

Effect of cholesterol vs. ergosterol on DPPC bilayer properties: insights from atomistic simulations

A. Alavizargar^{*}, F. Keller, R. Wedlich-Söldner, A. Heuer

Abstract

Sterols have been ascribed a major role in the organization of biological membranes, in particular for the formation of liquid ordered domains in complex lipid mixtures. Interestingly, evolution has resulted in a preference for chemically similar but distinct sterol types - with cholesterol the major sterol of mammalian cells and ergosterol dominating in membranes of fungal cells. However, the molecular basis and functional consequences of the choice in sterols are not well understood. Here, we employed molecular dynamics simulations to compare the effects of cholesterol and ergosterol on binary mixtures with saturated 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) lipids. Our simulations with varying sterol concentrations and temperatures revealed that while both sterols were able to induce the formation of liquid ordered membrane domains, cholesterol had a noticeably stronger impact on lipids condensing and ordering. The observed differences could be attributed to the higher planarity of the cholesterol ring region. This planarity combined with an inherent asymmetry in its molecular interactions led to better alignment and hence stronger interaction with saturated acyl chains. Our results show that ergosterol does not have an increased capacity to form ordered lipid domains compared to cholesterol and indicate that the high order demonstrated for fungal plasma membranes must therefore be generated via different mechanisms.

Significance

Cholesterol and ergosterol are the major sterols in animal and fungal cells, respectively. Despite the prominent role of sterols in lateral organization of biological membranes, the reason for this distinct choice has not been established and experimental studies have reported conflicting results concerning their relative impact on lipids order. Using atomistic MD simulations, we systematically characterize the interactions and molecular effects of the two sterols on DPPC phospholipids. Our results demonstrate that cholesterol has a consistently higher impact on the ordering and dynamics of lipid chains due to the stronger interactions of its planar ring region. Our results, however, argue against a prominent role for ergosterol in the formation of highly ordered PM domains, frequently observed in fungal plasma membranes.

1 Introduction

Biological membranes are self-assembled bilayer structures in liquid environments that constitute the boundaries of all cells and organelles. Cellular membranes are highly complex mixtures with hundreds of different lipids and proteins that vary with cell type and environmental condition. These components are not evenly distributed within the membrane but are segregated between leaflets into lateral domains [1]. A prominent type of lateral domain in mammalian plasma membranes (PM) are lipid rafts, liquid-ordered domains which are typically enriched in saturated lipids, sphingolipids and cholesterol [2–6]. Cholesterol is the most prominent sterol in animal cells, and its role in the formation and function of lipid rafts has attracted much attention during the last decades. It is clear that the condensing and ordering effect of cholesterol

on saturated lipids plays an important role in the integrity and permeability of membranes and is crucial for the interaction of specific peptides and therapeutic molecules with membranes [7–11]. The formation of lipid rafts has been ascribed to differential interaction of cholesterol with saturated and unsaturated lipids. However, the molecular details of these interactions are still not fully understood. To study the detailed properties of cholesterol in membranes, minimal binary systems with variable mixtures of saturated lipid and cholesterol have frequently been used. In the absence of cholesterol the saturated lipid molecules arrange themselves in a highly ordered gel or solid-ordered (S_o) phase at low temperatures. As the temperature increases, the lipid chains separate and the bilayer transitions into a liquid-disordered (L_d) phase. Adding cholesterol to the lipid then drives the system into a complex liquid-ordered (L_o) phase, where the sterol molecules reduce the flexibility and increase the order of the saturated lipid chains. This ordering effect of cholesterol leads to a condensing of the saturated lipids, decreasing the area per lipid and increasing membrane thickness [12–21]. Importantly, at temperatures below the S_o - L_d phase transition, adding cholesterol has the opposite effect and indeed decreases the high order of acyl chains [22–24]. Therefore, cholesterol has a dual nature: it promotes fluidity of saturated lipids in the S_o phase, while decreasing mobility of lipids in the L_o phase. As a consequence, cholesterol has complex effects on the lateral diffusion of lipids as well as membrane permeability [14, 25–28]. The cholesterol molecule is composed of three parts: the hydrophilic OH group, a rigid central part composed of 4 rings, and the hydrocarbon tail (Figure 1a). Two methyl groups reach out of the planar ring structure rendering the cholesterol molecule asymmetric - with the two methyl groups on the "rough side" and the opposite "smooth side" (Figure 1a). It is well established that cholesterol can modulate the organization and dynamics of membranes depending on its concentration within in the bilayer. The average concentration of cholesterol in animal cells typically ranges between 20 and 30% but can reach 50-70% in blood cells or the membranes of the eye lens [29, 30]. Cholesterol can rapidly flip in the bilayer and has mostly been considered to be equally distributed between both leaflets. A recent study reported strong extracellular accumulation of cholesterol using the DH4 probe [31], but interpretation of these results has been subsequently challenged [32] and preferential accumulation of cholesterol on the cytosolic leaflet was shown, driven by association with sphingomyelin in the extracellular leaflet [33].

A variety of sterols are found in membranes of eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells. Even though they have comparable chemical composition to cholesterol, they exhibit different 3-dimensional structures and flexibility that lead to distinct impacts on organization and dynamics of membranes [20, 23, 34–37]. Ergosterol is the major sterol in the membranes of fungi [38]. It promotes formation of the L_o phase in mixtures with saturated phospholipids [6, 22, 35, 39, 40] with similar efficiency to cholesterol [6, 35, 41–44]. Structurally, ergosterol differs from cholesterol in two additional double bonds - one within the ring and one in the tail - as well as an extra methyl group in the tail region [45] (Figure 1b). In budding yeast, ergosterol is mostly (70%) located in the PM. Importantly, a recent study suggests that up to 80% of PM ergosterol is concentrated in the inner leaflet, equivalent to 45% of all lipids there [46]. The structural and dynamic effects of sterols on lipid bilayers have been studied in detail by both experimental and computational approaches. A number of studies have also focused on the distinction between different sterols, in particular chole-




Figure 1: The chemical structure of cholesterol and ergosterol are shown respectively in (a) and (b) panels. On the right side of each panel the three-dimensional structure of the sterol molecule labeling its smooth and rough side is depicted in Licorice representation.

terol and ergosterol. However these studies on binary, ternary or more complex lipid mixtures have yielded surprisingly inconsistent, if not contradictory results [37, 39, 44, 47]. Early Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations on binary mixtures of sterols and DPPC or DMPC have indicated a stronger impact of ergosterol on acyl chain order [14, 15]. This was supported by several experimental studies [7, 22, 23, 41, 48] and ergosterol was also shown to have stronger impact on thickness of SM/POPC/sterol ternary bilayers [37]. In contrast, other MD simulations, including a recent one, have consistently reported stronger lipid ordering effects for cholesterol [49, 50] and many experiments have confirmed this interpretation in binary systems [21, 44, 48, 51] or a DOPC/DPPC/sterol ternary mixture [39]. These often conflicting results demonstrate that even the prototypical binary bilayers of DPPC and sterol exhibit considerable complexity - and would therefore benefit from a systematic and detailed characterization. MD simulations can provide detailed structural and mechanistic insights into the organization and dynamics of lipid bilayer systems at atomic resolution. Most simulations on the interactions between sterols and saturated lipids, date back more than a decade [14, 15, 49]. The available force fields have since improved considerably [52–54]. Therefore, in this work we aimed to provide an up-to date comparison of the effects of cholesterol and ergosterol - and thereby potentially resolve some of the conflicting literature reports. Considering the unusually high order reported for fungal plasma membranes [55], we also wanted to clarify whether ergosterol exhibited a particular propensity to order surrounding saturated acyl chains. To this end, we established all-atom MD simulations built on a highly reliable force field to study the impact of cholesterol and ergosterol on binary mixtures with DPPC in a wide range of concentrations and temperatures. This setup is thus complementary to a recent MD study where a complex lipid mixture at a single sterol concentration and a single temperature has been studied [50]. The choice of a model binary mixture, on the one hand, allows a direct comparison with different experiments on the binary system and, on the other hand, enables the identification of underlying microscopic mechanisms. For this purpose, we perform a systematic analysis of structure, dynamics and energy parameters, partly going beyond the analyses of previous simulations on this topic. Our results provide a comprehensive picture of the impact of the two sterols on the DPPC bilayer, including a closer understanding of the dual na-

ture of sterols. We consistently observed a stronger impact of cholesterol versus ergosterol on order parameters of all membranes. Importantly, we argue that this difference can be linked mainly to the higher degree of planarity within the ring part of cholesterol. Our results also imply that likely the high membrane order of the fungal PM does not directly depend on its sterol content.

