

EDGE RINGS WITH q -LINEAR RESOLUTIONS

KENTA MORI, HIDEFUMI OHSUGI AND AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we give a complete classification of connected simple graphs whose edge rings have a q -linear resolution with $q \geq 2$. In particular, we show that the edge ring of a finite connected simple graph with a q -linear resolution, where $q \geq 3$, is a hypersurface, which was conjectured by Hibi, Matsuda, and Tsuchiya.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and $K[\mathbf{x}] := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring with n variables over K . For a finite simple graph G on the vertex set $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, the *edge ring* $K[G]$ of G is the K -subalgebra of $K[\mathbf{x}]$ generated by the quadratic monomials $x_i x_j$ corresponding to the edges $\{i, j\}$ of G . Recently, edge rings and the associated lattice polytopes, which are called *edge polytopes*, have been studied from the viewpoints of combinatorics, graph theory, geometric algebra, and commutative algebra. In particular, there has been significant interest in understanding the minimal free resolutions of $K[G]$ for several classes of graphs [2, 6, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 18]. We are interested in which edge ring has a q -linear resolution, where $q \geq 2$. Previously, in [15], Hibi and the second author gave an algebraic characterization of finite connected simple graphs whose edge rings have 2-linear resolutions.

Proposition 1.1 ([15, Theorem 4.6]). *Let G be a finite connected simple graph on $[n]$. Then $K[G]$ has a 2-linear resolution if and only if $K[G]$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in $n - 2\delta$ variables over the edge ring $K[K_{2,\delta}]$ of the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,\delta}$.*

Furthermore, in [9], Hibi, Matsuda, and the third author showed an algebraic property of finite connected simple graphs whose edge rings have 3-linear resolutions.

Proposition 1.2 ([9, Theorem 0.1]). *The edge ring of a finite connected simple graph with a 3-linear resolution is a hypersurface.*

In addition, they conjectured that the edge ring of a finite connected simple graph with a q -linear resolution, where $q \geq 4$, is a hypersurface ([9, Conjecture 0.2]). Recently, this conjecture has been proved for the cases of chordal graphs [11] and bipartite graphs [18].

In the present paper, we prove this conjecture for any finite connected simple graph.

Theorem 1.3. *The edge ring of a finite connected simple graph with a q -linear resolution, where $q \geq 4$, is a hypersurface.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E40; 13H10; 52B20.

Key words and phrases. finite graph, edge ring, linear resolution, δ -polynomial.

Moreover, using Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we give a complete classification of connected simple graphs whose edge rings have a q -linear resolution with $q \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finite connected simple graph. Then $K[G]$ has a 2-linear resolution if and only if G satisfies one of the following:*

- (i) G is obtained by adding some trees to a complete bipartite graph $K_{2,\delta}$.
- (ii) G is a non-bipartite graph and obtained by adding one edge to a graph satisfying condition (i) above.

A vertex v of a connected graph G is called a *cut vertex* if the graph obtained by the removal of v from G is disconnected. Given a graph G , a *block* of G is a maximal connected subgraph of G with no cut vertices.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $q \geq 3$, and let G be a finite connected simple graph with non-edge blocks B_1, \dots, B_s . Then $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution if and only if G satisfies one of the following:*

- (i) $s = 1$ and B_1 is an even cycle of length $2q$.
- (ii) $s = 1$ and B_1 is a non-bipartite graph obtained by adding a path to an even cycle of length $2q$.
- (iii) $s = 2$ and B_1 is an even cycle of length $2q$, and B_2 is an odd cycle, or vice versa.
- (iv) $s = 2$ and B_1 and B_2 are odd cycles having one common vertex. In addition, $r_1 + r_2 = 2q$, where r_i is the length of B_i .
- (v) $s = 2$ and B_1 and B_2 are odd cycles without a common vertex. In addition, the length of the shortest path from a vertex of B_1 to a vertex of B_2 is $q - (r_1 + r_2)/2$, where r_i is the length of B_i .

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of q -linear resolutions, and give necessary conditions for the edge rings to have q -linear resolutions. In particular, the degree of the edge polytopes is important (Lemma 2.4). In Section 3, in order to give several necessary conditions for the edge rings to have q -linear resolutions, we study the degree of the edge polytopes and the minimal set of generators of toric ideals of edge rings. Finally, in Section 4, we give proofs for Theorems 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5.

2. TORIC RINGS WITH q -LINEAR RESOLUTIONS

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K with each $\deg x_i = 1$. Let $0 \neq I \subset S$ be a homogeneous ideal of S and

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} S(-j)^{\beta_{h,j}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} S(-j)^{\beta_{1,j}} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0$$

a (unique) graded minimal free S -resolution of S/I . The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* of S/I is

$$\text{reg}(S/I) = \max\{j - i : \beta_{i,j} \neq 0\}.$$

We say that S/I has a q -linear resolution if $\beta_{i,j} = 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq h$ and for each $j \neq q + i - 1$. If S/I has a q -linear resolution, then

- $\text{reg}(S/I) = q - 1$ and
- I is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree q .

See, e.g., [3] and [7] for detailed information about regularity and linear resolutions. In addition, the following necessary condition is known.

