

Twisted Standard Model in noncommutative geometry I: the field content

Manuele Filaci^{*}, Pierre Martinetti^{†‡}, Simone Pesco^{*}

^{*}*Università di Genova – Dipartimento di Fisica, † di Matematica,*

[‡]*Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare sezione di Genova,
via Dodecaneso, 16146 Genova GE Italy.*

E-mail: manuele.filaci@ge.infn.it, martinetti@dima.unige.it, simone.pesco.cpt@gmail.com

June 12, 2022

Abstract

Noncommutative geometry provides both a unified description of the Standard Model of particle physics together with Einstein-Hilbert action (in Euclidean signature) and some tools to go beyond the Standard Model. In this paper, we extend to the full noncommutative geometry of the Standard Model the twist (in the sense of Connes-Moscovici) initially worked out for the electroweak sector and the free Dirac operator only. Namely we apply the twist also to the strong interaction sector and the finite part of the Dirac operator, following the twist-by-grading procedure for graded spectral triples. We still obtain the extra scalar field required to stabilize the electroweak vacuum and fit the Higgs mass, but it now has two chiral components. We also get the additive field of 1-forms already pointed out in the electroweak model, but with a richer structure. Finally, we obtain a pair of Higgs doublets, which are expected to combine into a single Higgs doublet in the action formula, as will be investigated in the second part of this work.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The non-twisted case	4
2.1	The spectral triple of the Standard Model	4
2.2	Representation of the algebra	5
2.3	Finite dimensional Dirac operator, grading and real structure	7
3	Minimal twist of the Standard Model	8
3.1	Algebra and Hilbert space	8
3.2	Grading and real structure	10
3.3	Twisted fluctuation	11
4	Scalar part of the twisted fluctuation	12
4.1	The Higgs sector	12
4.2	The extra scalar field	16
5	Gauge part of the twisted fluctuation	17
5.1	Dirac matrices and twist	18
5.2	Free 1-form	19

5.3	Identification of the physical degrees of freedom	20
5.4	Twisted fluctuation of the free Dirac operator	22
6	Gauge Transformations	24
6.1	Gauge sector	25
6.2	Scalar sector	27
	Appendices	30
A.1	Dirac matrices and real structure	30
A.2	Components of the gauge sector of the twisted fluctuation	31
A.3	Twisted first order condition	31
	References	33

1 Introduction

Noncommutative geometry [10] provides a mathematical framework in which a single action formula yields both the lagrangian of the Standard Model of fundamental interactions and the Einstein-Hilbert action (in euclidean signature). As an added value, the Higgs field is obtained on the same footing as the other gauge bosons – as a connection 1-form – but a connection that lives on a slightly generalised notion of space, where points come equipped with an internal structure. Such “spaces” are described by *spectral triples*

$$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D \tag{1.1}$$

consisting in an algebra \mathcal{A} , acting on an Hilbert space \mathcal{H} together with an operator D on \mathcal{H} which satisfies a set of axioms [11] guaranteeing that – in case \mathcal{A} is commutative and unital – then there exists a (closed) riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} such that \mathcal{A} coincides with the algebra $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of smooth functions on \mathcal{M} . In other terms, a spectral triple with \mathcal{A} commutative does encode all the geometrical information of a (closed) riemannian manifold [13]. These axioms still make sense when \mathcal{A} is noncommutative, and provide then a definition of a *noncommutative geometry* as a spectral triple in which the algebra is non necessarily commutative.

The spectral triple of the Standard Model [12] is built upon an “almost-commutative algebra”,

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}} \tag{1.2}$$

where \mathcal{M} is an even dimensional closed riemannian manifold and \mathcal{A}_{SM} a noncommutative matrix algebra that encodes the gauge degrees of freedom of the Standard Model. As explained in [11], this non-commutative algebra provides the points of \mathcal{M} with an internal structure, in such a way that the Standard Model actually is nothing but a pure theory of gravity, on a space that is made slightly noncommutative by multiplying the (infinite dimensional) commutative algebra $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ with the finite dimensional noncommutative \mathcal{A}_{SM} .

Since the discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012, there have been several developments in the description of the Standard Model in noncommutative geometry. It has been noticed in [5] that an extra scalar field – usually denoted σ – proposed by particle physicist to cure the instability of the electroweak vacuum due to the “low mass of the Higgs”, also makes the computation of the Higgs mass (which is not a free parameter of the model) compatible with its experimental value. There exist several ways to make this extra scalar field emerge from the mathematical framework of noncommutative geometry, all of them consisting in some modification of one of the axioms, the *first order condition* (e.g. [8, 7, 3, 4, 2], see [9] for a recent review).

In this paper, we push forward one of these ideas, consisting in twisting the spectral triple of the Standard Model. Twists have been introduced by Connes and Moscovici in [15] with purely mathematical motivations. Later, it has been discovered in [18] that a very simple twist of the Standard Model not only produces the extra scalar field σ , but also an additive field of 1-form X_μ which turns out to be related with Wick rotation and the transition from the euclidean to the lorentzian signature [21]. However, in [18] the twist was applied only to the part of the spectral triple that yields the field σ , namely the subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_{SM} describing the electroweak interaction and the part of the operator D that contains the Majorana mass of the neutrinos. For simplicity, the subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_{SM} describing the strong interaction was left untouched, and the part of D containing the Yukawa coupling of fermions was not taken into account.

Following the results of [19, 20] in which the twist of gauge theories have been investigated in a systematic way, we apply the twisting procedure to the whole spectral triple of the Standard Model. Besides the field content of the Standard Model, we find that the extra scalar σ actually decomposes into two chiral components σ_r, σ_l (proposition 4.5) which are independent under a gauge transformation (proposition 6.6). We also work out the structure of the 1-form field X_μ (proposition 5.5), and study how it behaves under a gauge transformation (proposition 6.2). In brief, imposing the same unimodular condition as in the non-twisted case, we find that the antiselfadjoint part of the (generalised) 1-form generated by the free Dirac operator \not{D} yields exactly the bosonic content of the Standard Model, as in the non-twisted case. But there is also a selfadjoint part made of two real 1-form fields and one selfadjoint $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ -value 1-form field. Altogether these three fields compose the 1-form field X_μ .

The complete understanding of the physical meaning of these fields passes through the computation of the fermionic and spectral actions, and will be the object of a second paper [1].

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we recall the basics of the spectral triple of the Standard Model (§2.1), make explicit the tensorial notations employed all along the paper (§2.2) and use them to write explicitly the Dirac operator, the grading and the real structure (§2.3). Section 3 deals with the twist. After recalling the procedure of minimal twisting defined in [19], we apply it to the spectral triple of the Standard Model: the algebra is doubled so as to act independently on the left and right components of Dirac spinors (§3.1). The grading and the real structure are the same as in the non-twisted case, and we check explicitly that one of the axioms (the order zero condition) still holds in the twisted case (§3.2), as expected from the general result of [19]. Paragraph 3.3 is a brief recalling about twisting fluctuations, that is the way to generate the bosonic fields. The detail computation of this fluctuations is the object of section 4 and 5, which contain the main results of this paper. We first work out the Higgs sector in §4.1. The main result is proposition 4.3 in which we find two Higgs doublets. The extra scalar field σ is generated in §4.2. Its structure as a doublet of real scalar fields σ_r, σ_l is established in proposition 4.5. In section 5 we compute the twisted fluctuation of the free part \not{D} of the Dirac operator. Useful properties of the Dirac matrices with respect to the twist are worked out in §5.1. The structure of the 1-form field X_μ is summarised in proposition 5.5 and yields, in §5.4, the explicit of the twisted fluctuation of the free Dirac operator. In section 6 we study how all these fields behave under a gauge transformation. After recalling the basics of gauge transformation for twisted spectral triple (as stabilised in [20]), we apply these techniques to the gauge and the 1-form fields in §6.1 and to the scalar fields in §6.2. We show in proposition 6.2 that the bosonic fields transform in the correct way, while the 1-form field is invariant, up to a unitary transformation on the $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ -value part. The Higgs doublets as well transform as expected (proposition 6.5), while the extra scalar field σ is gauge invariant, as shown in proposition 6.6.

Notations and important comment regarding the literature:

- The twist we apply here follows the procedure of *minimal twisting* worked out in [19]. This guarantees that the first order condition is verified in his twisted version, which is necessary [20] in order to apply the twisted gauge transformation in section 6. The twist initially used in [18] took into account only the part of the algebra relevant to generate the field σ . Genuinely generalising it to the whole algebra yields a violation of the first order condition, as discussed in appendix A.3.
- We work with one generation of fermions only. The extension of our results to three generations is straightforward and will be discussed in the second part of the work, for the computation of the action.
- All along the paper, we apply the usual rule of contractions of indices in alternate up/down positions. Typically the greek indices label the coordinates of the manifold.

2 The non-twisted case

As a preparation to the twisting, we recall in this section the main features of the spectral description of the Standard Model. Besides the original papers (recalled in the text), a good place where all the details are extensively discussed are the books [14] and [22] (for a more physics-oriented presentation).

2.1 The spectral triple of the Standard Model

The usual spectral triple of the Standard Model [6] is the product of the canonical triple of a (closed) riemannian spin manifold \mathcal{M} of even dimension m ,

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \quad L^2(\mathcal{M}, S), \quad \not{D} \tag{2.1}$$

with the finite dimensional spectral triple (called *internal*)

$$\mathcal{A}_{SM} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}), \quad \mathcal{H}_F = \mathbb{C}^{32n}, \quad D_F \tag{2.2}$$

that describes the gauge degrees of freedom of the Standard Model. In (2.1), $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the algebra of smooth functions on \mathcal{M} , that acts by multiplication on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ of square integrable spinors as

$$(f\psi)(x) = f(x)\psi(x) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \psi \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, S), x \in \mathcal{M}, \tag{2.3}$$

while

$$\not{D} = -i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \quad \text{with} \quad \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \omega_\mu \tag{2.4}$$

is the Dirac operator on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ associated with the spin connection ω_μ and the γ^μ s are the Dirac matrices associated with the riemannian metric g on \mathcal{M} :

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{I} \quad \forall \mu, \nu = 0, m-1 \tag{2.5}$$

(\mathbb{I} is the identity operator on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ and we label the coordinates of \mathcal{M} from 0 to $m-1$).

In (2.2), n is the number of generations of fermions, and D_F is a $32n$ square complex matrix whose entries are the Yukawa couplings of fermions and the coefficients of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) mixing matrix of quarks and of the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) mixing matrix of neutrinos. Details are given in §2.3, and the representation of \mathcal{A}_{SM} on \mathcal{H}_F is in §2.2.

The product spectral triple is

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}}, \quad \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}, S) \otimes \mathcal{H}_F, \quad D = \not{D} \otimes \mathbb{1}_F + \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes D_F \quad (2.6)$$

where $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the product of the euclidean Dirac matrices (see appendix A.1) and $\mathbb{1}_F$ the identity operator on \mathcal{H}_F .

A spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is *graded* when the Hilbert space comes equipped with a grading (that is a selfadjoint operator that squares to $\mathbb{1}$) which anticommutes with D . The spectral triple (2.1) is graded with grading $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$. The internal spectral triple (2.2) is graded, with grading the operator γ_F on \mathcal{H}_F that takes value $+1$ on right particles & left antiparticles, -1 on left particles & right antiparticles. The product spectral triple (2.6) is graded, with grading

$$\Gamma = \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \gamma_F. \quad (2.7)$$

Another important ingredient is the *real structure*, that is an anti-linear operator that squares to $\pm\mathbb{1}$ and commutes or anticommutes with the grading and the operator D (the possible choices define the so-called *KO*-dimension of the spectral triple). For a manifold, the real structure \mathcal{J} is given by the charge conjugation operator. In dimension $m = 4$, it satisfies

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -\mathbb{1}, \quad \mathcal{J}\not{D} = \not{D}\mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{J}\gamma_{\mathcal{M}} = \gamma_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{J}. \quad (2.8)$$

The real structure of the internal spectral triple (2.2) is the anti-linear operator J_F that exchanges particles with antiparticles on \mathcal{H}_F . It satisfies

$$J_F^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad J_F D_F = D_F J_F, \quad J_F \gamma_F = -\gamma_F J_F. \quad (2.9)$$

The real structure for the product spectral triple (2.6) is

$$J = \mathcal{J} \otimes J_F. \quad (2.10)$$

For a manifold of dimension $m = 4$, it is such that

$$J^2 = -\mathbb{1}, \quad JD = DJ, \quad J\Gamma = -\Gamma J. \quad (2.11)$$

The real structure implements an action of the opposite algebra \mathcal{A}° on \mathcal{H} , identifying $a^\circ \in \mathcal{A}^\circ$ with Ja^*J^{-1} . This action is asked to commute with the one of \mathcal{A} , yielding the *order zero condition*

$$[a, b^\circ] = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{A}^\circ. \quad (2.12)$$

Among the properties of a spectral triple, one particularly relevant for physical models is the *first order condition*

$$[[D, b], a^\circ] = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.13)$$

2.2 Representation of the algebra

To describe the action of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}} \otimes C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ on \mathcal{H} in (2.6), it is convenient to label the $32n$ degrees of freedom of the finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_F by a multi-index $CI\alpha$ defined as follows.

- $C = 0, 1$ is for particle ($C = 0$) or anti-particle ($C = 1$);
- $I = 0; i$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ is the lepto-colour index: $I = 0$ means lepton, while $I = 1, 2, 3$ are for the quark, which exists in three colors;

- $\alpha = \dot{1}, \dot{2}; a$ with $a = 1, 2$ is the flavour index:

$$\dot{1} = \nu_R, \dot{2} = e_R, 1 = \nu_L, 2 = e_L \quad \text{for leptons } (I = 0), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\dot{1} = u_R, \dot{2} = d_R, 1 = q_L, 2 = d_L \quad \text{for quarks } (I = i). \quad (2.15)$$

We sometimes use the shorthand notation $\ell_L^a = (\nu_L, e_L)$, $q_L^a = (u_L, d_L)$.

