

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CRITICAL SETS AND MORSE CODES OF ELECTRICALLY CHARGED KNOTS

MAX LIPTON

Cornell University, Ithaca NY

ABSTRACT. Consider a knot K in S^3 with uniformly distributed electric charge. From the standpoint of both physics and knot theory, it is natural to try to understand the critical points of the potential and their behavior.

By taking successive preimages of regular potential values, we get an N -tuple of compact orientable surfaces, whose genera we define as the Morse code. We relate the topological data of the critical set to the Morse code. We show that critical points of index 1 correspond to increases in successive terms in the Morse code, whilst critical points of index 2 correspond to decreases. Our theorem is proven with Morse theory and techniques from geometric topology.

keywords: knot theory, electrostatics, Morse theory, Morse code, dynamical systems, geometric topology

1. INTRODUCTION

This introduction is adapted from [7].

Our problem of interest is to analyze the zeros of the electric field around a charged, knotted wire. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \subseteq S^3$ be a smooth knot parametrized by the curve $r(t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. We will take the convention that S^3 is the union of \mathbb{R}^3 and a single compactifying point at infinity. With a choice of units, the electric potential between a point $k \in K$ and a point x at a distance R from k is proportional to R^{-1} . It therefore makes sense to define the electric potential $\Phi : S^3 - K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, on the complement of K by the line integral

$$(1) \quad \Phi(x) = \int_{k \in K} \frac{dk}{|x - k|} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|r'(t)|}{|x - r(t)|} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

As usual, we set $\Phi(\infty) = 0$. By differentiating in the integral sign with respect to x , we can see the electric potential is smooth and harmonic. The *electric field* is defined by $E = -\nabla\Phi$. We want to describe the critical points of the potential (equivalently, the zeros of the electric field) and their behaviors. Some conventions use the negative of the potential, so that the electric field points towards the knot, but it is more convenient for our purposes to work with a nonnegative potential function.

Our problem differs from much of the existing research on physical knots. Many works, such as Cantarella et al. [4], focus entirely on mechanical questions like the rope length needed to tie a knot. Even within the subdiscipline of electrostatic knot theory, physicists have debated on how to define the electric potential of a

E-mail address: m12437@cornell.edu.

Date: Sunday 22nd December, 2024.

knot. Some papers consider knots with thickness, where the potential is defined as a volume integral, like in Buck et al. [3]. The definition given here could be criticized for the fact that it does not blow up when the knot self-intersects [9]. The blow up property may be desirable because it acts as an obstruction to varying the knot type, but we elect to use the given potential because of its harmonicity and smoothness. These facts are crucial to our proofs. Simon [9] has a thorough account of the historical debate.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS

In this section, we define the notion of a “Morse code” of an embedded knot, which is a tuple of integers which is derived from the critical set of the electric potential of the knot. The Morse code, whilst difficult to compute with mathematical certainty, is readily computable in practice with visualization software. Then, we deduce some properties of the Morse code which in turn, can be used to yield information from the critical set.

Recall a Morse function f is a smooth, real-valued function whose critical points are nondegenerate (the Hessians at the critical points are nonsingular). Let $\text{Crit}(f) = \{p_0, \dots, p_N\}$ denote the critical set of a Morse function.

Definition 1. *A critical set is **distinct** when each of the critical values $f(p_0), \dots, f(p_N)$ are distinct.*

Let Φ be the electric potential of the knot K , with a given parametrization. Consider $\text{Crit}(\Phi)$ and label the points p_0, p_1, \dots, p_N such that $p_0 = \infty$, and $\Phi(p_i) \leq \Phi(p_j)$ whenever $i \leq j$, and let $V_0 = 0 < V_1 < \dots < V_{N'}$ be the distinct critical values of Φ .

Let G_i be the genus of the surface $S_i = \Phi^{-1}(V_i + \varepsilon)$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen such that $V_i + \varepsilon_0$ is a regular value for each $0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon$. Because there are only finitely many i , a single ε can be chosen to work for all i .