Lemma 2.1 ([4, Proposition 1.9 (d)]). *If S/I has a q -linear resolution, then the number of generators of I is at least $\binom{c+q-1}{c-1}$, where c is the codimension of S/I .*

A lattice polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a polytope such that any vertex of \mathcal{P} belongs to \mathbb{Z}^n . Let $K[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}, s] = K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, s]$ be a Laurent polynomial ring in $n + 1$ variables over a field K . If $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$, then the toric ring $K[\mathcal{P}]$ of \mathcal{P} is the K -subalgebra of $K[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}, s]$ generated by the monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1} s, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_m} s \in K[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}, s]$. Furthermore, the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ of \mathcal{P} is the defining ideal of $K[\mathcal{P}]$, i.e., the kernel of a surjective ring homomorphism $\pi : K[y_1, \dots, y_m] \rightarrow K[\mathcal{P}]$ defined by $\pi(y_i) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i} s$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. It is known that $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ is generated by homogeneous binomials. See [7, Chapter 3] for an introduction to toric rings and ideals.

Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be lattice polytopes in \mathbb{R}^n . The toric ring $K[\mathcal{P}']$ is called a *combinatorial pure subring* of the toric ring $K[\mathcal{P}]$ if \mathcal{P}' is a face of \mathcal{P} . Although this definition is different from that in [14], they are equivalent (see [13]). It is known [14, Corollary 2.5] that, if $K[\mathcal{P}']$ is a combinatorial pure subring of $K[\mathcal{P}]$, then

$$\beta_{i,j}(I_{\mathcal{P}'}) \leq \beta_{i,j}(I_{\mathcal{P}})$$

for all i and j . Thus we have the following immediately.

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be lattice polytopes such that $K[\mathcal{P}']$ is a combinatorial pure subring of $K[\mathcal{P}]$. Suppose that $K[\mathcal{P}']$ is not isomorphic to a polynomial ring. If $K[\mathcal{P}]$ has a q -linear resolution, then so does $K[\mathcal{P}']$.*

Let G be a finite simple graph on the vertex set $[n]$ with the edge set $E(G)$. Given an edge $e = \{i, j\} \in E(G)$, we set $\rho(e) = \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Here \mathbf{e}_i is the i -th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The edge polytope \mathcal{P}_G of G is the convex hull of

$$\{\rho(e) : e \in E(G)\}.$$

Then the toric ring $K[\mathcal{P}_G]$ of \mathcal{P}_G is isomorphic to the edge ring $K[G]$ of G . A subgraph G' of a graph G is called an *induced subgraph* of G if there exists $V \subset [n]$ such that G' is a graph on the vertex set V and the edge set

$$\{\{i, j\} \in E(G) : i, j \in V\}.$$

It is easy to see that, if G' is an induced subgraph of a graph G , then $\mathcal{P}_{G'}$ is a face of \mathcal{P}_G . Thus we have the following.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite connected graph and let G' be an induced subgraph of G . Suppose that $K[G']$ is not isomorphic to a polynomial ring. If $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution, then so does $K[G']$.*

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice polytope of dimension d . Then the δ -polynomial (or h^* -polynomial) of \mathcal{P} is a polynomial in λ defined by

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}, \lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^{d+1} \left(1 + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} |t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \lambda^t \right),$$

where $t\mathcal{P} = \{t\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P}\}$. It is known that each coefficient of $\delta(\mathcal{P}, \lambda)$ is a nonnegative integer and the degree of $\delta(\mathcal{P}, \lambda)$ is at most d . Let $\deg(\mathcal{P}) = \deg(\delta(\mathcal{P}, \lambda))$ and set $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}) = d + 1 - \deg(\mathcal{P})$. Then

$$\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}) = \min\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : \text{int}(r\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \emptyset\},$$

where $\text{int}(r\mathcal{P})$ is the relative interior of $r\mathcal{P}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , holds in general. See, e.g., [8, Part II] for detailed information. With some conditions, the degree of \mathcal{P} gives a lower bound for $\text{reg}(K[\mathcal{P}])$. In fact, the following is known.

Lemma 2.4 ([9, Corollaries 3.2 and 3.4]). *Let G be a finite connected graph and let G' be a subgraph of G . Then we have $\deg \mathcal{P}_{G'} \leq \deg \mathcal{P}_G \leq \text{reg}(K[G])$.*

Recall that, if $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution, then $\text{reg}(K[G]) = q - 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4, it turns out that $\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) < q$ is a necessary condition for $K[G]$ to have a q -linear resolution.

3. EDGE POLYTOPES AND EDGE RINGS

In this section, we study the degree of edge polytopes and the set of generators of the toric ideal of edge rings. The results in the present section give necessary conditions for $K[G]$ to have a q -linear resolution.

3.1. Dimension of edge polytopes. First, we introduce a result on graphs with a small cyclotomic number since the cyclotomic number is related to the codimension of $K[G]$. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $c(G) = m - n + 1$ is called the *cyclotomic number* (or the *circuit rank*) of G . Note that a connected graph G satisfies $c(G) = 0$ if and only if G is a tree. A connected graph with $c(G) = 1, 2, 3$ is said to be *unicyclic*, *bicyclic*, and *tricyclic*, respectively. It is known [1] that the number of the cycles in a connected graph G is at least $c(G)$ and at most $2^{c(G)} - 1$. In particular, a connected graph is unicyclic if and only if it has exactly one cycle. The *edge subdivision operation* for an edge $e = \{u, v\}$ of a graph G is the deletion of e from G and the addition of two edges $\{u, w\}$ and $\{w, v\}$ along with the new vertex w . A graph obtained from a graph G by a sequence of edge subdivision operations is called a *subdivision* of G . Bicyclic and tricyclic graphs are characterized as follows.

