

A Review on Driver's Red Light Running and Turning Behaviour Prediction

Md Mostafizur Rahman Komol^{a*}, Mohammed Elhenawy^a, Shamsunnahar Yasmin^a, Mahmoud Masoud^a and Andry Rakotonirainy^a

^a Queensland University of Technology, Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety-Queensland (CARRS-Q),
- Brisbane - Australia

*correspondence author: mdmostafizurrahman.komol@hdr.qut.edu.au

Abstract:

Driver's behaviour prediction has been an unceasing concern for transportation safety divisions all over the world. A massive amount of lives and properties losses due to the adversities at intersections and pedestrian crossings. Especially for countries with poor road safety technologies, this toll knows no bounds. A myriad of research and studies have been mastered for technological evaluation and model representation over this issue. Instead, little comprehensive review has been made on the driver's behaviour prediction at signalised intersections on red-light running and turning. This Paper aims at incorporating previous researches on driver's behaviour prediction and the prediction parameters leading to traffic violation like red-light running and turning at intersection and pedestrian crossing. The review also covers the probable crash scenarios by red-light running and turning and analyses the innovation of counter-crash technologies with future research directions.

Keywords: Red-light running; Turning; Dilemma zone; Intersection; Behaviour prediction; Prediction factors.

1. Introduction:

1.1 History:

Road crashes and the resulting fatalities are one of the major health burdens that jeopardise human lives. Every year, around 1.3 million people all over the world are killed by road mishaps with approximately 20 to 50 million life-threatening injuries (International Transport Forum, 2018; World Health Organisation, 2018). Notwithstanding, there is a disparity in road traffic death from 9.3 to 26.6 per 100,000 population among countries based on their income level, while the global rate is still 18.2 per 100,000 population (World Health Organisation, 2018). Moreover, traffic collision at intersections is a significant threat to upholding road safety. As a whole, 45% of severe injuries occur at intersections, including 22% of fatal crashes (Li, Jia, et al., 2016). Drivers often inadvertently fail to break immediately at the onset of red light or deliberately run through the red light signal and also miscalculate the motif of the right angle vehicle [in a right-hand driving condition] while crossing the intersection (Zhang et al., 2018). Especially at the onset of yellow signal, drivers get confused with decision measurement either to stop or to run and to get involved in rear-end collision or right-angle collision or uncomfortable hard brake, often resulting in injuries or death (Gazis et al., 1960; Majhi & Senathipathi, 2019). Apart from the injuries and fatalities of motor vehicle crashes at the intersection, vulnerable road users also bear a major share in road traffic death toll (World Health Organisation, 2018). In developed countries like the United Kingdom, the pedestrians' death rate is 19 times higher per

kilometre travelled than drivers (Department for Transport, 2017; McIlroy et al., 2020). Moreover, in 2012, around 2071 cyclists lost their lives in European Union where Denmark, Portugal, Italy, France, Romania, the Netherlands and the UK each contribute more than hundreds of cyclists lives (ERSO, 2015; Silla et al., 2017). In 2019, 160 pedestrians died on Australian roads, and the death rate of vulnerable road users is 34.34% (Australian Road Deaths Database, 2020).

Deliberate actions and passive factors are considered to be responsible for significant. An inexperienced driving attitude may have an adverse effect on the intersection and pedestrian crossing (Rosenbloom et al., 2004). The vehicle driving dynamics such as acceleration, speed, coping up with the oscillation and the gradual change in steering moments are major considerable parameters for maintaining road safety as they pertain to the interaction between driver's decision and surface uniformity (G. Boisdequin et al., 2017). Moreover, deliberate intention to traffic violation creates disposition for aggressiveness, which results in more frequent traffic collisions (Iversen, 2004; Iversen & Rundmo, 2004; McIlroy et al., 2020; Ulleberg & Rundmo, 2002). Another reason for traffic collision is speeding (Pawar et al., 2020). Drivers who are indulged in swift driving, thrill-seeking or maintain aggressive nature, peer pressure, and rushes extensively to save time are more likely to violate traffic rules and get involved in clashes (Fuller et al., 2008; Pawar et al., 2020; Velez et al., 2012). Among them, red-light running and turning is considered the most deadly approach for frequent traffic collisions at the intersection, such as right-angle collision and rear-end collision at signalised intersections (Hussain et al., 2020). At the signalised intersection, the red signal is symbolised to stop the vehicle when activated. If the vehicle invades the intersection after the activation of the red signal, the behaviour is classified as Red-light running (Qian & Dong, 2009). Even the drivers often collide with other vehicles and vulnerable road users during turning at the intersection, and the frequency of such collision is significant (Fujinami, 2016). In such cases, pedestrians are considered as most pregnable as they are revealed unfortified in crashes relative to motor vehicle occupants (SWOV, 2012; Zegeer et al., 2010). According to previous researches, red-light running plays a strong encounter role against the long-desired project of ensuring road safety at signalised intersections (Zhou et al., 2019). It also acts as a primary barrier in upholding ultimate “vision zero” road safety program. The vision zero was originated in Sweden in 1997 with a moto to diminish fatalities of road users (Bergh et al., 2016; Värnild et al., 2020). Moreover, approximately 47% of fatalities and serious injuries happen in right-angle crashes at the signalised intersection due to running the red light (The Law Offices of Adrian Crane, 2015). Red-light running is also responsible for massive economic losses in transportation and road safety sector, which is above \$14 billion in the USA (Federal Highway Administration, 2005). For Australia, the present value (PV) of total red light speed camera was computed to be \$2.7 million in 2012 (Chen HY, 2012).

To minimise such unfortunate hazard, prediction of driver behaviour and the associated countermeasures identification remain one of the foremost priorities among researchers for traffic safety (McIlroy et al., 2020). As such, the overarching aim of this study is to review the existing literature focusing on red-light running and turning prediction. Also, its purpose resides in depicting different crash scenarios due to red-light running and turning as well as some contemporary collision avoidance technologies. This research direction may bear some promise to researchers to explore research gaps and extrapolate the intuition of innovation for the

development of the transportation engineering field. Modelling drivers' behaviour is generated based on predicting their intentions, maneuvers, characteristics, vehicle and driver state, weather, environment, light condition and also newly invented parameters so that transportation safety is assured with collision prevention and road death reduction. After the invention of advanced driving assistant systems (ADAS), these models are strengthening and achieving more feasibility adjoining with ADAS system (AbuAli & Abou-zeid, 2016). Research in this area of drivers' behaviour prediction and modelling are proliferating to ensure better precision and ground-breaking solutions to quench the requisition of modern vehicle fleet and road infrastructure industries. With the implementation of advanced artificial intelligence (AI) technology, especially for the recent revolution of machine learning (ML and deep learning (DL) algorithms, the drivers' behaviour prediction, and modelling are progressing well (Meiring & Myburgh, 2015).

1.2 Proximity and Planning:

Some intentional action of red-light violation and their consequences have already been discussed in the earlier section. Notwithstanding that it seems drivers bear the guilt uncut to run the red signal and should be measured with penalties, there are several conditions where drivers run the red light inadvertently. At the onset of the yellow signal at the signalised intersection, drivers at the dilemma zone fell into a bone of contention on either to cross the intersection or to stop (Zhang et al., 2014). It is considered as one of the primary reasons behind the collision at intersections (Pathivada & Perumal, 2019). In this condition, an attempt to cross the intersection is not effective as the red signal will be activated before clearing the intersection area, and this will lead to right angle collision with vehicles coming from angular direction (Gazis et al., 1960; Majhi & Senathipathi, 2019). Also, stopping the vehicle before the intersection might not be a wise decision. All vehicles are generally in rushing speed in that zone and braking precipitously will not only cause an impact injury to drivers and passengers but also will lead to rear-end collision with the following vehicles.




Figure 1: Dilemma Zone Detection in Four Arm signalised Intersection [Example is for the right-hand driving condition] (Hussain et al., 2020; Pathivada & Perumal, 2019; Zhang et al., 2014) (<https://icograms.com/icograms-designer.php>).

Transportation researchers defined the dilemma zone in two different sub-divisions – dilemma zone type I and type II (Gates et al., 2007; T. Urbanik, 2007; Wei et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). The dilemma zone type I is due to inadequate intersection planning with inaccurate sensor setup and signal timing (Hurwitz et al., 2012; Lavrenz et al., 2014). Here, drivers lack in maintaining distance for comfortable breaking and also causes rear-end collision at instant breaking condition. To run through the intersection, the yellow signal turns red at the middle of the intersection and causes right-angle collision (Gazis et al., 1960). In dilemma zone type II, the drivers muddle in decision making (T. Urbanik & Koonce, 2007). This dilemma occurs when a majority of drivers stop at the onset of yellow but intend to run the intersection who are near the stop line (ITE, 1974). Nonetheless, type II is considered as an option zone; it is unwise to underestimate this problem in road safety considerations (Ghanipoor Machiani & Abbas, 2016). Instead, the stochastic behaviour of drivers near the intersection is seldom predictable and causes fatal crashes and injuries (Pathivada & Perumal, 2019).

Moreover, the consequence of turning after violating the red signal at the intersection is very lethal and may cause multiple crashes. Such fallacious decision even confuses drivers of adjacent and opposite direction to understand the motif of the subject driver on turning decision. This violation leads to traffic collisions and often creates a mess among traffic trajectories which is the aftermath of multiple traffic crashes and brings stagnant traffic flow at the intersection.

