Learning Hard Retrieval Cross Attention for Transformer

Hongfei Xu^{1,2} Qiuhui Liu³

¹Saarland University / Saarland, Germany
²German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence / Saarland, Germany
³China Mobile Online Services / Henan, China
{hfxunlp, liuqhano}@foxmail.com

Abstract

The Transformer translation model that based on the multi-head attention mechanism can be parallelized easily and lead to competitive performance in machine translation. The multihead attention network performs the scaled dot-product attention function in parallel, empowering the model by jointly attending to information from different representation subspaces at different positions. Though its advantages in parallelization, many previous works suggest the computation of the attention mechanism is not sufficiently efficient, especially when processing long sequences, and propose approaches to improve its efficiency with long sentences. In this paper, we accelerate the inference of the scaled dot-product attention in another perspective. Specifically, instead of squeezing the sequence to attend, we simplify the computation of the scaled dot-product attention by learning a hard retrieval attention which only attends to one token in the sentence rather than all tokens. Since the hard attention mechanism only attends to one position, the matrix multiplication between attention probabilities and the value sequence in the standard scaled dot-product attention can be replaced by a simple and efficient retrieval operation. As a result, our hard retrieval attention mechanism can empirically accelerate the scaled dotproduct attention for both long and short sequences by 66.5%, while performing competitively in a wide range of machine translation tasks when using for cross attention networks.

1 Introduction

The Transformer translation model (Vaswani et al., 2017), which has outperformed previous RNN/CNN based sequence-to-sequence models, is based on multi-head attention networks. The multi-head attention mechanism, which computes several scaled dot-product attention in parallel, can be more efficiently parallelized at sequence level

than RNNs (Sutskever et al., 2014; Bahdanau et al., 2015), while addressing the drawback of CNNs (Gehring et al., 2017) which can only model contexts inside a fixed window.

Even though the advantages in parallelization of the multi-head attention mechanism, recent studies (Zhang et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2019; Kitaev et al., 2020; Katharopoulos et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Beltagy et al., 2020; Child et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020) suggest that the computation the scaled dot-product attention is not sufficiently efficient, especially when handling very long sequences, due to the quadratic increasing size of the attention matrix.

In this paper, we study to accelerate the inference of the scaled dot-product attention in another perspective. Specifically, we propose to learn a hard retrieval attention which only attends to one position in the sequence rather than all tokens to simplify the computation of the scaled dot-product attention. Since the hard attention mechanism only attends to one token, the matrix multiplication between attention probabilities and the value sequence in the standard scaled dot-product attention can be achieved by a simple and efficient retrieval operation.

Our contributions are as follows:

- We propose an approach to learn hard retrieval attention. By attending with an efficient indexing operation, our approach accelerates the standard scaled dot-product attention by 66.5% with both long and short sequences.
- We empirically show that using the hard retrieval attention mechanism for cross attention networks can lead to comparable performances on a wide range of machine translation tasks.

2 Background: the Scaled Dot-Product Attention

The multi-head attention network heavily employed by the Transformer translation model consists of h parallel scaled dot-product attention, where h is the number of attention heads.

The scaled dot-product attention mechanism takes three inputs: the query sequence Q, the key sequence K and the value sequence V.

It first compares each vector in Q with all vectors in K by dot-product computation to generate the attention score matrix S:

$$S = QK^T \tag{1}$$

where T indicates matrix transformation.

Next, the scaled dot-product attention scales and normalizes S into attention probabilities P:

$$P = softmax(\frac{S}{\sqrt{d_k}}) \tag{2}$$

where d_k is the dimension of vectors of K.