By the implicit function theorem, each S_i is a smooth, compact, orientable surface without boundary embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 . By the Morse Reconstruction Lemma [8] the topology of each S_i is fixed for properly chosen ε , and thus each G_i is well-defined.

Definition 2. *The **Morse code** of K is the N -tuple $(G_0, G_1, \dots, G_{N'})$. A Morse code is **distinct** when the critical set is distinct.*

Clearly, for a distinct critical set, $N = N'$.

Lemma 1. *For all knots K , $G_0 = 0$ and $G_{N'} = 1$.*

Intuitively, this means the equipotential surface for a very small voltage level will be a large topological spheroid surrounding the knot, and the equipotential surface for a very high voltage level will be a thin tube surrounding the knot. These are elementary physical facts, restated in a new mathematical context. For an elementary, but nonrigorous argument, see [6]. For rigor, see [1].

Recall that the index of a critical point is the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian at that point. The index is invariant under change of local coordinates, which means the index is an inherent property of the function and its critical set, and not on the formula one happens to use to write an expression for the function.

Lemma 2. *For a knot K , let m_i denote the number of critical points of index i . Then $m_1 - m_2 = 1$ and $m_1 + m_2 + 1 = N$.*

Proof. See [7]. □

The proof invokes foundational theorems from Morse theory, but once we have those theorems, this lemma follows with ease. We now define a generic property of critical sets and Morse codes, among all knot parametrizations. However, some parametrizations do not have this property because of symmetries, which are often desirable in knots. Nonetheless, this property is useful because it simplifies some of our proofs.

3. MAIN THEOREMS

We now come to our theorem, relating the index of critical points to the Morse code.

Theorem 1. *Suppose (G_0, \dots, G_N) is a distinct Morse code for the knot K .*

- (1) *If p_i has index 1, then $G_i = G_{i-1} + 1$.*
- (2) *If p_i has index 2, then $G_i = G_{i-1} - 1$.*

Observe that since Φ is harmonic, all critical points have index 1 or 2, save for the point at infinity which has index 3.

Proof. We start with the first statement. Suppose p_i has index 1. Let $M_i = \Phi^{-1}([0, \Phi(p_i) + \varepsilon])$, which is a handlebody submanifold of S^3 , and let $S_i = \partial M_i$, which as we saw, is a smooth, compact, orientable surface of genus G_i . By the Morse reconstruction lemma, M_i is homotopy equivalent to M_{i-1} with a one dimensional disc attached. Hence, by taking a tubular neighborhood in S^3 , we can see it is homotopy equivalent to a handlebody homeomorphic to M_{i-1} with another handle attached. Therefore, $G_i = G_{i-1} + 1$.

The second statement involves a somewhat roundabout argument. Suppose p_i has index 2. Then M_i is homotopy equivalent to M_{i-1} with a two dimensional disc attached. Let $M = \Phi^{-1}([0, V_i - \varepsilon])$, where ε is sufficiently small such that M is homeomorphic to M_{i-1} , which in turn implies $\partial M = S = \Phi^{-1}(V_i - \varepsilon)$ is homeomorphic to S_{i-1} . Because $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \cdots \subseteq M_N$, with the inclusions being strict by distinctness of the critical set, this disc is attached via an immersion $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow S$, and we can say $\gamma \in \pi_1(S)$.

The fundamental group $\pi_1(S)$ is the free group on $2G_{i-1}$ generators modulo a product of G_{i-1} commutators of paired generators. Observe that $\pi_1(S_i)$ is the quotient of $\pi_1(S)$ by a certain word w which represents γ . Attaching a disc to a topological space quotients the original fundamental group by the attaching map, because the path around the disc is nullhomotopic in the new space. Hence, by the classification of compact orientable surfaces by their fundamental groups, $G_i \leq G_{i-1}$, since $\pi_1(S_i)$ does not have more generators than $\pi_1(S)$. We show this inequality is strict by proving w is not the trivial word.




