DEGREE GAPS FOR MULTIPLIERS AND THE DYNAMICAL ANDRÉ-OORT CONJECTURE

PATRICK INGRAM

ABSTRACT. We demonstrate how recent work of Favre and Gauthier, together with a modification of a result of the author, shows that a family of polynomials with infinitely many post-critically finite specializations cannot have any periodic cycles with multiplier of very low degree, except those which vanish, generalizing results of Baker and DeMarco, Favre and Gauthier, and Ghioca and Ye.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a family of polynomials whose coefficients are rational functions on some curve X/\mathbb{C} . To this family we may assign a measure of complexity of the generic critical orbits, $h_{\text{crit}}(f) \geq 0$, which vanishes just in case the family is isotrivial. In general, if f is non-isotrivial, and if P is periodic for f with multiplier $\lambda_f(P) \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ then we have

$$0 \le \frac{\deg(\lambda_f(P))}{h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f)} \le d - 1$$

and every rational number in this range is thus realized (see below). From recent work of Favre and Gauthier [3], and a modification of contributions of the author [8], we derive the following result, which shows that for families with infinitely many post-critically finite (PCF) specializations (and in contrast with the general case) there is a gap in degrees of multipliers.

Theorem. Let f be a family of polynomials parametrized over a curve X, with a periodic point P of multiplier $\lambda_f(P)$, and suppose that f_t is PCF for infinitely many $t \in X(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\lambda_f(P) = 0$ identically on X or else

$$\deg(\lambda_f(P)) \ge h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f).$$

As an initial case of their dynamical André-Oort conjecture, Baker and De-Marco [1] showed that the curve of cubic polynomials with a fixed point of prescribed multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ contained infinitely many PCF points if and only if $\lambda = 0$; the philosophy is that that a curve in the moduli space of rational functions of a given degree should carry infinitely many PCF points only if it is defined by a condition on critical orbits, and $\lambda = 0$ specifies that a critical point is fixed. This result was generalized to points of period *n* for cubic polynomials independently by Favre and Gauthier [2] and Ghioca and Ye [4]. From the theorem above, and the non-vanishing of $h_{crit}(f)$ for non-isotrivial families, we obtain a generalization of those results.

Corollary. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and let f be a non-isotrivial family of polynomials with a periodic point of constant multiplier λ , parametrized over a curve X. If f_t is PCF for infinitely many $t \in X(\mathbb{C})$, then $\lambda = 0$.

PATRICK INGRAM

The reader will have noted that this corollary generalizes only one direction of the results for cubic polynomials, but it is the non-trivial direction, and in general the converse to the corollary is false.

The theorem is proved by applying the main step in the proof of [3, Theorem G] of Favre and Gauthier (whom the author thanks for comments on an earlier version of this note), which shows that if f has infinitely many PCF specializations, then f has, on the generic fibre and up to a natural equivalence, at most one infinite critical orbit. The author showed in [8] that a non-isotrivial family with a marked periodic point of multiplier λ of sufficiently large degree has at least two independent, infinite critical orbits, but the notion of dependence used there was not the same, and some work is required to combine the results.

2. Local Lemmas

Let X/\mathbb{C} be a curve, assumed without loss of generality to be smooth and projective, and let $|\cdot|$ be an absolute value on $\mathbb{C}(X)$. Other than the trivial absolute value, these all have the form

$$|\xi| = e^{-C \operatorname{ord}_{z=\alpha}(\xi)}$$

for some C > 0 and $\alpha \in X(\mathbb{C})$. We will normalize these by taking C = 1.

