

ON STABLE AND FINITE MORSE INDEX SOLUTIONS OF THE FRACTIONAL TODA SYSTEM

MOSTAFA FAZLY AND WEN YANG

ABSTRACT. We develop a monotonicity formula for solutions of the fractional Toda system

$$(-\Delta)^s f_\alpha = e^{-(f_{\alpha+1}-f_\alpha)} - e^{-(f_\alpha-f_{\alpha-1})} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

when $0 < s < 1$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, Q$, $f_0 = -\infty$, $f_{Q+1} = \infty$ and $Q \geq 2$ is the number of equations in this system. We then apply this formula, technical integral estimates, classification of stable homogeneous solutions, and blow-down analysis arguments to establish Liouville type theorems for finite Morse index (and stable) solutions of the above system when $n > 2s$ and

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})} \frac{Q(Q-1)}{2} > \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{4})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{4})^2}.$$

Here, Γ is the Gamma function. When $Q = 2$, the above equation is the classical (fractional) Gelfand-Liouville equation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 35B45, 35B08, 45K05, 45G15, 35B53.

Keywords: Toda system, stable solution, finite Morse index solution, fractional Laplacian, monotonicity formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study stable and finite Morse index solutions of the fractional Toda system

$$(1.1) \quad (-\Delta)^s f_\alpha = e^{-(f_{\alpha+1}-f_\alpha)} - e^{-(f_\alpha-f_{\alpha-1})} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q,$$

where $0 < s < 1$ and $Q \geq 2$ is the number of equations in this system. Let $f_0 = -\infty$ and $f_{Q+1} = \infty$. By taking $u_\alpha := f_\alpha - f_{\alpha+1}$, we can write (1.1) into the following classical form

$$(1.2) \quad (-\Delta)^s u_\alpha = 2e^{u_\alpha} - e^{u_{\alpha+1}} - e^{u_{\alpha-1}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1,$$

where $u_0 = u_Q = -\infty$. Equation (1.2) is called the $SU(Q)$ Toda system. When $Q = 2$, the above equation (1.2) is the classical (fractional) Gelfand-Liouville equation,

$$(1.3) \quad (-\Delta)^s u = e^u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The Toda system (in classical setting) and the Gelfand-Liouville equation have been studied in the research areas rooted in mathematical analysis and geometry. In physics, the Toda system is connected with the Chern-Simons-Higgs system, while in complex geometry, solutions of the Toda system (in classical setting) are closely related to the holomorphic curves in projective spaces. Particularly, the classical Plücker formula can be written as a local version of the $SU(Q)$ Toda system. The literature in this context is too vast to give more than a few representative references, see [1, 2, 11–13, 23, 24, 26–31] for the background and recent developments. In this paper, we shall study (1.1) from another point of view, i.e., focusing on classifying the stable and finite Morse index solutions of (1.1). Stable and finite Morse index solutions of supercritical fractional elliptic equations

$$(1.4) \quad (-\Delta)^s u = W(u) \quad \mathbb{R}^n,$$

including Gelfand-Liouville equation and Lane-Emden equation when $W(u) = e^u$ and $W(u) = u^p$ for $p > 1$, respectively, are studied in the literature as well, see [7, 8, 10, 14, 18, 19, 21, 36]. In the absence of stability, the classification of solutions of Gelfand-Liouville equation in lower-dimensions is studied in [4, 6] and references therein. Notice that the classification of stable solutions of elliptic multi-component systems is also studied in the context of De Giorgi's conjecture, see [17, 33, 35] and references therein.

We assume that $f_\alpha \in C^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\gamma > s > 0$ and

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_\alpha(x)|}{(1+|x|)^{n+2s}} dx < \infty.$$

The fractional Laplacian of u when $0 < s < 1$ denoted by

$$(1.6) \quad (-\Delta)^s f_\alpha(x) := p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_\alpha(x) - f_\alpha(z)}{|x-z|^{n+2s}} dz,$$

is well-defined for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here *p.v.* stands for the principle value. It is by now standard that the fractional Laplacian can be seen as a Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator for a degenerate but local diffusion operator in the higher-dimensional half-space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , see Caffarelli and Silvestre in [3]. In other words, for $f_\alpha \in C^{2\gamma} \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|x|)^{n+2s} dx)$ when $\gamma > s$ and $0 < s < 1$, there exists $\bar{f}_\alpha \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$ such that $y^{1-2s} \partial_y \bar{f}_\alpha \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$ and

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{f}_\alpha) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \bar{f}_\alpha = f_\alpha & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ -\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{1-2s} \partial_y \bar{f}_\alpha = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s f_\alpha & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \end{cases}$$

for the following constant κ_s ,

$$(1.8) \quad \kappa_s := \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(s)}.$$

Here is the notion of stability.

Definition 1.1. *We say that a solution $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_Q)$ of (1.1) is stable outside a compact set if there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{C_{n,s}}{2} \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\phi_\alpha(x) - \phi_\alpha(y))^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \phi_\alpha|^2 dx \geq \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(f_\alpha - f_{\alpha-1})} (\phi_\alpha - \phi_{\alpha-1})^2,$$

for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_{R_0}})$. We say a solution is stable if $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

From any solution to equation (1.1), it is straightforward to see that the summation of f_α is a s -harmonic function, we shall assume that any solution to (1.1) satisfy

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^Q f_\alpha = 0.$$

Therefore, it is natural to assume that test functions $\{\phi_\alpha\}_\alpha$ satisfy an additional constraint

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^Q \phi_\alpha = 0.$$

Throughout this paper, we use the convention that $\phi_0 = 0$. Here, we list our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *There is no entire finite Morse index solution of fractional Toda system (1.1) for $1 \leq \alpha \leq Q$ for $Q \geq 2$ when $n > 2s$ and*

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})} \frac{Q(Q-1)}{2} > \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{4})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{4})^2}.$$

Here, Γ is the Gamma function.

Theorem 1.2. *There is no entire stable solution of fractional Toda system (1.1) for $1 \leq \alpha \leq Q$ for $Q \geq 2$ when $n \leq 2s$ or $n > 2s$ and (1.12) holds.*

When $Q = 2$, that (1.1) turns into the Gelfand-Liouville equation, and $s = 1$, (1.12) reads $2 < N < 10$, and $N = 10$ is known as the critical Joseph-Lundgren optimal dimension. In addition, the above results recover the Dancer-Farina's classification results in [8, 16]. For the cases of $0 < s < 1$ and $1 < s \leq 2$, (1.12) concurs with the ones given in [14, 19, 21].

Now define the energy functional for any $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ as

$$(1.13) \quad E(\bar{f}, \lambda, x_0) := \lambda^{2s-n} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B^{n+1}(x_0, \lambda)} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{f}_{\alpha}|^2 dx dy - \kappa_s \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B^{n+1}(x_0, \lambda)} e^{-(\bar{f}_{\alpha} - \bar{f}_{\alpha-1})} dx \right) - s\lambda^{2s-1-n} \sum_{\alpha} (2\alpha - Q - 1) \int_{\partial B^{n+1}(x_0, \lambda) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} [\bar{f}_{\alpha} - (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \log r] d\sigma.$$

Here is a monotonicity formula for solutions of (1.7) when $0 < s < 1$ that is our main tool to establish the above results.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $0 < s < 1$. Let $\bar{f}_{\alpha} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$ be a solution of (1.7) such that $y^{1-2s} \partial_y \bar{f}_{\alpha} \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$. Then, E is a nondecreasing function of λ . Furthermore,*

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{dE}{d\lambda} = \lambda^{2s-n+1} \int_{\partial B^{n+1}(x_0, \lambda) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_{\alpha}}{\partial r} - \frac{(2\alpha - Q - 1)s}{r} \right)^2 d\sigma,$$

where E provided in (1.13).

Before ending the introduction, we would like to mention some technical difficulties, among other things, arising from the Toda system as opposed to the scalar Gelfand-Liouville equation. The right-hand side of (1.1) changes sign and this makes it more challenging to derive a priori estimates for u_{α} , like the ones in [21, Lemma 3.2] and [19, Lemma 4.12]. Due to the same reason, the study of the representation formula of u_{α}^{λ} , see (3.7), is more challenging. We overcome this difficulty by giving a more refined estimation in the proofs. To be more precise, instead of providing a point-wise bound to the integration (without the constant part), we prove that it is bounded in an area with a positive measure, and this is sufficient for our proofs.

Here is how this article is structured. In Section 2, we classify homogeneous solutions of the form of $f_{\alpha}(r, \theta) = \psi_{\alpha}(\theta) + (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \log r$. In Section 3, we provide technical integral estimates for solutions of for solutions of (1.1), including an integral representation formula for each f_{α} and also Moser iteration type arguments. In Section 4, we prove the above monotonicity formula for solutions of (1.1) via applying rescaling arguments. Then, we perform blow-down analysis arguments and prove the rest of main results.