Proposition 3.1 (e.g., [19]). *Let G be a connected simple graph.*

- (a) *G is bicyclic if and only if G is obtained by adding some trees to a graph G_0 , where G_0 is a subdivision of one of the multigraphs in Fig. 1.*
- (b) *G is tricyclic if and only if G is obtained by adding some trees to a graph G_0 , where G_0 is a subdivision of one of the multigraphs in Fig. 2.*

The following proposition is essentially proved in [5, Theorem 2.5].






FIGURE 1. Multigraphs for bicyclic graphs [19]



H_1

H_2


H_3



H_4

H_5


H_6



H_7

H_8


H_9



H_{10}

H_{11}

H_{12}



H_{13}

H_{14}

H_{15}

FIGURE 2. Multigraphs for tricyclic graphs [19]

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a finite graph with n vertices. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_G = n - r(G) - 1,$$

where $r(G)$ is the number of connected components of G that are bipartite.

In particular, if G is a connected graph with n vertices and m edges, then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(K[G]) &= \dim \mathcal{P}_G + 1 = n - r(G), \\ \text{codim}(K[G]) &= m - \dim(K[G]) = m - n + r(G) = \begin{cases} c(G) & G \text{ is bipartite,} \\ c(G) - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $K[G]$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring;
- (ii) $\text{codim}(K[G]) = 0$;
- (iii) \mathcal{P}_G is a simplex.

Graphs satisfying such conditions are completely classified.

Proposition 3.3 ([7, Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6]). *Let G be a finite connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $K[G]$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring if and only if G satisfies one of the following:*

- (a) $m = n - 1$ and G is a tree;
- (b) $m = n$ and G has exactly one cycle C . In addition, C is an odd cycle.

3.2. Degree of edge polytopes. In the present subsection, we give several sufficient conditions for graphs G to satisfy $\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq q$. The following two lemmas are given in [18].

Lemma 3.4 ([18, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2]). *Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer. If G has two even cycles C_1 and C_2 of length $2q$ having at most one common vertex, then we have $\deg \mathcal{P}_G \geq q$.*

Lemma 3.5 ([18, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4]). *Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer. If G has a bipartite subgraph obtained by adding a path to an even cycle, and if the length of every cycle in G is $2q$, then we have $\deg \mathcal{P}_G \geq q$.*

We now give two important lemmas about sufficient conditions for graphs G to satisfy $\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq q$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer. If G has an even cycle of length $> 2q$, then we have $\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq q$.*

Proof. Let $C = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2r})$ be an even cycle in G of length $2r > 2q$. Then the dimension of \mathcal{P}_C is $2r - 2$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(C)} \rho(e) = \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{i_{2r}} \in \text{int}(r\mathcal{P}_C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{2r},$$

we have $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_C) \leq r$. By Lemma 2.4, $\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq 2r - 2 + 1 - r = r - 1 \geq q$. \square

A vertex v of a connected graph G is called a *cut vertex* if the graph obtained by the removal of v from G is disconnected. Given a graph G , a *block* of G is a maximal connected subgraph of G with no cut vertices.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer. Let G be a connected tricyclic graph having at least one even cycle. If the length of every even cycle in G is $2q$, then we have $\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq q$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 (b), there exists a subgraph G_0 of G that is a subdivision of a graph H in Fig. 2. Let C and C' be even cycles in G_0 . By Lemma 3.4, we may assume that C and C' have at least two common vertices. If $C \cup C'$ is a bicyclic graph, then $C \cup C'$ is a graph appearing in Lemma 3.5 and hence $\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq q$. Hence we may assume that

(*) If C and C' are even cycles in G_0 , then C and C' have at least two common vertices, and $C \cup C'$ is not bicyclic.

Case 1. ($H = H_1, \dots, H_7$.) Then G has exactly three cycles. By condition (*), we may assume that G has one even cycle $C_1 = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2q})$ of length $2q$ and two odd cycles $C_2 = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{2r+1})$ and $C_3 = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2s+1})$. Let $H' = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$. Then the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_{H'}$ is $2q + 2r + 2s - t$ for some $t \in \{0, 1\}$. Since all of

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(C_1)} \rho(e) = \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{i_{2q}} \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(C_2)} \rho(e) = \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{j_{2r+1}} \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(C_3)} \rho(e) = \mathbf{e}_{k_1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{k_{2s+1}}\end{aligned}$$

are integer vectors, we have

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma \in \text{int}((q+r+s+1)\mathcal{P}_{H'}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(H')|}.$$

Hence $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{H'}) \leq q + r + s + 1$. By Lemma 2.4,

$$\deg(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq 2q + 2r + 2s - t + 1 - q - r - s - 1 = q + r + s - t \geq q.$$

Case 2. ($H = H_8, H_9, H_{10}, H_{11}$.) Then G_0 has exactly two blocks B_1 and B_2 where B_1 is a cycle and B_2 is obtained by adding a path to a cycle. Note that B_2 contains an even cycle $C_1 = (e_1, \dots, e_{2q})$. By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we may assume that B_1 is an odd cycle and B_2 is not bipartite. Let C_2 be one of two odd cycles in B_2 . Let G' be a subgraph $B_1 \cup B_2$ of G . Then the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_{G'}$ is $2q + |E(B_1 \cup C_2)| - |E(C_1 \cap C_2)| - 2 - t$ for some $t \in \{0, 1\}$. Since all of

