# Universal  $T/B$  scaling behavior of heavy fermion compounds

V. R. Shaginyan,<sup>1, 2, \*</sup> A. Z. Msezane,<sup>2</sup> J. W. Clark,<sup>3,4</sup> G. S. Japaridze,<sup>2</sup> and Y. S. Leevik<sup>5</sup>

 $1$ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, NRC Kurchatov Institute, Gatchina, 188300, Russia

 $^{2}$ Clark Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA 30314, USA

<sup>3</sup>McDonnell Center for the Space Sciences & Department of Physics,

Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

 $4$ Centro de Investigação em Matemática e Aplicações,

University of Madeira, 9020-105 Funchal, Madeira, Portugal

 $5$ National Research University Higher School of Economics, St. Petersburg, 194100, Russia

In our mini-review, we address manifestations of  $T/B$  scaling behavior of heavy-fermion (HF) compounds, where  $T$  and  $B$  are respectively temperature and magnetic field. Using experimental data and the fermion condensation theory, we show that this scaling behavior is typical of HF compounds including HF metals, quasicrystals, and quantum spin liquids. We demonstrate that such scaling behavior holds down to the lowest temperature and field values, so that  $T/B$  varies in a wide range, provided the HF compound is located near the topological fermion condensation quantum phase transition (FCQPT). Due to the topological properties of FCQPT, the effective mass  $M^*$  exhibits a universal behavior, and diverges as T goes to zero. Such a behavior of  $M^*$  has important technological applications. We also explain how to extract the universal scaling behavior from experimental data collected on different heavy-fermion compounds. As an example, we consider the HF metal YbCo2Ge4, and show that its scaling behavior is violated at low temperatures. Our results obtained show good agreement with experimental facts.

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 71.10.Hf, 72.15.Eb

### INTRODUCTION

Topological approach is a powerful method to gain information about a wide class of physical systems. Knowledge of the topological properties allows us to improve a general knowledge about physical systems without solving specific equations, which describe concrete systems and are often very complicated. As usually, the microscopic approach to a heavy fermion (HF) metal (for example, computer simulations) gives only particular information about specific solids, but not about universal features, inherent in the wide class of HF compounds. HF compounds can be viewed as the new state of matter, since their behavior near the topological fermion condensation quantum phase transition (FCQPT) acquire important similarities, making them universal. The idea of this phase transition, forming experimentally discovered flat bands, started long ago, in 1990 [\[1–](#page-7-1)[3\]](#page-8-0). At first, this idea seemed to be a curious mathematical exercise, and now it is proved to be rapidly expanding field with uncountable applications [\[1](#page-7-1)[–9\]](#page-8-1).

The scaling behavior of HF compounds is a challenging problem of condensed matter physics [\[6,](#page-8-2) [10](#page-8-3)[–13\]](#page-8-4). It is generally assumed that scaling with respect to  $T/B$ (temperature-magnetic field ratio) is related to a quantum critical point (QCP) that represents the endpoint of a phase transition being tuned to  $T = 0$  by such control parameters as magnetic field, pressure, and composition of the heavy-fermion compounds. As soon as the tuned endpoint of the phase transition reaches  $T = 0$ , it becomes a quantum phase transition (QPT). At QCP involved quantum fluctuations like valence, magnetism,

etc can take place and influence on the properties of system in question [\[11,](#page-8-5) [12\]](#page-8-6). Fluctuations can also occur at second-order phase transitions, but in all cases the temperature range of these fluctuations is very narrow [\[14\]](#page-8-7); in contrast,  $T/B$  scaling can span a few orders of magnitude in  $T/B$  [\[6–](#page-8-2)[8\]](#page-8-8). An attendant problem to be addressed by theory stems from the experimental finding that scaling behavior can take place without both QCP realization and effective mass  $M^*$  divergence [\[12\]](#page-8-6). The divergence of effective mass  $M^*$  at  $T \to 0$  is of crucial importance for understanding technological applications of quantum materials. For example, the divergence leads to the high heat capacity C of quantum material, while under the application of magnetic field both  $M^*$  and C diminishes. As a result, one can exploit this property constructing low temperature refrigerators. To solve these problems, one needs to have a reliable theoretical framework for analysis of experimental facts related to the scaling behavior.

A universal  $T/B$  scaling behavior is generated by quasiparticles belonging to flat bands. These flat bands can be preformed by van Hove singularities and finally formed by inter-particle interaction generating topological FCQPT [\[15\]](#page-8-9). In narrow electronic bands in which the Coulomb interaction energy becomes comparable to the bandwidth, interactions drive the topological FCQPT; as a result, at  $T = 0$  flat bands are emerged, see e.g. [\[6–](#page-8-2) [8,](#page-8-8) [15\]](#page-8-9). Such flat bands in twisted graphene have been experimentally observed see e.g. [\[3\]](#page-8-0). Thus, we can safely use the model of homogeneous HF liquid, since we consider a behavior controlled by flat bands and related to the scaling of quantities such as the effective mass, heat

capacity, magnetization, etc. As a result, the scaling properties are defined by momentum transfers that are small compared to momenta of the order of the reciprocal lattice length. The high momentum contributions can therefore be ignored by substituting the lattice for the jelly model; this observation is in a good agreement with experimental facts collected on many HF metals [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [9\]](#page-8-1). In our case quasiparticles are well defined excitations see e.g. [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [16\]](#page-8-11), and the divergence of the effective mass  $M^*$  is not related to  $Z \to 0$  (as it is can be assumed, see e.g.  $[17]$ , where Z is the quasiparticle amplitude. The divergence is defined by both the emergence of an extended Van Hove singularities, pre-forming flat bands, and the Coulomb interaction, giving rise to strong correlations; as a result, at  $T = 0$  the electronic dispersion becomes flat at the chemical potential  $\mu$ , topologically transforming the Fermi surface into Fermi volume, see e.g. [\[1,](#page-7-1) [6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [9,](#page-8-1) [15,](#page-8-9) [18](#page-8-13)[–20\]](#page-8-14).