There are $2 \times 4 \times 4 = 32$ choices of triplet of indices (C, I, α) , which is the number of fermions per generation. One should also take into account an extra index $n = 1, 2, 3$ for the generations, but in this paper we work with one generation only and we omit it (we will discuss the number of generations in the computation of the action [1]). So from now on

$$\mathcal{H}_F = \mathbb{C}^{32}. \quad (2.16)$$

An element $\psi \in \mathcal{H} = C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_F$ is thus a 32 dimensional column-vector, in which each component $\psi_{CI\alpha}$ is a Dirac spinor in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$.

Regarding the algebra, unless necessary we omit the symbol of the representation and identify an element $a = (c, q, m)$ in $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}}$, where

$$c \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{C}), \quad q \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{H}), \quad m \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, M_3(\mathbb{C})), \quad (2.17)$$

with its representation as bounded operator on \mathcal{H} , that is a 32 square matrix whose components*

$$a_{CI\alpha}^{DJ\beta} \quad (2.18)$$

are smooth functions acting by multiplication on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ as in (2.3). Explicitly†

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} Q & \\ & M \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (2.19)$$

where the 16×16 square matrices Q, M have components

$$Q_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} = \delta_I^J Q_\alpha^\beta, \quad M_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} = \delta_\alpha^\beta M_I^J, \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$Q_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} c & \\ & \bar{c} \\ & & q \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad M_I^J = \begin{pmatrix} c & \\ & m \end{pmatrix}_I^J. \quad (2.21)$$

Here, the over-bar $\bar{\cdot}$, denotes the complex conjugate, m (evaluated at the point x) identifies with its usual representation as 3×3 complex matrices and the quaternion q (evaluated at x) acts through its representation as 2×2 matrices:

$$\mathbb{H} \ni q(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.22)$$

* D, J, β are column indices with the same range as the line indices C, I, α (the position of the indices was slightly different in [18], the one adopted here makes the tensorial computation more tractable).

†The indices after the closing parenthesis are here to recall that the block-entries of \mathcal{A} are labelled by the C, D indices, that is $a_1^1 = Q, a_2^2 = M, a_1^2 = a_2^1 = 0$.

2.3 Finite dimensional Dirac operator, grading and real structure

With respect to the particle/antiparticle index C , the internal Dirac operator

$$D_F = D_Y + D_M \quad (2.23)$$

decomposes into a diagonal and an off-diagonal part

$$D_Y = \begin{pmatrix} D_0 & \\ & D_0^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_C^D, \quad D_M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_R \\ D_R^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (2.24)$$

containing respectively the Yukawa couplings of fermions and the Majorana mass of the neutrino.

More explicitly, the 16×16 matrices D_0 and D_R are block-diagonal with respect to the lepto-colour index I

$$D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} D_0^\ell & & & \\ & D_0^q & & \\ & & D_0^q & \\ & & & D_0^q \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad D_R = \begin{pmatrix} D_R^\ell & & & \\ & 0_4 & & \\ & & 0_4 & \\ & & & 0_4 \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad (2.25)$$

where we write ℓ for $I = 0$ and q for $I = 1, 2, 3$. Each D_0^I is a 4×4 matrix (in the flavor index α),

$$D_0^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{k}^l \\ k^l & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \quad \text{where} \quad k^l := \begin{pmatrix} k_u^I & 0 \\ 0 & k_d^I \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (2.26)$$

whose entries are the Yukawa couplings of elementary fermions

$$k_u^I = (k_\nu, k_u, k_u, k_u) \quad k_d^I = (k_e, k_d, k_d, k_d) \quad (2.27)$$

(three of them are equal because the Yukawa coupling of quarks does not depend on the colour). Similarly, D_R^ℓ is a 4×4 matrix (in the flavour index),

$$D_R^\ell = \begin{pmatrix} k_R & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \quad (2.28)$$

whose only non-zero entry is the Majorana mass of the neutrino.

In tensorial notations, one has

$$D_R = k_R \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$\Xi_\alpha^\beta := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad \Xi_I^J := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_I^J \quad (2.30)$$

and $\Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta}$ is a shorthand notation for the tensor $\Xi_I^J \Xi_\alpha^\beta$. Similarly, the internal grading is

$$\gamma_F = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_8 & & & \\ & -\mathbb{I}_8 & & \\ & & -\mathbb{I}_8 & \\ & & & \mathbb{I}_8 \end{pmatrix} = \eta_{C\alpha}^{D\beta} \delta_I^J \quad (2.31)$$

where the blocks in the matrix act respectively on right/left particles, then right/left antiparticles, and we define

$$\eta_\alpha^\beta := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & \\ & -\mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad \eta_C^D := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (2.32)$$

and $\eta_{C\alpha}^{D\beta}$ holds for $\eta_C^D \eta_\alpha^\beta$. The internal real structure is

$$J_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_{16} \\ \mathbb{I}_{16} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D cc = \xi_C^D \delta_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} cc \quad (2.33)$$

where cc denotes the complex conjugation and we define

$$\xi_C^D := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D. \quad (2.34)$$

3 Minimal twist of the Standard Model

In the noncommutative geometry description of the Standard Model, the bosonic degrees of freedom are obtained by a so-called fluctuation of the metric, that is the substitution of the operator D with $D + A + JAJ^{-1}$ where

$$A = \sum_i a_i [D, b_i] \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A} \quad (3.1)$$

is a generalised 1-form (see [11] for details and the justification of the terminology).

As already noticed in [6, 14], the Majorana mass of the neutrino does not contribute to the bosonic content of the model, for D_M commute with algebra:

$$[\gamma^5 \otimes D_M, a] = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.2)$$

However, in order to generate the σ field proposed in [5] to cure the electroweak vacuum instability and solve the problem of the computation of the Higgs mass, one precisely needs to make D_M contribute to the fluctuation.

To do this, a possibility consists in substituting the commutator $[D, a]$ with a twisted commutator

$$[D, a]_\rho := Da - \rho(a)D \quad (3.3)$$

where ρ is a fixed automorphism of \mathcal{A} . This substitution is the base of the definition of *twisted spectral triple* [15] where, instead of asking that $[D, a]$ be bounded for any a (which is one of the axioms of a spectral triple), one requires that there exists an automorphism ρ such that the twisted-commutator $[D, a]_\rho$ is bounded for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. As shown in [19], starting with a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ where \mathcal{A} is almost commutative as in (1.2), then the only way to build a twisted spectral triple with the same Hilbert space and Dirac operator (which, from a physics point of view, means that one looks for models with the same fermionic content as the Standard Model) is to double the algebra and make it act independently on the left and right components of spinors (following actually an idea of [17]). All this is detailed in the next section.

3.1 Algebra and Hilbert space

The algebra \mathcal{A} of the twisted spectral triple of the Standard Model is twice the algebra (2.6),

$$\mathcal{A} = (C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, \quad (3.4)$$

which is isomorphic to

$$(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}}) \oplus (C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}}). \quad (3.5)$$

It acts on the same Hilbert space \mathcal{H} as in the non-twisted case, but now the two copies of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SM}}$ act independently on the right and left components of spinors. To write this action, it is convenient to view an element of \mathcal{H} as a column vector with $4 \times 32 = 128$ components (4 being the number of components of a usual spinor in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ for $m = 4$). To this aim, one introduce two extra-indices to label the degrees of freedom of $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$:

- $s = r, l$ is the chirality index;
- $\dot{s} = \dot{0}, \dot{1}$ denotes particle ($\dot{0}$) or anti-particle part ($\dot{1}$).

An element a of (3.5) is a pair of elements of (2.6), namely

$$a = (c, c', q, q', m, m') \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$c, c' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{C}) \quad q, q' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{H}), \quad m, m' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, M_3(\mathbb{C})). \quad (3.7)$$

Following the “twist by grading” procedure described in [19], (c, q, m) acts on the +1 eigenspace \mathcal{H}_+ of the grading Γ , whereas (c', q', m') acts on the -1 eigenspace \mathcal{H}_- . The eigenspace \mathcal{H}_+ is the subspace of \mathcal{H} corresponding to the indices $r, \alpha = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$ and $l, \alpha = 1, 2$, while \mathcal{H}_- is spanned by $l, \alpha = 1, 2$ and $r, \alpha = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$. In other terms, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ acts as in (2.19), but now the two 64×64 matrices Q, M are tensor fields of components

$$Q_{\dot{s}sI\alpha}^{ttJ\beta} = \delta_{\dot{s}I}^{tJ} Q_{s\alpha}^{t\beta}, \quad M_{\dot{s}sI\alpha}^{ttJ\beta} = \delta_{\dot{s}}^t M_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\delta_{\dot{s}I}^{tJ}$ denotes the product of the two Kronecker symbols δ_s^t, δ_I^J . In other terms, both Q and M act trivially (i.e. as the identity) on the indices $\dot{s}t$, but no longer on the chiral indices st . On the latter, the action is given by

$$Q_{s\alpha}^{t\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} (Q_r)_\alpha^\beta & \\ & (Q_l)_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad M_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J} = \begin{pmatrix} (M_r)_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} & \\ & (M_l)_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad (3.9)$$

with

$$Q_r = \begin{pmatrix} c & \\ & q' \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad Q_l = \begin{pmatrix} c' & \\ & q \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$M_r = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{m}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad M_l = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (3.11)$$

where we denote

$$\mathbf{c} := \begin{pmatrix} c & \\ & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{m} := \begin{pmatrix} c & \\ & m \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad \mathbf{c}' := \begin{pmatrix} c' & \\ & \bar{c}' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{m}' := \begin{pmatrix} c' & \\ & m' \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad (3.12)$$

Compared to the usual spectral triple of the Standard Model, $M_{r/l}$ are no longer trivial in the flavour index α (see also appendix A.3 regarding the twist used in [18]).

The twist ρ is the automorphism of \mathcal{A} that exchanges the two components of \mathcal{A}_{SM} , namely

$$\rho(c, c', q, q', m, m') = (c', c, q', q, m', m). \quad (3.13)$$

In terms of the representation, one has

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(Q) & \\ & \rho(M) \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (3.14)$$

with

$$\rho(Q)_{\dot{s}sI\alpha}^{ttJ\beta} = \delta_{\dot{s}I}^{tJ} \rho(Q)_{s\alpha}^{t\beta}, \quad \rho(M)_{\dot{s}sI\alpha}^{ttJ\beta} = \delta_{\dot{s}}^t \rho(M)_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J} \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\rho(Q)_{s\alpha}^{t\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} (Q_l)_\alpha^\beta & \\ & (Q_r)_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad \rho(M)_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J} = \begin{pmatrix} (M_l)_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} & \\ & (M_r)_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} \end{pmatrix}_s^t. \quad (3.16)$$

In short, the twist amounts to flipping the left/right indices l/r .

3.2 Grading and real structure

The operators Γ in (2.7) and J in (2.10) are the grading and the real structure for the twisted spectral triple, in the sense defined in [18, 19]. In particular, as in the non twisted case, the real structure implements an action of the opposite algebra \mathcal{A}° on \mathcal{H} , that commutes with the one of \mathcal{A} . This follows from the general construction of the twisting by grading, yet it is useful for the following to check it explicitly. Let us begin by writing down the representation of the opposite algebra.

Proposition 3.1. *For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ as in (2.19), one has (for \mathcal{M} of dimension 4)*

$$JaJ^{-1} = - \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{Q} \end{pmatrix}_C^D. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. From (2.10) and (2.33) one has

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{16} \\ \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{16} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D. \quad (3.18)$$

Since $J^{-1} = -J$ by (2.11), using the representation (2.19) of a one obtains (omitting \mathbb{I}_{16})

$$JaJ^{-1} = -JaJ = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{J} \\ \mathcal{J} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^E \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}_E^F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{J} \\ \mathcal{J} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_F^D = - \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}M\mathcal{J} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}Q\mathcal{J} \end{pmatrix}_C^D. \quad (3.19)$$

In addition, \mathcal{J} commutes with the grading $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ (see (2.8)), so it is of the form

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_r & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}_l \end{pmatrix}_s^{cc} \quad (3.20)$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{r/l}$ are 2×2 matrices carrying the s, t indices, such that $\mathcal{J}_r \bar{\mathcal{J}}_r = \mathcal{J}_l \bar{\mathcal{J}}_l = -\mathbb{I}_2$. From the explicit form (3.8) of Q and M , one gets (still omitting the indices α, I in which J is trivial)

$$\mathcal{J}Q\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_r(\delta_s^t \bar{Q}_r) \bar{\mathcal{J}}_r & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}_l(\delta_s^t \bar{Q}_l) \bar{\mathcal{J}}_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_s^t \bar{Q}_r & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_s^t \bar{Q}_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t = -\bar{Q}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\mathcal{J}M\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_r(\delta_s^t \bar{M}_r) \bar{\mathcal{J}}_r & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}_l(\delta_s^t \bar{M}_l) \bar{\mathcal{J}}_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_s^t \bar{M}_r & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_s^t \bar{M}_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t = -\bar{M}, \quad (3.22)$$

hence the result. \square

To check the order zero condition, we denote

$$b = (d, d', p, p', n, n') \quad (3.23)$$

another element of \mathcal{A} with $d, d' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{C})$, $p, p' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{H})$, $n, n' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, M_3(\mathbb{C}))$. It acts on \mathcal{H} by (3.24) as

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} R & \\ & N \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (3.24)$$

where R, N are defined as Q, M in (3.8), with

$$R_r = \begin{pmatrix} d & \\ & p' \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad R_l = \begin{pmatrix} d' & \\ & p \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad N_r = \begin{pmatrix} n \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & \\ & n' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad N_l = \begin{pmatrix} n' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & \\ & n \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta. \quad (3.25)$$

Corollary 3.1.1. *The order-zero condition (2.12) holds.*

Proof. By Prop. 3.1, the order zero condition $[a, JbJ^{-1}] = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to $[R, \bar{M}] = 0$ and $[N, \bar{Q}] = 0$. By (3.8) and (3.9), one gets

$$[R, M] = \begin{pmatrix} [\delta_I^J R_r, M_r] & 0 \\ 0 & [\delta_I^J R_l, M_l] \end{pmatrix}_s^t. \quad (3.26)$$

By (3.11), one has

$$[\delta_I^J R_r, M_r] = \begin{pmatrix} [\delta_I^J \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2] & 0 \\ 0 & [\delta_I^J p', \mathbf{m}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2] \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (3.27)$$

which is zero, as can be seen writing $\delta_I^J \mathbf{d} = \mathbb{I}_2 \otimes \mathbf{d}$ and similarly for $[\delta_I^J p', \mathbf{m}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2]$. The same holds true for $[\delta_I^J R_l, M_l]$. \square

3.3 Twisted fluctuation

In the twisted context, fluctuations are similar to (3.1), replacing the commutator for a twisted one [20]. So we consider the *twisted-covariant* Dirac operator

$$D_{A_\rho} = D + A_\rho + JA_\rho J^{-1} \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$A_\rho = \sum_i a_i [D, b_i]_\rho, \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A} \quad (3.29)$$

is a twisted (generalised) 1-form. The latter decomposes as the sum $A_\rho = A_F + \mathcal{A}$ of two pieces: one that we call the *finite part* of the fluctuation because it comes from the finite dimensional spectral triple, namely

$$A_F = \sum_i a_i [\gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes D_F, b_i]_\rho \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A}; \quad (3.30)$$

another one coming from the manifold part of the spectral triple

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_i a_i [\mathcal{D}, b_i]_\rho \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A} \quad (3.31)$$

that we call *gauge part* in the following (terminology will become clear later).