FIGURE 1. The attachment of a 2-disc to S_{i-1} (not necessarily of genus 2) via a nullhomotopic loop. Note the attachment actually occurs in the interior of the solid surface, as the gradient points inwards in the equipotential surfaces.

Suppose w is trivial. Then γ , after a possible homotopy to remove self-intersections, bounds a disc on S_{i-1} . By the construction of cell complexes, we also have that γ bounds another embedded disc in $\mathbb{R}^3 - S_{i-1}$.

See the above figure. The union of these two discs forms an embedded 2-sphere S . By the Jordan-Brouwer separation theorem, we have that $S_{i-1} \cup S$ partitions S^3 into three disjoint connected components.

By taking a tubular neighborhood and then taking the boundary, we can see that S_i is a disjoint union of S_{i-1} and a sphere S^2 . The flux integral of the electric field over a connected component of an equipotential surface is strictly positive. Therefore, each of these equipotential surfaces encapsulates electric charge by Gauss' Law, but this contradicts the fact that our charge distribution, the knot K , is connected by assumption. Hence, w is nontrivial and we can see that $G_i < G_{i-1}$.

Now we can use Lemmas 1 and 2 to show $G_i = G_{i-1} - 1$. There are m_1 instances of consecutive terms in the Morse code increasing by 1 by the first statement of the theorem. Therefore, there are $m_2 = m_1 - 1$ instances of consecutive terms of the Morse code decreasing by a strictly positive integer. Since the Morse code begins at 0 and ends at 1, we can deduce that each of these reductions must be a reduction by 1. \square

Not all critical sets are distinct. In an embedding of the trefoil with order 3 rotational symmetry, there is a critical point that is not the origin. By symmetry, there are two other critical points, but each of these distinct critical points has the same potential, again by symmetry. This phenomenon occurs in other knots with symmetry. By introducing a perturbation in the knot, we can change a nondistinct Morse code into a distinct Morse code.

We now state a corollary on the structure of a Morse code.

Corollary 2. *The lowest nonzero critical value V_1 of a distinct Morse code cannot correspond to a critical point of index 2. Therefore, $G_1 = 1$.*

Proof. If V_1 corresponds to a critical point of index 2, we would have that $\Phi^{-1}([0, V_1 + \varepsilon])$ is homotopic to a 2-sphere with a 2-disc attached. But as we saw in the proof of Theorem 1, we would get a contradiction because the attaching map would be nullhomotopic, as S^2 is simply connected. \square

The proof of the main result from [7] uses the Morse Rearrangement Lemma, which shuffles around the critical values whilst leaving the critical set and its index data unchanged. This means one can replace Φ with another Morse function whose first nonzero critical value corresponds to a critical point of index 2. However, this does not contradict the preceding corollary because the replacement is not necessarily harmonic. Hence, the flux integrals of the electric field over the replacement's level surfaces are not necessarily strictly positive.

As stated earlier, many knot embeddings do not have distinct Morse codes. However, there is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 1, whose proof we omit. This theorem relies on the fact that critical points of harmonic functions are isolated.

Theorem 3. *Now assume K does not necessarily have a distinct Morse code. Suppose $p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, \dots, q_n$ be all of the critical points of Φ with the same critical value V , such that each p_i has index 1 and each q_j has index 2. If the preceding equipotential surface has genus G , then the successive equipotential surface has potential $G + m - n$. Therefore, the Morse code is of the form $(0, \dots, G, G + m - n, \dots, 1)$. We also have that when $V = V_1$, $m > n$.*

4. A COMPUTER APPROXIMATION OF THE MORSE CODE OF A TREFOIL

The code used in this section was written in Python, and can be found at <https://github.com/ml2437/knot-potential-surfaces>.

