

EMERGENT DYNAMICS OF THE LOHE HERMITIAN SPHERE MODEL WITH FRUSTRATION

SEUNG-YEAL HA, MYEONGJU KANG, AND HANSOL PARK

ABSTRACT. We study emergent dynamics of the Lohe hermitian sphere(LHS) model which can be derived from the Lohe tensor model [24] as a complex counterpart of the Lohe sphere(LS) model. The Lohe hermitian sphere model describes aggregate dynamics of point particles on the hermitian sphere \mathbb{HS}^d lying in \mathbb{C}^{d+1} , and the coupling terms in the LHS model consist of two coupling terms. For identical ensemble with the same free flow dynamics, we provide a sufficient framework leading to the complete aggregation in which all point particles form a giant one-point cluster asymptotically. In contrast, for non-identical ensemble, we also provide a sufficient framework for the practical aggregation. Our sufficient framework is formulated in terms of coupling strengths and initial data. We also provide several numerical examples and compare them with our analytical results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Emergent behaviors of complex systems are ubiquitous in nature, for example, flocking of birds, swarming of fish, flashing of fireflies and herding of sheep, etc [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 22, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41]. Several jargons such as aggregation, flocking, synchronization and herding are often used to describe such collective behaviors. Before we go into our topics, we briefly review several basic terminologies and concepts to be used in this paper. \mathbb{C} denotes the complex field and let \mathbb{C}^d be the cartesian product of d copies of \mathbb{C} for a positive integer d . Thus, the points of \mathbb{C}^d are ordered d -tuples $z = (z^1, \dots, z^d)$ where $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Algebraically, \mathbb{C}^d is a d -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} , and topologically it is the euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{2d} of real dimension $2d$, so we may call it complex euclidean space. For $z = (z^1, \dots, z^d)$ and $w = (w^1, \dots, w^d)$ in \mathbb{C}^d , we define the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the associated norm $\|\cdot\|$:

$$\langle z, w \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{z}^i w^i, \quad \|z\| := \langle z, z \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we used physicist's notation by conjugating the first argument in $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ and $W \in \mathbb{C}^{(d+1) \times (d+1)}$ be a complex vector and complex matrix, respectively. Then, we denote i^{th} -component and (i, j) -component of the real vector v and real matrix A by $[v]_i$

Date: August 10, 2020.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 82C10 82C22 35B37.

Key words and phrases. Complete aggregation, collective behavior, emergence, Lohe hermitian sphere model, practical aggregation.

Acknowledgment. The work of S.-Y. Ha was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2020R1A2C3A01003881), the work of M. Kang was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIP)(2016K2A9A2A13003815), and the work of H. Park was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2019R1I1A1A01059585).

and $[A]_{ij}$, respectively. Moreover, $W^\dagger \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ and $\|W\|_F$ are the hermitian conjugate and norm of W :

$$[W^\dagger]_{ij} = \overline{[W]_{ji}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d+1, \quad \|W\|_F := \text{Tr}(W^\dagger W)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In this paper, we are interested in an aggregate phenomenon of a particle ensemble on the unit (hermitian) sphere $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{S}^d$ in \mathbb{C}^{d+1} under the effect of frustration:

$$\mathbb{H}\mathbb{S}^d := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1} : \|z\| = 1\}.$$

Here we used the adjective “*hermitian*” to distinguish the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{d+1} and the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} .

For phase-coupled limit-cycle oscillator models such as the Kuramoto model and the Winfree model, (interaction) frustration often appear as a form of phase shift, and it generates diverse asymptotic patterns through the competitions between synchronizing enforcing terms and periodic enforcing terms. This is why the study of frustrated systems is so interesting from the viewpoint of nonlinear dynamics. For details, we refer to [20, 21]. Recently, aggregation modelings for a particle ensemble on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} has been extensively studied in literature [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 25, 29, 30, 31, 37, 32, 43] in the absence of frustration.

To put our discussion in a proper setting, we begin with “*the Lohe sphere (LS) model with frustration*” introduced in [17]. Let $x_j = x_j(t) \in \mathbb{S}^d$ be the position of the j -th Lohe particle. Then, the LS model in the presence of frustration reads as follows.

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{x}_j = \Omega_j x_j + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(V x_k - \langle x_j, V x_k \rangle x_j \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $\Omega_j \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times (d+1)}$ is the natural frequency matrix of the j -th particle which is skew-symmetric ($\Omega^t = -\Omega$), and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times (d+1)}$ is the frustration matrix consisting of the sum of the identity matrix and skew-symmetric matrix W :

$$(1.2) \quad V = I_{d+1} + W \quad \text{and} \quad W^t = -W.$$

Then, system (1.1) - (1.2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad \dot{x}_j = \Omega_j x_j + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(x_k - \langle x_j, x_k \rangle x_j \right) + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(W x_k - \langle x_j, W x_k \rangle x_j \right).$$

For $W = 0$, system (1.3) reduces to the Lohe sphere model on the complete graph, and its emergent dynamics has been studied in [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 31, 32, 43].

In this paper, we are interested in the following two questions:

- (Q1): What is the complex analogue of (1.1)?
- (Q2): Can we rigorously verify emergent dynamics of the proposed complex counterpart?

In the absence of frustration, i.e., $V \equiv I_{d+1}$, the complex analogue of the Lohe sphere model has been proposed in [17] and its emergent dynamics was also studied for identical ensemble. In what follows, we briefly summarize our main results on (Q1) - (Q2).

First, we present the complex analog of system (1.1). Let $z_j = z_j(t)$ be the position of the j -th particle on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{S}^d$. Then, the proposed Lohe hermitian sphere model with frustration reads as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad \dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \frac{\kappa_0}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_k - \langle V_0 z_k, z_j \rangle z_j \right) + \frac{\kappa_1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\langle z_j, V_1 z_k \rangle - \langle V_1 z_k, z_j \rangle \right) z_j.$$

Here κ_0 and κ_1 are nonnegative constants, and the frustration matrices V_0 and V_1 take the same form as in (1.2):

$$(1.5) \quad V_0 = I_{d+1} + W_0, \quad V_1 = I_{d+1} + W_1,$$

where Ω_j, W_0 and W_1 are skew-hermitian matrices (see Section 2.1 for details):

$$\Omega_j^\dagger = -\Omega_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad W_0^\dagger = -W_0, \quad W_1^\dagger = -W_1.$$

Note that for real vector case, the term $\langle z_j, V_1 z_k \rangle - \langle V_1 z_k, z_j \rangle$ vanishes, and system (1.4) reduces to system (1.1). Hence, our proposed system (1.4) can be called a complex counterpart of (1.1). Moreover, it can be rewritten as a mean-field form:

$$(1.6) \quad \dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle z_c, z_j \rangle z_j \right) + \kappa_1 \left(\langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle \right) z_j,$$

where $z_c = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i$.

Second, we return to system (1.1), and study emergent dynamics of the Lohe sphere model for non-identical ensemble in mean-field form:

$$(1.7) \quad \dot{x}_j = \Omega_j x_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle x_j, x_j \rangle V_0 x_c - \langle V_0 x_c, x_j \rangle x_j \right), \quad x_c := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j.$$

For the identical ensemble with $\Omega_j = \Omega$, emergent dynamics for (1.7) has been already studied in [17] in which exponential aggregation was achieved using a position diameter as a suitable Lyapunov functional. Hence, the previous approach in aforementioned literature is global. In Section 3, we revisit complete aggregation problem using a local approach. For this, we introduce an inter-particle angle θ_{ij} as follows.

$$\theta_{ij} := \cos^{-1} (\langle x_i, x_j \rangle), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

For an identical ensemble, if the initial data Θ^{in} satisfies

$$\theta_{ij}^{in} < \cot^{-1} \left(\frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

then there exists a positive constant $\Lambda_{ij} = \Lambda_{ij}(N, \kappa, W, \Theta^{in})$ such that

$$\theta_{ij}(t) \leq \theta_{ij}^{in} \exp(-\Lambda_{ij} t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

which improves the earlier result in [17] (see Proposition 3.1). In contrast, for non-identical ensemble, if the initial data $\{x_i^0\}_{i=1}^N$ satisfy

$$\max_{i,j} \left(\sin \theta_{ij}^{in} \right) < \frac{1}{2 + \sqrt{2} \|W\|_F} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{i,j} \theta_{ij}^{in} < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

practical aggregation emerges asymptotically (see Theorem 3.1):

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\max_{i,j} \theta_{ij} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i,j} \left(\sin \theta_{ij}(t) \right) = 0.$$

Note that in [17], emergent dynamics for non-identical ensemble has not been studied.

Third, we consider system (1.6) with κ_1 :

$$\dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle z_c, z_j \rangle z_j \right).$$

Next, we introduce real and imaginary parts of the two-point correlation function $\langle z_i, z_j \rangle$:

$$R_{ij} := \text{Re}(\langle z_i, z_j \rangle), \quad I_{ij} := \text{Im}(\langle z_i, z_j \rangle) \quad \mathcal{J}_{ij} := \sqrt[4]{(1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2},$$

for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. For identical ensemble, if the coupling strength and initial data satisfy

$$\kappa_0 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8} + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F},$$

then \mathcal{J}_{ij} decays to zero exponentially fast, which illustrates the emergence of complete aggregation (see Theorem 4.1). In contrast, for non-identical ensemble, if initial data $\{z_j^{in}\}$ satisfy

$$\max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8} + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F},$$

then practical aggregation emerges, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}(t) = 0,$$

(See Theorem 4.2).

