

# Any-to-Many Voice Conversion with Location-Relative Sequence-to-Sequence Modeling

Songxiang Liu, *Student Member, IEEE*, Yuewen Cao, *Student Member, IEEE*, Disong Wang, *Student Member, IEEE*, Xixin Wu, *Member, IEEE*, Xunying Liu, *Member, IEEE*, and Helen Meng, *Fellow, IEEE*

**Abstract**—This paper proposes an any-to-many location-relative, sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) based, non-parallel voice conversion approach. In this approach, we combine a bottle-neck feature extractor (BNE) with a seq2seq based synthesis module. During the training stage, an encoder-decoder based hybrid connectionist-temporal-classification-attention (CTC-attention) phoneme recognizer is trained, whose encoder has a bottle-neck layer. A BNE is obtained from the phoneme recognizer and is utilized to extract speaker-independent, dense and rich linguistic representations from spectral features. Then a multi-speaker location-relative attention based seq2seq synthesis model is trained to reconstruct spectral features from the bottle-neck features, conditioning on speaker representations for speaker identity control in the generated speech. To mitigate the difficulties of using seq2seq based models to align long sequences, we down-sample the input spectral feature along the temporal dimension and equip the synthesis model with a discretized mixture of logistic (MoL) attention mechanism. Since the phoneme recognizer is trained with large speech recognition data corpus, the proposed approach can conduct any-to-many voice conversion. Objective and subjective evaluations shows that the proposed any-to-many approach has superior voice conversion performance in terms of both naturalness and speaker similarity. Ablation studies are conducted to confirm the effectiveness of feature selection and model design strategies in the proposed approach. The proposed VC approach can readily be extended to support any-to-any VC (also known as one/few-shot VC), and achieve high performance according to objective and subjective evaluations.

**Index Terms**—any-to-many, voice conversion, location relative attention, sequence-to-sequence modeling

## I. INTRODUCTION

VOICE conversion (VC) aims to convert the non-linguistic information of a speech utterance while keeping the linguistic content unchanged. The non-linguistic information may refer to speaker identity, emotion, accent or pronunciation, to name a few. In this paper, we focus on the problem of speaker identity conversion. Potential applications of VC techniques include entertainment, personalized text-to-speech, pronunciation or accent correction, etc.

Based on the number of source speakers and target speakers that a single VC system can support, we can categorize current VC approaches into one-to-one VC, many-to-one

VC, many-to-many VC, any-to-many VC and any-to-any VC. Conventional VC approaches focus on one-to-one VC, which requires parallel training data between a pair of source-target speakers. At the training stage of the conventional VC pipeline, acoustic features are first extracted from the source and target utterances. The acoustic features of parallel utterances are then aligned frame-by-frame using alignment algorithms, such as dynamic time warping (DTW) [1]. A conversion model is trained to learn the mapping function between time-aligned source and target acoustic features, which can be Gaussian mixture models (GMMs) [2, 3], artificial neural networks (ANNs) [4–7], etc. These approaches perform frame-wise conversion on spectral features, i.e., the converted speech has the same duration as the source speech. This restricts the modeling of the speaking rate and duration. Recent studies show that the alignment phase can be surpassed through using sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) based model [8, 9] for direct source-target acoustic modeling, and this approach can achieve better VC performance, especially in terms of speaker similarity. Since one-to-one VC is limited to supporting only one particular pair of source and target speakers. VC researchers have explored many-to-one VC approaches to extend the versatility of VC approaches. Among these approaches, the one based on phonetic posteriorgrams (PPGs) is widely used [10–12]. PPGs are computed from an ASR acoustic model and are often assumed to be speaker-independent linguistic representations. The many-to-one VC approaches concatenate a PPG extractor with a target-speaker dependent PPG-to-acoustic synthesis model. Many approaches have been proposed to further extend VC approaches to support many-to-many conversion. These techniques can be classified into two categories. The first category requires text supervision during training stage. This include the PPG-based methods and the non-parallel seq2seq based methods [13, 14]. The second category does not require text supervision. This includes the model using auto-encoders [15], variational auto-encoders [16], generative adversarial networks [17–20] and their combinations [21–23].

This paper focuses on developing an any-to-many VC approach, leveraging text supervision during the training stage. Few any-to-many VC approaches have been reported in the literature. Specific many-to-many VC approaches, which can directly be adopted for any-to-many conversion with text supervision during training stage, include the many-to-many PPG based approaches and the non-parallel seq2seq based approaches. These approaches have the presumption that the linguistic extractor/encoder in the many-to-many VC

Songxiang Liu, Yuewen Cao, Disong Wang, Xunying Liu and Helen Meng are with the Human-Computer Communications Laboratory (HCCL), the Department of Systems Engineering and Engineering Management, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China. E-mails: {sxliu, ywcao, dswang, xyliu, hmmeng}@se.cuhk.edu.hk

Xixin Wu is with Engineering Department, Cambridge University, UK. E-mail: xw369@cam.ac.uk.

approaches can generalize well to source speakers that are unseen during training process.

The use of PPGs in any-to-many VC concatenates the speaker-independent PPG extractor and a multi-speaker conversion model, as shown in Fig. 1 (a). Such an approach has several deficiencies: First, the PPG model is usually trained with the HMM-GMM based phonetic alignments using acoustic features. If the alignments are inaccurate, mispronunciations will occur more often downstream in the VC pipeline. Second, the conversion model usually adopts a neural network (e.g., a bidirectional LSTM model) which maps PPGs framewise to acoustic features. Conditioned on the input PPGs, these conversion models predict each acoustic frame independently. This is unfavorable in terms of VC performance, because acoustic frames in an utterance are highly correlated.

The non-parallel seq2seq based approach to any-to-many VC cascade a seq2seq ASR model and a multi-speaker seq2seq synthesis model, as shown in Fig. 1 (b). These approaches also have several drawbacks in despite their strong sequence modeling ability: First, the pipeline is very long, which means that the model contains many parameters, resulting in a complicated and slow training process. Second, the ASR module usually adopts beam search algorithms to reduce recognition errors during inference. This slows down the conversion process. Third, the multi-speaker seq2seq synthesis model usually uses an attention module to align the hidden states of the encoder and decoder. There has been evidence of instability in this attention-based alignment procedure, as it may introduce missing or repeating words, incomplete synthesis, or an inability to generalize to longer utterances [24]. To make the synthesis more robust, our prior work [25] incorporates a rhythm model, which guides the explicit temporal expansion process of the hidden representations output from the encoder. The auto-regressive decoder adopts a local attention mechanism within a small window, which further corrects possible alignment errors for high-fidelity synthesis. The prior work focuses on maintaining source speaking styles in the converted speech. The any-to-many conversion performance of this approach, however, needs to be thoroughly examined. In this paper, we present novel modifications in the prior approach [25] and re-design a robust, non-parallel, seq2seq any-to-many VC approach. This pipeline concatenates a seq2seq based phoneme recognizer (Seq2seqPR) and a multi-speaker duration informed attention network (DurIAN) for synthesis. This technique is referred to as Seq2seqPR-DurIAN below. Details are presented in Section III.