To guarantee that the twisted covariant operator (3.28) is selfadjoint, one assumes that the 1-form A_ρ is selfadjoint (actually this is not a necessary condition, but requiring A_ρ to be selfadjoint makes sense viewing the fluctuation as a two step process: $D \rightarrow D + A_\rho$ then $D + A_\rho \rightarrow D + A_\rho + JA_\rho J^{-1}$). This means that for physical models, we assume that both \mathcal{A} and A_F are selfadjoint.

From now on, we fix the dimension of \mathcal{M} to $m = 4$. The grading and the real structure are (identifying a tensor with its components)

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{M}} = \gamma^5 = \gamma_E^0 \gamma_E^1 \gamma_E^2 \gamma_E^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_4 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_4 \end{pmatrix}_s^t = \eta_s^t \delta_s^i \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J} = i\gamma_E^0 \gamma_E^2 cc = i \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\sigma}^2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}_{st} cc = -i\eta_s^t \tau_s^i cc, \quad (3.33)$$

where cc denotes the complex conjugation and we define

$$\tau_s^i := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_s^i, \quad \eta_s^t := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}_s^t. \quad (3.34)$$

For the internal spectral triple, one has

$$\gamma_F = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_8 & & & \\ & -\mathbb{I}_8 & & \\ & & -\mathbb{I}_8 & \\ & & & \mathbb{I}_8 \end{pmatrix} = \eta_{C\alpha}^{D\beta} \delta_I^J, \quad J_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_{16} \\ \mathbb{I}_{16} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D cc = \xi_C^D \delta_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \quad (3.35)$$

where the matrix γ_F is written in the basis left/right particles then left/right antiparticles, and we define

$$\eta_\alpha^\beta := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & \\ & -\mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad \eta_C^D := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}_C^D, \quad \xi_C^D := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (3.36)$$

with $\eta_{C\alpha}^{D\beta}$ holding for $\eta_C^D \eta_\alpha^\beta$. Thus

$$\Gamma = \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \gamma_F = \eta_{sC\alpha}^{tD\beta} \delta_s^i \quad \text{and} \quad J = J_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes J_F = -i \eta_s^t \tau_s^i \xi_D^C \delta_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} cc. \quad (3.37)$$

4 Scalar part of the twisted fluctuation

The scalar sector of the twisted Standard Model is obtained from the finite part (3.29) of the twisted fluctuation, which in turns decomposes into a diagonal part (determined by the Yukawa couplings of fermions)

$$A_Y = \sum_i a_i [\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y, b_i]_\rho, \quad (4.1)$$

and an off-diagonal part (determined by the Majorana mass of the neutrino)

$$A_M = \sum_i a_i [\gamma^5 \otimes D_M, b_i]_\rho. \quad (4.2)$$

As shown below, the former produces the Higgs sector, the latter a pair of extra scalar fields.

4.1 The Higgs sector

We begin with the diagonal part (4.1). We first notice that the $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ part of the algebra (3.4) twist-commutes with $\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y$.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $b \in \mathcal{A}$ as in (3.24), one has*

$$[\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y, b]_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{D^C}^C \quad (4.3)$$

where S has components

$$S_{s\bar{s}I\alpha}^{t\bar{t}J\beta} = \delta_s^i \left(\eta_s^u (D_0)_{I\alpha}^{J\gamma} R_{s\gamma}^{t\beta} - \rho(R)_{s\alpha}^{u\gamma} \eta_u^t (D_0)_{I\gamma}^{J\beta} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. From the explicit forms (2.24) of D_Y and (3.24) of b , one has

$$[\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y, b]_\rho = \left(\begin{array}{c} [\gamma^5 \otimes D_0, R]_\rho \\ [\gamma^5 \otimes D_0^\dagger, N]_\rho \end{array} \right)_{CD}.$$

In the tensorial notation, $S := [\gamma^5 \otimes D_0, R]_\rho$ has components

$$S_{s\dot{s}I\alpha}^{t\dot{t}J\beta} = \eta_s^u \delta_{\dot{s}}^{\dot{u}} (D_0)_{I\alpha}^{K\gamma} \delta_{\dot{u}K}^{\dot{t}J} R_{u\dot{\gamma}}^{t\beta} - \delta_{\dot{s}I}^{\dot{u}K} \rho(R)_{s\alpha}^{u\gamma} \eta_u^t \delta_{\dot{u}}^{\dot{t}} (D_0)_{K\dot{\gamma}}^{J\beta}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$= \delta_{\dot{s}}^{\dot{t}} \left(\eta_s^u (D_0)_{I\alpha}^{J\gamma} R_{u\dot{\gamma}}^{t\beta} - \rho(R)_{s\alpha}^{u\gamma} \eta_u^t (D_0)_{I\dot{\gamma}}^{J\beta} \right), \quad (4.6)$$

which shows (4.4). To show that

$$[\gamma^5 \otimes D_0^\dagger, N]_\rho = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

let us denote T the left-hand side of the equation above. It has components

$$T_{s\dot{s}I\alpha}^{t\dot{t}J\beta} = \eta_s^u \delta_{\dot{s}}^{\dot{u}} (D_0^\dagger)_{I\alpha}^{K\gamma} \delta_{\dot{u}}^{\dot{t}} N_{u\dot{\gamma}K}^{t\beta J} - \delta_{\dot{s}}^{\dot{u}} \rho(N)_{s\alpha I}^{u\gamma K} \eta_u^t \delta_{\dot{u}}^{\dot{t}} (D_0^\dagger)_{K\dot{\gamma}}^{J\beta}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$= \delta_{\dot{s}}^{\dot{t}} \left(\eta_s^u (D_0^\dagger)_{I\alpha}^{K\gamma} N_{u\dot{\gamma}K}^{t\beta J} - \rho(N)_{s\alpha I}^{u\gamma K} \eta_u^t (D_0^\dagger)_{K\dot{\gamma}}^{J\beta} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

$$= \delta_{\dot{s}}^{\dot{t}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} (D_0^\dagger)_{I\alpha}^{K\gamma} (N_r)_{\dot{\gamma}K}^{\beta J} - (N_l)_{\alpha I}^{\gamma K} (D_0^\dagger)_{K\dot{\gamma}}^{J\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & -(D_0^\dagger)_{I\alpha}^{K\gamma} (N_l)_{\dot{\gamma}K}^{\beta J} + (N_r)_{\alpha I}^{\gamma K} (D_0^\dagger)_{K\dot{\gamma}}^{J\beta} \end{array} \right) \quad (4.10)$$

Since $(D_0^\dagger)_I^K = \delta_I^K (D_0^I)$ and $(D_0^\dagger)_K^J = \delta_J^K (D_0^J)$ (with no summation on I and J), the upper-left term in (4.10) is

$$(D_0^I)_\gamma^\delta (N_r)_{\delta I}^{\beta J} - (N_l)_{\alpha I}^{\gamma J} (D_0^J)_\gamma^\beta = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \bar{\mathbf{k}}^I (\mathbf{n}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) \\ \mathbf{k}^I (\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) & 0 \end{array} \right)_\alpha^\beta - \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & (\mathbf{n}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) \bar{\mathbf{k}}^J \\ (\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) \mathbf{k}^J & 0 \end{array} \right)_\alpha^\beta \quad (4.11)$$

where we omitted the I, J indices on \mathbf{n} . One has

$$\mathbf{k}^I (\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} k_u^I \mathbf{n} & \\ & k_d^I \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) \mathbf{k}^J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n} k_u^J & \\ & \mathbf{n} k_d^J \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.12)$$

and similarly for the terms in \mathbf{n}' . Restoring the indices, one has

$$k_u^I n_I^J = \begin{pmatrix} k_u^l d & \\ & k_u^q n \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad n_I^J k_u^J = \begin{pmatrix} dk_u^l & \\ & nk_u^q \end{pmatrix}_I^J \quad (4.13)$$

where we write $k_u^{I=0} = k_u^l$ for the lepton, and $k_u^{I=1,2,3} = k_u^q$ for the coloured quarks. Again, in the expression above, there is no summation on I and J : $k_u^I n_I^J$ means the matrix n in which the I^{th} line is multiplied by k_u^I , while in $n_I^J k_u^J$ this is the J^{th} column of n which is multiplied by k_u^J . Therefore

$$\mathbf{k}^I (\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) - (\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) \mathbf{k}^J = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

One shows in a similar way that $\bar{\mathbf{k}}^I (\mathbf{n}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) - (\mathbf{n}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2) \bar{\mathbf{k}}^J = 0$, so that (4.11) vanishes. Thus the upper-right term in (4.10) is zero. The proof that the lower-right term is zero is similar. Hence (4.7) and the result. \square

A similar result holds in the non-twisted case (the computation is similar as above, with $\mathbf{n}' = \mathbf{n}$, so that everything boils down to the same equation (4.14)). The result however is not true if one genuinely generalizes the twist used in [18], see appendix A.3. This yields a violation

of the twisted first order condition. This is the reason why, as stressed in the introduction, we do not use this genuine twist but rather the twist by grading presented in section 3.

We now compute the 1-forms generated by the Yukawa couplings of the fermions. In order to do so, we extend the action of the automorphism ρ to any polynomial in $q, q', p, p', c, c', d, d'$. Namely ρ “primes” what is un-primed, and vice-versa. For instance $\rho(qp' - c'd) = q'p - cd'$.

Proposition 4.2. *The diagonal part (4.1) of a twisted 1-form is*

$$A_Y = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad \text{where} \quad A = \delta_{sI}^{tJ} \begin{pmatrix} A_r & \\ & A_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t \quad (4.15)$$

with

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I H_1 \\ H_2 k^I \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad A_l = - \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I H'_1 \\ H'_2 k^I \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (4.16)$$

where $H_{i=1,2}$ and $H'_{1,2} = \rho(H_{1,2})$ are quaternionic fields.

Proof. From (2.19) and lemma 4.1, one has $A = QS$. In components, this gives (using the explicit forms (3.8) of Q, R and omitting the summation index i):

$$A_{s\bar{s}I\alpha}^{tI\beta} = Q_{s\bar{s}I\alpha}^{u\bar{u}K\gamma} \delta_u^i \left[\eta_u^v (D_0)_{K\gamma}^{J\delta} R_{v\delta}^{t\beta} - \rho(R)_{u\gamma}^{v\delta} \eta_v^t (D_0)_{K\delta}^{J\beta} \right] \quad (4.17)$$

$$= \delta_{sI}^{tJ} Q_{s\alpha}^{u\gamma} \left[\eta_u^v (D_0)_\gamma^\delta R_{v\delta}^{t\beta} - \rho(R)_{u\gamma}^{v\delta} \eta_v^t (D_0)_\delta^\beta \right], \quad (4.18)$$

where we use $\delta_I^K (D_0)_J^K = \delta_I^J (D_0^I)$ (with no summation on I in the last expression). Since Q is diagonal on the chiral indices s , the only non-zero components of A are for $s = t = r$ and $s = t = l$, namely

$$A_{r\bar{s}I\alpha}^{rI\beta} = \delta_{sI}^{tJ} (A_r)_\alpha^\beta \quad \text{with} \quad (A_r)_\alpha^\beta = (Q_r)_\alpha^\gamma \left[(D_0)_\gamma^\delta (R_r)_\delta^\beta - (R_l)_\gamma^\delta (D_0)_\delta^\beta \right], \quad (4.19)$$

$$A_{l\bar{s}I\alpha}^{lI\beta} = \delta_{sI}^{tJ} (A_l)_\alpha^\beta \quad \text{with} \quad (A_l)_\alpha^\beta = (Q_l)_\alpha^\gamma \left[- (D_0)_\gamma^\delta (R_l)_\delta^\beta + (R_r)_\gamma^\delta (D_0)_\delta^\beta \right]. \quad (4.20)$$

From the explicit expression (3.10), (3.25), (2.26) of $Q_{r/l}, R_{r/l}$ and D_0^I one gets

$$Q_r D_0^I R_r = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{c} \bar{k}^I p' \\ q' k^I d \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad Q_r R_l D_0^I = \begin{pmatrix} cd' \bar{k}^I \\ q' p k^I \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (4.21)$$

$$Q_l D_0^I R_l = \begin{pmatrix} c' \bar{k}^I p \\ q k^I d' \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad Q_l R_r D_0^I = \begin{pmatrix} c' d \bar{k}^I \\ qp' k^I \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (4.22)$$

Using that c, c', d, d' commute with k^I , one has

$$Q_r (D_0^I R_r - R_l D_0^I) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I H_1 \\ H_2 k^I \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad -Q_l D_0^I R_l + Q_l R_r D_0^I = - \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I H'_1 \\ H'_2 k^I \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$H_1 := c(p' - d'), \quad H_2 := q'(d - p), \quad H'_1 := c'(p - d), \quad H'_2 := q(d' - p'). \quad (4.24)$$

This shows the result. \square

Imposing now the selfadjointness condition as stressed at the beginning of this section, we get the

Corollary 4.2.1. *A selfadjoint diagonal twisted 1-form (4.1) is parametrized by two independent scalar quaternionic field H_r, H_l .*

Proof. The twisted 1-form (4.15) is selfadjoint if and only if

$$H_2 = H_1^\dagger =: H_r \quad \text{and} \quad H'_2 = H'^\dagger_1 =: H_l. \quad (4.25)$$

They are independent as follows from their definition (4.24). \square

Gathering the results of this section, one works out the fields induced by the Yukawa coupling of fermions via a twisted fluctuation of the metric.