Given such an absolute value and given $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}(X)[z]$ and $P \in \mathbb{C}(X)$, we define as usual

$$G_f(P) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d^{-n} \log^+ |f^n(P)|,$$

a limit which always exists, and we will set

$$g_{\rm crit}(f) = \max_{f'(c)=0} G_f(c).$$

It is straightforward to show that $g_{\text{crit}}(f)$ is independent of coordinates, in that if ϕ is an affine transformation,

$$g_{\operatorname{crit}}(\phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi) = g_{\operatorname{crit}}(f).$$

In this section, we will work with polynomials in the following form:

(1)
$$f_{\mathbf{c}}(z) = \frac{1}{d} z^{d} - \frac{1}{d-1} (c_{1} + \dots + c_{d-1}) z^{d-1} + \dots \pm c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{d-1} z$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(-1)^{d-i}}{i} \sigma_{d-i,d-1}(\mathbf{c}) z^{i}$$

as in [6], where $\sigma_{j,k}$ is the fundamental symmetric polynomial of degree k in j variables, but we will see later that this is not a fundamental restriction of attention. Note that $c_1, ..., c_{d-1}$ are the critical points of f_c .

Lemma 1. For $f_{\mathbf{c}}$ as in (1), we have

$$g_{\rm crit}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) = \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|.$$

Proof. This follows from [6], but briefly we have $f_{\mathbf{c}}^n(c_i) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{c}]$ of degree d^n , so $d^{-n}\log^+ |f_{\mathbf{c}}(c_i)| \leq \log^+ ||\mathbf{c}||$, from which $G_{f_{\mathbf{c}}}(c_i) \leq \log^+ ||\mathbf{c}||$, establishing an inequality in one direction.

In the other direction, it is shown in [6] that the homogeneous forms $f_{\mathbf{c}}(c_i)$ (for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$) have no common root, and by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz there is an *i* with $\log ||f_{\mathbf{c}}(c_i)|| = d \log ||\mathbf{c}||$. If $\log ||\mathbf{c}|| > 0$ then by induction we have

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\log^+ |f_{\mathbf{c}}^n(c_i)| = d^n \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|$, giving a bound in the opposite direction. In the case $\log \|\mathbf{c}\| \le 0$ this direction is trivial by the non-negativity of G_f .

The following is a stronger form of [8, Lemma 7].

Lemma 2. If $\log |c_1| < \log ||\mathbf{c}||$, then either $\log^+ ||\mathbf{c}|| = 0$ or else there exists an index $i \neq 1$ with

$$G_{f_{\mathbf{c}}}(c_i) > G_{f_{\mathbf{c}}}(c_1).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\log |c_1| < \log \|\mathbf{c}\|$ and that $\log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\| \neq 0$, or in other words $\log \|\mathbf{c}\| > 0$. Choose $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ so that $\log |c_1| \le (1 - \varepsilon) \log \|\mathbf{c}\|$.

First, note that $f_{\mathbf{c}}(c_1) \in c_1^2 \mathbb{C}[c_1, ..., c_{d-1}]$, and so

$$\log |f_{\mathbf{c}}(c_1)| \le 2 \log |c_1| + (d-2) \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\| \le (d-2\varepsilon) \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|.$$

Now, suppose that $\log |f_{\mathbf{c}}^k(c_1)| \leq (d - 2\varepsilon)d^{k-1}\log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|$. For σ_i the degree-*i* symmetric function in d-1 variables, we then have for i < d-1

$$\log \left| \frac{1}{i} \sigma_{d-i}(c_1, ..., c_{d-1}) (f_{\mathbf{c}}^k(c_1))^i \right| \leq (d-i) \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\| + i \log |f_{\mathbf{c}}^k(c_1)| < (d-i) \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\| + i (d-2\varepsilon) d^{k-1} \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\| < (d-2\varepsilon) d^k \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|$$

just because $1 < (d - 2\varepsilon)d^{k-1}$. So

$$d^{-(k+1)} \log |f_{\mathbf{c}}^{k+1}(c_{1})| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq d-1} \left\{ d \log |f_{\mathbf{c}}^{k}(c_{1})|, \log \left| \frac{1}{i} \sigma_{d-i}(c_{1}, ..., c_{d-1})(f_{\mathbf{c}}^{k}(c_{1}))^{i} \right| \right\} \\ < \left(1 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{d} \right) \log^{+} \|\mathbf{c}\|,$$

and by induction

$$G_{f_{\mathbf{c}}}(c_1) \leq \left(1 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{d}\right)\log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\| < \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|.$$