To address the deficiencies of previous any-to-many VC approaches, this paper further proposes the use of a bottle-neck feature extractor (BNE) combined with a seq2seq based synthesis model. We first train an end-to-end hybrid connectionist-temporal-classification-attention (CTC-attention) phoneme recognizer, where the encoder has a bottle-neck layer. A BNE is obtained from the phoneme recognizer and is used to extract bottle-neck features (BNF) as linguistic representations of speech signals. To mitigate the difficulties of using a seq2seq based model to align the linguistic features and spectral features, we down-sample the input speech features along the temporal dimension by a factor of




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of (a) the PPG based and (b) non-parallel seq2seq VC approaches.

four. We then train a multi-speaker seq2seq BNF-to-spectral synthesis model, where each speaker is represented as an one-hot vector. To facilitate the seq2seq modeling, the synthesis model is equipped with a mixture-of-logistic (MoL) location-relative attention module. We term this VC approach as BNE-Seq2seqMoL below and details are presented in Section IV.

As mentioned above, the synthesis modules of both any-to-many approaches, namely, the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN and BNE-Seq2seqMoL, use one-hot vectors to represent speaker identities. Both approaches are able to convert any source speaker to a target speaker in the training set. This paper also explores extending these any-to-many VC approaches to support any-to-any conversion. A speaker encoder is utilized to generate a fixed-dimensional speaker vector from a speech utterance of arbitrary length. The speaker vector is used to condition the synthesis module on a reference speech signal from a desired arbitrary target speaker, so that the generated speech has speaker identity of that target speaker.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II reviews related work. Section III and IV present the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN and BNE-Seq2seqMoL approaches respectively. Experiments are described in Section V and Section VI concludes this paper.

## II. RELATED WORK

### A. Attention mechanisms in seq2seq based models

Sequence-to-sequence models that use an attention mechanism to align the input and output sequences have been successfully adopted in VC and TTS tasks. The Tacotron 1 model uses the content-based attention mechanism introduced in [26] to align the text input with the output spectrogram. This mechanism is purely content-based and does not exploit the monotonicity and locality properties of TTS alignment. The Tacotron 2 system uses the improved hybrid location-sensitive mechanism proposed in [27] that combines content-based and location-based features, allowing generalization to utterances longer than those seen during training. However, the location-sensitive attention mechanism still suffers from occasional alignment issues. This inspires a number of authors to develop

attention mechanisms that directly exploit monotonicity [28–30]. Some authors [24] have reverted to the purely location-based mixture of Gaussian (GMM) attention introduced by Graves [31]. The BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach proposed in this paper uses a location-relative attention mechanism, which incorporates a discretized mixture of logitics (MoL) distribution to model the attention weights in each decoding step. This is most similar to the one used in [32]. The difference lies in the computation of the distribution parameters. Details are presented in Section IV-B.

### B. Recognition-synthesis VC approaches

Both the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN and BNE-Seq2seqMoL VC approaches proposed in this paper belong to the class of recognition-synthesis based approaches, where an ASR module is built to extract linguistic representations and the synthesis module is used to predict acoustic features from linguistic representations. Compared with the non-parallel seq2seq based VC approach proposed in [13], the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN approach utilizes a more robust synthesis module to mitigate possible attention alignment errors, where a duration model is incorporated to provide explicit phoneme-level alignment information. Besides, the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN approach has a simpler and more direct training procedure, whereas the approach in [13] uses complicated loss function and the training procedure alternates between a generation step and an adversarial step.

A recent study, which is related to the BNE-Seq2seqMoL proposed in this paper, uses a pre-trained ASR encoder and a pre-trained TTS decoder to initialize parameters of the ultimate encoder-decoder based VC model [33]. The ASR and TTS models are pre-trained with large-scale corpora with a four-stage process, i.e., TTS decoder pre-training, TTS encoder pre-training, ASR encoder pre-training and ASR decoder pre-training. Then the VC model is fine-tuned on the pre-trained parameters with a small number of parallel utterances between a specific pair of source and target speakers. In comparison, the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL adopts a simplified two-stage training scheme, i.e., a seq2seq phoneme recognizer training stage and a multi-speaker MoL attention based seq2seq synthesis model training stage. After this two-stage training, the BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach can directly support any-to-many voice conversion.

## III. SEQ2SEQPR-DURIAN BASED VOICE CONVERSION

The Seq2seqPR-DurIAN approach concatenates a seq2seq based phoneme recognizer (Seq2seqPR) and a multi-speaker duration informed attention network (DurIAN). The Seq2seqPR model is adopted to predict an  $L$ -length phoneme sequence  $Y = \{y_l \in \mathcal{U} | l = 1, \dots, L\}$  from spectral feature vectors  $X$  of a speech signal, where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a set of distinct phonemes. The DurIAN model is utilized to generate spectral feature vectors  $\hat{X}$  from an input phoneme sequence  $Y$ , conditioned on the speaker representations  $s$  to achieve multi-speaker synthesis. Since the Seq2seqPR model and the DurIAN model can be optimized independently, this VC approach does not require parallel data between the source and

target speakers. We first present the details of the phoneme recognizer and then describe the DurIAN synthesis model. The conversion procedure and extension to any-to-any VC are presented in the later parts of this Section.

### A. Seq2seq phoneme recognizer

We adopt the hybrid CTC-attention model structure for the seq2seq phoneme recognizer, which has similar network structure to [34], as shown in Fig. 2.

1) *CTC and attention based modeling*: CTC is a latent variable model that monotonically maps an input sequence to an output sequence of shorter length [35]. An additional “blank” symbol is introduced into frame-wise phoneme sequence  $Z = \{z_t \in \mathcal{U} \cup \text{blank} | t = 1, \dots, T\}$ , where  $T$  is the number of spectral frames. By using conditional independence assumptions, the posterior distribution  $p(Y|X)$  is factorized as follows:

$$P(Y|X) \approx \underbrace{\sum_Z \prod_t p(z_t | z_{t-1}, Y) p(z_t | X) p(Y)}_{\triangleq p_{ctc}(Y|X)} \quad (1)$$

We define  $p_{ctc}(Y|X)$  as the CTC objective function, where the frame-wise posterior distribution  $p(z_t|X)$  is conditioned on all inputs  $X$ , and it is quite natural to be modeled with a deep neural network (e.g., LSTM model). The summation over  $Z$  in Eq. 1 can be efficiently computed using a dynamic programming algorithm.

The attention-based approach directly estimates the posterior  $p(Y|X)$  based on the probability chain rule as:

$$P(Y|X) \approx \underbrace{\prod_l p(y_l | y_1, \dots, y_{l-1})}_{\triangleq p_{att}(Y|X)} \quad (2)$$

where we define  $p_{att}(Y|X)$  as an attention-based objective function, which can be conveniently modeled with an attention-based encoder-decoder model.

2) *Model structure and training objective*: Following [34], we regard the CTC objective as an auxiliary task to train the attention model encoder, which contains a VGG-Prenet and a bidirectional LSTM (BiLSTM) encoder, as shown in Fig. 2.

The input spectral features  $X$  are 80-dimensional log mel-spectrograms, on which we conduct utterance-level mean-variance normalization before feeding into the recognizer model. The VGG-Prenet sub-samples the input features by a factor of 4 in time scale using two VGG-like max pooling layers. Then the hidden feature maps from the VGG-Prenet are fed into the BiLSTM encoder, which contains 4 BiLSTM layers with 512 hidden units per direction. The CTC module has one fully-connected (FC) layer. The attention decoder uses location-sensitive attention and has one decoder LSTM layer with hidden size of 1024.