As is evident, drivers' behaviours should be inspected to predict their intention to run the red light and turn at an intersection and pedestrian crossing. At the same time, it is of utmost importance to come up with affordable solutions to reduce the rate of road traffic collisions, injuries, and deaths. Recently, a cooperative intelligent transportation system (C-ITS) is attaining mass popularity to disentangle red-light running and onset yellow predicaments. In this system, vehicles are facilitated with C-ITS vehicle stations (V-ITS-S) and, can communicate with other vehicles and infrastructure nearby about prediction and warning of safety-critical situations such as advanced red light warning and Vulnerable Road User (VRU) crossing at the signalised intersection. According to the New South Wales Centre for Road Safety, C-ITS technology is often recognised as the connected vehicle (Centre for Road Safety, 2017). This technology provides drivers with information from very remote condition even where the line of the sight is ambiguous. Thus, it helps in maintaining robust road safety at the intersection and pedestrian crossing (Caveney, 2010; Xiang et al., 2014; Zhang & Orosz, 2016). Also, Dynamic all red extension (DARE) system is designed to prevent collisions by red-light running and turning violations (Simpson et al., 2017). DARE system automatically maintains the red signal at all intersection's legs to make sure of probable red-light violation and extend this red interval time dynamically in case of predicting driver's intent on red-light running and turning. By manipulating red-light violations, this system also prevents the right-angle collision (Abbas et al., 2006). So, proper implementation of such technologies may benefit driver's behaviour prediction in red-light running and turning at the signalised intersection.

2. Scope of the Review:

Existing literature review papers on red-light behaviour focus on identifying the dilemma zone and driver's behaviour analysis on the stop-go decision with prediction model (Zhang et al.,

2014). Also, a systematic review of the counter measurements of red-light running was presented with the pros and cons to analyse their effectiveness (Elias et al., 2019). A methodological review was accomplished to evaluate static methods and machine learning algorithms for clearance time prediction (Tang et al., 2020). Reviews have been made on driver behaviour modelling, focusing on implemented technical algorithms and sensors and evaluation methodologies for data collection and experiment with proper intersection management (AbuAli & Abou-zeid, 2016). For lane changing and manoeuvre control of vehicles, some surveys can be found that review the growing body of literature (Moridpour et al., 2010; Rahman et al., 2013). In lane-changing models, some external and environmental parameters are required to be taken under consideration. At the same time, this field requires massive improvement for more complex and multiple lane-changing conditions (AbuAli & Abou-zeid, 2016). So considering previous works of literature, more review and studies are needed to demonstrate the driver behaviour prediction modelling for both red-light running and turning comprehensively. Extended prediction parameters and datasets are also required to be discussed and evaluated for further research to be implemented on driver behaviour prediction modelling for red-light running and turning. Also, for turning, different crash scenarios and the impact of probable turning condition to pedestrians is also a massive concern of contextual studies. Research on driver behaviour is also established on survey questionnaire analysis for both drivers and pedestrian and recent updates of technologies for collision avoidance should be revised to make researcher aware of the contemporary challenges and goals. However, no review is accomplished based on justifying all of these fields of study for drivers behaviour prediction for red-light running and turning. In our review analysis, the scope of the review comprises:

- Previous research and studies on red-light running and turning prediction have been discussed.
- The previous stop-go decision prediction in dilemma zone is also included in this review as a dilemma zone triggers traffic violation like red-light running.
- The scope of the review specifically covers the input variables, data sources and implemented prediction models for drivers behaviour prediction on red-light running.
- Apart from regular data sources, the researches on survey questionnaire analysis are also incorporated with red-light running prediction analysis.
- The consequence of turning violation for both the drivers and pedestrian is also studied here, including primary and secondary interactions.
- In this review, the different crash scenarios of red-light running and turning are comprehensively evaluated by imaginary drawing and intersection crash data analysis of Queensland, Australia.
- Though the reviews on the counter measurement on red-light running were previously accomplished with pros and cons, they are also discussed here with some updated technologies and recent inventions with significant research gaps.

3. Prediction of Driver's Behaviour:

Driver's behaviour and action have a major influence on road safety at signalised intersections. Haphazardous behaviour and insensitive decision beget traffic violations and collisions (Aswad

Mohammed et al., 2020). So, preventive measurements, laws, litigations and punishments are retained to stop driver's improper actions. However, in the dilemma zone, a traffic violation is often a repercussion for the driver's decision. The onset of the yellow signal at signalised intersection refers to the imminence of the red signal (H. A. Mohammed et al., 2018). In such rushing condition, they hurdle over decision making to stop or cross the intersection (Noble DE, 2015). But stopping the vehicle acts as a premature decision as they fall in impact injuries due to uncomfortable brake and also there is a chance of rear-end collision by rushing tailgating vehicles. In consideration of running the intersection, yellow onset to red clearance interval time is scanty, and red-light appears at the middle or running intersection. The attempt on running the intersection leads to the forbidden traffic violation red-light running, which is highly responsible for the right-angle collision (Gazis et al., 1960; Majhi & Senathipathi, 2019). So, traffic violations like red-light running and turning at signalised intersection are the aftermath of either driver's deliberate careless action or unintentional situations like dilemma zone. It is a matter of great concern for transportation researchers to predict driver's behaviour at signalised intersection so that the traffic violation and collision can be mitigated. Analysing previous research, tremendous endeavour of researchers are discerned on solving the dilemma zone problem. That is why multiple techniques have been found adopted for red-light running and turning prediction in signalised intersections.

1.1 Prediction of Red Light Violation at Dilemma Zone:

Transportation safety researchers ubiquitously call forth predicting driver's behaviour at the onset of the yellow signal: dilemma zone near intersections. Different driving features and human characteristics like reaction time, lane positioning, manoeuvring, frequency of using the horn, heart rate, eye movement reflect on driver's response at the intersection (Lawton et al., 1997; Liu & Lee, 2006; Strayer et al., 2013). Some external distractions such as cell phone interfacing types and different phone call events of drivers were also identified to have a significant impact on driver's behaviour at dilemma zone (Lavrenz et al., 2014). Based on the driver's manner while operating the vehicle and variation of weather's effect, the driver's behaviour was predicted using an online learning formula (Graf et al., 2014). Speed behaviour, pedal activity, the release of accelerator pedal were also found as significant parameters for driver's behaviour prediction (Graichen M. & Nitsch V., 2017). Driver's behaviour was also predicted with video surveillance over the driver's speed and acceleration at the onset of the yellow signal (Li, Jia, et al., 2016). In another research, speed, acceleration, distance to the intersection (DTI), signal setting information and vehicle's driving dynamics were analysed to predict driver's behaviour using support vector machine algorithm (Jahangiri et al., 2015). Recently electroencephalogram (EEG) has been used to predict driver behaviour on the stop-go decision at the intersection, and non-contact electroencephalogram sensors are proposed for practical implementation for driver's use (Zhou et al., 2019). However, the experiment was done using an electrode cap, which is not feasible to road environment yet, and it is quite unknown what output will emerge on driver's behaviour using non-contact electroencephalogram sensors. Red-light running prediction at roadway intersection to avoid a red-light related collision is previously adopted (Wang et al., 2009). To demonstrate the driver's behaviour at dilemma zone on red-light running, researchers utilised different approaches including logistic regression model, bayesian model, probit model,

decision tree model, agent-based model, the fuzzy logic model incorporated with classical logic model (Abbas et al., 2014; Amer et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2018; Deng & Söffker, 2018; Elmitiny et al., 2010; Gates & Noyce, 2010; Kim et al., 2008; Köll et al., 2004; Moore & Hurwitz, 2013; Oviedo-Trespalcios et al., 2020; Papaioannou, 2007; Pathivada & Perumal, 2018; Sharma et al., 2011; Sheffi & Mahmassani, 1981; Yang et al., 2014). Dilemma zone was identified with its dynamic nature which relies on driving population, and critical distance was measured to detect driver's aggressiveness at the dilemma zone (Liu et al., 2007). Pathivada and Perumal performed multiple verses of research utilizing numerous controlled experiments in India. They included both three-wheeler and two-wheeler vehicles with cars and trucks on analysing mixed traffic condition and presented binary logistic regression models from different experimental data to demonstrate driver's behaviours at dilemma zone. However, their experimental data is devoid of considering some other essential parameters like intersection geometry, surrounding land-use, signal visibility, driver's knowledge on the signal phases, type of vehicle, emotional state of the driver, distraction due to in-vehicle technology attributes and also for the vehicles near stop line, more apposite positioning is highly recommended for future research analysis (Pathivada & Perumal, 2017, 2018, 2019). Effect of surrounding vehicle on red-light running at dilemma zone was analysed at another research in India and critical time was innovated for the segregation of level of aggressiveness exhibited by the drivers at the onset of the yellow signal. Here, the distinction of driver's behaviour upon homogeneous traffic condition to mixed traffic condition was analysed through statistical approach (Pathivada & Perumal, 2019). Also, both the connected vehicle and human-driven vehicle were analysed as mixed traffic condition for the prevention of red-light running and probable collisions by integrating connected vehicle data and traffic sensor information (Yang et al., 2019).

1.2 RLR Prediction Methodology Analysis:

Red-light running is a renowned type of traffic violation. Driver's often deliberately run the red-light due to carelessness or rushing tendency. Even they often inadvertently violate the red signal, especially in dilemma zone and causes road mishaps. For red-light running, rear-end collision, right-angle collision and impact injuries are most common (Hussain et al., 2020). As mentioned in the previous section 2.1, researchers already utilised many machine learning and deep learning approaches for modelling driver's behaviour prediction on red-light running (Abbas et al., 2014; Amer et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2018; Deng & Söffker, 2018; Elmitiny et al., 2010; Gates & Noyce, 2010; Kim et al., 2008; Köll et al., 2004; Moore & Hurwitz, 2013; Oviedo-Trespalcios et al., 2020; Papaioannou, 2007; Pathivada & Perumal, 2018; Sharma et al., 2011; Sheffi & Mahmassani, 1981; Yang et al., 2014). For different research output and to optimize the result for practical implementation, they also used different parameters as input variables from different data sources like a controlled experiment, video recording, lidar, in-vehicle sensors, infrastructure sensors, driving simulators and so on. Here, a brief analysis of input variables as predictors, data source and prediction model of significant previous research contributions on red-light running behaviour prediction is illustrated in following Table 1.