But we have already seen that there is some index i with $G_{f_{\mathbf{c}}}(c_i) = \log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|$. \Box

3. Proof of the Theorem

We maintain the notation of the last section, but now vary the absolute value on $\mathbb{C}(X)$. For each $v \in X(\mathbb{C})$ we write

$$|\xi|_v = e^{-\operatorname{ord}_{z=v}(\xi)},$$

and quantities from the previous section relative to this absolute value acquire a subscript v. Note that for $\xi \neq 0$,

(2)
$$\sum_{v \in X(\mathbb{C})} \log |\xi|_v = 0$$

and

(3)
$$\sum_{v \in X(\mathbb{C})} \log^+ |\xi|_v = \deg(\xi).$$

We will also write, for $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}(X)[z]$,

$$h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f) = \sum_{v \in X(\mathbb{C})} g_{\operatorname{crit},v}(f),$$

PATRICK INGRAM

noting that this is independent of the choice of coordinates. This is not the same critical height $\hat{h}_{\rm crit}(f)$ as used in [6, 7, 9], but one sees from the non-negativity of $G_{f,v}$ that

$$h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f) \le \hat{h}_{\operatorname{crit}}(f) \le (d-1)h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f).$$

Note that by Lemma 1, we have $h_{\text{crit}}(f_c) = 0$ if and only if the coefficients of f_c are all regular on all of X, and hence are constant. Since every polynomial can be put in form (1) after some change of variables over a branched cover of X, isotriviality is equivalent to $h_{\text{crit}}(f) = 0$.

As usual, if f is a polynomial and P has period n under f, we write $\lambda_f(P) = (f^n)'(P)$ for the *multiplier* at P.

Proposition 3. If $P \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ is periodic for f, then

$$\deg(\lambda_f(P)) \le (d-1)h_{\rm crit}(f).$$

Proof. We claim, in fact, that in each absolute value v we have

$$\log^+ |\lambda_f(P)|_v \le (d-1)g_{\operatorname{crit},v}(f).$$

The proposition is then proved by summing over all $v \in X(\mathbb{C})$.

Note that if P has period n, we can replace f by f^n and assume that P is fixed. Also, since both sides are coordinate independent, we may without loss of generality replace X by a finite cover, and change coordinates over $\mathbb{C}(X)$ so that $f = f_{\mathbf{c}}$ in the form (1) and P = 0. But now

$$\log^{+} |\lambda_{f\mathbf{c}}(0)|_{v} = \log^{+} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} c_{i} \right| \le (d-1) \log^{+} \|\mathbf{c}\| = (d-1)g_{\text{crit}}(f_{\mathbf{c}}),$$

as claimed.

The following lemma shows that in the normal form (1), quantity $h_{\text{crit}}(f)$ can be estimated using information from only a subset of the points of X, and is a variant of [8, Lemma 9].

Lemma 4. Suppose that $\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)} \neq 0$, and let

(4)
$$S = \{ v \in X(\mathbb{C}) : \log |c_1|_v < \log \|\mathbf{c}\|_v \}.$$

Then

$$(d-1)\sum_{v\in S}g_{\operatorname{crit},v}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) \ge h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) - \operatorname{deg}(\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}).$$

Proof. Since $\prod_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i = (-1)^{d-1} \lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}$, we have both

$$\log \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} \le \log^{+} \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} \le \log \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} + \frac{1}{d-1} \log^{+} |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_{v}$$

and

$$|c_1|_v^{-1} \le |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_v \|\mathbf{c}\|_v^{d-2}.$$

