A of segment $[C_\alpha n \ln n]$ of size $\frac{1/4+\alpha}{2}n$. Let us set $m := C_\alpha n \ln n$. Now we consider $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{1/4+\alpha}{2}(1 - \epsilon) > \alpha$. Let us show that there exists such natural number s , depending only on α , with the following property: if one considers maximal subset free of arithmetical progressions of length k (which we denote by T) in the segment $[m + 1, m + (s + 1)m]$, then there is such a shift $A + x$ of set A , which has large intersection with T (clearly, $|T| = (s + 1)m\rho_k((s + 1)m)$):

$$|(A + x) \cap T| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|A|\rho_k((s + 1)m). \tag{1}$$

Indeed, let us consider shifts of A ‘to the right’: $A + 1, A + 2, \dots, A + sm$. Notice that any element of T , located left to $m + sm$, belongs to exactly $|A|$ shifts. Let $T_1 := T \cap [m + 1, m + sm]$ and $T_2 := T \cap [m + sm + 1, m + (s + 1)m]$. Clearly $|T| = |T_1| + |T_2|$. Let us assume that (1) does not

hold. By Dirichlet’s box principle some shift of A intersects T by at least $|T_1||A|/sm$ elements, and therefore one can conclude that $|T_1||A|/sm \leq (1-\epsilon)|A|\rho_k((s+1)m)$, and therefore $|T_2| \geq |T| - |T_1| \geq (1+s\epsilon)\rho_k((s+1)m)$ elements of T .

By Lemma 3.1 (we assume that $s+1$ is divisible by 3) we see $\rho_k(m) \geq (1+s\epsilon)\rho_k((s+1)m) \geq (1+s\epsilon)\rho_3((s+1)/3)\rho_k(m)$ (we derive leftmost inequality from the fact that set free of progressions of length k cannot have density more than $\rho_k(m)$ on segment of length m). Therefore, to get a contradiction, it is enough to take s to be that large so that inequality $(1+s\epsilon)\rho_3((s+1)/3) \geq 1$ holds. This is possible since $\rho_3(n) \geq \frac{1}{6^{c_3\sqrt{\ln n}}}$, denominator is subpolynomial, and the function $(1+s\epsilon)\rho_3(\frac{s+1}{3})$ has polynomial growth on s . So, we obtained required s depending on ϵ and k , or on α and k .

So, now we have desired inequality $\phi_k(n) > \frac{1/4+\alpha}{2}(1-\epsilon)n\rho_k((s+1)m) > \alpha n\rho_k(H_{\alpha,k}n \ln n)$. □

Now we turn to Theorem 1:

Proof. Let us suppose that statement of Theorem 1 does not hold for some $k \geq 3$. Therefore, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$, such that for any $o(1)$ there is some segment $I = [m, me^{(\ln m)^{1/2+o(1)}}]$, such that for any $n \in I$ inequality $\phi_k(n) < (1/4 - \epsilon)g_k(n)$ holds. On the other side, by Lemma 3.2, any $n \in I$ satisfies $(1/4 - \epsilon)g_k(n) \geq \alpha n\rho_k(C_{\alpha,k}n \ln n)$, where $\alpha > (1/4 - \epsilon)$ (one can set $\alpha := 1/4 - \epsilon/2$). From here we obtain that for some constant $c > 1$ ($c := \frac{\alpha}{1/4-\epsilon}$) inequality $\rho_k(n) > c\rho(Cn \ln n)$ takes place whenever $n \in I$.

Now we build the sequence $t_1 = m, t_2 = Ct_1 \ln t_1, t_3 = Ct_2 \ln t_2, \dots$ (we continue while $t_i \in I$ holds — clearly, there are at least $(\ln m)^{1/2+o(1)}$ such t_i).

Therefore,

$$\rho_k(t_1) > c\rho_k(t_2) > c^2\rho_k(t_3) > \dots$$

Now, combining lower bound for $\rho_k(n)$, and the fact that sequence of t_i has at least $(\ln m)^{1/2+o(1)}$ elements, the bound $\rho_k(t_1) \geq c^{i-1}\rho_k(t_i)$ would yield a contradiction for the last t_i in the list. □

References

- [Beh46] F. A. Behrend. “On Sets of Integers Which Contain No Three Terms in Arithmetical Progression”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 32.12 (1946), pp. 331–332. DOI: [10.1073/pnas.32.12.331](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.32.12.331). URL: <https://www.pnas.org/content/32/12/331>.
- [Blo12] Thomas F. Bloom. “Translation invariant equations and the method of Sanders”. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 44.5 (2012), pp. 1050–1067. DOI: [10.1112/blms/bds045](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/bds045). URL: <https://londmathsoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1112/blms/bds045>.
- [Gow01] W. T. Gowers. “A new proof of Szemerédi’s theorem”. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 11.3 (2001), 465–588 (2001), erratum 11, no. 4, 869. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-001-0332-9>.
- [KSS75] J. Komlós, M. Sulyok, and E. Szemerédi. “Linear problems in combinatorial number theory”. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica* 26 (1975), pp. 113–121. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01895954>.
- [OBr13] K. O’Bryant. “Thick Subsets that Do Not Contain Arithmetic Progressions”. *Integers* 13 (2013), A18. DOI: [10.1515/9783110298161.249](https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110298161.249). arXiv: [0912.1494](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.1494) [math.NT].

- [Shk06] Ilya Shkredov. “Szemerédi’s theorem and problems on arithmetic progressions”. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 61 (Jan. 2006), pp. 111–178. DOI: [10.4213/rm5293](https://doi.org/10.4213/rm5293).
- [TV06] T. Tao and V. Vu. “Additive Combinatorics”. Vol. 105. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.

A.S. Semchankau
The Steklov Institute of Mathematics
119991, Russian Federation, Moscow, Ulitsa Gubkina, 8
aliaksei.semchankau@gmail.com