

Improved Weighted Additive Spanners

Michael Elkin¹, Yuval Gitlitz¹, and Ofer Neiman¹

¹Department of Computer Science, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel.
Email: {elkinm,neimano}@cs.bgu.ac.il, gitlitz@post.bgu.ac.il

December 22, 2024

Abstract

Graph spanners and emulators are sparse structures that approximately preserve distances of the original graph. While there has been an extensive amount of work on additive spanners, so far little attention was given to weighted graphs. Only very recently [EGN19, ABS⁺20] extended the classical +2 (respectively, +4) spanners for unweighted graphs of size $O(n^{3/2})$ (resp., $O(n^{7/5})$) to the weighted setting, where the additive error is $+2W$ (resp., $+4W$). This means that for every pair u, v , the additive stretch is at most $+2W_{u,v}$, where $W_{u,v}$ is the maximal edge weight on the shortest $u-v$ path (weights are normalized so that the minimum edge weight is 1). In addition, [ABS⁺20] showed a randomized algorithm yielding a $+8W_{max}$ spanner of size $O(n^{4/3})$, here W_{max} is the maximum edge weight in the entire graph.

In this work we improve the latter result by devising a simple deterministic algorithm for a $+(6+\varepsilon)W$ spanner for weighted graphs with size $O(n^{4/3})$ (for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$), thus nearly matching the classical +6 spanner of size $O(n^{4/3})$ for unweighted graphs. We also show a simple randomized algorithm for a $+4W$ emulator of size $\tilde{O}(n^{4/3})$.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E, w)$ be a weighted undirected graph on n vertices. Denote by $d_G(u, v)$ the distance between $u, v \in V$ in the graph G . A graph $H = (V, E', w)$ is an (α, β) -spanner of G if it is a subgraph of G and for every $u, v \in V$,

$$d_H(u, v) \leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u, v) + \beta.$$

For an *emulator* H , we drop the subgraph requirement (that is, we allow H to have edges that are not present in G , while still maintaining $d_H(u, v) \geq d_G(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V$).

Spanners were introduced in the 80's by [PS89], and have been extensively studied ever since. One of the key objectives in this field is to understand the tradeoff between the stretch of a spanner and its size (number of edges). For purely multiplicative spanners (with $\beta = 0$), an answer was quickly given: for any integer $k \geq 1$, [ADD⁺93] showed that a greedy algorithm provides a $(2k-1, 0)$ -spanner with size $O(n^{1+1/k})$. This bound is tight assuming Erdős' girth conjecture.

In this paper we focus on purely additive spanners, where $\alpha = 1$, which we denote by $+ \beta$ spanners. Almost all of the previous work on purely additive spanners was done for unweighted graphs. The first purely additive spanner was a +2 spanner of size $O(n^{1.5})$ [ACIM99, EP04], which

was followed by a +6 spanner of size $O(n^{4/3})$ [BKMP05, Knu14], and a +4 spanner of size $O(n^{7/5})$ [Che13, Bod20]. A result of [AB17] showed that any purely additive spanner with $O(n^{4/3-\delta})$ edges, for constant $\delta > 0$, must have a polynomial stretch β .

In [EP04] the notion of *near-additive* spanners for unweighted graphs was introduced, where $\alpha = 1 + \varepsilon$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$. They showed $(1 + \varepsilon, \beta)$ -spanners of size $O(\beta \cdot n^{1+1/k})$ with $\beta = O(\frac{\log k}{\varepsilon})^{\log k}$. Many following works [Elk01, EZ06, TZ06, Pet09, ABP17, EN19] improved several aspects of these spanners, but up to the β factor in the size, this is still the state-of-the-art. Providing some evidence to its tightness, [ABP17] showed that such spanners must have $\beta = \Omega(\frac{1}{\varepsilon \log k})^{\log k}$.