2 Methods

2.1 The model

The binary mixtures of a DPPC bilayer with different mole fractions of sterols, 10, 20 and 30% (cholesterol and ergosterol) molecules were constructed using the web-based CHARMM-GUI membrane builder and were solvated by water molecules [56]. One additional pure DPPC bilayer system was also created as a control simulation. The total number of lipid molecules for the constructed systems varies between 350 and 400 and the number of water molecules is close to 13000.

2.2 Simulation protocol

MD simulations were performed using the version 2018.6 of GROMACS [57, 58], the CHARMM36 force field [59], and the TIP3 model for water molecules [60]. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in all directions. The long-range electrostatic interactions were treated using particle mesh Ewald method [61], with 1.2 nm cutoff distance and the compressibility of 4.5×10^{-5} . For van der Waals (vdW) interactions, the cut-off schemes with the cutoff distance of 1.2 nm were used, which is smoothly truncated between 1.0 and 1.2 nm. The electrostatic interactions were treated by the particle mesh Ewald method [61]. Constant pressure was controlled using the Parinello-Rahman barostat [62] with the semi-isotropic pressure of 1 bar. The temperature was controlled by coupling the system to the Nosé-Hoover thermostat [63, 64]. The LINCS algorithm [65] was utilized to constrain the bonds. The systems were first minimized in 10000 steps and were subsequently equilibrated using first the NVT (500 ps) and then the NPT (16 ns) protocol. During the course of equilibration, restraints were applied according to the CHARMM-GUI procedure on the head and the tail groups which were gradually decreased to zero in the course of the NPT equilibration. For the equilibration procedure of the gel phase of the systems containing 10% of cholesterol, we had to use larger restraints of 1000 $\text{kJ.mol}^{-1}.\text{nm}^{-2}$ during the equilibration in order to prevent the formation of the ripple phase. For each system, 600 ns production simulations were produced in the NPT ensemble with the time step of 2 fs. All the systems were simulated in the temperature range of 290-350 K with temperature steps of 10 K. Two sets of independent simulations were performed for the DPPC/ergosterol system. The last 400 ns of each production run was used for the analysis and the error bars were estimated by the block analysis method with the block size of 100 ns, unless otherwise stated.

For the simulations of the single sterols, the systems were first minimized in 1000 steps and then the simulations were performed in NVT ensemble at 300 K in vacuum without applying periodic boundary conditions. The same

thermostat, cutoff distances and constraints were used as the ones for the bilayer systems. The equations of motions were integrated using 1 fs time step. The total length of the trajectory for each molecule is 50 ns.

All the simulations data have been analyzed using the python routines incorporating the MDAnalysis package [66, 67] and GROMACS tools. The VMD software was used for the visualization of the structures and the trajectories [68].

2.3 Area per lipid

Many different ways have been proposed to quantify area per lipid. The definition we have used here is the total area per DPPC molecules, which is calculated by dividing the cross-sectional area of the box along the xy plane ($L_x L_y$) divided by the total number of DPPC molecules, excluding the sterol molecules. Accordingly, the space available for the DPPC molecules is estimated and the effect of sterols can be compared.

2.4 Order parameter and tilt angle

The order parameter measures how the lipid chains are oriented with respect to the membrane normal and quantifies the degree of their orientational order. According to ref. [19] the order of lipid chains can be quantified using molecular order parameter (S_{mol})

$$S_{mol} = \frac{1}{2} \langle 3\cos^2\Theta_n - 1 \rangle \quad (1)$$

where Θ_n is the angle between the vector constructed by n^{th} segment of the hydrocarbon chain, i.e. C_{n-1} and C_{n+1} , connecting the $n-1$ and $n+1$ carbon atoms, and the membrane normal (z-axis). Subsequently, this quantity is calculated for all the segments of the two chains separately. The angular brackets represent the time and ensemble average. A random orientation corresponds to vanishing order. However, this value does not only quantify systematic deviations but is also reduced due to the tilting of the alkyl chains. In this work we disentangle these tilting effects. First, we determined the average tilt vector of all the DPPC molecules in each leaflet separately. Second, in the calculation of the order parameter for each lipid we defined the angle Θ_n with respect to the average tilt vector of the corresponding leaflet. Although these average tilt angles are close to the membrane normal in the disordered phase, this is no longer the case in the gel phase, where it also expresses the collective tilting effect. The results for the order parameter using the standard definition of Θ_n with respect to the membrane normal is represented in supporting information.

To quantify the order parameter of sterol molecules, we individually analyzed the planar and the tail part. For the planar part, the vector from atom C3 (first carbon in the planar part) to C17 (last carbon in the planar part with a methyl group) was considered, whereas for the tail part, the vector from atom C20 (first carbon in the tail) to C25 (last carbon in the tail) was used (Figure 1a). Subsequently, the angle between these vectors and the collective tilt of DPPC molecules was taken as Θ_n and the order parameter was calculated using equation (1), similar to the case of DPPC explained above.

The tilt angle of sterol molecules was analyzed for the planar part and was taken as the angle between the vector connecting C3 and C17 carbon atoms (Figure 1a), and the membrane normal (z-axis). The tilt angle distribution is binned with respect to the cosine of the tilt angle rather than the tilt angle itself. In this way we take out the trivial phase space factor, which in polar coordinates is proportional to $\sin(\text{tilt angle})$. This yields an additional information level to the knowledge of the average tilt angle because there are different scenarios, giving rise to the same average tilt angle. Our approach is inspired by ref. [69] where the width of that distribution is expressed in terms of the tilt sterol modulus.

2.5 Planarity and orientation of methyl groups

We quantify planarity by aligning a hypothetical plane with optimum overlap to the ring system of the sterols and taking the sum of the distances of all the atoms in this part from the imaginary plane as a measure for the deviations from planarity.

To quantify the orientation of methyl groups, we calculated the average angle between each methyl group and the normal vector of the fitted plane to the ring part of the sterols, which was used for the estimation of planarity.

2.6 Anisotropic DPPC-sterol structure

To represent the 2D density maps of the carbon atoms of the DPPC chains around the sterol molecules, we superimposed all the sterols with respect to a frame of reference, which is the configuration of a selected sterol molecule in the first frame of the trajectory, and averaged the 2D histogram of the carbon atoms of the DPPC around all the sterols over the trajectory in the new frame of reference. This particular density profile provides additional information as compared to the RDF since it is not averaged over the angular direction, and it represents the details of the density around the sterol with respect to the smooth and the rough side of the sterol (Figure 1a,b). In order to clarify the density at the two faces of the sterol molecules, we tagged three atoms of the sterol, two of which are located in the planar part (C7 and C11), and one is the carbon atom in the first methyl group of the sterols (C18) (Figure 1a) and represented them in the density map.

2.7 Pair interaction energy

The pair interaction energy as a function of the order parameter was calculated separately for the DPPC-DPPC and DPPC-sterol pairs. To estimate these quantities, first we defined the cut-off distance to include neighbors for each lipid molecule. For this purpose, we used the radial distribution function (RDF) of the P-P and P-O3 pairs (P is the phosphorous atom of DPPC and O3 is the oxygen atom of the sterols) (Figure S1). According to the RDF profiles of the P-P and P-O3 pairs, the position of the first minimum, i.e. ~ 10 and ~ 8 Å, were used as the cut-off distance for the DPPC-DPPC and DPPC-sterol interactions, respectively. Subsequently, we calculated the average interaction energy for all the pairs of lipids, separately for DPPC-DPPC and DPPC-sterol pairs. The interaction energies were then plotted as a function of the average

order parameter of the two DPPC molecules for the DPPC-DPPC pair, and the order parameter of the DPPC molecule in the corresponding DPPC-sterol pair. These energies were calculated for the systems with 30 % sterol concentration, simulated at the temperatures of $T=330$ and 340 K. The results presented here are the average values over the two temperatures.