In the end, it accumulates the value sequence V with the normalized attention probabilities P as the attention result:

$$Attention(Q, K, V) = PV$$
 (3)

3 Hard Retrieval Attention

3.1 Training

3.1.1 Forward Propagation

When training the hard retrieval attention mechanism, we have to sharpen attention probability vectors of P (Eq. 2) into one-hot vectors:

$$P_{hard} = sharpen(P) \tag{4}$$

We achieve this goal by sampling, denote \overrightarrow{p}_j as the jth normalized vector of P, we use $p_{j,i}$ as the probability that the ith element of \overrightarrow{p}_j is turned to one. To strictly constrain that there is only one element that is turned into one and the others are all turned into zeros, we first perform cumulative sum over \overrightarrow{p}_j :

$$\overrightarrow{p}_{cumsum\ j} = p_{j,1}, ..., \sum_{x=1}^{i} p_{j,x}, ..., \sum_{x=1}^{n} p_{j,x}$$
 (5)

where i and n are the index of the ith element and the dimension of \overrightarrow{p}_{i} .

Next, we can construct n intervals based on $\overrightarrow{p}_{cumsum\ j}$:

$$[0, p_{j,1}], \dots, [\sum_{x=1}^{n-1} p_{j,x}, \sum_{x=1}^{n} p_{j,x}]$$
 (6)

Given these intervals, we sample a random number k from the uniform distribution U(0,1]. We select the interval that k belongs in Eq. 6, and set the corresponding element to one while the others to zeros as the result of the sharpened one-hot vector $\overrightarrow{p}_{hard\ j}$.

By applying the same process to all vectors of P, can we obtain P_{hard} . Since P_{hard} only consists of one-hot vectors, the corresponding accumulation operation in Eq. 3 can be achieved efficiently by indexing. Specifically, we first take the index i_{max} j of the vector $\overrightarrow{p}_{hard}$ j in P_{hard} :

$$i_{max \ j} = argmax(\overrightarrow{p}_{hard \ j})$$
 (7)

where argmax results in the index of the largest element of a vector.

Next, we obtain the hard attention result with a simple retrieval operation on V:

$$Attention_{Hard}(Q, K, V)[j] = V[i_{max\ i}]$$
 (8)

3.1.2 Gradient Computation

To compute gradients for the hard attention mechanism, we address the non-differentiability of the sharpening operation in the forward propagation by regarding it as a noise process:

$$P_{hard} = P + Noise (9)$$

where Noise stands for the noise introduced by the sharpening operation.

Thus, we pass the gradients P_{hard}^g to P_{hard} directly to P to fix the chain rule for back propagation:

$$P^g = P_{hard}^g \tag{10}$$

where P^g stands for the gradient of P.

Since the retrieval operation of the value sequence V with the matrix P_{hard} consisted of one-hot vectors is equivalent to the matrix-multiplication between P_{hard} and V. Given the gradient of the hard attention result $Attention_{Hard}^g$, the gradients of P_{hard} and V can be computed by:

$$P_{hard}^g = Attention_{Hard}^g V^T \tag{11}$$

Operation		Costs	
Std	Std	Hard	
Compare (Eq. 1)		14.40	
Normalize (Eq. 2)	argmax (Eq. 13)	6.22	2.20
Attend (Eq. 3)	Index (Eq. 14)	12.26	3.15
Total		32.88	19.74

Table 1: Time costs (in seconds) of operations for 1000 iterations.

$$V^{g}[i] = \begin{cases} \sum Attention_{Hard}^{g}[j], & i = i_{max \ j} \\ 0, & others \end{cases}$$
(12)

where $V^g[i]$ is the *i*th row of the gradient matrix V^g of V.

For efficiency, we use the retrieval operation again instead of the matrix multiplication for the computation of V^g like in the forward pass.

3.2 Inference

Since the largest attention score in Eq. 1 corresponds to the largest probability after scaling and normalization in Eq. 2, we skip the computation of Eq. 2 and directly take the result of Eq. 1 for the computation of retrieval indexes during inference:

$$i_{max\ j} = argmax(\overrightarrow{s}_j)$$
 (13)

where \overrightarrow{s}_{j} stands for the *j*th row of *S*.