Proposition 4.3. *A selfadjoint diagonal fluctuation is*

$$D_{A_Y} = \gamma^5 \otimes D_Y + A_Y + J A_Y J^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_s^t \delta_s^i D_0 + A \\ \eta_s^t \delta_s^i D_0^\dagger + \bar{A} \end{array} \right)_C^D \quad (4.26)$$

where $A = \delta_{sI}^{tJ} \left(\begin{array}{c} A_r \\ A_l \end{array} \right)_s^t$ is parametrized by two quaternionic fields H_r, H_l as

$$A_r = \left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{k}^I H_r^\dagger \\ H_r k^I \end{array} \right)_\alpha^\beta, \quad A_l = \left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{k}^I H_l^\dagger \\ H_l k^I \end{array} \right)_\alpha^\beta. \quad (4.27)$$

Proof. Remembering that $J^{-1} = -J$, proposition 4.2 yields

$$J A_Y J^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & \mathcal{J} \\ \mathcal{J} & 0 \end{array} \right)_C^D \left(\begin{array}{c} A & \\ & 0 \end{array} \right)_C^D \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & -\mathcal{J} \\ -\mathcal{J} & 0 \end{array} \right)_C^D = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & \\ & -\mathcal{J} A \mathcal{J}^{-1} \end{array} \right)_C^D. \quad (4.28)$$

From the explicit form (2.33) of \mathcal{J} and (4.15) of A , one obtains (omitting the IJ and $\alpha\beta$ indices in which the real structure J is trivial)

$$\mathcal{J} A \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \eta_s^u \tau_s^{\dot{u}} \bar{A}_{u\dot{v}} \eta_v^t \tau_v^{\dot{t}} = \eta_s^u \tau_s^{\dot{u}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{A}_r & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_l \end{array} \right)_s^t \eta_v^t \tau_v^{\dot{t}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} -\bar{A}_r & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{A}_l \end{array} \right)_s^t = -\bar{A}, \quad (4.29)$$

where we used (4.16) to write $\tau_s^{\dot{u}} \delta_u^{\dot{v}} \tau_v^{\dot{t}} = -\delta_s^{\dot{t}}$.

The result follows summing (4.28) with A_Y given in Prop. 4.2 and D_Y given in (2.24), then using corollary 4.2.1 to rename H_r and H_l . \square

In the non-twisted case, the primed and unprimed quantities are equal, so that one obtains only one quaternionic field

$$H := H_r + H_l = \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_1 & -\bar{\phi}_2 \\ \phi_2 & \bar{\phi}_1 \end{array} \right), \quad (4.30)$$

whose complex components ϕ_1, ϕ_2 identify – in the spectral action – with the Higgs doublet. In the twisted case, we obtain two scalar doublets

$$\Phi_r := \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_1^r \\ \phi_2^r \end{array} \right), \quad \Phi_l := \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_1^l \\ \phi_2^l \end{array} \right), \quad (4.31)$$

(where $\phi_{1,2}^r, \phi_{1,2}^l$ are the complex components of H_r, H_l), which act respectively on the right and on the left part of the Dirac spinors. However, as we will see in a forthcoming paper [1], the two of them have no individual physical meaning on their own. In fact, they only appear in the fermionic action through the linear combination $h = (H_r + H_l)/2$, therefore there is actually only one physical Higgs doublet in the twisted case as well.

4.2 The extra scalar field

The computation of the off-diagonal part (4.2) of the twisted fluctuation of $\gamma^5 \otimes D_F$ is easier than for the diagonal part, because D_M has only one non-zero component.

Proposition 4.4. *The off-diagonal part (4.2) of a twisted 1-form is*

$$A_M = \begin{pmatrix} & C \\ D & \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (4.32)$$

where

$$C = k_R \delta_s^i \begin{pmatrix} C_r & \\ & C_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad D = \bar{k}_R \delta_s^i \begin{pmatrix} D_r & \\ & D_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t \quad (4.33)$$

with

$$C_r = D_r = \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \sigma, \quad C_l = D_l = -\Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \sigma' \quad (4.34)$$

where σ and σ' are complex fields.

Proof. Using the explicit form (2.24) of D_M , for a in (2.19) and b in (3.24) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} a [\gamma^5 \otimes D_M, b]_\rho &= \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma^5 \otimes D_R \\ \gamma^5 \otimes D_R^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \right]_\rho = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q((\gamma^5 \otimes D_R)N - \rho(R)(\gamma^5 \otimes D_R)) \\ M((\gamma^5 \otimes D_R^\dagger)R - \rho(N)(\gamma^5 \otimes D_R^\dagger)) \end{pmatrix}_C^D. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

With D_R given in (2.29), one computes the upper-right component C of the matrix above:

$$C_{s\dot{s}I\alpha}^{t\dot{t}J\beta} = Q_{s\dot{s}I\alpha}^{u\dot{u}K\gamma} \left[k_R \eta_u^v \delta_{\dot{u}}^{\dot{v}} \Xi_{K\gamma}^{L\delta} N_{v\dot{v}L\delta}^{t\dot{t}J\beta} - k_R \rho(R)_{u\dot{u}K\gamma}^{v\dot{v}L\delta} \eta_v^t \delta_{\dot{v}}^{\dot{t}} \Xi_{L\delta}^{J\beta} \right]. \quad (4.36)$$

Since Q, N are diagonal in the s index and proportional to δ_s^i , the non-zero components of C are

$$(C_r)_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} = k_R \delta_s^i (Q_r)_{I\alpha}^{K\gamma} \left[\Xi_{K\gamma}^{L\delta} (N_r)_{L\delta}^{J\beta} - (R_l)_{K\gamma}^{L\delta} \Xi_{L\delta}^{J\beta} \right], \quad (4.37)$$

$$(C_l)_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} = k_R \delta_s^i (Q_l)_{I\alpha}^{K\gamma} \left[-\Xi_{K\gamma}^{L\delta} (N_l)_{L\delta}^{J\beta} + (R_r)_{K\gamma}^{L\delta} \Xi_{L\delta}^{J\beta} \right]. \quad (4.38)$$

Explicitly, from the formula (3.10) for $Q_{r/l}$ and (3.25) of $R_{r/l}, N_{r/l}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} Q_r(\Xi N_r - R_l \Xi) &= \begin{pmatrix} c\delta_I^J & \\ & q'\delta_I^j \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \left(\begin{pmatrix} \Xi_I^J & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \begin{pmatrix} n \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & \\ & n' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta - \begin{pmatrix} d'\delta_I^J & \\ & p'\delta_I^j \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_I^J & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \right) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c\delta_I^J & \\ & q'\delta_I^j \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_I^J n - d'\Xi_I^J & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} c(\Xi_I^J n - d'\Xi_I^J) & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} c(d - d')\Xi_I^J & \\ & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta = \\ &= \sigma \Xi_{\alpha I}^{J\beta} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$Q_l(-\Xi N_l + R_r \Xi) = -\sigma' \Xi_{\alpha I}^{J\beta} \quad (4.39)$$

where we define the scalar fields

$$\sigma := c(d - d'), \quad \sigma' := c'(d' - d). \quad (4.40)$$

Similarly, one computes that the lower left component D of (4.35) has non zero components

$$D_r = \bar{k}^R \delta_s^i M_r(\Xi R_r - N_l \Xi) = \bar{k}^R \delta_s^i \Xi_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} c(d - d') = \bar{k}^R \delta_s^i \Xi_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} \sigma, \quad (4.41)$$

$$D_l = \bar{k}^R \delta_s^i M_l(-\Xi R_l + N_r \Xi) = \bar{k}^R \delta_s^i \Xi_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} c'(-d' + d) = -\bar{k}^R \delta_s^i \Xi_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} \sigma'. \quad \square$$

A free 1-form A_M is self-adjoint if and only if $D_r^\dagger = C_r$ and $D_l^\dagger = C_l$, that is

$$\sigma = \bar{\sigma}, \quad \sigma' = \bar{\sigma}'. \quad (4.42)$$

The part of the twisted fluctuation induced by the Majorana mass of the neutrino is then easily obtained.

Proposition 4.5. *A off-diagonal fluctuation is parametrised by two independent real scalar fields σ_r, σ_l :*

$$D_{A_M} = \gamma^5 \otimes D_M + A_M + J A_M J^{-1} = \delta_i^t \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \eta_s^t D_0 + k_R \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \bar{\Sigma}_s^t \\ \eta_s^t D_0^\dagger + \bar{k}_R \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \Sigma_s^t & 0 \end{array} \right)_C^D. \quad (4.43)$$

where

$$\Sigma = \left(\begin{array}{c} \sigma_r \\ \sigma_l \end{array} \right)_s^t. \quad (4.44)$$

Proof. As in the proof of proposition 4.2, one has

$$J A_M J^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\mathcal{J} D \mathcal{J} \\ -\mathcal{J} C \mathcal{J} & 0 \end{array} \right)_C^D \quad (4.45)$$

with

$$\mathcal{J} C \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \eta_s^u \tau_s^{\dot{u}} \bar{C}_{u\dot{u}}^{v\dot{v}} \eta_v^t \tau_v^{\dot{t}} = -\bar{C} \quad (4.46)$$

and similarly for D . Hence

$$A_M + J A_M J^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & C + \bar{D} \\ \bar{C} + D & 0 \end{array} \right)_C^D. \quad (4.47)$$

The explicit form of Σ follows from (4.33)-(4.34), defining $\sigma_r = \bar{\sigma} + \sigma$ and $\sigma_l = -\bar{\sigma}' - \sigma'$. \square

As an illustration that the selfadjointness of the 1-form is not necessary to get a selfadjoint twisted fluctuation (see § 3.3), notice that in the proposition above D_{A_M} is selfadjoint regardless of the selfadjointness of A_M . As well, one does not need to assume that A_M is selfadjoint to ensure that the fields σ_r, σ_l are real.

Remark 4.6. *The field σ is chiral, in the sense it has two independent components σ_r, σ_l . The one initially worked out in [18] was not chiral. This is because in the latter case, one does not double $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ and identifies the complex component of \mathfrak{m} with the complex component of Q_r . This means that the component d' of N_l identifies with the component d of R_r , so that (4.39) and (4.41) vanish, that is $C_l = D_r = 0$. Similarly, the component c' of M_l becomes c , so that $D_l = C_r$. One thus retrieves the formula (4.32) of [18] (in which the role of c and d have been interchanged).*

5 Gauge part of the twisted fluctuation

In this section, we compute the twisted fluctuation induced by the free part $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathbb{I}_F$ of the Dirac operator (2.6), that is

$$\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{A} + J \mathcal{A} J^{-1} \quad (5.1)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the twisted 1-form (3.31) induced by \mathcal{D} , that we call in the following a *free 1-form*. As will be checked in section 6, the components of this form are the gauge fields of the model.

5.1 Dirac matrices and twist

We begin by recalling some useful relations between the Dirac matrices and the twist.

Lemma 5.1. *If an operator \mathcal{O} on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ twist-commutes with the Dirac matrices,*

$$\gamma^\mu \mathcal{O} = \rho(\mathcal{O}) \gamma^\mu \quad \forall \mu, \quad (5.2)$$

and commutes the spin connection ω_μ , then

$$[\not{\partial}, \mathcal{O}]_\rho = -i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{O}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. One has

$$[\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu, \mathcal{O}]_\rho = [\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu, \mathcal{O}]_\rho + [\gamma^\mu \omega_\mu, \mathcal{O}]_\rho. \quad (5.4)$$

On the one side, the Leibniz rule satisfied by the differential operator ∂_μ together with (5.2) yields

$$[\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu, \mathcal{O}]_\rho \psi = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{O} \psi - \rho(\mathcal{O}) \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \mathcal{O}) \psi + \gamma^\mu \mathcal{O} \partial_\mu \psi - \rho(\mathcal{O}) \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \mathcal{O}) \psi.$$

On the other side, by (5.2),

$$[\gamma^\mu \omega_\mu, \mathcal{O}]_\rho = \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu \mathcal{O} - \rho(\mathcal{O}) \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu = \gamma^\mu [\omega_\mu, \mathcal{O}] \quad (5.5)$$

vanishes by hypothesis. Hence the result. \square

This lemma applies in particular to the components Q and M of the representation of the algebra \mathcal{A} in (2.19). The slight difference is that these components do not act on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$, but on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{32}$. With a slight abuse of notation, we write

$$\gamma^\mu Q := (\gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbb{I}_{16}) Q, \quad \partial_\mu Q := (\partial_\mu \otimes \mathbb{I}_{16}) Q \quad (5.6)$$

and similarly for M .

Corollary 5.1.1. *One has*

$$\gamma^\mu Q = \rho(Q) \gamma^\mu, \quad [\not{\partial}, Q]_\rho = -i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu Q, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\gamma^\mu M = \rho(M) \gamma^\mu, \quad [\not{\partial}, M]_\rho = -i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu M. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. From (3.9) and omitting the internal indices (on which the action of $\gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbb{I}_{16}$ is trivial), one checks from the explicit form (A.2) of the euclidean Dirac matrices that

$$\gamma_E^\mu Q - \rho(Q) \gamma_E^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}_s^t \begin{pmatrix} Q_r & 0 \\ 0 & Q_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t - \begin{pmatrix} Q_l & 0 \\ 0 & Q_r \end{pmatrix}_s^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}_s^t = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

The same holds true for the curved Dirac matrices (A.4), by linear combination.