Since many applications of spanners stem from weighted graphs (see [ABS⁺20] and the references therein), it is only natural to study additive spanners in that setting. Assume the weights are normalized so that the minimum edge weight is 1. We distinguish between two types of additive spanners; in the first one the additive stretch is $+c \cdot W_{\max}$, where W_{\max} is the weight of heaviest edge in the graph, and c is usually some constant. A more desirable type of additive stretch is denoted by $+c \cdot W$, which means that for every $u, v \in V$,

$$d_H(u, v) \leq d_G(u, v) + c \cdot W_{u,v},$$

where $W_{u,v}$ is the heaviest edge in the shortest path between u, v in G . This estimation is not only stronger, but also handles nicely the multiplicative perspective of the spanner: a $+c \cdot W$ spanner is also a $(c+1, 0)$ spanner (while a $+W_{\max}$ approximation can have unbounded multiplicative stretch).

The first adaptation of (near)-additive spanners to the weighted setting was given in [EGN19], where we showed near-additive spanners and emulators with essentially the same stretch and size as the state-of-the-art results for unweighted graphs, while β is multiplied by W (the maximal edge weight on the corresponding path). In addition, a construction of an additive $+2W$ spanner of size $\tilde{O}(n^{3/2})$ can be inferred from [EGN19].¹ Ahmad et al. [ABS⁺20] recently gave a comprehensive study of weighted additive spanners. Among other results, they showed a $+2W_{\max}$ spanner of size $O(n^{1.5})$, a $+4W$ spanner of size $O(n^{7/5})$,² and a $+8W_{\max}$ spanner of size $O(n^{4/3})$. While the former two results match the state-of-the-art unweighted bounds, the third leaves room for improvement. Indeed, [ABS⁺20] pose as an open problem whether a $+6W_{\max}$ spanner of size $O(n^{4/3})$ can be achieved.

Our results. In this work we improve the bounds of [ABS⁺20] both quantitatively and qualitatively. For any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, we show a simple deterministic construction of a $+(6 + \varepsilon)W$ spanner of size $O(n^{4/3})$.³ Thus, the additive stretch of our spanner is arbitrarily close to $6W$, while having the superior dependence on the largest edge weight on the shortest $u - v$ path, rather than the global maximum weight. Furthermore, our algorithm is a simple greedy algorithm, in contrast to the more involved 2-stages randomized algorithm of [ABS⁺20].

In addition, we show a simple randomized algorithm that produces a $+4W$ emulator of size $\tilde{O}(n^{4/3})$. This corresponds to the +4 emulator of size $O(n^{4/3})$ for unweighted graphs [ACIM99, EP04].

¹The notation $\tilde{O}(\cdot)$ hides polylogarithmic factors.

²In their paper the spanner is claimed to be $+4W_{\max}$ but a tighter analysis shows it is actually a $+4W$.

³For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, the size of our spanner is $O(n^{4/3}/\varepsilon)$.

Overview of our construction and analysis. We adapt the algorithm of [Knu14], who showed a simple +6 spanner for unweighted graphs, to the weighted setting. Both [Knu14] and the path-buying construction of [BKMP05] iteratively add paths to the spanner H , and argue that for each new edge in a path that is added to H , there is some progress for many pairs of vertices. Specifically, assume that for some $u, v \in V$ we have for a constant c that

$$d_H(u, v) \leq d_G(u, v) + c, \tag{1}$$

where H is the current spanner we maintain. For unweighted graphs, if we make progress and improve the distance in H between u, v , it will be by at least 1. Thus, once we obtain (1), the distance between u, v can be improved at most c more times. This nice attribute does not apply to weighted graphs, since there the distance between u, v can be improved only by a tiny amount.

In our algorithm, we first add the $n^{1/3}$ lightest edges incident on every vertex, and then greedily add shortest paths between vertices whose stretch is too large, ordered by their W . To overcome the issue of tiny improvements, our notion of progress depends on the weights. That is, when adding paths to the spanner, we will show that many pairs improve their distance by at least $\Omega(\varepsilon \cdot W)$. Note that W is in fact a function (the maximum edge weight in the current path), so some care is required to ensure sufficient progress is made for many other pairs (that can have either a smaller or a larger W). Now, if the current distance in H between $u, v \in V$ is

$$d_H(u, v) \leq d_G(u, v) + c \cdot W,$$

then the distance between u, v can be improved at most $O(\frac{c}{\varepsilon})$ more times. This number translates directly to the size of the spanner, and also affects the stretch.