Table 1: Analysis of prediction parameters, data collection strategy and prediction model on red-light running behaviour prediction

No	research paper	Predictors	Data source	Prediction model
1	Jiahao Zhou, Xuedong Yan, Ke Duan, Yuting Zhang and Jingsi Yang (Zhou et al., 2019)	Physical and psychological activities	Driving simulator data	Backpropagation neural network
2	Namkyu Kim, Jisu Kim, Hongki Kim, Kimuk Lim, Youlim Ko, Nulee Jeong, Anthony H Smith, and Helen A McNally (Kim et al., 2019)	Speed and distance of the vehicle	LIDAR sensor data	Stop Sight Distance (SSD) formula
3	Xiqun (Michael) Chen, Lingxiao Zhou and Li Li (Chen et al., 2019)	Speed, acceleration, tailgating	Radar sensor	Bayesian network
4	Chaopeng Tan, Nan Zhou, Fen Wang, Keshuang Tang, Yangbeibi Ji (Tan et al., 2018)	The distance of the vehicle from leading vehicle and car following status	High-resolution vehicle trajectory data	Adaptive Kalman filter model and the K-nearest neighbour model
5	Nigel Pugh and Hyoshin Park (Pugh & Park, 2018)	Cellphone, hand-held, headset, and hands-free, age, gender, change of the accelerator pedal direction, and dilemma zone type	Controlled experiment by University of Iowa NADS study	Feedforward artificial neural network

No	research paper	Predictors	Data source	Prediction model
6	Meng Li, Xiqun (Michael) Chen, Xi Lin, Dingyuan Xu and Yin Hai Wang (Li et al., 2018)	Individual speed, acceleration, and distance to the stop line	Controlled experiment using a radar sensor	least square support vector machines (LS-SVM)
7	Juan Li ,Xudong Jia and Chunfu Shao(Li, Jia, et al., 2016)	The vehicle approaching speed, acceleration, distance to the intersection	Vehicle Data Collection System (VDCS)	Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) and Kalman Filter (KF)
8	Arash Jahangiri, Hesham Rakha and Thomas A.Dingus (Jahangiri et al., 2016)	Time to the intersection, distance to the intersection, the velocity at the onset of the yellow indication, deceleration value to stop line (RDP), speed, acceleration	Observation data and driving simulator data	Random forest (RF) machine-learning technique
9	Chuan Ding, Xinkai Wu, Guizhen Yu and Yunpeng Wang (Ding et al., 2016)	Driving speed, signal timing information, the information of the driving status of preceding and adjacent vehicles	Loop detector data and traffic event data from loop detector at the signalised intersection	Gradient boosting logit model
10	Yilong Ren, Yunpeng Wang, Xinkai Wu, Guizhen Yu, Chuan Ding (Ren et al., 2016)	Occupancy time, time gap, used yellow time, time left to yellow start, leading vehicle status, the vehicle in the adjacent lane	Loop detector data and traffic event data from loop detector at the signalised intersection	Rare events logistic regression model
11	Arash Jahangiri, Hesham Rakha and Thomas A.Dingus (Jahangiri et al., 2015)	Vehicle speed, time to the intersection (TTI), distance to	Controlled experiment using a video camera and onboard devices	Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Random Forest (RF)

No	research paper	Predictors	Data source	Prediction model
		the intersection (DTI), age, gender		
12	Donia Zaheri and Montasir Abbas (Zaheri & Abbas, 2015)	Vehicle arrival time and their speed at the intersection	Wavetronix radar data with signal phase, detector, and video data from VT-SCORES lab	A novel algorithm is developed
13	Xinkai Wu, Natanael D. Vall, Henry X. Liu, Wen Cheng and Xudong Jia (Wu et al., 2013)	Occupancy time and time gap, yellow time passed and yellow start remaining time, leading vehicles status, vehicles on adjacent lanes	Loop detector data and traffic event data from loop detector at the signalised intersection	A binary logistical regression method
14	Timothy J. Gates, Peter T. Savolainen and Honey-Um Maria (Gates et al., 2014)	Approach speed, distance from the intersection, vehicle action, vehicle type, yellow interval duration and deficiency, red clearance interval duration, time of day, deceleration rates, brake response times	A controlled experiment with naturalistic driving data	Nested logit model
15	Wang, L., Zhang, L., Zhou, K., Zhang, W.-B., Wang, X. (Wang et al., 2012)	speed, arrival time, travel time, headway time	Data from inductive-loop detectors, video camera, lidar, radar	Inductive-loop detectors (ILDs) based RLR predictor and algorithm

No	research paper	Predictors	Data source	Prediction model
16	Bharat Kumar Pathivada and Vedagiri Perumal (Pathivada & Perumal, 2019)	Intersection type (4 arms and three arms), mixed traffic condition including two-wheelers and three-wheelers	Controlled experiment	Binary logistic regression model
17	Yue Liu, Gang-Len Chang, Ruihua Tao, Thomas Hicks and Eric Tabacek (Liu et al., 2007)	Critical distance, speed, acceleration and deceleration rates, reaction time to yellow signal	Controlled experiment	A binary logistic regression model
18	Ramesh Chandra Majhi and Velmurugan Senathipathi (Majhi & Senathipathi, 2019)	Critical time, heterogeneous traffic condition, road geometry, traffic composition and signal characteristics	Controlled experiment	A binary logistic regression model

Some researchers focus on questionnaire survey for both the drivers and pedestrians to comprehend their behaviour which was often analogised with their response in working environments. Statistical analysis of the questionnaire surveys was also found effective in perceiving driver and pedestrian behaviours at intersections and crossings. F-test, Cronbach's alpha coefficient, significant factor analysis, ANOVA, multivariate linear regression and Chi-square automatic integrated detector are most frequently used among them (af Wählberg et al., 2011; Ghanipoor M. & Sahar, 2015; Harrison, 2009; Harrison, 2011; Li, He, et al., 2016; Quimby A. et al., 1999; Shi et al., 2010; Zou & Levinson, 2006). According to their research, driver's education, age, gender, driving experience, frequency of travel and income level influence are found as variables for driver's stop-go decision at the intersection (Ingale et al., 2020; Stephens & Fitzharris, 2016). Moreover, pedestrians age, crossing frequency, gender, time-saving tendency, low traffic volume, length of the crossing are significant factors of violating the pedestrian red-light which also generate consequences and responsibilities in traffic collision (Onelcin & Alver, 2017).

1.3 Turning Prediction at Intersection:

In parallel of focusing on driver's behaviour analysis on red-light running, there has also been a massive technology advancement on vehicle's turning at intersections to reduce collision between vehicles with vehicles and vehicles with vulnerable road users. Incorporating emergency braking system and proactive braking system, an advanced vehicle control technology was innovated for vehicle's right turn in signalised intersection and infrastructure to vehicle communication was maintained for estimating probable risks or collision among vehicles and with pedestrians using surveillance camera and radar sensor (Fujinami, 2016). Also, Intersection Movement Assist (IMA) and Left Turn Assist (LTA) technology were implemented to alert the vehicles of probable intersection collision and left-turning risk at the intersection by constructing a machine learning-based turn prediction model. Vehicle trajectory was analysed to predict collision for red-light running and turning at the intersection (Dias et al., 2017; Shokrolah Shirazi & Morris, 2019; Tan et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2016). Sometimes, the turning predicted vehicle act opposite due to probable GPS error or intersection error which may often lead to severe accidents (Tang et al., 2015). Especially on secondary interaction which intervenes between the vehicle leaving the intersection and the pedestrian crossing, pedestrians pass with a higher risk than primary interaction of pedestrian crossing. Two types of interactions: primary interactions and secondary interactions highly impact on pedestrian safety for driver's decision making on turning intersection. Here, the secondary interactions are subdivided into three types: secondary right interactions, secondary left interactions, secondary through interactions (Fu et al., 2019). All these interactions with pedestrian crossing scenarios are depicted in following Figure 5.




Figure 5: Interactions types with pedestrian crossing for approaching vehicles (Fu et al., 2019). (<https://icograms.com/icograms-designer.php>).

Drivers face primary interactions with pedestrian crossing before leaving the intersection. But drivers often overlook the possibility of pedestrian crossing after leaving intersection or at the post-turning condition. So, after leaving the intersection or turning, they fail to break immediately watching pedestrians over the road and run over the pedestrians. In fact, secondary through interaction is acknowledged as most lethal for pedestrians as vehicles remain in considerably higher speed than secondary right interactions and secondary left interactions (Fu et al., 2019), Researchers are still striving to limit these errors so that technology bears more safety in human life and improves our living standards.