The commutation with the spin connection follows remembering that the latter is

$$\omega_\mu = \Gamma_\mu^{\rho\nu} \gamma_\rho \gamma_\nu = \Gamma_\mu^{\rho\nu} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\sigma}_\mu \sigma_\nu \end{pmatrix}_s^t \quad (5.10)$$

and so commutes with Q , which is diagonal in the s, t indices and trivial in the \dot{s}, \dot{t} indices. \square

5.2 Free 1-form

With the previous results, it is not difficult to compute a free 1-form (3.31).

Lemma 5.2. *A free 1-form is*

$$\mathbb{A} = -i\gamma^\mu A_\mu \quad \text{with} \quad A_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} Q_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & M_\mu \end{pmatrix}_C^D, \quad (5.11)$$

where we use notations similar to (5.6), with

$$Q_\mu := \sum_i \rho(Q_i) \partial_\mu R_i, \quad M_\mu = \sum_i \rho(M_i) \partial_\mu N_i \quad (5.12)$$

for Q_i, M_i and R_i, N_i the components of a_i, b_i as in (2.19, 3.24).

Proof. Omitting the summation index i , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A} &= a [\mathbb{D}, b]_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}_C^D \begin{pmatrix} [\mathbb{D}, R]_\rho & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathbb{D}, N]_\rho \end{pmatrix}_C^D = \\ &= -i \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}_C^D \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu R & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu N \end{pmatrix}_C^D = -i\gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} \rho(Q) \partial_\mu R & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(M) \partial_\mu N \end{pmatrix}_C^D, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

where the last equality follows from corollary 5.1.1. Restoring the index i , one gets the result. \square

By computing explicitly the components of \mathbb{A} , one finds that a free 1-form is parametrized by two complex fields c_μ^r, c_μ^l , two quaternionic fields q_μ^r, q_μ^l and two $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ -valued fields m_μ^r, m_μ^l .

Proposition 5.3. *The components Q_μ, M_μ of \mathbb{A} in (5.11) are*

$$Q_\mu = \delta_{sI}^{iJ} \begin{pmatrix} Q_\mu^r & \\ & Q_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad M_\mu = \delta_s^i \begin{pmatrix} M_\mu^r & \\ & M_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}_s^t \quad (5.14)$$

where

$$Q_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^r & \\ & q_\mu^r \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad Q_\mu^l = \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^l & \\ & q_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \quad (5.15)$$

with $c_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^r & \\ & \bar{c}_\mu^r \end{pmatrix}$, $c_\mu^l = \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^l & \\ & \bar{c}_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$M_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{m}_\mu^r \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{m}_\mu^l \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad M_\mu^l = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{m}_\mu^l \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{m}_\mu^r \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \quad (5.16)$$

with $\mathfrak{m}_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^r & \\ & m_\mu^r \end{pmatrix}_I^J$, $\mathfrak{m}_\mu^l = \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^l & \\ & m_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}_I^J$.

Proof. The form (5.14)-(5.15) of the components of \mathbb{A} follows calculating explicitly (5.12) using (3.9)-(3.12) for Q_i, M_i and (3.25) for R_i, N_i . Omitting the i index, one finds

$$Q_\mu^r = Q_l \partial_\mu R_r, \quad Q_\mu^l = Q_r \partial_\mu R_l, \quad M_\mu^r = M_l \partial_\mu N_r, \quad M_\mu^l = M_r \partial_\mu N_l. \quad (5.17)$$

This yields (5.15) with

$$c_\mu^r = c' \partial_\mu d, \quad c_\mu^l = c \partial_\mu d', \quad q_\mu^r = q \partial_\mu p', \quad q_\mu^l = q' \partial_\mu p, \quad (5.18)$$

$$m_\mu^r = m' \partial_\mu n, \quad m_\mu^l = m \partial_\mu n' \quad (5.19)$$

\square

Corollary 5.3.1. *A free 1-form A is selfadjoint if and only if*

$$c_\mu^l = -\bar{c}_\mu^r, \quad q_\mu^l = -(q_\mu^r)^\dagger, \quad m_\mu^l = -(m_\mu^r)^\dagger. \quad (5.20)$$

Proof. One has

$$A^\dagger = i(A^\mu)^\dagger \gamma^\mu = i\gamma^\mu \rho(A^\mu)^\dagger, \quad (5.21)$$

so A is selfadjoint if and only if $\gamma^\mu(\rho(A_\mu) + A_\mu^\dagger) = 0$. Since A_μ is diagonal the s, t indices, the sum $\Delta_\mu := \rho(A_\mu) + A_\mu^\dagger$ is also diagonal with components $\Delta_\mu^{r/l}$. Thus

$$\gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \Delta_\mu^l \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \Delta_\mu^r & 0 \end{pmatrix}_s. \quad (5.22)$$

If this is zero, then for any γ^ν

$$\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\nu \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \Delta_\mu^r & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu \Delta_\mu^l \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (5.23)$$

Being A_μ – hence Δ_μ – trivial in \dot{s}, \dot{t} , and since $\text{Tr} \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$, the partial trace on the \dot{s}, \dot{t} indices of the expression above yields $\Delta_\mu^r = \Delta_\mu^l = 0$. Therefore $\gamma^\mu(\rho(A_\mu) + A_\mu^\dagger) = 0$ implies

$$\rho(A_\mu) = -A_\mu^\dagger. \quad (5.24)$$

The converse is obviously true. Consequently, A_μ is selfadjoint if and only if (5.24) holds true.

From (5.11), this is equivalent to $Q_\mu^\dagger = -\rho(Q_\mu)$ and $M_\mu^\dagger = -\rho(M_\mu)$ that is, from (5.14),

$$Q_\mu^l = -(Q_\mu^r)^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad M_\mu^l = -(M_\mu^r)^\dagger. \quad (5.25)$$

This is equivalent to (5.20). \square

5.3 Identification of the physical degrees of freedom

To identify the physical fields, one follows the non twisted case [6] and separates the real from the imaginary parts of the various fields. We thus define two real fields $a_\mu = \text{Re} c_\mu^r$ and $B_\mu = -\frac{2}{g_1} \text{Im} c_\mu^r$ (the signs are such to match the notations of [14], see remark 5.6), so that

$$c_\mu^r = a_\mu - i\frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu, \quad c_\mu^l = -\bar{c}_\mu^r = -a_\mu - i\frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu. \quad (5.26)$$

Moreover, we denote w_μ and $-\frac{g_2}{2} W^k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ the real components of the quaternionic field q_μ^r on the basis $\{\mathbb{I}_2, i\sigma_j\}$ of the (real) algebra of quaternions, so that

$$q_\mu^r = w_\mu \mathbb{I}_2 - i\frac{g_2}{2} W_\mu^k \sigma_k, \quad q_\mu^l = -(q_\mu^r)^\dagger = -w_\mu \mathbb{I}_2 - i\frac{g_2}{2} W_\mu^k \sigma_k. \quad (5.27)$$

Finally, we write m_μ^r as the sum of a selfadjoint part $g_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(m_\mu^r + m_\mu^{r\dagger})$ and an antiselfadjoint part $\frac{1}{2}(m_\mu^r - m_\mu^{r\dagger})$. We denote $V_\mu^0, \frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu^m$ the real-field components of the latter on the basis $\{i\mathbb{I}_3, i\lambda_m\}$ of the (real) vector space of antiselfadjoint 3×3 complex matrices (with $\{\lambda_m, m = 1 \dots 8\}$ the Gell-Mann matrices), so that

$$m_\mu^r = g_\mu + iV_\mu^0 \mathbb{I}_3 + i\frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu^m \lambda_m, \quad (5.28)$$

$$m_\mu^l = -(m_\mu^r)^\dagger = -g_\mu + iV_\mu^0 \mathbb{I}_3 + i\frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu^m \lambda_m. \quad (5.29)$$

The cancellation of anomalies is imposed requiring the the unimodularity condition

$$\text{Tr} A_\mu = 0. \quad (5.30)$$

This yields the same condition as in the non-twisted case.

Proposition 5.4. *The unimodularity condition for a selfadjoint free 1-form yields*

$$V_\mu^0 = \frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu. \quad (5.31)$$

Proof. One has $\text{Tr } A_\mu = \text{Tr } Q_\mu + \text{Tr } M_\mu$. On the one side

$$\text{Tr } Q_\mu = \text{Tr } Q_\mu^r + \text{Tr } Q_\mu^l = c_\mu^r + \bar{c}_\mu^r + \text{Tr } q_\mu^r + c_\mu^l + \bar{c}_\mu^l + \text{Tr } q_\mu^l \quad (5.32)$$

vanishes by (5.20), when one notices that $\text{Tr } q^\dagger = \text{Tr } q$ for any quaternion q . On the other side

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } M_\mu &= \text{Tr } M_\mu^r + \text{Tr } M_\mu^l = 4 \text{Tr} \{ \mathfrak{m} \}_\mu^r + 4 \text{Tr} \{ \mathfrak{m} \}_\mu^l = \\ &= 4(c_\mu^r + \text{Tr } m_\mu^r + c_\mu^l + \text{Tr } m_\mu^l) = 4(-ig_1 B_\mu + 6iV_\mu^0) \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

where we use $c_\mu^r + c_\mu^l = -ig_1 B_\mu$ and $m_\mu^r + m_\mu^l = 2iV_\mu^0 \mathbb{I}_3 + 2ig_3 V_\mu^m \lambda_m$, remembering then that the Gell-Mann matrices are traceless. Hence (5.30) is equivalent to (5.31). \square

Let us summarise the results of this section in the following

Proposition 5.5. *A unimodular selfadjoint free 1-form A is parametrized by*

- two real 1-form fields a_μ , w_μ and a selfadjoint $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ -value field g_μ ,
- a $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -value field iB_μ , a $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -value field iW_μ and a $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ -value field iV_μ .

Proof. Collecting the previous results, denoting $W_\mu := W_\mu^k \sigma_k$ and $V_\mu := V_\mu^m \lambda_m$, one has

$$c_\mu^r = a_\mu - i\frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu, \quad c_\mu^l = -a_\mu - i\frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu, \quad (5.34)$$

$$q_\mu^r = w_\mu \mathbb{I}_2 - i\frac{g_2}{2} W_\mu, \quad q_\mu^l = -w_\mu \mathbb{I}_2 - i\frac{g_2}{2} W_\mu, \quad (5.35)$$

$$m_\mu^r = g_\mu + i\left(\frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu\right), \quad m_\mu^l = -g_\mu + i\left(\frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu\right). \quad (5.36)$$

On the one side, a_μ, w_μ are in $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ and $g_\mu = g_\mu^\dagger$ is in $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, M_3(\mathbb{C}))$. On the other side, since B_μ is real, $iB_\mu \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, i\mathbb{R})$ is a $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -value field. The Pauli matrices span the space of traceless 2×2 selfadjoint matrices, thus the field iW_μ takes value in the set of antiselfadjoint such matrices, that is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Finally, the real span of the Gell-Mann matrices is the space of traceless selfadjoint elements of $M_3(\mathbb{C})$, hence V_μ is a $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ -value field. \square

In the non-twisted case, the primed and unprimed quantities in (5.18)-(5.19) are equal, meaning that the right and left components of the fields (5.34)-(5.36) are equal, hence

$$a_\mu = w_\mu = g_\mu = 0. \quad (5.37)$$

That the twisting produces some extra 1-form fields has already been pointed out for manifolds in [19], and for electrodynamic in [21]. Actually, such a field (improperly called vector field) appeared initially in the twisted version of the Standard Model presented in [18], but its precise structure – a collection of three selfadjoint field a_μ, w_μ, g_μ , each associated with a gauge field of the Standard Model – had not been worked out there.

In the minimal twist of electrodynamics, there is only one such field (associated with the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry). By studying the fermionic action, it gets interpreted as energy-momentum 4-vector in lorentzian signature. Whether such an interpretation still holds for a_μ, w_μ, g_μ will be investigated in a forthcoming paper [1].

Remark 5.6. In the non-twisted case, the fields B_μ, W_μ and V_μ coincide with those of the spectral triple of the Standard Model. More precisely, within the conditions of (5.37), then

- our $c_\mu^r = c_\mu^l$ coincides with $-i\Lambda_\mu$ of [14, §15.4][‡]. The selfadjointness condition (5.20) then implies that Λ_μ is real, in agreement with [14]. Then $B_\mu = \frac{2}{g_1}\Lambda_\mu$ as defined in [14, 1.729] coincides with our $B_\mu = -i\frac{2}{g_1}c_\mu^r = -i\frac{2}{g_1}c_\mu^l$ as defined in (5.26).
- our $q_\mu^r = q_\mu^l$ coincides with $-iQ_\mu$ of [14, §15.4]. The selfadjointness condition (5.20) then implies that Q_μ is selfadjoint, in agreement with [14]. Then $W_\mu = \frac{2}{g_2}Q_\mu$ as defined in [14, 1.739] coincides with our $W_\mu = W_\mu^k \sigma_k = i\frac{2}{g_2}q_\mu^r = i\frac{2}{g_2}q_\mu^l$ in (5.27).
- the identification of our V_μ with the one of the non-twisted case is made after proposition 5.8.

Remark 5.7. If one does not impose the selfadjointness of \mathcal{A} , then one obtains two copies of the bosonic contents of the Standard Model, acting independently on the right and left components of Dirac spinors.

5.4 Twisted fluctuation of the free Dirac operator

We now compute the free part (5.1) of the twisted fluctuation.