The training objective to be maximized is a logarithmic linear combination of the CTC and attention objectives, i.e.,  $p_{ctc}(Y|X)$  in Eq. 1 and  $p_{att}(Y|X)$  in Eq. 2:

$$\mathcal{J}_{Seq2seqPR} = \lambda \log P_{ctc}(Y|X) + (1 - \lambda) \log P_{att}(Y|X) \quad (3)$$




Fig. 2. Hybrid CTC-attention model structure [34] for phoneme recognition.




Fig. 3. Duration informed attention network (DurIAN) used in the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN VC approach.

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  is a hyper-parameter weighting the CTC objective and the attention objective. In this paper, we set  $\lambda$  to be 0.5.

### B. DurIAN synthesis model

The DurIAN synthesis model used in this paper is inspired by [36], which is trained to predict the mel-spectrogram  $X$  from an input phoneme sequence  $Y$ , as shown in Fig. 3. Attention based seq2seq TTS models such as Tacotron are error prone in the alignment procedure, which leads to missing or repeating words, incomplete synthesis or an inability to generalize to longer utterances. To address this issue, we incorporate a duration module into the synthesis model. A similar idea has been used in [37].

A CBHG encoder [26] is adopted to transform phoneme sequences into hidden representations. In the state expansion procedure, the hidden representations are expanded by repeating along the temporal axis according to the provided phoneme-level duration information, such that the expanded representations have the same number of frames as the spectral features. An auto-regressive RNN-based TTS decoder is used to generate mel-spectrograms from the expanded spectral features, conditioned on the speaker representations (e.g., one-hot vectors) to support multi-speaker generation. In the any-to-many VC setting, the speaker identity is represented

with one-hot vectors. A speaker embedding table is jointly optimized with the remaining parts of the DurIAN model. Speaker embedding vectors are appended to every frames of the expanded encoder hidden representations. Note that in the any-to-any VC setting, speaker vectors generated from a pre-trained speaker encoder are used to represent speaker identity. The details are presented in Section III D. The TTS decoder has similar network structure as the one in Tacotron 1 [26]. The only difference is that the attention context concatenated with the decoder prenet output is replaced with the corresponding encoder state in the expanded hidden representations. Similar to Tacotron 1, we make the decoder generate  $r$  non-overlapped mel-spectrogram frames at each decoding step to accelerate the training and synthesis.

The duration module employs a RNN based model which consists of three 512-unit BiLSTM layers. The input to the duration module contains the pre-expanded hidden states from the CBHG encoder and speaker identity representation. As in the TTS decoder, in the any-to-many VC setting, speakers are presented with one-hot vectors and a speaker embedding table is jointly learned with the duration module. In the any-to-any tasks, speaker vectors from the same speaker encoder are adopted to represent speaker identity. In both cases, speaker vectors are appended to all frames of the CBHG encoder output.

The DurIAN synthesis model is trained with a two-stage scheme. In the first stage, the whole model except the duration module is trained, where the phoneme-level duration information is extracted from speech-text forced alignments. In this paper, we use the open-source Montreal-forced-aligner (MFA).<sup>1</sup> The loss function to minimize in this stage is the mean squared error (MSE) between the ground-truth mel-spectrogram  $X$  and the predicted mel-spectrogram  $\hat{X}$ . In the second stage, the duration model is trained, where the parameters of the remaining parts are fixed. The loss function is the MSE loss between the reference phoneme-level duration computed from the MFA alignments and the predicted duration.

### C. Conversion procedure

At the conversion stage, mel-spectrogram features are first computed from a source speech utterance of an arbitrary source speaker. The Seq2seqPR model is then used to recognize phoneme sequence from the mel-spectrogram. The DurIAN model then generates the converted mel-spectrogram from the recognized phoneme sequence, conditioned on the target speaker representation. The phoneme-level duration information is obtained using the duration module, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Finally, a neural vocoder converts the converted mel-spectrogram into a time domain waveform.

### D. Extend to support any-to-any conversion

To extend the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN to support any-to-any conversion, we use an additional speaker encoder model to generate the speaker vector, which is used to condition the DurIAN synthesis module to generate speech with the identity

<sup>1</sup><https://montreal-forced-aligner.readthedocs.io>




Fig. 4. Training process illustration of the bottle-neck feature extractor (BNE) used in the proposed BNE-Seq2seqPR VC approach.

of an arbitrary target speaker. The speaker encoder generates a fixed-dimensional speaker embedding vector from a sequence of acoustic frames computed from a speech utterance of arbitrary length. The speaker embedding vector is used to condition the DurIAN model on a reference speech signal from a desired target speaker, so that the generated speech adopts the identity of the target speaker. The speaker encoder is trained to optimize a generalized end-to-end (GE2E) speaker verification loss [38], so that embeddings of utterances from the same speaker have high cosine similarity, while those from different speakers are far apart in the embedding space. The speaker encoder used in this paper has the same network structure as the one use in [39].

#### IV. BNE-SEQ2SEQMOL BASED VOICE CONVERSION

The proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach combines a bottle-neck feature extractor (BNE) with a multi-speaker mixture of logistic (MoL) attention based seq2seq synthesis model. The BNE is used to compute dense and rich linguistic features from mel-spectrograms, while the MoL attention based seq2seq model (Seq2seqMoL) is adopted to generate mel-spectrograms auto-regressively. Details of the BNE and the Seq2seqMoL model are presented in Section IV-A and IV-B respectively. The conversion procedure and extension to any-to-any VC are presented in the later parts of this section.

##### A. Bottle-neck feature extractor

We obtain a bottle-neck feature extractor from an end-to-end hybrid CTC-attention phoneme recognizer. The phoneme recognizer has the same network structure as the one introduced in Section III-A, except that we incorporate an additional bottle-neck layer into the recognizer, as illustrated in Fig. 4. The bottle-neck layer is a fully-connected layer with hidden size of 256. The training objective is the same as that used




Fig. 5. Schematic illustration for training stage of the synthesis module in the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL VC approach.

in Section III-A (See Eq. 3). After training, we drop the CTC module and attention decoder from the phoneme recognizer and use the remaining part as the bottle-neck feature extractor. The bottle-neck features computed from speech signals are regarded as linguistic representations which are presumed to contain rich and dense linguistic information.

##### B. Seq2seqMoL synthesis

The training procedure of the seq2seq based synthesis model is depicted in Fig. 5. A well-trained BNF extractor presented in Section IV-A is adopted as an off-line linguistic feature extractor. The synthesis model can be regarded as an encoder-decoder model, where the encoder contains two simple networks, i.e., a bottle-neck feature prenet and a pitch encoder.