4. Intersection Crash Scenario Analysis:

Several intersection crashes happen due to red-light running and turning at signalised intersections. The two regular collision types that occur due to dilemma zone problem are the rear-end collision for sudden vehicle stop decision and the right-angle collision, which are the consequences of red-light running for the decision on running intersection at yellow onset (Gazis et al., 1960; Majhi & Senathipathi, 2019; McGee, 2003). Rear-end collision is recognised as one of the most frequent intersection crashes (David Beck & Santosh Tripathi, 2015) and mostly occur during the yellow phase at the signalised intersection (Huang et al., 2006). It causes when lead vehicle stops, lead vehicle decelerate the speed or rear vehicle accelerate higher than the lead vehicle (Wassim G. Najm et al., 2013). Due to rear-end thrust from the vehicle behind, the driver and the passengers may get injured in neck, bent or stretched, may stress on the muscle, tear tissues, damage nerves and disks. In another name, this injury is called whiplash. The injuries and fatalities occurred by rear-end collision are quite less (David Beck & Santosh Tripathi, 2015). However, injuries are met in around 45% of right-angle crashes, whereas they contain non-incapacitating, incapacitating, and even fatal injuries (Wang & Abdel-Aty, 2007). Especially the older people are more like to face right-angle

collision in Australia due to their difficulty in proper handling in right turns. The most responsible factors of the right angle collision are speed, acceleration, misunderstanding, inexperienced driving, trucks and multiple lanes which are needed to be in careful consideration in studying on solving right-angle collision (Ogden & Newstead, 1994). The most casual injury held by right-angle collision is a T-bone crash in the neck, and that is why it is also called T-bone accident (The Law Offices of Edward A. Smith., 2020). Both the collisions are demonstrated in following Figure 2.






Figure 2: Traffic collisions due to the dilemma zone and red-light running (a) the rear-end collision, (b) the right-angle collision (QLD Crash Data, 2018). (<https://icograms.com/icograms-designer.php>)


In the case of turning, numerous type of crashes is observed, including the rear-end collision and right angle collision. Around 28.15% of total rear-end collision occurs due to turning at an intersection, and more than 82% of them occurs at day time. Right-angle collision, also named as through/through crash is considered as one of the intersection crashes from adjacent directions which occurs the maximum number - 21401 times among the period of 2001 to 2018 at Queensland. Other turning crashes are also identified, and their scenarios and frequency are also evaluated. When a subject vehicle turns right violating red signal, and another adjacent left-side vehicle also intends to run through the same side, there is a significant probability of conflict in their trajectory which indicates a collision called right far collision. In such case, if the subject vehicle turns left, the probable collision due to their trajectory conflict is called left far collision. Right far collision is second in the frequency of adjacent direction crashes which is 35.76%, followed by left far crashes of 6.49%. In another case of turning violation, if a subject vehicle intent to turn right and another adjacent right side vehicle run through the intersection, the probable right near-collision occurs and for the left turning movement of the subject vehicle, may cause left near collision. When the subject vehicle turns right, but the adjacent right-side vehicle turns left, right-left far collision takes place, and another left-right far collision occurs for the vice versa. When both of the vehicles turn right, or both of them turn left, their trajectories also collide and causes traffic collision. Such scenarios are termed two right-turning and two left-turning crashes.

Traffic collisions due to turning after violating red signal are also observed among the vehicles of the opposite direction at the intersection. Crashes from opposite directions contribute to 9.33% of the total intersection crashes. When the subject vehicle turns right, but the opposite vehicle just runs through the red light, the through right collision occurs. It contributes to the major share of total opposite direction turning crashes which is 98.67%. In such case, if the subject vehicle turns left, such collision is called through left collision. In another case, when the subject vehicle and the vehicle in the opposite direction turns in a different direction, the probable collision is called the right-left collision. When both the subject vehicle and opposite direction vehicle turns the same direction, the left-left collision may occur (QLD Crash Data, 2018). Some possible crash scenarios due to turning violation of a subject vehicle against a vehicle with adjacent direction and vehicle with opposite direction are classified in Figure 3 and Figure 4.







(e)



(f)




(g)




(h)

Figure 3: Intersection turning violation and crash scenarios among vehicles with adjacent direction – (a) right far collision: through/right (b) left far collision: through/left (c) Right near collision: right/through (d) Left near collision: left/through (e) Right-left far collision: right/left (f) Left right far collision: left/right (g) Two right turning collision: right/right (h) Two left turning collision: right/right (QLD Crash Data, 2018). (<https://icograms.com/icograms-designer.php>).



(a)



(b)




Figure 4: Intersection turning violation and crash scenarios among vehicles with opposite direction – (a) Opposite through-right collision (b) Opposite through-left collision (c) Opposite right-left collision (d) Opposite left-left collision (QLD Crash Data, 2018). (<https://icograms.com/icograms-designer.php>).

In the figures above, the exclamatory sign (!) in tiny yellow triangle indicates the probable position of collision and the red arrows refer to the vehicles' trajectory. In Figure 3, the red vehicle is considered as subject vehicle and vehicles of adjacent direction somehow violate the red signal and proceed the turning in the intersection. In Figure 4, the green vehicle is considered as subject vehicle and vehicles of opposite direction somehow violate the red signal and proceed the turning in an intersection. Their further trajectory movement is shown by the red arrow where they are at high risk of traffic collisions at the probable joining point of their red arrow trajectory lines. That probable joining point is marked with yellow triangle bestowed exclamatory sign to warn traffic collision. Apart from the crashes mentioned in Figure 3 and Figure 4 above, the turning violation may also lead to the rear-end collision and the right-angle collision, which was shown previously in Figure 2.

Apart from the crash scenarios discussed above, Another type of crash is frequent due to turning violation or improper turning at intersections. When vehicles of parallel lanes emerge to the intersection at the same time, even one of their decision on turning may cause a serious collision. When the vehicle on left lane intends to turn right or if both the vehicle turn right, the probable occurrence of the crash is called right-turning side-swipe, and around 71.49% of total parallel lane side swipe crashes are of this kind. Other crashes are left turning side swipe which occurs when the vehicle of right lane decides to turn left or if both the vehicle tries to turn left at the same time (QLD Crash Data, 2018).

5. Preventive Measurements:

The researcher did not quench themselves only by predicting the driver's behaviour in the dilemma zone. They also came up with ground-breaking solutions and various warning systems for drivers to prevent collision and violation of traffic laws like red-light running.

5.1 All red extension and dynamic all red extension (DARE):

All red extension strategy has been achieved auspicious concern worldwide, especially in Europe, the USA and Australia, to mitigate the road mishap occurrence rate and improve human life safety. It is considered as one of the most efficacious steps in diminishing the right-angle collisions for the red-light running vehicles. In 2011, North Carolina transportation department implemented dynamic all red extension in nine traffic signals across the state. They also analysed the impact of the dynamic all red extension over the driver's behaviour at the intersection (Simpson et al., 2017). By analysing kinematic motion and time to collision among vehicles, the requisite all red interval time was reckoned for the vehicles running the red light after the dilemma zone (Najmi et al., 2019). This technology can be considered a good fit if it is incorporated with C-ITS technology for red-light running and turning prediction.

5.2 Countdown timers:

Countdown timers are also being implemented on intersections worldwide for driver's comfort by relieving them from the intolerable uncertainty of waiting time at the red signal (Barbara, 2018) and this advanced technology also ensures higher safety by reducing traffic entry on the red light (Huang et al., 2017; Kidwai F. A. et al., 2005; Sobota et al., 2017). However, some research studies found its roots in increasing the occurrence of red-light running (Chiou & Chang, 2010; Long et al., 2013). Perhaps, the more amount the countdown time spends, the more aggressive the drivers become with their hasty attitude to get into the traffic flow, and such phenomenon often leads to the red light violation and also unexpected circumstance like traffic collision (Hussain et al., 2020). Moreover, drivers often overly focus on countdown timers and overlook the probability of traffic signal change (Limanond et al., 2010).

5.3 Actuated advanced warning system (flushing light):

The actuated advance warning system was introduced and put into effect by The Nebraska Department of Transportation. This system has an uninterrupted traffic monitoring facility in the dilemma zone, and it predicts the yellow onset at the signalised intersection. It can notify the driver of the stop-go decision via signs and flashing signals based on the prediction (Appiah et al., 2011; McCoy & Pesti, 2002; Naik et al., 2019). But the negative side effect often reveals when the drivers misconstrue the flashing signal and stop immediately instead of regarding this as a warning signal in advance (Factor et al., 2012).

5.4 Intelligent Driver Warning System (IDWS):

For preventing collision among vehicles, driver behaviour was predicted from both test track, and motorway data and Intelligent Driver Warning System had been implemented via safety messages. However, the models from motorway data showed some error characteristics as they considered essential input variables like controlled vehicle's speed, range and range rate to the leading vehicle, and the throttle angle history which are not sufficient for practical implementation of the technology and a lot of further studies is needed to go underway (An & Harris, 1996).

5.5 Cooperative Vehicles Infrastructure Systems (CVIS):

This system was also implemented utilizing the binary mixed-integer linear program (BMILP) to eliminate the dilemma zone, which is astonishingly promising in reducing the traffic queue near the intersection. In this study, the data was gathered for only two lanes and four dilemma zones which is desirable to be improved further for practical implementation on roads (Shi et al., 2018).

5.6 Connected Vehicle (CV):

Another technology of collision prevention, connected vehicle (CV) is a type of semi-automated vehicle where drivers are facilitated with a warning or assisted information through the transmission of communication from vehicle to vehicle, vehicle to road users and infrastructure. This technology has the supreme upper hand on taking a decision from a very long range without any sight limitation. However, CV requisites all the vehicles to have this technology among whom the communication and information transmission is operated. This is considered as the pivotal challenge behind the feasibility of CV. Though the technology of connected vehicle is still underway for its practical implementation on roads (RWG Anderson & SD Doecke, 2013), According to the recent survey of European Union with 27,656 respondents over their 28 EU members including the UK, connected vehicle technology draws favoured concern and around 45% survey respondents feel comfortable on the approval of connected vehicles on the road (Belgium, 2020). The concept of the connected vehicle was implemented to predict the red light running using least squared support vector machine model. But utilizing a real-time, data should be more fruitful for this research instead of the implementing historical trajectories by radar sensor and also, there is some missing rate due to the limitations of comprehensive data accumulation like tailgating and lane changing manners (Li et al., 2018). Recently, C-ITS pilot technology is being implemented for connected vehicles and performed numerous research on safety communication (Elhenawy et al., 2018). Connected vehicle based dynamic all-red extension framework has been proposed for adaptive signalised intersections to avoid crashes by red-light running (Li et al., 2018).