In our mini-review, we show that the fermion condensation (FC) theory, which entails the topological FC-QPT, provides the appropriate framework for describing and analyzing the universal scaling behavior of HF compounds [\[1,](#page-7-1) [2,](#page-7-2) [4–](#page-8-15)[7,](#page-8-10) [9\]](#page-8-1). We predict that  $T/B$  scaling behavior can be observed in a wide range of  $T/B$  values, provided the given HF compound is located near a topological FCQPT. Violation of  $T/B$  scaling at the lowest values of  $T/B$  is a signal that the given HF compound is situated before the topological FCQPT on the  $T - B$ phase diagram, and hence exhibits Landau Fermi-Liquid (LFL) behavior at sufficiently low temperatures. We consider the HF metal  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$ , and show that its scaling behavior is violated at low temperatures. The results of the FC theory are in good agreement with experimental observations collected on different strongly correlated Fermi systems like HF metals, quasicrystals and quantum magnets, holding quantum spin liquids [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [9\]](#page-8-1). As a result, the FC theory is useful tool when projecting technological applications of quantum materials representing by HF compounds.

## SCALING BEHAVIOR OF THE EFFECTIVE MASS NEAR THE TOPOLOGICAL FCQPT

One of the main experimental manifestations of the topological FCQPT phenomenon is the scaling behavior of the physical properties of HF compounds located near such a phase transition. To understand this scaling behavior on a sound theoretical basis, we begin with a brief description of the associated behavior exhibited by the effective mass  $M^*$  in the framework of a homogeneous HF liquid [\[6\]](#page-8-2). This simplification avoids the complications associated with the anisotropy of solids and focuses of both the thermodynamic properties and the non-Fermiliquid (NFL) behavior by calculating the effective mass  $M^*(T, B)$  as a function of temperature T and magnetic

field  $B$  [\[6–](#page-8-2)[8\]](#page-8-8), based on the Landau formula for the quasiparticle effective mass  $M^*(T, H)$ . The only modification introduced is that the effective mass is no longer approximately constant but now depends on temperature, magnetic field, and other parameters such as pressure, etc. We note that the FC theory is a good established theory based on the density functional theory; in that case, the Landau functional  $E[n(\mathbf{p})]$  and the corresponding Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) are also derived in the same frameworks, therefore, being exact, see e.g. [\[4,](#page-8-15) [6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [9,](#page-8-1) [18\]](#page-8-13). Here  $n(\mathbf{p})$  is the quasiparticle distribution function.

At finite temperatures and magnetic fields, Landau's equation takes the form  $[6, 8, 21, 22]$  $[6, 8, 21, 22]$  $[6, 8, 21, 22]$  $[6, 8, 21, 22]$  $[6, 8, 21, 22]$  $[6, 8, 21, 22]$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{M_{\sigma}^*(T, H)} = \frac{1}{M} \tag{1}
$$

$$
+\sum_{\sigma_1}\int\frac{\mathbf{p}_{F}\mathbf{p}}{p_{F}^{3}}F_{\sigma,\sigma_1}(\mathbf{p}_{F},\mathbf{p})\frac{\partial n_{\sigma_1}(\mathbf{p},T,H)}{\partial p}\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}}
$$

in terms of  $n_{\sigma}({\bf p})$  and the quasiparticle interaction  $F_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$ . Here  $M$  can be represented by the bare electron mass or by the enhanced bare like mass at van Hove singularity. The single-particle spectrum  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{p},T)$  is a variational derivative

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\varepsilon_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\delta E[n(\mathbf{p})]}{\delta n_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p})},\tag{2}
$$

of the system energy  $E[n_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p})]$  with respect to the quasiparticle distribution (or occupation numbers)  $n_{\sigma}$  (**p** for spin  $\sigma$ , which in turn is related to the spectrum  $\varepsilon_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p})$  by

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
n_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p}, T) = \left\{ 1 + \exp\left[\frac{(\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, T) - \mu_{\sigma})}{T}\right] \right\}^{-1}.
$$
 (3)

In our case, the chemical potential  $\mu$  depends on spin due to the Zeeman splitting,  $\mu_{\sigma} = \mu \pm \mu_B B$ , where  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton. The magnetic field  $B$  appears in Eq.  $(3)$ via the ratio  $\mu_{\sigma}/T = (\mu \pm \mu_B B)/T$ . We note that [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2\)](#page-1-2) are exact equations [\[7,](#page-8-10) [18\]](#page-8-13). In our case, the Landau interaction  $F$  is fixed by the condition that the system is situated at a FCQPT. Its sole purpose is to bring the system to the topological FCQPT point, where  $M^* \rightarrow$  $\infty$  at  $T = 0$  and  $B = 0$ , altering the topology of the Fermi surface, transforming it to a volume such that the effective mass acquires temperature and field dependence [\[6,](#page-8-2) [21,](#page-8-16) [23\]](#page-8-18). Provided the Landau interaction is an analytic function, at the Fermi surface the momentum-dependent part of the Landau interaction can be parameterized as a truncated power series  $F = aq^2 + bq^3 + cq^4 + \dots$ , where  $q = p_1 - p_2$ , the variables  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are momenta, and  $a, b$ , and c are fitting parameters defined by the condition that the system is at a FCQPT point.