Lastly, we deal with the full dynamics (1.4) with $\kappa_0 > 0$ and $\kappa_1 > 0$. For identical ensemble, if coupling strengths, frustration matrix W_1 and initial data satisfy

$$\kappa_0 > 2\kappa_1 \geq 0, \quad W_1 \equiv 0, \quad \max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} < \frac{2\sqrt{2} \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0}\right)}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8} \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0}\right) + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F},$$

then, the complete aggregation emerges exponentially fast (see Theorem 5.1). In contrast, for non-identical ensemble, if coupling strength κ_1 is fixed and initial data satisfy

$$\max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8} + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F},$$

then practical aggregation emerges (see Theorem 5.2):

$$\lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}(t) = 0.$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review basic properties of the Lohe sphere and Lohe hermitian sphere models with frustrations, and recall previous results on the emergent dynamics of the aforementioned models with frustration. In Section 3, we study emergent dynamics of the Lohe sphere model. In particular, our practical aggregation estimate improves earlier results. In Section 4, we present emergent dynamics of the Lohe hermitian sphere model with $\kappa_1 = 0$. This is exactly complex analogue of the Lohe sphere model. The complex nature of ambient space will appear in conditions for complete and practical aggregation estimates. In Section 5, we study emergent dynamics of the full dynamics (1.4) and provide sufficient conditions leading to the complete and

practical aggregations. In Section 6, we provide several numerical examples and compare them with analytical results in previous sections. Finally, Section 7 is devoted to a brief summary of our main results and some remaining issues which were not discussed in this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we study basic properties of the Lohe hermitian sphere model with frustration, and briefly review earlier results on the emergent dynamics of Lohe type models with frustration such as “*the Kuramoto model*” on the unit circle and “*the Lohe sphere model*” on the unit sphere.

2.1. The LHS model with frustration. Consider the LHS model on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{S}^d$ with frustration: for $j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$(2.1) \quad \dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \frac{\kappa_0}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_k - \langle V_0 z_k, z_j \rangle z_j) + \frac{\kappa_1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle z_j, V_1 z_k \rangle - \langle V_1 z_k, z_j \rangle) z_j.$$

In order to rewrite system (2.1) into a mean-field form, we introduce a centroid $z_c := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N z_k$. Then, the LHS model (2.1) can be rewritten as a mean-field form:

$$(2.2) \quad \dot{z}_j = \Omega z_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle z_j \right) + \kappa_1 \left(\langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle \right) z_j.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{z_j\}$ be a solution to (2.2). Then $\|z_j\|$ is a conserved quantity:*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|z_j\| = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof. Note that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|z_j\|^2 = \langle \dot{z}_j, z_j \rangle + \langle z_j, \dot{z}_j \rangle.$$

We use (2.2) to estimate the second term in (2.3):

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle z_j, \dot{z}_j \rangle &= \left\langle z_j, \Omega z_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle z_j \right) + \kappa_1 \left(\langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle \right) z_j \right\rangle \\ &= \langle z_j, \Omega z_j \rangle + \kappa_1 \left(- \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle \right) \|z_j\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the relation $\langle \dot{z}_j, z_j \rangle = \overline{\langle z_j, \dot{z}_j \rangle}$ to see

$$(2.5) \quad \langle \dot{z}_j, z_j \rangle = \overline{\langle z_j, \dot{z}_j \rangle} = \langle \Omega z_j, z_j \rangle + \kappa_1 \left(\langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle \right) \|z_j\|^2.$$

In (2.3), we combine estimates (2.4) and (2.5) to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|z_j\|^2 = \langle z_j, \dot{z}_j \rangle + \langle \dot{z}_j, z_j \rangle = \langle z_j, \Omega z_j \rangle + \langle \Omega z_j, z_j \rangle = \langle (\Omega^\dagger + \Omega) z_j, z_j \rangle = 0,$$

which yields the desired estimate. \square

Next, we study solution splitting property, when the system has the same free flow $\Omega_j = \Omega$:

$$(2.6) \quad \dot{z}_j = \Omega z_j + \frac{\kappa_0}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_k - \langle V_0 z_k, z_j \rangle z_j) + \frac{\kappa_1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle z_j, V_1 z_k \rangle - \langle V_1 z_k, z_j \rangle) z_j.$$

Now, we consider the associated linear and nonlinear flows:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{f}_j = \Omega f_j, \\ \dot{w}_j = \frac{\kappa_0}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle w_j, w_j \rangle \tilde{V}_0 w_k - \langle \tilde{V}_0 w_k, w_j \rangle w_j) + \frac{\kappa_1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle w_j, \tilde{V}_1 w_k \rangle - \langle \tilde{V}_1 w_k, w_j \rangle) w_j, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{V}_0(t) = e^{-\Omega t} V_0 e^{\Omega t} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}_1(t) = e^{-\Omega t} V_1 e^{\Omega t}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that $f_j(t) = e^{\Omega t} f_j^{in}$, and let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(t)$ be solution operators to (2.7) such that

$$W(t) = \mathcal{N}(t) W^{in}, \quad W(t) = (w_1(t), \dots, w_N(t)).$$

In next proposition, we study a solution splitting property of (2.6).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\{z_j\}$ be a solution to (2.6) with initial data z^{in} . Then, one has*

$$z_j(t) = e^{\Omega t} (\mathcal{N}(t) z^{in})_j, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. We substitute the ansatz

$$z_j(t) = e^{\Omega t} w_j(t),$$

into system (2.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\Omega t} (\dot{w}_j + \Omega w_j) = & \Omega e^{\Omega t} w_j + \kappa_0 (\langle e^{\Omega t} w_j, e^{\Omega t} w_j \rangle V_0 e^{\Omega t} w_c - \langle V_0 e^{\Omega t} w_c, e^{\Omega t} w_j \rangle e^{\Omega t} w_j) \\ & + \kappa_1 (\langle e^{\Omega t} w_j, V_1 e^{\Omega t} w_c \rangle - \langle V_1 e^{\Omega t} w_c, e^{\Omega t} w_j \rangle) e^{\Omega t} w_j. \end{aligned}$$

This leads

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{w}_j = & \kappa_0 \left(\langle w_j, w_j \rangle e^{-\Omega t} V_0 e^{\Omega t} w_c - \left\langle e^{-\Omega t} V_0 e^{\Omega t} w_c, w_j \right\rangle w_j \right) \\ & + \kappa_1 \left(\left\langle w_j, e^{-\Omega t} V_1 e^{\Omega t} w_c \right\rangle - \left\langle e^{-\Omega t} V_1 e^{\Omega t} w_c, w_j \right\rangle \right) w_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use (2.8) to simplify (2.9) to find

$$\dot{w}_j = \kappa_0 (\langle w_j, w_j \rangle \tilde{V}_0 w_c - \langle \tilde{V}_0 w_c, w_j \rangle w_j) + \kappa_1 (\langle w_j, \tilde{V}_1 w_c \rangle - \langle \tilde{V}_1 w_c, w_j \rangle) w_j.$$

This complete the desired proof. \square

Remark 2.1. *Suppose that W_0 and W_1 satisfy*

$$[W_0, \Omega] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [W_1, \Omega] = 0.$$


Then, we have

$$\tilde{V}_0 = e^{-\Omega t} V_0 e^{\Omega t} = V_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}_1 = e^{-\Omega t} V_1 e^{\Omega t} = V_1,$$

which implies the solution splitting property.

Now we will study about relations between the Lohe hermitian sphere model with frustration and other synchronization models with frustration. First, if we set initial data $Z^{in} = \{z_j^{in}\}_{j=1}^N$ as set of real unit vectors and Ω_j, W_0 as real skew-symmetric matrix, then we can easily obtain the Lohe sphere model with frustration. We introduce how we can reduce the Lohe hermitian sphere model with frustration to Kuramoto model with frustration. The diagram below shows relations among the Lohe hermitian sphere model with

frustration, the Lohe sphere model with frustration, and the Kuramoto model with frustration. Since we can obtain the Lohe sphere model with frustration from the Lohe hermitian sphere model with frustration by letting $\kappa_1 = 0$, we denoted it in the diagram.



Next, we show how the LS model with frustration can be reduced to the Kuramoto model with frustration [15, 20, 21, 27, 42]. In what follows, we consider two subsystems of (1.4) separately.