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(B_1 \cup C_2)} \rho(e) \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^q \rho(e_{2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^q \rho(e_{2i}) \\ \gamma &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ e_{2i} \in E(C_2)}} \rho(e_{2i})\end{aligned}$$

are integer vectors, and $1/2 + 2/3 - 1 = 1/6 > 0$,

$$\alpha + \beta - \gamma \in \text{int}(r\mathcal{P}_{G'}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{|V(G')|},$$




FIGURE 3. H_{12}, \dots, H_{15}

where $r = q + |E(B_1 \cup C_2)|/2 - |E(C_1 \cap C_2)|$. Hence we have $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{G'}) \leq r$. By Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\mathcal{P}_G) &\geq 2q + |E(B_1 \cup C_2)| - |E(C_1 \cap C_2)| - 2 - t + 1 - r \\ &= q + |E(B_1 \cup C_2)|/2 - 1 - t \\ &\geq q. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. ($H = H_{12}, H_{13}, H_{14}, H_{15}$.)

Note that, if C and C' are odd cycles in G_0 having at least one common edge such that $C \cup C'$ is a bicyclic graph, then $C \cup C'$ contains an even cycle. Hence by condition (*), it follows that any C_{ij} in Fig. 3 is an odd cycle except for C_{12} , C_{22} , and C_{32} . If C_{i2} is an even cycle, then we replace C_{i2} with the odd cycle whose edge set is $E(C_{i1}) \cup E(C_{i2}) \setminus (E(C_{i1}) \cap E(C_{i2}))$. Thus we may assume that any C_{ij} in Fig. 3 is an odd cycle.

Case 3.1. ($H = H_{12}$, $a + a'$ is odd.)

We may assume that a is odd and a' is even. Let $a = 2s + 1$ and $a' = 2t$. Given positive integers k, ℓ, k' , and ℓ' with

$$2k + 1 + 2\ell + a + a' = 2k' + 2\ell' + 1 + a + a' = 2q,$$

let G_0 be a graph on the vertex set $[m' - 2]$ with the edge set $E(G_0) = \{e_1, \dots, e_{m'}\}$, where $m' = 2q + 2k' + 2\ell' + 1$ and

$$e_i = \begin{cases} \{i, i+1\} & 1 \leq i \leq 2q-1, \\ \{1, i\} & i = 2q, 2q+1, \\ \{i-1, i\} & 2q+2 \leq i \leq 2q+2k'-1, \\ \{2q+2k'-1, 2k+2\} & i = 2q+2k', \\ \{2k+1+a+1, 2q+2k'\} & i = 2q+2k'+1, \\ \{i-2, i-1\} & 2q+2k'+2 \leq i \leq 2q+2k'+2\ell', \\ \{2q+2k'+2\ell'-1, 2q-a'+1\} & i = 2q+2k'+2\ell'+1. \end{cases}$$

See Fig. 4. Then one has $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) = 2q + 2k' + 2\ell' - 1 - 1 = 2q + 2k + 2\ell - 2$. Since




FIGURE 4. Case 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{2\ell} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \left(\frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{2q+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2q+2i}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell'} \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2q+2k'+2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{2q+2k'+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2q+2k'+2\ell'+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2s+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^t \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+2i}) \right) \\
& = 2\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{m'-2} \in \text{int}((k + \ell + k' + \ell' + s + t + 1) \mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m'-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \leq q + k + \ell$. Hence it follows that

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \geq 2q + 2k + 2\ell - 2 + 1 - q - k - \ell = q + k + \ell - 1 \geq q.$$

Case 3.2. ($H = H_{12}$, $a + a'$ is even.)

Given positive integers $k, \ell, k',$ and ℓ' with

$$2k + 1 + 2\ell + 1 + a + a' = 2k' + 2\ell' + a + a' = 2q,$$

let G_0 be a graph on the vertex set $[m' - 2]$ with the edge set $E(G_0) = \{e_1, \dots, e_{m'}\}$, where $m' = 2q + 2k' + 2\ell'$ and

$$e_i = \begin{cases} \{i, i+1\} & 1 \leq i \leq 2q-1, \\ \{1, i\} & i = 2q, 2q+1 \\ \{i-1, i\} & 2q+2 \leq i \leq 2q+2k'-1, \\ \{2q+2k'-1, 2k+2\} & i = 2q+2k', \\ \{2k+1+a+1, 2q+2k'\} & i = 2q+2k'+1, \\ \{i-2, i-1\} & 2q+2k'+2 \leq i \leq 2q+2k'+2\ell'-1, \\ \{2q+2k'+2\ell'-2, 2q-a'+1\} & i = 2q+2k'+2\ell'. \end{cases}$$

See Fig. 5. Then one has $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) = 2q + 2k' + 2\ell' - 2 - 1 = 2q + 2k + 2\ell - 1$.




FIGURE 5. Case 3.2

Case 3.2.1. ($H = H_{12}$, $a = 2s + 1$, and $a' = 2t + 1$.)