5.7 Advanced driving assistant system (ADAS):

This technology is getting developed and facilitating the different level of autonomous driving, including connected vehicle to move forward one step closer for road trials. With its improved and daily invented control algorithms, the ingenuity of autonomous driving technology is upgrading drastically (F. Chucholowski). To prevent probable collision, an innovative controller has been designed to regulate the vehicle speed, and any obstacle or front vehicle can be detected by the vehicle itself too (A. Arikere et al., 2016). Moreover, to avoid the collision due to lane changing manoeuvres, human-machine interactions are implemented using predictive control framework based on a corrective torque optimization (Ziya Ercan). Another prominent technology, Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC) is getting popular concern and being implemented commercially. By incorporating onboard radar and camera, the ACC system identifies the primary target and regulate the speed limit of ego vehicle to control its speed and cope up with it by frontier vehicle. ACC system not only eases driver's effort but also prevent crashes like rear-end collisions. However, the system has some challenges to deal with target vehicles in neighbouring lanes and still needs a lot of research to make it feasible

on roads (Ashwin Carvalho). Also, a lot of people are quite unaware and make misunderstanding with the technologies of Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS) due to the complexity and innovation of ADAS technologies. According to American Automobile Association (AAA), if drivers' awareness on ADAS can be improved, ADAS might be able to save 2.7 million crashes, 1.1 million injuries, and 9,500 deaths per year ("Advanced Driver Assistance Systems," 2017).

6. Research Gaps and Future Studies:

Some significant research gaps are discussed here from the analysis of previous research works

- Nonetheless, an enormous amount of researches have been mastered on red-light running and turning prediction; there emanates little output on forecasting driver's behaviour on red-light running as well as turning and warning accordingly for connected vehicles utilising C-ITS safety message communication.
- Dynamic all red extension has been an enchanting technology worldwide nowadays for the prevention of traffic collision. This technology is straightforwardly interconnected with the prediction of red-light running (Zhang et al., 2012). So, it can be considered a good fit if dynamic all red extension is incorporated with C-ITS technology for red-light running and turning prediction at signalised intersections.
- Though countdown timers on intersection are welcoming and easy to implement on intersections, researchers need to find out the reasonings behind the possibility of increasing of red light violation for countdown timers technology as mentioned by Chiou and Chang (Chiou & Chang, 2010). Measurements should be taken to mitigate driver's hasty attitude to get into the traffic flow. Also, this system needs to be enriched with internal modifications or some extra facilities so that drivers do not focus excessively on countdown timers during driving on the road.
- Moreover, for more accurate prediction of driver's behaviour on red-light running and turning especially in dilemma zone, some extended parameters should be considered like intersection geometry, surrounding land-use, the reaction in weather difference, roadway viscosity for dry or wet condition, day and night period difference, pick hours and regular hour difference, signal visibility, driver's knowledge on the phases, type of vehicle, emotional state of the driver, distraction due to in-vehicle technology attributes and also for the vehicles near stop line, more apposite positioning of camera and sensors for experiment and data collection and so on. Furthermore, All of these Crash scnerios discussed in the section of Intersection Crash Scenario Analysis, are observed to be held highly in the day time, workdays and dry roads in relatively good weather condition and collision rate are relatively less in weekends (Bowman et al., 2013; David Beck & Santosh Tripathi, 2015). So, for the prediction of red-light running and turning behaviour, weather conditions, time of day and days of the week should be considered as an input variable to attain more precise prediction model.
- Analysis of heterogeneous traffic condition for the driver's behaviour prediction in dilemma zone is an ongoing research focus. However, research is needed to include conventional vehicles with connected vehicles, autonomous vehicles and flying cars in analysing mixed traffic condition in dilemma zone. Recently, the public perception of

the safety of future implementation of flying cars is being investigated in the USA (Eker et al., 2019).

- Precise extraction of physiological and psychological reaction is requisite for driver's behaviour prediction using EEG signal, and also the system should come out with feasibility in the road instead of directly using electroencephalogram sensors in driver's head. In case of any available non-contact electroencephalogram sensors, accurate extraction of physiological and psychological reactions are also essential (Zhou et al., 2019).
- More effort and research analysis are still needed for the elimination of trajectory and GPS errors (Tang et al., 2015). It is still hardly understandable either a vehicle is stopped or moving at stop line or in a lane from the trajectory analysis.
- From analysing previous studies of literature, they only relied on only single data source like either from field experiment or from driving simulation data or even from survey questionnaire analysis. No research study was accomplished on a multi-type data source with a combined analysis of both experimental data and survey questionnaire analysis for driver's behaviour prediction on red-light running and turning. Also, evaluating the performance of the connected vehicles by C-ITS based message communication on desired prediction and warning is an unprecedented scheme.

7. Discussion and Limitation:

The review was done comprehensively on driver's behaviour prediction on red-light running, and turning and potentiality of previous researches are discussed as well. Apart from existing prediction parameters, new parameters like weather, lighting, period of days are needed to be taken into consideration for building prediction model. The numerous machine learning and deep learning-based prediction model and prediction parameters are reviewed systematically as well as the data collection strategy. Different survey questionnaire analysis and the risk of vulnerable road users are evaluated in this review analysis. Various number of characteristics of drivers and pedestrians like gender, age are found as important parameter from survey analysis and these are also required to be considered such prediction model. Also, different crash identification and crash histories are evaluated from the Queensland government open-source crash data from 2001 to 2018. Majority of crashes occur by red-light running and turning are rear end collision and right angle collision. Also, considering the primary and secondary interactions for pedestrians is crucial to reduce the death rate of vulnerable road users. Secondary through interactions are found most threatening in this regard. Some counter measurements of crash and traffic violation are also investigated with their recent modifications and innovations. From the analysis, some flaws and gaps of previous research are found, and the probable further studies on those gaps are discussed too. CITS safety message communication system and dynamic all red extension system is found more reliable and promising up to present state of research improvement. EEG based behavior prediction is recent technology and needs a lot of research for its feasibility on road. Other intersection control managements like flashing signal and countdown timers are found questioning in recent researches. However, this review is the sound footing for the practical implementation of conventional vehicles and also connected vehicles. The previous research of drivers' assisted

technology is also covered here, but the review apt little for fully autonomous vehicles. Moreover, the evaluation of this review is based on the signalised intersection. The studies of regular non-signalised intersections are out of scope here except the turning condition analysis on primary and secondary interactions. Also, the reviews on lane-changing manoeuvres are hardly discussed here, and some crash scenario on lane-changing consequences are mentioned only. Above all, the review was done profoundly for the moto of encouraging further research in driver's behaviour prediction on red-light running and turning and prevent traffic violations and collisions for the pragmatic execution of vision zero.

8. Conclusion

Traffic violations and collisions for red-light running and improper turning take a massive toll on human life and property. Million of budget and countless effort is being given on road safety, and still, the outcome does not put forth satisfactory elimination of traffic collision as the desired project of vision zero. Researchers are still hurdling with their all in, accumulating previous researches with their own thought to emanate new ideas and solve problems pertaining to road safety. Driver's behaviour prediction on red-light running and turning is an ongoing research topic to solve traffic collisions, and our systematic review extensively covers the related fields and parameters of driver's behaviour prediction on red-light running and turning. Also, this review is also a good fit for previous research analysis on the connected vehicle, which is a ground-breaking step of modern technology to ease driver's task on driving as well as reducing traffic collisions. With a slight glance over the crash scenarios, researchers will be at ease to understand probable crash conditions by red-light running and inaccurate turning. Also, engineering approaches like deep learning and machine learning are highly promising in solving traffic collision and road safety problems. Such data science and artificial intelligence technique algorithms, prediction model, considerable parameters and data collection technology are also evaluated in this review to make science more accessible to dedicated researcher's hand.

Acknowledgment

The authors of this paper would also like to thank the Queensland University of Technology and research institution-Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety, Queensland (CARRS-Q) for providing the opportunity to access different conference and journal research papers for review.

Reference:

- A. Arikere, M. Klomp, & M. Lidberg. (2016). Speed Control for Reduced Risk of Collision with Oncoming Vehicles in Obstacle Avoidance Scenarios. *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Advanced Vehicle Control (AVEC'16), September 13-16, 2016, Munich, Germany.*
- Abbas, M., Machiani, S. G., Garvey, P. M., Farkas, A., & Lord-Attivor, R. (2014). Modeling the Dynamics of Driver's Dilemma Zone Perception Using Machine Learning Methods for Safer Intersection Control. *Mid Atlantic Universities Transportation Center.*

Abbas, M. M., Chrysler, S., & Williams, A. (2006). *Investigation of Driver Response to Dynamic Extension of All-Red Interval Using Driver Simulator* Transportation Research Board 85th Annual Meeting, , Washington DC, United States.

AbuAli, N., & Abou-zeid, H. (2016, 2016/12/28). Driver Behavior Modeling: Developments and Future Directions. *International Journal of Vehicular Technology*, 2016, 6952791. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6952791>

Advanced Driver Assistance Systems. (2017). *American Automobile Association*.

af Wählberg, A., Dorn, L., & Kline, T. (2011, 2011/01/01). The Manchester Driver Behaviour Questionnaire as a predictor of road traffic accidents. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science*, 12(1), 66-86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14639220903023376>

Amer, A., Rakha, H., & El-Shawarby, I. (2011, 5-7 Oct. 2011). Agent-based behavioral modeling framework of driver behavior at the onset of yellow indication at signalized intersections. 2011 14th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC),

An, P. E., & Harris, C. J. (1996). An intelligent driver warning system for vehicle collision avoidance. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics - Part A: Systems and Humans*, 26(2), 254-261. <https://doi.org/10.1109/3468.485752>

Appiah, J., Naik, B., Wojtal, R., & Rilett, L. R. (2011, 2011/01/01). Safety Effectiveness of Actuated Advance Warning Systems. *Transportation Research Record*, 2250(1), 19-24. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2250-03>

Ashwin Carvalho, A. W., Stephanie Lefevre, Stephanie Lefevre, Francesco Borrelli,. Autonomous cruise control with cut-in target vehicle detection. *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Advanced Vehicle Control (AVEC'16), September 13-16, 2016, Munich, Germany*.