3 Results

3.1 Structural properties

3.1.1 Area per lipid and condensing effect

One of the most commonly calculated parameters in lipid bilayer systems is the area per lipid. This quantity estimates how much space is occupied by sterols among the lipid molecules, i.e. the sterols condensing effect (see Methods section). In pure DPPC bilayer, this quantity readily gives the area of DPPC molecules. As the temperature increases there is a considerable change in the area per DPPC in the pure system between $T=310$ and 320 K (Figure 2), meaning that the transition temperature lies in that range. The addition of sterols renders the transition temperature more continuous, shifting the range of the strongest change to higher values, i.e. between 320 and 330 K for the sterol content equal or above 20%. For the systems containing 30% sterols, the transition is clearly continuous. When the sterols are added to the bilayer, they first occupy the voids between the lipid chains and do not change the area per DPPC molecule, reflecting the condensing effect. At some point, the addition of more sterols increases the area per lipid and the spacing effect induced by more sterol molecules dominates the condensing effect. Interestingly, above the phase transition the addition of cholesterol does not change the area per DPPC up to 20% mole fraction, while this is not the case for ergosterol (Figure 2). These results indicate that cholesterol has a higher ability to condense the bilayer than ergosterol, and therefore, ergosterol helps to keep the membrane more fluid. The comparative condensing effect of the sterols can also be observed in the radial distribution function of the lipid chains (Figure S2).

3.1.2 DPPC order parameter

Another extensively used parameter to inspect the structural properties of the lipid bilayers is the order parameter. It is closely related to the condensing effect since more ordered chains leave less space in the bilayer.

As described in the Methods section, we have suggested a modified definition for the estimation of the order parameter by considering the collective tilt vector of the DPPC molecules (Figure 3). The results of the modified order parameter is shown in Figure 4a, and the results without this modification are depicted in Figure S3. In the gel phase, i.e. below the phase transition, the pure DPPC bilayer represents the highest order and the addition of sterols slightly decreases the order of the lipid chains (Figure 4a). As a much stronger effect, the addition of sterols dramatically decreases the collective tilt angle (Figure 3). Again here the impact of cholesterol is much stronger. Above the transition temperature, however, the addition of sterols considerably increases the order of the lipid chains. This increasing order also gives rise to an increase in membrane thickness




Figure 2: Area per DPPC molecules as a function of the sterol mole fraction in the temperature range of $T=310-340$ K.




Figure 3: The temperature dependence of the average tilt angle of the DPPC molecules with respect to the membrane normal for the pure DPPC bilayers as well as the binary systems is shown. To calculate this angle, the vector connecting the first and the last carbon atom of each chain is averaged over all the DPPC molecules. In the inset of panel (b) the snapshot of the lipid bilayer with 10% sterol at $T = 290$ K is represented. The average tilt vector of the DPPC molecules for the upper layer is schematically represented via a red vector, which defines the angle of α with the z -axis.

according to the electron density profiles (Figure S4). These results reflect the dual nature of sterols, discussed in the Introduction.




Figure 4: The order parameter of the DPPC and sterols as well as the distribution of the cosine of the tilt angle of sterols. (a) Temperature dependence of the average order parameter of DPPC molecules at different mole fraction of sterols. Due to the asymmetric distributions of the order parameter, the median has been used instead of the average values. (b) Temperature dependence of the average order parameter of the sterol molecules for the planar part of the sterols. The collective tilt angle of DPPC molecules have been taken as the reference instead of membrane normal (z-axis). Distribution of the cosine of the tilt angle of the sterols for the simulations performed at T=300 K (c) and T=330 K (d) is shown. The tilt angle is calculated as the angle between the z-axis and the vector defined by C3 and C17 atoms of the planar part

3.1.3 Sterols order parameter and tilt angle

Of key relevance is the orientational behavior of sterols since their tilt angle is commonly attributed to the ability of ordering the surrounding lipid chains. We proceeded in full analogy to the case of DPPC orientation (see Methods section). Furthermore, for a better comparison with the DPPC orientation we expressed the orientation of the cholesterol molecules in terms of an order parameter rather than a tilt angle.

The comparison of DPPC (Figure 4a) and sterol behavior (Figure 4b and Figure S5) with respect to the temperature and concentration dependent order parameter indicates that except for the absolute values all the three graphs display a similar temperature and concentration dependence. In the low-temperature phase, the order parameter only weakly depends on temperature or concentration.

Above approximately 320 K no collective tilt angle is observed any more and all order parameters decrease with increasing temperature. The order parameter of the planar part is considerably higher as compared to the DPPC chains

(Figure 4b). The order parameter of the tail is lower than the order of the planar part due to its additional flexibility (Figure S5). This suggests that the planar part is of key relevance for the generation of order even at high temperatures. When comparing cholesterol with ergosterol, both in the low- and high-temperature phase, cholesterol is more efficient in aligning DPPC.

A few generic conditions are required for the ring system to generate such a high order parameter. It needs to be (1) much larger than C-C-C segments of the flexible chains of DPPC, which defines the order parameter of a local chain segment, (2) relatively rigid, (3) and sufficiently planar. Then it is less affected by the fluctuations of the surrounding lipid chains, and consequently, the order parameter assigned to the planar part of sterols may display higher values compared to the lipid chains.

Going beyond the description via the order parameter of sterols, it is also instructive to analyze the whole distribution of tilt angles as can be frequently found in literature; see, e.g., ref. [70]. Here we have binned the distribution with respect to cosine of the tilt angle rather than the tilt angle itself (see Methods section). The distribution below the phase transition basically represents the DPPC tilt angle and the high peak around one for 30% sterol shows that for high sterol content the sterols tend to align the DPPC molecules along the membrane normal (Figure 4c). Above the phase transition, the sterols have a strong tendency to align parallel to the membrane normal, apart from the fluctuations, for all the sterol concentrations with the strongest effect in systems with 30% sterol (Figure 4d). Consistent with the results based on the order parameter, the distribution is more centered for cholesterol than for ergosterol so that the resulting order parameter is larger for cholesterol.

3.1.4 Planar part of sterols

So far we have observed the very strong alignment of the planar region of the sterol molecules, suggesting a primary cause for ordering effects in the bilayer, and the higher efficiency of cholesterol as compared to ergosterol. Here we ask whether one can identify a structural difference between cholesterol and ergosterol which is responsible for this behavior. For this purpose we study the planarity of the ring system of sterols (see Methods section). It turns out that cholesterol is more planar (Figure 5b), displaying values around 14 Å and 9 Å for ergosterol and cholesterol, respectively. Thus, cholesterol is by far more planar than ergosterol. In order to check whether the planarity of the sterols is modified by the lipid environment, we performed the MD simulations of the individual sterols in vacuum (Figure S6) and calculated their planarity. We obtained the values of 8.7 ± 0.7 and 5.8 ± 0.7 Å for ergosterol and cholesterol, respectively. This shows that in vacuum the planar part is flatter. Thus, in the membrane environment the planarity is reduced due to the interaction with the lipids as well as the sterol content. However, the relative planarity of the two sterol molecules remains the same in vacuum and membrane environment. Furthermore, it turns out that the planarity is not a local effect but rather a global one as it does not change with the number of sterol neighbors (Figure S7).

We note further that the different degree of planarity also translates into different orientation of the methyl groups (see Methods section, "Orientation of Methyl groups" in SI and Figure S8). Furthermore, since rigidity of the sterols




Figure 5: Planarity of the sterols. (a) A cholesterol molecule is depicted with a schematic representation of an optimum plane aligned to its ring part. (b) The sum of the distances of all the carbon atoms of the sterol planar part from the aligned plane.

is mainly attributed to the ordering effect, we calculated the root-mean-squared deviation (RMSD) for the planar part (see "Flexibility of the sterols" in SI and Figure S9). The RMSD for ergosterol is lower with respect to cholesterol, which is expected due to the extra double bond in this region of ergosterol.

3.1.5 Anisotropic DPPC-sterol structure

The differences in the structural properties of the sterol molecules discussed in the previous section can have a significant impact on the distribution of the lipid chains on the two sides of the sterols. To probe this, we calculated the average 2D density maps of the carbon atoms of the DPPC chains around all the sterol molecules (see Methods section). The density maps shown here are related to the systems with 20% sterol at $T=330$ K (Figure 6).

For the case of cholesterol, the RDF profiles based on the density map show that the packing of the carbon atoms are not the same for the smooth ($0 < \phi < 180^\circ$) and the rough side ($180^\circ < \phi < 360^\circ$) (Figure 6a,b), and the peaks are located at closer distances for the smooth side as compared to the rough side. This means that the smooth side is more densely packed with carbon atoms than the rough side. Furthermore, the number of neighbors in the first neighbor shell on the rough side is $\sim 3\%$ higher than the smooth side. For the case of ergosterol, however, almost no difference is observed between the average packing of the lipids on the two sides (Figure 6e), which is similar to the rough side of cholesterol (Figure 6c). This implies that the smooth side of ergosterol is less densely populated by the carbon atoms of the acyl chains compared to the case of cholesterol. Consistent with the RDF profiles, the shells around ergosterol have a more circular shape, compared to a more triangular shape around cholesterol.