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2k'} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2q+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2\ell'} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2q+2k'+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2s+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^t \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+1+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+1+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q}) \\
& = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{m'-2} \in \text{int}((k + \ell + k' + \ell' + s + t + 1) \mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m'-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \leq q + k + \ell$. Hence it follows that

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \geq 2q + 2k + 2\ell - 1 + 1 - q - k - \ell = q + k + \ell \geq q.$$

Case 3.2.2. ($H = H_{12}$, $a = 2s$, and $a' = 2t$.)

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2k'} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2q+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2\ell'} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2q+2k'+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{2i}) \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2i}) \right) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+2i}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^t \left(\frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+1+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2k+1+a+2\ell+1+2i}) \right) \\
& = \mathbf{e}_{2k+1+a+1} + \mathbf{e}_{2q-a'+1} + \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{m'-2} \\
& \in \text{int}((k + \ell + k' + \ell' + s + t + 1) \mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m'-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \leq q + k + \ell + 1$. Hence it follows that

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \geq 2q + 2k + 2\ell - 1 + 1 - q - k - \ell - 1 = q + k + \ell - 1 \geq q.$$

Case 3.3. ($H = H_{13}, H_{14}$.)

Since the arguments in Case 3.1 and Case 3.2.2 remain valid even if $a' = 0$, we have $\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq q$ when $H = H_{13}$. Furthermore, since the argument in Case 3.2.2 remains valid even if $a = a' = 0$, we have $\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_G) \geq q$ when $H = H_{14}$.

Case 3.4. ($H = H_{15}$.)

Let a, b, c, d, e , and f be the lengths of the paths of the graph G_0 in Fig. 6. Since the length of every even cycle in G_0 is $2q$, we have $a + b + e + f = b + c + d + e = c + a + d + f = 2q$. This gives $a + f = b + e = c + d = q$.




FIGURE 6. Case 3.4 (the length of each path)

Given positive integers $a, b,$ and c with $a, b, c < q$, let G_0 be a graph on $[3q - 2]$ with the edge set $E(G_0) = \{e_1, \dots, e_{3q}\}$, where

$$e_i = \begin{cases} \{0, v_{s_1}\} & i = 1, \\ \{v_{s_{i-1}}, v_{s_i}\} & 2 \leq i \leq q - 1 \text{ and } i \neq a + 1, \\ \{v_{t_b}, v_{s_{a+1}}\} & i = a + 1, \\ \{v_{s_{q-1}}, v_{k_c}\} & i = q, \\ \{0, v_{t_1}\} & i = q + 1, \\ \{v_{t_{i-1}}, v_{t_i}\} & q + 2 \leq i \leq 2q - 1 \text{ and } i \neq q + b + 1 \\ \{v_{k_c}, v_{t_{b+1}}\} & i = q + b + 1, \\ \{v_{t_{q-1}}, v_{s_a}\} & i = 2q, \\ \{0, v_{u_1}\} & i = 2q + 1, \\ \{v_{u_{i-1}}, v_{u_i}\} & 2q + 2 \leq i \leq 3q - 1 \text{ and } i \neq 2q + c + 1 \\ \{v_{s_a}, v_{u_{c+1}}\} & i = 2q + c + 1, \\ \{v_{u_{q-1}}, v_{t_b}\} & i = 3q. \end{cases}$$

See Fig. 7. Then one has $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) = 3q - 2 - 1 = 3q - 3$.

Case 3.4.1. (all of $a, b,$ and c are odd.)

Since each C_{4i} is an odd cycle, all of $q - a, q - b,$ and $q - c$ are odd. Let

$$(a, q - a, b, q - b, c, q - c) = (2a' + 1, 2\tilde{a} + 1, 2b' + 1, 2\tilde{b} + 1, 2c' + 1, 2\tilde{c} + 1).$$




FIGURE 7. Case 3.4

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2a'+1} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{2\tilde{a}+1} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2a'+1+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{b'} \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{q+2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{q+2i}) \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{q+2b'+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{b}} \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{q+2b'+1+2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{q+2b'+1+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2q}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{c'} \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2c'+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{c}} \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2c'+1+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2c'+1+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{3q}) \\
& \in \text{int}((a' + \tilde{a} + b' + \tilde{b} + c' + \tilde{c} + 2) \mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3q-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \leq \frac{3q-2}{2}$. Hence it follows that

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \geq 3q - 3 + 1 - \frac{3q-2}{2} = \frac{3q-2}{2} \geq q.$$

Case 3.4.2. (all of a , b , and c are even.)

Since each C_{4i} is an odd cycle, all of $q-a$, $q-b$, and $q-c$ are odd. Let

$$(a, q-a, b, q-b, c, q-c) = (2a', 2\tilde{a}+1, 2b', 2\tilde{b}+1, 2c', 2\tilde{c}+1).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2a'} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{2\tilde{a}+1} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2a'+1+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{b'} \left(\frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{q+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{q+2i}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{b}} \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{q+2b'+2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{q+2b'+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{2q}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{c'} \left(\frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2i}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{c}} \left(\frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2c'+2i-1}) + \frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2c'+2i}) \right) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{3q}) \\
& \in \text{int}((a' + \tilde{a} + b' + \tilde{b} + c' + \tilde{c} + 1) \mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3q-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \leq \frac{3q-1}{2}$. Hence it follows that

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \geq 3q - 3 + 1 - \frac{3q-1}{2} = \frac{3q-3}{2} \geq q.$$

Case 3.4.3 (at least one of a , b , and c is odd, and at least one of a , b , and c is even.)