We apply these and the product formula to obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{v \notin S} \log^{+} \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} &\leq \sum_{v \notin S} \left(\log \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} + \frac{1}{d-1} \log^{+} |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_{v} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{v \notin S} \log |c_{1}|_{v} + \sum_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{d-1} \log^{+} |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_{v} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in S} \log |c_{1}|_{v}^{-1} + \sum_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{d-1} \log^{+} |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_{v} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\log^{+} |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_{v} + (d-2) \log^{+} \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{d-1} \log^{+} |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_{v} \\ &\leq (d-2) \sum_{v \in S} \log^{+} \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} + \sum_{v \in X} \log^{+} |\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}^{-1}|_{v} \\ &= (d-2)h_{\mathrm{crit}}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) - (d-2) \sum_{v \notin S} \log^{+} \|\mathbf{c}\|_{v} \\ &+ \deg(\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}), \end{split}$$

and so

$$(d-1)\sum_{v\notin S}\log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|_v \le (d-2)h(\mathbf{c}) + \deg(\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}),$$

from which

$$(d-1)\sum_{v\in S}\log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|_v = (d-1)h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) - (d-1)\sum_{v\notin S}\log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\|_v$$
$$\geq h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) - \operatorname{deg}(\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}(0)}).$$

Lemma 1 shows the equivalence of this with what was claimed.

Proof of the Theorem. First, some reductions. Note that $h_{\text{crit}}(f^n) = h_{\text{crit}}(f)$ for all n (by the chain rule), and if P is a point of period n and multiplier λ for f, then P is a fixed point of multiplier λ for f^n , so we are free to consider only multipliers of fixed points.

Furthermore, the statement of the theorem is preserved under passing to a finite branched cover $\phi: X' \to X$. Specifically, if f and λ are already defined over $\mathbb{C}(X)$, then write $\phi^* f$ for the polynomial obtained by pulling-back the coefficients of f to X'. One checks that $\deg(\phi^*\lambda) = \deg(\phi) \deg(\lambda)$, while $h_{\operatorname{crit}}(\phi^* f) = \deg(\phi)h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f)$, and so the ratio $\deg(\lambda_f(P))/h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f)$ is unchanged. Since the statement of the theorem is also coordinate-free, we may freely change variables. Passing to a finite extension and choosing a new coordinate we may then assume, without loss of generality, that f has form (1) with $c_i \in \mathbb{C}(X)$, and that P = 0 is the fixed point in question.

Suppose that f has infinitely many PCF specializations, and for each critical point c write

$$D(f,c) = \sum_{v \in X(\mathbb{C})} G_{f,v}(c)[v] \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

PATRICK INGRAM

as in [5, Equation 8], which is the same as $D_{f,c}$ in [3, Definition 4.6, p. 114]. Given any two critical points, neither one generically preperiodic, we have by [3, Theorem 37, p. 135] that the corresponding divisors are proportional. So there is a single divisor D on X such that for each f'(c) = 0, we have $D(f,c) = \alpha(f,c)D$ for some $\alpha(f,c) \in \mathbb{Q}$, and in at least one case $\alpha(f,c) \neq 0$. Writing f in the normal form (1) we can, by permuting the c_i , take $0 \leq \alpha(f,c_i) \leq \alpha(f,c_1) \neq 0$ for all i. So in particular

$$G_{f,v}(c_1) \ge G_{f,v}(c_i)$$

for any $1 \le i \le d-1$ and any $v \in X(\mathbb{C})$. Let S be the set of points of X defined in (4). By Lemma 2, if $v \in S$, then we must have $\log^+ \|\mathbf{c}\| = 0$. But by Lemma 4, if $\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}}(0) \ne 0$, we now have

$$\deg(\lambda_{f_{\mathbf{c}}}(0)) \ge h_{\operatorname{crit}}(f_{\mathbf{c}}).$$

Since all cases were reduced to this one, this proves the theorem in general. \Box

We end with three remarks, the first two justifying a claim made in the introduction, and the third proposing avenues for future work.

Remark 5. Let $0 \le x \le d-1$ be a rational number. If x = 0, then we can realize x as $\deg(\lambda_f(P))/h_{\operatorname{crit}(f)}$ by taking $f(z) = \frac{1}{3}z^3 - \frac{1}{2}(t+t^{-1})z^2 + z$, which has a fixed point of constant multiplier at the origin.