Focusing on the first shell, we monitored the density as a function of ϕ , by considering an annulus around the sterol with the radius $3.5 < r < 7.0$ Å. (Fig-




Figure 6: The 2D density maps of the superposition of the carbon atoms of the DPPC chains around all the sterol molecule in the simulated systems with 20% sterol at $T=330$ K. The 2D density maps of the carbon atoms are shown, respectively for DPPC/Erg (a) and DPPC/Chol (d) systems. The RDF profiles based on the 2D densities for the smooth (blue) and rough (red) side for cholesterol (b) and ergosterol (e) are plotted. The variation of density for the first coordination shell ($3.5 < r < 7.0$ Å) along different angles is represented for cholesterol (c) and ergosterol (f). The density plots were produced by superposition of the carbon atoms of the DPPC chains around each sterol molecule over the trajectory, rotated and translated with respect to the frame of reference defined by the coordinates of the planar part of a specific sterol in the first configuration.

ure 6c,f). On the smooth side of ergosterol, a minimum is observed, corresponding to $\phi \approx 45^\circ$, where the other shells are less structured, while this is not the case for cholesterol. On the rough side, the distribution is more homogeneous for ergosterol, whereas the peaks on the rough side of cholesterol are more pronounced, particularly the one located at $\phi \approx 290^\circ$ (Figure 6f).

3.2 Fluidity of the lipids

As noted in the Introduction, the addition of sterols within the lipid chains modifies the fluidity of membranes and the lipid dynamics. To quantify this effect, we calculated the mean-squared displacement (MSD) of the lipids at varying temperatures and concentrations. In Figure S10a, the MSD for $T=330$ K is represented at different concentration of sterols. The results show that at this temperature, which is above the phase transition, the lipid molecules can reach the diffusive regime during the simulation time scale, while below the phase transition ($T \leq 310$ K) they behave in a sub-diffusive manner (Figure S10a). The sub-diffusive behavior at low temperatures is the consequence of the gel phase, in which the movement of lipids is restricted and the fluidity




Figure 7: The temperature dependence of the average lateral MSD of the DPPC molecules for the pure DPPC bilayer as well as the bilayers with different sterol content is represented. (inset) The MSD values for the DPPC molecules in the DPPC/sterol systems at different mole fractions of sterols are shown for the simulations performed at $T=330$ K. For all the data points, first the MSD values were calculated by comparing the configurations from the beginning of the production run with all the configurations between 100 ns and 150 ns of the trajectory, and then the average over all these values was reported. The error bars are standard deviations, which were computed by dividing the data set obtained from this trajectory slice into two parts.

of the membrane is low. Furthermore, the addition of sterols to the pure bilayer reduces the fluidity of the membrane above the phase transition (Figure S10b). In order to represent and compare the mobility of the lipids over the whole concentration and temperature range, we looked at the average MSD values within a given time scale of the trajectory, i.e. between 100 and 150 ns. Interestingly, incorporating more sterols decreases the fluidity above the phase transition, whereas in the gel phase, they induce more fluidity in the membrane (Figure 7). Similar MSD values were also observed for the sterol molecules (Figure S11). Moreover, the comparison of the two sterols indicates that the effect of cholesterol on the mobility of the DPPC molecules is stronger for the systems with 30% sterol above the phase transitions, where the lipid molecules are in the diffusive regime (Figure 7inset). This is also reflected in the mobility of the sterol molecules (Figure S11inset). Surprisingly, however, the effect of cholesterol is lower in the low-temperature regime. This is the only case among all the studied quantities, where the effect of cholesterol is lower as compared to ergosterol.

3.3 Intermolecular interactions

In order to better understand the lipid-lipid interactions at the molecular level, we estimated the average pair interaction energy, i.e. enthalpy, as a function




Figure 8: (a) The average DPPC-DPPC interaction energy as a function of the average order parameter of the DPPC molecules in each pair. (b) The average DPPC-sterol interaction energy as a function of the order parameter of the DPPC molecule in each pair. For both pair interaction energies, the averages are taken over the two simulations performed at $T=330$ and 340 K in the bilayers with 30% sterol. For the calculation of the average values and the error bars, the data for the two temperatures have been combined and the block analysis have been performed, as explained in the Methods section.

of the average order parameter of the respective pairs (see Methods section). This quantity measures the vdW and electrostatic energy contributions of the lipid pairs in the DPPC/sterol systems. The DPPC-DPPC interaction energies for both systems decrease with the increase in order parameter, meaning that the energy is more favorable at higher order parameters (Figure 8a,b). Indeed, for higher order parameter the chains adjust themselves in a way that optimal vdW interaction is fulfilled, while for the disordered chains, only a few carbon atoms manage to displace in optimum positions. A similar behavior has been observed in a previous work [71]. It has been also shown that the resulting order parameters result from an interplay of these enthalpic effects and the chain entropy [71].

When discussing the impact of sterol molecules on the enthalpic interactions, we first observe that the DPPC-DPPC interaction, although somewhat influenced by the presence of sterol molecules (data not shown), does not distinguish between the sterols (Figure 8a). In contrast, the DPPC-sterol interaction (Figure 8b) is significantly stronger for the case of cholesterol in the relevant range of order parameters around 0.6 whereas it is the same for order parameters around 0.4. As a consequence the presence of cholesterol may drive the saturated lipid to acquire higher order parameter. This result is likely due to the more planar structure of cholesterol when compared to ergosterol. From an enthalpic point of view a higher degree of planarity becomes particularly relevant for the interaction with relatively ordered carbon chains.

4 Discussion

It is well established that sterols impact the order of surrounding acyl chains in lipid bilayers. However, despite numerous studies using both experimental and theoretical approaches the molecular mechanisms of how sterols achieve their

effect on lipid ordering is still not fully understood. In the present work we used atomistic molecular dynamics simulations to shed additional light on the effects of cholesterol and ergosterol on bilayers with the saturated DPPC lipid.

We initially characterized the directional ordering of DPPC and sterol molecules as well as the alignment of sterols in the membrane. For this purpose, we have performed the analysis somewhat different from what has been usually practiced in previous studies: Firstly, to allow temperature-independent analyses of the ordering effect, it was critical to use the collective tilt angle of the DPPC molecules as a reference instead of the membrane normal. This was necessary as the whole membrane is tilted below the phase transition. Secondly, to better characterize the alignment of sterols in the membrane, we presented the distribution of the cosine of the tilt angle instead of the tilt angle itself. Indeed, the distribution of tilt angles, often reported in previous publications [14, 15, 49, 50, 69], displays a finite maximum, while it additionally contains the dependence of tilt angle on the size of the phase space, i.e. the sine of the tilt angle, and thus, does not very well represent the strong tendency of sterols for parallel orientation to the membrane. Therefore, in order to take out this factor we suggest for the first time to use the distribution of the cosine of tilt angle. Accordingly, our simulations show that from an enthalpic perspective the most favorable configuration for sterols is a perfectly parallel alignment in the respective coordinate frame. As a consequence, for all temperatures and sterol concentrations the order of the planar part of the sterol molecules is surprisingly much higher than the order of the DPPC molecules. This interesting observation is due to the combined features of high planarity, rigidity and size of the ring system, which renders the sterols such a high order parameter, and as a result, high ordering capability to align the less ordered surrounding lipid chains. The lower order parameter of lipid acyl chains is naturally due to their considerably more flexible structure as compared to the sterols. Furthermore, the clear increase of ordering effect with the rise in sterol concentration as well as the strong alignment of the sterols planar part in the membrane with highest sterol content implies a significant collective effect of sterols. These observations suggest that the ordering of the lipid tails of phospholipids are largely influenced by the sterol properties due to the large tendency for sterol ordering, in particular for higher sterol concentrations. This perspective somewhat contrasts the interpretation in ref. [50] where an opposite perspective is formulated, i.e. the orientation of sterols are determined by the lipid tails.