Proposition 5.8. A twisted fluctuation of the free Dirac operator \mathcal{D} is $D_Z = \mathcal{D} + Z$ where

$$Z = \mathcal{A} + J\mathcal{A}J^{-1} = -i\gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} Z^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{Z}^\mu \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad \text{with} \quad Z_\mu = \gamma^5 \otimes X_\mu + \mathbb{1}_4 \otimes iY_\mu, \quad (5.38)$$

in which X_μ and Y_μ are selfadjoint \mathcal{A}_{SM} -value tensor fields on \mathcal{M} with components

$$(X_\mu)_{1I}^{\dot{2}J} = (X_\mu)_{2I}^{\dot{1}J} = (Y_\mu)_{1I}^{\dot{2}J} = (Y_\mu)_{2I}^{\dot{1}J} = 0, \quad (5.39)$$

and

$$(X_\mu)_{1I}^{\dot{1}J} = (X_\mu)_{2I}^{\dot{2}J} = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_\mu & \\ & a_\mu \mathbb{1}_3 + g_\mu \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad (5.40)$$

$$(Y_\mu)_{1I}^{\dot{1}J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & -\frac{2g_1}{3}B_\mu \mathbb{1}_3 - \frac{g_3}{2}V_\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (Y_\mu)_{2I}^{\dot{2}J} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 B_\mu & \\ & \frac{g_1}{3}B_\mu \mathbb{1}_3 - \frac{g_3}{2}V_\mu \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.41)$$

$$(X_\mu)_{aI}^{bJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b (w_\mu - a_\mu) & \\ & \delta_a^b w_\mu \mathbb{1}_3 - g_\mu \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad (5.42)$$

$$(Y_\mu)_{aI}^{bJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b \frac{g_1}{2}B_\mu - \frac{g_2}{2}(W_\mu)_a^b & \\ & -\delta_a^b \left(\frac{g_1}{6}B_\mu \mathbb{1}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2}V_\mu \right) - \frac{g_2}{2}(W_\mu)_a^b \mathbb{1}_3 \end{pmatrix}_I^J. \quad (5.43)$$

Proof. With $J = -J^{-1}$ as defined in (3.18) one has

$$J\mathcal{A}J^{-1} = -J(-i\gamma^\mu A_\mu)J^{-1} = -iJ\gamma^\mu A_\mu J^{-1} = i\gamma^\mu JA_\mu J^{-1} = i\gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}M_\mu \mathcal{J}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}Q_\mu \mathcal{J}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}_C^D$$

[‡]Beware that $\not{\partial}_M$ in the formula of Λ is $i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ [14, 1.580], so that $\Lambda = \Lambda_\mu \gamma^\mu$ is the $U(1)$ part of $-\mathcal{A}$, meaning that Λ_μ is the $U(1)$ part of iA_μ .

where we use that J is antilinear and anticommutes with γ^μ (lemma A.7). Noticing that $\mathcal{J}M_\mu\mathcal{J}^{-1} = -\bar{M}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{J}Q_\mu\mathcal{J}^{-1} = -\bar{Q}_\mu$ (this is shown as in (3.22), (3.21)), one obtains

$$Z_\mu = Q_\mu + \bar{M}_\mu. \quad (5.44)$$

Explicitly, $Z_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} Z_\mu^r & \\ & Z_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}$ where, using the explicit forms (5.16) and (5.15) of Q_μ^r and M_μ^r ,

$$Z_\mu^r = Q_\mu^r + \bar{M}_\mu^r = \delta_s^i \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \delta_a^b \bar{m}_\mu^r & \\ & q_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \delta_a^b \bar{m}_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta \quad (5.45)$$

and a similar expression for Z_μ^l , exchanging r with l . The components of the matrix above are

$$(Z_\mu^r)_\alpha^b = c_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \delta_a^b \bar{m}_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} c_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \bar{m}_\mu^r & \\ & \bar{c}_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \bar{m}_\mu^r \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^b \quad (5.46)$$

with $(Z_\mu^r)_1^2 = (Z_\mu^r)_2^1 = 0$,

$$(Z_\mu^r)_1^1 = c_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \bar{m}_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_\mu & \\ & (a_\mu - i\frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu) \mathbb{I}_3 + g_\mu - i(\frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu) \end{pmatrix}_I^J = (X_\mu^r)_1^1 + i(Y_\mu^r)_1^1 \quad (5.47)$$

$$(Z_\mu^r)_2^2 = \bar{c}_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \bar{m}_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_\mu + ig_1 B_\mu & \\ & (a_\mu + i\frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu) \mathbb{I}_3 + g_\mu - i(\frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu) \end{pmatrix}_I^J = (X_\mu^r)_2^2 + i(Y_\mu^r)_2^2, \quad (5.48)$$

and

$$(Z_\mu^r)_a^b = q_\mu^r \delta_I^J + \delta_a^b \bar{m}_\mu^l = \begin{pmatrix} (q_\mu^r)_1^1 \delta_I^J + \bar{m}_\mu^l & (q_\mu^r)_1^2 \delta_I^J \\ (q_\mu^r)_2^1 \delta_I^J & \bar{q}_{\mu 2}^r \delta_I^J + \bar{m}_\mu^l \end{pmatrix}_a^b \quad (5.49)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_\mu^r)_a^a &= (q_\mu^r)_a^a \delta_I^J + \bar{m}_\mu^l = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} w_\mu - i\frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^a - a_\mu + i\frac{g_1 B_\mu}{2} & \\ & (w_\mu - i\frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^a) \mathbb{I}_3 - g_\mu - i(\frac{g_1 B_\mu}{6} \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu) \end{pmatrix}_I^J = \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

$$= (X_\mu^r)_a^a + i(Y_\mu^r)_a^a, \quad (5.51)$$

$$(Z_\mu^r)_a^{b \neq a} = (q_\mu^r)_a^b \delta_I^J = \begin{pmatrix} -i\frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^b & \\ & -i\frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^b \mathbb{I}_3 \end{pmatrix}_I^J = (X_\mu^r)_a^b + i(Y_\mu^r)_a^b.$$

The matrices X_μ^r and Y_μ^r defined by the equations above are selfadjoint and such that $Z_\mu^r = X_\mu^r + iY_\mu^r$. By the selfadjointness condition, one has

$$Z_\mu^l = Q_\mu^l + \bar{M}_\mu^l = -(Z_\mu^r)^\dagger = -X_\mu^r + iY_\mu^r. \quad (5.52)$$

In other terms, $Z_\mu^l = X_\mu^l + iY_\mu^l$ with

$$X_\mu^l = -X_\mu^r, \quad Y_\mu^l = -Y_\mu^r. \quad (5.53)$$

Redefining $X_\mu := X_\mu^r = -X_\mu^l$, $Y_\mu := Y_\mu^r = Y_\mu^l$, one obtains the result. \square

We collect the components of Z in appendix A.2: There, we also make explicit that iY_μ coincides exactly with the gauge fields of the Standard Model (including the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ gauge field V_μ). Thus the twist does not modify the gauge content of the model. What it does is to add the selfadjoint part X_μ whose action on spinors breaks chirality. As shown in the next section, this field is invariant under a gauge transformation.

6 Gauge Transformations

A gauge transformation is implemented by an action of the group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ of unitary elements of \mathcal{A} , both on the Hilbert space and on the Dirac operator. On a twisted spectral triple, these actions have been worked out in [16, 20] and consist in a twist of the original formula of Connes [11]. Explicitly, on the Hilbert space, the fermion fields transform under the adjoint action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ induced by the real structure, namely

$$\psi \rightarrow \text{Ad } u \psi := u\psi u = uu^\circ\psi = uJu^*J^{-1}\psi, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (6.1)$$

On the other hand, the twisted-covariant Dirac operator D_{A_ρ} (3.28) transforms under the twisted conjugate action of $\text{Ad } u$,

$$D_{A_\rho} \rightarrow \text{Ad } \rho(u) D_{A_\rho} \text{Ad } u^*. \quad (6.2)$$

By the twisted first-order condition, (6.2) is equivalent to a gauge transformation of the sole fluctuation A_ρ , namely one has

$$\text{Ad } \rho(u) D_{A_\rho} \text{Ad } u^* = D + A_\rho^u + JA_\rho^u J^{-1} \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$A_\rho^u := \rho(u) \left([D, u^*]_\rho + A_\rho u^* \right). \quad (6.4)$$

This is the twisted version of the law of transformation of generalised 1-forms in ordinary spectral triples, which in turn is a non-commutative generalisation of the law of transformation of the gauge potential in ordinary gauge theories.

To write down the transformation $A_\rho \rightarrow A_\rho^u$ for the twisted fluctuation (3.29), we need the explicit form of a unitary u of \mathcal{A} . The latter is a pair of elements of

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{H}) \times \mathcal{U}(M_3(\mathbb{C})) \simeq U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(3). \quad (6.5)$$

Namely

$$u = (e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\alpha'}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}') \quad (6.6)$$

with

$$\alpha, \alpha' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, SU(2)), \quad \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, U(3)). \quad (6.7)$$

It acts on \mathcal{H} as

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A} \\ \mathfrak{B} \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (6.8)$$

where, following (3.8)-(3.12), one has $\mathfrak{A}_{ssI\alpha}^{ttJ\beta} = \delta_{sI}^{tJ} \mathfrak{A}_{s\alpha}^{t\beta}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{ssI\alpha}^{ttJ\beta} = \delta_s^t \mathfrak{B}_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J}$ with

$$\mathfrak{A}_{s\alpha}^{t\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathfrak{A}_r)_\alpha^\beta \\ (\mathfrak{A}_l)_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad \mathfrak{B}_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathfrak{B}_r)_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} \\ (\mathfrak{B}_l)_{\alpha I}^{\beta J} \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad (6.9)$$

in which

$$\mathfrak{A}_r = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha} & \\ & \mathfrak{q}' \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad \mathfrak{A}_l = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha}' & \\ & \mathfrak{q} \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (6.10)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B}_r = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{m}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad \mathfrak{B}_l = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (6.11)$$

where we denote

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} := \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & \\ & e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{m} := \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & \\ & \mathfrak{m} \end{pmatrix}_I^J, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}' := \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha'} & \\ & e^{-i\alpha'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{m}' := \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha'} & \\ & \mathfrak{m}' \end{pmatrix}_I^J. \quad (6.12)$$

6.1 Gauge sector

Before beginning with the transformation of the free 1-form \mathcal{A} computed in (5.11), let us recall that a twisted gauge transformation (6.2) does not necessarily preserve the selfadjointness of the Dirac operator. Namely A_ρ^u in (6.4) is not necessarily selfadjoint, even though one starts with a selfadjoint A_ρ .

This may seem as a weakness of the twisted case, since in the non-twisted case selfadjointness is necessarily preserved. Actually this possibility to lose selfadjointness allows to implement Lorentz symmetry and yields – at least for electrodynamics [21] – an interesting interpretation of the component X_μ of the free fluctuation Z of proposition 5.8 as a four-vector energy-impulsion.

However, regarding the gauge part of the Standard Model which – as shown below – is fully encoded in the component iY_μ of Z , it is rather natural to ask selfadjointness to be preserved. This reduces the choice of unitaries to pair of elements of (6.5) equal up to a constant.

Proposition 6.1. *A unitary u whose action (6.3) preserves the selfadjointness of any unimodular selfadjoint free 1-form \mathcal{A} is given by (6.6) with*

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}' = \boldsymbol{\alpha} + K, \quad \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}', \quad \mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{m}. \quad (6.13)$$

The components (5.11) of \mathcal{A} then transform as

$$c_\mu^r \longrightarrow c_\mu^r - i\partial_\mu \alpha, \quad c_\mu^l \longrightarrow c_\mu^l - i\partial_\mu \alpha, \quad (6.14)$$

$$q_\mu^r \longrightarrow \mathfrak{q} q_\mu^r \mathfrak{q}^\dagger + \mathfrak{q} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{q}^\dagger), \quad q_\mu^l \longrightarrow \mathfrak{q} q_\mu^l \mathfrak{q}^\dagger + \mathfrak{q} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{q}^\dagger), \quad (6.15)$$

$$m_\mu^r \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} m_\mu^r \mathfrak{m}^\dagger + \mathfrak{m} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{m}^\dagger), \quad m_\mu^l \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} m_\mu^l \mathfrak{m}^\dagger + \mathfrak{m} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{m}^\dagger). \quad (6.16)$$

Proof. From corollary 5.1.1 one has (with the same abuse of notations (5.6), now with \mathbb{I}_{32})

$$\mathcal{A}^u = \rho(u) ([\mathcal{D}, u^*]_\rho + \mathcal{A}u^*) = -i\gamma^\mu (u (\partial_\mu u^*) + u A_\mu u^*). \quad (6.17)$$

Using the explicit forms (6.8) of u and (5.11) of A_μ , one finds

$$\mathcal{A}^u = -i\gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{A}^\dagger) + \mathfrak{A} Q_\mu \mathfrak{A}^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{B} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{B}^\dagger) + \mathfrak{B} M_\mu \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (6.18)$$

meaning that a gauge transformation is equivalent to the transformation

$$Q_\mu \longrightarrow Q_\mu + \mathfrak{A} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{A}^\dagger) + \mathfrak{A} Q_\mu \mathfrak{A}^\dagger, \quad M_\mu \longrightarrow M_\mu + \mathfrak{B} (\partial_\mu \mathfrak{B}^\dagger) + \mathfrak{B} M_\mu \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \quad (6.19)$$

From (5.15) and (5.16), these equations are equivalent to

$$c_\mu^r \longrightarrow c_\mu^r + e^{i\alpha} \partial_\mu e^{-i\alpha} = c_\mu^r - i \partial_\mu \alpha, \quad c_\mu^l \longrightarrow c_\mu^l - i \partial_\mu \alpha', \quad (6.20)$$

$$q_\mu^r \longrightarrow \mathbf{q}' q_\mu^r \mathbf{q}'^\dagger + \mathbf{q}' \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}'^\dagger \right), \quad q_\mu^l \longrightarrow \mathbf{q} q_\mu^l \mathbf{q}^\dagger + \mathbf{q} \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger \right), \quad (6.21)$$

$$m_\mu^r \longrightarrow \mathbf{m} m_\mu^r \mathbf{m}^\dagger + \mathbf{m} \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{m}^\dagger \right), \quad m_\mu^l \longrightarrow \mathbf{m}' m_\mu^l \mathbf{m}'^\dagger + \mathbf{m}' \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{m}'^\dagger \right). \quad (6.22)$$

For any unitary operator \mathbf{q} , one has that $\mathbf{q} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger) = \mathbf{q} [\partial_\mu, \mathbf{q}^\dagger]$ is anti-hermitian (being ∂_μ anti-hermitian as well). Hence, beginning with a selfadjoint \mathcal{A} as in (5.20), requiring that \mathcal{A}^u be selfadjoint is equivalent to

$$\partial_\mu \alpha' = \partial_\mu \alpha, \quad (6.23)$$

$$\mathbf{q} q_\mu^l \mathbf{q}^\dagger + \mathbf{q} \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger \right) = \mathbf{q}' q_\mu^l \mathbf{q}'^\dagger + \mathbf{q}' \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}'^\dagger \right), \quad (6.24)$$

$$\mathbf{m}' m_\mu^r \mathbf{m}'^\dagger + \mathbf{m}' \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{m}'^\dagger \right) = \mathbf{m} m_\mu^r \mathbf{m}^\dagger + \mathbf{m} \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{m}^\dagger \right). \quad (6.25)$$

In particular, for q_μ^l equal to the identity the second of these equations yields $\mathbf{q} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger) = \mathbf{q}' (\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}'^\dagger)$ for any \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}' . Hence for any q_μ^l one has $\mathbf{q} q_\mu^l \mathbf{q}^\dagger = \mathbf{q}' q_\mu^l \mathbf{q}'^\dagger$. This means that $\mathbf{q}'^\dagger \mathbf{q}$ is in the center of \mathbb{H} . Being a unitary, $\mathbf{q}'^\dagger \mathbf{q}$ is thus the identity. So $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}'$. Similarly, one gets that $\mathbf{m}'^\dagger \mathbf{m}$ is in the center of $M_3(\mathbb{C})$, that is a multiple of the identity. Being unitary, $\mathbf{m}'^\dagger \mathbf{m}$ can only be the identity, hence $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{m}$. Thus (6.20-6.22) yield the result. \square

These transformations of the components of the free 1-form induce the following transformations of the physical fields defined in (5.34)-(5.36).