Direct inspection of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) shows that at  $T = 0$  and  $B = 0$ , the sum of the first and second terms on the right side vanishes, since  $1/M^*(T \to 0)$  goes to zero when the





<span id="page-2-4"></span>FIG. 1: (Color online). Scaling behavior of the dimensionless effective mass  $M_N^*$  versus dimensionless variable  $(T/B)<sub>N</sub>$ . Scaling of thermodynamic properties is defined by  $M_N^*$ ; see Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0).  $M_N^*$  is a function of  $T_N \propto (T/B)_N \sim$  $(T/B)/(T/B)_M$ , as it follows from Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-1). Solid curve depicts the scaling behavior  $M_N^*$  versus normalized temperature  $T_N$  as a function of magnetic field, given by Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) and [\(12\)](#page-3-2). Clearly, at finite  $T_N < 1$  the normal Fermi liquid regime is realized. At  $T_N \sim 1$  the system enters a crossover state, and at growing temperatures exhibits NFL behavior. The LFL, crossover, inflection point, and NFL behavior are indicated by the arrows. The LFL behavior is additionally shown by the hatched area.

system is located at the FCQPT point. Given a Landau interaction analytic with respect to momenta variables, at finite  $T$  the right side of Eq.  $(1)$  is proportional  $F'(M^*)^2T^2$ , where F' is the first derivative of  $F(q)$  with respect to q at  $q \to 0$ . Results for the corresponding integrals can be found in textbooks – see especially Ref. [\[24\]](#page-8-19). At any rate, we have  $1/M^* \propto (M^*)^2T^2$  and arrive at  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$ 

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
M^*(T) \simeq a_T T^{-2/3}.\tag{4}
$$

At finite temperatures, application of a magnetic field  $\mu_B B \gg k_B T$  drives the system to the LFL regime with

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
M^*(B) \simeq a_B B^{-2/3},\tag{5}
$$

where  $a_T$  and  $a_B$  are parameters and  $k_B$  the Boltzmann constant. It follows from Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-1) that heat capacity  $C \propto M^*(B)$ T of quantum materials strongly depends on B, this property can be used when projecting e.g. low temperatures refrigerators.

If the system is still located before the FCQPT, the effective mass is finite  $M^* = M_0$ , and Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-1) must be adjusted, since at  $B \to 0$  the effective mass  $M^*$  does not diverge; thus

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
M^*(B) \simeq a_B (B_0 + B)^{-2/3}.
$$
 (6)

<span id="page-2-5"></span>FIG. 2: (Color online). Schematic  $T - B$  phase diagram of a HF compound, with magnetic field B as control parameter. The hatched area corresponds to the crossover domain at  $T_M(B)$ , given by Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-1). At fixed magnetic field and elevated temperature (vertical arrow) there is a LFL-NFL crossover. The horizontal arrow indicates a NFL-LFL transition at fixed temperature and elevated magnetic field. The topological FCQPT (shown in the panel) occurs at  $T = 0$  and  $B = 0$ , where  $M^*$  diverges.

From Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-2) it follows that at  $B \to 0$  the effective mass becomes  $M_0$ . Therefore, when  $B \gg B_0$ , the effective mass  $M^*$  depends on the magnetic field in accordance with Eq.  $(5)$ , since the contribution coming from B defines the behavior of  $M^*$ . As a result, at  $B \gg B_0$  we can replace Eq.  $(5)$  by the equivalent equation

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
M^*(B) \simeq M_0 + a_B B^{-2/3}.\tag{7}
$$

In the case of the HF liquid, these observations allow for construction of an approximate solution of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the form  $M^* = M^*(B,T)$  that satisfies both Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(5\)](#page-2-1). Introduction of "internal" scales simplifies the problem under consideration, allowing us to eliminate the microscopic structure of the HF compounds under consideration [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7\]](#page-8-10). To establish such "internal" scales, we observe that near the FCQPT, the effective mass  $M^*(B,T)$  reaches a maximum  $M_M^*$  at a certain temperature  $T_M \propto B$ . (See later comments on Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-1-1) and [\(5\)](#page-2-1) and Fig. [1\)](#page-2-4). To conveniently measure the effective mass and temperature versus magnetic field  $B$ , we introduce the scales  $M_M^*$  and  $T_M$ , generating new variables  $M_N^* = M^*/M_M^*$  (normalized effective mass) and  $T_N = T/T_M$  (normalized temperature). In the vicinity of FCQPT, the normalized effective mass  $M^*_N(T_N)$  is well approximated by a universal function [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7\]](#page-8-10)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
M_N^*(T_N) \approx c_0 \frac{1 + c_1 T_N^2}{1 + c_2 T_N^{8/3}}.\tag{8}
$$

Here,  $T_N = T/T_M \propto T/B$  (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-4) and  $c_0 =$ 

 $(1+c_2)/(1+c_1)$ , with  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  free parameters. We stress that values of  $M_M^*$  and  $T_M$  are defined by the microscopic structure of the HF compound under study, while the normalized values  $M_N^*$  and  $T_N$  demonstrate the universal scaling exhibited by HF compounds located near the topological FCQPT, since this scaling is determined by the nature of both the phase transition and the model of homogeneous HF liquid; we note that these observations are in good agreement with experimental facts collected on HF compounds, see e.g.  $[6-9]$ . From Eqs.  $(5)$  and  $(8)$ it follows that

$$
M_M^* \propto B^{-2/3} \propto T_M^{-2/3}; T/B \simeq T/T_N.
$$
 (9)

The Landau interaction  $F_{\sigma,\sigma_1}(q)$  appearing in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) can produce the characteristic topological form of the spectrum  $\varepsilon(p) - \mu \propto (p - p_b)^2 (p - p_F)$ , with  $(p_b < p_F)$ and  $(p_F - p_b)/p_F \ll 1$ , leading to  $M^* \propto T^{-1/2}$  and creating a quantum critical point [\[25\]](#page-8-20). The same critical point is generated by the interaction  $F(q)$  as represented by a non-analytic but integrable-over-x function with  $q =$  $\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2xp_1p_2}$  and  $F(q \to 0) \to \infty$  [\[4,](#page-8-15) [6,](#page-8-2) [8\]](#page-8-8). Both cases lead to  $M^* \propto T^{-1/2}$ , and Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-3) becomes

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
M^*(T) \simeq a_T T^{-1/2}.\tag{10}
$$

In the same way, we obtain

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
M^*(B) \simeq a_B B^{-1/2}, \tag{11}
$$

in terms of parameters  $a_T$  and  $a_B$ .