1) *Bottle-neck feature prenet and pitch encoder:* The bottle-neck feature prenet contains two bidirectional GRU layers, which have 256 hidden units per direction. The pitch encoder employs convolution network structure, which takes continuously interpolated logarithmic F0 (Log-F0) and unvoiced-voiced flags (UV) features as input. Log-F0s and UVs are computed with the same frame-shift as the one used to extract mel-spectrograms. Since the bottle-neck feature extractor down-samples the mel-spectrograms by a factor of 4 along the time axis, the bottle-neck features only have a quarter of the frames in the corresponding Log-F0s or UVs. To make BNFs, Log-F0s and UVs have the same time resolution, we also down-sample Log-F0 and UVs by a factor of 4 along time axis. This is achieved by using two 1-dimensional convolution layers with a stride of 2, where the hidden-dimension is 256. To remove possible speaker information, we add an instance






Fig. 6. Computation procedure of the mixture of logistic distribution parameters  $\gamma_i$  for attention weights in decoder step  $i$  in the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL VC approach.

normalization layer without affine transformation after each convolution layer in the pitch encoder.

The outputs of the pitch encoder and the bottle-neck feature prenet are added element-wise. In any-to-many conversion, one-hot vectors are used as the speaker representation, and an additional speaker embedding table is jointly trained with the whole synthesis network. Speaker vectors are concatenated to every frame of the encoder output.

2) *MoL attention based decoder*: Decoder of the synthesis model adopts a similar auto-regressive network structure as the one used in Tacotron 2, except that a location-relative discretized mixture of logistics (MoL) attention mechanism is used.

Let us denote the encoder outputs as  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^{\tilde{T}}$ , where  $\tilde{T} = \frac{1}{4}T$  and  $T$  is the number of frames in the mel-spectrograms. An attention RNN (Eq. 4) produces hidden state  $s_i$  at decoder step  $i$ . Then the attention mechanism consumes  $s_i$  to produce the alignment  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{R}^{\tilde{T}}$  (Eq. 5). The context vector,  $c_i$ , which is fed to the decoder RNN, is computed using the alignment  $\alpha_i$  to produce a weighted average of encoder states  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^{\tilde{T}}$  (Eq. 6). The decoder RNN takes  $s_i$  and  $c_i$  as input, whose output is used together with the context vector  $c_i$  to produce mel-spectrogram frames at the current decoder step by a linear layer (Eq. 7 and 8).

$$s_i = \text{RNN}_{\text{Att}}([x_{i-1}, c_{i-1}], s_{i-1}) \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_i = \text{Attention}(s_i) \quad (5)$$

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{T}} \alpha_{i,j} h_j \quad (6)$$

$$d_i = \text{RNN}_{\text{Dec}}([c_i, s_i], d_{i-1}) \quad (7)$$

$$x_i = \text{Linear}_{\text{Out}}(d_i, c_i) \quad (8)$$

The attention mechanism is similar to the one used in [32], which is a location-relative extension from a purely location-based mechanism proposed in [31]. The attention alignment weights correspond to a learned attention distribution  $\phi(\cdot; \gamma_i)$ , where  $\gamma_i$  is the distribution parameters computed using a simple multi-layer perception (MLP) network from the attention RNN state  $s_i$ . We use a discretized MoL [40] for the attention distribution  $\phi_i(\cdot; \gamma_i)$ . At each decoder step, a set of distribution

parameters  $\gamma_i = \{w_i^k, \mu_i^k, \sigma_i^k\}_{k=1}^K$  is computed, corresponding to  $K$  mixture coefficients, means and scales. In this paper, the number of mixtures are set to be 5. The computation procedure is shown as below and also illustrated in Fig. 6.

$$(\hat{w}_i, \hat{\Delta}_i, \hat{\sigma}_i) = \text{MLP}(s_i) \quad (9)$$

$$w_i = \text{Softmax}(\hat{w}_i), \Delta_i = \text{Softplus}(\hat{\Delta}_i), \sigma = \text{Softplus}(\hat{\sigma}_i) \quad (10)$$

$$\mu_i = \mu_{i-1} + \Delta_i \quad (11)$$

Note that the mean of each logistic component is computed using the recurrence relation in Eq. 11, which enables the mechanism location-relative and monotonic, since  $\Delta_i$  is constrained to be positive by the softplus function. Given the computed MoL distribution parameters  $\gamma_i$ , the attention weight  $\alpha_{i,j}$  is obtained from the discretized attention distribution  $\phi_i(\cdot; \gamma_i)$  at decoder step  $i$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i,j} &= \phi_i(j; \gamma_i) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K w_i^k [\text{sigmoid}(\frac{j + 0.5 - \mu_i^k}{\sigma_i}) - \text{sigmoid}(\frac{j - 0.5 - \mu_i^k}{\sigma_i})] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Following Tacotron 1 and 2, a decoder prenet containing two linear layers and a residual convolution based postnet are added to the synthesis decoder. We also let the decoder predict stop tokens, which are used to stop the decoding procedure when the stopping probability reach a threshold 0.5.

The training objective is to minimize the MSE loss between the ground truth mel-spectrogram  $X$  and the predicted  $\hat{X}$ , in combination with a binary cross-entropy loss on the stop token predictions.

### C. Conversion procedure

Given a speech utterance from an arbitrary source speaker, the approach first computes the mel-spectrogram, continuous Log-F0s and UV flags. Then the BNF extractor is used to extract linguistic features from the mel-spectrogram. Log-F0s are converted linearly in log-scale from the source to target using log-scaled F0 statistics of the source and target speakers, as:

$$\text{Log-F0}_{\text{vc}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{target}}}{\sigma_{\text{source}}} (\text{Log-F0}_{\text{source}} - \mu_{\text{source}}) + \mu_{\text{target}} \quad (13)$$

where  $\mu$ 's and  $\sigma$ 's represent the mean and standard deviation of the log-scaled F0.

The bottle-neck features, Log-F0<sub>vc</sub> and UV flags are added element-wise after going through the bottle-neck features prenet and the pitch encoder respectively. The output is concatenated with the target speaker embedding vector to form the encoder outputs. The MoL attention decoder then generates the converted mel-spectrogram from the encoder outputs in an auto-regressive manner. A neural vocoder is finally used to generate waveform from the converted mel-spectrogram.

#### D. Extension to any-to-any conversion

We use the same speaker encoder model introduced in Section III-D to generate the speaker vector for an arbitrary target speaker. We replace the one-hot speaker representation with the speaker-encoder-generated speaker vector in the BNE-Seq2seqMoL any-to-many approach, such that it supports any-to-any conversion. Details of the speaker encoder are the same as that presented in Section III-D.

### V. EXPERIMENTS

#### A. Datasets

The datasets used in this paper are all publicly available. LibriSpeech (960 hours) [41] is used to train the phoneme recognizer introduced in section III-A and IV-A. The LibriSpeech lexicon<sup>2</sup> is used to obtain phoneme sequences from text transcripts.

In any-to-many voice conversion, we use the VCTK corpus [42] and the CMU ARCTIC database [43]. The VCTK corpus contains 44 hours of clean speech from 109 speakers. In this paper, we only use data from 105 VCTK speakers. We choose 600 utterances for validation set and another 600 utterances for test set, while the remaining utterances are for train set. The CMU ARCTIC database contains 1132 parallel recordings of English speakers. Data from four speakers are used: two female (clb and slt) and two male (bdl and rms). We choose 50 utterances for validation and another 50 utterances for testing. We randomly choose non-overlapped 250 utterances from the remaining utterances for each of the four speakers respectively, such that they do not have parallel utterances during training.