2 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E, w)$ be a weighted undirected graph, with nonnegative weights $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ that are normalized so that the minimal edge weight is 1, and fix a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by $P_{u,v}$ the shortest path between vertices $u, v \in V$, breaking ties consistently (say by id's), so that every sub-path of a shortest path is also a shortest path. Let $W_{u,v}$ denote the weight of the heaviest edge in $P_{u,v}$. For a positive integer t , a *t-light initialization* of G is a subgraph $H = (V, E', w)$ that contains, for each $u \in V$, the lightest t edges incident on u (or all of them, if $\deg(u) \leq t$), breaking ties arbitrarily. For $u \in V$, we say that v is a *t-light neighbor* of u if the edge $\{u, v\}$ is contained in a t -light initialization of G .

The following lemma was shown in [ABS⁺20, Theorem 5].

Lemma 1 ([ABS⁺20]). *Let $G = (V, E, w)$ be an undirected weighted graph, and H a t -light initialization of G . If $P_{u,v}$ is some shortest path in G that is missing ℓ edges in H , then there is a set of vertices $S \subseteq V$ such that:*

1. $|S| = \Omega(t\ell)$.
2. Each vertex of S has a t -light neighbor in $P_{u,v}$, with edge weight at most $W_{u,v}$.

(The fact that *light* edges are connecting S to $P_{u,v}$ did not appear explicitly in [ABS⁺20], but it follows directly from their proof.)

3 $A + (6 + \varepsilon)W$ spanner

Construction. Our algorithm for a $+(6 + \varepsilon)W$ spanner works as follows. Initially, H is set as a $n^{1/3}$ -light initialization of G . Next, sort all the pairs $u, v \in V$: first according to $W_{u,v}$, and then by $d_G(u, v)$ (from small to large), breaking ties arbitrarily. Then, go over all pairs in this order; when considering u, v , we add $P_{u,v}$ to H if

$$d_H(u, v) > d_G(u, v) + (6 + \varepsilon)W_{u,v}. \quad (2)$$

Analysis. Our main technical lemma below asserts that by adding a shortest path to H , we get for many pairs of the path's neighbors: 1) a good initial guarantee, and also 2) sufficiently improve their distance in H .




Figure 1: An illustration for [Lemma 2](#). The dotted line is $P_{u,v}$, and the edges $\{a, u\}, \{b, x\}, \{c, v\}$ are all light. It is possible that $u = x$ or $v = x$.

Lemma 2. *Let $u, v \in V$ be two vertices for which the path $P_{u,v}$ was added to H , and take any $x \in P_{u,v}$. Let $a, b, c \in V$ be different $n^{1/3}$ -light neighbors of u, x, v , respectively, with edge weights at most $W_{u,v}$. Denote by H_0 the spanner just before $P_{u,v}$ was added and by H_1 the spanner right after the path was added. Then both of the following holds.*

1. $d_{H_1}(a, b) \leq d_G(a, b) + 4W_{u,v}$ **and** $d_{H_1}(b, c) \leq d_G(b, c) + 4W_{u,v}$.
2. $d_{H_1}(a, b) \leq d_{H_0}(a, b) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}W_{u,v}$ **or** $d_{H_1}(b, c) \leq d_{H_0}(b, c) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}W_{u,v}$.

Proof. Fix $P_{u,v}$ and a, b, c as defined in the Lemma, see also [Figure 1](#). We begin by proving the first item, using the triangle inequality and the fact that the three edges $\{a, u\}, \{b, x\}, \{c, v\}$ all appear in H_1 (since they are $n^{1/3}$ -light), and have weight at most $W_{u,v}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{H_1}(a, b) &\leq d_{H_1}(a, u) + d_{H_1}(u, x) + d_{H_1}(x, b) \\ &= w(a, u) + d_G(u, x) + w(x, b) \\ &\leq w(a, u) + d_G(u, a) + d_G(a, b) + d_G(b, x) + w(x, b) \\ &\leq d_G(a, b) + 4W_{u,v}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The bound on $d_{H_1}(b, c)$ follows in a symmetric manner, which concludes the proof of the first item. Seeking contradiction, assume that the second item does not hold. This suggests that

$$d_{H_0}(a, b) < d_{H_1}(a, b) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}W_{u,v} \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} d_G(u, x) + (2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})W_{u,v},$$

and also

$$d_{H_0}(b, c) < d_{H_1}(b, c) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}W_{u,v} \leq d_G(x, v) + (2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})W_{u,v} .$$