Taking into account the fact that Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-3) leads to a spiky density of states (DOS), with the spiky character fading away under increasing temperature as observed in quasicrystals [\[26](#page-8-21)[–28\]](#page-8-22), we note that the general form of  $\varepsilon(p)$  produces the behavior of  $M^*$  given by Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-3-3) and [\(11\)](#page-3-4). This is realized in quasicrystals, which can be viewed as a generalized form of common crystals [\[26\]](#page-8-21). We note further that the behavior  $1/M^* \propto \chi^{-1} \propto T^{1/2}$ is in good agreement with the behavior  $\chi^{-1} \propto T^{0.51}$  observed experimentally in quasicrystals [\[26,](#page-8-21) [28\]](#page-8-22). Our result  $1/M^* \propto T^{1/2}$  is consistent with the robustness of the exponent 0.51 under hydrostatic pressure [\[28\]](#page-8-22). This robustness is guaranteed by the unique singular density of states associated with the topological FCQPT, which survives under application of pressure [\[26–](#page-8-21)[30\]](#page-8-23). To develop the consequences of the the solution of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) at finite  $B$  and  $T$  near the FCQPT, we construct an approximate solution by interpolating between the LFL behavior described by Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-4) and the NFL behavior described by Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-3), that models the universal scaling behavior  $M_N^*(T_N \propto T/B)$  [\[26\]](#page-8-21)

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
M_N^*(T_N) \approx c_0 \frac{1 + c_1 T_N^2}{1 + c_2 T_N^{5/2}},\tag{12}
$$

with  $c_0 = (1+c_2)/(1+c_1)$  and  $c_1, c_2$  as fitting parameters. Taking into account Eqs.  $(11)$  and  $(12)$ , we arrive at

<span id="page-3-6"></span>
$$
M_M^* \propto B^{-1/2} \propto T_M^{-1/2}.
$$
 (13)

It follows from Eqs.  $(3)$ ,  $(8)$ , and  $(12)$  that

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
T_M \propto B; T_N = \frac{T}{T_M} = \frac{T}{a_1 \mu_B B} \propto \frac{T}{B} \sim \left(\frac{T}{B}\right)_N.
$$
 (14)

Here  $a_1$  is a dimensionless factor,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton,  $(T/B)_N = (T/B)/(T/B)_M$ , where  $(T/B)_M$  is the point at which  $M_N^*$  reaches it maximum value  $M_N^* = 1$ , as illustrated in Fig. [1.](#page-2-4) Expression  $(14)$  shows that Eqs.  $(8)$ and [\(12\)](#page-3-2) determine the effective-mass scaling in terms of  $T/B$  as well. We conclude from Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-1) that since  $T_M \propto B$ , the curves  $M^*_N(T, B)$  merge into a single curve  $M_N^*(T_N = T/B)$ , with  $T_N = T/T_M \propto T/B$ , demonstrating the widespread scaling in HF metals (for example, see  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$ . Such behavior is depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-2-4) We note that Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) and [\(14\)](#page-3-1) allow us to describe the behavior of the strongly correlated quantum spin liquid (SCQSL) existing in different frustrated magnets [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7\]](#page-8-10).

Another important feature of the FC state is that apart from the fact that the Landau quasiparticle effectively acquires strong dependence on external factors such as the temperature and magnetic field, all the fundamental relations inherent in the LFL approach remain formally intact. In particular, the famous LFL relation [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [22,](#page-8-17) [24\]](#page-8-19),

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
M^*(B,T) \propto \chi(B,T) \propto \frac{C(B,T)}{T},\tag{15}
$$

still holds. That is, expression [\(15\)](#page-3-0) is also valid in the case of HF compounds located near a topological FC- $QPT$ , where the specific heat  $C$ , magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$ , and effective mass  $M^*$  depend on T and B. Based on Eq.  $(15)$ , we find that the normalized values of  $C/T$  and  $\chi$  are of the form [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7\]](#page-8-10)

<span id="page-3-5"></span>
$$
M_N^*(B,T) = \chi_N(B,T) = \left(\frac{C(B,T)}{T}\right)_N.
$$
 (16)

Thus, Eq. [\(16\)](#page-3-5) allows us to reveal the universal scaling behavior of different HF compounds located near the topological FCQPT like HF metals, frustrated insulators with SCQSL, quasicrystals, 2D liquids, etc., see e.g. [\[6,](#page-8-2) [9\]](#page-8-1) It is also seen from Eq. [\(16\)](#page-3-5) that the aforementioned thermodynamic properties have the same scaling behavior as depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-2-4) Moreover, we shall see below that the thermodynamic properties of HF metals, SCQSL of frustrated magnets, and the other HF compounds exhibit the same typical behavior. Based on Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) and Fig. [1,](#page-2-4) we can construct the general schematic  $T - B$  phase diagram of SCQSL, reported in Fig. [2.](#page-2-5) We assume here that at  $T = 0$  and  $B = 0$  the system is approximately located at a FCQPT point. At fixed temperature the system is driven by the magnetic field B along the horizontal arrow (from the NFL to the LFL parts of the phase diagram). At fixed  $B$  and elevated  $T$  the system moves from the LFL to the NFL regime along the vertical arrow. The hatched area indicating the crossover

between LFL and NFL phases separates the NFL state from the paramagnetic slightly polarized LFL state. The crossover temperature  $T_M(B)$  is given by Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-1).

## T/B SCALING IN HEAVY FERMION COMPOUNDS



<span id="page-4-0"></span>FIG. 3: (Color online). Universal  $B/T$  scaling of strongly correlated Fermi systems. Scaling behavior of the HF metal  $CeCu<sub>6-x</sub>Au<sub>x</sub>$  with  $x = 0.1$  is extracted from data (measured at different field values  $B = 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.05$  T) in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-8-24), and that of  $ZnCu_3(OH)_6Cl_2$  (measured at different field values  $B = 0.5, 1.0, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, 10.0, 14.0$  T), from data in Ref. [\[33\]](#page-8-25). At  $B/T \ll 1$  the systems demonstrate NFL behavior with  $\chi \propto M^*$  as given by Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-3), i.e.,  $T^{2/3}\chi \propto$ const. At  $B/T \gg 1$  the systems demonstrate LFL behavior with  $\chi$  as given by Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-1), a decreasing function of  $B/T$  (see Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-2)). The LFL, crossover, inflection point, and NFL behavior are indicated by the arrows. The broken lines indicate the asymptotic dependencies in the limits of small  $(B/T)<sub>N</sub>$ (the NFL behavior) and large  $(B/T)<sub>N</sub>$  (the LFL behavior). The theoretical prediction is represented by solid curve.