Consider the following parametrization of a trefoil:

$$r(t) = (\sin t + 2 \sin 2t, \cos t - 2 \cos 2t, -\sin 3t).$$

Here are two different views of this parametrization, visualized in Matplotlib.




FIGURE 2. The trefoil knot.

We can compute potentials by the Gaussian quadrature approximation of an integral. By running the multivariable Newton's method to approximate the critical set, we get the following:


This image is visualized with Plotly, which can produce graphics with smooth, three dimensional rotation that can be readily shared. The coloring corresponds to the critical values. This plot, along with the plots of critical sets for other knots, can be viewed online at <https://e.math.cornell.edu/people/ml2437/critsets.html>.

We can see there is rotational symmetry around the z -axis of order 3. There is one critical point at the origin, along with two triplets of critical points, where each triplet has the same critical value. The critical set and Morse code are not distinct.

We can then compute visualizations of the equipotential surfaces for four different regular values spaced between the three critical values. We visualize the surfaces $\Phi(x) = 12, 13, 15.6, 16$ by the Marching Cubes algorithm, visualized with Mayavi.

So we can see the Morse code for this parametrization of the trefoil is $(0, 3, 4, 1)$. If we perturb the knot slightly, we will see that the three outer critical points of index 1 will take three different values close together, whilst the three inner critical points of index 2 will also take three different values close together. Assuming the new critical values do not overlap, we get a the perturbed distinct Morse code $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1)$.

A relationship between knot invariants and the critical set exists, and hopefully we will soon be able to articulate and prove the relationship between knot invariants and the Morse codes.



```

Initializing critical point search: trefoil
Root found! [-2.03021013e+00  1.17214237e+00  1.90871090e-13] Potential: 12.789535027084062
Root found! [ 2.03021013e+00  1.17214237e+00 -1.90282376e-13] Potential: 12.789535027084062
Root found! [-6.49084691e-17  8.82329076e-01  1.57140104e-16] Potential: 15.823204492368257
Root found! [-3.35103572e-16 -2.83492076e-12 -1.91787187e-17] Potential: 15.420329556248726
Root found! [ 7.64119394e-01 -4.41164538e-01 -9.93297258e-13] Potential: 15.823204492364356
Root found! [-4.16294626e-16 -2.34428473e+00 -2.55619443e-16] Potential: 12.789535027081099
Root found! [-7.64119394e-01 -4.41164538e-01  9.92983058e-13] Potential: 15.823204492364356
Root search complete.
Time taken: 98.37493395805359 seconds

```

FIGURE 3. A computed approximation of the critical set of a charged trefoil knot.



FIGURE 4. The four surfaces of the Morse code for our trefoil knot.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, and W. Ramey, *Harmonic Function Theory*. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992).
- [2] K. L. Baker, T. Kobayashi, and Y. Rieck, The spectrum of the growth rate of the tunnel number is infinite. *Proc. Amer. Soc.* **144** (2016). pp. 3609-3618.
- [3] G. Buck, R. Scharein, J. Schnick, and J. Simon, Accessibility and occlusion of biopolymers, ray tracing of radiating tubes, and the temperature of a tangle. *Physical Review E.* **77** (2008).
- [4] J. Cantarella, R. Kusner, J. Sullivan, On the minimum ropelength of knots and links. *Inventiones Mathematicae.* **150** (2002). pp. 257-286.
- [5] B. Clark, The Heegaard genus of manifolds obtained by surgery on links and knots. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences.* **3** (1980). pp. 583-589.
- [6] D. Griffiths, *Electrodynamics*. (Cambridge University Press, England, 1981).

- [7] M. Lipton, A lower bound on critical points of the electric potential of a knot. Submitted for review. (2019). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.01942>.
- [8] L. Nicolaescu, An Invitation to Morse Theory. *Universitext*. (Springer, 2007).
- [9] J. Simon, Physical knots. *AMS Special Session on Physical Knotting and Unknotting* (Las Vegas, Nevada, 2001).