The relevance of the ring region has been stressed previously. It was suggested that the presence of at least one double bond in the ring was required to mediate planarity and thereby generate lipid order. The sterol coprosterol does not have any double bond in its ring and is very inefficient in membrane ordering compared to cholesterol [23, 35]. Consistently, ab-initio calculations predicted a boat-shape structure far from a flat molecule for this sterol [37]. There is, however, a debate on whether the presence of an extra double bond in this region results in a more or less efficient sterol molecule [20, 23, 35, 37]. 7DHC, which has an extra double bond in its ring, has been shown to have lower lipid ordering effects compared to cholesterol [20, 35, 72–74]. While in one study ergosterol showed higher ordering capacity compared to cholesterol and 7DHC [23], in liposomes containing DPPC/sterols, the condensing effect of ergosterol and 7DHC was found to be lower than cholesterol [20]. The discrepancy between those results has so far not been conclusively clarified.

In this work cholesterol clearly displayed a higher ordering tendency than ergosterol with all analyzed parameters. We further showed that the additional double bond in the ergosterol ring confers a higher rigidity to ergosterol, as it is expected. On the other hand, our simulations have clearly shown that the cholesterol ring region displays a more planar structure - explaining its increased ordering effect. As a consequence of different planarity, the orientation of the methyl groups that reach out of the planar ring is more distinct for ergosterol, which results in a more symmetrical distribution and similar average packing of lipids on the two sides of the ergosterol ring. For cholesterol, however, we observed tighter and more ordered packing of lipids on the smooth ring side. These results are consistent with previous studies, suggesting that the smooth side of cholesterol promotes order more than its rough side and that the asymmetric packing on the two sides of cholesterol is essential for its efficiency [13, 41, 75–77].

Naturally, a lower planarity, which resulted in a less dense packing of the lipid chains on the smooth side and similar packing of the lipid chains around ergosterol, may give rise to weaker van der Waals interactions, and thus, explain the weaker impact of ergosterol. This effect was quantified in this work by the systematic analysis of the interaction energies of nearest neighbors, showing that the DPPC-cholesterol interaction is particularly strong for DPPC with high order parameters. Consistently, in a Langmuir monolayer study the excess free energy of mixing measurements revealed attractive interaction of cholesterol with DPPC, while the interaction with ergosterol was found to be less favorable [78].

Concerning mobility, our MD simulations showed the dual nature of sterols, i.e. fluidizing membranes in the gel phase and rigidifying it above the transition temperature, and that this effect becomes stronger as the sterol content is increased. This effect has been previously reported in experiments [22–24]. With regard to the respective effect of the two sterols on fluidity, and in agreement with the presented results, in one MD simulation study a higher diffusion coefficient was reported for ergosterol than cholesterol [49]. This result is also in accordance with the fluorescence experiments, showing that the effect of ergosterol is less pronounced both in rigidifying fluid membranes and fluidizing gel phase membranes [79]. Consistently, in an experiment on the binary and ternary systems including DPPC and sterols fluidity measurements have shown a stronger impact of cholesterol [39, 44]. In general, this observation is in accord with the respective phase diagrams of cholesterol and ergosterol, which imply that a higher mole fraction of ergosterol is required in order to generate the L_o phase and to be as effective as cholesterol [22].

We would also like to stress that the structural differences are of intramolecular character, and thus, should be transferable to other saturated lipids. This has been shown in some studies, in which both DPPC and DMPC have shown systematic behavior [41, 51]. Furthermore, as mentioned in Introduction, a considerable number of experimental and a few theoretical studies have used DPPC monolayers or bilayers as a model membrane to compare the relative effects of sterols, and therefore, we also used the saturated DPPC bilayer in order to compare with those studies.

The analysis of our work was performed with the latest version of CHARMM, a well-accepted force field for bio-simulations [80]. The discrepancy of the presented results with the previous simulation studies, which have used similar

membrane types, might be not only due to the use of older versions of the timely available force fields but is also due to their relatively more limited system size and simulation time [14, 15]. On the other hand, in a recent study discrepancies were reported when comparing MD simulation of individual sterol molecules in vacuum, using CHARMM force field, with ab-initio calculations [37]. It was suggested that the force fields should be improved in particular for ergosterol. Nevertheless, we would like to stress that this type of comparison requires careful interpretation since finally the quality of the force fields should be judged based on the features of the entire system rather than the single molecules. For instance, we observed in our simulations that the degree of planarity was nearly half smaller for both sterols in vacuum simulations. Furthermore, one always has to keep in mind that there is no perfect force field and improvement is always possible. Independent of the specific force field, used in our work, the results of our systematic analysis suggest that the planar part of a sterol molecule has a key impact on the membrane properties.

Proteins and lipids in the fungal PM have been shown to exhibit unusually slow mobility [55, 81]. We had therefore initially set out to better understand the membrane ordering effects of the fungal-specific ergosterol to possibly explain these observations. However, our results clearly show that ergosterol behaves comparably or in most cases even weaker than cholesterol regarding the ordering of saturated acyl chains, such as the tested DPPC. It is therefore unlikely, that ergosterol directly contributes to increased order of fungal PM. An alternative hypothesis for the generation of a gel-like fungal membranes has recently been put forward, where complex sphingolipids in the extracellular leaflet of the PM are responsible for the formation of tightly packed domains that are devoid of sterols [82]. Ergosterol, which has been reported to be enriched on the cytosolic leaflet [46], would then rather be enriched in liquid ordered regions of the PM that intersperse with the gel domains and have no critical role in generating increased order [40]. It remains to be determined, whether ergosterol has stronger or weaker interactions with sphingolipid domains in the opposing leaflet [83]. Interestingly, sphingolipids have co-evolved with sterols and are quite distinct between fungal and animal kingdoms, indicating an optimized interplay between those two lipid classes [84, 85]. Instead of a specialization of ergosterol for a high order of membranes, for which the planarity of the sterol plays an essential role, the additional double bonds in the sterol could also help to generate higher resistance to oxidative damage. This is particularly critical for fungal cells, which live at the interface between air and water and frequently encounter phases of drying [85].

5 Conclusions

In summary, the results presented in this simulation work highlight the stronger impact of cholesterol over ergosterol in the DPPC binary bilayers in terms of ordering capacity, condensing effect, lateral diffusion and DPPC-sterol interaction. From a detailed analysis of different ordering effects for a range of temperatures and concentrations we concluded that the ring area of sterols has a high intrinsic tendency for alignment along the membrane normal due to collective effects. This rationalizes the dual nature of sterols and identifies the ring area as a key structural feature. As a consequence, the more planar structure of cholesterol as

compared to ergosterol results in stronger effects of cholesterol on the properties of DPPC. The outcomes of the present work are relevant to better understand the organization of saturated lipids by sterols in lipid bilayers. Our results also argue against a role for ergosterol in the generation of high lateral order that is typically observed in the fungal PM.

Author Contributions

AA, FK, and AH conceived and designed the analysis. AA performed the computer simulations and the subsequent analysis. RWS worked out the biochemical relevance. AA and FK wrote the analysis tools. AA wrote the initial version of the manuscript. To the final version there were additional contributions from AH, RWS and FK.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge some initial contributions by M. Lütgehermöller, and the financial support by the German Science Foundation (DFG) via SFB 1348 (to AH and RWS) and SFB 944 (to RWS).