Next, we can obtain the hard attention results with $i_{max\ j}$ by retrieving:

$$Attention_{Hard}(Q, K, V)[j] = V[i_{max\ j}]$$
 (14)

3.3 Time Consumption Analysis

Comparing the inference of the standard scaled dotproduct attention with the hard retrieval attention, we suggest the latter is faster and more efficient than the first because:

- The operation to find the index of the element one in one-hot vector (in Eq. 13) in the hard retrieval attention is more efficient than the scaling and normalization (in Eq. 2) in the scaled dot-product attention.
- The operation to retrieve the corresponding vector in V with indexes in the hard retrieval attention is faster than the matrix multiplication in the standard attention.

We verified the efficiency by recording the time cost of involved operations of these two kinds of attention mechanisms during inference on the development set of the WMT 14 English-German news translation task with a single GTX 1080 Ti GPU under the Transformer Base setting. Results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that our hard retrieval attention is 1.67 times fast as the scaled dot-product attention.

4 Experiment

We implemented our approach based on the Neutron implementation of the Transformer (Xu and Liu, 2019). To show the effects of our approach on the 6-layer Transformer, we first conducted our experiments on the WMT 14 English to German and English to French news translation tasks to compare with Vaswani et al. (2017). Additionally, we also examined the impacts of our approach on the WMT 17 news translation tasks on a wide range of language pairs.

The concatenation of newstest 2012 and newstest 2013 was used for validation and newstest 2014 as test sets for the WMT 14 English to German and English to French news translation tasks. We used the pre-processed data for WMT 17 news translation tasks.¹

4.1 Settings

We applied joint Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE) (Sennrich et al., 2016) with 32k merging operations on both data sets to address the unknown word issue. We only kept sentences with a maximum of 256 subword tokens for training. Training sets were randomly shuffled in every training epoch.

Though Zhang et al. (2019); Xu et al. (2020a) suggest using a large batch size which may lead to improved performance, we used a batch size of 25k target tokens which was achieved through gradient

Inttp://data.statmt.org/wmt17/
translation-task/preprocessed/.

Models	En-De	En-Fr
Transformer Base with Hard Attn	27.55 27.51	39.54 39.39
Transformer Big with Hard Attn	28.63 28.42	41.52 41.81

Table 2: Results on WMT 14 En-De and En-Fr.

accumulation of small batches to fairly compare with previous work (Vaswani et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2020b). The training steps for Transformer Base and Transformer Big were 100k and 300k respectively following Vaswani et al. (2017).

The number of warm-up steps was set to 8k,² and each training batch contained at least 25k target tokens. We used a dropout of 0.1 for all experiments except for the Transformer Big on the En-De task which was 0.3. For the Transformer Base setting, the embedding dimension and the hidden dimension of the position-wise feed-forward neural network were 512 and 2,048 respectively, corresponding values for the Transformer Big setting were 1024 and 4096 respectively. Parameters were initialized under the Lipschitz Constraint (Xu et al., 2020b). We employed a label smoothing (Szegedy et al., 2016) value of 0.1. We used the Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with 0.9, 0.98 and 10^{-9} as β_1 , β_2 and ϵ . We followed Vaswani et al. (2017) for the other settings.

We used a beam size of 4 for decoding, and evaluated tokenized case-sensitive BLEU 3 with the averaged model of the last 5 checkpoints for the Transformer Base setting and 20 checkpoints for the Transformer Big setting saved with an interval of 1,500 training steps.

4.2 Main Results

We first examine the effects of using the hard retrieval attention mechanism for cross attention networks on the WMT 14 English-German and English-French task to compare with Vaswani et al. (2017), and results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that using the hard retrieval attention mechanism can lead comparable performances

Hard Attention	BLEU
None	27.55
Cross attention	27.51
Encoder self attention	23.88
Decoder self attention	20.14

Table 3: Results on using hard attention for different attention mechanisms.

on both tasks with both the Base setting and the Big setting.