List of Notations:

- B_R^{n+1} is the ball centered at 0 with radius R in dimension $(n + 1)$.
- B_R is the ball centered at 0 with radius R in dimension n .
- $B^{n+1}(x_0, R)$ is the ball centered at x_0 with radius R in dimension $(n + 1)$.
- $B(x_0, R)$ is the ball centered at x_0 with radius R in dimension n .
- $X = (x, y)$ represents a point in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .
- \bar{f} is s -harmonic extension of function f on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .
- C is a generic positive constant which may change from line to line.
- $C(r)$ is a positive constant depending on r and may change from line to line.
- σ is the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure restricted to $\partial B^{n+1}(x_0, r)$.

2. HOMOGENEOUS SOLUTIONS

In this section, we examine homogenous solutions of the form $f_{\alpha}(r, \theta) = \psi_{\alpha}(\theta) + (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \log r$. Note that the methods and ideas are inspired by the ones used in [9, 10, 18, 34], but considerably different arguments are applied in the proofs.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n > 2s$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})} \frac{Q(Q-1)}{2} > \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{4})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{4})^2}.$$

Then, there is no homogeneous stable solution of the form of $f_\alpha(r, \theta) = \psi_\alpha(\theta) + (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \log r$ for (1.1).

Proof. Let (f_1, \dots, f_Q) be a solution of (1.1). For every α and for any radially symmetric function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[e^{-(\psi_\alpha(\theta) - \psi_{\alpha-1}(\theta))} - e^{-(\psi_{\alpha+1}(\theta) - \psi_\alpha(\theta))} \right] e^{-2s \log |x|} \varphi dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\psi_\alpha(\theta) + (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \log |x|) (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) dx \\ &= (Q + 1 - 2\alpha)s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log |x| (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) dx = \mathcal{A}_{n,s,\alpha,Q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi}{|x|^{2s}} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,s,\alpha,Q} = 2^{2s-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})} (2\alpha - 1 - Q).$$

Here, we used

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_\alpha(\theta) (-\Delta)^s \varphi dx = 0 \quad \text{for any radially symmetric function } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$(-\Delta)^s \log \frac{1}{|x|^{2s}} = \mathcal{A}_{n,s} \frac{1}{|x|^{2s}} = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})} \frac{1}{|x|^{2s}}.$$

Then, we derive that

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{-(\psi_\alpha(\theta) - \psi_{\alpha-1}(\theta))} - e^{-(\psi_{\alpha+1}(\theta) - \psi_\alpha(\theta))} - \mathcal{A}_{n,s,\alpha,Q}) \frac{\varphi}{|x|^{2s}} dx$$

Therefore,

$$0 = \int_0^\infty r^{n-1-2s} \varphi(r) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (e^{-(\psi_\alpha(\theta) - \psi_{\alpha-1}(\theta))} - e^{-(\psi_{\alpha+1}(\theta) - \psi_\alpha(\theta))} - \mathcal{A}_{n,s,\alpha,Q}) d\theta dr,$$

which implies

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{-(\psi_\alpha(\theta) - \psi_{\alpha-1}(\theta))} - e^{-(\psi_{\alpha+1}(\theta) - \psi_\alpha(\theta))} d\theta = \mathcal{A}_{n,s,\alpha,Q} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|.$$

Applying inductions arguments starting with $\alpha = 1$, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{-(\psi_{\alpha+1}(\theta) - \psi_\alpha(\theta))} d\theta = \lambda_{n,s,\alpha,Q} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|,$$

for all α when

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_{n,s,\alpha,Q} = 2^{2s-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})} \alpha(Q - \alpha).$$

We now set a radially symmetric smooth cut-off function

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \left(1 - \eta\left(\frac{2x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) \eta(\varepsilon x).$$

It is not difficult to see that $\eta_\varepsilon = 1$ for $\varepsilon < r < \varepsilon^{-1}$ and $\eta_\varepsilon = 0$ for either $r < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ or $r > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$. We test the stability condition (1.9) on the function $\phi_\alpha(x) = c_\alpha r^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}} \eta_\varepsilon(r)$ where c_α is a constant depending on α satisfying

$\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} = 0$. Let $z = rt$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\phi_{\alpha}(x) - \phi_{\alpha}(z)}{|x - z|^{n+2s}} dz &= c_{\alpha} r^{-\frac{n}{2}-s} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\eta_{\varepsilon}(r) - t^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon}(rt)}{(t^2 + 1 - 2t\langle\theta, \omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} t^{n-1} dt d\omega \\ &= c_{\alpha} r^{-\frac{n}{2}-s} \eta_{\varepsilon}(r) \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1 - t^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}}}{(t^2 + 1 - 2t\langle\theta, \omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} t^{n-1} dt d\omega \\ &\quad + c_{\alpha} r^{-\frac{n}{2}-s} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{t^{n-1-\frac{n-2s}{2}} (\eta_{\varepsilon}(r) - \eta_{\varepsilon}(rt))}{(t^2 + 1 - 2t\langle\theta, \omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} dt d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that

$$\Lambda_{n,s} = C_{n,s} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1 - t^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}}}{(t^2 + 1 - 2t\langle\theta, \omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} t^{n-1} dt d\omega,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \Lambda_{n,s} := 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{4})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{4})^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\phi_{\alpha}(x) - \phi_{\alpha}(z)}{|x - z|^{n+2s}} dz &= c_{\alpha} C_{n,s} r^{-\frac{n}{2}-s} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{t^{n-1-\frac{n-2s}{2}} (\eta_{\varepsilon}(r) - \eta_{\varepsilon}(rt))}{(t^2 + 1 - 2t\langle\theta, \omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} dt d\omega \\ &\quad + c_{\alpha} \Lambda_{n,s} r^{-\frac{n}{2}-s} \eta_{\varepsilon}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Based on the above computations, we compute the left-hand side of the stability inequality (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C_{n,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\phi_{\alpha}(x) - \phi_{\alpha}(z))^2}{|x - z|^{n+2s}} dx dz \\ &= C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\phi_{\alpha}(x) - \phi_{\alpha}(z)) \phi_{\alpha}(x)}{|x - z|^{n+2s}} dx dz \\ (2.6) \quad &= c_{\alpha}^2 C_{n,s} \int_0^{\infty} \left[\int_0^{\infty} r^{-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}(r) (\eta_{\varepsilon}(r) - \eta_{\varepsilon}(rt)) dr \right] \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{t^{n-1-\frac{n-2s}{2}}}{(t^2 + 1 - 2t\langle\theta, \omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} d\omega d\theta dt \\ &\quad + c_{\alpha}^2 \Lambda_{n,s} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^{\infty} r^{-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}^2(r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the right-hand side of the stability inequality (1.9) for the test function $\phi_{\alpha}(x) = c_{\alpha} r^{-\frac{n}{2}+s} \eta_{\varepsilon}(r)$ and $f_{\alpha} = \psi_{\alpha}(\theta) + (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \log r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(f_{\alpha} - f_{\alpha-1})} (\phi_{\alpha} - \phi_{\alpha-1})^2 &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \eta_{\varepsilon}^2(r) r^{-2s} r^{-(n-2s)} r^{n-1} e^{-(\psi_{\alpha} - \psi_{\alpha-1})} (c_{\alpha} - c_{\alpha-1})^2 dr d\theta \\ (2.7) \quad &= \int_0^{\infty} r^{-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}^2(r) dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{-(\psi_{\alpha} - \psi_{\alpha-1})} (c_{\alpha} - c_{\alpha-1})^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the function η_{ε} , we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} r^{-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}^2(r) dr = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + O(1).$$

One can see that both the first term on the right-hand side of (2.6) and the right-hand side of (2.7) carry the term $\int_0^{\infty} r^{-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}^2(r) dr$ and it tends to ∞ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Next, we claim that

$$(2.8) \quad g_{\varepsilon}(t) := \int_0^{\infty} r^{-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}(r) (\eta_{\varepsilon}(r) - \eta_{\varepsilon}(rt)) dr = O(\log t).$$

From the definition of η_{ε} , we have

$$g_{\varepsilon}(t) = \int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} r^{-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}(r) (\eta_{\varepsilon}(r) - \eta_{\varepsilon}(rt)) dr.$$