References

- [1] Nikola S Mueller, Roland Wedlich-Söldner, and Felix Spira. From mosaic to patchwork: Matching lipids and proteins in membrane organization. *Molecular Membrane Biology*, 29(5):186–196, aug 2012. ISSN 0968-7688. doi: 10.3109/09687688.2012.687461. URL <https://doi.org/10.3109/09687688.2012.687461>.
- [2] H Jelger Risselada and Siewert J Marrink. The molecular face of lipid rafts in model membranes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(45):17367 LP – 17372, nov 2008. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0807527105. URL <http://www.pnas.org/content/105/45/17367.abstract>.
- [3] Clare L Armstrong, Drew Marquardt, Hannah Dies, Norbert Kučerka, Zahra Yamani, Thad A Harroun, John Katsaras, An-Chang Shi, and Maikel C Rheinstädter. The Observation of Highly Ordered Domains in Membranes with Cholesterol. *PLOS ONE*, 8(6):1–10, 2013. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0066162. URL <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066162>.
- [4] Koji Ogata, Waka Uchida, and Shinichiro Nakamura. Understanding thermal phases in atomic detail by all-atom molecular-dynamics simulation of a phospholipid bilayer. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 118(49):14353–14365, 2014. ISSN 15205207. doi: 10.1021/jp504684h.
- [5] Ling Miao, Morten Nielsen, Jenifer Thewalt, John H. Ipsen, Myer Bloom, Martin J. Zuckermann, and Ole G. Mouritsen. From lanosterol to cholesterol: Structural evolution and differential effects on lipid bilayers. *Biophysical Journal*, 2002. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75497-0.
- [6] Mary Elizabeth Beattie, Sarah L. Veatch, Benjamin L. Stottrup, and Sarah L. Keller. Sterol structure determines miscibility versus melting transitions in lipid vesicles. *Biophysical Journal*, 2005. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.104.049635.
- [7] Isabelle Fournier, Joanna Barwicz, Michèle Auger, and Pierre Tancredé. The chain conformational order of ergosterol- or cholesterol-containing DPPC bilayers as modulated by Amphotericin B: a FTIR study. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, 2008. ISSN 00093084. doi: 10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2007.09.006.
- [8] Daniel Allende, Adriana Vidal, Sidney A. Simon, and Thomas J. McIntosh. Bilayer interfacial properties modulate the binding of amphipathic peptides. In *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, 2003. doi: 10.1016/S0009-3084(02)00179-2.
- [9] A Finkelstein and R Holz. Aqueous pores created in thin lipid membranes by the polyene antibiotics nystatin and amphotericin B. *Membranes*, 2: 377–408, 1973. ISSN 0076-6356. URL <http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/4585230>.
- [10] T. E. Andreoli. On the anatomy of amphotericin B cholesterol pores in lipid bilayer membranes. *Kidney International*, 1973. ISSN 00852538. doi: 10.1038/ki.1973.126.

- [11] B. De Kruijff and R. A. Demel. Polyene antibiotic-sterol interactions in membranes of *Acholeplasma laidlawii* cells and lecithin liposomes. III. Molecular structure of the polyene antibiotic-cholesterol complexes. *BBA - Biomembranes*, 1974. ISSN 00052736. doi: 10.1016/0005-2736(74)90332-0.
- [12] Harden M. McConnell and Arun Radhakrishnan. Condensed complexes of cholesterol and phospholipids. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, 1610(2):159–173, mar 2003. ISSN 0005-2736. doi: 10.1016/S0005-2736(03)00015-4. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005273603000154?via=ihub>.
- [13] Philip L Yeagle. Cholesterol and the cell membrane. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Biomembranes*, 822(3):267–287, 1985. ISSN 0304-4157. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4157\(85\)90011-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4157(85)90011-5). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0304415785900115>.
- [14] Jacek Czub and Maciej Baginski. Comparative molecular dynamics study of lipid membranes containing cholesterol and ergosterol. *Biophys. J.*, 90(7):2368–2382, apr 2006. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.105.072801. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16399829><http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC1403193><http://dx.doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.105.072801>.
- [15] Zoe Cournia, G Matthias Ullmann, and Jeremy C Smith. Differential effects of cholesterol, ergosterol and lanosterol on a dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine membrane: A molecular dynamics simulation study. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 111(7):1786–1801, 2007. ISSN 15206106. doi: 10.1021/jp065172i. URL <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp065172i>.
- [16] Wei Chin Hung, Ming Tao Lee, Fang Yu Chen, and Huey W. Huang. The condensing effect of cholesterol in lipid bilayers. *Biophysical Journal*, 2007. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.106.099234.
- [17] Jeremy Pencer, Mu Ping Nieh, Thad A. Harroun, Susan Krueger, Carl Adams, and John Katsaras. Bilayer thickness and thermal response of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine unilamellar vesicles containing cholesterol, ergosterol and lanosterol: A small-angle neutron scattering study. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Biomembranes*, 2005. ISSN 00052736. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2005.10.017.
- [18] Yunlan Su, Qingzhong Li, Lin Chen, and Zhiwu Yu. Condensation effect of cholesterol, stigmasterol, and sitosterol on dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine in molecular monolayers. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 2007. ISSN 09277757. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2006.07.016.
- [19] Tomasz Róg, Marta Pasenkiewicz-Gierula, Ilpo Vattulainen, and Mikko Karttunen. Ordering effects of cholesterol and its analogues, jan 2009. ISSN 00052736. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005273608002721>.

- [20] Changfeng Chen and Carl P Tripp. A comparison of the behavior of cholesterol, 7-dehydrocholesterol and ergosterol in phospholipid membranes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Biomembr.*, 1818(7):1673–1681, jul 2012. ISSN 00052736. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2012.03.009. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005273612000892{#}f0005>.
- [21] Wei Chin Hung, Ming Tao Lee, Hsien Chung, Yi Ting Sun, Hsiung Chen, Nicholas E Charron, and Huey W Huang. Comparative Study of the Condensing Effects of Ergosterol and Cholesterol. *Biophys. J.*, 110(9):2026–2033, 2016. ISSN 15420086. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2016.04.003. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006349516301527>.
- [22] Ya Wei Hsueh, Kyle Gilbert, C. Trandum, M. Zuckermann, and Jenifer Thewalt. The effect of ergosterol on dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine bilayers: A deuterium NMR and calorimetric study. *Biophysical Journal*, 88(3):1799–1808, 2005. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.104.051375.
- [23] C. Bernsdorff and R. Winter. Differential Properties of the Sterols Cholesterol, Ergosterol, β -Sitosterol, trans-7-Dehydrocholesterol, Stigmasterol and Lanosterol on DPPC Bilayer Order. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 107(38):10658–10664, aug 2003. doi: 10.1021/jp034922a.
- [24] Erick J. Dufourc. Sterols and membrane dynamics. *Journal of Chemical Biology*, 1(1-4):63–77, 2008. ISSN 1864-6158. doi: 10.1007/s12154-008-0010-6.
- [25] Paulo F. F. Almeida, Winchil L. C. Vaz, and T. E. Thompson. Lateral diffusion in the liquid phases of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine/cholesterol lipid bilayers: a free volume analysis. *Biochemistry*, 31(29):6739–6747, may 2002. doi: 10.1021/bi00144a013. URL <https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines>.
- [26] Christofer Hofsäb, Erik Lindahl, and Olle Edholm. Molecular dynamics simulations of phospholipid bilayers with cholesterol. *Biophysical Journal*, 2003. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(03)75025-5.
- [27] Bilkiss B. Issack and Gilles H. Peslherbe. Effects of Cholesterol on the Thermodynamics and Kinetics of Passive Transport of Water through Lipid Membranes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 119(29):9391–9400, 2015. ISSN 15205207. doi: 10.1021/jp510497r.
- [28] Andrey Filippov, Greger Oraedd, and Goeran Lindblom. Lipid lateral diffusion in ordered and disordered phases in raft mixtures. *Biophysical journal*, 86 2:891–896, 2004.
- [29] E. Sackmann. Biological membranes architecture and function. pages 1–64. Elsevier B.V, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [30] L K Li, L So, and A Spector. Membrane cholesterol and phospholipid in consecutive concentric sections of human lenses. *Journal of Lipid Research*, 26(5):600–609, may 1985. URL <http://www.jlr.org/content/26/5/600.abstract>.