So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{H_0}(u, v) &\leq d_{H_0}(u, a) + d_{H_0}(a, b) + d_{H_0}(b, c) + d_{H_0}(c, v) \\ &\leq w(u, a) + d_G(u, x) + (2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})W_{u,v} + d_G(x, v) + (2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})W_{u,v} + w(c, v) \\ &\leq d_G(u, v) + (6 + \varepsilon)W_{u,v}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to (2), since we assumed that the path $P_{u,v}$ was added to the spanner. \square

Theorem 1. *For every undirected weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a deterministic polynomial time algorithm that produces a $(6 + \varepsilon)W$ spanner of size $O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot n^{4/3})$.*

Proof. Our construction algorithm adds a shortest path between pairs whose stretch is larger than $(6 + \varepsilon)W$, so we trivially get a $(6 + \varepsilon)W$ spanner (the running time can be easily checked to be polynomial in n). Thus, we only need to bound the number of edges. Starting with the $n^{1/3}$ -light initialization introduces at most $n^{4/3}$ edges to the spanner, so it remains to bound the number of edges added by adding the shortest paths.

Let $u, v \in V$ be two vertices for which the path $P_{u,v}$ was added to the spanner. Consider the time in which this path was added, let H_0 be the spanner just before the addition of $P_{u,v}$, and H_1 after the addition. We say that a pair of vertices $a, b \in V$ is *set-off* at this time, if it is the first time that $d_{H_1}(a, b) \leq d_G(a, b) + 4W_{u,v}$, and it is *improved* if $d_{H_1}(a, b) \leq d_{H_0}(a, b) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}W_{u,v}$. The main observation is that once a pair is set-off, it can be improved at most $O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ times. To see this, note that after the set-off we have $d_H(a, b) - d_G(a, b) \leq 4W_{u,v}$, and recall that we ordered the pairs by their maximal weight $W_{u,v}$, so any future improvement will be at least by $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}W_{u,v}$. Since at the end we must have $d_H(a, b) \geq d_G(a, b)$, there can be at most $O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ improvements.

We will show that if ℓ edges of $P_{u,v}$ are missing in H_0 , then at least $\Omega(\ell \cdot n^{2/3})$ pairs are either set-off or improved. Fix any $x \in P_{u,v}$, and let $a, b, c \in V$ be different $n^{1/3}$ -neighbors of u, x, v , respectively, connected by edges of weight at most $W_{u,v}$. Apply Lemma 2 on u, v, x and a, b, c . We get that both pairs (a, b) and (b, c) are set-off (if they haven't before), and at least one of them is improved.

The final goal is to show that there are $\Omega(\ell \cdot n^{2/3})$ such set-off/improving pairs. We first claim that the first and last edges of $P_{u,v}$ are missing in H_0 . Seeking contradiction, assume that the first edge $\{u, u_1\} \in E(H_0)$, then the pair u_1, v has $W_{u_1,v} \leq W_{u,v}$ and $d_G(u_1, v) < d_G(u, v)$ (using that the sub-path of $P_{u,v}$ from u_1 to v is the shortest path between u_1, v), and its stretch must be larger than $(6 + \varepsilon)W_{u,v}$ (otherwise u, v will have stretch at most $(6 + \varepsilon)W_{u,v}$ as well), so we should have considered the pair u_1, v before u, v , and added $P_{u_1,v}$ to H . That would produce a shortest path between u, v , which yields a contradiction to (2). A symmetric argument shows that the last edge is missing too.