For any-to-any voice conversion, we use LibriSpeech (train-other-500), VoxCeleb1 [44] and VoxCeleb2 [45] datasets to train the speaker encoder introduced in Section III-D and Seciton IV-D. In total, there are more than 8K speakers, such that we expect the speaker encoder can generalize to any unseen speaker. LibriTTS (train-clean-100 and train-clean-360) dataset [46] together with the training set of VCTK corpus is used to train the synthesis models of the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN and BNE-Seq2seqMoL approaches. The CMU ARCTIC database is used only for the conversion stage in this setting. That is, the four speakers (bdl, clb, rms and slt) are all unseen during the training procedure. This simulates voice conversion from an arbitrary source speaker to an arbitrary target speaker, which forms a pilot version of any-to-any conversion.

#### B. Features and neural vocoder model

Speech signals used in this paper are all re-sampled to 16kHz if the original sampling rate is different. Spectral features are all 80-dimensional log mel-spectrograms except that the speaker encoder takes 40-dimensional log mel-spectrograms as input. The 80-dimensional log mel-spectrograms are computed using 50ms Hanning window and 10ms frame shift, while the 40-dimensional ones are computed using 25ms Hanning window and 10ms frame shift. We use

the PyWorld toolkit<sup>3</sup> to extract F0s from speech signals and Log-F0s are obtained by taking logarithm on the linearly interpolated F0s.

In this paper, the WaveRNN network [47] is used as the neural vocoder. The speech waveform is  $\mu$ -law quantized into 512-way categorical distributions. The open-sourced Pytorch implementation is used.<sup>4</sup> Since the mel-spectrograms capture all of the relevant details needed for high quality speech synthesis, we simply use ground-truth mel-spectrograms from multiple speakers to train the WaveRNN, without adding any speaker identity representations. We only use the VCTK training set to train the WaveRNN model.

#### C. Comparisons

Four VC approaches are compared with experiments for any-to-many conversion, as well as extending to any-to-any conversion. We compare the proposed Seq2seqPR-DurIAN and BNE-Seq2seqMoL approaches with another two recently proposed approaches, namely, the PPG-based VC and the non-parallel seq2seq based VC, as introduced in Section I. The details of their implementation are presented below.

**PPG-VC:** This baseline approach has a network architecture similar to the N10 system [48] in VCC2018 [49]. As shown in Fig. 1 a, this approach consists of a PPG extractor and a multi-speaker conversion model. The PPG extractor adopts an RNN based model structure, which contains 5 bidirectional gated recurrent unit (GRU) layers with 512 hidden units per direction. The multi-speaker conversion model also has an RNN structure, which consists of 4 bidirectional LSTM layers with 256 hidden units per direction. In any-to-many conversion, the speaker identity is presented as an one-hot vector and an additional speaker embedding table is learned together with other part of the conversion model. The speaker embedding vector has size of 256, and are concatenated with PPGs frame-by-frame. The conversion model is trained with the VCTK and CMU ARCTIC training splits mentioned in Section V-A. In any-to-any conversion, the same speaker encoder introduced in Section III-D is used to generate speaker vectors from mel-spectrograms. This setting is similar to the one in our prior work [50], except that the i-vectors and learned speaker embedding vectors are used as speaker identity representations there. We use LibriTTS (train-clean-100 and train-clean-360) dataset together with the training set of VCTK corpus to train the conversion model.

The PPG extractor is obtained from a frame-wise phoneme recognizer, which is trained with the LibriSpeech dataset (960 hours). We first use the MFA to align the audio and transcripts at the phoneme level. Then the audio-text alignment information is used to obtain the frame-to-phoneme correspondence between mel-spectrograms and phoneme sequences, with which it is possible to train a frame-wise phoneme recognizer. We regard the probability vectors after the last softmax layer as PPG features for one utterance.

**NonParaSeq2seq-VC:** This baseline approach is proposed by [13], where disentangled linguistic and speaker representa-

<sup>2</sup><http://www.openslr.org/11/>

<sup>3</sup><https://github.com/JeremyCCHsu/Python-Wrapper-for-World-Vocoder>

<sup>4</sup><https://github.com/fatchord/WaveRNN>

TABLE I  
OBJECTIVE EVALUATION RESULTS FOR ANY-TO-MANY VOICE CONVERSION.

Conversion pair	PPG-VC				NonParaSeq2seq-VC				Seq2seqPR-DurIAN				BNE-Seq2seqMoL			
	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER
F-M	6.90	48.22	5.75	8.93	7.37	49.94	17.78	26.54	7.03	52.60	7.03	9.89	6.87	44.55	3.00	4.29
F-F	7.03	48.22	5.54	8.46	7.36	45.43	8.14	13.46	7.04	47.25	8.76	13.39	6.99	45.44	5.12	6.97
M-M	6.96	51.4	5.19	7.50	7.36	53.86	24.78	39.80	7.14	51.89	7.33	14.16	6.94	50.37	4.72	7.22
M-F	7.25	56.36	5.32	7.80	7.39	50.37	19.05	25.71	7.09	45.09	8.32	14.72	7.18	56.31	3.61	5.76
Average	7.04	51.05	5.45	8.17	7.37	49.90	17.44	26.38	7.08	49.21	7.86	13.04	<b>6.99</b>	<b>49.17</b>	<b>4.11</b>	<b>6.06</b>

TABLE II  
OBJECTIVE EVALUATION RESULTS FOR ANY-TO-ANY VOICE CONVERSION.

Conversion pair	PPG-VC				NonParaSeq2seq-VC				Seq2seqPR-DurIAN				BNE-Seq2seqMoL			
	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER
F-M	7.51	48.8	4.21	6.99	8.01	67.2	5.74	8.6	7.51	58.44	5.45	8.08	7.44	44.57	5.74	7.42
F-F	7.52	49.86	4.67	7.22	7.58	47.01	4.56	6.32	7.83	63.00	9.79	6.40	7.71	48.45	3.98	7.03
M-M	7.64	58.37	5.05	6.73	8.16	59.13	5.72	8.80	7.50	58.34	5.97	11.89	7.53	50.07	5.28	7.86
M-F	7.80	69.76	4.42	6.27	8.46	58.38	6.55	9.95	7.86	68.65	6.08	10.42	7.93	61.50	6.05	8.11
Average	<b>7.62</b>	56.70	<b>4.59</b>	<b>6.80</b>	8.05	57.93	5.64	8.42	7.68	62.11	6.82	9.20	7.65	<b>51.15</b>	5.26	7.60

TABLE III  
SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION RESULTS FOR FOUR VC APPROACHES: PPG-VC, NONPARASEQ2SEQ-VC, SEQ2SEQPR-DURIAN AND BNE-SEQ2SEQMoL (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS).