Aswad Mohammed, H., Hurwitz, D. S., & Macuga, K. L. (2020). Drivers' visual attention during the onset of the circular yellow indication at high-speed signalized intersections. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2020.1741559>

Australian Road Deaths Database. (2020). Monthly Road Deaths Dashboard. *Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics, Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communication, Australia*.

- Barbara, M. (2018, 18-20 April 2018). The issue of using countdown timers at intersections with traffic lights: A literature review. 2018 XI International Science-Technical Conference Automotive Safety,
- Belgium, K. (2020, April). "Expectation and concerns of connected and automated driving". *Special Eurobarometer 496, European Commission*.
- Bergh, T., Remgård, M., Carlsson, A., Olstam, J., & Strömngren, P. (2016, 2016/01/01/). 2+1-roads Recent Swedish Capacity and Level-of-Service Experience. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 15, 331-345. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2016.06.028>
- Bowman, D., Baker, S., Stone, S., Doerzaph, Z., & Hanowski, R. (2013). Development of Performance Requirements for Commercial Vehicle Safety Applications. *U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration*.
- Caveney, D. (2010). Cooperative Vehicular Safety Applications. *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, 30(4), 38-53. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCS.2010.937003>
- Centre for Road Safety. (2017). Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems. *Transport for New South Wales*.
- Chen, C., Chen, Y., Ma, J., Zhang, G., & Walton, C. M. (2018). Driver behavior formulation in intersection dilemma zones with phone use distraction via a logit-Bayesian network hybrid approach [Article]. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems: Technology, Planning, and Operations*, 22(4), 311-324. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15472450.2017.1350921>
- Chen HY, M. L., Hendrie D.,. (2012). The effectiveness and costeffectiveness of upgraded red light speed cameras in Western Australia: a preliminary analysis. *Curtin-Monash Accident Research Centre, Curtin University, Australia*.
- Chen, X., Zhou, L., & Li, L. (2019, 2019/03/04). Bayesian network for red-light-running prediction at signalized intersections. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(2), 120-132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15472450.2018.1486192>
- Chiou, Y.-C., & Chang, C.-H. (2010, 2010/07/01/). Driver responses to green and red vehicular signal countdown displays: Safety and efficiency aspects. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(4), 1057-1065. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.12.013>
- David Beck, & Santosh Tripathi. (2015, March). Investigation of Key Crash Types: Rear-end Crashes in Urban and Rural Environments. *Austrroads Research Report, Austrroads Ltd*.

Deng, Q., & Söffker, D. (2018, 26-30 June 2018). Improved Driving Behaviors Prediction Based on Fuzzy Logic-Hidden Markov Model (FL-HMM). 2018 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV),

Department for Transport. (2017). Reported road casualties Great Britain, annual report: 2016. London: Department for Transport.

Dias, C., Iryo-Asano, M., & Oguchi, T. (2017, 2017/01/01/). Predicting Optimal Trajectory of Left-Turning Vehicle at Signalized Intersection. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 21, 240-250. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.03.093>

Ding, C., Wu, X., Yu, G., & Wang, Y. (2016, 2016/11/01/). A gradient boosting logit model to investigate driver's stop-or-run behavior at signalized intersections using high-resolution traffic data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 72, 225-238. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2016.09.016>

Eker, U., Ahmed, S. S., Fountas, G., & Anastasopoulos, P. C. (2019, 2019/09/01/). An exploratory investigation of public perceptions towards safety and security from the future use of flying cars in the United States. *Analytic Methods in Accident Research*, 23, 100103. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amar.2019.100103>

Elhenawy, M., Bond, A., & Rakotonirainy, A. (2018, 4-7 Nov. 2018). C-ITS Safety Evaluation Methodology based on Cooperative Awareness Messages. 2018 21st International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC),

Elias, S., Ghafurian, M., & Samuel, S. (2019). *Effectiveness of Red-Light Running Countermeasures: A Systematic Review* Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications, Utrecht, Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3342197.3344542>

Elmitiny, N., Yan, X., Radwan, E., Russo, C., & Nashar, D. (2010, 2010/01/01/). Classification analysis of driver's stop/go decision and red-light running violation. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(1), 101-111. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.07.007>

ERSO. (2015). Traffic Safety Basic Facts 2012 3/20. *European Road Safety Observatory, European Commission*.

F. Chucholowski, C. G., C. Hepperle, Sebastian Hafner,. Close to reality surrounding model for virtual testing of autonomous driving and ADAS. *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Advanced Vehicle Control (AVEC'16), September 13-16, 2016, Munich, Germany*.

Factor, R., Prashker, J. N., & Mahalel, D. (2012, 2012/05/01/). The flashing green light paradox. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 15(3), 279-288. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2012.01.003>

Federal Highway Administration. (2005). Red Light Camera Systems: Operational Guideline. *Department of Transportation, Washington D.C.*

Fu, T., Hu, W., Miranda-Moreno, L., & Saunier, N. (2019, 2019/08/01/). Investigating secondary pedestrian-vehicle interactions at non-signalized intersections using vision-based trajectory data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 105, 222-240. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2019.06.001>

Fujinami, Y., Raksincharoensak, P., Akamatsu, Y., Ulbricht, D., & Adomat, R. (2016). Risk predictive safe speed control for collision avoidance in right turn dynamic environment situation. *Advanced Vehicle Control – Edelmann, Plöchl & Pfeffer (Eds)*

© 2017 Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-1-138-02992-7.

Fuller, R., Bates, H., Gormley, M., Hannigan, B., Stradling, S., Broughton, P., Kinnear, N., & O'Dolan, C. (2008). The conditions for inappropriate high speed: a review of the research literature from 1995 to 2006. Report Under Contract Number PPRO.

G. Boisdequin, Th. Maulick, & St. Sabath. (2017). Development of vehicle dynamics characteristics using modern chassis systems for body control depending on the vehicle concept. *Development of vehicle dynamics characteristics using modern chassis systems for body control depending on the vehicle concept.*

Gates, T. J., & Noyce, D. A. (2010, 2010/01/01). Dilemma Zone Driver Behavior as a Function of Vehicle Type, Time of Day, and Platooning. *Transportation Research Record*, 2149(1), 84-93. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2149-10>

Gates, T. J., Noyce, D. A., Laracuenta, L., & Nordheim, E. V. (2007, 2007/01/01). Analysis of Driver Behavior in Dilemma Zones at Signalized Intersections. *Transportation Research Record*, 2030(1), 29-39. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2030-05>

Gates, T. J., Savolainen, P. T., & Maria, H.-U. (2014, 2014/01/01). Prediction of Driver Action at Signalized Intersections by Using a Nested Logit Model. *Transportation Research Record*, 2463(1), 10-15. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2463-02>

- Gazis, D., Herman, R., & Maradudin, A. (1960, 1960/02/01). The Problem of the Amber Signal Light in Traffic Flow. *Operations Research*, 8(1), 112-132. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.8.1.112>
- Ghanipoor M., & Sahar. (2015). Modeling Driver Behavior at Signalized Intersections: Decision Dynamics, Human Learning, and Safety Measures of Real-time Control Systems. *Virginia Tech Electronic Theses and Dissertations : Doctoral Dissertations*
- Ghanipoor Machiani, S., & Abbas, M. (2016, 2016/11/01/). Safety surrogate histograms (SSH): A novel real-time safety assessment of dilemma zone related conflicts at signalized intersections. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 96, 361-370. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.04.024>
- Graf, R., Deusch, H., Seeliger, F., Fritzsche, M., & Dietmayer, K. (2014, 8-11 June 2014). A learning concept for behavior prediction at intersections. 2014 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium Proceedings,
- Graichen M., & Nitsch V. (2017). Effects of Driver Characteristics and Driver State on Predicting Turning Maneuvers in Urban Areas: Is There a Need for Individualized Parametrization? *Advances in Human Aspects of Transportation*, 484, 15-29.
- H. A. Mohammed, D. S. Hurwitz PhD, & Smaglik, E., PhD., P.E., . (2018). "Variable Driver Responses to Yellow Indications: An Operational Challenge and Safety Concern,". *Institute of Transportation Engineers. ITE Journal*, , 88, 44-49.
- Harrison, W. A. (2009, /). Reliability of the Driver Behaviour Questionnaire in a sample of novice drivers. *Proceedings of the Australasian road safety research, policing and education conference*, 13. <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Harrison, W. A. (2011). Psychometric and Rasch analysis of the Driver Behaviour Questionnaire (DBQ): Implications for its use as an evaluation tool with novice drivers. *Manuscript submitted for publication*.
- Huang, H., Chin, H. C., & Heng, A. H. H. (2006, 2006/01/01). Effect of Red Light Cameras on Accident Risk at Intersections. *Transportation Research Record*, 1969(1), 18-26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106196900103>
- Huang, M., Fujita, M., & Wisetjindawat, W. (2017, 2017/01/01/). Countdown timers, video surveillance and drivers' stop/go behavior: Winter versus summer. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 98, 185-197. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.09.020>