Now, since H_0 contains a $n^{1/3}$ -light initialization, but u (resp., v) has a missing edge, it follows that u (resp., v) has at least $n^{1/3}$ neighbors that are all lighter than the missing first (resp., last) edge of $P_{u,v}$, and thus of weight at most $W_{u,v}$. So there are at least $n^{1/3}$ choices for a and for c . By Lemma 1 there are at least $\Omega(\ell \cdot n^{1/3})$ choices for b . We conclude that there are at least $\Omega(\ell \cdot n^{1/3} \cdot n^{1/3}) = \Omega(\ell \cdot n^{2/3})$ pairs that are set-off/improved.

Let t be the number of edges added by all paths. Since every pair can be set-off only once, and improved $O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ times, we get the following inequality

$$\Omega(t \cdot n^{2/3}) \leq O\left(\frac{n^2}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

thus $t = O\left(\frac{n^{4/3}}{\varepsilon}\right)$. □

4 +4W emulator

Construction Our algorithm for a +4W emulator works as follows. Start by letting $H = (V, E', d_G)$ be a $(2n^{1/3} \ln n)$ -light initialization of G .⁴ Let $S \subseteq V$ be a random set, created by sampling each vertex independently with probability $\frac{1}{n^{1/3}}$. We finish by adding $S \times S$ to E' (with weights corresponding to distances in G).

Analysis We first note that, with high probability (w.h.p.), for every $u \in V$ we either add all of its neighbors to H as part of the initialization, or u has a light neighbor in S . This is proved in the following standard lemma.

Lemma 3. *W.h.p., for every vertex u having at least $2n^{1/3} \ln n$ neighbors in G , there exists $y \in S$ s.t. y is a $(2n^{1/3} \ln n)$ -light neighbor of u .*

Proof. Let U be the set of vertices with degree at least $2n^{1/3} \ln n$ in G . Fix $u \in U$, and denote by X_u the event that there exists $y \in S$ which is a $(2n^{1/3} \ln n)$ -light neighbor of u . Every vertex is sampled to S independently with probability $\frac{1}{n^{1/3}}$, hence

$$\Pr[\bar{X}_u] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}\right)^{2n^{1/3} \ln n} \leq (1/e)^{2 \ln n} = (1/n)^2.$$

Let X be the event that for every $u \in U$, the event X_u occur. By the union bound,

$$\Pr[\bar{X}] \leq \sum_{u \in U} \Pr[\bar{X}_u] \leq |U|/n^2 \leq 1/n.$$

□

Theorem 2. *For every undirected weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$, there exists a randomized algorithm that produces w.h.p. a +4W emulator of size $O(n^{4/3} \log n)$.*

Proof. We begin with the stretch analysis. Let $u, v \in V$. If all the edges of $P_{u,v}$ exists in H , then $d_H(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$ and we are done.

Otherwise, let $u = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k = v$ be the vertices of $P_{u,v}$ sorted by their distance from u . Let x_i, x_j be the first and last vertices for which $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}, \{x_{j-1}, x_j\} \notin E'$.

We claim that each of x_i, x_j have at least $2n^{1/3} \ln n$ neighbors in G , because $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}, \{x_{j-1}, x_j\}$ were not included in H as part of the light initialization. By Lemma 3, there exists $a, b \in S$ which are $(2n^{1/3} \ln n)$ -light neighbors of x_i, x_j respectively. In addition, x_{i+1}, x_{j-1} are not $(2n^{1/3} \ln n)$ -light

⁴By increasing the leading constant from 2 to c , we can reduce the failure probability to at most $O(n^{1-c})$.

neighbors of x_i, x_j , respectively, thus $w(x_i, a) \leq w(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq W_{u,v}$ and $w(x_j, b) \leq w(x_{j-1}, x_j) \leq W_{u,v}$.

The sub-paths $P_{u,x_i}, P_{x_j,v}$ exist in H , and also all the edges $\{x_i, a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, x_j\} \in E'$. We can use them for bounding $d_H(u, v)$ (see figure 2).