The experimentally based scaling behavior of  $M_N^*$  so derived is displayed in Fig. [1.](#page-2-4) Explanation of this scaling,  $M_N^*(T_N) \propto C(B,T)/T$ , presents a serious challenge to theories of the HF compounds. Most of the current theories analyze only the critical exponents that characterize  $M_N^*(T_N)$  at  $T_N \gg 1$  and thus consider only a part of the problem, missing the LFL and the transition regime [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [13\]](#page-8-4). This scaling behavior of the effective mass  $M^*_{N}$  of HF compounds (or strongly correlated Fermi systems) is described by Eqs.  $(8)$  and  $(12)$ . It follows then from Eqs.  $(15)$  and  $(16)$  that their experimentally observed thermodynamic properties express the universal scaling behavior revealed by our analysis.

In the present context, the HF compounds are taken to represent strongly correlated Fermi sytems as realized in HF metals, high- $T_c$  superconductors, quasicrystals, SC-



<span id="page-4-1"></span>FIG. 4: (Color online). Schematic diagram of temperature versus these dimensionless control parameters: normalized pressure  $P/P_c$ , composition  $x/x_c$ , magnetic field  $B/B_c$ . We assume that  $B_c > 0$ , if  $B_c = 0$ , see the phase diagram [2.](#page-2-5) The solid black line indicates the topological FCQPT point (orange circle). At  $T = 0$  and beyond the quantum critical point (to the left of the orange circle), the system is on the quantum critical line (QCL) implicating a flat band, as indicated by the red-dashed arrow. At any finite temperature  $T < T_f$  and at elevated  $P/P_c > 1$ ,  $x/x_c > 1$ ,  $B/B_c > 1$ , the system enters the crossover and, then, the LFL region. The blue dash-dot arrow points to the system as situated before the topological FCQPT, where at  $T \to 0$  it exhibits LFL behavior with effective mass  $M^* = M_0$ . At elevated magnetic fields, the behavior of the effective mass is given by Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-6), and the scaling behavior is restored at  $B > B_0$ .

QSL of frustrated magnets and two-dimensional liquids like <sup>3</sup>He. One can expect that HF compounds with their extremely diverse composition and microscopic structure would demonstrate very different thermodynamic, transport, and relaxation properties. To reveal the universal scaling behavior of HF compounds, irrespective of specific properties of individual compounds, we have introduced internal scales to measure the corresponding thermodynamic properties, as is done when we consider the scaling behavior of the effective mass  $M^*$ . This uniform behavior arises from the fact that HF compounds are located near a topological FCQPT, generating their uniform scaling behavior with respect to the effective mass  $M^*$  [\[6,](#page-8-2) [9,](#page-8-1) [31\]](#page-8-26) (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-4). As an example, Fig. [3](#page-4-0) displays the universal  $T/B$  scaling behavior of the HF metal  $CeCu<sub>6-x</sub>Au<sub>x</sub>$  and SCQSL of the frustrated insulator herbertsmithite  $ZnCu_3(OH)_6Cl_2$  [\[32,](#page-8-24) [33\]](#page-8-25). The existence of such universal behavior, exhibited by various and very distinctive strongly correlated Fermi systems, supports the conclusion that HF compounds represent a new state of matter [\[9,](#page-8-1) [31\]](#page-8-26). In contrast to the situation for an ordinary quantum phase transition, this scaling, induced

by the topological FCQPT, occurs up to high characteristic temperature  $T_f$ ,  $T < T_f$  ∼ 100 K, since the NFL behavior is defined by quasiparticles (with  $M_N^*$  given by Eqs.  $(8)$  and  $(12)$ , rather than by fluctuations, or by Kondo lattice effects [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7,](#page-8-10) [9\]](#page-8-1).

Some remarks are in order here. A strongly correlated Fermi system can be situated after the topological FC-QPT, i.e., on the ordered side defined by the quantum critical line (QCL), as shown in the schematic phase diagram [4.](#page-4-1) As it is shown in Fig. [4,](#page-4-1) FCQPT can be tuned by dimensionless control parameters: Normalized pressure  $P/P_c$ , composition  $x/x_c$ , magnetic field  $B/B_c$ . Here we assume that the critical magnetic field  $B_c > 0$ . In the case of  $B_c = 0$ , see Fig. [2,](#page-2-5) demonstrating that at  $T = 0$ and  $B = 0$  the system is located at the topological FC-QPT. Note that there can be two critical magnetic fields  $B_{c1}$  and  $B_{c2}$ , as it is in case of the HF metal  $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$ [\[15,](#page-8-9) [34\]](#page-8-27).

As it is seen from Fig. [4,](#page-4-1) at  $T = 0$  the crossover region is absent, and the FC state is separated from the LFL region by the first order phase transition [\[6\]](#page-8-2), for the FC state is characterized by special quantum topological number, being a new type of Fermi liquid [\[2\]](#page-7-2). At  $T > 0$  there is the crossover rather than a phase transition  $[6]$ . One may expect that the  $T/B$  scaling is caused by features not related to the presence of QCP and the divergence of  $M^*$  (see e.g. [\[12\]](#page-8-6)). On the other hand, if the system in question is located before FCQPT, as indicated by the dash-dot arrow in Fig. [4,](#page-4-1) it exhibits LFL behavior even in the absence of a magnetic field B at low  $T \to 0$ . At elevated magnetic fields reaching  $B \gg B_0$ , Eqs. [\(5\)](#page-2-1) and [\(11\)](#page-3-4) are valid and the scaling behavior returns to that given by Eqs.  $(8)$  and  $(12)$ . Thus, to witness the presence of both the scaling behavior and divergence of the effective mass in measurements on HF compounds, one has to carry out measurements at sufficiently low temperatures and magnetic fields. For instance, the HF metal  $CeRu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  exhibits NFL behavior at low temperatures (down to 170 mK) and small magnetic fields ( $B \simeq 0.02$  mT) comparable with the magnetic field of the Earth [\[35\]](#page-8-28). Measurements carried out under application of magnetic fields have led to the incorrect statement that  $CeRu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  demonstrates LFL behavior at low temperatures (see [\[35\]](#page-8-28) and references therein). We note that if the critical magnetic field  $B_c$  is finite, then the scaling behavior occurs versus  $T/(B - B_c)$  [\[31\]](#page-8-26).