Notice that

$$\eta_\varepsilon(rt) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \frac{\varepsilon}{t} < r < \frac{1}{t\varepsilon}, \\ 0, & \text{for either } r < \frac{\varepsilon}{2t} \text{ or } r > \frac{2}{t\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider various ranges of value of $t \in (0, \infty)$ to establish the claim (2.8). Notice that

$$g_\varepsilon(t) \approx \begin{cases} -\int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} r^{-1} dr + \int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} r^{-1} dr \approx \log \varepsilon = O(\log t), & \text{if } \frac{1}{t\varepsilon} < \varepsilon, \\ -\int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{\varepsilon} r^{-1} dr + \int_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon t}}^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} r^{-1} dr \approx \log t, & \text{if } \frac{\varepsilon}{t} < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{\varepsilon t}, \\ \int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} r^{-1} dr - \int_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} r^{-1} dr \approx \log t, & \text{if } \varepsilon < \frac{\varepsilon}{t} < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \\ \int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} r^{-1} dr - \int_{\frac{2\varepsilon}{t}}^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{t}} r^{-1} dr \approx \log \varepsilon = O(\log t), & \text{if } \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < \frac{\varepsilon}{t}. \end{cases}$$

The other cases can be treated similarly. From this one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty r^{-1} \eta_\varepsilon(r) (\eta_\varepsilon(r) - \eta_\varepsilon(rt)) \right] \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{t^{n-1-\frac{n-2s}{2}}}{(t^2+1-2t\langle\theta,\omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} d\omega d\theta dt \\ & \approx \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{t^{n-1-\frac{n-2s}{2}} \log t}{(t^2+1-2t\langle\theta,\omega\rangle)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} d\omega d\theta dt = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the higher order term ($\log \varepsilon$), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{Q-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{-(\psi_{\alpha+1}-\psi_\alpha)} d\theta (c_{\alpha+1} - c_\alpha)^2 \leq \Lambda_{n,s} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \sum_{\alpha=1}^Q c_\alpha^2.$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.9), we conclude that

$$2^{2s-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{Q-1} \alpha(Q-\alpha)(c_{\alpha+1} - c_\alpha)^2 \leq 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{4})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{4})^2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^Q c_\alpha^2.$$

Applying the arguments in [34], this contradicts (2.1). Therefore, such homogeneous solution does not exist and we finish the proof. \square

3. INTEGRAL ESTIMATES

In this section we use the notion of stability to derive energy estimates on $V_\alpha = e^{-(f_{\alpha+1}-f_\alpha)}$ and to develop the integral representation formula of f_α .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_Q)$ be a solution to (1.1) for some $n > 2s$. Suppose that \mathbf{f} is stable outside a compact set of \mathbb{R}^n . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{B_r} V_\alpha dx \leq Cr^{n-2s}, \quad \forall r \geq 1, \alpha = 1, \dots, Q.$$

Proof. Let $R \gg 1$ be a number such that u is stable on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R$. We define two smooth cut-off functions η_R and φ in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\eta_R(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x| \leq R \\ 1 & \text{for } |x| \geq 2R \end{cases}, \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

Setting $\phi_\alpha = -\phi_{\alpha+1} = \eta_R(x)\varphi(\frac{x}{r})$ with $r \geq 1$ and other ϕ_β to be zero if $\beta \neq \alpha, \alpha+1$. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^Q \phi_\beta = 0.$$

Then (ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_Q) is a good test function for the stability condition (1.9). Hence,

$$\int_{B_r} V_\alpha dx \leq C + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|(-\Delta)^s \phi_\alpha|^2 + |(-\Delta)^s \phi_{\alpha+1}|^2) dx \leq C + Cr^{n-2s} \leq Cr^{n-2s},$$

where $n > 2s$ and $r \geq 1$ is used. \square

As a direct consequence of the above lemma, we have the following estimate.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose $n > 2s$ and $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_Q)$ is a solution of (1.1) which is stable outside a compact set. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{B_r} V_\alpha^\lambda \leq Cr^{n-2s} \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1, r \geq 1, \alpha = 1, \dots, Q,$$

where $V_\alpha^\lambda = e^{-(f_{\alpha+1}^\lambda - f_\alpha^\lambda)}$.

Considering above decay estimate on V_α^λ , together with the arguments applied in [21, Lemma 2.3], we conclude the following estimate.

Lemma 3.2. *For $\delta > 0$ there exists $C = C(\delta) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda}{1 + |x|^{n-2s+\delta}} dx \leq C, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1, \alpha = 1, \dots, Q.$$

For any $\alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1$, we set

$$u_\alpha^\lambda := f_\alpha^\lambda - f_{\alpha+1}^\lambda.$$

From equation (1.1), we have

$$(3.3) \quad (-\Delta)^s u_\alpha^\lambda = 2e^{f_\alpha^\lambda - f_{\alpha+1}^\lambda} - e^{f_{\alpha-1}^\lambda - f_\alpha^\lambda} - e^{f_{\alpha+1}^\lambda - f_{\alpha+2}^\lambda} = 2V_\alpha^\lambda - V_{\alpha-1}^\lambda - V_{\alpha+1}^\lambda,$$

where $V_0^\lambda \equiv V_Q^\lambda \equiv 0$. Now, let

$$(3.4) \quad v_\alpha^\lambda := c(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} - \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} \right) (2V_\alpha^\lambda(z) - V_{\alpha-1}^\lambda(z) - V_{\alpha+1}^\lambda(z)) dz,$$

where $c(n, s)$ is chosen such that

$$c(n, s)(-\Delta)^s \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} = \delta(x-z).$$

It is straightforward to notice that $v_\alpha^\lambda \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In what follows we show that $v_\alpha^\lambda \in L_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|v_\alpha^\lambda|}{1 + |x|^{n+2s}} dx \leq C, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$(3.6) \quad w_\alpha^\lambda(x) := c(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} - \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} \right) V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz.$$

From this and the definition of v_α^λ , we have

$$v_\alpha^\lambda = 2w_\alpha^\lambda - w_{\alpha-1}^\lambda - w_{\alpha+1}^\lambda.$$

On the other hand, applying the arguments of [21, Lemma 2.4] one can conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w_\alpha^\lambda|}{1 + |x|^{n+2s}} dx \leq C, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1, \alpha = 1, \dots, Q.$$

As a direct consequence of this, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|v_\alpha^\lambda|}{1 + |x|^{n+2s}} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2|w_\alpha^\lambda| + |w_{\alpha-1}^\lambda| + |w_{\alpha+1}^\lambda|}{1 + |x|^{n+2s}} dx \leq C.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

We now derive the following representation formula of u_α^λ that is an essential estimate in this section.

Lemma 3.4. For any $\alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1$, there exists constant $d_\alpha^\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad u_\alpha^\lambda(x) = c(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} - \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} \right) (2V_\alpha^\lambda(z) - V_{\alpha-1}^\lambda(z) - V_{\alpha+1}^\lambda(z)) dz + d_\alpha^\lambda.$$

In addition, d_α^λ is bounded above for any $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1$.

Proof. According to the definition of v_α^λ , one can easily see that

$$h_\alpha^\lambda := u_\alpha^\lambda - v_\alpha^\lambda,$$

is a s -harmonic function in \mathbb{R}^n . Following ideas in [20, Lemma 2.4], applied also in [19, 21], one can show that h_α^λ is either a constant, or a polynomial of degree one.

Next, we shall prove that the difference function h_α^λ must be constant. First, we give an estimation for the term $\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |w_\alpha^\lambda| dx$ in the ball B_r with r very large. By (3.6), for $|x|$ large enough, we have

$$(3.8) \quad |w_\alpha^\lambda(x)| \leq C \int_{|z| \geq |x|^2} \left| \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} - \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} \right| V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz + C \int_{|z-x| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \\ + C \int_{|z| \leq |x|^2} \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz,$$

where we used $|x-z| \geq C|z|$ when $|z-x| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}$. Using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{|z| \geq |x|^2} \left| \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} - \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} \right| V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \leq C \int_{|z| \geq |x|^2} \frac{|x|}{1+|z|^{n-2s+1}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \\ \leq C \int_{|z| \geq |x|^2} \frac{|x|}{|z|^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \frac{1}{1+|z|^{n-2s+\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \\ \leq C \int_{|z| \geq |x|^2} \frac{1}{1+|z|^{n-2s+\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \leq C,$$

where δ is a small positive constant. While for the third term in the right-hand side of (3.8), in the light of equation (3.2) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{|z| \leq |x|^2} \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \leq C + C \left(1 + \int_{2 \leq |z| \leq |x|^2} \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \right) \\ \leq C + C \sum_{i=1}^{2\lfloor \log_2 |x| \rfloor} \int_{2^i \leq |z| \leq 2^{i+1}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \\ \leq C + 2C \log |x|.$$

Combining (3.8)-(3.10), we get

$$(3.11) \quad |w_\alpha^\lambda(x)| \leq C + C \log |x| + C \int_{|z-x| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz \quad \text{for } x \text{ large.}$$

Then,

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |v_\alpha^\lambda(x)| dx \leq C \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} (|w_\alpha^\lambda(x)| + |w_{\alpha-1}^\lambda(x)| + |w_{\alpha+1}^\lambda(x)|) dx \\ \leq C \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} (1 + \log(|x| + 1)) dx + C \int_{r \leq |x| \leq 2r} \int_{|z-x| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} V_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz dx \\ \leq Cr^n \log r,$$

where $w_0^\lambda(x) \equiv w_Q^\lambda(x) = 0$. As a consequence, for $x \in B_{2r} \setminus B_r$, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \{x \mid r^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |w_\alpha^\lambda(x)|\} \cap \{x \mid r \leq |x| \leq 2r\} \right| \leq Cr^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \log r.$$

If h_α^λ is a polynomial of degree one, then we can find a subset E_r of $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$ with measure greater than Cr^n such that

$$u_\alpha^\lambda(x) \geq h_\alpha^\lambda - 2|w_\alpha^\lambda| - |w_{\alpha-1}^\lambda| - |w_{\alpha+1}^\lambda| \geq Cr.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} e^{u_\alpha^\lambda} dx \geq Cr^n,$$

which is in contradiction with Corollary (3.1). Thus, h_α^λ must be constant, denoted by d_α^λ . Furthermore, using the fact that $v_\alpha^\lambda \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and applying Corollary (3.1), one can show that d_α^λ is bounded above for any $\lambda \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we prove a higher-order integrability of the nonlinearity of V_α on the region where $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_Q)$ is stable. More precisely, applying the stability inequality with appropriate test functions and using the s -extension arguments, we establish the following L^p -estimates. The arguments are motivated by the ones established in [5, 8] for the classical Liouville equation and in [34] for the classical Toda system.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f_\alpha \in C^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|x|^{n+2s})dx)$ be a solution to (1.1). Assume that \mathbf{f} is stable in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R$ for some $R > 0$. Then, for every $p \in [1, \min\{5, 1 + \frac{n}{2s}\})$ there exists $C = C(p) > 0$ such that for r large*

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} e^{-p(f_{\alpha+1} - f_\alpha)} dx \leq Cr^{n-2ps}.$$

In particular,

(i) for $|x|$ large,

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{B_{|x|/2}(x)} e^{-p(f_{\alpha+1}(z) - f_\alpha(z))} dz \leq C(p)|x|^{n-2ps}, \quad \forall p \in [1, \min\{5, 1 + \frac{n}{2s}\}),$$

(ii) for r large

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{B_r \setminus B_{2R}} e^{-p(f_{\alpha+1}(y) - f_\alpha(y))} dx \leq C(p)r^{n-2ps}, \quad \forall p \in [1, \min\{5, \frac{n}{2s}\}).$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.1. Note that if \mathbf{f} is stable in Ω then

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \Phi_\alpha|^2 dx dy \geq \kappa_s \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(f_\alpha - f_{\alpha-1})} (\phi_\alpha - \phi_{\alpha-1})^2 dx,$$

for every $\Phi_\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$ satisfying $\phi_\alpha(\cdot) := \Phi_\alpha(\cdot, 0) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha = 1, \dots, Q$. Indeed, if $\bar{\phi}_\alpha$ is the s -harmonic extension of ϕ_α , we have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \Phi_\alpha|^2 dx dy &\geq \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{\phi}_\alpha|^2 dx dy \\ &= \kappa_s \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\alpha (-\Delta)^s \phi_\alpha dx \\ &\geq \kappa_s \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(f_\alpha - f_{\alpha-1})} (\phi_\alpha - \phi_{\alpha-1})^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

In order to derive the higher-order integrability of V_α , we need to study the s -extension of V_α . In the light of (1.7), one can show that the s -extension \bar{V}_α verifies the following equation,

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{V}_\alpha) = y^{1-2s} e^{-(\bar{f}_{\alpha+1} - \bar{f}_\alpha)} |\nabla(\bar{f}_\alpha - \bar{f}_{\alpha+1})|^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \bar{V}_\alpha = e^{-(f_{\alpha+1} - f_\alpha)} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ -\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{1-2s} \partial_y \bar{V}_\alpha = \kappa_s V_\alpha ((-\Delta)^s f_\alpha - (-\Delta)^s f_{\alpha+1}) & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

We use the above equation to establish the following lemma that is crucial for the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.5. Let $f_\alpha \in C^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, (1 + |x|^{n+2s})dx)$ be a solution to (1.1). Assume that \mathbf{f} is stable in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$ be of the form $\Phi(x, y) = \varphi(x)\eta(y)$ for some $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\eta = 1$ for $y \in [0, 1]$. Then, for every $0 < q < 2$ we have

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_\alpha^{2q+1} \varphi^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} |\nabla \Phi|^2 dx dy + C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-2s} \nabla \Phi^2) dx dy \right|.$$

Proof. Multiplying (3.20) by $\overline{V}_\alpha^{2q-1} \Phi^2$ and integration by parts leads to

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2q-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \overline{V}_\alpha|^2 \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q-2} \Phi^2 dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} |\nabla(\overline{f}_\alpha - \overline{f}_{\alpha+1})|^2 \Phi^2 dx dy \\ &= \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_\alpha^{2q} (2V_\alpha - V_{\alpha+1} - V_{\alpha-1}) \varphi^2 dx + \frac{1}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-2s} \nabla \Phi^2) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that $\nabla(\overline{f}_\alpha - \overline{f}_{\alpha+1}) = \frac{\nabla \overline{V}_\alpha}{\overline{V}_\alpha}$. Therefore, (3.22) can be written as

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} 2q \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \overline{V}_\alpha|^2 \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q-2} \Phi^2 dx dy &= \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_\alpha^{2q} (2V_\alpha - V_{\alpha+1} - V_{\alpha-1}) \varphi^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-2s} \nabla \Phi^2) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, substituting $\phi_{\alpha+1} = -\phi_\alpha = V_\alpha^q \varphi$, $\phi_\beta = 0$ if $\beta \neq \alpha, \alpha+1$ and $\Phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \eta$ into (3.18) we get

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_\alpha^{2q+1} \varphi^2 dx &\leq q^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q-2} |\nabla \overline{V}_\alpha|^2 \Phi^2 dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} |\nabla \Phi|^2 dx dy \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-2s} \nabla \Phi^2) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.23) and (3.24) for any $q \in [0, 2)$ there exists a constant $C(q)$, depending only on q , such that for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$(3.25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_\alpha^2 \varphi^2 dx \leq C(q) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} |\nabla \Phi|^2 dx dy + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \overline{V}_\alpha^{2q} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-2s} \nabla \Phi^2) dx dy \right| \right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Before we give the proof of Proposition 3.1, we present the following L_{loc}^1 -estimate for the s -extension function $y^{1-2s} \overline{V}_\alpha^q$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $V_\alpha^q \in L^1(\Omega)$ for some $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $y^{1-2s} \overline{V}_\alpha^q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$.

Proof. Let $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$ be fixed. Since $f_\alpha \in L_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $x \in \Omega_0$ and $y \in (0, R)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f}_\alpha(x, y) - \overline{f}_{\alpha+1}(x, y) &\leq C + \int_{\Omega} (f_\alpha(z) - f_{\alpha+1}(z)) P(X, z) dz \\ &= C + \int_{\Omega} g(x, z) (f_\alpha(z) - f_{\alpha+1}(z)) \frac{P(X, z) dz}{g(x, z)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \geq g(x, z) := \int_{\Omega} P(X, z) dz \geq C$ for some positive constant C depending on R , Ω_0 and Ω only. Therefore, by Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_0} e^{q(\overline{f}_\alpha(x, y) - \overline{f}_{\alpha+1}(x, y))} dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega_0} \int_{\Omega} e^{qg(x, z) f_\alpha(z) - f_{\alpha+1}(z)} P(X, z) dz dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \max\{e^{q(f_\alpha - f_{\alpha+1})}, 1\} \int_{\Omega_0} P(X, z) dx dz \\ &\leq C + C \int_{\Omega} e^{q(f_\alpha(z) - f_{\alpha+1}(z))} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C depends on R , Ω_0 and Ω , but not on y . Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega_0 \times (0, R)} y^{1-2s} e^{q(\bar{F}_\alpha(x, y) - \bar{F}_{\alpha+1}(x, y))} dx dy \leq \int_0^R y^{1-2s} \int_{\Omega_0} e^{q(\bar{F}_\alpha(x, y) - \bar{F}_{\alpha+1}(x, y))} dx dy < \infty.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. From the Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.1, for $p \in (0, 1)$ we get

$$\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} V_\alpha^p dx \leq \left(\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} V_\alpha dx \right)^p \left(\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} 1 dx \right)^{1-p} \leq Cr^{n-2ps}.$$

Next, we claim that if

$$(3.26) \quad \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} V_\alpha^p dx \leq Cr^{n-2ps} \quad \text{for } r > 2R,$$

for some $p \in (0, \min\{\frac{n}{2s}, 4\})$, then

$$(3.27) \quad \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} V_\alpha^{p+1} dx \leq Cr^{n-2(1+p)s} \quad \text{for } r > 3R.$$

By (3.26), it is straightforward to show that

$$(3.28) \quad \int_{B_r \setminus B_{2R}} V_\alpha^p dx \leq Cr^{n-2ps} \quad \text{for } r > 2R.$$

Indeed, for $r > 2R$ of the form $r = 2^{N_1}$ with some positive integer N_1 , and taking N_2 to be the smallest integer such that $2^{N_2} \geq 2R$, by (3.26) we deduce

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2^{N_1}} \setminus B_{2R}} V_\alpha^p dx &= \int_{B_{2^{N_2}} \setminus B_{2R}} V_\alpha^p dx + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_1-N_2} \int_{B_{2^{N_2+\ell}} \setminus B_{2^{N_1+\ell}}} V_\alpha^p dx \\ &\leq C + C \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_1-N_2} (2^{N_2+\ell})^{n-2ps} \leq C2^{N_1(n-2ps)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $n - 2ps > 0$. Then using the hypothesis (3.26), we derive the following decay estimate

$$(3.30) \quad \int_{|z| \geq r} \frac{V_\alpha^p}{|z|^{n+2s}} dz = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{i+1}r \geq |z| \geq 2^i r} \frac{V_\alpha^p}{|z|^{n+2s}} dz \leq \frac{C}{r^{2s+2ps}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{(2+2p)si}} \leq Cr^{-2s-2ps}.$$

On the other hand, from the Poisson's formula for $|x| > \frac{5}{2}R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{f}_\alpha(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\alpha+1}(x, y)) &\leq C \frac{y^{2s}}{(R+y)^{n+2s}} \int_{|z| \leq 2R} (f_\alpha(z) - f_{\alpha+1}(z))^+(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R}}(z) (f_\alpha(z) - f_{\alpha+1}(z)) P(X, z) dz \\ &\leq C + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R}}(z) (f_\alpha(z) - f_{\alpha+1}(z)) P(X, z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of a set A . Using the Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$(3.31) \quad \bar{V}_\alpha^p(x, y) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (V_\alpha^p \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R}}(z) + \chi_{B_{2R}}(z)) P(X, z) dz \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 3R.$$

Integrating both sides of the inequality (3.31), with respect to x , on $B_r \setminus B_{3R}$ for $r > 3R$ and $y \in (0, r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r \setminus B_{3R}} \bar{\nabla}_\alpha^p dx &\leq C \int_{|z| \leq 2R} \int_{|x| \leq r} P(X, z) dx dz + C \int_{|z| \geq 2r} \int_{|x| \leq r} V_\alpha^p P(X, z) dx dz \\ &\quad + C \int_{2R \leq |z| \leq 2r} V_\alpha^p(z) \int_{|x| \leq r} P(X, z) dx dz \\ &\leq CR^n + Cr^{n+2s} \int_{|z| \geq 2r} \frac{V_\alpha^p(z)}{|z|^{n+2s}} dz + C \int_{2R \leq |z| \leq 2r} V_\alpha^p(z) dz \\ &\leq C + Cr^{n-2ps} \leq Cr^{n-2ps}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.26), (3.29) and (3.30). Now we fix non-negative smooth functions φ and η on \mathbb{R}^n and $[0, \infty)$, respectively, such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } B_2 \setminus B_1 \\ 0 & \text{in } B_{2/3} \cup B_3^c \end{cases}, \quad \eta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{in } [2, \infty) \end{cases}.$$

For $r > 0$, we now set $\Phi_r(x, t) = \varphi(\frac{x}{r})\eta(\frac{t}{r})$. Applying the test function Φ_r in Lemma 3.5 for $r \geq 3R$ and using the fact that $|\nabla \Phi_r| \leq \frac{C}{r}$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \bar{\nabla}_\alpha^p |\nabla \Phi_r|^2 dx dy &\leq Cr^{-2} \int_0^{2r} y^{1-2s} \int_{B_{3r} \setminus B_{2r/3}} \bar{\nabla}_\alpha^p(x, y) dx dy \\ &\leq Cr^{n-2-2ps} \int_0^{2r} y^{1-2s} dt \\ &\leq Cr^{n-2s(1+p)}. \end{aligned}$$

With similar arguments, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\nabla}_\alpha^p \nabla \cdot [y^{1-2s} \nabla \Phi_r^2] dx dt \right| \leq Cr^{n-2s(1+p)}.$$

Therefore, (3.27) follows from (3.21) of Lemma 3.5 as desired. This completes the proof of the claim. Repeating the above arguments finitely many times we get (3.15) in the light of the fact $V_\alpha = e^{-(f_{\alpha+1} - f_\alpha)}$. The estimate (3.16) follows immediately as well since $B_{|x|/2}(x) \subset B_{2r} \setminus B_{r/2}$ with $r = |x|$. Similarly, from (3.28) one can obtain (3.17) of Proposition 3.1. This completes the proof. \square

4. BLOW-DOWN ANALYSIS

In this section, we perform blow-down analysis for the energy functional given by the monotonicity formula (1.13). We start with the proof of the latter formula.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let

$$(4.1) \quad I(\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \lambda) = \lambda^{2s-n} \sum_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_\lambda^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{f}_\alpha|^2 dx dy - \kappa_s \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_\lambda^{n+1}} e^{-(\bar{f}_\alpha - \bar{f}_{\alpha-1})} dx \right).$$

Now for $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, define

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda(X) := \bar{f}_\alpha(\lambda X) - (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \log \lambda.$$

Then, \bar{f}_α^λ solves (1.7) and in addition

$$(4.3) \quad I(\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \lambda) = I(\bar{\mathbf{f}}^\lambda, 1).$$

Taking partial derivatives on ∂B_1 , we get

$$(4.4) \quad \partial_r \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda = \lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda + (2\alpha - Q - 1)s.$$

Differentiating the formula (4.1) with respect to λ , we find

$$\partial_\lambda I(\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \lambda) = \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_1^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda \cdot \nabla \partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda dx dy + \kappa_s \sum_\alpha \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_1^{n+1}} e^{-(\bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda - \bar{f}_{\alpha-1}^\lambda)} (\partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda - \partial_\lambda \bar{f}_{\alpha-1}^\lambda) dx.$$

Integrating by parts and then using (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda I(\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \lambda) &= \sum_\alpha \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \partial_r \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda d\sigma \\ &= \sum_\alpha \left(\lambda \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} (\partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda)^2 d\sigma + (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda d\sigma \right) \\ &= \sum_\alpha \left[\lambda \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} (\partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda)^2 d\sigma + \partial_\lambda \left((2\alpha - Q - 1)s \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda d\sigma \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(4.5) \quad \partial_\lambda \left[I(\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \lambda) - \sum_\alpha (2\alpha - Q - 1)s \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda d\sigma \right] = \lambda \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \sum_\alpha (\partial_\lambda \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda)^2 d\sigma.$$

Applying the scaling (4.2) completes the proof. \square

We now analyze the third term in the monotonicity formula.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \bar{f}_α^λ be the s -harmonic extension of f_α^λ , then*

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_\alpha (Q + 1 - 2\alpha) \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda d\sigma = c_s \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor \frac{Q}{2} \rfloor} (\ell Q - \ell^2) (d_\ell^\lambda + d_{Q-\ell}^\lambda) + O(1),$$

where $\lfloor \tau \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of τ , d_ℓ^λ is defined in (3.7) and c_s is a positive finite number given by

$$c_s := \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} d\sigma.$$

Proof. By a simple observation, we can rewrite $\sum_\alpha (Q + 1 - 2\alpha) \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda$ as

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha (Q + 1 - 2\alpha) \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor \frac{Q}{2} \rfloor} (\ell Q - \ell^2) \left[(\bar{f}_\ell^\lambda - \bar{f}_{\ell+1}^\lambda) + (\bar{f}_{Q-\ell}^\lambda - \bar{f}_{Q-\ell+1}^\lambda) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor \frac{Q}{2} \rfloor} (\ell Q - \ell^2) (\bar{u}_\ell^\lambda + \bar{u}_{Q-\ell}^\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{u}_α^λ is the s -extension of u_α^λ . In order to derive (4.6), it suffices to show that

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \bar{u}_\alpha^\lambda d\sigma = c_s d_\alpha^\lambda + O(1), \quad \forall \alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1.$$

From (3.7) and using the Poisson formula we have

$$\bar{u}_\alpha^\lambda(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(X, z) u_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz = d_\alpha^\lambda + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(X, z) v_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz,$$

where v_α^λ is given in (3.4). Based on the expression formula of the Poisson kernel and Lemma 3.3, one can get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2} P(X, z) |v_\alpha^\lambda(z)| dz \leq C \quad \text{for } |X| \leq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \bar{u}_\alpha^\lambda(X) d\sigma = c_s d_\alpha^\lambda + O(1) + \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \int_{B_2} P(X, z) v_\alpha^\lambda(z) dz d\sigma.$$

We denote the last term in the above equation by II . To estimate the term II , we claim that

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \int_{B_4} y^{1-2s} P(X, z) \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{n-2s}} dz d\sigma \leq C \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Indeed, for $x \neq \xi$ we set $r_{x,\xi} = \frac{1}{2}|x - \xi|$. Then we have

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_4} \frac{y}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s} |\xi - z|^{n-2s}} dz \\ & \leq \left(\int_{B(\xi, r_{x,\xi})} + \int_{B_4 \setminus B(\xi, r_{x,\xi})} \right) \frac{y}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s} |\xi - z|^{n-2s}} dz \\ & \leq C \frac{y}{(r_{x,\xi} + y)^{n+2s}} \int_{B(\xi, r_{x,\xi})} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{n-2s}} dz + \frac{1}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-2s}} \int_{B_4 \setminus B(\xi, r_{x,\xi})} \frac{y}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} dz \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{y^{2s}}{(r_{x,\xi} + y)^{n+2s}} + \frac{y^{1-2s}}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-2s}} \right) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-1}} + \frac{y^{1-2s}}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-2s}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We use the stereo-graphic projection $(x, y) \rightarrow \theta$ from $\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$, i.e.,

$$(x, y) \rightarrow \theta = \frac{x}{1-y}.$$

Then $r_{x,\xi} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{2\theta}{1+|\theta|^2} - \xi \right|$ and it follows that

$$\int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \frac{1}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-1}} d\sigma \leq \int_{|\theta| \geq 1} \frac{1}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(1+|\theta|^2)^n} d\theta \leq C,$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \frac{y^{1-2s}}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-2s}} d\sigma \leq \int_{|\theta| \geq 1} \frac{y^{1-2s}}{r_{x,\xi}^{n-2s}} \frac{1}{(1+|\theta|^2)^n} d\theta \leq C.$$

From (4.10)-(4.11), we proved (4.9). As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |II| & \leq C + C \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \int_{|z| \leq 2} P(X, z) \int_{|\xi| \leq 4} \frac{2V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}^\lambda(\xi)}{|z - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi dz d\sigma \\ & \leq C + C \int_{|\xi| \leq 4} e^{u^\lambda(\xi)} \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \int_{|z| \leq 2} y^{1-2s} P(X, z) \frac{1}{|z - \xi|^{n-2s}} dz d\sigma d\xi \\ & \leq C + C \int_{|\xi| \leq 4} (2V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha_1}^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}^\lambda(\xi)) d\xi \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.1) and (3.2). Hence, we finish the proof. \square

We use the arguments of Lemma 4.1 to derive the following weighted L^2 -estimate of the s -extension of v_α^λ , see (3.4) for the definition of v_α^λ .

Lemma 4.2. *Let \bar{v}_α^λ denote the extension of v_α^λ , then for $r \geq 1$ we have*

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{3-2s} |\bar{v}_\alpha^\lambda|^2 dx dy \leq C(r),$$

for some constant $C(r)$ depending only on r .

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 4.1, it is enough to show that

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \left(\int_{|z| \leq 2r} P(X, z) \int_{|\xi| \leq 4r} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha-1}^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}^\lambda(\xi)}{|\xi - z|^{n-2s}} d\xi dz \right)^2 dx dy \leq C(r).$$

For $x \neq \zeta$, following the arguments in (4.10) we have

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{|z| \leq 2r} \frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s} |\zeta - z|^{n-2s}} dz \leq \frac{C}{|x - \zeta|^{n-2s}}.$$

As a consequence, we get

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\bar{v}_\alpha^\lambda|^2 dx dy \leq C + C \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \left(\int_{|\xi| \leq 4r} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha-1}^\lambda(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}^\lambda(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi \right)^2 dx dy.$$

For $x \neq 0$, we write

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{|\xi| \leq 4r} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi = \left(\int_{B_{2\lambda^{-1}R}} + \int_{(B_{4r} \setminus B_{2\lambda^{-1}R}) \setminus B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} + \int_{(B_{4r} \setminus B_{2\lambda^{-1}R}) \cap B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \right) \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi.$$

Here R is chosen such that \mathbf{f} is stable in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R$. For the first term on the right-hand side, using $f_\alpha \in C^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{B_{2\lambda^{-1}R}} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi \leq C(\lambda^{-1}R)^{2s} \lambda^{2s} \leq C.$$

For the second term on the right-hand side,

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{(B_{4r} \setminus B_{2\lambda^{-1}R}) \setminus B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi &\leq C + \int_{B_{4r} \setminus B_{2|x|}} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|\xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi \\ &\leq C + \sum_{i=1}^{[\log_2(\frac{2r}{|x|})]} \int_{B_{2^i|x|} \setminus B_{2^{i-1}|x|}} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|\xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi \\ &\leq C(r) + C(r) |\log |x||. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term, we have

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\int_{(B_{4r} \setminus B_{2\lambda^{-1}R}) \cap B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi \right)^2 &\leq \left(\int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{1}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{(V_\alpha^\lambda(\xi))^2}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.15)-(4.19) and Proposition 3.1-(i), we get

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\bar{v}_\alpha^\lambda|^2 dx dy &\leq C(r) + \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} (C(r) + C(r) |\log |x||^2) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |x|^{2s} \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{(V_\alpha(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}(\xi) + V_{\alpha-1}(\xi))^2}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} d\xi dx dy \\ &\leq C(r) + C(r) \int_{(B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \cap \{|x| \leq 4\lambda^{-1}R\}} y^{1-2s} \frac{|x|^{2s}}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} \lambda^{4s} dx dy \\ &\quad + C(r) \int_{B_r \setminus B_{4\lambda^{-1}R}} \frac{|x|^{2s}}{|x - \xi|^{n-2s}} \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} (V_\alpha(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}(\xi) + V_{\alpha-1}(\xi))^2 d\xi dx \\ &\leq C(r), \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} (V_\alpha(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}(\xi) + V_{\alpha-1}(\xi))^2 d\xi \leq C\lambda^{4s} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 4\lambda^{-1}R.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

In order to estimate the first quadratic term in the monotonicity formula (1.13), we need the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$\int_{B_r} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_\alpha^\lambda(x)|^2 dx \leq Cr^{n-2s} \quad \text{for } r \geq 1, \quad \lambda \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1.$$

Proof. By a scaling argument, it suffices to prove the lemma for $\lambda = 1$. It follows from (3.7) that

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_\alpha(x) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-s}} (2V_\alpha(z) - V_{\alpha-1}(z) - V_{\alpha+1}(z)) dz,$$

in the sense of distribution. Let $R > 0$ be such that \mathbf{f} is stable outside B_R . For $r \gg R$, we decompose $B_r = B_{4R} \cup (B_r \setminus B_{4R})$. Since $u_\alpha \in \dot{H}_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1$, we get

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{B_{4R}} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_\alpha|^2 dx \leq C(R).$$

While for $4R < |x| < r$ we estimate

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_\alpha(x)| &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2R}} + \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_{2R}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \right) \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-s}} (2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)) dz \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|x|^{n-s}} + C \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_{2R}} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-s}} (2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)) dz \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \frac{1}{|z|^{n-s}} (2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)) dz \\ &=: C \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n-s}} + I_1(x) + I_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), we bound the last term in the above as

$$|I_2| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^k r \leq |x| \leq 2^{k+1} r} \left| \frac{2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)}{|z|^{n-s}} \right| dz \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{k+1} r)^{n-2s}}{(2^k r)^{n-s}} \leq \frac{C}{r^s}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.23) \quad \int_{B_r} I_2^2 dx \leq C r^{n-2s}.$$

In regards to $I_1(x)$ in the above, we have

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1(x) &\leq \int_{\{z | 2R \leq |z| \leq 2|x|\} \cap B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\{z | 2R \leq |z| \leq 2|x|\} \setminus B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \\ &\quad + \int_{2r \geq |z| \geq 2|x|} \frac{2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (4.24), we have

$$(4.25) \quad \left| \int_{\{z | 2R \leq |z| \leq 2|x|\} \setminus B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \right| \leq C \frac{|x|^{n-2s}}{|x|^{n-s}} \leq \frac{C}{|x|^s},$$

while for the third term on the right-hand side of (4.24), following the estimation of I_2 we get

$$(4.26) \quad \left| \int_{2r \geq |z| \geq 2|x|} \frac{2V_\alpha(z) + V_{\alpha-1}(z) + V_{\alpha+1}(z)}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^s}.$$

Concerning the first term on the right-hand side of (4.24), from (4.25)-(4.26) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$(4.27) \quad I_1^2(x) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2s}} + \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{V_\alpha^2(z) + V_{\alpha-1}^2(z) + V_{\alpha+1}^2(z)}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \right).$$

Now, using Proposition 3.1 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.28) \quad \int_{B_r} I_1^2(x) &\leq C \int_{B_r \setminus B_{4R}} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2s}} + \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} \frac{V_\alpha^2(z) + V_{\alpha-1}^2(z) + V_{\alpha+1}^2(z)}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dz \right) dx \\
&\leq Cr^{n-2s} + C \int_{B_r \setminus B_{4R}} \frac{|x|^s}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dx \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} (V_\alpha^2(z) + V_{\alpha-1}^2(z) + V_{\alpha+1}^2(z)) dz \\
&\leq Cr^{n-2s} + C \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor \log_2(\frac{r}{4R}) \rfloor + 1} \left(\int_{B_{2^{i+1}R} \setminus B_{2^i R}} \frac{|x|^s}{|x-z|^{n-s}} dx \int_{B(x, \frac{|x|}{2})} (V_\alpha^2(z) + V_{\alpha-1}^2(z) + V_{\alpha+1}^2(z)) dz \right) \\
&\leq Cr^{n-2s} + C \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor \log_2(\frac{r}{4R}) \rfloor + 1} 2^{i(n-2s)} R^{n-2s} \leq Cr^{n-2s},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $n > 2s$ and $r > 1$. Combining (4.22), (4.23) and (4.28), we deduce

$$(4.29) \quad \int_{B_r \setminus B_{4R}} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_\alpha|^2 dx \leq Cr^{n-2s}.$$

Then, the proof follows from (4.21), (4.29) and $n > 2s$. \square

We now use Lemma 4.3 to prove weighted L^2 -estimate for $|\nabla \bar{f}_\alpha|$.

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$(4.30) \quad \sum_\alpha \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda|^2 dx dy \leq C(r), \quad \forall r > 1, \lambda \geq 1.$$

Proof. Under the assumption that $\sum_\alpha f_\alpha = 0$, it is straightforward to see that

$$(4.31) \quad \sum_\alpha f_\alpha^\lambda = 0.$$

From the relation $u_\alpha^\lambda = f_\alpha^\lambda - f_{\alpha+1}^\lambda$, one gets

$$(4.32) \quad f_\alpha^\lambda = \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha-1} u_\beta^\lambda - \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{\beta=1}^{Q-1} (Q-\beta) u_\beta^\lambda.$$

Based on (4.32), we can find constant C such that

$$(4.33) \quad \sum_\alpha \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{f}_\alpha^\lambda|^2 dx dy \leq C \sum_{\alpha=1}^{Q-1} \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_\alpha^\lambda|^2 dx dy.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$(4.34) \quad \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_\alpha^\lambda|^2 dx dy \leq C(r), \quad \forall r \geq 1, \lambda \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1.$$

We consider the following decomposition,

$$u_\alpha^\lambda = u_{\alpha,1}^\lambda + u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{\alpha,1}^\lambda(x) &= c(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} - \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} \right) \varphi(z) (2V_\alpha(z) - V_{\alpha-1}(z) - V_{\alpha+1}(z)) dz + d_\alpha^\lambda, \\
u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x) &= c(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2s}} - \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{n-2s}} \right) (1-\varphi(z)) (2V_\alpha(z) - V_{\alpha-1}(z) - V_{\alpha+1}(z)) dz,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{4r})$ is such that $\varphi = 1$ in B_{2r} . As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, one can show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\alpha,1}^\lambda(x)|^2 dx dy = \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{u}_{\alpha,1}^\lambda(x)|^2 dx \leq C(r).$$

Here and in what follows, $\bar{u}_{\alpha,i}^\lambda$ denotes the s -harmonic extension of $u_{\alpha,i}^\lambda$ for $i = 1, 2$. It remains to prove that

$$(4.35) \quad \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)|^2 dx dy \leq C(r) \quad \text{for every } r \geq 1, \lambda \geq 1.$$

Following the arguments of Lemma 3.3, one can verify that

$$(4.36) \quad \|\nabla u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(B_{3r/2})} \leq C(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)|}{1+|x|^{n+2s}} dx \leq C(r),$$

and consequently,

$$(4.37) \quad \|u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(B_{3r/2})} \leq C(r).$$

To prove (4.35), we consider $\partial_y \bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda$ and $\nabla_x \bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda$ separately. For the first term, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y \bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(X) &= \partial_y (\bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x, y) - u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)) = \partial_y \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(X, z) (u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(z) - u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)) dz \\ &= d_{n,s} \partial_y \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} (u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(z) - u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)) dz \\ &= d_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_y \left(\frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} \right) (u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(z) - u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(X, z) = d_{n,s} \frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} dz = 1.$$

By (4.36), for $|x| \leq r$ it holds that

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{3r/2}} \partial_y \left(\frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} \right) (u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(z) - u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)) dz \right| \\ &\leq C(r) y^{2s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{3r/2}} \frac{(|u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(z)| + 1)}{1+|z|^{n+2s}} dz \leq C(r) y^{2s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.36)-(4.37), yields

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \left(\int_{B_{3r/2}} \partial_y \left(\frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} \right) (u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(z) - u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda(x)) dz \right)^2 dx dy \\ &\leq C(r) \|\nabla u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(B_{3r/2})}^2 \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \left(\int_{B_{3r/2}} \partial_y \left(\frac{y^{2s}}{|(x-z, y)|^{n+2s}} \right) |x-z| dz \right)^2 dx dy \\ &\leq C(r) \|\nabla u_{\alpha,2}^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(B_{3r/2})}^2 \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} dx dy \leq C(r). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.38)-(4.39), we get

$$(4.40) \quad \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\partial_y \bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda|^2 dx dy \leq C(r) \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} (y^{1-2s} + y^{2s-1}) dx dy \leq C(r).$$

For the term $\nabla_x \bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda$, in a similar way, we get

$$(4.41) \quad \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla_x \bar{u}_{\alpha,2}^\lambda|^2 dx dy \leq C(r).$$

Then, (4.34) follows from (4.40) and (4.41). This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} E_s(\bar{\mathbf{F}}, 0, \lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E_s(\bar{\mathbf{F}}^\lambda, 0, 1) < +\infty.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.4, we conclude that the following is bounded in $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$

$$\sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_1^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2 dx dy - \kappa_s \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_1^{n+1}} e^{-(\bar{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda} - \bar{f}_{\alpha-1}^{\lambda})} dx \right).$$

Using Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{u}^{\lambda}, 0, 1) &= sc_s \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor \frac{Q}{2} \rfloor} (\ell Q - \ell^2) (d_{\ell}^{\lambda} + d_{Q-\ell}^{\lambda}) + O(1) \\ &\geq E(\bar{u}, 0, 1) = sc_s \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor \frac{Q}{2} \rfloor} (\ell Q - \ell^2) (d_{\ell}^1 + d_{Q-\ell}^1) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 3.4 implies

$$(4.42) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor \frac{Q}{2} \rfloor} (\ell Q - \ell^2) (d_{\ell}^{\lambda} + d_{Q-\ell}^{\lambda}) \text{ is bounded for } \lambda \geq 1.$$

In addition, using the fact that $(\ell Q - \ell^2)$ is strictly positive for every $\ell = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{Q}{2} \rfloor$, we get

$$d_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \text{ is bounded for } \lambda \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1.$$

From Lemma 4.1, we conclude

$$(4.43) \quad \sum_{\alpha} (2\alpha - Q - 1) \int_{\partial B_1^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \bar{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(X) d\sigma = O(1).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.5. *For every $r > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, we have*

$$\int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} (|\bar{u}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2 + |\nabla \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2) dx dy \leq C(r), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q-1.$$

Proof. Based on Lemma 4.4 we only need to show that

$$\int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2 dx dy \leq C(r).$$

Since d_{α}^{λ} is bounded, from Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2 dx dy \leq C \int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} (1 + |\bar{v}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2) dx dy \leq C(r).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

We are now ready to provide the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Since the proofs are very similar when $n > 2s$, we provide the proof simultaneously.

Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Let $n > 2s$. Let (f_1, \dots, f_Q) be a stable outside a compact solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.12). Similar arguments hold for stable solutions of (1.1) satisfying (1.12). Let $R > 1$ be such that \mathbf{f} is stable outside the ball B_R . From Lemma 4.5 and (4.32) we obtain that

$$\int_{B_r^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} (|\bar{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2 + |\nabla \bar{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}|^2) dx dy \leq C(r), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q.$$

Hence, there exists a sequence $\lambda_i \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\bar{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda_i} \text{ converges weakly to } \bar{f}_{\alpha}^{\infty} \text{ in } \dot{H}_{\text{loc}}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}, y^{1-2s} dx dy), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, Q.$$

In addition, we have $\bar{u}_\alpha^{\lambda_i} \rightarrow \bar{u}_\alpha^\infty$ almost everywhere. We can argue as [21, Theorem 1.1] to show that \bar{f}_α^∞ satisfies (1.7) in the weak sense. Next, we show that the limit function \bar{f}_α^∞ is homogenous. Based on the above convergence arguments, for any $r > 0$ we get

$$(4.44) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_i r, 0) \text{ is independent of } r.$$

Indeed, for any two positive numbers $r_1 < r_2$ we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_i r_1, 0) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_i r_2, 0).$$

On the other hand, for any λ_i , we choose λ_{m_i} such that $\{\lambda_{m_i}\} \subset \{\lambda_i\}$ and $\lambda_i r_2 \leq \lambda_{m_i} r_1$. As a consequence, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_i r_2, 0) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_{m_i} r_1, 0) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_i r_1, 0).$$

This finishes the proof of (4.44). Using (4.44) we see that for $R_2 > R_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_i R_2, 0) - \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} E(\bar{f}, \lambda_i R_1, 0) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}^{\lambda_i}, R_2, 0) - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{f}^{\lambda_i}, R_1, 0) \\ &\geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{(B_{R_2}^{n+1} \setminus B_{R_1}^{n+1}) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_\alpha^{\lambda_i}}{\partial r} - \frac{(2\alpha - Q - 1)s}{r} \right)^2 dx dy \\ &\geq \int_{(B_{R_2}^{n+1} \setminus B_{R_1}^{n+1}) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-2s} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_\alpha^\infty}{\partial r} - \frac{(2\alpha - Q - 1)s}{r} \right)^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

where we only used the weak convergence of $\bar{f}_\alpha^{\lambda_i}$ to \bar{f}_α^∞ in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}, y^{1-2s} dx dy)$ in last inequality. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial \bar{f}_\alpha^\infty}{\partial r} + \frac{s(Q + 1 - 2\alpha)}{r} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}.$$

In addition, \mathbf{f}^∞ is also stable because the stability condition for \mathbf{f}^{λ_i} passes to the limit. This is in contradiction with Theorem 2.1. Now, let $n \leq 2s$ and (f_1, \dots, f_Q) be a stable solution of (1.1). Applying the stability inequality with appropriate test functions and using the s -extension arguments, completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Battaglia, A. Jevnikar, A. Malchiodi and D. Ruiz, *A general existence result for the Toda system on compact surfaces*, Adv. Math., 285 (2015) pp. 937-979.
- [2] W. H. Bennett, *Magnetically self-focusing streams*, Phys. Rev., 45 (1934) pp. 890-897.
- [3] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 32 (2007) no. 7-9 pp. 1245-1260.
- [4] W. Chen and C. Li, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J., 63 (3) (1991) pp. 615-622.
- [5] M. G. Crandall and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Some continuation and variation methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 58 (1975) pp. 207-218.
- [6] F. Da Lio, L. Martinazzi and T. Riviere, *Blow-up analysis of a nonlocal Liouville-type equation*, Analysis and PDE, 8 (2015), pp. 1757-1805.
- [7] E. N. Dancer, *Finite Morse index solutions of exponential problems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 25 (2008) no. 1 pp. 173-179.
- [8] E. N. Dancer and A. Farina, *On the classification of solutions of $-\Delta u = e^u$ on \mathbb{R}^N : stability outside a compact set and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 137 (2009) pp. 1333-1338.
- [9] J. Davila, L. Dupaigne, K. Wang and J. Wei, *A monotonicity formula and a Liouville-type theorem for a fourth order supercritical problem*, Advances in Mathematics, 258 (2014) pp. 240-285.
- [10] J. Davila, L. Dupaigne and J. Wei, *On the fractional Lane-Emden equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 369 (2017) pp. 6087-6104.
- [11] M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk and J. Wei, *The Toda system and clustering interfaces in the Allen-Cahn equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 190 (1) (2008) pp. 141-187.

- [12] A. Doliwa, *Holomorphic curves and Toda systems*, Lett. Math. Phys. 39(1) (1997) pp. 21-32.
- [13] G. Dunne, R. Jackie, S.Y. Pi and C. Trugenberger, *Self-dual Chern-Simons solitons and two dimensional nonlinear equations*, Phys. Rev. D, 43 (1991) pp. 1332-1345.
- [14] L. Dupaigne, M. Ghergu, O. Goubet and G. Warnault, *The Gel'fand problem for the biharmonic operator*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 208 (2013) no. 3 pp. 725-752.
- [15] M. Fall, *Semilinear elliptic equations for the fractional Laplacian with Hardy potential*, Nonlinear Anal., 193 (2020) 111311.
- [16] A. Farina, *Stable solutions of $-\Delta u = e^u$ on \mathbb{R}^n* , C. R. Math., 345 (2) (2007) pp. 63-66.
- [17] M. Fazly and Y. Sire, *Symmetry results for fractional elliptic systems and related problems*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 40 (2015), no. 6, pp. 1070-1095.
- [18] M. Fazly and J. Wei, *On finite Morse index solutions of higher order fractional Lane-Emden equations*, Amer. J. Math., 139 (2017) no. 2 pp. 433-460.
- [19] M. Fazly, J. Wei and W. Yang, *Classification of finite Morse index solutions of higher-order Gelfand-Liouville equation*, Published online. Arxiv 2006.06089.
- [20] A. Hyder, *Structure of conformal metrics on R^n with constant Q -curvature*, Differential Integral Equations, 32 (2019) no. 7-8 pp. 423-454.
- [21] A. Hyder and W. Yang, *Classification of stable solutions to a non-local Gelfand-Liouville equation*, Published online. Arxiv 2003.03071.
- [22] D.D. Joseph and T.S. Lundgren, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 49 (1972/73) pp. 241-269.
- [23] J. Jost and G. Wang, *Classification of solutions of a Toda system in \mathbb{R}^2* , Int. Math. Res. Not., 2002 (2002) 277.
- [24] B. Kostant, *The solution to a generalized Toda lattice and representation theory*, Adv. Math., 34 (3) (1979) pp. 195-338.
- [25] Y. Li, *Remark on some conformally invariant integral equations: the method of moving spheres*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 6 (2004) no. 2 pp. 153-180.
- [26] C.-S. Lin, J. C. Wei, W. Yang and L. Zhang, *On rank two Toda system with arbitrary singularities: local mass and new estimates*, Anal. PDE, 8 (2015) no. 4 pp. 807-837.
- [27] C.-S. Lin, J. Wei and L. Zhang, *Classification of blowup limits for $SU(3)$ singular Toda systems*, Analysis and PDE, 8 (2015) no. 4 pp. 807-837.
- [28] C.-S. Lin, J. Wei and L. Zhang, *Local profile of fully bubbling solutions to $SU(n+1)$ Toda Systems*, J. Europ. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 18(2016) no. 8 pp. 1707-1728.
- [29] C.-S. Lin, J. Wei and D. Ye, *Classification and nondegeneracy of $SU(n+1)$ Toda system with singular sources*, Invent. Math., 190 (1) (2012) pp. 169-207.
- [30] C.-S. Lin, W. Yang and X. Zhong, *A priori Estimates of Toda systems, I: the types of $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n$ and \mathbf{G}_2* , J. Differential Geom., 114 (2020), no. 2 pp. 337-391.
- [31] A. Malchiodi, *Variational analysis of Toda systems*, Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B, 38 (2) (2017) pp. 539-562.
- [32] F. Pacard, *A note on the regularity of weak solutions of $\Delta u = u^\alpha$ in \mathbb{R}^n* , Houston J. Math., 18 (1992) no 4 pp. 621-632.
- [33] N. Soave and S. Terracini, *Liouville theorems and 1-dimensional symmetry for solutions of an elliptic system modelling phase separation*, Adv. Math., 279 (2015) pp. 29-66.
- [34] K. Wang, *Stable and finite Morse index solutions of Toda system*, J. Differential Equations, 268 (2019) pp. 60-79.
- [35] K. Wang, *On the De Giorgi type conjecture for an elliptic system modeling phase separation*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 39 (2014) pp. 696-739.
- [36] K. Wang and J. Wei, *Finite Morse index implies finite ends*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 72 (5) (2019) pp. 1044-1119.

MOSTAFA FAZLY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO, SAN ANTONIO TX 78249.
E-mail address: mostafa.fazly@utsa.edu

WEN YANG, WUHAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 71010, WUHAN 430071, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: wyang@wipm.ac.cn