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(u, v) &\leq d_H(u, x_i) + d_H(x_i, a) + d_H(a, b) + d_H(b, x_j) + d_H(x_j, v) \\ &= d_G(u, x_i) + d_G(x_i, a) + d_G(a, b) + d_G(b, x_j) + d_G(x_j, v) \\ &\leq d_G(u, x_i) + d_G(x_i, a) + d_G(x_i, a) + d_G(x_i, x_j) + d_G(b, x_j) + d_G(b, x_j) + d_G(x_j, v) \\ &\leq d_G(u, v) + 4W_{u,v}. \end{aligned}$$

Bounding the size is straightforward. The $n^{1/3} \log n$ -light initialization introduces at most $O(n^{4/3} \log n)$ edges, while $|S|$ is a Bernoulli random variable with parameters $(n, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}})$. Therefore, $E[|S|] = n \cdot \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} = n^{2/3}$ and by Chernoff bound $|S| \leq 2n^{2/3}$, w.h.p.. Thus $|S \times S| = O(n^{2/3} \cdot n^{2/3}) = O(n^{4/3})$ w.h.p..

Hence the total size of the emulator is $O(n^{4/3} \log n)$ w.h.p.. \square




Figure 2: Straight lines are edges available in H . Curved lines are shortest paths available in H

References

- [AB17] Amir Abboud and Greg Bodwin. The $4/3$ additive spanner exponent is tight. *J. ACM*, 64(4):28:1–28:20, 2017.
- [ABP17] Amir Abboud, Greg Bodwin, and Seth Pettie. A hierarchy of lower bounds for sublinear additive spanners. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 17*, page 568576, USA, 2017. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [ABS⁺20] Abu Reyan Ahmed, Greg Bodwin, Faryad Darabi Sahneh, Stephen G. Kobourov, and Richard Spence. Weighted additive spanners. *CoRR*, abs/2002.07152, 2020.
- [ACIM99] Donald Aingworth, Chandra Chekuri, Piotr Indyk, and Rajeev Motwani. Fast estimation of diameter and shortest paths (without matrix multiplication). *SIAM J. Comput.*, 28(4):1167–1181, 1999.

- [ADD⁺93] Ingo Althöfer, Gautam Das, David P. Dobkin, Deborah Joseph, and José Soares. On sparse spanners of weighted graphs. *Discret. Comput. Geom.*, 9:81–100, 1993.
- [BKMP05] Surender Baswana, Telikepalli Kavitha, Kurt Mehlhorn, and Seth Pettie. New constructions of (α , β)-spanners and purely additive spanners. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2005, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, January 23-25, 2005*, pages 672–681. SIAM, 2005.
- [Bod20] Greg Bodwin. Some general structure for extremal sparsification problems. *CoRR*, abs/2001.07741, 2020.
- [Che13] Shiri Chechik. New additive spanners. In Sanjeev Khanna, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2013, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, January 6-8, 2013*, pages 498–512. SIAM, 2013.
- [EGN19] Michael Elkin, Yuval Gitlitz, and Ofer Neiman. Almost shortest paths with near-additive error in weighted graphs. *CoRR*, abs/1907.11422, 2019.
- [Elk01] M. Elkin. Computing almost shortest paths. In *Proc. 20th ACM Symp. on Principles of Distributed Computing*, pages 53–62, 2001.
- [EN19] Michael Elkin and Ofer Neiman. Hopsets with constant hopbound, and applications to approximate shortest paths. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 48(4):1436–1480, 2019.
- [EP04] Michael Elkin and David Peleg. ($1+\epsilon$, β)-spanner constructions for general graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 33(3):608–631, 2004.
- [EZ06] M. Elkin and J. Zhang. Efficient algorithms for constructing $(1 + \epsilon, \beta)$ -spanners in the distributed and streaming models. *Distributed Computing*, 18:375–385, 2006.
- [Knu14] Mathias Bæk Tejs Knudsen. Additive spanners: A simple construction. In R. Ravi and Inge Li Gørtz, editors, *Algorithm Theory - SWAT 2014 - 14th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 2-4, 2014. Proceedings*, volume 8503 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 277–281. Springer, 2014.
- [Pet09] Seth Pettie. Low distortion spanners. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms*, 6(1), 2009.
- [PS89] D. Peleg and A. Schäffer. Graph spanners. *J. Graph Theory*, 13:99–116, 1989.
- [TZ06] M. Thorup and U. Zwick. Spanners and emulators with sublinear distance errors. In *Proc. of Symp. on Discr. Algorithms*, pages 802–809, 2006.