If a and b are odd, and c is even, then $q-a$ and $q-b$ are even since each C_{4i} is an odd cycle. By considering c , $q-a$, and $q-b$ instead of a , b , and c , the case is reduced to Case 3.4.2. Thus we may assume that a is odd, and b and c are even. Since each C_{4i} is an odd cycle, $q-a$ is odd and $q-b$ and $q-c$ are even. Let

$$(a, q-a, b, q-b, c, q-c) = (2a'+1, 2\tilde{a}+1, 2b', 2\tilde{b}, 2c', 2\tilde{c}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2a'+1} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{2\tilde{a}+1} \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_{2a'+1+i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{b'} \left(\frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{q+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{q+2i}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{b}} \left(\frac{1}{3} \rho(e_{q+2b'+2i-1}) + \frac{2}{3} \rho(e_{q+2b'+2i}) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{c'} \left(\frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2i}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{c}} \left(\frac{5}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2c'+2i-1}) + \frac{1}{6} \rho(e_{2q+2c'+2i}) \right) \\
& \in \text{int}((a' + \tilde{a} + b' + \tilde{b} + c' + \tilde{c} + 1) \mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3q-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\text{codeg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \leq \frac{3q}{2}$. Hence it follows that

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}_{G_0}) \geq \left\lceil 3q - 3 + 1 - \frac{3q}{2} \right\rceil = q - 2 + \left\lceil \frac{q}{2} \right\rceil \geq q.$$

□

3.3. Toric ideals of edge rings. In the present subsection, we study the set of generators of the toric ideal of a graph. Let G be a finite connected graph. As explained in Section 2, the toric ring $K[\mathcal{P}_G]$ of \mathcal{P}_G is isomorphic to the edge ring $K[G]$ of G . Let G be a graph with m edges and let $I_G \subset K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ denote the toric ideal of \mathcal{P}_G . The toric ideal I_G has been discussed in many papers. See [7, Chapter 5] for details. In particular, the minimal set of generators of I_G has been described using graph theoretical terminology. A *walk* of G of length q connecting $v_1 \in V(G)$ and $v_{q+1} \in V(G)$ is a finite sequence of the form

$$\Gamma = (\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \dots, \{v_q, v_{q+1}\})$$

with each $\{v_k, v_{k+1}\} \in E(G)$. An *even walk* is a walk of even length and a *closed walk* is a walk such that $v_1 = v_{q+1}$. Given an even closed walk

$$\Gamma = (e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_{2q}})$$

of G with each $e_k \in E(G)$, we write f_Γ for the binomial

$$f_\Gamma = \prod_{k=1}^q y_{i_{2k-1}} - \prod_{k=1}^q y_{i_{2k}}$$

belonging to I_G , where $\pi(y_i) = \mathbf{x}^{\rho(e_i)}$.

Lemma 3.8 ([7, Lemma 5.9]). *The toric ideal I_G of a finite connected simple graph G is generated by $\{f_\Gamma : \Gamma \text{ is an even closed walk of } G\}$.*

A necessary condition for f_Γ to belong to a minimal set of binomial generators of I_G is as follows.

Proposition 3.9 ([7, Lemmas 5.10 and 5.11] and [12]). *Let Γ be an even closed walk of a graph G . If the binomial f_Γ belongs to a minimal set of binomial generators of I_G , then f_Γ is irreducible and Γ visits each vertex at most twice and satisfies one of the following:*

- (i) Γ is an even cycle;
- (ii) Γ consists of two odd cycles having exactly one common vertex;
- (iii) Γ consists of two odd cycles C and C' having no common vertices and two walks that join $v \in V(C)$ and $v' \in V(C')$.

Note that a complete characterization for a minimal set of binomial generators of I_G is given in [17].

Lemma 3.10. *Let $q \geq 3$. Suppose that $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution. Then the length of any even cycle in G is $2q$.*

Proof. Let C be an even cycle in G of length $2r$. If $2r < 2q$, then the degree of the binomial $f_C \in I_G$ is $r < q$, and hence $K[G]$ does not have a q -linear resolution. Suppose that $2r > 2q$. Then $K[G]$ does not have a q -linear resolution by Lemma 3.6. \square

4. GRAPHS WITH q -LINEAR RESOLUTIONS

In the present section, we give proofs for Theorems 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5. First, we give a characterization of a connected graph G such that $K[G]$ is a hypersurface (i.e., $\text{codim}(K[G]) = 1$).

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a finite connected graph with n vertices, m edges, and non-edge blocks B_1, \dots, B_s . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $K[G]$ is a hypersurface;
- (ii) $m = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } G \text{ is bipartite,} \\ n+1 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$
- (iii) G satisfies one of the following:
 - (a) $s = 1$ and B_1 is an even cycle;
 - (b) $s = 1$ and B_1 is a non-bipartite graph obtained by adding a path to an even cycle;
 - (c) $s = 2$ and B_1 and B_2 are cycles such that at least one of B_1 and B_2 is an odd cycle.

Proof. Let G be a connected graph. Since $\text{codim}(K[G]) = m - n + r(G)$, it follows that $\text{codim}(K[G]) = 1$ if and only if $m = n + 1 - r(G)$. Thus we have (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii).

If G is bipartite, then (ii) holds if and only if $c(G) = 0$ if and only if G has exactly one cycle. Hence G is a bipartite graph with n edges if and only if G satisfies condition (a). Thus (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) holds for bipartite graphs.