# VIOLATION OF SCALING BEHAVIOR

Now we consider the statement that the scaling behavior can be observed without the presence of both QCP and divergent effective mass  $M^*$  [\[12\]](#page-8-6). The  $T/B$  scaling behaviors experimentally observed in measurements of the magnetization  $dM/dT$  on the HF metals YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub> and  $\beta$  – YbAlB<sub>4</sub> [\[11,](#page-8-5) [12\]](#page-8-6) are displayed in Figs. [5](#page-5-0) and [6.](#page-6-0)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>FIG. 5: (Color online). YbCo2Ge4: Scaling behavior of the dimensionless normalized magnetization  $(B^{1/2}dM(T, B)/dT)_{N}$ versus dimensionless  $(T/B)_N$ , measured at different field values  $B = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5$  T. The data are extracted from measurements; see Fig. 4 of Ref. [\[12\]](#page-8-6). The LFL, crossover and NFL behaviors are indicated by the arrows and hatched areas. The theory is represented by the solid curve, describing very well the scaling behavior of  $(B^{1/2}dM(T, B)/dT)_N$  obtained in measurements on  $\beta$  – YbAlB<sub>4</sub> [\[11\]](#page-8-5) (see Fig. [6\)](#page-6-0). The LFL and NFL behaviors of  $(B^{1/2}dM(T, B)/dT)_N$  are represented by the labels  $B^{-3/2}T$  and  $T^{-3/2}B$ , respectively.

As follows from Eqs.  $(12)$ ,  $(13)$ , and  $(15)$ , the function  $B^{1/2}dM/dT$  can be represented as

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\frac{dM}{dT} = \int_0^B \frac{\partial \chi(b, T)}{\partial T} db \propto \int_0^B \frac{\partial M_N^*(x)}{\partial T} M_M^* db, \quad (17)
$$

where b stands for magnetic field,  $x = T/T_M \propto T/b$ , as it follows from Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-6). Again, taking into account Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-6), we obtain  $M_M^* \propto b^{-1/2}$  and Eq. [\(17\)](#page-5-1) then reads

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
B^{1/2} \frac{dM}{dT} = F(T/B),\tag{18}
$$

Thus,  $B^{1/2}dM/dT$  is a function of the only variable  $T/B$ , since  $F(T/B)$  is a function of  $T/B$  as it follows from Eq.  $(17).$  $(17).$ 

As seen from Figs.  $5$  and  $6$ , Eq. [\(18\)](#page-5-2) and the corresponding calculations, represented by the solid curve, are in good agreement with the data  $[8, 11, 12]$  $[8, 11, 12]$  $[8, 11, 12]$  $[8, 11, 12]$ . To calculate the LFL behavior of  $dM/dT$  taking place at  $(T/B)_N \ll 1$ , i.e.,  $T \ll B$ , we use the well-known relation  $dM/dT = dS/dB$ , with S being the entropy,  $S \propto M^*T$ . Taking into account Eq.  $(11)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\frac{dM}{dT} = \frac{dS}{dB} = T \frac{dM^*(B)}{dB} \propto -B^{-3/2}T,\qquad(19)
$$

as shown in Figs. [5](#page-5-0) and [6.](#page-6-0) At  $(T/B)_N \gg 1$ , i.e.,  $B \ll T$ , the system exhibits NFL behavior. Using Eq.  $(10)$ , we arrive at

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\frac{dM}{dT} \propto \int \frac{dM^*(T)}{dT} dB \propto -T^{-3/2}B. \tag{20}
$$

Taking into account Eqs.  $(19)$  and  $(20)$ , we have at  $(T/B)_N = y \ll 1$ 

$$
\sqrt{B}\frac{dM}{dT} = F(T/B) \propto y,\tag{21}
$$

and at  $(T/B)_N = y \gg 1$ 

$$
\sqrt{B}\frac{dM}{dT} = F(T/B) \propto y^{-3/2}.\tag{22}
$$

This theoretical result is in good agreement with experimental observations, see Figs. [5](#page-5-0) and [6](#page-6-0) [\[11,](#page-8-5) [12\]](#page-8-6). Accordingly, we conclude that the fermion-condensation theory correctly describes the scaling behavior, showing good agreement with the data.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>FIG. 6: (color online).  $\beta$ -YbAlB<sub>4</sub>: Scaling behavior of the dimensionless normalized magnetization  $(B^{1/2}dM(T, B)/dT)_{N}$ versus the dimensionless normalized  $(B/T)<sub>N</sub>$  at different magnetic fields  $(0.31 \,\mathrm{mT} \leq B \leq 2 \,\mathrm{T})$  [\[7,](#page-8-10) [8\]](#page-8-8). The data are extracted from measurements on  $\beta$  – YbAlB<sub>4</sub> [\[11\]](#page-8-5). The LFL behavior, crossover, and NFL behavior are indicated by the arrows. Additionally, the LFL behavior and the crossover are shown by the hatched areas. At  $(T/B)_N \gg 1$  the NFL behavior is marked by the label  $T^{-3/2}B$ . At  $(T/B)_N \ll 1$  the LFL behavior is marked by the label  $TB^{-3/2}$ . The theory is represented by the solid curve. Notation is specified in the caption of Fig. [5.](#page-5-0)

Now we turn to the magnetic Grüneisen parameter  $\Gamma_{mag}(T) = -(dM/dT)/C$  in the NFL regime, i.e., at  $B \ll T$ , with results reported in Fig. [7\(](#page-6-2)a). It is seen that  $\Gamma_{mag}(T)$  has an inflection point at  $T = T_{inf}$ , signaling that there is LFL behavior at lower temperatures rather than a divergence (see also Fig. [1\)](#page-2-4). Thus, we assume that