Proposition 6.2. *Under a twisted gauge transformation that preserve selfadjointness, the physical fields a_μ and w_μ are invariant, g_μ undergoes an algebraic (i.e. non-differential) transformation*

$$g_\mu \longrightarrow \mathbf{n} g_\mu \mathbf{n}^\dagger \quad (6.26)$$

and the gauge fields transform as in the Standard Model

$$B_\mu \longrightarrow B_\mu + \frac{2}{g_1} \partial_\mu \alpha, \quad (6.27)$$

$$W_\mu \longrightarrow \mathbf{q} W_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger + \frac{2i}{g_2} \mathbf{q} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger), \quad (6.28)$$

$$V_\mu \longrightarrow \mathbf{n} V_\mu \mathbf{n}^\dagger - \frac{2i}{g_3} \mathbf{n} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n}^\dagger), \quad (6.29)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (\det \mathbf{m})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{m}$ is the $SU(3)$ part of \mathbf{m} .

Proof. Applying the gauge transformations (6.14)-(6.16) to the physical fields defined through (5.34)-(5.36), one obtains

$$\pm a_\mu - i \frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu \rightarrow \pm a_\mu - i \left(\frac{g_1}{2} B_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha \right), \quad (6.30)$$

$$\pm w_\mu \mathbb{I}_2 - i \frac{g_2}{2} W_\mu \rightarrow \pm w_\mu \mathbb{I}_2 - i \left(\frac{g_2}{2} \mathbf{q} W_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger + i \mathbf{q} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger) \right), \quad (6.31)$$

$$\pm g_\mu + i \left(\frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} V_\mu \right) \rightarrow \pm \mathbf{m} g_\mu \mathbf{m}^\dagger + i \left(\frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} \mathbf{m} V_\mu \mathbf{m}^\dagger - i \mathbf{m} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{m}^\dagger) \right), \quad (6.32)$$

where the anti-selfadjointness of $\mathbf{q} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{q}^\dagger)$ and $\mathbf{m} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{m}^\dagger)$ guarantee that the r.h.s. of (6.31) and (6.32) is split into a selfadjoint and anti-selfadjoint part. The first two equations above yield

(6.27)-(6.28) Writing $\mathbf{m} = e^{i\theta} \mathbf{n}$ with $e^{i\theta} = (\det m)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\mathbf{n} \in SU(3)$, then the right hand side of (6.32) becomes

$$\pm n g_\mu n^\dagger + i \left(\left(\frac{g_1}{6} B_\mu - \partial_\mu \theta \right) \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{g_3}{2} n V_\mu n^\dagger + n \partial_\mu n^\dagger \right). \quad (6.33)$$

where we use $\mathbf{m} \partial_\mu \mathbf{m}^\dagger = -i \partial_\mu \theta = n \partial_\mu n^\dagger$. Requiring the unimodularity condition to be gauge invariant forces to identify $-\theta$ with $\frac{\alpha}{3}$, thus reducing the gauge group $U(3)$ to $SU(3)$. This yields (6.26) and (6.29). \square

Remark 6.3. *If one does not impose that the twisted gauge transformation preserves self-jointness, then the left and right components of spinors transform independently. Whether this may yield interesting models should be explored in some further work.*

6.2 Scalar sector

We now study the gauge transformation of the scalar part of the twisted fluctuation $A_Y + A_M$ computed in section 4, beginning with the Yukawa part A_Y in (4.1).

Lemma 6.4. *Let u be a unitary of \mathcal{A} as in (6.6). One has*

$$\rho(u) \left[\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y, u^\dagger \right]_\rho + \rho(u) A_Y u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A^u & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (6.34)$$

where

$$A^u = \delta_{sI}^{iJ} \begin{pmatrix} (A^u)^r & \\ & (A^u)^l \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad (6.35)$$

with

$$(A^u)^r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{k}^l (\alpha' (H_1 + \mathbb{I}) \mathbf{q}'^\dagger - \mathbb{I}) \\ (\mathbf{q} (H_2 + \mathbb{I}) \alpha^\dagger - \mathbb{I}) k^l & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.36)$$

$$(A^u)^l = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{k}^l (\alpha (H'_1 + \mathbb{I}) \mathbf{q}^\dagger - \mathbb{I}) \\ (\mathbf{q}' (H'_2 + \mathbb{I}) \alpha'^\dagger - \mathbb{I}) k^l & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.37)$$

where $H_{1,2}$ are the components of A_Y , and $\alpha, \alpha', \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'$ those of u .

Proof. From the formula (4.15) of A_Y and (6.8)-(6.9) of u , one gets

$$\rho(u) A_Y u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(\mathfrak{A}) A \mathfrak{A}^\dagger & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad \text{where} \quad \rho(\mathfrak{A}) A \mathfrak{A}^\dagger = \delta_{sI}^{iJ} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A}_l A_r \mathfrak{A}_r^\dagger & \\ & \mathfrak{A}_r A_l \mathfrak{A}_l^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_s^t, \quad (6.38)$$

where, using (4.16) and (6.10),

$$\mathfrak{A}_l A_r \mathfrak{A}_r^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & \\ & \mathbf{q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I H_1 & \\ H_2 k^I & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^\dagger & \\ & \mathbf{q}'^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I \alpha' H_1 \mathbf{q}'^\dagger & \\ \mathbf{q} H_2 \alpha^\dagger k^I & \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (6.39)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_r A_l \mathfrak{A}_l^\dagger = - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \mathbf{q}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I H'_1 & \\ H'_2 k^I & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'^\dagger & \\ & \mathbf{q}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k}^I \alpha H'_1 \mathbf{q}^\dagger & \\ \mathbf{q}' H'_2 \alpha'^\dagger k^I & \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (6.40)$$

where we used that k^I, \bar{k}^I commute with α, α' and their conjugate.

The computation of the twisted commutator part in (6.34) is similar to that of A_Y in proposition 4.2, with $a_i = \rho(u)$ and $b_i = u^\dagger$ for u as in (6.6), that is

$$\rho(u) \left[\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y, u^\dagger \right]_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A} & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}_C^D \quad (6.41)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{U} = \delta_{sI}^{tJ} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{U}_r & \\ & \mathfrak{U}_l \end{pmatrix}^t \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{U}_r = \begin{pmatrix} & \bar{k}^l \mathfrak{H}_1 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad \mathfrak{U}_l = - \begin{pmatrix} & \bar{k}^l \mathfrak{H}'_1 \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta, \quad (6.42)$$

in which $\mathfrak{H}_{i=1,2}$ and $\mathfrak{H}'_{1,2} = \rho(\mathfrak{H}_{1,2})$ given by (4.24) with (remembering (6.12))

$$\mathbf{c} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \quad \mathbf{c}' = \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad q = \mathbf{q}', \quad q' = \mathbf{q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\dagger, \quad \mathbf{d}' = \boldsymbol{\alpha}'^\dagger, \quad p = \mathbf{q}^\dagger, \quad p' = \mathbf{q}'^\dagger; \quad (6.43)$$

that is

$$\mathfrak{H}_1 = \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{q}'^\dagger - \boldsymbol{\alpha}'^\dagger), \quad \mathfrak{H}_2 = \mathbf{q}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\dagger - \mathbf{q}^\dagger) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}'_1 = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}^\dagger - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\dagger), \quad \mathfrak{H}'_2 = \mathbf{q}'(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'^\dagger - \mathbf{q}'^\dagger). \quad (6.44)$$

Thus one obtains (6.34) with

$$A^u = \mathfrak{U} + \rho(\mathfrak{A})A\mathfrak{A}^\dagger = \delta_{sI}^{tJ} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{U}_r + \mathfrak{A}_l A_r \mathfrak{A}_l^\dagger & \\ & \mathfrak{U}_l + \mathfrak{A}_r A_l \mathfrak{A}_r^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_s^t. \quad (6.45)$$

From (6.39) and (6.42) one obtains the explicit forms of $(A^u)^r$ and $(A^u)^l$

$$(A^u)^r := \mathfrak{U}_r + \mathfrak{A}_l A_r \mathfrak{A}_l^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} & \bar{k}^l(\mathfrak{H}_1 + \boldsymbol{\alpha}' H_1 \mathbf{q}'^\dagger) \\ (\mathfrak{H}_2 + \mathbf{q} H_2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\dagger) \bar{k}^l & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.46)$$

$$(A^u)^l := \mathfrak{U}_l + \mathfrak{A}_r A_l \mathfrak{A}_r^\dagger = - \begin{pmatrix} & \bar{k}^l(\mathfrak{H}'_1 + \boldsymbol{\alpha} H'_1 \mathbf{q}^\dagger) \\ (\mathfrak{H}'_2 + \mathbf{q}' H'_2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'^\dagger) \bar{k}^l & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.47)$$

The final result follow substituting $\mathfrak{H}_{1,2}$ with their explicit formulas (6.44). \square

One easily checks that for a selfadjoint diagonal twisted 1-form A_Y (that is, from corollary 4.2.1, when $H_1^\dagger = H_2 = H_r$ and $H_1'^\dagger = H_2' = H_l$) then a twist-invariant unitary u (i.e. $\mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' = \boldsymbol{\alpha} + K$) not only preserves the selfadjointness of the free 1-form by proposition 6.1, but also the selfadjointness of A_Y , provided that $K = 0$ (if $K \neq 0$, then H_1 and H_2 undergo different gauge transformations, thus forbidding the identification $H_1^\dagger = H_2 = H_r$ – and similarly for H_l – and therefore forcing A_Y not to be selfadjoint). For this reason, from now on we will assume $K = 0$. With this caveat, the gauge transformation of lemma 6.4 then reads more clearly as a law of transformation of the complex components (4.31) of the quaternionic fields H_r and H_l .

Proposition 6.5. *Let A_Y be a selfadjoint diagonal 1-form parametrized by two quaternionic field H_r, H_l . Under a gauge transformation induced by a twist-invariant unitary $u = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}, m, m)$, the components $\phi_{1,2}^r, \phi_{1,2}^l$ of H_r, H_l transform as*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^r + 1 \\ \phi_2^r \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{q} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^r + 1 \\ \phi_2^r \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^l + 1 \\ \phi_2^l \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{q} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^l + 1 \\ \phi_2^l \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha}. \quad (6.48)$$

Proof. A_Y being selfadjoint means that (4.25) holds. A twist-invariant unitary satisfies (6.13) with $K = 0$. Under these conditions, comparing the formula (4.16) of A_Y with its gauge transformed counterpart (6.36)-(6.37), one finds that the fields H_r and H_l undergo the same transformation

$$H_r \longrightarrow \mathbf{q} (H_r + \mathbb{I}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\dagger - \mathbb{I}, \quad (6.49)$$

$$H_l \longrightarrow \mathbf{q} (H_l + \mathbb{I}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}'^\dagger - \mathbb{I}. \quad (6.50)$$

Written in components (4.31), these equations reads

$$\phi_1^r \longrightarrow q_{11} (\phi_1^r + 1)e^{-i\alpha} + q_{12} \phi_2^r e^{-i\alpha} - 1, \quad (6.51)$$

$$\phi_2^r \longrightarrow q_{21} \alpha(\phi_1^r + 1)e^{-i\alpha} + q_{22} \phi_2^r e^{-i\alpha} - 1, \quad (6.52)$$

where q_{ij} denote the components of the quaternion q . In matricial form, these equations are nothing but 6.48. \square

The transformations (6.48) are similar to those of the Higgs doublet in the Standard Model (see e.g. [22, Prop. 11.5]). In the twisted version of the Standard Model, we thus obtain two Higgs fields, acting independently on the left and right components of the Dirac spinors. However, as we already mentioned, the two have no individual physical meaning on their own, since they only appear in the fermionic action through the linear combination $h = (H_r + H_l) / 2$. Therefore there is actually only one physical Higgs doublet in the twisted case as well.

To conclude, we check that the components of the off-diagonal 1-form A_M are gauge invariant.