- Hurwitz, D. S., Wang, H., Knodler, M. A., Ni, D., & Moore, D. (2012, 2012/03/01/). Fuzzy sets to describe driver behavior in the dilemma zone of high-speed signalized intersections. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 15(2), 132-143. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2011.11.003>
- Hussain, Q., Alhajyaseen, W. K. M., Brijs, K., Pirdavani, A., & Brijs, T. (2020, 2020/01/01/). Innovative countermeasures for red light running prevention at signalized intersections: A driving simulator study. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 134, 105349. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2019.105349>
- Ingale, A., Sahu, P., Bajpai, R., Maji, A., & Sarkar, A. (2020, 2020//). Understanding Driver Behavior at Intersection for Mixed Traffic Conditions Using Questionnaire Survey. *Transportation Research*, Singapore.
- International Transport Forum. (2018). Road Safety Annual Report 2018.
- ITE. (1974). Small area detection at intersection approaches - Technical Report. *Institute of Traffic Engineers: ITE Southern Section*, 18.
- Iversen, H. (2004, 2004/05/01/). Risk-taking attitudes and risky driving behaviour. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 7(3), 135-150. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2003.11.003>
- Iversen, H., & Rundmo, T. (2004, 2004/04/15). Attitudes towards traffic safety, driving behaviour and accident involvement among the Norwegian public. *Ergonomics*, 47(5), 555-572. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130410001658709>
- Jahangiri, A., Rakha, H., & Dingus, T. A. (2016, 2016/11/01/). Red-light running violation prediction using observational and simulator data. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 96, 316-328. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.06.009>
- Jahangiri, A., Rakha, H. A., & Dingus, T. A. (2015, 15-18 Sept. 2015). Adopting Machine Learning Methods to Predict Red-light Running Violations. 2015 IEEE 18th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems,
- Kidwai F. A., Karim M. R., & Ibrahim M. R. (2005). Traffic Flow Analysis Of Digital Count Down Signalized Urban Intersection. *Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies*, 5, 1301 - 1308.

- Kim, N., Kim, J., Kim, H., Lim, K., Ko, Y., Jeong, N., Smith, A. H., & McNally, H. A. (2019, 11-13 March 2019). Red Light Running Prediction System using LIDAR. 2019 IEEE Sensors Applications Symposium (SAS),
- Kim, W., Zhang, J., Fujiwara, A., Jang, T. Y., & Namgung, M. (2008, 2008/01/01). Analysis of Stopping Behavior at Urban Signalized Intersections: Empirical Study in South Korea. *Transportation Research Record*, 2080(1), 84-91. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2080-10>
- Köll, H., Bader, M., & Axhausen, K. W. (2004, 2004/03/01/). Driver behaviour during flashing green before amber: a comparative study. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 36(2), 273-280. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-4575\(03\)00005-8](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-4575(03)00005-8)
- Lavrenz, S. M., Dimitra Pyrialakou, V., & Gkritza, K. (2014, 2014/10/01/). Modeling driver behavior in dilemma zones: A discrete/continuous formulation with selectivity bias corrections. *Analytic Methods in Accident Research*, 3-4, 44-55. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amar.2014.10.002>
- Lawton, R., Parker, D., Manstead, A. S. R., & Stradling, S. G. (1997, 1997/07/01). The Role of Affect in Predicting Social Behaviors: The Case of Road Traffic Violations. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 27(14), 1258-1276. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1997.tb01805.x>
- Li, J., He, Q., Zhou, H., Guan, Y., & Dai, W. (2016). Modeling Driver Behavior near Intersections in Hidden Markov Model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(12), 1265. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13121265>
- Li, J., Jia, X., & Shao, C. (2016). Predicting Driver Behavior during the Yellow Interval Using Video Surveillance. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13121213>
- Li, M., Chen, X., Lin, X., Xu, D., & Wang, Y. (2018, 2018/05/04). Connected vehicle-based red-light running prediction for adaptive signalized intersections. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 22(3), 229-243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15472450.2016.1215921>
- Limanond, T., Prabjabok, P., & Tippayawong, K. (2010, 2010/11/01/). Exploring impacts of countdown timers on traffic operations and driver behavior at a signalized intersection in Bangkok. *Transport Policy*, 17(6), 420-427. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2010.04.009>

- Liu, B.-S., & Lee, Y.-H. (2006, 2006/01/01/). In-vehicle workload assessment: Effects of traffic situations and cellular telephone use. *Journal of Safety Research*, 37(1), 99-105. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2005.10.021>
- Liu, Y., Chang, G.-L., Tao, R., Hicks, T., & Tabacek, E. (2007, 2007/01/01). Empirical Observations of Dynamic Dilemma Zones at Signalized Intersections. *Transportation Research Record*, 2035(1), 122-133. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2035-14>
- Long, K., Liu, Y., & Han, L. D. (2013, 2013/04/01/). Impact of countdown timer on driving maneuvers after the yellow onset at signalized intersections: An empirical study in Changsha, China. *Safety Science*, 54, 8-16. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2012.10.007>
- Majhi, R. C., & Senathipathi, V. (2019, 2019/12/09/). Analyzing driver's response to yellow indication subjected to dilemma incursion under mixed traffic condition. *Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering (English Edition)*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtte.2019.05.005>
- McCoy, P. T., & Pesti, G. (2002). Advance Detection on High-Speed Signalized Intersection Approaches. *University of Nebraska (2002), Report No. SPR-PL-1(035) P525*.
- McGee, H. (2003). Making Intersections Safer: A Toolbox of Engineering Countermeasures to Reduce Red-Light Running. *Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, D.C.*
- McIlroy, R. C., Nam, V. H., Bunyasi, B. W., Jikyong, U., Kokwaro, G. O., Wu, J., Hoque, M. S., Plant, K. L., Preston, J. M., & Stanton, N. A. (2020, 2020/01/01/). Exploring the relationships between pedestrian behaviours and traffic safety attitudes in six countries. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 68, 257-271. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2019.11.006>
- Meiring, G. A., & Myburgh, H. C. (2015). A Review of Intelligent Driving Style Analysis Systems and Related Artificial Intelligence Algorithms. *Sensors*, 15(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s151229822>
- Moore, D., & Hurwitz, D. S. (2013, 2013/01/01). Fuzzy Logic for Improved Dilemma Zone Identification: Driving Simulator Study. *Transportation Research Record*, 2384(1), 25-34. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2384-04>
- Moridpour, S., Sarvi, M., & Rose, G. (2010, 2010/07/01). Lane changing models: a critical review. *Transportation Letters*, 2(3), 157-173. <https://doi.org/10.3328/TL.2010.02.03.157-173>

- Naik, B., Appiah, J., & Rilett, L. R. (2019, 2019/08/13). Are Dilemma Zone Protection Systems Useful on High Speed Arterials with Signal Coordination? A Case Study. *International Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13177-019-00193-2>
- Najmi, A., Choupani, A.-A., & Aghayan, I. (2019, 2019/05/01/). Characterizing driver behavior in dilemma zones at signalized roundabouts. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 63, 204-215. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2019.04.007>
- Noble DE. (2015). Guidelines for determining traffic signal change and clearance intervals. *Institute of Transportation Engineers journal*, 85, 18–19.
- Ogden, K. W., & Newstead, S. V. (1994). Analysis of Crash Pattern at Victorian Signalised Intersections. *Monash University Research Centre*.
- Onelcin, P., & Alver, Y. (2017, 2017/01/01/). Why cross on red? A questionnaire survey study in Izmir, Turkey. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 25, 1964-1971. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.05.240>
- Oviedo-Trespalacios, O., Afghari, A. P., & Haque, M. M. (2020, 2020/06/01/). A hierarchical Bayesian multivariate ordered model of distracted drivers' decision to initiate risk-compensating behaviour. *Analytic Methods in Accident Research*, 26, 100121. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amar.2020.100121>
- Papaioannou, P. (2007, 2007/01/01/). Driver behaviour, dilemma zone and safety effects at urban signalised intersections in Greece. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 39(1), 147-158. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2006.06.014>
- Pathivada, B. K., & Perumal, V. (2017, 2017/01/01/). Modeling Driver Behavior in Dilemma Zone under Mixed Traffic Conditions. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 27, 961-968. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.12.120>
- Pathivada, B. K., & Perumal, V. (2018). Modeling Driver Behavior at Signalized Intersection Dilemma Zone Under Mixed Traffic Conditions. *Transportation Research Board 97th Annual Meeting 2018*.
- Pathivada, B. K., & Perumal, V. (2019, 2019/01/01/). Analyzing dilemma driver behavior at signalized intersection under mixed traffic conditions. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 60, 111-120. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2018.10.010>

- Pawar, N. M., Khanuja, R. K., Choudhary, P., & Velaga, N. R. (2020, 2020/03/01/). Modelling braking behaviour and accident probability of drivers under increasing time pressure conditions. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 136, 105401. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2019.105401>
- Pugh, N., & Park, H. (2018, 19-22 April 2018). Prediction of Red-Light Running using an Artificial Neural Network. SoutheastCon 2018,
- Qian, H., & Dong, Y. (2009, 11-12 July 2009). Engineering Countermeasures to Reducing Red-Light Running. 2009 IITA International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems Engineering (case 2009),
- QLD Crash Data. (2018). Crash data from Queensland roads (January 2001 to December 2018). *Queensland Government Open Data Portal*.
- Quimby A., Maycock G., Palmer C., & Buttress S. (1999). The factors that influence a driver's choice of speed—A questionnaire study. TRL report 325.
- Rahman, M., Chowdhury, M., Xie, Y., & He, Y. (2013). Review of Microscopic Lane-Changing Models and Future Research Opportunities. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 14(4), 1942-1956. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2013.2272074>
- Ren, Y., Wang, Y., Wu, X., Yu, G., & Ding, C. (2016, 2016/10/01/). Influential factors of red-light running at signalized intersection and prediction using a rare events logistic regression model. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 95, 266-273. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.07.017>
- Rosenbloom, T., Nemrodov, D., & Barkan, H. (2004, 2004/11/01/). For heaven's sake follow the rules: pedestrians' behavior in an ultra-orthodox and a non-orthodox city. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 7(6), 395-404. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2004.10.004>
- RWG Anderson, & SD Doecke. (2013). Crash reduction potential of connected vehicles in South Australia. *Centre for Automotive Safety Research: The University of Adelaide*.
- Sharma, A., Bullock, D., & Peeta, S. (2011, 2011/06/01/). Estimating dilemma zone hazard function at high speed isolated intersection. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 19(3), 400-412. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2010.05.002>

- Sheffi, Y., & Mahmassani, H. (1981, 1981/02/01). A Model of Driver Behavior at High Speed Signalized Intersections. *Transportation Science*, 15(1), 50-61. <https://doi.org/10.1287/trsc.15.1.50>
- Shi, J., Bai, Y., Ying, X., & Atchley, P. (2010, 2010/07/01/). Aberrant driving behaviors: A study of drivers in Beijing. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(4), 1031-1040. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.12.010>
- Shi, Y., Huang, S., & Zheng, Y. (2018, 30 Nov.-2 Dec. 2018). Improving intersection capacity considering dilemma zone problem based on vehicle-to-infrastructure communications. 2018 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC),
- Shokrolah Shirazi, M., & Morris, B. T. (2019, 2019/09/01). Trajectory prediction of vehicles turning at intersections using deep neural networks. *Machine Vision and Applications*, 30(6), 1097-1109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00138-019-01040-w>
- Silla, A., Leden, L., Rämä, P., Scholliers, J., Van Noort, M., & Bell, D. (2017, 2017/08/01/). Can cyclist safety be improved with intelligent transport systems? *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 105, 134-145. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.05.003>
- Simpson, C. L., Harrison, M. W., & Troy, S. A. (2017, 2017/01/01). Implementation of a Dynamic All-Red Extension at Signalized Intersections in North Carolina: Evaluation of Driver Adaptation and Operational Performance. *Transportation Research Record*, 2624(1), 19-27. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2624-03>
- Sobota, A., Klos, M. J., & Karoń, G. (2017, 2017//). The Influence of Countdown Timers on the Traffic Safety of Pedestrians and Vehicles at the Signalized Intersection. *Intelligent Transport Systems and Travel Behaviour*, Cham.
- Stephens, A. N., & Fitzharris, M. (2016, 2016/01/01/). Validation of the Driver Behaviour Questionnaire in a representative sample of drivers in Australia. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 86, 186-198. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.10.030>
- Strayer, D. L., Cooper, J. M., Turrill, J., Coleman, J., Medeiros-Ward, N., & Biondi, F. (2013). Measuring cognitive distraction in the automobile. *AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety*.
- SWOV. (2012). SWOV Fact Sheet – Vulnerable Road Users. *Transport Canada, 2015. National Collision Database (NCDB)*.
- T. Urbanik, & Koonce, P. (2007). The dilemma with dilemma zone. *Proceedings of ITE District*, p. 6.

- T. Urbanik, P. K. (2007). The dilemma with dilemma zone. *Proceedings of ITE District*, p. 6.
- Tan, C., Zhou, N., Wang, F., Tang, K., & Ji, Y. (2018, 2018/12/01). Real-Time Prediction of Vehicle Trajectories for Proactively Identifying Risky Driving Behaviors at High-Speed Intersections. *Transportation Research Record*, 2672(38), 233-244. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198118797211>
- Tang, B., Khokhar, S., & Gupta, R. (2015, 28 June-1 July 2015). Turn prediction at generalized intersections. 2015 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV),
- Tang, J., Zheng, L., Han, C., Yin, W., Zhang, Y., Zou, Y., & Huang, H. (2020, 2020/09/01/). Statistical and machine-learning methods for clearance time prediction of road incidents: A methodology review. *Analytic Methods in Accident Research*, 27, 100123. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amar.2020.100123>
- The Law Offices of Adrian Crane. (2015). Official website of The Law Offices of Adrian Crane - Personal Injury Lawyer Dallas, TX - Sitemap | Legal, 2015.
- The Law Offices of Edward A. Smith. (2020). Insight into Injuries Sustained from Side-Impact Car Collisions. *AUTOACCIDENT.COM*.
- Ulleberg, P., & Rundmo, T. (2002, 2002/07/01). Risk-taking attitudes among young drivers: The psychometric qualities and dimensionality of an instrument to measure young drivers' risk-taking attitudes. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 43(3), 227-237. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9450.00291>
- Värnild, A., Tillgren, P., & Larm, P. (2020, 2020/04/01/). What types of injuries did seriously injured pedestrians and cyclists receive in a Swedish urban region in the time period 2003–2017 when Vision Zero was implemented? *Public Health*, 181, 59-64. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2019.11.019>
- Velez, E. R., Horvath, I., & Vegte, W. v. d. (2012). A pilot study to investigate time pressure as a surrogate of being in haste. *Proceedings of the ninth international symposium on tools and methods of competitive engineering - TCME-2012*, 393-406.
- Wang, L., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., & Zhou, K. (2009, 4-7 Oct. 2009). Red light running prediction for dynamic all-red extension at signalized intersection. 2009 12th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems,

- Wang, L., Zhang, L., Zhou, K., Zhang, W.-B., & Wang, X. (2012, 2012/01/01). Prediction of Red-Light Running on Basis of Inductive-Loop Detectors for Dynamic All-Red Extension. *Transportation Research Record*, 2311(1), 44-50. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2311-04>
- Wang, P., Wang, J., Chan, C.-Y., & Fang, S. e. (2016, 2016/01/01). Trajectory Prediction for Turning Vehicles at Intersections by Fusing Vehicle Dynamics and Driver's Future Input Estimation. *Transportation Research Record*, 2602(1), 68-77. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2602-09>
- Wang, X., & Abdel-Aty, M. (2007, 2007/01/01). Right-Angle Crash Occurrence at Signalized Intersections. *Transportation Research Record*, 2019(1), 156-168. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2019-19>
- Wassim G. Najm, Samuel Toma, & John Brewer. (2013, April). Depiction of Priority Light-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios for Safety Applications Based on Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications. *U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration*.
- Wei, H., Li, Z., Yi, P., & Duemmel, K. R. (2011, 2011/01/01). Quantifying Dynamic Factors Contributing to Dilemma Zone at High-Speed Signalized Intersections. *Transportation Research Record*, 2259(1), 202-212. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2259-19>
- World Health Organisation. (2018). Global Status Report on Road Safety 2018.
- Wu, X., Vall, N. D., Liu, H. X., Cheng, W., & Jia, X. (2013, 2013/01/01). Analysis of Drivers' Stop-or-Run Behavior at Signalized Intersections with High-Resolution Traffic and Signal Event Data. *Transportation Research Record*, 2365(1), 99-108. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2365-13>
- Xiang, X., Qin, W., & Xiang, B. (2014). Research on a DSRC-Based Rear-End Collision Warning Model. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 15(3), 1054-1065. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2013.2293771>
- Yang, X., Chang, G.-L., Zhang, Z., & Li, P. (2019, 2019/05/01). Smart Signal Control System for Accident Prevention and Arterial Speed Harmonization under Connected Vehicle Environment. *Transportation Research Record*, 2673(5), 61-71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198119837242>
- Yang, Z., Tian, X., Wang, W., Zhou, X., & Liang, H. (2014). Research on driver behavior in yellow interval at signalized intersections. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/518782>.

- Zaheri, D., & Abbas, M. (2015, 15-18 Sept. 2015). An Algorithm for Identifying Red Light Runners from Radar Trajectory Data. 2015 IEEE 18th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems,
- Zegeer, C., Staplin, L., Bents, F., & Barlow, J. (2010). White Papers for: "Toward Zero Deaths: A National Strategy on Highway Safety", . *Federal Highway Administration. Federal Highway Administration., White Paper No. 5.* .
- Zhang, L., & Orosz, G. (2016). Motif-Based Design for Connected Vehicle Systems in Presence of Heterogeneous Connectivity Structures and Time Delays. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 17(6), 1638-1651. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2015.2509782>
- Zhang, L., Wang, L., Zhou, K., & Zhang, W. (2012). Dynamic All-Red Extension at a Signalized Intersection: A Framework of Probabilistic Modeling and Performance Evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 13(1), 166-179. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2011.2166070>
- Zhang, Y., Fu, C., & Hu, L. (2014, 2014/10/01/). Yellow light dilemma zone researches: a review. *Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering (English Edition)*, 1(5), 338-352. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-7564\(15\)30280-4](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-7564(15)30280-4)
- Zhang, Y., Yan, X., Li, X., Wu, J., & Dixit, V. V. (2018). Red-Light-Running Crashes' Classification, Comparison, and Risk Analysis Based on General Estimates System (GES) Crash Database. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15061290>
- Zhou, J., Yan, X., Duan, K., Zhang, Y., & Yang, J. (2019, 2019/12/06). Prediction of Driver's Stop-Go Decision at Signalized Intersection Based on EEG. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 688, 044036. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/688/4/044036>
- Ziya Ercan, A. C., Stéphanie Lefèvre, Francesco Borrelli, H. Eric Tseng, Metin Gokasan,. Torque-based steering assistance for collision avoidance during lane changes. *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Advanced Vehicle Control (AVEC'16), September 13-16, 2016, Munich, Germany.*
- Zou, X., & Levinson, D. M. (2006, 2006/01/01). Modeling Pipeline Driving Behaviors: Hidden Markov Model Approach. *Transportation Research Record*, 1980(1), 16-23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106198000104>