<span id="page-6-2"></span>FIG. 7: (color online). YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>: Magnetic Grüneisen parameter  $\Gamma_{\text{mag}}(T) = -(dM/dT)/C$  versus B for values shown in the legend. The data are taken from Ref. [\[12\]](#page-8-6). (a)  $\Gamma_{\text{mag}}(T)$ versus a logarithmic temperature scale. The approximate location of the inflection point at temperature  $T_{inf}$  is indicated by the arrow. (b)  $\Gamma_{\text{mag}}(T)$  is shown on a double-logarithmic plot. The solid line displays a  $T^{-5/2}$  dependence at  $B = 0.05$ T. At  $T = T_{cr}$  YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub> enters the crossover, see Fig. [2,](#page-2-5) and the dependence  $T^{-5/2}$  is vanished.

the HF metal  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$  is located before the topological FCQPT, as indicated by the dash-dot arrow in Fig. [4.](#page-4-1) We note that the inflection point takes place at too low temperatures and magnetic fields, and it could not make a visible impact on the scaling behavior reported in Fig. [5,](#page-5-0) that is, one needs to carry out measurements at sufficiently low  $T$  and  $B$  to clarify a possible violation of the scaling behavior. We suggest that  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$  can be tuned to FCQPT by the application of pressure or by doping, as it is done in the case of  $CeCu_{6-x}Au_{x}$ , while experimental facts show that  $B_c = 0$  and the application of magnetic field drives  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$  from its QCP [\[12\]](#page-8-6). The LFL behavior of YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub> at  $T \to 0$  is supported by the measurements of  $\Gamma_{mag}(T)$ , which exhibits divergent behavior  $T^{-5/2}$  at the interval  $T_{cr} \le T \le 0.8$  K, as it is seen from Fig. [7,](#page-6-2) where  $T_{cr}$  is the crossover temperature. At  $T \leq T_{cr}$  the magnetic Grüneisen parameter  $\Gamma_{mag}(T)$  does not follow the behavior indicated by the straight line because  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$  enters the crossover re-gion (see Figs. [1](#page-2-4) and [7\)](#page-6-2). At the interval  $T_{cr} \le T \le 0.8$  K one has  $\Gamma_{mag}(T) = -(dM/dT)/C \propto T^{-3/2}/T = T^{-5/2}$ , since at  $T \leq 0.8$  K and  $B = 0$  the heat capacity C demonstrates LFL behavior, namely  $C(T) \propto T$  [\[12\]](#page-8-6). It is seen from Fig. [7](#page-6-2) that at  $T \lesssim 0.15$  K, YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub> exhibits LFL behavior induced by the application of magnetic field  $B = 0.1$ . This behavior qualitatively resembles that occurring at  $B = 0.05$  T.



<span id="page-7-3"></span>FIG. 8: (color online). The  $-dM/dT$  NFL behavior at fixed field  $B = 0.05$  T. The solid line indicates  $T^{-3/2}$  dependence on a double-logarithmic plot. The experimental data are taken from Ref. [\[12\]](#page-8-6). The temperature  $T_{cr}$  at which the system enters the crossover region is indicated by the arrow. See also Fig. [1.](#page-2-4)

Thus, we predict that at lower temperatures,  $\Gamma_{mag}(T)$ will also exhibit LFL behavior. The measurements of  $dM/dT$  depicted in Fig. [8](#page-7-3) support this conclusion: at  $T \geq T_{cr}$ , one finds  $dM/dT \propto T^{-3/2}$  (see also Figs. [5](#page-5-0) and [6\)](#page-6-0), while at  $T \leq T_{cr}$  the divergent behavior disappears. It is seen from Fig. [8](#page-7-3) that  $dM/dT$  deviates from a straight line for  $T \leq T_{cr}$ , entering the crossover region and finally exhibiting LFL behavior (see Figs. [1](#page-2-4) and [4\)](#page-4-1). We note that the same behavior is seen in the frustrated magnet  $ZnCu<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , which hosts a quantum spin liquid and demonstrates LFL behavior at  $T < 400$  mK [\[36\]](#page-8-29). The scaling behavior is expected to be violated in the LFL region, whereas it would be restored with growing temperatures  $T > 400$  mK [\[37,](#page-8-30) [38\]](#page-8-31). It is seen from Fig. [3](#page-4-0) that the scaling behavior is not violated at  $(B/T) > 1$ , for the measurements are taken at  $T \geq 1.8$  K [\[33\]](#page-8-25). We expect the scaling violation at  $T < 300$  mK and  $B < 0.4$ 

T at the LFL behavior, see Fig. [3.](#page-4-0) As to  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$ , we suggest that measurements of the thermodynamic properties at very low temperatures and magnetic fields can clarify the physics of scaling behavior accompanied by the divergence of the effective mass. While by now it is impossible to exclude the possibility of the scaling behavior down to the lowest temperatures without the  $M^\ast$ divergence.

### **CONCLUSION**

The  $T/B$  scaling behavior of HF compounds has been investigated at some depth. It is shown that the HF metal  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$  does not exhibit scaling behavior down to lowest temperatures, since it is located before the topological fermion condensate quantum phase transition (FCQPT). For the same reason, the effective mass does not diverge at the lowest temperatures. Based both on the theoretical consideration and the experimental facts, we have shown that there is no scaling without both the topological FCQPT and divergence of the effective mass. We have demonstrated that HF compounds exhibit the  $T/B$  scaling down to the lowest temperatures, provided these systems are located at the topological FC-QPT. We suggest that measurements of the thermodynamic properties at very low temperatures and magnetic fields on  $YbCo<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>$  can clarify the physics of scaling behavior without the divergence of the effective mass. We have outlined that the divergence of effective mass M<sup>∗</sup> at  $T \to 0$  is of crucial importance for projecting possible technological applications of quantum materials. We have also demonstrated that the topological fermion condensation theory gives a good description of the scaling behavior of various HF compounds. As a result, the theory can be used as well to evaluate the technological perspectives of quantum materials. Our results are in good agreement with experimental observations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank V. A. Khodel for stimulating and fruitful discussions. This work was partly supported by U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Energy Research. J. W. Clark is indebted to the University of Madeira for gracious hospitality during periods of extended residence.

- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[1] V. A. Khodel and V. R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 51, 553  $(1990)$ .
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[2] G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 53, 222 (1991).

<span id="page-7-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> Electronic address: [vrshag@thd.pnpi.spb.ru](mailto:vrshag@thd.pnpi.spb.ru)

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[3] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nature 556, 43 (2018).
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>[4] V. A. Khodel, V. R. Shaginyan, and V. V. Khodel, Phys. Rep. 249, 1 (1994).
- [5] G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 107, 516 (2018).
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[6] V. R. Shaginyan, M. Ya. Amusia, A. Z. Msezane, and K. G. Popov, Phys. Rep. 492, 31 (2010).
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[7] M. Ya. Amusia, K. G. Popov, V. R. Shaginyan, and W. A. Stephanowich, Theory of Heavy-Fermion Compounds. Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 182 (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[8] V. R. Shaginyan, A. Z. Msezane, K. G. Popov, J. W. Clark, V. A. Khodel, and M. V. Zverev, Phys. Rev. B 93, 205126 (2016).
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[9] M. Ya. Amusia and V. R. Shaginyan, Stronlgly correlated Fermi systems: A new state of matter. Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, Vol. 283 (Springer, Berlin, 2020).
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[10] Y. Matsumoto, S. Nakatsuji, K. Kuga, Y. Karaki, N. Horie, Y. Shimura, T. Sakakibara, A. H. Nevidomskyy, and P. Coleman, Science 331, 316 (2011).
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[11] T. Tomita, K. Kuga, Y. Uwatoko, P. Coleman, S. Nakatsuji, Science 349, 506 (2015).
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[12] A. Sakai, K. Kitagawa, K. Matsubayashi, M. Iwatani, and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. B 94, 041106(R) (2016).
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[13] Y. Komijani and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 217001 (2019).
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[14] E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, Statistical Physics, Part 1, (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1996).
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[15] V. R. Shaginyan, K. G. Popov, and V. A. Khodel, Phys. Rev. B 88, 115103 (2013).
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[16] V. A. Khodel, J. W. Clark, M. V. Zverev, JETP Lett. 90, 628 (2010).
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[17] C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2723 (1985).
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[18] V. R. Shaginyan, Phys. Lett. A **249**, 237 (1998).
- [19] D. Yudin, D. Hirschmeier, H. Hafermann, O. Eriksson, A. I. Lichtenstein, and M. I. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 070403 (2014).
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[20] S. Link, S. Forti, A. Stöhr, K. Ksüter, M. Rösner, D. Hirschmeier, C. Chen, J. Avila, M. C. Asensio, A. A. Zakharov, T. O. Wehling, A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, and U. Starke, Phys. Rev. B  $100$ ,  $121407(R)$ (2019).
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>[21] V. A. Khodel, J. W. Clark, M. V. Zverev, Physics of Atomic Nuclei 74, 1237 (2011).
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[22] L. D. Landau, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30, 1058 (1956).
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>[23] J. W. Clark, V. A. Khodel, and M. V. Zverev, Phys. Rev. B 71, 012401(2005).
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>[24] E. M. Lifshitz, L. Pitaevskii, Statistical Physics. Part 2. (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2002)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>[25] V. A. Khodel, M. V. Zverev, and J. W. Clark, JETP Lett. 81, 315 (2005).
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>[26] V. R. Shaginyan, A. Z. Msezane, K. G. Popov, G. S. Japaridze, and V. A. Khodel, Phys. Rev. B 87, 245122 (2013).
- [27] R. Widmer, P. Gröning, M. Feuerbacher, and O. Gröning, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 104202 (2009).
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>[28] K. Deguchi, S. Matsukawa, N. K. Sato, T. Hattori, K. Ishida, H. Takakura, and T. Ishimasa, Nature Materials 11, 1013 (2012).
- [29] T. Fujiwara and T. Yokokawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 333 (1991).
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>[30] T. Fujiwara, S. Yamamoto, and G. T. de Laissardière, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4166 (1993).
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>[31] V. R. Shaginyan, V. A. Stephanovich, A. Z. Msezane, P. Schuck, J. W. Clark, M. Ya. Amusia, G. S. Japaridze, K. G. Popov, and E. V. Kirichenko, J. Low Temp. Phys. 189, 410 (2017).
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>[32] A. Schröder, G. Aeppli, R. Coldea, M. Adams, O. Stockert, H.v. Löhneysen, E. Bucher, R. Ramazashvili, and P. Coleman, Nature 407, 351 (2000).
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>[33] J. S. Helton, K. Matan, M. P. Shores, E. A. Nytko, B. M. Bartlett, Y. Qiu, D. G. Nocera, and Y. S. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 147201 (2010).
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>[34] V. R. Shaginyan, A. Z. Msezane, K. G. Popov, J. W. Clark, M. V. Zverev, and V. A. Khodel, Phys. Lett. A 377, 2800 (2013).
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>[35] D. Takahashi, S. Abe, H. Mizuno, D. Tayurskii, K. Matsumoto, H. Suzuki, Y. Onuki, Phys. Rev. B 67, 180407(R) (2003).
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>[36] J. S. Helton, K. Matan, M. P. Shores, E. A. Nytko, B. M. Bartlett, Y. Yoshida, Y. Takano, A. Suslov, Y. Qiu, J.-H. Chung, D. G. Nocera, and Y. S. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 107204 (2007).
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>[37] V. R. Shaginyan, A. Z. Msezane, and K. G. Popov, Phys. Rev. B 84, 060401(R) (2011).
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>[38] V. R. Shaginyan, V. A. Stephanovich, A. Z. Msezane, G. S. Japaridze, J. W. Clark, M. Ya. Amusia, and E. V. Kirichenko, J. Mater. Sci. 55, 2257 (2020).