Conversion pair	PPG-VC		NonParaSeq2seq-VC		Seq2seqPR-DurIAN		BNE-Seq2seqMoL	
	Naturalness	Similarity	Naturalness	Similarity	Naturalness	Similarity	Naturalness	Similarity
F-M	2.20 ± 0.16	2.58 ± 0.21	2.95 ± 0.14	3.42 ± 0.17	3.40 ± 0.12	3.77 ± 0.15	3.70 ± 0.14	3.85 ± 0.16
F-F	2.77 ± 0.09	3.17 ± 0.11	3.17 ± 0.11	3.42 ± 0.14	3.50 ± 0.12	3.75 ± 0.14	3.75 ± 0.19	4.20 ± 0.21
M-M	2.33 ± 0.21	2.83 ± 0.20	2.88 ± 0.14	3.20 ± 0.17	3.27 ± 0.17	3.52 ± 0.19	3.83 ± 0.18	4.12 ± 0.14
M-F	2.55 ± 0.13	2.50 ± 0.14	3.33 ± 0.11	3.70 ± 0.19	3.70 ± 0.11	3.82 ± 0.09	3.65 ± 0.14	2.92 ± 0.15
Average	2.46 ± 0.17	2.77 ± 0.16	3.08 ± 0.18	3.44 ± 0.19	3.46 ± 0.14	3.72 ± 0.15	<b>3.73 ± 0.15</b>	<b>3.77 ± 0.17</b>
Recording	4.81 ± 0.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE IV  
SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION RESULTS OF THE PROPOSED BNE-SEQ2SEQMoL APPROACH FOR ANY-TO-ANY VOICE CONVERSION (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS).

Conversion pair	F-M	M-M	F-F	M-F	Average
Naturalness	3.40 ± 0.08	3.60 ± 0.11	3.71 ± 0.09	3.45 ± 0.08	3.54 ± 0.09
Similarity	3.50 ± 0.10	3.58 ± 0.08	3.75 ± 0.14	2.83 ± 0.15	3.42 ± 0.12

tions are extracted from acoustic features, and voice conversion is achieved by preserving the linguistic representations of source utterances while replacing the speaker representations with the target ones. Since there is a speaker encoder which is jointly trained with the whole model, this approach can be trivially extended from any-to-many conversion to support any-to-any conversion. We use the VCTK and CMU ARC-TIC training splits to train the model for the any-to-many conversion setting and use the LibriTTS (train-clean-100 and train-clean-360) dataset together with the training set of VCTK corpus to train the model for the any-to-any conversion setting. We use the official implementation released by the authors<sup>5</sup> in this study.

#### D. Objective evaluations

Mel-cepstrum distortion (MCD), root mean squared errors (F0-RMSE) and character/word error rate (CER/WER) from an ASR system are used as the metrics for objective evaluation. The MCD is used for evaluating spectral conversion, which is computed as:

$$MCD[db] = \frac{10}{\log_{10}} \sqrt{2 \sum_{d=1}^K (MCC_d^c - MCC_d^t)^2} \quad (14)$$

where MCC represents mel-cepstral coefficient,  $K$  is the dimension of the MCCs, and  $MCC_d^c$  and  $MCC_d^t$  represent the  $d$ -th dimensional coefficient of the converted MCCs and the target MCCs, respectively. The Pyworld toolkit is used to extract MCCs in this paper, where we set  $K = 24$ .

<sup>5</sup>[https://github.com/jxzhanggg/nonparaSeq2seqVC\\_code](https://github.com/jxzhanggg/nonparaSeq2seqVC_code)



The F0-RMSE is used to evaluate the F0 conversion, which is computed as:

$$\text{F0-RMSE[Hz]} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (\text{F0}_i^c - \text{F0}_i^t)^2} \quad (15)$$

where  $N$  is number of frames,  $\text{F0}_i^c$  and  $\text{F0}_i^t$  are F0 value at the  $i$ -th frame of the converted speech and target speech respectively.

We use a transformer based end-to-end ASR engine<sup>6</sup> to compute CER and WER of the converted speech to evaluate its intelligibility. The ASR model is trained using the LibriSpeech (960 hours) dataset. The CER and WER for the CMU ARCTIC test set are 2.71% and 4.30%, respectively.

The objective evaluation results in the any-to-many voice conversion setting are shown in Table I. We can see that the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach achieves the best performance for all the four objective metrics on average. The PPG-VC approach has the worst F0-RMSE among the four approaches, which verifies that conversion by frame-wise mapping has constrained capability to model prosody during the conversion. Comparing the NonParaSeq2seq-VC and the Seq2seqPR-DurIAN approaches, which have similar model architectures, we can see that the re-designed Seq2seqPR-DurIAN has superior results for all four metrics on average. The NonParaSeq2seq-VC has significantly worse CER and WER among the approaches, and preliminary listening test finds that there exists repeating, skipping and truncation phenomena in the converted speech. This implies that injecting a duration model, which provides explicit phone-level durational information, makes the conversion more robust. Comparing the results from PPG-VC with those from BNE-Seq2seqMoL shows that the auto-regressive property of the latter can boost VC performance across the four objective metrics. The lowest CER and WER confirms the robustness brought by the location-relative MoL attention to the seq2seq model in the BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach.

The objective evaluation results in the any-to-any voice conversion setting are presented in Table II. We can see that the PPG-VC approach has the best results in terms of MFC, CER and WER. The proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL gave lowest F0-RMSE among the four approaches and obtains good results for MCD, CER and WER. In any-to-any VC, the models are trained using a combination of the VCTK training set and the large LibriTTS (train-clean-100, train-clean-360) dataset, which overcomes the deficiency of independent prediction across frames in the PPG-VC approach.

### E. Subjective evaluations

Subjective evaluation in terms of both the naturalness and speaker similarity of converted speech are conducted<sup>7</sup>. The standard 5-scale mean opinion score (MOS) test is adopted for both naturalness and speaker similarity evaluations. In the MOS tests for evaluating naturalness, each group of stimuli




Fig. 7. (a) Visualization of bottle-neck features extracted by the BNE in the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL VC approach from the utterance “arctic-a0001” in the CMU ARCTIC dataset with t-SNE. (b) Details of the dashed red box in (a), where the number represent sequential order of a frame in the bottle-neck features.

contains recording samples from the target speakers, which are randomly shuffled with the samples generated by the four comparative approaches before presented to listeners. In the MOS similarity tests, converted speech samples are directly compared with the recording samples of the target speakers. 10 utterances from the CMU ARCTIC test set are presented for each conversion pair. We invite 10 Chinese speakers who are proficient in English to participate in the evaluations and they are allowed to replay each sample as many times as necessary.

The subjective MOS evaluation results for the any-to-many voice conversion setting are shown in Table III. We can see that the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach achieves the best results in terms of both naturalness and speaker similarity. In any-to-any conversion, we only conduct MOS tests for the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach. The results are presented in Table IV. We can see that the proposed

<sup>6</sup>[https://github.com/espnet/espnet\\_model\\_zoo](https://github.com/espnet/espnet_model_zoo)

<sup>7</sup>Audio demo and source codes can be found in <https://liusongxiang.github.io/BNE-Seq2SeqMoL-VC/>.

TABLE V  
ABLATION STUDIES FOR THE PROPOSED BNE-SEQ2SEQMoL APPROACH. “IN” REPRESENTS INSTANCE NORMALIZATION AND “LSA” REPRESENTS LOCATION-SENSITIVE ATTENTION.