Proposition 6.6. *Under a gauge transformation induced by a twist-invariant unitary u , the real fields σ_r, σ_l parameterising a self-adjoint off-diagonal 1-form A_M (proposition 4.5) are invariant.*

Proof. The result amounts to show that A_M is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$A_M \longrightarrow \rho(u) \left[\gamma^5 \otimes D_M, u^\dagger \right]_\rho + \rho(u) A_M u^\dagger. \quad (6.53)$$

Since $u = \rho(u)$ by hypothesis, the twisted-commutator in the expression above coincides with the usual commutator $[\gamma^5 \otimes D_M, u^\dagger]$ which is zero by (3.2). From the explicit forms (4.32) of A_M and (6.8) of u , one has

$$u A_M u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A} C \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \\ \mathfrak{B} D \mathfrak{A}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.54)$$

From (4.34), one checks that $\mathfrak{A} C \mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ has components

$$\mathfrak{A}_r C_r \mathfrak{B}_r^\dagger = \sigma_r \mathfrak{A}_r \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \mathfrak{B}_r^\dagger = \sigma_r \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta}, \quad (6.55)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_l C_l \mathfrak{B}_l^\dagger = \sigma_l \mathfrak{A}_l \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \mathfrak{B}_l^\dagger = \sigma_l \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta}, \quad (6.56)$$

where we use the explicit forms (6.10)-(6.12) of $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ to get $\mathfrak{A}_r \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} \mathfrak{B}_r^\dagger = e^{i\alpha} \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta} e^{-i\alpha} = \Xi_{I\alpha}^{J\beta}$, and similarly for (6.56). Hence $u A_M u^\dagger = A_M$, and the result. \square

Appendix

A.1 Dirac matrices and real structure

Let $\sigma_{j=1,2,3}$ be the Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In four-dimensional euclidean space, the Dirac matrices (in chiral representation) are

$$\gamma_E^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_E^5 := \gamma_E^1 \gamma_E^2 \gamma_E^3 \gamma_E^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where, for $\mu = 0, j$, we define

$$\sigma^\mu := \{\mathbb{I}_2, -i\sigma_j\}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}^\mu := \{\mathbb{I}_2, i\sigma_j\}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

On a (non-necessarily flat) riemannian spin manifold, the Dirac matrices are linear combinations of the euclidean ones,

$$\gamma^\mu = e_\mu^\alpha \gamma_E^\alpha \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $\{e_\mu^\alpha\}$ are the vierbein, which are real fields on \mathcal{M} . These Dirac matrices are no longer constant on \mathcal{M} . This is a general result of spin geometry that the charge conjugation commutes with the spin derivative (see e.g. [22, Prop. 4.18]). For sake of completeness, we check it explicitly for a four dimensional riemannian manifold:

Lemma A.7. *The real structure satisfies*

$$\mathcal{J}\gamma^\mu = -\gamma^\mu\mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{J}\omega_\mu^s = \omega_\mu^s\mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{J}\nabla_\mu^s = +\nabla_\mu^s\mathcal{J}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Proof. Let us first show that \mathcal{J} anticommutes with the euclidean Dirac matrices,

$$\{\mathcal{J}, \gamma_E^\mu\} = 0. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

From the explicit forms (2.33) of \mathcal{J} , this is equivalent to

$$\gamma_E^0 \gamma_E^2 \bar{\gamma}_E^\mu = -\gamma_E^\mu \gamma_E^0 \gamma_E^2 \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which is true for $\mu = 0, 2$ since then $\bar{\gamma}_E^\mu = \gamma_E^\mu$ anticommutes with $\gamma_E^0 \gamma_E^2$, and is also true for $\mu = 1, 2$ in which case $\bar{\gamma}_E^\mu = -\gamma_E^\mu$ commutes with $\gamma_E^0 \gamma_E^2$.

Since the spin connection is a real linear combination of products of two euclidean Dirac matrices, it commutes with \mathcal{J} . The latter, having constant components, commutes with ∂_μ , hence also with the spin covariant derivative ∇_μ .

These results hold as well in the curved case, for then one has from (A.4)

$$\{\mathcal{J}, \gamma^\mu\} = e_\mu^\alpha \{\mathcal{J}, \gamma_E^\alpha\} = 0. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

□

A.2 Components of the gauge sector of the twisted fluctuation

The components of the free twisted fluctuation of proposition 5.8 are $Z_\mu^r = \delta_s^i (Z_\mu^r)_{I\alpha}^{J\beta}$ given by (we invert the order of the lepto-colour and flavour indices in order to make the comparison with the non-twisted case easier)

$$(Z_\mu)_{01}^{01} = 2a_\mu, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$(Z_\mu)_{02}^{02} = 2a_\mu + ig_1 B_\mu, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$(Z_\mu)_{0a}^{0b} = \delta_a^b (w^\mu - a_\mu) + i \left(\delta_a^b \frac{g_1 B_\mu}{2} - \frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^b \right), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$(Z_\mu)_{i1}^{j1} = \left(a_\mu \delta_i^j + (g_\mu)_i^j \right) - i \left(\frac{2g_1 B_\mu}{3} \delta_i^j + \frac{g_3}{2} (V_\mu)_i^j \right), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$(Z_\mu)_{i2}^{j2} = \left(a_\mu \delta_i^j + (g_\mu)_i^j \right) + i \left(\frac{g_1 B_\mu}{3} \delta_i^j - \frac{g_3}{2} (V_\mu)_i^j \right), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$(Z_\mu)_{ia}^{jb} = \left(\delta_a^b w_\mu \delta_i^j - (g_\mu)_i^j \right) - i \left(\delta_a^b \left(\frac{g_1 B_\mu}{6} \delta_i^j + \frac{g_3}{2} (V_\mu)_i^j \right) + \frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^b \delta_i^j \right), \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$(Z_\mu)_{I1}^{J2} = (Z_\mu^r)_{I2}^{J1} = 0. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

One then checks that

$$i \begin{pmatrix} (Y_\mu)_{i1}^{j1} & & \\ & (Y_\mu)_{i2}^{j2} & \\ & & (Y_\mu)_{ia}^{jb} \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} -i \left(\frac{2g_1 B_\mu}{3} \delta_i^j + \frac{g_3}{2} (V_\mu)_i^j \right) & & \\ & i \left(\frac{g_1 B_\mu}{3} \delta_i^j - \frac{g_3}{2} (V_\mu)_i^j \right) & \\ & & -i \left(\delta_a^b \left(\frac{g_1 B_\mu}{6} \delta_i^j + \frac{g_3}{2} (V_\mu)_i^j \right) + \frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^b \delta_i^j \right) \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta$$

coincides with the matrix \mathbb{A}_μ^q of the non-twisted case [14, eq. 1.733], while

$$i \begin{pmatrix} (Y_\mu)_{01}^{01} & & \\ & (Y_\mu)_{02}^{02} & \\ & & (Y_\mu)_{0a}^{0b} \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & ig_1 B_\mu & \\ & & i \left(\delta_a^b \frac{g_1 B_\mu}{2} - \frac{g_2}{2} (W_\mu)_a^b \right) \end{pmatrix}_\alpha^\beta$$

coincides with the matrix \mathbb{A}_μ^l [14, eq. 1.734].

A.3 Twisted first order condition

For a twisted spectral triple, there is a natural twisted version of the first order condition 2.13 that was introduced in [18] and whose mathematic pertinence has been investigated in details in [19, 20], namely

$$[[D, b]_\rho, a^\circ]_{\rho^\circ} = 0 \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

One knows from [19] that the minimal twist of the spectral triple of the Standard Model defined in §3 does satisfy the twisted first-order condition (A.16). Yet it is instructive to check it explicitly for $\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y$, especially to then compare with the twist used in [18].

Proposition A.8. *One has*

$$\left[[\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y, b]_\rho, a^\circ \right]_{\rho^\circ} = 0 \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Proof. From lemma 4.1 and (3.17) one has

$$\left[[\gamma^5 \otimes D_Y, b]_{\rho}, JaJ^{-1} \right]_{\rho^\circ} = - \begin{pmatrix} [S, \bar{M}]_{\rho^\circ} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\rho^\circ}^D. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

In tensorial notations

$$[S, \bar{M}]_{\rho^\circ} = \delta_{\dot{s}}^i \left[\eta_s^u (D_0)_{I\alpha}^{J\gamma} R_{u\gamma}^{t\beta}, \bar{M}_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J} \right]_{\rho^\circ} - \delta_{\dot{s}}^i \left[\rho (R)_{s\alpha}^{u\gamma} \eta_u^t (D_0)_{I\gamma}^{J\beta}, \bar{M}_{s\alpha I}^{t\beta J} \right]_{\rho^\circ}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

The right hand side of (A.19) is (omitting the indices \dot{s}, α, I)

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} D_0 R_r & \\ & -D_0 R_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_r & \\ & \bar{M}_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t - \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_l & \\ & \bar{M}_r \end{pmatrix}_s^t \begin{pmatrix} D_0 R_r & \\ & -D_0 R_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t - \\ & - \begin{pmatrix} R_l D_0 & \\ & -R_r D_0 \end{pmatrix}_s^t \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_r & \\ & \bar{M}_l \end{pmatrix}_s^t + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_l & \\ & \bar{M}_r \end{pmatrix}_s^t \begin{pmatrix} R_l D_0 & \\ & -R_r D_0 \end{pmatrix}_s^t = \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} D_0 R_r \bar{M}_r - \bar{M}_l D_0 R_r - R_l D_0 \bar{M}_r + \bar{M}_l R_l D_0 & \\ & -D_0 R_l \bar{M}_l + \bar{M}_r D_0 R_l + R_r D_0 \bar{M}_l - \bar{M}_r R_r D_0 \end{pmatrix}_s^t. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

From the explicit form (2.26) of D_0 , (3.11) of $M_{l/r}$ and (3.25) of $R_{r/l}$, one checks that

$$D_0 R_r \bar{M}_r = \bar{M}_l D_0 R_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{k} p' \bar{m}' \\ \bar{k} d \bar{m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_l D_0 \bar{M}_r = \bar{M}_l R_l D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{k} \bar{m}' d' \\ \bar{k} \bar{m} p & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

so that the upper left term in (A.20) is zero. The same is true for the lower right term (the formula are similar, just switch the primed quantities with the unprimed). Hence $[S, \bar{M}] = 0$.

This shows that (A.18) vanishes, which is equivalent to the proposition. \square

In [18] the twist was not applied to the $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ part of the algebra of the Standard Model. Only $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ was doubled and this yielded an action similar as the one used on the present paper (modulo a change of notations, the representation (4.7) of [18] coincides with (3.9)). A genuine generalisation of this twist consists in making two copies of $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ acting independently on the left and right components of spinors, namely $a \in \mathcal{A}$ acts as in (3.8), but now $M_{r,l}$ are given by

$$M_r = (\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbb{I}_4)_\alpha^\beta, \quad M_l = (\mathfrak{m}' \otimes \mathbb{I}_4)_\alpha^\beta. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Then lemma 4.1 no longer holds for the lower right term T is non necessarily zero (this is because on the right hand side of (4.11) the first parenthesis now contains only \mathfrak{m} , and the right parenthesis only \mathfrak{m}' , so that the cancellation (4.14) is no longer true). This adds a term in the right hand side of (A.20), yielding a violation of the twisted first order condition. Actually, even without this term, repeating the computation of proposition A.8 one arrives to the same equation (A.20), but now M_r and M_l are independent, so they cannot cancel each other. The only possibility for, say, the upper right term of (A.20) to be zero is that the terms in \bar{M}_r and M_l cancel independently. But this does not happen for \bar{M}_r is factor of $D_0 R_r - R_l D_0$ which has no reason to be zero since R_r and R_l are independent. Consequently, the twisted first order condition is violated by the genuine generalisation of the twist of [18]. This motivates the use of the twist by grading.

References

- [1] In preparation. 2020.
- [2] L. Boyle and S. Farnsworth. The standard model, the Pati-Salam model, and Jordan geometry. (arXiv: 1910.11888), 2019.
- [3] T. Brzezinski, N. Ciccoli, L. Dabrowski, and A. Sitarz. Twisted reality condition for Dirac operators. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geo.*, 19(3:16), 2016.
- [4] T. Brzezinski, L. Dabrowski, and A. Sitarz. On twisted reality conditions. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 109(3):643–659, 04 2018.
- [5] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes. Resilience of the spectral standard model. *JHEP*, 09:104, 2012.
- [6] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli. Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 11:991–1089, 2007.
- [7] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and W. van Suijlekom. Beyond the spectral standard model: emergence of Pati-Salam unification. *JHEP*, 11:132, 2013.
- [8] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and W. van Suijlekom. Inner fluctuations in noncommutative geometry without first order condition. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 73:222–234, 2013.
- [9] A. H. Chamseddine and W. D. van Suijlekom. A survey of spectral models of gravity coupled to matter. In: *Chamseddine A., Consani C., Higson N., Khalkhali M., Moscovici H., Yu G. (eds) Advances in Noncommutative Geometry. Springer*, pages 1–51, 2019.
- [10] A. Connes. *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, 1994.
- [11] A. Connes. Gravity coupled with matter and the foundations of noncommutative geometry. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 182:155–176, 1996.
- [12] A. Connes. Noncommutative geometry and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *JHEP*, 081, 2006.
- [13] A. Connes. On the spectral characterization of manifolds. *J. Noncom. Geom.*, 7(1):1–82, 2013.
- [14] A. Connes and M. Marcolli. *Noncommutative geometry, quantum fields and motives*. AMS, 2008.
- [15] A. Connes and H. Moscovici. Type III and spectral triples. *Traces in number theory, geometry and quantum fields, Aspects Math. Friedt. Vieweg, Wiesbaden*, E38:57–71, 2008.
- [16] A. Devastato, S. Farnsworth, F. Lizzi, and P. Martinetti. Lorentz signature and twisted spectral triples. *JHEP*, 03(089), 2018.
- [17] A. Devastato, F. Lizzi, and P. Martinetti. Grand Symmetry, Spectral Action and the Higgs mass. *JHEP*, 01:042, 2014.
- [18] A. Devastato and P. Martinetti. Twisted spectral triple for the standard and spontaneous breaking of the grand symmetry. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geo.*, 20(2):43, 2017.

- [19] G. Landi and P. Martinetti. On twisting real spectral triples by algebra automorphisms. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 106:1499–1530, 2016.
- [20] G. Landi and P. Martinetti. Gauge transformations for twisted spectral triples. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, pages 2589–2626, 2018.
- [21] P. Martinetti and D. Singh. Lorentzian fermionic action by twisting euclidean spectral triples. Preprint: arXiv 1907.02485, 2019.
- [22] W. van Suijlekom. *Noncommutative geometry and particle physics*. Springer, 2015.