4.3 Ablation Study

In our experiments, we only use the hard retrieval attention in cross attention works, but we also study applying it to both encoder self attention sub-layers and decoder self attention sub-layers on the WMT 14 En-De task, and results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that applying the hard retrieval attention mechanism to cross attention networks does not significantly hamper the BLEU score (-0.04). However, applying it to the encoder self attention networks and decoder self networks decrease BLEU scores by -0.87 and -7.65 respectively,

We conjecture the potential reason for the performance losses might be: the hard retrieval attention only attend one token, which means that the multihead attention mechanism using hard retrieval attention can attend at most the same number of positions as the number of attention heads. The cross attention network for alignment modeling between source and target tokens may not need to attend too many tokens, while the number of context tokens involved is more crucial for context-aware language modeling. Using more attention heads will increase the number of parameters and computational costs, we leave how to efficiently attend more tokens with the hard retrieval attention for future work.

4.4 Verification on WMT 17 Tasks

We further examine the performance of using hard retrieval attention for cross attention networks on all WMT 17 news translation tasks, using the same setting of the Transformer Base on the WMT 14 En-De task. Results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 shows that hard retrieval attention is able to lead to competitive performances in all tested language pairs in both translation directions, on datasets range from 0.2M sentence pairs to

https://github.com/tensorflow/
tensor2tensor/blob/v1.15.4/
tensor2tensor/models/transformer.py#
L1818.

³https://github.com/moses-smt/
mosesdecoder/blob/master/scripts/
generic/multi-bleu.perl

Lang	Data (M)	$En \rightarrow xx$		$xx\rightarrow En$	
		Std	Hard	Std	Hard
De	5.85	27.48	27.46	32.89	32.68
Fi	2.63	22.23	22.15	26.15	26.03
Lv	4.46	16.38	16.36	18.12	18.10
Ru	25.00	28.20	27.94	31.52	31.30
Tr	0.20	15.79	15.74	15.58	15.69
Cs	52.02	21.89	21.78	27.62	27.26

Table 4: Results on WMT 17 news translation tasks. xx denotes the language in row headers.

52.02 sentence pairs. The largest performance loss (-0.36 BLEU) is on the Cs-En task.

5 Related Work

Most previous research aims to efficiently enable the self-attention mechanism modeling very long sequences. Zhang et al. (2018) accelerate the decoder self-attention with the average attention network. Dai et al. (2019) introduce the notion of recurrence into deep self-attention network to model very long term dependency efficiently. Ma et al. (2019) combine low rank approximate and parameters sharing to construct a tensorized Transformer. Kitaev et al. (2020) replace dot-product attention by one that uses locality-sensitive hashing and use reversible residual layers instead of the standard residuals. Zhang et al. (2020) propose a dimensionwise attention mechanism to reduce the attention complexity. Katharopoulos et al. (2020) express the self-attention as a linear dot-product of kernel feature maps and make use of the associativity property of matrix products. Wang et al. (2020) approximate the self-attention mechanism by a lowrank matrix. Beltagy et al. (2020) introduce an attention mechanism that scales linearly with sequence length. Child et al. (2019) introduce sparse factorizations of the attention matrix.

On using hard (local) attention for machine translation, Luong et al. (2015) selectively focuses on a small window of context smoothed by Gaussian distribution, while our approach learns explicit one-on-one alignment. For self-attentional sentence encoding, Shen et al. (2018) train hard attention mechanisms which select a subset of tokens via policy gradient. Geng et al. (2020) investigate the selective self-attention networks implemented with Gumble-Sigmoid.

6 Conclusion

We propose to accelerate the inference of the scaled dot-product attention by learning a hard retrieval attention which only attends to one token in the sentence rather than all tokens. With the one-on-one hard attention matrix, the matrix multiplication between attention probabilities and the value sequence in the standard scaled dot-product attention can be replaced by a simple and efficient retrieval operation.