Conversion pair	BNE-Seq2seqMoL				Without Log-F0&UV				Without IN				Use LSA			
	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER	MCD	F0 RMSE	CER	WER
F-M	6.87	44.55	3.00	4.29	6.91	49.92	2.23	5.14	6.85	41.65	3.94	6.46	6.81	46.64	4.31	7.19
F-F	6.99	45.44	5.12	6.97	7.05	47.24	3.97	6.12	6.93	45.77	4.90	6.96	7.00	44.03	4.28	7.20
M-M	6.94	50.37	4.72	7.22	7.00	54.20	3.93	6.80	7.04	52.81	5.52	8.51	6.90	49.71	4.53	6.44
M-F	7.18	56.31	3.61	5.76	7.13	47.80	5.09	7.25	7.23	60.56	5.45	7.75	7.15	58.65	4.89	6.84
Average	6.99	<b>49.17</b>	4.11	<b>6.06</b>	7.02	49.79	<b>3.81</b>	6.32	7.01	50.20	4.95	7.42	<b>6.97</b>	49.76	4.50	6.92

approach also achieves superior VC performance even in the one-shot/few-shot voice conversion setting.

### F. Cross-speaker property of bottle-neck features

To explore the property of the bottle-neck features extracted by the BNE in the BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach, t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) [51] is used to visualize bottle-neck features. t-SNE is a non-linear dimensionality reduction technique that is widely used to embed high-dimensional data into a space of two or three dimensions. The goal is to find a faithful representation of those high-dimensional data in a low-dimensional space.

Fig. 7a is the 2-dimensional t-SNE visualization of the bottle-neck features of the utterance “arctic-a0001” of the four speakers (bdl, rms, slt and clb). The 256-dimensional bottle-neck features are fed into t-SNE and then the result is obtained after five thousand iterations. In the figure, each bottle-neck feature frame is represented by a dot. The four colors represent the four speakers. We can see a strong degree of clustering effect of the bottle-neck features across different speakers. The details of the red dashed box in Fig. 7a are depicted in Fig. 7b, where the numerical indices represent sequential frame order of the first several frames in the bottle-neck features. A similar manifold pattern can be observed across the four examined speakers, which shows the goodness of cross-speaker property of the bottle-neck features used in the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach. This can also be a reasonable explanation of the superior VC performance of the BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach in both the objective and subjective evaluations.

### G. Ablation Studies

In this section, ablation studies are conducted to validate the effectiveness of the feature selection and model design strategies in the proposed BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach. Specifically, three ablation studies are conducted: 1) dropping the Log-F0 and UV features and only use bottle-neck features as input to the synthesis module; 2) dropping the instance normalization layers in the pitch encoder; 3) using the location-sensitive attention (LSA) instead of the location-relative MoL attention.

The objective evaluation of the ablation studies are shown in Table V. We observe that the proposed feature selection and model design for the BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach obtains the best F0-RMSE and WER results, and achieve near-the-best MCD and CER results. This validates the effectiveness of the

feature selection and model design in the BNE-Seq2seqMoL approach.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we re-design a prior approach [25] to achieve a robust non-parallel seq2seq based any-to-many VC approach. The novel approach concatenates a seq2seq based phoneme recognizer (Seq2seqPR) and a multi-speaker duration informed attention network (DurIAN) for synthesis. Extension is also made on this approach to enable support of any-to-any voice conversion. Thorough examinations including objective and subjective evaluations are conducted for this model in any-to-many, as well as any-to-any settings.

To overcome the deficiencies of the PPG-based and non-parallel seq2seq any-to-many VC approaches, we further proposed a new any-to-many VC approach, which combines a bottle-neck feature extractor (BNE) with an MoL attention based seq2seq synthesis model. This approach can easily extended for any-to-any VC. Objective and subjective evaluation results shows its superior VC performance in both any-to-many and any-to-any VC settings. Ablation studies have been conducted to confirms the effectiveness of feature selection and model design strategies in the proposed approach. In the future, we will explore the proposed approach in terms of source style transfer and emotion conversion.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bundy and L. Wallen, “Dynamic time warping,” in *Catalogue of Artificial Intelligence Tools*. Springer, 1984, pp. 32–33.
- [2] Y. Stylianou, O. Cappé, and E. Moulines, “Continuous probabilistic transform for voice conversion,” *IEEE Transactions on speech and audio processing*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 131–142, 1998.
- [3] T. Toda, A. W. Black, and K. Tokuda, “Voice conversion based on maximum-likelihood estimation of spectral parameter trajectory,” *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 2222–2235, 2007.
- [4] S. Desai, A. W. Black, B. Yegnanarayana, and K. Prahallad, “Spectral mapping using artificial neural networks for voice conversion,” *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 954–964, 2010.
- [5] S. H. Mohammadi and A. Kain, “Voice conversion using deep neural networks with speaker-independent

- pre-training,” in *Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), 2014 IEEE*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 19–23.
- [6] T. Nakashika, T. Takiguchi, and Y. Ariki, “Voice conversion using rnn pre-trained by recurrent temporal restricted boltzmann machines,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech and Language Processing (TASLP)*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 580–587, 2015.
- [7] L. Sun, S. Kang, K. Li, and H. Meng, “Voice conversion using deep bidirectional long short-term memory based recurrent neural networks,” in *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 4869–4873.
- [8] J.-X. Zhang, Z.-H. Ling, L.-J. Liu, Y. Jiang, and L.-R. Dai, “Sequence-to-sequence acoustic modeling for voice conversion,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 631–644, 2019.
- [9] K. Tanaka, H. Kameoka, T. Kaneko, and N. Hojo, “Atts2s-vc: Sequence-to-sequence voice conversion with attention and context preservation mechanisms,” in *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 6805–6809.
- [10] L. Sun, K. Li, H. Wang, S. Kang, and H. Meng, “Phonetic posteriorgrams for many-to-one voice conversion without parallel data training,” in *2016 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [11] S. Liu, L. Sun, X. Wu, X. Liu, and H. Meng, “The hccl-cuhk system for the voice conversion challenge 2018,” in *Odyssey*, 2018, pp. 248–254.
- [12] S. Liu, Y. Cao, X. Wu, L. Sun, X. Liu, and H. Meng, “Jointly trained conversion model and wavenet vocoder for non-parallel voice conversion using mel-spectrograms and phonetic posteriorgrams,” *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, pp. 714–718, 2019.
- [13] J. Zhang, Z. Ling, and L.-R. Dai, “Non-parallel sequence-to-sequence voice conversion with disentangled linguistic and speaker representations,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2019.
- [14] H. Kameoka, W.-C. Huang, K. Tanaka, T. Kaneko, N. Hojo, and T. Toda, “Many-to-many voice transformer network,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.08445*, 2020.
- [15] K. Qian, Y. Zhang, S. Chang, X. Yang, and M. Hasegawa-Johnson, “AutoVC: Zero-shot voice style transfer with only autoencoder loss,” ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, K. Chaudhuri and R. Salakhutdinov, Eds., vol. 97. Long Beach, California, USA: PMLR, 09–15 Jun 2019, pp. 5210–5219. [Online]. Available: <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/qian19c.html>
- [16] C.-C. Hsu, H.-T. Hwang, Y.-C. Wu, Y. Tsao, and H.-M. Wang, “Voice conversion from non-parallel corpora using variational auto-encoder,” in *2016 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [17] Y. Gao, R. Singh, and B. Raj, “Voice impersonation using generative adversarial networks,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 2506–2510.
- [18] T. Kaneko and H. Kameoka, “Parallel-data-free voice conversion using cycle-consistent adversarial networks,” *2018 26th European Signal Processing Conference (EU-SIPCO)*, 2018.
- [19] F. Fang, J. Yamagishi, I. Echizen, and J. Lorenzo-Trueba, “High-quality nonparallel voice conversion based on cycle-consistent adversarial network,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 5279–5283.
- [20] H. Kameoka, T. Kaneko, K. Tanaka, and N. Hojo, “Stargan-vc: Non-parallel many-to-many voice conversion using star generative adversarial networks,” in *2018 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 266–273.
- [21] C.-C. Hsu, H.-T. Hwang, Y.-C. Wu, Y. Tsao, and H.-M. Wang, “Voice conversion from unaligned corpora using variational autoencoding wasserstein generative adversarial networks,” in *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, 2017, pp. 3364–3368. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2017-63>
- [22] J. chieh Chou, C. chieh Yeh, H. yi Lee, and L. shan Lee, “Multi-target voice conversion without parallel data by adversarially learning disentangled audio representations,” in *Proc. Interspeech 2018*, 2018, pp. 501–505. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2018-1830>
- [23] H. Kameoka, T. Kaneko, K. Tanaka, and N. Hojo, “Acvae-vc: Non-parallel many-to-many voice conversion with auxiliary classifier variational autoencoder,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.05092*, 2018.
- [24] E. Battenberg, R. Skerry-Ryan, S. Mariooryad, D. Stanton, D. Kao, M. Shannon, and T. Bagby, “Location-relative attention mechanisms for robust long-form speech synthesis,” in *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 6194–6198.
- [25] S. Liu, Y. Cao, S. Kang, N. Hu, X. Liu, D. Su, D. Yu, and H. Meng, “Transferring source style in non-parallel voice conversion,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.09178*, 2020.
- [26] Y. Wang, R. Skerry-Ryan, D. Stanton, Y. Wu, R. J. Weiss, N. Jaitly, Z. Yang, Y. Xiao, Z. Chen, S. Bengio *et al.*, “Tacotron: Towards end-to-end speech synthesis,” *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, pp. 4006–4010, 2017.
- [27] J. Shen, R. Pang, R. J. Weiss, M. Schuster, N. Jaitly, Z. Yang, Z. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, R. Skerry-Ryan *et al.*, “Natural tts synthesis by conditioning wavenet on mel spectrogram predictions,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 4779–4783.
- [28] C. Raffel, M.-T. Luong, P. J. Liu, R. J. Weiss, and D. Eck, “Online and linear-time attention by enforcing monotonic alignments,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.00784*, 2017.
- [29] J.-X. Zhang, Z.-H. Ling, and L.-R. Dai, “Forward attention in sequence-to-sequence acoustic modeling for speech synthesis,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 4789–4793.