Suppose that G is not bipartite. Then (ii) holds if and only if G is bicyclic. By Proposition 3.1 (a), a non-bipartite graph G is bicyclic if and only if G satisfies either (b) or (c). Thus we have (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) holds for non-bipartite graphs. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $q \geq 3$. Suppose that a connected graph G has no even cycles. If $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution, then $K[G]$ is a hypersurface.*

Proof. Since G has no even cycles, it is well known that each block of G is either an edge or an odd cycle. If G has at most two odd cycles, then $K[G]$ is either a hypersurface or isomorphic to a polynomial ring.

Suppose that G has exactly three odd cycles. Then G is tricyclic, and we may assume that G is a subdivision of one of the graphs H_1, \dots, H_7 in Fig. 2. Since the codimension of $K[G]$ is $c = 2$, the number of generators of I_G is at least $\binom{c+q-1}{c-1} = q+1 \geq 4$ by Lemma 2.1. Then there exist at least four even closed walks of length $2q$ satisfying one of the conditions (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 3.9. Since G has exactly three odd cycles, there exists a pair of odd cycles (C, C') that yields two even closed walks Γ of length $2q$ satisfying condition (iii) of Proposition 3.9. Then G is a subdivision of one of the graphs H_1, H_3 , and H_4 in Fig. 2. Let $\Gamma_1 = (C, W_1, C', W_2)$ be an even closed walk of length $2q$ satisfying condition (iii) of Proposition 3.9. Since Γ_1 visits each vertex at most twice, and the sum of the length of W_1 and W_2 is even, it follows that W_1 is a path and W_2 is the reverse walk of W_1 . Let $\Gamma_2 = (C, W'_1, C', W'_2)$ be another even closed walk of length $2q$ satisfying condition (iii) of Proposition 3.9. Then W_1 and W'_1 have the same length. However, this is impossible since G is a subdivision of one of the graphs H_1, H_3 , and H_4 in Fig. 2 that has no even cycles. Thus I_G has at most three generators and hence $K[G]$ does not have a q -linear resolution.

If G has more than three odd cycles, then G has an induced connected subgraph G' with exactly three odd cycles. Since $K[G']$ does not have a q -linear resolution, $K[G]$ does not have a q -linear resolution by Proposition 2.3. \square

We are now in a position to prove main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution and is not a hypersurface. By Lemmas 3.10 and 4.2, G has at least one even cycle, and the length of any even cycle of G is $2q$. Moreover, from Lemma 3.4, there exists exactly one block B of G that contains an even cycle.

Suppose that B is an even cycle. Since $K[G]$ is not a hypersurface. G has at least two odd cycles C_1 and C_2 . Then there exists a tricyclic subgraph of G which contains $B \cup C_1 \cup C_2$. By Lemma 3.7, this is a contradiction.

Suppose that B is a nonbipartite graph obtained from an even cycle by joining two vertices by a path. If G has no blocks consisting of an odd cycle, then $K[G]$ is a hypersurface. Suppose that G has a block B' consisting of an odd cycle. Then there exists a tricyclic subgraph of G which contains $B \cup B'$. By Lemma 3.7, this is a contradiction.

Thus the cyclotomic number of B satisfies $c(B) \geq 3$. Then there exists a subgraph H of B such that H is a tricyclic graph having an even cycle. By Lemma 3.7, this is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. In the proof of [15, Theorem 4.6], it was shown that $K[G]$ has a 2-linear resolution if and only if G has a subgraph $K_{2,\delta}$ and $I_G = I_{K_{2,\delta}}K[x_{2\delta+1}, \dots, x_m]$ by changing the indices of variables if needed.

If G satisfies condition (i), then each edge $e \in E(G) \setminus E(K_{2,\delta})$ is not contained in any cycle of G . Since G is bipartite, I_G is generated by f_Γ where Γ is an even cycle of G by Proposition 3.9. Hence $I_G = I_{K_{2,\delta}}K[x_{2\delta+1}, \dots, x_m]$. Suppose that G satisfies condition (ii). Let G' be a subgraph of G obtained by removing e from G which satisfies condition (i). Since G' is a bipartite graph with n vertices and $m-1$ edges, we have $\text{codim}(K[G']) = (m-1) - n + 1 = m - n = \text{codim}(K[G])$. Hence we have $I_G = I_{G'}K[x_m]$ where x_m corresponds to the edge e . Since G' satisfies condition (i), we have $I_G = I_{G'}K[x_m] = I_{K_{2,\delta}}K[x_{2\delta+1}, \dots, x_m]$.

Suppose that $K[G]$ has a 2-linear resolution. Then G has a subgraph $K_{2,\delta}$ and

$$\text{codim}(K[G]) = \text{codim}(K[K_{2,\delta}]) = |E(K_{2,\delta})| - |V(K_{2,\delta})| + 1.$$

Let B_1, \dots, B_s be the set of all blocks of G , where B_1 contains $K_{2,\delta}$. Then

$$\text{codim}(K[G]) = r(G) - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^s (|E(B_i)| - |V(B_i)| + 1).$$

Note that $|E(B_1)| - |V(B_1)| + 1 \geq |E(K_{2,\delta})| - |V(K_{2,\delta})| + 1$ and each $|E(B_i)| - |V(B_i)| + 1$ is a nonnegative integer.