- [31] Shu-Lin Liu, Ren Sheng, Jae Hun Jung, Li Wang, Ewa Stec, Matthew J O'Connor, Seohyeon Song, Rama Kamesh Bikkavilli, Robert A Winn, Dae-sung Lee, Kwanghee Baek, Kazumitsu Ueda, Irena Levitan, Kwang-Pyo Kim, and Wonhwa Cho. Orthogonal lipid sensors identify transbilayer asymmetry of plasma membrane cholesterol. *Nature chemical biology*, 13 (3):268–274, mar 2017. ISSN 1552-4469. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.2268. URL <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28024150><https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5912897/>.
- [32] Kevin C Courtney, Karen Yy Fung, Frederick R Maxfield, Gregory D Fairn, and Xiaohui Zha. Comment on 'Orthogonal lipid sensors identify transbilayer asymmetry of plasma membrane cholesterol'. *eLife*, 7:e38493, nov 2018. ISSN 2050-084X. doi: 10.7554/eLife.38493. URL <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30422112><https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6257810/>.
- [33] K C Courtney, W Pezeshkian, R Raghupathy, C Zhang, A Darbyson, J H Ipsen, D A Ford, H Khandelia, J F Presley, and X Zha. C24 Sphingolipids Govern the Transbilayer Asymmetry of Cholesterol and Lateral Organization of Model and Live-Cell Plasma Membranes. *Cell Reports*, 24(4): 1037–1049, 2018. ISSN 2211-1247. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.104>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2211124718310465>.
- [34] Xiaolian Xu and Erwin London. The Effect of Sterol Structure on Membrane Lipid Domains Reveals How Cholesterol Can Induce Lipid Domain Formation. *Biochemistry*, 39(5):843–849, jan 2000. doi: 10.1021/bi992543v.
- [35] Xiaolian Xu, Robert Bittman, Guy Duportail, Denis Heissler, and Catherine Vilcheze. Effect of the structure of natural sterols and sphingolipids on the formation of ordered sphingolipid/sterol domains (rafts). Comparison of cholesterol to plant, fungal, and disease-associated sterols and comparison of sphingomyelin, cerebrosides, and cer. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 276(36):33540–33546, sep 2001. ISSN 0021-9258 (Print). doi: 10.1074/jbc.M104776200.
- [36] Mariusz Baran and Jan Mazerski. Comparative molecular modelling of biologically active sterols. *Journal of Molecular Structure*, 2015. ISSN 00222860. doi: 10.1016/j.molstruc.2014.12.066.
- [37] Arturo Galván-Hernández, Naritaka Kobayashi, Jorge Hernández-Cobos, Armando Antillón, Seiichiro Nakabayashi, and Iván Ortega-Blake. Morphology and dynamics of domains in ergosterol or cholesterol containing membranes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, 1862 (2):183101, feb 2020. ISSN 0005-2736. doi: 10.1016/J.BBAMEM.2019.183101. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005273619302470?via=ihub>.
- [38] William R Nes and Margaret Lee. McKean. Biochemistry of steroids and other isopentenoids, 1977.

- [39] Tham Thi Bui, Keishi Suga, and Hiroshi Umakoshi. Ergosterol-Induced Ordered Phase in Ternary Lipid Mixture Systems of Unsaturated and Saturated Phospholipid Membranes. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 123(29):6161–6168, 2019. ISSN 1520-6106. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b03413.
- [40] Alena Khmelinskaia, Joaquim M T Marquês, André E P Bastos, Catarina A C Antunes, Andreia Bento-Oliveira, Silvia Scolari, Gerson M da S Lobo, Rui Malhó, Andreas Herrmann, H Susana Marinho, and Rodrigo F M de Almeida. Liquid-Ordered Phase Formation by Mammalian and Yeast Sterols: A Common Feature With Organizational Differences. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 8:337, 2020. ISSN 2296-634X. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.00337. URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fcell.2020.00337>.
- [41] Julio A Urbina, Sara Pekerar, Hong biao Le, Jessica Patterson, Bernard Montez, and Eric Oldfield. Molecular order and dynamics of phosphatidylcholine bilayer membranes in the presence of cholesterol, ergosterol and lanosterol: a comparative study using ²H-, ¹³C- and ³¹P-NMR spectroscopy. *BBA - Biomembr.*, 1238(2):163–176, sep 1995. ISSN 00052736. doi: 10.1016/0005-2736(95)00117-L. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000527369500117L>.
- [42] J A Urbina, B Moreno, W Arnold, C H Taron, P Orlean, and E Oldfield. A carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic study of inter-proton pair order parameters: a new approach to study order and dynamics in phospholipid membrane systems. *Biophysical journal*, 75(3):1372–1383, sep 1998. ISSN 0006-3495. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(98)74055-X. URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9726938><https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1299811/>.
- [43] Vahid Shahedi, Greger Orädd, and Göran Lindblom. Domain-formation in DOPC/SM bilayers studied by pfg-NMR: Effect of sterol structure. *Biophysical Journal*, 91(7):2501–2507, 2006. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.106.085480.
- [44] Tham Thi Bui, Keishi Suga, Tonya L. Kuhl, and Hiroshi Umakoshi. Melting-Temperature-Dependent Interactions of Ergosterol with Unsaturated and Saturated Lipids in Model Membranes. *Langmuir*, 35(32):10640–10647, jul 2019. doi: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b01538.
- [45] M Bagiński, A Tempczyk, and E Borowski. Comparative conformational analysis of cholesterol and ergosterol by molecular mechanics. *Eur. Biophys. J.*, 17(3):159–166, sep 1989. ISSN 01757571. doi: 10.1007/BF00254770. URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00254770>.
- [46] Lukasz M Solanko, David P Sullivan, Yves Y Sere, Maria Szomek, Anita Lunding, Katarzyna A Solanko, Azra Pizovic, Lyubomir D Stanchev, Thomas Günther Pomorski, Anant K Menon, and Daniel Wüstner. Ergosterol is mainly located in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the yeast plasma membrane. *Traffic*, 19(3):198–214, mar 2018. ISSN 1398-9219. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12545>. URL <https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12545>.

- [47] David A. Mannock, Ruthven N.A.H. Lewis, Todd P.W. McMullen, and Ronald N. McElhaney. The effect of variations in phospholipid and sterol structure on the nature of lipid-sterol interactions in lipid bilayer model membranes. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, 163(6):403–448, 2010. ISSN 00093084. doi: 10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2010.03.011. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2010.03.011>.
- [48] Emil Endress, Sybille Bayerl, Katrin Prechtel, Christian Maier, Rudolf Merkel, and Thomas M. Bayerl. The effect of cholesterol, lanosterol, and ergosterol on lecithin bilayer mechanical properties at molecular and microscopic dimensions: A solid-state NMR and micropipet study. *Langmuir*, 18(8):3293–3299, 2002. ISSN 07437463. doi: 10.1021/la011596m.
- [49] Alexander M Smondyrev and Max L Berkowitz. Molecular dynamics simulation of the structure of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine bilayers with cholesterol, ergosterol, and lanosterol. *Biophys. J.*, 80(4):1649–1658, apr 2001. ISSN 00063495. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(01)76137-1. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006349501761371>.
- [50] Viviana Monje-Galvan and Jeffery B Klauda. Two sterols, two bilayers: insights on membrane structure from molecular dynamics. *Mol. Simul.*, 7022(November):1–10, 2017. ISSN 10290435. doi: 10.1080/08927022.2017.1353690. URL <http://doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2017.1353690>.
- [51] Karen Sabatini, Juha Pekka Mattila, and Paavo K J Kinnunen. Interfacial behavior of cholesterol, ergosterol, and lanosterol in mixtures with DPPC and DMPC. *Biophys. J.*, 95(5):2340–2355, sep 2008. ISSN 15420086. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.108.132076. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006349508783826>.
- [52] Joseph B. Lim, Brent Rogaski, and Jeffery B. Klauda. Update of the Cholesterol Force Field Parameters in CHARMM. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 116(1):203–210, dec 2011. doi: 10.1021/jp207925m.
- [53] Jeffery B. Klauda, Richard M. Venable, J. Alfredo Freites, Joseph W. O’Connor, Douglas J. Tobias, Carlos Mondragon-Ramirez, Igor Vorobyov, Alexander D. MacKerell, and Richard W. Pastor. Update of the CHARMM All-Atom Additive Force Field for Lipids: Validation on Six Lipid Types. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 114(23):7830–7843, may 2010. doi: 10.1021/jp101759q.
- [54] Kristyna Pluhackova, Sonja A. Kirsch, Jing Han, Liping Sun, Zhenyan Jiang, Tobias Unruh, and Rainer A. Böckmann. A Critical Comparison of Biomembrane Force Fields: Structure and Dynamics of Model DMPC, POPC, and POPE Bilayers. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 120(16):3888–3903, apr 2016. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b01870.
- [55] Felix Spira, Nikola S. Mueller, Gisela Beck, Philipp Von Olshausen, Joachim Beig, and Roland Wedlich-Söldner. Patchwork organization of the yeast plasma membrane into numerous coexisting domains. *Nature Cell Biology*, 14(6):640–648, 2012. ISSN 14657392. doi: 10.1038/ncb2487. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2487>.