- [30] M. He, Y. Deng, and L. He, “Robust sequence-to-sequence acoustic modeling with stepwise monotonic attention for neural tts,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00672*, 2019.
- [31] A. Graves, “Generating sequences with recurrent neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0850*, 2013.
- [32] S. Vasquez and M. Lewis, “Melnet: A generative model for audio in the frequency domain,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.01083*, 2019.
- [33] W.-C. Huang, T. Hayashi, Y.-C. Wu, H. Kameoka, and T. Toda, “Pretraining techniques for sequence-to-sequence voice conversion,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.03088*, 2020.
- [34] S. Kim, T. Hori, and S. Watanabe, “Joint ctc-attention based end-to-end speech recognition using multi-task learning,” in *2017 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 4835–4839.
- [35] A. Graves, S. Fernández, F. Gomez, and J. Schmidhuber, “Connectionist temporal classification: labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks,” in *Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on Machine learning*, 2006, pp. 369–376.
- [36] C. Yu, H. Lu, N. Hu, M. Yu, C. Weng, K. Xu, P. Liu, D. Tuo, S. Kang, G. Lei *et al.*, “Durian: Duration informed attention network for multimodal synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.01700*, 2019.
- [37] Y. Ren, Y. Ruan, X. Tan, T. Qin, S. Zhao, Z. Zhao, and T.-Y. Liu, “Fastspeech: Fast, robust and controllable text to speech,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2019, pp. 3165–3174.
- [38] L. Wan, Q. Wang, A. Papir, and I. L. Moreno, “Generalized end-to-end loss for speaker verification,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 4879–4883.
- [39] S. Liu, D. Wang, Y. Cao, L. Sun, X. Wu, S. Kang, Z. Wu, X. Liu, D. Su, D. Yu, and H. Meng, “End-to-end accent conversion without using native utterances,” in *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2020, pp. 6289–6293.
- [40] T. Salimans, A. Karpathy, X. Chen, and D. P. Kingma, “Pixelcnn++: Improving the pixelcnn with discretized logistic mixture likelihood and other modifications,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.05517*, 2017.
- [41] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, D. Povey, and S. Khudanpur, “Librispeech: an asr corpus based on public domain audio books,” in *2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 5206–5210.
- [42] C. Veaux, J. Yamagishi, K. MacDonald *et al.*, “Cstr vctk corpus: English multi-speaker corpus for cstr voice cloning toolkit,” *University of Edinburgh. The Centre for Speech Technology Research (CSTR)*, 2017.
- [43] J. Kominek and A. Black, “The cmu arctic speech databases for speech synthesis research,” Tech. Rep. CMU-LTI-03-177 <http://festvox.org/cmu-arctic/>, Language, Tech. Rep., 2003.
- [44] A. Nagrani, J. S. Chung, and A. Zisserman, “Voxceleb: a large-scale speaker identification dataset,” in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2017.
- [45] J. S. Chung, A. Nagrani, and A. Zisserman, “Voxceleb2: Deep speaker recognition,” in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2018.
- [46] H. Zen, V. Dang, R. Clark, Y. Zhang, R. J. Weiss, Y. Jia, Z. Chen, and Y. Wu, “Libritts: A corpus derived from librispeech for text-to-speech,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.02882*, 2019.
- [47] N. Kalchbrenner, E. Elsen, K. Simonyan, S. Noury, N. Casagrande, E. Lockhart, F. Stimberg, A. Oord, S. Dieleman, and K. Kavukcuoglu, “Efficient neural audio synthesis,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2018, pp. 2410–2419.
- [48] L.-J. Liu, Z.-H. Ling, Y. Jiang, M. Zhou, and L.-R. Dai, “Wavenet vocoder with limited training data for voice conversion,” in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2018, pp. 1983–1987.
- [49] J. Lorenzo-Trueba, J. Yamagishi, T. Toda, D. Saito, F. Villavicencio, T. Kinnunen, and Z. Ling, “The voice conversion challenge 2018: Promoting development of parallel and nonparallel methods,” in *Proc. Odyssey 2018 The Speaker and Language Recognition Workshop*, 2018, pp. 195–202. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21437/Odyssey.2018-28>
- [50] S. Liu, J. Zhong, L. Sun, X. Wu, X. Liu, and H. Meng, “Voice conversion across arbitrary speakers based on a single target-speaker utterance,” in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2018, pp. 496–500.
- [51] L. v. d. Maaten and G. Hinton, “Visualizing data using t-sne,” *Journal of machine learning research*, vol. 9, no. Nov, pp. 2579–2605, 2008.