Our hard retrieval attention mechanism can accelerate both long and short sequences and is 1.67 times fast as the scaled dot-product attention. In our experiments on a wide range of machine translation tasks, we demonstrate that using the hard retrieval attention for cross attention networks can lead to competitive performance.

Acknowledgments

Hongfei Xu acknowledges the support of China Scholarship Council ([2018]3101, 201807040056) and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (01IW17001, Deeplee).

References

Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2015. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. In 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings.

Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *CoRR*, abs/2004.05150.

Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Generating long sequences with sparse transformers. *CoRR*, abs/1904.10509.

Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Quoc Le, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2019.

- Transformer-XL: Attentive language models beyond a fixed-length context. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2978–2988, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jonas Gehring, Michael Auli, David Grangier, Denis Yarats, and Yann N. Dauphin. 2017. Convolutional sequence to sequence learning. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 70 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1243–1252, International Convention Centre, Sydney, Australia. PMLR.
- Xinwei Geng, Longyue Wang, Xing Wang, Bing Qin, Ting Liu, and Zhaopeng Tu. 2020. How does selective mechanism improve self-attention networks? In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2986–2995, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Angelos Katharopoulos, Apoorv Vyas, Nikolaos Pappas, and François Fleuret. 2020. Transformers are rnns: Fast autoregressive transformers with linear attention. *CoRR*, abs/2006.16236.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2015. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings.
- Nikita Kitaev, Lukasz Kaiser, and Anselm Levskaya. 2020. Reformer: The efficient transformer. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D. Manning. 2015. Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1412–1421, Lisbon, Portugal. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xindian Ma, Peng Zhang, Shuai Zhang, Nan Duan, Yuexian Hou, Ming Zhou, and Dawei Song. 2019. A tensorized transformer for language modeling. In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 32, pages 2232–2242. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. 2016. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1715–1725. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tao Shen, Tianyi Zhou, Guodong Long, Jing Jiang, Sen Wang, and Chengqi Zhang. 2018. Reinforced self-attention network: a hybrid of hard and soft attention for sequence modeling. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on*

- Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-18, pages 4345–4352. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization.
- Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V Le. 2014.
 Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks.
 In Z. Ghahramani, M. Welling, C. Cortes, N. D.
 Lawrence, and K. Q. Weinberger, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 27, pages 3104–3112. Curran Associates, Inc.
- C. Szegedy, V. Vanhoucke, S. Ioffe, J. Shlens, and Z. Wojna. 2016. Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 2818–2826.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 5998–6008. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Sinong Wang, Belinda Z. Li, Madian Khabsa, Han Fang, and Hao Ma. 2020. Linformer: Self-attention with linear complexity.
- Hongfei Xu, Josef van Genabith, Deyi Xiong, and Qiuhui Liu. 2020a. Dynamically adjusting transformer batch size by monitoring gradient direction change. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3519–3524, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Hongfei Xu and Qiuhui Liu. 2019. Neutron: An Implementation of the Transformer Translation Model and its Variants. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07402*.
- Hongfei Xu, Qiuhui Liu, Josef van Genabith, Deyi Xiong, and Jingyi Zhang. 2020b. Lipschitz constrained parameter initialization for deep transformers. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 397–402, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Biao Zhang, Ivan Titov, and Rico Sennrich. 2019. Improving deep transformer with depth-scaled initialization and merged attention. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 898–909, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Biao Zhang, Deyi Xiong, and Jinsong Su. 2018. Accelerating neural transformer via an average attention network. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1789–1798, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Shuai Zhang, Peng Zhang, Xindian Ma, Junqiu Wei, Ningning Wang, and Qun Liu. 2020. Tensor-coder: Dimension-wise attention via tensor representation for natural language modeling. *CoRR*, abs/2008.01547.