- Subsystem A ($\kappa_0 > 0$ and $\kappa_1 = 0$): Consider the LHS model restricted on \mathbb{S}^d with a uniform frustration:

$$(2.10) \quad \dot{z}_i = \Omega_i z_i + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(V_0 z_k - \langle z_i, V_0 z_k \rangle z_i \right),$$

where V_0 is the frustration matrix of the form (1.5). For $d = 1$, We set

$$(2.11) \quad z_i := \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i \\ \sin \theta_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega_i := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\nu_i \\ \nu_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_0 := \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

We substitute (2.11) into (2.10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\theta}_i \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta_i \\ \cos \theta_i \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\nu_i \\ \nu_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i \\ \sin \theta_i \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_k \\ \sin \theta_k \end{bmatrix} - \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i \\ \sin \theta_i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_k \\ \sin \theta_k \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i \\ \sin \theta_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
 &= \nu_i \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta_i \\ \cos \theta_i \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \cos \theta_k - \sin \alpha \sin \theta_k - (\cos \theta_i \cos(\theta_k + \alpha) + \sin \theta_i \sin(\theta_k + \alpha)) \cos \theta_i \\ \sin \alpha \cos \theta_k + \cos \alpha \sin \theta_k - (\cos \theta_i \cos(\theta_k + \alpha) + \sin \theta_i \sin(\theta_k + \alpha)) \sin \theta_i \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \nu_i \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta_i \\ \cos \theta_i \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_k + \alpha) - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_k - \alpha) \cos \theta_i \\ \sin(\theta_k + \alpha) - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_k - \alpha) \sin \theta_i \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \nu_i \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta_i \\ \cos \theta_i \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta_i \sin(\theta_k - \theta_i + \alpha) \\ \cos \theta_i \sin(\theta_k - \theta_i + \alpha) \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the Kuramoto model with frustration:

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \nu_i + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sin(\theta_k - \theta_i + \alpha).$$

For $\alpha = 0$, the above system is exactly the Kuramoto model [13, 14, 16, 19, 26].

- Subsystem B ($\kappa_0 = 0$ and $\kappa_1 > 0$): Consider the Subsystem B:

$$(2.12) \quad \dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \frac{\kappa_1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle z_j, V_1 z_k \rangle - \langle V_1 z_k, z_j \rangle) z_j.$$

We set

$$d = 0, \quad \Omega_j = \nu_j i, \quad z_j = e^{i\theta_j}, \quad V_1 = e^{i\alpha}, \quad \kappa_1 = \frac{\kappa}{2}.$$

We substitute the above ansatz into (2.12) to find

$$\begin{aligned} i e^{i\theta_j} \dot{\theta}_j &= \nu_j i e^{i\theta_j} + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(e^{i(\theta_k + \alpha - \theta_j)} - e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_k - \alpha)} \right) e^{i\theta_j} \\ &= i e^{i\theta_j} \left(\nu_j + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sin(\theta_k - \theta_j + \alpha) \right). \end{aligned}$$

After simplification, we obtain the Kuramoto model with frustration:

$$\dot{\theta}_j = \nu_j + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sin(\theta_k - \theta_j + \alpha).$$

2.2. Previous results. In this subsection, we briefly recall previous results on the emergent dynamics of two aforementioned particle models with frustration.

2.2.1. The Kuramoto model. Let $\theta_j = \theta_j(t)$ be the phase of the j -th oscillator whose dynamics is governed by the Kuramoto model with frustration:

$$(2.13) \quad \dot{\theta}_j = \nu_j + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sin(\theta_k - \theta_j + \alpha), \quad |\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where α is the uniform size of frustration.

For a brief description of [20], we set

$$D(\Theta) := \max_{i,j} |\theta_i - \theta_j|, \quad D(\dot{\Theta}) := \max_{i,j} |\dot{\theta}_i - \dot{\theta}_j|, \quad D(\nu) := \max_{i,j} |\nu_i - \nu_j|.$$

Note that $D(\Theta(t))$ is Lipschitz continuous, hence it is differentiable except at times of collision between the extremal phases and their neighboring phases.

Let $\kappa, D(\nu)$ and α be positive constants satisfying

$$D(\nu) + \kappa \sin |\alpha| < \kappa.$$

Then we set $D_1^\infty < D_2^\infty$ be two roots of the following trigonometric equation:

$$\sin x = \frac{D(\nu) + \kappa \sin |\alpha|}{\kappa}, \quad x \in (0, \pi).$$

Proposition 2.2. [20] *Suppose system parameters $D(\nu), \kappa$ and α satisfy*

$$D(\nu) > 0, \quad \kappa \geq \frac{D(\nu)}{1 - \sin |\alpha|}, \quad 0 < D(\Theta^0) < D_2^\infty - |\alpha|,$$

and let $\Theta = \Theta(t)$ be a solution to (2.13). Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$D(\dot{\Theta}(t_0)) e^{-\kappa(t-t_0)} \leq D(\dot{\Theta}(t)) \leq D(\dot{\Theta}(t_0)) e^{-\kappa \cos(D_1^\infty + \varepsilon)(t-t_0)}, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where $\varepsilon \ll 1$ is a positive constant satisfying $D_1^\infty + \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Remark 2.2. For the Kuramoto model with heterogeneous frustrations with α_{kj} , its emergent dynamics has been studied in [18].

2.2.2. *The LS model with the same free flow.* Let $x_j = x_j(t)$ be a position of the j -th particle on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d whose dynamics is governed by the following system with frustration and the same free flow:

$$(2.14) \quad \dot{x}_j = \Omega x_j + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (V x_k - \langle x_j, V x_k \rangle x_j),$$

where the frustration matrix V is given by the following form:

$$(2.15) \quad V = I_{d+1} + W,$$

where I_{d+1} is the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ identity matrix and W is a $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ skew-symmetric matrix.

We further substitute (2.15) into (2.14) to get

$$(2.16) \quad \dot{x}_j = \Omega x_j + \underbrace{\frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k - \langle x_j, x_k \rangle x_j)}_{\text{synchronous motion}} + \underbrace{\frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (W x_k - \langle x_j, W x_k \rangle x_j)}_{\text{periodic motion}}.$$

Note that the presence of frustration matrix W induces a competition between ‘synchronization’ and ‘periodic motion’, in the following sense. The second term on the RHS of (2.16) tends to bring the oscillators together. On the other hand, since W is a $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ skew-symmetric matrix, all eigenvalues of W are zero or purely imaginary. Hence, we can interpret the last term on the RHS of (2.16), together with Ωx_j , tries to pull the dynamics into a periodic motion.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose natural frequency matrix, frustration matrix and initial data satisfy

$$\|W\|_F < 1, \quad \max_{i,j} \left(1 - \langle x_i^{in}, x_j^{in} \rangle\right) < 1 - \|W\|_F,$$

where W is a $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ skew-symmetric matrix, and let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a solution to (2.14). Then, one has

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i,j} \|x_i(t) - x_j(t)\| = 0.$$

In next three sections, we study the emergent dynamics of (1.4) with heterogeneous frustrations into two cases. First, we study the emergence of practical aggregation for the case with $\kappa_1 = 0$. Second, we study the practical aggregation of (1.4) with $\kappa_0 > 0$ and $\kappa_1 > 0$. More precisely, we study the LH model with frustration, i.e., either

$$\kappa_0 > 0, \quad \kappa_1 = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \kappa_0 > 0, \quad \kappa_1 > 0.$$

Consider the LHS model with $\kappa_1 = 0$:

$$\text{Subsystem A: } \dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle z_j \right)$$

and

$$\text{Subsystem B: } \dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle z_j \right) + \kappa_1 \left(\langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle \right) z_j.$$

For Subsystem A, it is easy to see that

$$z_i^{in} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \implies z_j(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad t > 0.$$

3. EMERGENT DYNAMICS OF THE LS MODEL: REAL VECTOR CASE

In this section, we provide improved aggregation estimate for the LHS model with frustration which can be obtained from the LHS model restricted on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d . In this case, the state $z_j = x_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, the state x_j satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \text{System A: } \dot{x}_j = \Omega_j x_j + \kappa \left(\langle x_j, x_j \rangle V x_c - \langle V x_c, x_j \rangle x_j \right),$$

where $V = I_{d+1} + W$.

Before we discuss the aggregation estimate, we recall the concept of “*complete and practical aggregations*”.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x_j\}$ be a dynamic ensemble whose evolution is governed by system (3.1).

(1) The ensemble \mathcal{X} exhibits complete aggregation, if the following estimate holds.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(\mathcal{X}(t)) = 0,$$

where $D(\mathcal{X}) := \max_{i,j} \|x_i - x_j\|$.

(2) The ensemble \mathcal{X} exhibits practical aggregation, if the following estimate holds.

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(\mathcal{X}(t)) = 0.$$

For later practical aggregation estimate, the following lemma will be used crucially.

Lemma 3.1. Let $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a real skew-symmetric matrix and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be vectors. Then we have

$$\left| \langle x, W y \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|W\|_F \sqrt{\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. By direct calculations, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, W y \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} [x]_i [W]_{ij} [y]_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} ([x]_i [W]_{ij} [y]_j + [x]_j [W]_{ji} [y]_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} ([x]_i [W]_{ij} [y]_j - [x]_j [W]_{ij} [y]_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} [W]_{ij} ([x]_i [y]_j - [y]_i [x]_j), \end{aligned}$$

where we used dummy variable exchange and skew-symmetry of matrix W .