Otherwise, if $x \neq 0$, let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $mx \in \mathbb{Z}$, and write mx = qm + r, with $q \leq d-1$ and r < m, both q and r non-negative integers. For $i \leq q$, set $c_i = t^m$, with t some indeterminate, $c_q = t^r$, and $c_i = 1$ for i > q (noting that $q \leq x \leq d-1$). Now, the polynomial $f_{\mathbf{c}}$ over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ has a fixed point at z = 0 with multiplier $\pm \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i = \pm t^{mx}$. On the other hand, Lemma 1 applied at all places shows that $h_{\text{crit}}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) = m$, and so we have $x = \text{deg}(\lambda_f(P))/h_{\text{crit}}(f)$ in this example.

Remark 6. We noted in the introduction that, while a family of cubic polynomials with a generic super-attracting periodic point will have infinitely many PCF specializations, this is not true for polynomials of degree $d \ge 4$. Citing the results of Favre and Gauthier [3], this could be demonstrated by choosing a family with periodic critical point and two infinite, independent critical orbits on the generic fibre. In the interest of specificity, though, we construct a concrete class of examples.

Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{P}^{d-2}$, let t be an indeterminate, and consider $f_{t\mathbf{b}}$, i.e., $f_{\mathbf{c}}$ for $c_i = b_i t$. Changing the homogeneous coordinates representing \mathbf{b} just rescales the parametrization, but keeps the family the same. By the number field version of Lemma 1 (essentially [6, Lemma 8], but we keep the notation of this note) we have for $t \neq 0$

$$h_{\text{crit}}(f_{t\mathbf{b}}) = \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} g_{\text{crit},v}(f_{t\mathbf{b}})$$

$$\geq \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \|b_1 t, \dots, b_{d-1} t\| - O_d(1)$$

$$= h_{\mathbb{P}^{d-2}}(\mathbf{b}) - O_d(1).$$

In other words, once $h_{\mathbb{P}^{d-2}}(\mathbf{b})$ is sufficiently large, the non-isotrivial family $f_{t\mathbf{b}}$ specializes to a PCF map only at t = 0. If we take $h_{\mathbb{P}^{d-2}}(\mathbf{b})$ large and on a coordinate hyperplane (which we can do once $d \ge 4$), the family will have a generically super-attracting fixed point, and exactly one PCF specialization.

 $\mathbf{6}$

Remark 7. We have considered only the function field case here, but the results in [8] can also be used to establish an analogous gap on $h(\lambda_f(P))/h_{\text{crit}}(f)$ in the number field case, albeit with a messier statement, in the case where f has at most one infinite critical orbit up to symmetries. It would be of some interest to determine what the set of possible values of this ratio is in that setting, and how it depends on the number of infinite critical orbits, up to equivalence.

References

- M. Baker and L. DeMarco, Special curves and postcritically finite polynomials. Forum Math., Pi, 1 (2013), e3.
- [2] C. Favre and T. Gauthier, Classification of special curves in the space of cubic polynomials. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2018 no. 2 (2018), pp. 362–411.
- [3] C. Favre and T. Gauthier, The arithmetic of polynomial dynamical pairs (arXiv:2004.13801)
- [4] D. Ghioca and H. Ye, A dynamical variant of the André-Oort conjecture. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2018 no. 8 (2018), pp. 2447–2480.
- [5] P. Ingram, Variation of the canonical height for a family of polynomials J. Reine Angew. Math., 685 (2013), pp. 73–97.
- [6] P Ingram, A finiteness result for post-critically finite polynomials. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2012 no. 3 (2012), pp. 524–543.
- [7] P. Ingram, The critical height is a moduli height. Duke Math. J. 167, no. 7 (2018), pp. 1311-1346.
- [8] P. Ingram, Critical orbits of polynomials with a periodic point of specified multiplier. Math. Zeit. 291 (2019), pp. 1245–1262.
- [9] J. H. Silverman, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Dynamics, volume 30 of CRM Monograph Series. AMS, Providence, 2012.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY