

# On the coefficient-choosing game

Divyum Sharma<sup>1</sup> and L. Singhal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani 333 031 INDIA  
divyum.sharma@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in

<sup>2</sup>Yau Mathematical Sciences Center,  
Tsinghua University, Beijing 100 084 CHINA  
singhal@tsinghua.edu.cn

## Abstract

Nora and Wanda are two players who choose coefficients of a degree  $d$  polynomial from some fixed unital commutative ring  $R$ . Wanda is declared the winner if the polynomial has a root in the ring of fractions of  $R$  and Nora is declared the winner otherwise. We extend the theory of these games given by Gasarch, Washington, and Zbarsky to all finite cyclic rings and determine the possible outcomes. A family of examples is also constructed using discrete valuation rings for a variant of the game proposed by these authors. Our techniques there lead us to an adversarial approach to constructing rational polynomials of any prescribed degree (equal to 3 or greater than 8) with no roots in the maximal abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Gasarch, Washington, and Zbarsky [8] recently introduced a two-player game in which the players, Nora and Wanda, take turns to pick coefficients of a degree  $d$  polynomial from  $R$ . The leading coefficient  $a_d$  (say) and the constant coefficient  $a_0$  are not allowed to be zero. Nora is said to win if the resulting polynomial has no roots in the ring of fractions  $\text{Frac}(R)$  and Wanda wins otherwise. The authors exhibited many instances of the game over integral domains along with possible winning strategies. They proved that if  $R$  is a subring of a number field, then the last player can always win. The proof used results about the number of solutions of  $S$ -unit equations. Over the field of real numbers, they gave a winning strategy for Player I in the case of quadratic polynomials while Wanda can win in all remaining cases.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 91A46; Secondary 11C08, 11S05.  
*Key words and phrases*. Roots of polynomials, Finite cyclic rings, Newton polygons.

When  $R$  is a finite field, they established that Wanda has a winning strategy for degree 3 and  $\text{char}(R) = 3$ , and that the last player can always win in the remaining cases. Dickson's classification of permutation polynomials of degree 3 was used towards this end [6, 8].

One aim of this paper is to extend the theory of these games beyond integral domains. More precisely, we consider what happens when  $R$  is a finite cyclic ring of order  $N$ . It is noted that the ring of fractions of any such ring is isomorphic to the ring itself. Furthermore, we shall confine our attention to  $N$  not being a prime since  $R$  is a finite field otherwise and the game over such rings has already been satisfactorily resolved in [8]. Here, we prove

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $N > 1$  be a composite number and  $R = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, the last player can win when*

1.  $d$  is even, or
2.  $d > 1$  and  $N$  is cube-free, or
3.  $d > 3$  with  $N = 16N_2$  for some cube-free odd integer  $N_2$ .

*In all other cases, Wanda always has a winning strategy over  $R$ .*

We will soon explain in Lemmata 5 and 6 as to how can Wanda win when  $d = 1$  and/or when she is the last player. For  $d > 1$ , Nora's strategy for cube-free composite numbers is given in Lemma 9 which gets strengthened later in Corollary 17 for  $d > 3$ . Wanda's route to an ensured victory for the remaining parameter values of  $d$  and  $N$ , in spite of not being the last player, follows from Corollaries 12 and 15.

There is a related variant of the game which has also been proposed in [8]. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two integral domains both contained in some larger domain  $D$ . Now, our players Nora and Wanda are required to choose coefficients from  $D_1$  and the winner is decided according as whether the polynomial has a root in  $D_2$  or not. We provide one such family of examples:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $d > 1$ ,  $p$  be any prime integer and the players be choosing coefficients from  $D_1 = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then, the last player can win if roots are to be avoided/demanded in any subring  $D_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ , the field of  $p$ -adic numbers.*

As any unital subring of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  will contain all the integers and Wanda's strategy as the last player is guaranteed to produce an integral root (cf. Lemma 6), her win is secured. The rest follows as a consequence of the following:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $d > 1$  and  $R = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O})$  be the field of quotients of a complete discrete valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}$  with its residue field having finite cardinality. Then, whosoever plays the last move of the game has a winning strategy.*

A proof of Theorem 3 for  $d \neq 3$  is presented in §4 in the form of Lemma 18, Proposition 19 and Theorem 20. Finiteness of the residue field is required only

for  $d = 3$  in Proposition 25 which can be circumvented when  $\text{char}(R)$  equals 3.

After this, Theorem 2 can be deduced for all but cubic polynomials from Corollary 22 and the following discussion. The theory of Newton polygons helps us to achieve our goal in Corollary 26. In the last section, we have an application of our results on discrete valuation rings to the setting where  $D_1$  is the field of rational numbers and  $D_2$  is the union of all finite abelian extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The latter is the same as the union of all cyclotomic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  by the Kronecker-Weber Theorem [7, § 14.5].

**Theorem 4.** *Let the degree  $d$  be either equal to 3 or greater than 8. If both Nora and Wanda are required to choose polynomial coefficients from  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the last player can win if roots are to be avoided/demanded in any subring  $D_2$  of the maximal abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

## 2 Preliminary observations

This small section records two lemmata which hold in the maximum generality and are used in both of the sections that follow. We denote the polynomial obtained at the end of the game by  $f$  and its coefficients by  $a_i$ 's, i. e.,

$$f(x) = a_d x^d + \cdots + a_i x^i + \cdots + a_0.$$

To begin with, there is the case of linear polynomials.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $d = 1$  and  $R$  is a unital ring, Wanda can always win.*

*Proof.* If she plays first, it suffices to choose  $a_1 = 1$  and if she plays second, the choice of  $a_1$  (or  $a_0$ ) has to be the same as Nora's pick for  $a_0$  (or  $a_1$ ). In the latter situation,  $-1$  is a root of the linear polynomial.  $\square$

The statement below is essentially available in [8] but we present the proof here for the sake of clarity and completeness.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity and Wanda makes the last move of the game, then she can win.*

*Proof.* For  $d \geq 4$ , Wanda can make sure that either she or Nora has chosen both  $a_d$  and  $a_0$  before the last move. Then,

$$f(x) = g(x) + a_i x^i$$

for some  $i \notin \{0, d\}$ , fixed  $g$  and  $a_i$  yet to be determined by Wanda. She lets  $a_i = -g(1)$  and wins with 1 being a root of  $f$  in  $R$ .

For  $d = 3$ , Nora has to be Player I if Wanda has to play last. Whenever Nora chooses  $a_0$  or  $a_3$ , Wanda picks the other to be the same. This strategy is also employed for  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  so that  $-1$  is a root of the final polynomial.

When  $d = 2$ , Wanda plays the first move as well. She lets  $a_1 = 0$  and later picks  $a_0$  (or  $a_2$ ) to be  $-a_2$  (or  $-a_0$ ) so that  $\pm 1$  are roots of our polynomial.

We have already settled the matter of linear polynomials in Lemma 5.  $\square$

### 3 Finite cyclic rings

In this section,  $R$  will always be a finite cyclic ring  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  but not a field. This, in particular, implies that  $N$  is not a prime. We first establish the advantage possessed by the last mover for even degree polynomials.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $d > 1$  be even and  $R = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, whosoever plays last has a winning strategy.*

*Proof.* If Wanda makes the last move, she can win by Lemma 6. Now, consider the other scenario. As  $d$  is even, the total number of coefficients to be chosen is odd. Nora has to be Player I too if she has to play the last move. She chooses  $a_0 = 1$  so that

$$f(x) = xg(x) + 1$$

and any non-unit cannot be a root of the polynomial obtained at the end of the game. On her last move, Nora faces  $f(x) = h(x) + a_i x^i$ ,  $i \neq 0$  with  $h$  fixed and  $a_i$  to be chosen by her next. There are  $\varphi(N)$  many units in  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  and she should only avoid choosing from the set

$$\{-u^{-i}h(u) \pmod{N} \mid u \in R^*\} \cup \{0\}$$

which has cardinality at most  $\varphi(N) + 1 < N$ , as we assumed  $N$  is not a prime number. Her requirements for  $a_i$  are then evidently feasible.  $\square$

We are left to study the case when  $d > 1$  is odd and Nora is the last player. This is examined in several steps depending on the prime-factorization of  $N$ .

**Lemma 8.** *If  $N = p^2$  for some prime  $p$  and  $d \geq 2$ , then the last player can win.*

*Proof.* Wanda can win if she is the last player by following the strategy in Lemma 6. Nora can win using Lemma 7 if  $d$  is even and she is the last player. Therefore, let us assume that  $d$  is odd and Nora plays last. This also means that Wanda is Player I for us.

If Wanda's first move is to choose some  $a_i$  for  $i \neq 0$ , Nora immediately picks  $a_0 = 1$  next. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 7, Nora can finish the game off with a polynomial which has no roots in  $R$ . This argument also works when Wanda chooses  $a_0$  from  $R^*$  on her first move.

Let  $a_0 = u_0 p$  be Wanda's first pick for some representative  $u_0 \in R^*$ . This leads to Nora fixing  $a_1 = 0$  following which no multiple of  $p$  can be a root of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . Her choice is legal as  $d > 1$ . On her last move, she has to avoid at most  $\varphi(N) + 1 < N$  many values of  $a_i$  corresponding to  $\varphi(N)$  many units in  $R$  in addition to the zero element. Nora does so and wins the game.  $\square$

One may extend this much further as shown below.

**Lemma 9.** *The last-mover advantage holds for cube-free numbers and  $d \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* In view of prior observations, we may restrict ourselves to when

1.  $d > 1$  is odd,
2.  $N$  is not a prime power,
3. Wanda is Player I, and
4. her first choice is  $a_0 \in R \setminus R^*$ .

Nora tries to find a prime  $p$  such that  $N = p^k q$  with  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $(p, q) = 1$  and  $p$  does not divide  $a_0$ . If her search is successful, she chooses  $a_d = 1$ . On her last move, Nora chooses the value of  $a_i$  for which  $f \pmod{p}$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This is realizable because  $f \pmod{p}$  cannot have 0 as a root in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  which means that Nora has to avoid at most  $p - 1$  classes of  $a_i$  modulo  $p$  ( $i \neq 0, d$ ) corresponding to as many classes in  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ . Thereafter,  $f$  cannot have a root in  $R$  since  $f \pmod{p}$  does not have a root in the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

The search for a suitable  $p$  as above may fail only when there exists a prime  $p$  dividing  $a_0$  such that  $p^2$  divides  $N$  but not  $a_0$ . In this case, Nora lets  $a_1 = 0$  (allowed since  $d > 1$ ) and plays arbitrarily till before her last move. At that stage, she reduces  $f$  modulo  $p^2$  and chooses the remaining coefficient  $a_i$  so that  $f \pmod{p^2}$  does not have a root in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . This is possible as she has to avoid at most  $\varphi(p^2) + 1 < p^2$  many equivalence classes of  $a_i \pmod{p^2}$  coming from  $\varphi(p^2)$  many units in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  and the fact that  $a_d$  is not allowed to be zero. Then,  $f$  cannot have a root in  $R$ .  $\square$

The previous lemmata build upon the ideas of Gasarch, Washington, and Zbarsky for finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We can avoid dealing with permutation polynomials here because Wanda has to necessarily choose the constant term on her first move if she wants to win for odd degree polynomials. The results so far may suggest to the reader that for non-linear polynomials, the last mover in the coefficient-choosing game has an advantage over rings with zero divisors. Our lemma below shows any such intuition to be false.

**Lemma 10.** *If  $N = p^{2k+1}$  for some prime  $p$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $d$  is odd, then Wanda always has a winning strategy.*

*Proof.* In the light of Lemmata 5 and 6, we only need to examine the case when  $d > 1$  and Wanda is Player I. She begins by choosing  $a_0 = -p^{2k}$ .

If Nora picks a coefficient other than  $a_1$ , Wanda sets  $a_1 = 1$  so that

$$f(x) = x^2 g(x) + x - p^{2k}$$

and  $x = p^{2k}$  will be a root of  $f$  in  $R$ . If Nora's first move is  $a_1 = u_1 p^i$  for some choice of representative  $u_1 \in R^*$  and  $i < k$ , we similarly have

$$f(x) = h(x) + u_1 p^i x - p^{2k}$$

whence  $u_1^{-1}p^{2k-i}$  is a root of  $f$  in  $R$  and Wanda is destined to be the winner. This is because for  $n \geq 2$ , we get  $n(2k-i) - 2k > 0$  and all higher degree terms constituting  $h(x)$  are automatically zero for  $x$  which are multiples of  $p^{2k-i}$ .

Next, let  $a_1 = u_1p^k$  be Nora's first move for some choice of representative  $u_1 \in R^*$ . Wanda lets  $a_2 = 0$  (allowed as  $d \neq 2$ ) so that for  $n > 2$ ,

$$nk = 2k + (n-2)k \geq 2k + 1$$

making  $x = u_1^{-1}p^k$  to be a root of any  $f$  obtained afterwards.

If Nora chooses  $a_1 = b_1p^{k+1}$  for some  $b_1 \in R$ , Wanda simply lets  $a_2 = 1$  leading to  $f(x) = x^3g(x) + x^2 + b_1p^{k+1}x - p^{2k}$ . Clearly,  $\pm p^k$  are roots of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

The same is also true for all but two even prime powers.

**Lemma 11.** *If  $N = p^{2k}$  for some prime  $p$ ,  $k \geq 3$  and  $d$  is odd, then Wanda can always win.*

*Proof.* We concentrate on the case when  $d > 1$  and Wanda is Player I. She begins by choosing  $a_0 = -p^{2k-1}$ .

If Nora picks a coefficient other than  $a_1$ , Wanda sets  $a_1 = 1$  so that

$$f(x) = x^2g(x) + x - p^{2k-1}$$

and  $x = p^{2k-1}$  will be a root of  $f$  in  $R$  following from  $(p^{2k-1})^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2k}}$ . If Nora's first move is  $a_1 = u_1p^i$  for some choice of representative  $u_1 \in R^*$  and  $i < k$ , we have  $f(x) = h(x) + u_1p^i x - p^{2k-1}$  whence  $u_1^{-1}p^{2k-1-i}$  is a root of  $f$  in  $R$  and Wanda will be the winner. This is because for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$n(2k-1-i) = 2k + 2(n-1)k - n(i+1) \geq 2k + (n-2)k \geq 2k$$

and all higher degree terms in  $h(x)$  are zero for multiples of  $p^{2k-1-i}$ .

Next, let  $a_1 = u_1p^k$  be Nora's first move for some choice of representative  $u_1 \in R^*$ . Wanda lets  $a_2 = 0$  so that for  $n > 2$ ,

$$n(k-1) = 2k + (nk - 2k - n)$$

making the term inside the parentheses on the right side to be non-negative for  $k \geq 3$  and  $x = u_1^{-1}p^{k-1}$  to be a root of any  $f$  obtained later.

If Nora chooses  $a_1 = b_1p^{k+1}$  for some  $b_1 \in R$ , Wanda simply lets  $a_2 = p$  leading to  $f(x) = x^3g(x) + px^2 + b_1p^{k+1}x - p^{2k-1}$ . Clearly,  $\pm p^{k-1}$  are roots of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  for the same reason as given in the previous paragraph.  $\square$

An additional feature of the last two results is that if  $d$  is greater than one and odd, Nora may even be allowed to choose the leading coefficient  $a_d$  to be 0.

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $d$  be odd and  $N = p^k N_2$  for some prime  $p$ ,  $k \in \{3, 5, 6, 7, \dots\}$  and  $p$  not dividing  $N_2$ . Then, Wanda can always win.*

*Proof.* We may just focus on  $d > 1$  and Wanda being Player I again. Also, recall the ring isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/N_2\mathbb{Z}) \quad (1)$$

using the Chinese Remainder Theorem. By Lemmata 10 and 11, Wanda has a winning strategy beginning with a choice of the constant term in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $a_{0,1} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  denotes such a choice for Wanda, she chooses  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  for which  $a_0 \equiv a_{0,1}$  modulo  $p^k$  while  $a_0 \equiv 0$  modulo  $N_2$ . For all of Nora's subsequent moves, Wanda reduces the coefficients modulo  $p^k$  and computes her response over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $a_{i,1}$  is part of Wanda's winning strategy there, she always picks  $a_i \in R$  for which  $a_i \equiv a_{i,1} \pmod{p^k}$  and  $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{N_2}$ .

We denote  $x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  to be a root of  $f \pmod{p^k}$  in the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $f$  is the polynomial obtained at the end. Then, the element  $x_0 \in R$  such that  $x_0 \equiv x_1$  modulo  $p^k$  and  $x_0 \equiv 0$  modulo  $N_2$  will be a root of  $f$  in  $R$  by the ring isomorphism (1).  $\square$

For a fixed integer polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and a prime number  $p$ , the problem of counting the number of its roots modulo the prime power  $p^k$  seems to be very challenging and having myriad applications. The best known deterministic algorithm has a time complexity exponential in  $k$ . We point to [10] and the references therein for more on this. A Las Vegas randomized algorithm for computing the number of roots in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  is also given over there which takes time less than some polynomial in terms of  $k$ .

### 3.1 The curious case of fourth prime powers

The discussion so far tells us that it remains to analyse the outcome of the game played for non-linear polynomials over quotients of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by fourth powers of prime numbers and multiples thereof. The arguments are only slightly different for even and odd primes. We present the one for odd primes first, followed by a proof for  $p = 2$ .

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $d$  be odd and  $N = p^4$  for some prime  $p \neq 2$ . Then, Wanda always has a winning strategy.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $d > 1$ . If Wanda is Player I, she chooses  $a_0 = -p^2$ . It is enough for her to target the cubic part of the polynomial to be zero modulo  $p^4$  for some multiple of  $p$ , say  $up$ , for then the contributions from higher terms will each be automatically zero.

- If Nora doesn't fix  $a_1$  next, Wanda lets it to be equal to 1 so that  $p^2$  is a root of  $f$ . If Nora does choose  $a_1$  to be a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $a_1^{-1}p^2$  is a root of our polynomial.

- **$\mathbf{a_1 = u_1p}$**  : If  $a_1 = u_1p$  is Nora's first choice for some  $u_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ , Wanda lets  $a_2 = 0$ . Note that the choice of the representative  $u_1$  is specified up to an additive factor of  $kp^3$  for some  $k \in R$ . The effective portion of the polynomial from Wanda's perspective, evaluated at some  $x = up$ , is

$$a_3 \cdot (up)^3 + u_1p \cdot (up) - p^2 \equiv (a_3u^3p + u_1u - 1)p^2. \quad (2)$$

Given that  $u_1 \pmod{p^2}$  is well-defined in the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  and independent of our choice of representative, we let  $u_1^{-1}$  denote its inverse in  $R/p^2R$ . Take one of the shifted terms  $u = u_1^{-1} + kp$  for all of whom

$$a_3(u_1^{-1} + kp)^3p \equiv a_3u_1^{-3}p \pmod{p^2}$$

while  $u_1(u_1^{-1} + kp) - 1 \equiv u_1kp$  modulo  $p^2$ . No matter what choice of  $a_3$  Nora (or Wanda) make, one of these  $k$ 's will help Wanda to make the term within parentheses on the right side of (2) to be zero modulo  $p^2$ . Our polynomial evaluated at such a  $up$  will vanish as a consequence.

- **$\mathbf{a_1 = u_1p^2}$**  : If Nora's first choice is  $a_1 = u_1p^2$  for some unit  $u_1$  specified up to an additive factor of  $kp^2$ , Wanda lets  $a_2 = 1$  so as to face

$$a_3 \cdot (up)^3 + (up)^2 + u_1p^2 \cdot (up) - p^2 \equiv (u(a_3u^2 + u_1)p + u^2 - 1)p^2 \pmod{p^4}.$$

For  $u = 1 + kp$ , we have  $u(a_3u^2 + u_1)p \equiv (a_3 + u_1)p$  and  $u^2 - 1 \equiv 2kp$  modulo  $p^2$ . As there is an assurance that  $p \neq 2$ , an appropriate value of  $k$  will help Wanda to find a root of  $f$  in  $R$  which is a multiple of  $p$ .

- **$\mathbf{a_1 = b_1p^3}$**  : If Nora lets  $a_1$  be a multiple of  $p^3$  on her first move, Wanda chooses  $a_2 = 1$  so that we have a similar situation as for  $a_1 = u_1p^2$  above.

As we have exhausted all of Nora's possible options, this finishes the proof.  $\square$

It should be remarked here that not only can Wanda ensure the final polynomial to have roots, she can force those roots to lie in  $pR \subset R$  provided  $p$  is odd. When  $p$  equals 2, the game is tilted towards her for small values of  $d$  only.

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $d = 3$  as before while  $R = \mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, Wanda can win irrespective of being the first or the second player.*

*Proof.* When Wanda is Player I, she begins by choosing  $a_0 = 12 \equiv -2^2 \pmod{16}$ . As seen in the proof of Lemma 13, Nora is compelled to pick  $a_1$  next if she wants to have a chance at winning and it should be a non-unit.

- **$\mathbf{a_1 = 2u_1}$**  : If this is Nora's first move for some choice of representative  $u_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z})^*$ , Wanda can continue to follow her strategy as in Lemma 13 when Nora had chosen  $a_1$  to be a unit multiple of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^4\mathbb{Z}$ . The reader may verify for herself that nothing there prevents Wanda's victory if we take  $p$  to be equal to 2.



- **$\mathbf{a_1 = 4u_1}$**  : Suppose Nora makes such a choice for some  $u_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z})^*$ . On facing this move from Nora, Wanda picks  $a_2 = 1 - 2u_1 \in R^*$ . Admittedly, there is a discretion involved here about the representative for  $u_1$ . It can be checked that Wanda can choose any one of them.

Now, Nora cannot allow  $a_3$  to belong to the set

$$\{-(a_2u + 4u_1u^2 - 4u^3) \mid u \in R^*\} \quad (3)$$

or else, the corresponding  $1/u$  will be a root of  $f$ . As Wanda took  $a_2$  to be a unit, all these elements in (3) are units of  $R$  too. We claim that for any fixed  $u_1$  and  $a_2$  as above, this set constitutes  $R^*$ . Suppose not and let

$$a_2u + 4u_1u^2 - 4u^3 \equiv a_2v + 4u_1v^2 - 4v^3 \pmod{16}$$

for some  $u, v \in R^*$ . On rearranging, we see that  $u - v$  has to be a multiple of 4 since  $a_2$  is not. This makes the right side of the congruence

$$a_2(u - v) \equiv -4u_1(u^2 - v^2) + 4(u^3 - v^3) \pmod{16}$$

to be zero modulo 16 which in turn requires  $u - v$  to be same as well. In particular, it implies that Nora cannot choose  $a_3$  to be a unit in  $R$ . If  $a_3$  is even instead, we will have  $f(2) = 0$  in  $R$ .

- **$\mathbf{a_1 = 8b_1}$**  : If Nora lets  $a_1$  be a multiple of 8, Wanda chooses  $a_2 = 1$  so that Nora can't pick  $a_3$  to be odd again (recall the reasoning for  $a_1 = 4u_1$ ) and  $\pm 2$  are roots of  $f$  otherwise.

Our claim has been established.  $\square$

The requirement that the 'leading' and the constant coefficients of the polynomial be non-zero is an artificial technicality of the game introduced to remove redundancies. It would, however, have made no difference to either players' fortunes in Lemmata 13 and 14 even if we had allowed Nora the freedom to choose  $a_d = 0$  if she wished so. After this brief remark, Corollary 12 can be strengthened to say that

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $d$  be odd,  $N$  not be cube-free and at least one of the following hold:*

1.  $d = 3$ ,
2.  $N = 8N_2$  for some positive integer  $N_2 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , or
3. there exists an odd prime  $p$  such that  $p^3$  divides  $N$ .

*Then, Wanda always has a winning strategy.*

*Proof.* Denote  $N = p^3N_2$  for some prime  $p$  and  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We are done by Corollary 12 if  $p$  does not divide  $N_2$  or if the multiplicity of  $p$  in  $N_2$  is more than 1. Else, by Lemmata 13 and 14, Wanda has a winning strategy beginning with a choice of the constant term in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^4\mathbb{Z}$  (here,  $d = 3$  if  $p = 2$ ). As in Corollary 12, this observation completes the proof.  $\square$

Together with Lemma 9, this completes the picture for cubic polynomials. We need to work a little bit more for other higher odd degrees.

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $d > 3$  be odd. Then, whosoever plays last can win over  $\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* One has to only investigate what happens when Wanda is Player I for else, she can certainly win. It has been explained before that Wanda has to choose  $a_0$  to be a non-unit on her first move provided her desire to be the winner. If this  $a_0 = 2u_0$  for some choice of representative  $u_0 \in R^*$ , Nora can play the strategy for  $f \pmod{4}$  to not have any roots in  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . We now examine

- **$\mathbf{a_0 = 4u_0}$**  : Let this be Wanda's choice for some choice of representative  $u_0 \in R^*$ . In this case, Nora picks  $a_1 = 8$  immediately after. If Wanda doesn't fix  $a_2$  next, Nora may let it be equal to zero at her second move. This ensures that any multiple of 2 cannot be a root of  $f$  obtained in the end as all but the constant term of the polynomial are divisible by  $2^3$  for  $x = 2b$ . In other words, Nora has to worry about elements of  $R^*$  alone for her last move implying that she can be the winner.

Suppose Wanda does choose some  $a_2$  on her second move. As  $a_1 \equiv 2^3$  and  $a_0 \neq 0$ , any multiple of 4 cannot be a root of  $f$ . If Nora wants to eliminate the possibility that  $2u$  ( $u \in R^*$ ) is a root, then she needs

$$(2u)^4 \cdot h(2u) + a_3 \cdot (2u)^3 + a_2 \cdot (2u)^2 + 2^3 \cdot 2u + 4u_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$$

which is the same as saying that

$$a_3 \cdot (2u)^3 + a_2 \cdot (2u)^2 + 4u_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$$

or equivalently, Nora wants

$$8a_3 \not\equiv -4u(a_2 + u_0u^2) \pmod{16}$$

for all  $u \in R^*$ . We remind the reader that  $u_0$  is well-defined in the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  and as is  $a_2$ . When the latter is even, any value of  $a_3$  will do. Else, the map  $u \mapsto -u(a_2 + u_0u^2) \pmod{4}$  from  $R^*$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  is constant for any fixed  $a_2, u_0 \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^*$ . Nora can, therefore, declare  $a_3$  to be a different multiple of 8 and rule out  $2u$  from being roots of  $f$ . She takes care of the unit elements of  $R$  on her last move.

- **$\mathbf{a_0 \equiv 8 \pmod{16}}$**  : Then, Nora chooses  $a_1 = 4$ . If Wanda doesn't choose  $a_2$  immediately after that, Nora can let it be equal to 1 at her next move ruling out all odd multiples of 2 from being roots of  $f$ . This is because otherwise there will exactly one term of the polynomial which is not divisible by 8. Even multiples of 2 cannot be roots of such an  $f$  anyway. Our arguments also hold if Wanda chooses  $a_2$  from  $R^*$ .

Let us assume that Wanda picks  $a_2 = 2b_2$ . Nora would like to have

$$a_3 \cdot (2u)^3 + 2b_2 \cdot (2u)^2 + 4 \cdot (2u) + 8 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$$

for all  $u \in R^*$  which is possible iff

$$a_3 \not\equiv -(b_2u + u^2 + u^3) \pmod{2}$$

for ‘all’ units in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . As  $b_2$  has already been fixed before, she can choose an  $a_3$  as required. The units of  $\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$  are prevented from being roots of  $f$  on Nora’s last turn.

Since we covered all of Wanda’s options, the proof is done.  $\square$

The crucial difference between Lemma 14 and 16 is that for  $d = 3$ , the leading coefficient  $a_3$  can’t be zero and Nora has to simultaneously stop all elements of  $R$  from being roots of  $f$  when choosing  $a_3$ . For odd  $d > 3$ , she has adequate freedom to handle even integers first and worry about the units later.

**Corollary 17.** *If  $d > 3$  and  $N = 16N_2$  where  $N_2$  is a cube-free odd integer, then the last player has a winning strategy.*

*Proof.* We confine ourselves to when Wanda is Player I and  $d$  is odd so that Nora is the last player. Wanda has to necessarily choose  $a_0$  to be a non-unit on her first move itself if she would like to win. At this stage, Nora finds a prime  $p$  such that  $N = p^\varepsilon q$  with  $(p, q) = 1$ ,  $a_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\varepsilon}$  and  $\varepsilon$  having a positive value in accordance with the statement of the claim. She then plays the strategy for  $f \pmod{p^\varepsilon} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z})[x]$  to not have any roots in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Quotient field of a complete DVR

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with  $K$  being its field of quotients. We denote a generator of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}$  by  $\rho$ . For example,  $K$  can be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbb{F}((T))$ , the field of formal Laurent series in a transcendental variable  $T$  with coefficients coming from some ‘field of constant’  $\mathbb{F}$ . In the latter setting, the variable  $T$  plays the role of a uniformiser.

If  $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  is the multiplicative group of units of  $\mathcal{O}$ , we say that the integer  $n$  is the *order* of a non-zero element  $\alpha$  when  $\alpha \in \rho^n \mathcal{O}^*$ . It is then extended to the whole of  $K$  by defining  $\text{ord}(0) = +\infty$ . A unique and well-defined extension of the  $\text{ord}$  function is also possible for the algebraic closure of  $K$ . The field  $\overline{K}^{\text{alg}}$  is endowed with a norm given by

$$|\alpha| := e^{-\text{ord } \alpha}$$

which helps us to have a notion of distance on such fields. It turns out to be ultrametric in nature. The game for linear polynomials over  $K$  has been dealt with in Lemma 5 and this motivates us to go further.

**Lemma 18.** *For  $d = 2$ , the last player has a winning strategy over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let us focus on Nora being the first as well as the last player simultaneously. She begins by choosing  $a_1 = 0$ . After Wanda has chosen any non-zero  $a_0$  (or  $a_2$ ), Nora simply picks  $a_2$  (or  $a_0$ ) to be such that  $\text{ord } a_2 \not\equiv \text{ord } a_0 \pmod{2}$ . Clearly, many such admissible choices are available to her and it guarantees that the quadratic polynomial  $a_2x^2 + a_0$  has no root in  $K$  owing to order considerations of the two contributing terms.  $\square$

It is to be noted for future purposes that Nora can additionally have all of her choices during the proof of Lemma 18, including the last one, to be rational numbers when  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . She may similarly choose all her  $a_i$ 's to belong to  $\mathbb{F}_p((T))$  when  $K = \mathbb{F}((T))$  for some  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{alg}}$ , if she desires so. Nora's strategy also succeeds when the polynomial coefficients are to be chosen from the discrete valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}$  by both the players. On the other hand, Wanda's winning strategy over  $\mathcal{O}$  and as the last player is still governed by Lemma 6. We now skip the case of  $d = 3$  for a moment in pursuit of other higher goals.

**Proposition 19.** *For  $d = 4$ , Nora can win over  $K$  if she is the last player.*

*Proof.* Nora gets two moves before her last one. Hence, she can ensure that either she gets to choose both  $a_1$  and  $a_3$  to be zero in her first two turns or the last coefficient to be determined by her is one of  $a_0$  or  $a_4$ . If it is  $a_0$ , Nora wants

$$a_0 \neq -(a_4x^4 + \cdots + a_1x) \tag{4}$$

for all  $x$  in  $K^*$ . Let

$$M_1 := \min \left\{ \text{ord } a_3 - \text{ord } a_4, \frac{1}{2}(\text{ord } a_2 - \text{ord } a_4), \frac{1}{3}(\text{ord } a_1 - \text{ord } a_4) \right\},$$

with the constituent terms ignored if the corresponding  $a_i = 0$ , and let  $x$  have order less than  $M_1$ . When  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ , we set  $M_1 = \infty$  and  $x$  can be any non-zero element. Then, the right side of (4) has its order belonging to the set  $\{\text{ord } a_4 + 4n \mid n < M_1\}$ . For all other  $x \neq 0$ , the orders are bounded from below by

$$M_2 := \min \{ \text{ord } a_i + iM_1 \mid i = 1, \dots, 4 \}.$$

Nora may choose any field element, even a rational number or an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p((T))$  as the case may be, whose order doesn't lie in the union

$$\{ \text{ord } a_4 + 4n \mid n < M_1 \} \cup \{ n \geq M_2 \}$$

and win the game.

If  $a_4$  was left for her to decide at the last move, we can take the related polynomial  $g \in K[x]$  such that  $f(x) = x^4g(1/x)$  for all non-zero  $x$  and  $a_4$  becomes the constant term of  $g$ . Since  $a_0a_4 \neq 0$ , neither of  $f$  or  $g$  can have zero as a root and  $f(x) = 0$  iff  $g(1/x) = 0$  for  $x \in K^*$ . This borrowed trick from [8] reduces the problem to the previous scenario discussed.

Finally, there is exactly one of the two possibilities when the last coefficient to be chosen is  $a_2$ . Either  $\text{ord } a_4 \equiv \text{ord } a_0 \pmod{2}$  or not. At any rate, it is implicit that Nora had eliminated  $a_1$  and  $a_3$  earlier. She would now like to have

$$a_2 \neq -(a_4x^2 + a_0x^{-2}) \quad \forall x \in K^*. \quad (5)$$

Nora declares  $a_2 = 0$  if the parities of  $\text{ord } a_0$  and  $\text{ord } a_4$  are different modulo 2.

Else, the orders of all the non-zero field elements given by the right side of (5) have same parity modulo 2 as  $\text{ord } a_0$  and  $\text{ord } a_4$  for  $x \in K^*$  such that  $\text{ord } x \neq (\text{ord } a_0 - \text{ord } a_4)/4$ . For any  $x$  with  $\text{ord } x = (\text{ord } a_0 - \text{ord } a_4)/4$ , the order of the expression on the right side of (5) is at least  $(\text{ord } a_0 + \text{ord } a_4)/2$ . Nora may choose  $a_2$  with its order in the complementary subset

$$\{\text{ord } a_0 + 2n + 1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap \{n < (\text{ord } a_0 + \text{ord } a_4)/2\}.$$

She may furthermore have such an  $a_2$  to be a rational number or belong to  $\mathbb{F}_p((T))$  depending on whether  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  or  $K \supset \mathbb{F}_p((T))$ , respectively.  $\square$

The above proof captures all the complexities which may arise for the remaining higher degrees and then some. We proceed without further ado.

**Theorem 20.** *For  $d > 4$ , the last player can win over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let us concentrate solely on Nora being the last player. As  $d$  is at least 5, she must have got at least two chances before her last move. Nora makes sure that both  $a_1$  and  $a_{d-1}$  have been chosen at the end of her second turn.

Thereafter, if  $a_0$  is the last coefficient to be decided by Nora, she wants

$$a_0 \neq -x^d(a_d + a_{d-1}x^{-1} + \cdots + a_1x^{-(d-1)}) \quad \forall x \in K^*. \quad (6)$$

Denote  $M_1 := \min\{(\text{ord } a_i - \text{ord } a_d)/(d-i) \mid 0 < i < d, a_i \neq 0\}$  with  $M_1$  defined to be  $+\infty$  if the minimum is to be taken over an empty set. For a non-zero  $x$  with  $\text{ord } x = n < M_1$ , the right side of (6) has order equal to  $\text{ord } a_d + dn$  where  $d > 4$ . For all other  $x$  in  $K^*$ , the order of that expression is at least

$$M_2 := \min\{\text{ord } a_i + iM_1 \mid 0 < i \leq d\}.$$

Nora only needs to choose a non-zero element which has its order in

$$\{n \mid n \not\equiv \text{ord } a_d \pmod{d}\} \cap \{n < M_2\}.$$

The possibility of  $a_d$  being the last unsettled coefficient is reduced to that of choosing the constant coefficient by using the trick of reverse polynomial amply explained in Proposition 19.

If Nora has to choose  $a_i$  for some  $i \notin \{0, 1, d-1, d\}$  at the end, she hopes

$$a_i \neq -x^{-i}(a_dx^d + \cdots + a_{i+1}x^{i+1} + a_{i-1}x^{i-1} + \cdots + a_0) \quad (7)$$

for all  $x \in K^*$ . Let

$$M_3 := \min \{ (\text{ord } a_j - \text{ord } a_d)/(d - j) \mid 0 \leq j < d, j \neq i, a_j \neq 0 \}$$

so that Nora can prevent all such  $x$  with  $\text{ord } x < M_3$  from being roots by not allowing  $\text{ord } a_i$  to belong to  $\{\text{ord } a_d + (d - i)n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . As  $d - i$  is at least 2, such choices are feasible. Next, we consider

$$M_4 := \max \left\{ \frac{\text{ord } a_0 - \text{ord } a_j}{j} \mid 0 < j < d, j \neq i, a_j \neq 0 \right\}.$$

For  $x \in K^*$  with  $\text{ord } x > M_4$ , the leading term of the right side of (7) is dictated by  $a_0 x^{-i}$  and thereby, has order of the form  $\text{ord } a_0 - in$  for some  $n > M_4$ . We recall that both  $i$  and  $d - i$  are at least 2. Moreover, at least one of  $d - i$  or  $i$  has to be strictly greater than 2 as  $d > 4$ . Of the lot that is yet to be accounted for, the order of the right side expression in (7) will be bounded from below by

$$M_5 := \min \{ \text{ord } a_j + (j - i)n \mid M_3 \leq n \leq M_4, 0 \leq j \leq d, j \neq i, a_j \neq 0 \}.$$

This minimum exists and advises Nora to choose an  $a_i$  with its order not in

$$\{ \text{ord } a_d + (d - i)n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cup \{ \text{ord } a_0 - in \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cup \{ n \geq M_5 \}.$$

If  $d - i = i$ , both of them have to be at least 3 and the two arithmetic progressions  $\{ \text{ord } a_0 - in \} \cup \{ \text{ord } a_d + (d - i)m \}$  will leave out enough many negative integers as order options for Nora. If not, one of those two progressions has a greater common difference than the other and Nora is home.  $\square$

We are now able to provide a family of examples asked for by Gasarch, Washington, and Zbarsky. In [8], they wonder about domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  such that the players choose coefficients from  $D_1$  while the roots are to be sought (avoided) in  $D_2$ .

*Definition 21* ([9, Problem 245]). The *maximal unramified extension* of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}$ , is the union of all extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  obtained by adjoining the  $d$ -th roots of unity whenever  $d$  is coprime to  $p$ .

It is an infinite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{alg}}$  as its residue field while the integer  $p$  continues to play the role of a uniformiser in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}$ . The map  $\text{ord} : \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  still takes values in the subring of integers alone.

**Corollary 22.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1, 3, 4\}$  and  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  be any given finite set of rational primes. If our players are required to choose the polynomial coefficients from  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then Nora playing last can ensure that the rational polynomial of degree  $d$  thus constructed has no roots in any of the  $\mathbb{Q}_{p_j}^{\text{unr}}$  for  $j$  ranging from 1 to  $k$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $j$ , Nora follows the winning strategy suggested to her by the the relevant Lemma 18 or Theorem 20 with  $K = \mathbb{Q}_{p_j}^{\text{unr}}$ . At the end, she picks a negative power  $-k_j$  of  $p_j$  allowed there and declares her choice to be  $\sum_j p_j^{-k_j}$  which has all the necessary properties from her perspective.  $\square$

The phenomenon continues to work for  $d = 4$  when no roots in exactly one  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}$  is being demanded. However, for two distinct primes  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , Wanda may conspire so that the  $p_1$ -adic orders of  $a_0$  and  $a_4$  in (5) have the same parity modulo 2 while their  $p_2$ -adic orders don't. This might confuse Nora's response.

Note that for any finite set  $\{p_j\}$  consisting of primes and  $d > 1$ , Eisenstein irreducibility criterion (see [9, Proposition 5.3.11]) can give us infinitely many monic polynomials with degree  $d$  and integer coefficients which are irreducible over all of those  $\mathbb{Q}_{p_j}$ 's. The polynomials constructed in our game during the course of Nora's victory need not always belong to the Eisenstein family but might not be irreducible either. Here, we would like to mention that a lot of effort has gone into obtaining efficient algorithms for factorization of polynomials over locally compact fields. Chistov was the first one to give a polynomial-time algorithm in this setting. We refer to [2, 4, 5] and the references therein for definitions and learning more about this subject.

Function fields help to showcase another family of examples:

**Corollary 23.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1, 3\}$  and  $D_1 = \mathbb{F}((T))$  while  $D_2$  be a sub-ring of  $\overline{F}^{\text{alg}}((T))$ . If both Nora and Wanda choose polynomial coefficients in  $D_1$  with Nora playing last, she can ensure a victory with no roots in  $D_2$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to study the situation when  $D_2$  is the whole of  $\overline{F}^{\text{alg}}((T))$ . Take  $K = D_2$ . Nora follows the winning strategies prescribed in Lemma 18, Proposition 19 or Theorem 20 always taking care to choose her Laurent series in  $\mathbb{F}((T))$  with correct orders in the transcendental variable  $T$ .  $\square$

## 4.1 Cubic polynomials

We will finish this section with a detailed discussion on polynomials with degree equal to 3. For us, this was perhaps the hardest to understand. It is partly because the question of having roots becomes one with the question of reducibility for cubic polynomials.

Let  $f$  be a polynomial of degree  $d$  with coefficients in  $K$  and a non-zero constant term. The *Newton polygon*  $\mathcal{N}_f$  of  $f$  is defined to be the lower boundary of the convex hull of the following collection of points:

$$\{(k, \text{ord } a_k) \mid a_k \neq 0\}. \quad (8)$$

It is, thereby, a continuous and piecewise linear map from the closed interval  $[0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with differentiability breaking down only at some integer points.

**Lemma 24** (cf. [9, Theorem 6.4.7]). *If  $f(x) = 0$  for some  $x \in \overline{K}^{\text{alg}}$ , then*

$$\text{ord } x = -\mathcal{N}'_f(t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, d] \setminus \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is also known that the Newton polygon of any irreducible polynomial is a line segment over the closed interval  $[0, d]$ . We refer to [3, Chapter 6, § 3] for a proof.

**Proposition 25.** *Let  $d = 3$  and  $K$  be such that its residue field  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$  is finite. Then, the last player has a winning strategy.*

*Proof.* The only case to be dealt with is when Nora is the last player. If Wanda as Player I tries to choose either of  $a_1$  or  $a_2$  on her first move, Nora can definitely manage to have one of  $a_0$  or  $a_3$  for herself to choose last. We have seen earlier in (4) and (6) how this favours Nora to be the winner of the game.

Therefore, assume that Wanda chooses  $a_3$  to be some non-zero element first. Nora immediately lets  $a_2 = 0$ . Wanda will again prefer to pick  $a_0$  on her second move. This choice should also be such that  $a_0/a_3$  is a perfect cube in  $K^*$  or else, Nora can take  $a_1 = 0$  and win. In particular, we have that  $\text{ord } a_3 \equiv \text{ord } a_0 \pmod{3}$ . It is plain that the two extreme vertices of  $\mathcal{N}_f$  are  $(0, \text{ord } a_0)$  and  $(3, \text{ord } a_3)$ . Nora is forced to choose  $a_1$  with order at least

$$\text{ord } a_0 + \frac{\text{ord } a_3 - \text{ord } a_0}{3}$$

because [3, Chapter 6, §3] mentioned above. If this happens, the Newton polygon has a constant slope directing that each of the roots of  $f$  will have order exactly equal to  $(\text{ord } a_0 - \text{ord } a_3)/3$  by Lemma 24. Suppose that the associated monic polynomial factorizes as

$$x^3 + \frac{a_1}{a_3}x + \frac{a_0}{a_3} = (x + c)(x^2 + ax + b)$$

for some  $a \in K$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  in  $K^*$ . On comparing the coefficients, one gets that  $a + c = 0$  and  $b = d/c$  where  $d := a_0/a_3$  which means

$$\frac{a_1}{a_3} = \frac{d - c^3}{c}.$$

We have  $\text{ord } d = 3 \cdot \text{ord } c = \text{ord } a_0 - \text{ord } a_3$  from Lemma 24. The conclusion is that the most significant term of  $a_1/a_3$ , on expanding as a Laurent series in  $\rho$ , should be of the form  $\rho^{\text{ord } c - \text{ord } d}(d - c^3)/c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  if  $f$  were to factorize over  $K$ . Recall that  $\rho$  is a uniformiser in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

As  $d = a_0/a_3$  has been assumed to be a cube in  $K$ , we also know that  $d_0 := \rho^{-\text{ord } d}d \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a non-zero cube in the finite field  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ . Once such a cube  $d_0 \in (\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})^*$  has been fixed, we have at most  $|\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}| - 1$  elements in the set

$$\{(d_0 - c_0^3)/c_0 \mid c_0 \in (\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})^*\}$$

at least one of which equals zero. Thus, it will miss some non-zero element  $\tilde{a}_1 \in \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$  (say). Nora chooses  $a_1$  to be such that  $a_1/a_3$  has order equal to  $\text{ord } d - \text{ord } c = (2/3) \cdot \text{ord } d$  and

$$\rho^{\text{ord } c - \text{ord } d}(a_1/a_3) \equiv \tilde{a}_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

This ensures that the polynomial  $f$  cannot factorize over  $K$ .

If Wanda had begun with  $a_0$  instead, Nora will try to win for the reverse polynomial so that the roles of  $a_0$  and  $a_3$  ( $a_1$  and  $a_2$ ) are interchanged.  $\square$



Notice that the above game could have been played and won by either of the last players with rational coefficients of the polynomial  $f$  when looking for  $p$ -adic numbers as roots.

**Corollary 26.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $d = 3$  and the players be required to choose coefficients from  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, Nora playing last can ensure that the rational polynomial obtained in the end does not have a root in any ring  $D_2 \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 23, we may regard  $D_2 = \mathbb{Q}_p$  without any loss of generality. Nora follows the strategy outlined in Proposition 25 above while simultaneously ensuring that the elements chosen are all rational numbers of appropriate  $p$ -adic orders. This is easy enough for her.  $\square$

It is plausible that our strategy for Proposition 25 may also work when the residue field  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We must point out that in order to win the game over  $K$ , Nora is required to find an irreducible cubic polynomial having shape  $y^3 + by - d_0$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$  and a non-zero cube  $d_0$  given to her.

The game tilts in Wanda's favour if the polynomial coefficients are to be chosen from the ring of integers. The reader is reminded that for a polynomial to have a root in  $K$ , or equivalently, a linear expression as its factor, it is sufficient that its Newton polygon have some slope of length one. This is because all the roots of any irreducible factor of  $f$  are of the same order.

**Proposition 27.** *Let  $d = 3$  and the polynomial coefficients be chosen from some complete discrete valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then, Wanda can always ensure that it has roots in the field of fractions  $K$ .*

*Proof.* She can always win by Lemma 6 if she is the last player. Else, Wanda is Player I. She begins with declaring  $a_0 = \rho$ . If Nora does not choose  $a_1$  to have a positive order next, Wanda can ask for  $a_1$  to be 1 on her second move and ensure a slope of length one in the Newton polygon. This is also true if Nora picks  $a_1$  to be a unit in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

If Nora makes sure to have  $a_1$  with  $\text{ord } a_1 > 0$ , Wanda lets  $a_2 = 1$ . Irrespective of Nora's subsequent closing move, there will be a slope of length one in the Newton polygon associated with the polynomial.  $\square$

An objection may be raised with regards to Nora's capability to check for  $a_0/a_3$  being a cube in  $K$ . When  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})$  is not 3, Nora can use the statement below (see for example [1, Chapter 10]) to reduce this question to checking cubicity in the residue field.

**Lemma 28** (Hensel's lemma). *If  $f \in \mathcal{O}[X]$  and  $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{O}$  is such that  $f(\alpha_0) \equiv 0$  modulo  $f'(\alpha_0)^2\mathfrak{p}$ , then there exists an  $\alpha \in K$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\alpha \equiv \alpha_0$  modulo  $f'(\alpha_0)\mathfrak{p}$ . Such an  $\alpha$  is also unique provided  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ .*

Either player can apply this to the polynomial  $X^3 - (\rho^{-\text{ord}(a_0/a_3)} a_0/a_3)$ . As long as  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 3$ , this  $\mathcal{O}$ -polynomial has a root in  $\mathcal{O}$  iff 3 divides  $\text{ord}(a_0/a_3)$

and  $\rho^{-\text{ord}(a_0/a_3)}a_0/a_3$  is a cube in  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ . The issue of availability of  $q$ -th roots in  $p$ -adic fields has been considered more elaborately than here in [11]. Before ending this section, a different proof is presented in characteristic 3 where the analysis is simpler.

**Lemma 29.** *Let  $d = 3$  and  $K$  have characteristic 3. Then, the last player is able to win.*

*Proof.* As before, we bother about Nora alone. Wanda must pick a non-zero  $a_3$  (or  $a_0$ ) on her first move. This is followed by Nora taking  $a_2$  to be zero. Wanda's second choice should be that of  $a_0$  and such that  $a_0/a_3 = d^3$  for some  $d \in K^*$ . The monic polynomial

$$x^3 + (a_1/a_3)x + (a_0/a_3) = x^3 + (a_1/a_3)x + d^3$$

transforms as

$$(x + d)^3 + (a_1/a_3)x$$

because  $\text{char } K = 3$ . Hence, Nora would want

$$a_1 \neq -a_3x^{-1}(x + d)^3$$

for all  $x \in K^*$ . For  $x$  such that  $\text{ord } x < \text{ord } d$ , the order of the right side expression is  $\text{ord } a_3 + 2 \cdot \text{ord } x$ . For elements of  $K^*$  with  $\text{ord } x > \text{ord } d$ , we have its order to be  $\text{ord } a_0 - \text{ord } x$ . If  $\text{ord } x$  equals  $\text{ord } d$ , the order of  $a_3x^{-1}(x + d)^3$  should be at least  $\text{ord } a_3 + 2 \cdot \text{ord } d$  and be equivalent to  $\text{ord } a_3 - \text{ord } d$  modulo 3. Nora can choose  $a_1$  to have order larger than the maximum of  $\text{ord } a_3 + 2 \cdot \text{ord } d$  and  $\text{ord } a_0 - \text{ord } d$  with  $\text{ord } a_1 \not\equiv \text{ord } a_3 - \text{ord } d \pmod{3}$ . This will translate into a victory for her.  $\square$

The residue field  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$  is allowed to have infinite cardinality over here. For  $K = \mathbb{F}_q((T))$  where  $q$  is some power of 3, an element  $\alpha$  is a cube in  $K$  iff the non-zero coefficients of  $\alpha$  are those where the corresponding power of  $T$  is an integer divisible by 3. Otherwise said,  $\alpha$  needs to belong to  $\mathbb{F}_q((T^3))$ .

## 5 Maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$

A specific question was asked by Gasarch, Washington, and Zbarsky with regards to rational polynomials. Because Lemma 6, it can be rephrased as to whether Nora can choose her coefficients so that the resulting polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  has no roots in the compositum of all solvable extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As is well-known, this is impossible for  $d < 5$ . In particular, the Galois group is always abelian for quadratic polynomials being a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . We next see that Nora can ensure the counterpart in the cubic case to be not so.

**Lemma 30.** *Let  $d = 3$  and the players required to choose coefficients to be rational numbers. Then, Nora playing last can ensure that the polynomial obtained at the end does not have a root in any abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* It was already seen in §§ 4.1 that Nora can choose the last coefficient to be such that the rational polynomial is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with the help of some  $p$ -adic field.

If this last coefficient is  $a_0$ , Nora uses Corollary 26 to obtain the correct  $p$ -adic order and leading term in the  $p$ -adic expansion for the coefficient  $a_0/a_3$  in the monicized rational polynomial

$$x^3 + (a_2/a_3)x^2 + (a_1/a_3)x + (a_0/a_3).$$

This gives her that the polynomial obtained will have no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and a fortiori no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$  implying that it is irreducible over rational numbers. The discriminant of the monic polynomial  $x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx + C$  is given by

$$A^2B^2 - 4B^3 - 4A^3C - 27C^2 + 18ABC.$$

Once  $A$  and  $B$  have been fixed, the expression can be made negative by choosing a sufficiently large rational number  $C$ . Since the  $p$ -adic order of  $a_0/a_3$  is dictated to use by Corollary 26, this can be achieved by appending a large power of  $p$ . This, in particular, means that the discriminant of our cubic polynomial will not be a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The associated Galois group will then be the whole of the symmetric group  $S_3$  by [7, § 14.6]. Nora does the same for the reverse polynomial of  $f$  when  $a_3$  is the last coefficient to be chosen by her.

Therefore, Wanda will like to prevent the leading or the constant coefficient to be chosen by Nora in the end. Wanda must begin with picking  $a_3$  (or  $a_0$ ) in order to do so. This is followed by Nora declaring  $a_2 = 0$  and Wanda making a non-zero choice of  $a_0$  (or  $a_3$ ) thereafter. Consider the monicized rational polynomial

$$x^3 + (a_1/a_3)x + (a_0/a_3)$$

as belonging to  $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ , where  $a_1$  is yet to be determined by Nora. She learns the correct  $p$ -adic order of  $a_1/a_3$  from Corollary 26 so as to have the polynomial to be irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (and  $\mathbb{Q}$  as well). The discriminant of this depressed cubic equation is given as

$$-4(a_1/a_3)^3 - 27(a_0/a_3)^2.$$

If Nora had further chosen  $a_1$  such that  $a_1/a_3$  is a positive rational number, this discriminant would be negative and our polynomial cannot have roots in any abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  once again.  $\square$

For games where the degree of the polynomial has been fixed to be greater than 8, Nora first finds an odd prime  $p$  such that  $(p-1)/2$  has all its prime factors to be larger than  $d$ . The Chinese remainder theorem helps her to have a number  $N$  such that  $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $N \equiv 2 \pmod{p_i}$  for all odd primes  $p_i \leq d$ . The rest is done by applying Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions to the sequence  $\{N + t \cdot 4p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{\pi(d)} \mid t \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $p_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th prime and  $\pi(d)$  denotes the number of primes less than or equal to  $d$ .

Nora will then employ an enhanced strategy to avoid roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}(\sqrt{-p}) = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}(\sqrt{p})$ . The reason is that  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}$  contains the subfield

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}\setminus p} := \bigcup_{\substack{d > 1, \\ p \nmid d}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \quad (9)$$

where  $\zeta_d$  denotes a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity, while the maximal abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is given by

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}\setminus p}(\zeta_{p^r}). \quad (10)$$

The degree of each of the finite extensions in (10) is  $(p-1)p^{r-1}$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}\setminus p}$ . Thus, any element in the maximal abelian extension is either contained in the quadratic extension  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}\setminus p}(\sqrt{p})$  or has degree  $> d$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}\setminus p}$  and, thereby, at least that much over the field of rational numbers too. It is then clear that any root of a degree  $d$  rational polynomial which belongs to  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}}$  can only be in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}\setminus p}(\sqrt{p})$ , if at all. The latter is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}(\sqrt{p})$  and avoiding roots in this ultrametric field will be enough for our polynomial to not have roots in any abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The reader may check that the  $p$ -adic valuation map takes values in the additive group  $(1/2)\mathbb{Z}$  on this quadratic extension.

Nora will also require the following result about arithmetic progressions in half-integers:

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d > 8$  and  $2 < i < d - 2$ . Then, there exists a sequence of integers going to  $-\infty$  which is disjoint from both sets  $\{n_1 + ni/2 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $\{n_2 + n(d-i)/2 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have an integer  $N_0 < N$  which is in neither of those two sets. The roles of  $i$  and  $d-i$  are interchangeable within this proof. Moreover, we have arranged that  $\min\{i/2, (d-i)/2\} \geq 3/2$ .

When it achieves this minimum for some  $i$  (say), then the other term should be at least 3 as  $d > 8$ . Pick  $m_1 \in \{n_1 + 3n/2, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  to be less than  $N$  and a non-integer. If  $m_1$  also belongs to  $\{n_2 + n(d-i)/2, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then  $N_0 := m_1 - (1/2)$  does not belong to either of the two sets and we are done. If instead  $m_1 - (1/2) \in \{n_2 + n(d-i)/2, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then  $N_0$  is taken to be  $m_1 - (5/2)$ . Else, we may have  $N_0$  to be  $m_1 - (1/2)$  itself.

When  $\min\{i/2, (d-i)/2\} = 2$ , we again have that  $\max\{i/2, (d-i)/2\} \geq 5/2$ . Pick some  $m_1 < N$  from  $\{n_1 + 2n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . If it is also in  $\{n_2 + n(d-i)/2, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then take  $N_0 = m_1 - 1$ . Otherwise one of the integers  $m_1 - 1$  or  $m_1 - 3$  will do the job for us.

For  $d$  and  $i$  such that  $\min\{i/2, (d-i)/2\} \geq 5/2$ , the arguments are only easier than the ones outlined so far.  $\square$

We are now ready to assert that

**Proposition 32.** *Let the degree of the polynomial be greater than 8 and the players be required to choose rational coefficients. Then, Nora can ensure that the polynomial has no roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{ab}$  when she is the last player.*

*Proof.* As  $d$  is greater than 8, Nora gets at least four moves before her last one. She uses those to have  $a_1, a_2, a_{d-2}$  and  $a_{d-1}$  fixed by either of the players before Nora's last move of the game. If this results in her choosing the constant coefficient at the end, she will want an  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  such that

$$a_0 \neq -(a_d x^d + \dots + a_1 x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}(\sqrt{p}) \setminus \{0\} \quad (11)$$

when  $a_3, \dots, a_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$  have been decided before and  $a_3 \neq 0$ . The odd prime  $p$  is such that all prime factors of  $(p-1)/2$  are greater than  $d$ . For non-zero elements  $x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}(\sqrt{p})$  such that  $\text{ord}_p x < M_1$  where

$$M_1 := \min \{(\text{ord}_p a_i - \text{ord}_p a_d)/(d-i) \mid 0 < i < d, a_i \neq 0\}, \quad (12)$$

the right-side expression in (11) has its order lying in the set  $\{\text{ord}_p a_3 + 3n \mid n \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}, n < M_1\}$ . The order of that expression is bounded below by

$$M_2 := \min\{\text{ord}_p a_i + iM_1 \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$$

for all remaining  $x$ . Nora chooses a rational number whose  $p$ -adic order belongs to  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus (\{\text{ord}_p a_d + dn \mid n \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}, n < M_1\} \cup \{n \geq M_2\})$ . She does the same for the reverse polynomial if  $a_d$  is to be picked last by her. By our observations above, such a polynomial will not have roots in any abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Next, we consider the situation when Nora has to choose  $a_i$  for some  $2 < i < d-2$  in the end. She desires

$$a_i \neq -x^{-i}(a_d x^d + \dots + a_{i+1} x^{i+1} + a_{i-1} x^{i-1} + \dots + a_0) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}(\sqrt{p})^*.$$

Let  $M_3 = \min \{(\text{ord}_p a_j - \text{ord}_p a_d)/(d-j) \mid 0 \leq j < d, j \neq i, a_j \neq 0\}$  and  $M_4 = \max \{(\text{ord}_p a_0 - \text{ord}_p a_j)/j \mid 0 < j < d, j \neq i, a_j \neq 0\}$  so that Nora can avoid all  $x$  with  $\text{ord}_p x \notin [M_3, M_4]$  from being roots by not allowing  $a_i$  to belong to either of the bi-infinite arithmetic progressions

$$\{\text{ord}_p a_d + n(d-i)/2 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\text{ord}_p a_0 - ni/2 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (13)$$

The elements  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}(\sqrt{p})^* \setminus \{0\}$  with  $M_3 \leq \text{ord}_p x \leq M_4$  will lead to expressions on the right side of (7) with a uniform lower bound on their  $p$ -adic order, as before. Lemma 31 tells us that Nora can find an integer  $N_0$  less than this lower bound and not in either of the arithmetic progressions in (13). On choosing  $a_i$  with  $\text{ord}_p a_i = N_0$ , the polynomial obtained will have no roots in any abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. N. Saradha for her careful suggestions which have helped in improving the presentation of this paper. Samuel Zbarsky went through a preliminary version of the manuscript thoroughly and kindly pointed out various mistakes. The first author acknowledges the support of the OPERA award and the Research Initiation Grant of BITS Pilani. The second author thanks Drs. Jinxin Xue, Min Wang and Qijun Yan for providing infrastructural support during the period of this work.

## References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, 1969.
- [2] David G. Cantor and Daniel M. Gordon. Factoring polynomials over  $p$ -adic fields. In *Algorithmic number theory (Leiden, 2000)*, volume 1838 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 185–208. Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [3] J. W. S. Cassels. *Local fields*, volume 3 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [4] Alexandre L. Chistov. Efficient factoring polynomials over local fields and its applications. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 1509–1519. Math. Soc. Japan, 1991.
- [5] Henri Cohen. *A course in computational algebraic number theory*, volume 138 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] Leonard Eugene Dickson. *Linear groups: with an exposition of the Galois field theory*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1958.
- [7] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. *Abstract algebra*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, third edition, 2004.
- [8] William Gasarch, Lawrence C. Washington, and Samuel Zbarsky. The coefficient-choosing game. *J. Comb. Number Theory*, 10(1):1–17, 2018.
- [9] Fernando Q. Gouvêa.  *$p$ -adic numbers*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1997.
- [10] Leann Kopp, Natalie Randall, J. Maurice Rojas, and Yuyu Zhu. Randomized polynomial-time root counting in prime power rings. *Math. Comp.*, 89(321):373–385, 2020.
- [11] Farrukh Mukhamedov and Mansoor Saburov. On equation  $x^q = a$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . *J. Number Theory*, 133(1):55–58, 2013.