Then, it follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle x, Wy \rangle|^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{i,j} [W]_{ij} ([x]_i [y]_j - [y]_i [x]_j) \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i,j} [W]_{ij}^2 \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j} ([x]_i [y]_j - [y]_i [x]_j)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \|W\|_F^2 \sum_{i,j} ([x]_i^2 [y]_j^2 + [y]_i^2 [x]_j^2 - 2[x]_i [y]_j [y]_i [x]_j) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|W\|_F^2 \cdot (\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the desired estimate. \square

For emergent dynamics, we introduce θ_{ij} measuring the angle between x_i and x_j :

$$(3.2) \quad \theta_{ij} := \cos^{-1}(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{x_j\}$ be a solution to (3.1). Then, θ_{ij} satisfies*

$$\dot{\theta}_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F - \frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \theta_{ik} + \sin \theta_{jk}) \right].$$

Proof. It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle &= \left\langle \Omega_i x_i + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (V_0 x_k - \langle V_0 x_k, x_i \rangle x_i), x_j \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \left\langle x_i, \Omega_j x_j + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (V_0 x_k - \langle V_0 x_k, x_j \rangle x_j) \right\rangle \\
&= \langle \Omega_i x_i, x_j \rangle + \langle x_i, \Omega_j x_j \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle V_0 x_k, x_j \rangle + \langle x_i, V_0 x_k \rangle) (1 - \langle x_i, x_j \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the skew-symmetry of Ω_i, Ω_j and W to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle &= \langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j) x_i, x_j \rangle + (1 - \langle x_i, x_j \rangle) \cdot \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x_k, x_i + x_j \rangle \\
&\quad + (1 - \langle x_i, x_j \rangle) \cdot \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \langle W x_k, x_i + x_j \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the defining relation (3.2) for θ_{ij} to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \cos \theta_{ij} &= \left\langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j) x_i, x_j \right\rangle + \frac{\kappa}{N} (1 - \cos \theta_{ij}) \sum_{k=1}^N (\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\kappa}{N} (1 - \cos \theta_{ij}) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\langle W x_k, x_i \rangle + \langle W x_k, x_j \rangle \right),
\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}_{ij} = & - \underbrace{\frac{\langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j)x_i, x_j \rangle}{\sin \theta_{ij}}}_{=: \mathcal{I}_{11}} - \underbrace{\frac{\kappa}{N} \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{ij}}{\sin \theta_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^N (\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk})}_{=: \mathcal{I}_{12}} \\ & - \underbrace{\frac{\kappa}{N} \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{ij}}{\sin \theta_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle Wx_k, x_i \rangle + \langle Wx_k, x_j \rangle)}_{=: \mathcal{I}_{13}}. \end{aligned}$$

• (Estimate of \mathcal{I}_{11}): We use Lemma 3.1 with $A := \Omega_i - \Omega_j$ which is a skew-symmetric to obtain

$$|\langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j)x_i, x_j \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sqrt{\|x_i\|^2 \|x_j\|^2 - \langle x_i, x_j \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sin \theta_{ij}.$$

Then we use the above estimate to derive

$$(3.4) \quad |\mathcal{I}_{11}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F.$$

• (Estimate of \mathcal{I}_{12} and \mathcal{I}_{13}): Similarly, one has

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_{12}| & \leq \frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N (\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk}), \\ |\mathcal{I}_{13}| & \leq \frac{\kappa \|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N (\sin \theta_{ik} + \sin \theta_{jk}). \end{aligned}$$

In (3.3), we combine all the estimates (3.4) and (3.5) to get

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}_{ij} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F - \frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N (\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk}) \\ & \quad + \frac{\kappa \|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N (\sin \theta_{ik} + \sin \theta_{jk}) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F - \frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \theta_{ik} + \sin \theta_{jk}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we are ready to provide our first main result on the aggregation of pairwise particles.

Proposition 3.1. (Complete aggregation) *Suppose the initial data Θ^{in} satisfy*

$$(3.7) \quad \theta_{ij}^{in} < \cot^{-1} \left(\frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

and let $\{x_i\}$ be the solution of (3.3) with the same free flow $\Omega_j \equiv \Omega$. Then there exists a positive constant $\Lambda_{ij} = \Lambda_{ij}(N, \kappa, W, \Theta^{in})$ such that

$$\theta_{ij}(t) \leq \theta_{ij}^{in} \exp(-\Lambda_{ij}t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. We use $\Omega_j = \Omega$ and Lemma 3.2 to find

$$\dot{\theta}_{ij} \leq -\frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \theta_{ik} + \sin \theta_{jk}) \right).$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.7) that

$$\theta_{ij}^{in} < \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \implies \cos \theta_{ij}^{in} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_{ij}^{in} > 0.$$

This implies

$$\dot{\theta}_{ij} \Big|_{t=0+} \leq 0, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Note that $f(\theta) = \cos \theta - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta$ is a decreasing function for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. Thus, it is easy to see

$$(3.8) \quad \dot{\theta}_{ij} \leq -\frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\cos \theta_{ik}^{in} + \cos \theta_{jk}^{in} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \theta_{ik}^{in} + \sin \theta_{jk}^{in}) \right).$$

Then, we use (3.8) and the relation $\tan \theta \geq \theta$, $\theta \in [0, \pi/2)$ to find

$$\dot{\theta}_{ij} \leq -\frac{\kappa}{2N} \theta_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\cos \theta_{ik}^{in} + \cos \theta_{jk}^{in} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \theta_{ik}^{in} + \sin \theta_{jk}^{in}) \right) =: -\Lambda_{ij} \theta_{ij},$$

which implies the desired estimate. \square

Next, we consider the heterogenous ensemble in the sense that there exists $i \neq j$ such that

$$\Omega_i \neq \Omega_j.$$

In the following theorem, we consider the second main result on the emergence of practical aggregation.

Theorem 3.1. (Practical aggregation) *Let $\{x_i\}$ be the solution of (3.3) with initial data $\{x_i^{in}\}$:*

$$\max_{i,j} \left(\sin \theta_{ij}^{in} \right) < \frac{1}{2 + \sqrt{2} \|W\|_F} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{i,j} \theta_{ij}^{in} < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\max_{i,j} \theta_{ij} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i,j} \left(\sin \theta_{ij}(t) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. For a distributed set $\{\Omega_j\}$ of natural frequency matrices, we set

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) := \max_{i,j} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F.$$

Then, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\dot{\theta}_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \theta_{ik} + \sin \theta_{jk}) \right].$$

Now we set

$$\theta_M(t) := \max_{i,j} \theta_{ij}(t), \quad \mathcal{T} := \{t_0 : \max_{i,j} \theta_{ij}(t) < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0)\}, \quad T^\infty := \sup \mathcal{T}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}_M &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \frac{\kappa}{N} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[\cos \theta_{ik} + \cos \theta_{jk} - \frac{\|W\|_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \theta_{ik} + \sin \theta_{jk}) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \kappa \tan\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \left(2 \cos \theta_M - \sqrt{2} \|W\|_F \sin \theta_M \right), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

This yields,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \dot{\theta}_M \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \\ &\quad - \kappa \sin\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \left[2 \left(1 - 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \right) - 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F \sin\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \kappa \sin\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \left[2 \left(1 - 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \right) - 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F \sin\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \kappa \sin\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \left[2 - (4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) \sin\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right) \right], \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify (3.9) further, we set

$$s := \sin\left(\frac{\theta_M}{2}\right).$$

Then, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \dot{s} \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \kappa s \left(2 - (4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) s \right), \quad 0 \leq t < T^\infty.$$

For a practical aggregation estimate, we use a similar argument in [23]. We define the following quadratic polynomial:

$$p(s) = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \kappa s (2 - (4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) s) = (4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) \kappa s^2 - 2\kappa s + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

Then the discriminant D of above quadratic polynomial is

$$D = 4\kappa^2 - \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \kappa (4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) = \kappa (4\kappa - \mathcal{D}(\Omega) (4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F)).$$

If

$$\kappa > \frac{\mathcal{D}(\Omega) (2 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F)}{4},$$

we have two distinct roots $s_1 < s_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \frac{2\kappa - \sqrt{4\kappa^2 - \kappa(4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) \mathcal{D}(\Omega)}}{2(4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) \kappa}, \\ s_2 &= \frac{2\kappa + \sqrt{4\kappa^2 - \kappa(4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) \mathcal{D}(\Omega)}}{2(4 + 2\sqrt{2} \|W\|_F) \kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since the coefficient of s^2 and $p(0)$ are positive, one has

$$0 < s_1.$$

If $s(t)$ is the solution of (3.10) with initial data $s(0) < s_2$, we have

$$T^\infty = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) \leq s_1.$$

Explicit formula of s_1 and s_2 provide us

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} s_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} s_2 = \frac{1}{2 + \sqrt{2}\|W\|_F},$$

so that we can conclude that if $s(0) < \frac{1}{2 + \sqrt{2}\|W\|_F}$ we have

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = 0.$$

□

4. EMERGENT DYNAMICS OF THE LS MODEL: COMPLEX VECTOR CASE

In this section, we study emergent dynamics of the complex LS model. First, we consider the case in which the second coupling is not present, i.e., $\kappa_1 = 0$, i.e.,

$$\dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \kappa_0 \left(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle z_j \right).$$

For this, we first generalize the result of Lemma 3.1 as follows.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^d$ be complex vectors, and $W \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ be a skew-hermitian matrix i.e., $W^\dagger = -W$. Then, one has*

$$\left| \langle Wx, y \rangle + \langle y, Wx \rangle \right| \leq \sqrt{2}\|W\|_F \sqrt{\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - \text{Re}(\langle x, y \rangle)^2}.$$

Proof. We basically use the same argument as in Lemma 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Wx, y \rangle + \langle y, Wx \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(\overline{[W]_{ij}} [x]_j [y]_i + \overline{[y]_i} [W]_{ij} [x]_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} \left(-\overline{[x]_j} [W]_{ji} [y]_i + \overline{[y]_i} [W]_{ij} [x]_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(-\overline{[x]_i} [W]_{ij} [y]_j + \overline{[y]_i} [W]_{ij} [x]_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} [W]_{ij} (\overline{[y]_i} [x]_j - \overline{[x]_i} [y]_j). \end{aligned}$$

This and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle Wx, y \rangle + \langle y, Wx \rangle|^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i,j} [W]_{ij} (\overline{[y]_i} [x]_j - \overline{[x]_i} [y]_j) \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i,j} |[W]_{ij}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i,j} |\overline{[y]_i} [x]_j - \overline{[x]_i} [y]_j|^2 \right) \\ &= \|W\|_F^2 \left(2\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2 - \langle y, x \rangle^2 \right) = 2\|W\|_F^2 \cdot (\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - \text{Re}(\langle x, y \rangle)^2). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired estimate. □

Remark 4.1. *Let z_i and z_j be complex vectors with $\|z_i\| = \|z_j\| = 1$. Then, we use Lemma 4.1 to get*

$$\left| \langle Wz_i, z_j \rangle + \langle z_j, Wz_i \rangle \right| \leq \sqrt{2}\|W\|_F \cdot \sqrt{1 - \text{Re}(\langle z_i, z_j \rangle)^2}.$$

In the following subsections, we study emergent dynamics of (1.4) with $\kappa_1 = 0$ and the full system separately.

Consider the system which can be obtained from the full system (1.4) with $\kappa_1 = 0$:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \kappa_0 (\langle z_j, z_j \rangle V_0 z_c - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle z_j), \\ V_0 = I_{d+1} + W_0. \end{cases}$$

or equivalently

$$\dot{z}_j = \Omega_j z_j + \kappa_0 (\langle z_j, z_j \rangle z_c - \langle z_c, z_j \rangle z_j) + \kappa_0 (\langle z_j, z_j \rangle W z_c - \langle W z_c, z_j \rangle z_j).$$

Next we consider the temporal evolution of $\langle z_i, z_j \rangle$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\{z_j\}$ be the solution of the system (4.1). Then, one has*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle z_i, z_j \rangle = \langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j) z_i, z_j \rangle + \kappa_0 (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) (\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle).$$

Proof. Note that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle z_i, z_j \rangle = \langle \dot{z}_i, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, \dot{z}_j \rangle.$$

For each term in the R.H.S. of (4.2), we use (4.1) to see

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \dot{z}_i, z_j \rangle &= \langle \Omega_i z_i, z_j \rangle + \kappa_0 (\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle - \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle \langle z_i, z_j \rangle), \\ \langle z_i, \dot{z}_j \rangle &= \langle z_i, \Omega_j z_j \rangle + \kappa_0 (\langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle \langle z_i, z_j \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

Now, we combine (4.2) and (4.3) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle z_i, z_j \rangle &= \langle \dot{z}_i, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, \dot{z}_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega_i z_i, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, \Omega_j z_j \rangle \\ &\quad + \kappa_0 (\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle - \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle \langle z_i, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) \\ &= \langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j) z_i, z_j \rangle + \kappa_0 (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) (\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.1. Identical ensemble. In this subsection, we study emergent behaviors of identical ensemble. For four points (z_i, z_j, z_k, z_l) lying in a general position, we introduce a cross-ratio-like quantity [17, 28]:

$$C_{ijkl}[\mathcal{Z}] := \frac{(1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle)(1 - \langle z_k, z_l \rangle)}{(1 - \langle z_i, z_l \rangle)(1 - \langle z_k, z_j \rangle)}.$$

Next, we show that C_{ijkl} is conserved along the dynamics (4.1) with $\Omega_i = \Omega$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose the natural frequency matrices are the same:*

$$\Omega_j = \Omega, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

and let $\{z_j\}$ be a global solution of system (4.1). Then, one has

$$C_{ijkl}[\mathcal{Z}(t)] = C_{ijkl}[\mathcal{Z}(0)], \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Since $\Omega_i = \Omega_j$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle z_i, z_j \rangle = \kappa_0 (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) \left(\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle \right).$$

This implies

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) = -\kappa_0 (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) (\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle).$$

Again this yields the desired estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} C_{ijkl} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{(1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle)(1 - \langle z_k, z_l \rangle)}{(1 - \langle z_i, z_l \rangle)(1 - \langle z_k, z_j \rangle)} \right) \\ &= -\kappa_0 \left(\frac{(1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle)(1 - \langle z_k, z_l \rangle)}{(1 - \langle z_i, z_l \rangle)(1 - \langle z_k, z_j \rangle)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle + \langle V_0 z_c, z_l \rangle + \langle z_k, V_0 z_c \rangle - \langle V_0 z_c, z_l \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle - \langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle - \langle z_k, V_0 z_c \rangle \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we use (4.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} |1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} ((1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle)(1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)) \\ &= -\kappa_0 (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) (\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle) (1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle) + (c.c.) \\ &= -\kappa_0 |1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle|^2 (\langle V_0 z_c, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i, V_0 z_c \rangle + \langle V_0 z_c, z_i \rangle + \langle z_j, V_0 z_c \rangle) \\ &= -\kappa_0 |1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle|^2 (\langle V_0 z_c, z_i + z_j \rangle + \langle z_i + z_j, V_0 z_c \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce new variables defined as follows:

$$(4.7) \quad R_{ij} := \operatorname{Re}(\langle z_i, z_j \rangle), \quad I_{ij} := \operatorname{Im}(\langle z_i, z_j \rangle) \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Since $\langle z_i, z_i \rangle = 1$, it is easy to see that

$$R_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad I_{ii} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let R_{ij} and I_{ij} be quantities defined by the relation (4.7). Then, the following assertions hold.*

(1) R_{ij} and I_{ij} satisfy symmetry-antisymmetry relations:

$$R_{ij} = R_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{ij} = -I_{ji}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

(2) (R_{ij}, I_{ij}) lies on the unit ball:

$$|R_{ij}|^2 + |I_{ij}|^2 \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

Proof. (i) Note that

$$\langle z_i, z_j \rangle = \overline{\langle z_j, z_i \rangle}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad R_{ij} + iI_{ij} = \overline{R_{ji} + iI_{ji}} = R_{ji} - iI_{ji}.$$

We compare the real and imaginary parts of the above relation to find the proof of the first assertion.

(ii) The second assertion follows from the relation $|\langle z_i, z_j \rangle| \leq 1$. \square

Now, we set

$$\mathcal{J}_{ij} := \sqrt[4]{(1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Then, note that the zero convergence of \mathcal{J}_{ij} yields the emergence of the complete aggregation.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose the natural frequency matrices are the same:*

$$\Omega_j = \Omega, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

and let $\{z_j\}$ be a global solution of system (4.1). Then, the functional \mathcal{J}_{ij} satisfies

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} \leq -\frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk} \right).$$

Proof. From (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} ((1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2) \\ &= -\frac{\kappa_0}{N} ((1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2) \sum_{k=1}^N (2R_{ik} + 2R_{jk} + \langle W_0 z_k, z_i + z_j \rangle + \langle z_i + z_j, W_0 z_k \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we can apply Lemma 4.1 to obtain

$$|\langle W_0 z_k, z_i \rangle + \langle z_i, W_0 z_k \rangle| \leq \sqrt{2} \|W_0\|_F \sqrt{1 - R_{ik}^2 + I_{ik}^2}.$$

Moreover, from the relation $R_{ik}^2 + I_{ik}^2 \leq 1$ and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - R_{ik}^2 + I_{ik}^2 &= (1 + R_{ik})(1 - R_{ik}) + I_{ik}^2 \\ &\leq 2(1 - R_{ik}) + I_{ik} \leq \sqrt{(4 + 1) \left((1 - R_{ik})^2 + I_{ik}^2 \right)} \\ &= \sqrt{5 \left((1 - R_{ik})^2 + I_{ik}^2 \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we combine above calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} ((1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2) &\leq -\frac{\kappa_0}{N} ((1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(2R_{ik} + 2R_{jk} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{2} \|W_0\|_F \sqrt{5 \left[(1 - R_{ik})^2 + I_{ik}^2 \right]} - \sqrt{2} \|W_0\|_F \sqrt{5 \left[(1 - R_{jk})^2 + I_{jk}^2 \right]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can rewrite above relation as

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}^4}{dt} \leq -\frac{\kappa_0}{N} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^4 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^N \left(2R_{ik} + 2R_{jk} - \sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{5} \|W_0\|_F (\mathcal{J}_{ik} + \mathcal{J}_{jk}) \right)$$

and this implies

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} \leq -\frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(R_{ik} + R_{jk} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk} \right).$$

Note that the definition of \mathcal{J}_{ij} yeilds

$$R_{ij} = 1 - \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_{ij}^4 - I_{ij}^2} \geq 1 - \mathcal{J}_{ij}^2,$$

from which we can get the desired result:

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} \leq -\frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk} \right).$$

□

Now, we are ready to present our third main result.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose the natural frequency matrix and initial data satisfy*

$$(4.9) \quad \Omega_j = \Omega, \quad \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8} + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N,$$

and let $\{z_j\}$ be the solution for (4.1) with initial data $\{z_j^{in}\}$. Then, there exists a positive constant Λ_{ij} such that

$$|\mathcal{J}_{ij}(t)| \lesssim \exp(-\alpha_{ij}t), \quad \|z_i(t) - z_j(t)\| \lesssim \exp\left(-\frac{\Lambda_{ij}t}{2}\right),$$

where

$$\Lambda_{ij} := \frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - (\mathcal{J}_{ik}^{in})^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik}^{in} + 1 - (\mathcal{J}_{jk}^{in})^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk}^{in} \right).$$

Proof. From the assumption (4.9) and the inequality (4.8), we know that \mathcal{J}_{ij} always decreases. This implies

$$\mathcal{J}_{ij}(t) \leq \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Then we can also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} &\leq -\frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk} \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - (\mathcal{J}_{ik}^{in})^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik}^{in} + 1 - (\mathcal{J}_{jk}^{in})^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk}^{in} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption (4.9), we have

$$\Lambda_{ij} > 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} \leq -\Lambda_{ij} \mathcal{J}_{ij}.$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{J}_{ij}(t) \leq \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} \exp(-\Lambda_{ij}t) \quad \text{and} \quad \|z_i - z_j\|^2 = 2 - 2R_{ij} \leq 2\mathcal{J}_{ij} \leq 2\mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} \exp(-\Lambda_{ij}t).$$

□

4.2. Non-identical ensemble. In this subsection, we study practical aggregation of non-identical ensemble to (4.1).

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\{z_j\}$ be a global solution of system (4.1). Then, the functional \mathcal{J}_{ij} satisfies*

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\mathcal{J}_{ij}}\mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \frac{\kappa_0}{2N}\mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F\mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F\mathcal{J}_{jk} \right).$$

Proof. As in (4.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle|^2 &= (1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)\langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j)z_i, z_j \rangle + (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle)\langle (\Omega_j - \Omega_i)z_j, z_i \rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa_0|1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle|^2(\langle V_0 z_c, z_i + z_j \rangle + \langle z_i + z_j, V_0 z_c \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} &|(1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)\langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j)z_i, z_j \rangle + (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle)\langle (\Omega_j - \Omega_i)z_j, z_i \rangle| \\ &= |(\langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j)z_i, (1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)z_j \rangle + \langle (1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)z_j, (\Omega_i - \Omega_j)z_i \rangle)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}\|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sqrt{\|z_i\|^2\|(1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)z_j\|^2 - \operatorname{Re}[\langle z_i, (1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)z_j \rangle^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{2}\|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sqrt{|1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle|^2 - \operatorname{Re}[\langle z_i, z_j \rangle^2(1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle)^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{2}\|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sqrt{(1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2 - \operatorname{Re}[(R_{ij} + iI_{ij} - R_{ij}^2 - I_{ij}^2)^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{2}\|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sqrt{(1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2 - (R_{ij} - R_{ij}^2 - I_{ij}^2)^2 + I_{ij}^2} \\ &= \sqrt{2}\|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sqrt{(1 - R_{ij}^2 - I_{ij}^2)((1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2) + 2I_{ij}^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}\|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_{ij}^4(3 - R_{ij}^2 - I_{ij}^2)} \leq 3\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}(\Omega)\mathcal{J}_{ij}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \max_{i,j} \|\Omega_i - \Omega_j\|_F$. Finally we can obtain the desired estimate.

(4.10)

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\mathcal{J}_{ij}}\mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \frac{\kappa_0}{2N}\mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F\mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F\mathcal{J}_{jk} \right).$$

□

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose initial data $\{z_j^{in}\}$ satisfy (4.9) and let $\{z_j\}$ be the solution of (4.1). Then, we have following practical aggregation:*

$$\lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_{ij} = 0.$$

Proof. We will use a similar argument with Theorem 3.1. First, consider the following quartic polynomial:

$$p(J) = \kappa_0 J^2 \left(J^2 + \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F J - 1 \right) + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4}\mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

For a sufficiently large κ_0 , the polynomial p has two positive solutions, called J_{\pm} , which satisfy

$$\lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} J_+ = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8 - \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F}}, \quad \lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} J_- = 0.$$

If we set

$$\mathcal{J}_M(t) = \max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}(t),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{J}_M \frac{d\mathcal{J}_M}{dt} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) + \kappa_0 \mathcal{J}_M^2 \left(\mathcal{J}_M^2 + \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_M - 1 \right).$$

Hence, we can obtain that if

$$\mathcal{J}_M(0) < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8 + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F}},$$

then we can obtain following practical aggregation:

$$\lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_M = 0.$$

□

Remark 4.2. *Since the leading coefficient of p is $\mathcal{O}(\kappa_0)$ and p is quartic, for sufficiently large κ_0 , one has*

$$J_- = \mathcal{O}\left(\kappa_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

5. EMERGENT DYNAMICS OF THE FULL LHS MODEL

In this section, we study emergent dynamics of the LHS model. In other words, we need to consider the effect of

$$\kappa_1 (\langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle) z_j, \quad V_1 = I_{d+1} + W_1.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\{z_j\}$ be a global solution of system (1.4). Then, the functional \mathcal{J}_{ij} satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} &\leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\mathcal{J}_{ij}} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa_1}{N\mathcal{J}_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^N (\mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 + \mathcal{J}_{kj}^2) + \sqrt{2}\kappa_1 \|W_1\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider additional term including κ_1 and then, we can use the calculation in proofs of Lemma 4.2 and 4.4:

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa_1 (\langle z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j \rangle) \langle z_i, z_j \rangle + \kappa_1 (\langle V_1 z_c, z_i \rangle - \langle z_i, V_1 z_c \rangle) \langle z_i, z_j \rangle \\ &= \kappa_1 \langle z_i, z_j \rangle (\langle z_j - z_i, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j - z_i \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

From this calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_1 \langle z_i, z_j \rangle (1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle) (\langle z_j - z_i, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j - z_i \rangle) \\
& + \kappa_1 \langle z_j, z_i \rangle (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) (\langle z_i - z_j, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_i - z_j \rangle) \\
& = \kappa_1 (\langle z_i, z_j \rangle - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle) (\langle z_j - z_i, V_1 z_c \rangle - \langle V_1 z_c, z_j - z_i \rangle) \\
& = -\frac{2\kappa_1 i I_{ij}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\langle z_i - z_j, V_1 z_k \rangle - \langle V_1 z_k, z_i - z_j \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle z_i - z_j, V_1 z_k \rangle - \langle V_1 z_k, z_i - z_j \rangle \\
& = \langle z_i, z_k \rangle - \langle z_j, z_k \rangle - \langle z_k, z_i \rangle + \langle z_k, z_j \rangle + \langle z_i - z_j, W_1 z_k \rangle - \langle W_1 z_k, z_i - z_j \rangle \\
& = 2iI_{ik} + 2iI_{kj} + \langle z_i - z_j, W_1 z_k \rangle - \langle W_1 z_k, z_i - z_j \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We combine this identity with the previous results in proofs of Lemma 4.2 and 4.4 to have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} |1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle|^2 \\
& = (1 - \langle z_j, z_i \rangle) \langle (\Omega_i - \Omega_j) z_i, z_j \rangle + (1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle) \langle (\Omega_j - \Omega_i) z_j, z_i \rangle \\
(5.1) \quad & - \frac{\kappa_0}{N} ((1 - R_{ij})^2 + I_{ij}^2) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(2R_{ik} + 2R_{jk} + \langle W_0 z_k, z_i + z_j \rangle + \langle z_i + z_j, W_0 z_k \rangle \right) \\
& - \frac{4\kappa_1 I_{ij}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (I_{ik} + I_{kj}) + \frac{2\kappa_1 i I_{ij}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\langle z_i - z_j, W_1 z_k \rangle - \langle W_1 z_k, z_i - z_j \rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we combine the relations (4.10) and (5.1) to obtain following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} & \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\mathcal{J}_{ij}} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk} \right) \\
& - \left| \frac{\kappa_1 I_{ij}}{N \mathcal{J}_{ij}^3} \sum_{k=1}^N (I_{ik} + I_{kj}) - \frac{\kappa_1 i I_{ij}}{2N \mathcal{J}_{ij}^3} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\langle z_i - z_j, W_1 z_k \rangle - \langle W_1 z_k, z_i - z_j \rangle \right) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of \mathcal{J}_{ij} , we have

$$|I_{ij}| \leq \mathcal{J}_{ij}^2, \quad |I_{ik}| \leq \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2, \quad |I_{kj}| \leq \mathcal{J}_{kj}^2,$$

and also we have

$$|\langle z_i - z_j, W_1 z_k \rangle| \leq \|W_1\|_F \cdot \|z_i - z_j\| = \|W_1\|_F \sqrt{2(1 - R_{ij})} \leq \sqrt{2} \|W_1\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ij}.$$

Finally, we can obtain the desired estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathcal{J}_{ij}}{dt} & \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\mathcal{J}_{ij}} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \frac{\kappa_0}{2N} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{ik} + 1 - \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_{jk} \right) \\
& + \frac{\kappa_1}{N \mathcal{J}_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^N (\mathcal{J}_{ik}^2 + \mathcal{J}_{kj}^2) + \sqrt{2} \kappa_1 \|W_1\|_F.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For a configuration z , we set

$$\mathcal{J}_M(t) := \max_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose system parameters and initial data satisfy*

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\kappa_0 > 2\kappa_1 \geq 0, \quad W_1 \equiv 0, \quad \mathcal{D}(\Omega) = 0, \\ &\mathcal{J}_{ij}^{in} < \frac{2\sqrt{2} \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0}\right)}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8 \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0}\right)} + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F} \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\{z_j\}$ be the solution of (1.4) with initial data $\{z_j^{in}\}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_M(t) \leq \mathcal{J}_M(0) \exp(-\tilde{\Lambda}t), \quad t > 0,$$

where

$$\tilde{\Lambda} := \kappa_0 \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0} - |\mathcal{J}_M^{in}|^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_M^{in}\right).$$

Proof. Again, we use Lemma 5.1 to obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{J}_M}{dt} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\mathcal{J}_M} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \kappa_0 \mathcal{J}_M \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_M^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_M\right) + 2\kappa_1 \mathcal{J}_M + \sqrt{2}\kappa_1 \|W_1\|_F.$$

By the assumption $\|W_1\|_F = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = 0$, one has

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_M}{dt} \leq -\kappa_0 \mathcal{J}_M \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0} - \mathcal{J}_M^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_M\right).$$

If we use the same argument with Theorem 4.1 and assumption on the initial data, we obtain

$$J_M(t) \leq J_M(0) \exp(-\tilde{\Lambda}t).$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\{z_j\}$ be the solution of (1.4) with the initial data $\{z_j^{in}\}$ satisfying (4.9). Then, for fixed κ_1 , we can obtain following practical aggregation*

$$\lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} J_M(t) = 0.$$

Proof. We first rewrite (5.3) as

$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_M}{dt} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\mathcal{J}_M} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) + \sqrt{2}\kappa_1 \|W_1\|_F - \kappa_0 \mathcal{J}_M \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0} - \mathcal{J}_M^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F \mathcal{J}_M\right).$$

From the similar argument with Theorem 4.2, we can also define quartic polynomial as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p(J) &= \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) + \sqrt{2}\kappa_1 \|W_1\|_F J - \kappa_0 J^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_0} - J^2 - \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F J\right) \\ &= \kappa_0 J^2 \left(J^2 + \frac{\sqrt[4]{5}}{\sqrt{2}}\|W_0\|_F J - 1\right) + \kappa_1 J \left(2J + \sqrt{2}\|W_1\|_F\right) + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for sufficiently large κ_0 relative to κ_1 , we can also set two positive solutions of p as J_{\pm} . Also we know that

$$\lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} J_+ = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{5}\|W_0\|_F^2 + 8 + \sqrt[4]{5}\|W_0\|_F}}, \quad \lim_{\kappa_0 \rightarrow \infty} J_- = 0.$$

Hence, assumption on initial condition implies the desired result. \square

Remark 5.1. *As in Remark 4.2, for sufficiently large κ_0 , one has*

$$J_- = \mathcal{O}\left(\kappa_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

6. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

In this section, we provide several numerical examples in order to confirm analytical results in Sections 4 and 5 on the asymptotic behavior of complex LS model and LHS model, respectively. In all simulations, we set

$$N = 50, \quad \Delta t = 0.02, \quad z_i \in \mathbb{HS}^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, 50,$$

and used the fourth order Runge-Kutta method.

6.1. The complex Lohe sphere model. In this subsection, we observe analytical results on the complex Lohe sphere model (4.1) in Section 4.

6.1.1. Identical case. In this part, we observe the emergent behavior of (4.1) with all natural frequencies are equal. That is, there exists $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}$ such that

$$\Omega^\dagger = -\Omega, \quad \Omega_i = \Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, 50.$$

We perform a numerical simulation under plausible condition to observe the complete aggregation in Theorem 4.1. In all simulations, we chose coupling strength, natural frequency Ω and frustration W_0 satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0 = 1, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\Omega_{jk}), \operatorname{Im}(\Omega_{jk}) &\in [-1, 1], \\ \operatorname{Re}[(W_0)_{jk}], \operatorname{Im}[(W_0)_{jk}] &\in [-0.1, 0.1], \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq 3, \end{aligned}$$

and take initial data satisfying (4.9). Under those settings, we observe the dynamics in time interval $[0, 10]$.

In Figure 1 (a), we plot the graph of \mathcal{J}_M , from which one can observe the complete aggregation when it converges to zero. Furthermore, linearity of Figure 1 (b) exhibits the exponential decaying of \mathcal{J}_M .

6.1.2. Nonidentical case. In this part, we observe the emergent behavior of (4.1) with distinct natural frequencies. We perform numerical simulation under plausible condition to observe the practical aggregation in Theorem 4.2. In simulation, we chose natural frequencies Ω_i and frustration W_0 satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re}[(\Omega_i)_{jk}], \operatorname{Im}[(\Omega_i)_{jk}] \in [-1, 1], \quad \operatorname{Re}[(W_0)_{jk}], \operatorname{Im}[(W_0)_{jk}] \in [-0.1, 0.1], \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq 3,$$

take initial data satisfying (4.9) and observe the dynamics in time interval $[0, 60]$. Under those settings, we employ various coupling strengths:

$$\kappa_0 = 1, 5, 10, 20.$$

In Figure 2 (a), we plot the graph of \mathcal{J}_M for various κ_0 . For each κ_0 , we denote the corresponding \mathcal{J}_M as $\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$. Then, one can observe that the asymptotic bound of $\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ gets




FIGURE 1. Emergence of complete aggregation

closer to zero as κ_0 becomes larger, which implies the practical aggregation. Moreover, in Figure 2 (b), (c) and (d), we plot the graphs of \mathcal{J}_M^1 , $\sqrt{\kappa_0}\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ and $\kappa_0\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ for $\kappa_0 = 5, 10, 20$, respectively. One can observe that the graph of \mathcal{J}_M^1 is bounded by the graphs of $\sqrt{\kappa_0}\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ and $\kappa_0\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$. More precisely, asymptotic bound of \mathcal{J}_M^1 is larger than that of $\sqrt{\kappa_0}\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$, while less than that of $\kappa_0\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$. And these observations support Remark 4.2.

6.2. The LHS model. In this subsection, we observe the analytical result on the Lohe Hermitian sphere models in Section 5.

6.2.1. Identical ensemble. In this part, we observe the emergent behavior of (1.4) with all natural frequencies are equal. We perform numerical simulation under plausible condition to observe the complete aggregation in Theorem 5.1. In simulation, we chose coupling strength, natural frequency Ω and frustrations W_1, W_0 satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0 = 4, \quad \kappa_1 = 1, \quad W_1 = 0, \quad \text{Re}[(W_0)_{jk}], \text{Im}[(W_0)_{jk}] \in [-0.1, 0.1], \\ \text{Re}(\Omega_{jk}), \text{Im}(\Omega_{jk}) \in [-1, 1], \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq 3, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\kappa_0 > 2\kappa_1$, and take initial data satisfying (5.2)₄. Under those settings, we observe the dynamics in time interval $[0, 5]$.

In Figure 3 (a), we plot the graph of \mathcal{J}_M , from which one can observe the complete aggregation when it converges to zero. Furthermore, linearity of Figure 3 (b) exhibits the exponential decaying of \mathcal{J}_M .

6.2.2. Nonidentical ensemble. In this part, we observe the emergent behavior of (1.4) with distinct natural frequencies. We perform numerical simulation under plausible condition to observe the practical aggregation in Theorem 5.2. In simulation, we chose natural frequencies Ω_i and frustration W_0, W_1 satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}[(\Omega_i)_{jk}], \text{Im}[(\Omega_i)_{jk}] \in [-1, 1], \quad \text{Re}[(W_l)_{jk}], \text{Im}[(W_l)_{jk}] \in [-0.1, 0.1], \\ 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq d, \quad l = 0, 1 \end{aligned}$$





(a) Graphs of $\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ for various κ_0 (b) Graphs of \mathcal{J}_M^1 , $\sqrt{5}\mathcal{J}_M^5$ and $5\mathcal{J}_M^5$ (c) Graphs of \mathcal{J}_M^1 , $\sqrt{10}\mathcal{J}_M^{10}$ and $10\mathcal{J}_M^{10}$ (d) Graphs of \mathcal{J}_M^1 , $\sqrt{20}\mathcal{J}_M^{20}$ and $20\mathcal{J}_M^{20}$

FIGURE 2. Emergence of practical aggregation

take initial data satisfying (4.9) and observe the dynamics in time interval $[0, 100]$. Under those settings, we employ various coupling strengths:

$$(\kappa_0, \kappa_1) = (1, 1), (5, 1), (10, 1), (20, 1).$$

In Figure 4 (a), we plot the graph of \mathcal{J}_M for various κ_0 , but κ_1 fixed. For each κ_0 , we denote the corresponding \mathcal{J}_M as $\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$. Then, one can observe that the asymptotic bound of $\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ gets closer to zero as κ_0 becomes larger, which implies the practical synchronization. Moreover, in Figure 4 (b), (c) and (d), we plot the graphs of \mathcal{J}_M^1 , $\sqrt{\kappa_0}\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ and $\kappa_0\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ for $\kappa_0 = 5, 10, 20$, respectively. One can observe that the graph of \mathcal{J}_M^1 is bounded by the graphs of $\sqrt{\kappa_0}\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$ and $\kappa_0\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$. More precisely, asymptotic bound of \mathcal{J}_M^1 is larger than that of $\sqrt{\kappa_0}\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$, while less than that of $\kappa_0\mathcal{J}_M^{\kappa_0}$. And these observations support Remark 5.1.




FIGURE 3. Emergence of complete aggregation

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied emergent behaviors of the Lohe hermitian sphere model with frustration. Frustration can act as an anti-aggregation mechanism so that it prevents a formation of aggregate phenomenon. However, when coupling is strong enough and frustration is small enough, the complete aggregation and practical aggregation can emerge depending on the nature of frequency matrices. For both cases, we provide explicit sufficient frameworks in terms of coupling strengths and initial data, and then within our proposed framework, we show that the LHS model can exhibit collective behaviors. For non-identical particles with different free flows, our estimates on the practical aggregation can be viewed as a weak aggregation estimate in the sense that our practical aggregation estimate does not give us on the formation of phase-locked states for the LHS model. Moreover, we do not know how many phase-locked states with positive order parameter can exist in a generic setting, and whether periodic orbits can exhibit in the LHS model is not known yet. For the identical ensemble, nontrivial periodic orbits will be excluded due to the constancy of cross-ratio-like quantity. Of course, aforementioned questions on the phase-locked states are also open, even for the Lohe sphere model. These interesting questions will be left for a future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Acebron, J. A., Bonilla, L. L., Pérez Vicente, C. J. P., Ritort, F. and Spigler, R.: *The Kuramoto model: A simple paradigm for synchronization phenomena*. Rev. Mod. Phys. **77** (2005), 137-185.
- [2] Albi, G., Bellomo, N., Fermo, L., Ha, S.-Y., Kim, J., Pareschi, L., Poyato, D. and Soler, J.: *Vehicular traffic, crowds and swarms: From kinetic theory and multiscale methods to applications and research perspectives*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **29** (2019), 1901-2005.
- [3] Bronski, J. C., DeVille, L. and Park, M. J. : *Fully synchronous solutions and the synchronization phase transition for the finite- N Kuramoto mode*. Chaos. **22** (2012), 033133.
- [4] Bellomo, N. and Ha, S.-Y.: *A quest toward a mathematical theory of the dynamics of swarms*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **27** (2017), 745-770.
- [5] Bernoff, A. J. and Topaz, C. M.: *Nonlocal aggregation models: a primer of swarm equilibria*. SIAM Rev. **55** (2013), 709-747.




FIGURE 4. Emergence of practical synchronization

- [6] Bernoff, A. J. and Topaz, C. M.: *A primer of swarm equilibria*. SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **10** (2011), 212-250.
- [7] Buck, J. and Buck, E.: *Biology of synchronous flashing of fireflies*. Nature **211** (1966), 562.
- [8] Chi, D., Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Emergent behaviors of a holonomic particle system on a sphere*. J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014), 052703.
- [9] Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Emergent behaviors of quantum Lohe oscillators with all-to-all couplings*. J. Nonlinear Sci. **25** (2015), 1257-1283.
- [10] Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Time-delayed interactions and synchronization of identical Lohe oscillators*. Quart. Appl. Math. **74** (2016), 297-319.
- [11] Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Large-time dynamics of the asymptotic Lohe model with a small-time delay*. J. Phys. A: Mathematical and Theoretical. **48** (2015), 425101.
- [12] Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Complete entrainment of Lohe oscillators under attractive and repulsive couplings*. SIAM. J. App. Dyn. **13** (2013), 1417-1441.
- [13] Choi, Y., Ha, S.-Y., Jung, S. and Kim, Y.: *Asymptotic formation and orbital stability of phase-locked states for the Kuramoto model*. Physica D **241** (2012), 735-754.
- [14] Chopra, N. and Spong, M. W.: *On exponential synchronization of Kuramoto oscillators*. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control **54** (2009), 353-357.

- [15] Daido, H.: *Quasientrainment and slow relaxation in a population of oscillators with random and frustrated interactions*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** (1992), 1073-1076.
- [16] Dörfler, F. and Bullo, F.: *Synchronization in complex networks of phase oscillators: A survey*. Automatica **50** (2014), 1539-1564.
- [17] Ha, S.-Y., Kim, D., Park, H. and Ryoo, S. W.: *Constants of motions for the finite-dimensional Lohe type models with frustration and applications to emergent dynamics*. To appear in Physica D.
- [18] Ha, S.-Y., Kim, H. W. and Park, J.: *Remarks on the complete synchronization for the Kuramoto model with frustrations*. Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **16** (2018), 525-563.
- [19] Ha, S.-Y., Kim, H. W. and Ryoo, S. W.: *Emergence of phase-locked states for the Kuramoto model in a large coupling regime*. Commun. Math. Sci. **14** (2016), 1073-1091.
- [20] Ha, S.-Y., Kim, Y. and Li, Z.: *Asymptotic synchronization behavior of Kuramoto type models with frustrations*. Netw. and Heterog. Media **9** (2014), 33-64.
- [21] Ha, S.-Y., Kim, Y. and Li, Z.: *Large-Time Dynamics of Kuramoto Oscillators under the Effects of Inertia and Frustration*. SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **13** (2014), 466-492.
- [22] Ha, S.-Y., Ko, D., Park, J. and Zhang, X.: *Collective synchronization of classical and quantum oscillators*. EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences **3** (2016), 209-267.
- [23] Ha, S.-Y., Noh, S. E. and Park, J.: *Practical synchronization of generalized Kuramoto systems with an intrinsic dynamics*. Netw. Heterog. Media **10** (2015), 787-807.
- [24] Ha, S.-Y. and Park, H.: *From the Lohe tensor model to the Hermitian Lohe sphere model and emergent dynamics*. SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems **19** (2020), 1312-1342.
- [25] Jaćimović, V. and Crnković, A.: *Low-dimensional dynamics in non-Abelian Kuramoto model on the 3-sphere*. Chaos **28** (2018), 083105.
- [26] Kuramoto, Y.: *Self-entrainment of a population of coupled non-linear oscillators*. International symposium on mathematical problems in mathematical physics. Lecture Notes Theor. Phys. **30** (1975), 420.
- [27] Li, Z. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Uniqueness and well-ordering of emergent phase-locked states for the Kuramoto model with frustration and inertia*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **26** (2016), 357-382.
- [28] Lohe, M. A.: *Systems of matrix Riccati equations, linear fractional transformations, partial integrability and synchronization*. J. Math. Phys. **60** (2019), 072701.
- [29] Lohe, M. A.: *Quantum synchronization over quantum networks*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010), 465301.
- [30] Lohe, M. A.: *Non-abelian Kuramoto model and synchronization*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009), 395101.
- [31] Markdahl, J., Thunberg, J. and Goncalves, J.: *Almost global consensus on the n-sphere*. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **63** (2018), 1664-1675.
- [32] Olfati-Saber, R.: *Swarms on sphere: A programmable swarm with synchronous behaviors like oscillator networks*. Proc. of the 45th IEEE conference on Decision and Control (2006), 5060 - 5066.
- [33] Park, K, Rhee, S. W. and Choi, M. Y.: *Glassy synchronization in the network of oscillators with random phase shift*. Phys. Rev. E **57** (1998), 5030.
- [34] Peskin, C. S.: *Mathematical aspects of heart physiology*. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 1975.
- [35] Pikovsky, A., Rosenblum, M. and Kurths, J.: *Synchronization: A universal concept in nonlinear sciences*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [36] Strogatz, S. H.: *From Kuramoto to Crawford: exploring the onset of synchronization in populations of coupled oscillators*. Physica D **143** (2000), 1-20.
- [37] Thunberg, J., Markdahl, J., Bernard, F. and Goncalves, J.: *A lifting method for analyzing distributed synchronization on the unit sphere*. Automatica J. IFAC **96** (2018), 253-258.
- [38] Topaz, C. M., Bertozzi, A. L. and Lewis, M. A.: *A nonlocal continuum model for biological aggregation*. Bull. Math. Biol. **68** (2006), 1601-1623.
- [39] Topaz, C. M. and Bertozzi, A. L.: *Swarming patterns in a two-dimensional kinematic model for biological groups*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **65** (2004), 152-174.
- [40] Vicsek, T. and Zafeiris, A.: *Collective motion*. Phys. Rep. **517** (2012), 71-140.
- [41] Winfree, A. T.: *The geometry of biological time*. Springer, New York, 1980.
- [42] Zheng, Z. G.: *Frustration effect on synchronization and chaos in coupled oscillators*. Chin. Phys. Soc. **10** (2001), 703-707.

- [43] Zhu, J.: *Synchronization of Kuramoto model in a high-dimensional linear space* Physics Letters A **377** (2013), 2939-2943.

(Seung-Yeal Ha)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826 AND

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, HOEGIRO 85, 02455, SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: `syha@snu.ac.kr`

(Myeongju Kang)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: `bear0117@snu.ac.kr`

(Hansol Park)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: `hansol1960612@snu.ac.kr`