- [56] Sunhwan Jo, Taehoon Kim, Vidyashankara G Iyer, and Wonpil Im. CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user interface for CHARMM. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 29(11):1859–1865, 2008. ISSN 1096-987X. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20945. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20945>.
- [57] Erik Lindahl, Berk Hess, and David van der Spoel. GROMACS 3.0: a package for molecular simulation and trajectory analysis. *Molecular modeling annual*, 7(8):306–317, 2001. ISSN 0948-5023. doi: 10.1007/s008940100045. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s008940100045>.
- [58] David Van Der Spoel, Erik Lindahl, Berk Hess, Gerrit Groenhof, Alan E Mark, and Herman J C Berendsen. GROMACS: Fast, flexible, and free. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 26(16):1701–1718, dec 2005. ISSN 0192-8651. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20291. URL <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20291>.
- [59] Jeffery B. Klauda, Richard M. Venable, J. Alfredo Freites, Joseph W. O'Connor, Douglas J. Tobias, Carlos Mondragon-Ramirez, Igor Vorobyov, Alexander D. MacKerell, and Richard W. Pastor. Update of the CHARMM All-Atom Additive Force Field for Lipids: Validation on Six Lipid Types. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 114(23):7830–7843, may 2010. doi: 10.1021/jp101759q.
- [60] William L Jorgensen, Jayaraman Chandrasekhar, Jeffery D Madura, Roger W Impey, and Michael L Klein. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 79(2):926–935, jul 1983. ISSN 0021-9606. doi: 10.1063/1.445869. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.445869>.
- [61] Ulrich Essmann, Lalith Perera, Max L Berkowitz, Tom Darden, Hsing Lee, and Lee G Pedersen. A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 103(19):8577–8593, nov 1995. ISSN 0021-9606. doi: 10.1063/1.470117. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.470117>.
- [62] M Parrinello and A Rahman. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 52(12):7182–7190, dec 1981. ISSN 0021-8979. doi: 10.1063/1.328693. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.328693>.
- [63] Shuichi Nosé. A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics methods. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 81(1):511–519, 1984. doi: 10.1063/1.447334. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.447334>.
- [64] William G Hoover. Canonical dynamics: Equilibrium phase-space distributions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 31(3):1695–1697, 1985. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695>.
- [65] Berk Hess, Henk Bekker, Herman J C Berendsen, and Johannes G E M Fraaije. LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 18(12):1463–1472, sep 1997. ISSN 0192-8651. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199709)18:12<1463::AID-JCC4>3.0.CO;2-H. URL [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-987X\(199709\)18:12%3C1463::AID-JCC4%3E3.0.COhttp://2-h](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199709)18:12%3C1463::AID-JCC4%3E3.0.COhttp://2-h).

- [66] Naveen Michaud-Agrawal, Elizabeth J Denning, Thomas B Woolf, and Oliver Beckstein. MDAnalysis: A Toolkit for the Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Journal of computational chemistry*, 32(10):2319–2327, jul 2011. ISSN 0192-8651. doi: 10.1002/jcc.21787. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3144279/>.
- [67] Richard J Gowers, Max Linke, Jonathan Barnoud, Tyler J E Reddy, Manuel N Melo, Sean L Seyler, David L Dotson, Sébastien Buchoux, Ian M Kenney, and Oliver Beckstein. MDAnalysis : A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations MDAnalysis. (Scipy): 98–105, 2016.
- [68] William Humphrey, Andrew Dalke, and Klaus Schulten. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of Molecular Graphics*, 14(1):33–38, 1996. ISSN 0263-7855. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0263-7855\(96\)00018-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0263785596000185>.
- [69] George Khelashvili and Daniel Harries. How sterol tilt regulates properties and organization of lipid membranes and membrane insertions. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, 169:113–123, 2013. ISSN 18732941. doi: 10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2012.12.006. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2012.12.006>.
- [70] Jussi Aittoniemi, Tomasz Róg, Perttu Niemelä, Marta Pasenkiewicz-Gierula, Mikko Karttunen, and Ilpo Vattulainen. Tilt: Major factor in sterols’ ordering capability in membranes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 110(51):25562–25564, 2006. ISSN 15206106. doi: 10.1021/jp064931u.
- [71] Davit Hakobyan and Andreas Heuer. Comparing an All-Atom and a Coarse-Grained Description of Lipid Bilayers in Terms of Enthalpies and Entropies: From MD Simulations to 2D Lattice Models. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 15(11):6393–6402, oct 2019. doi: 10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00390.
- [72] Erin E Berring, Kimberly Borrenpohl, Steven J Fliesler, and Alexa Barnoski Serfis. A comparison of the behavior of cholesterol and selected derivatives in mixed sterol–phospholipid Langmuir monolayers: a fluorescence microscopy study. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, 136(1): 1–12, 2005. ISSN 0009-3084. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2005.03.004>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009308405000721>.
- [73] R Kennedy Keller, Thomas P Arnold, and Steven J Fliesler. Formation of 7-dehydrocholesterol-containing membrane rafts in vitro and in vivo, with relevance to the Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome. *Journal of lipid research*, 45(2):347–355, feb 2004. ISSN 0022-2275. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M300232-JLR200. URL <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14594996><https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2851617/>.
- [74] Katja Rebolj, Nataša Poklar Ulrih, Peter Maček, and Kristina Sepčić. Steroid structural requirements for interaction of ostreolysin, a lipid-raft binding cytolysin, with lipid monolayers and bilayers. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, 1758(10):1662–1670,

2006. ISSN 0005-2736. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2006.06.003>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005273606002136>.
- [75] Charles E. Dahl. Effect of sterol structure on acyl chain ordering in phosphatidylcholine vesicles: a deuterium nuclear magnetic resonance and electron spin resonance study. *Biochemistry*, 20(25):7158–7161, may 1981. doi: 10.1021/bi00528a016. URL <https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines>.
- [76] Tomasz Róg and Marta Pasenkiewicz-Gierula. Cholesterol effects on the phospholipid condensation and packing in the bilayer: A molecular simulation study. *FEBS Letters*, 502(1-2):68–71, 2001. ISSN 00145793. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(01)02668-0.
- [77] Hector Martinez-Seara, Tomasz Róg, Mikko Karttunen, Ilpo Vattulainen, and Ramon Reigada. Cholesterol induces specific spatial and orientational order in cholesterol/phospholipid membranes. *PLoS ONE*, 5(6), 2010. ISSN 19326203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011162.
- [78] J. Miñones, S. Pais, J. Miñones, O. Conde, and P. Dynarowicz-Latka. Interactions between membrane sterols and phospholipids in model mammalian and fungi cellular membranes - A Langmuir monolayer study. *Biophysical Chemistry*, 2009. ISSN 03014622. doi: 10.1016/j.bpc.2008.11.011.
- [79] Ajuna Arora, H. Raghuraman, and Amitabha Chattopadhyay. Influence of cholesterol and ergosterol on membrane dynamics: A fluorescence approach. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 318(4): 920–926, 2004. ISSN 0006291X. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.04.118.
- [80] Angelica Sandoval-Perez, Kristyna Pluhackova, and Rainer A. Böckmann. Critical Comparison of Biomembrane Force Fields: Protein–Lipid Interactions at the Membrane Interface. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 13(5):2310–2321, apr 2017. doi: 10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00001.
- [81] Miriam L Greenberg and Daniel Axelrod. Anomalous slow mobility of fluorescent lipid probes in the plasma membrane of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *The Journal of Membrane Biology*, 131(2):115–127, 1993. ISSN 1432-1424. doi: 10.1007/BF02791320. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02791320>.
- [82] Filipa C Santos, Joaquim T Marquês, Andreia Bento-Oliveira, and Rodrigo F M de Almeida. Sphingolipid-enriched domains in fungi. *FEBS Letters*, 594(22):3698–3718, nov 2020. ISSN 0014-5793. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13986>. URL <https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13986>.
- [83] Kasturi Mukhopadhyay, Tulika Prasad, Preeti Saini, Thomas J Pucadyil, Amitabha Chattopadhyay, and Rajendra Prasad. Membrane Sphingolipid-Ergosterol Interactions Are Important Determinants of Multidrug Resistance in *Candida albicans*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 48(5):1778 LP – 1787, may 2004. doi: 10.1128/AAC.48.5.1778-1787.2004. URL <http://aac.asm.org/content/48/5/1778.abstract>.

- [84] Rodrigo F M de Almeida. A route to understanding yeast cellular envelope – plasma membrane lipids interplaying in cell wall integrity. *The FEBS Journal*, 285(13):2402–2404, jul 2018. ISSN 1742-464X. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14526>. URL <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14526>.
- [85] Sebastien Dupont, Guillaume Lemetais, Thierry Ferreira, Philippe Cayot, Patrick Gervais, and Laurent Beney. ERGOSTEROL BIOSYNTHESIS: A FUNGAL PATHWAY FOR LIFE ON LAND? *Evolution*, 66(9):2961–2968, sep 2012. ISSN 0014-3820. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01667.x>. URL <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01667.x>.