If G is bipartite, then $|E(B_1)| - |V(B_1)| + 1 = |E(K_{2,\delta})| - |V(K_{2,\delta})| + 1$ and $|E(B_i)| - |V(B_i)| + 1 = 0$ for any $i \geq 2$. Hence $B_1 = K_{2,\delta}$ and B_i is an edge for any $i \geq 2$. Thus this is equivalent to satisfying condition (i).

If G is not bipartite, then there exists an odd cycle C . Let e be an edge of C which is not contained in $K_{2,\delta}$, and let G' be a subgraph of G obtained by removing e . Since $I_G = I_{K_{2,\delta}}K[x_{2\delta+1}, \dots, x_m]$, we have $m - n = \text{codim}(K[G]) = \text{codim}(K[G']) = m - 1 - n + r(G')$. Thus G' should be a bipartite graph such that $K[G']$ has a 2-linear resolution. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. From Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 4.1, $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution if and only if I_G is generated by a binomial of degree q , and G satisfies one of conditions (a), (b), and (c) of Proposition 4.1. From Proposition 3.9, we have the following:

- (i) If $s = 1$ and B_1 is an even cycle, then I_G is generated by a binomial of degree $\ell/2$, where ℓ is the length of B_1 . Thus $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution if and only if $\ell = 2q$.
- (ii) If $s = 1$ and B_1 is a non-bipartite graph obtained by adding a path to an even cycle, then I_G is generated by a binomial of degree $\ell/2$, where ℓ is the length of the even cycle. Thus $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution if and only if $\ell = 2q$.
- (iii) If $s = 2$, B_1 is an even cycle, and B_2 is an odd cycle, then I_G is generated by a binomial of degree $\ell/2$, where ℓ is the length of B_1 . Thus $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution if and only if $\ell = 2q$.
- (iv) Suppose that $s = 2$ and B_1 and B_2 are odd cycles having one common vertex. Let r_i be the length of B_i . Then I_G is generated by a binomial of degree $r_1 + r_2$. Thus $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution if and only if $r_1 + r_2 = 2q$.
- (v) Suppose that $s = 2$ and B_1 and B_2 are odd cycles without a common vertex. Let r_i be the length of B_i and let ℓ be the length of the shortest path from a vertex of B_1 to a vertex of B_2 . Then I_G is generated by a binomial of degree $r_1 + r_2 + 2\ell$. Thus $K[G]$ has a q -linear resolution if and only if $r_1 + r_2 + 2\ell = 2q$.

Hence we have the desired conclusion. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors were partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 18H01134, 19K14505, and 19J00312.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Ahrens, Über das Gleichungssystem einer Kirchhoffschen galvanischen Stromverzweigung, *Math. Ann.* **49** (1897), 311–324.
- [2] J. Biermann, A. O’Keefe and A. Van Tuyl, Bounds on the regularity of toric ideals of graphs, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **85** (2017), 84–102.
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay Rings, Revised Ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [4] D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity, *J. Algebra* **88** (1984), 89–133.
- [5] J. W. Grossman, D. M. Kulkarni and I.E. Schochetman, On the minors of an incidence matrix and its Smith normal form, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **218** (1995), 213–224.
- [6] H. T. Há, S. Kara and A. O’Keefe, Algebraic properties of toric rings of graphs, *Comm. Alg.* **47** (2019), 1–16.
- [7] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, “Binomial ideals”, Graduate Texts in Math. **279**, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [8] T. Hibi, “Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes,” Carlsaw Publications, Glebe, N.S.W., Australia, 1992.
- [9] T. Hibi, K. Matsuda and A. Tsuchiya, Edge rings with 3-linear resolutions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), 3225–3232.
- [10] Z. Greif and J. McCullough, Green–Lazarsfeld condition for the toric edge ideals of bipartite graphs, *J. Alg.* **562** (2020), 1–27.

- [11] R. Nandi and R. Nanduri, On regularity bounds and linear resolutions of toric algebras of graphs, *J. Commut. Alg.*, (2020), published online.
- [12] M. Ogawa, H. Hara and A. Takemura, Graver basis for an undirected graph and its application to testing the beta model of random graphs, *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* **65** (2013), 191–212.
- [13] H. Ohsugi, A geometric definition of combinatorial pure subrings and Gröbner bases of toric ideals of positive roots, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* **56** (2007), 27–44.
- [14] H. Ohsugi, J. Herzog and T. Hibi Combinatorial pure subrings, *Osaka J. Math.* **37** (2000), 745–757.
- [15] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Toric Ideals Generated by Quadratic Binomials, *J. Alg.* **218** (1999), 509–527.
- [16] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Koszul bipartite graphs, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **22** (1999), 25–28.
- [17] E. Reyes, C. Tatakis and A. Thoma, Minimal generators of toric ideals of graphs, *Adv. Applied Math.* **48** (2012), 64–78.
- [18] A. Tsuchiya, Edge rings of bipartite graphs with linear resolutions, *J. Algebra Appl.*, (2020), published online.
- [19] V.A. Voblyi, A.K. Meleshko, Enumeration of labeled outerplanar bicyclic and tricyclic graphs, *J. Appl. Ind. Math.* **11** (2017), 296–303.

KENTA MORI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337, JAPAN
Email address: k-mori@kwansei.ac.jp

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337, JAPAN
Email address: ohsugi@kwansei.ac.jp

AKIYOSHI TSUCHIYA, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN
Email address: akiyoshi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp