RANDOMIZED KACZMARZ CONVERGES ALONG SMALL SINGULAR VECTORS

STEFAN STEINERBERGER

ABSTRACT. Randomized Kaczmarz is a simple iterative method for finding solutions of linear systems Ax = b. We point out that the arising sequence $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ tends to converge to the solution x in an interesting way: generically, as $k \to \infty$, $x_k - x$ tends to the singular vector of A corresponding to the smallest singular value. This has interesting consequences: in particular, the error analysis of Strohmer & Vershynin is optimal. It also quantifies the 'preconvergence' phenomenon where the method initially seems to converge faster. This fact also allows for a fast computation of vectors x for which the Rayleigh quotient $\|Ax\|/\|x\|$ is small: solve Ax = 0 via Randomized Kaczmarz.

1. Introduction

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m \geq n$ and full rank. Suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$Ax = b$$
,

how would one go about finding x? A popular method for solving these types of problems is the Kaczmarz method [8] (also known as the Algebraic Reconstruction Technique (ART) in computer tomography [4, 6, 13] or as the Projection onto Convex Sets method [2, 18]). Denoting the rows of A by a_1, \ldots, a_m , the linear system can be written as a collection of inner products

$$\forall \ 1 \le i \le m : \langle a_i, x \rangle = b_i.$$

The Kaczmarz method is an iterative scheme: given x_k , let us pick an equation, say the i-th equation, and modify x_k the smallest possible amount necessary to make it correct: set $x_{k+1} = x_k + \delta a_i$, where δ is such that $\langle a_i, x_{k+1} \rangle = b_i$. Formally,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{b_i - \langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i.$$

The Kaczmarz method is then given by cycling through the indices. The method has a geometric interpretation (see Fig. 1): x_{k+1} is obtained by projecting x_k onto the hyperplane given by $\langle a_i, x \rangle = b_i$. This interpretation is already given in the original 1937 paper of Kaczmarz who ends his paper by saying that 'The convergence of this method is completely obvious from a geometric perspective' [8]. However, given the intricate underlying geometry, convergence rates are difficult to obtain. In a seminal paper, Strohmer & Vershynin [19] showed that by choosing the equations in a random order (where the i-th equation is chosen with a likelihood

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 52C35, 65F10.

Key words and phrases. Kaczmarz method, Algebraic Reconstruction Technique, ART, Projection onto Convex Sets, POCS, Randomized Kaczmarz method, Singular Vector.

S.S. is supported by the NSF (DMS-1763179) and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

proportional to $||a_i||^2$), the method converges exponentially: more precisely, they show that

$$\mathbb{E} \|x_k - x\|_2^2 \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2 \|A^{-1}\|_2^2}\right)^k \|x_0 - x\|_2^2,$$

where $||A^{-1}||_2$ is the operator norm of the inverse and $||A||_F$ is the Frobenius norm. This is a remarkable result in many ways. The analysis of Kacmarz and Kacmarz-type algorithms has become quite popular: we refer to [1, 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21] and references therein.




FIGURE 1. A geometric interpretation: iterative projections onto the hyperplanes given by $\langle a_i, x \rangle = b_i$.

2. Results

- 2.1. **Summary.** A priori, one might be inclined to believe that the very nature of the algorithm produces an essentially random sequence in the sense that the normalized vectors $(x_k x)/\|x_k x\|$ behave mostly randomly or, alternatively put, that the approximations converge to $x_k \to x$ 'from all directions'. It is the goal of this short note to show that this is decidedly *not* the case: the singular vectors v_1, \ldots, v_n of the matrix A describe directions of distinguished dynamics. We describing three main observations:
 - (1) §2.1: while $||x_k x||$ decreases exponentially, this is happening at different rates in different subspaces. We show (Theorem 1) that for any (right) singular vector v_ℓ of A associated to the singular value σ_ℓ

$$\mathbb{E} \langle x_k - x, v_\ell \rangle = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_\ell^2}{\|A\|_E^2} \right)^k \langle x_0 - x, v_\ell \rangle.$$

Contributions from the smallest singular vectors (σ_{ℓ} small) decays the slowest: hence we expect them to be dominant for k large. We recall that for the smallest singular vector σ_n , we have the identity

$$\sigma_n = ||A^{-1}||_2$$
 leading to the rate $1 - \frac{1}{||A||_F^2 ||A^{-1}||_2^2}$

which recovers the convergence rate of Strohmer & Vershynin [19]. Thus in the generic setting one cannot hope to improve the rate. (2) §2.2: this phenomenon also impacts convergence rates: if x_k is not mainly the linear combination of singular vectors corresponding to small singular values, Randomized Kaczmarz converges *faster*: we have

$$\mathbb{E} \|x_{k+1} - x\|_2^2 \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\| A \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|} \right\|^2 \right) \|x_k - x\|_2^2.$$

We observe that this factor may actually be quite large since

$$||A^{-1}||^2 \le ||A\frac{x_k - x}{||x_k - x||}||^2 \le ||A||^2.$$

The lower bound is only close to being attained when $x_k - x$ is close to the space spanned by singular vectors given by small singular values of A.

(3) §2.3: once x_k is mainly the combination of singular vectors corresponding to small singular values, the Randomized Kaczmarz Method is also less likely to explore adjacent subspaces. We show that the normalized vector $(x_k - x)/\|x_k - x\|$ then moves only very slowly on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} since

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|}, \frac{x_{k+1} - x}{\|x_{k+1} - x\|}\right\}^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\|A\frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|}\right\|^2.$$

2.2. **Transfer to Small Singular Vectors.** We start by establishing the main phenomenon which can be phrased as a simple algebraic identity.

Theorem 1. Let v_{ℓ} be a (right) singular vector of A associated to the singular value σ_{ℓ} . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \langle x_k - x, v_\ell \rangle = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_\ell^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \right)^k \langle x_0 - x, v_\ell \rangle.$$

We recall that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2 = ||A||_F^2,$$

so the arising factor multiplicative factor is always in between 0 and 1. This shows that the Randomized Kaczmarz method has exponential decay at different exponential rates that depend on the singular values. In particular, the slowest rate of decay is given by the smallest singular value σ_n . Since

$$\sigma_n = ||A^{-1}||_2$$
 the rate is $1 - \frac{1}{||A||_F^2 ||A^{-1}||_2^2}$

which recovers the convergence rate of Strohmer & Vershynin [19]. In particular, if x_0 has contributions from singular vectors corresponding to large singular values, the sequence initially decays faster than expected since components corresponding to larger singular values decay quicker. A previous result in that spirit was obtained by Jiao, Jin & Lu [7] who obtained an 'averaged' version of Theorem 1: by decomposing $\mathbb{R}^n = L + H$ into subspaces spanned by low singular vectors L (corresponding to small singular values) and high singular vectors H (corresponding to large singular values), they obtain the estimate

$$\mathbb{E}\|\pi_H x_{k+1}\|^2 \le (1 - c_1)\|\pi_H x_k\|^2 + c_2\|\pi_L x_k\|^2$$

where π is the projection and $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are given in terms of singular values.

2.3. **Speed of Convergence.** The phenomenon described in Theorem 1 obviously has an effect on the speed of the algorithm: whenever $x_k - x$ is not close to singular vectors of A that correspond to small singular vector, the Randomized Kaczmarz method actually converges faster. Theorem 2 is already implicit in Strohmer & Vershynin [19] where a formulation of it appears as an intermediate step.

Theorem 2. We have

$$\mathbb{E} \|x_{k+1} - x\|_2^2 \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\| A \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|} \right\|^2 \right) \|x_k - x\|_2^2.$$

Observe that for any vector ||v|| = 1, we have

$$||Av||^2 \ge \sigma_n^2 ||v||^2 = ||A^{-1}||_2^2 \cdot ||v||_2,$$

where σ_n is the smallest singular value. But clearly this last inequality is only sharp or close to sharp if v is close to linear combination of singular vectors corresponding to small singular values: otherwise, the quantity is indeed larger.

2.4. **Stability of Small Singular Vectors.** We conclude with a result of a geometric flavor. A natural object is the sequence of vectors

$$\frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

It essentially describes from which direction the sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ approaches the solution x. The result in the prior sections have shown that we expect this vector will eventually be concentrated on subspaces corresponding to singular vectors associated to small singular values. A different questions would be how much we expect the normalized vector to 'move around'.

Theorem 3. If $x_k \neq x$ and $\mathbb{P}(x_{k+1} = x) = 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\langle \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|}, \frac{x_{k+1} - x}{\|x_{k+1} - x\|} \right\rangle^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\| A \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|} \right\|^2.$$

We recall that for two unit vectors ||u|| = 1 = ||v||, the inner quantity $\langle u, v \rangle^2$ can be interpreted as a notion of distance between the vectors: it is only close to 1 if $u \sim \pm v$. Since we only care about subspaces, the ambiguity in the sign does not play a role. We observe that the direction $(x_k - x)/||x_k - x||$ undergoes large fluctuations when $||A(x_k - x)||$ is large and is quite stable when $||A(x_k - x)||$ is small. Once x_k is mainly comprised of small singular vectors, its direction does not change very much anymore: randomized Kaczmarz spends more time close to these subspaces than it does in other regions of space: the smaller the smallest singular value, the more pronounced phenomenon. Could this property be used for convergence acceleration?

2.5. An Application: Finding Small Rayleigh Quotients. We discuss an amusing application: finding vectors ||x|| = 1 such that ||Ax|| is small. In light of our results, such a sequence of vectors can be obtained from the Randomized Kaczmarz method applied to the equation

$$Ax = 0$$

We illustrate this with a simple example: we take $A \in \mathbb{R}^{1000 \times 1000}$ by picking each entry to be iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, we add $100 \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{1000}$ and then set the last row a_{1000} to be

a tiny perturbation of a_{999} by adding 0.01 to each entry. This generates a matrix having singular values between ~ 0.5 and ~ 1.5 and one tiny singular value at scale $\sim 10^{-4}$. We start with $x_0 = (1, ..., 1)$ and plot $||Ax_k||/||x_k||$ (see Fig. 2). We observe that within $5000 \sim 5n$ iterations, the ratio is actually smaller than any singular value but the last one – this implies that x_k is actually already mostly given by v_{1000} . After 10.000 iterations, we observe $|\langle x_{104}/||x_{104}||, v_{1000}\rangle| \sim 0.9$.




FIGURE 2. A sample evolution of $||Ax_k||/||x_k||$.

3. Proofs

3.1. **Reduction to** Ax = 0. We start with the ansatz

$$x_k = x + y_k$$
.

Plugging in, we obtain

$$\begin{split} x + y_{k+1} &= x_{k+1} = x_k + \frac{b_i - \langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i \\ &= x + y_k + \frac{b_i - \langle a_i, x + y_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i \\ &= x + y_k - \frac{\langle a_i, y_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i + \left(\frac{b_i - \langle a_i, x \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i\right). \end{split}$$

We note that the final term in parenthesis vanishes because x is the exact solution and thus $b_i - \langle a_i, x \rangle = 0$. This results in

$$y_{k+1} = y_k - \frac{\langle a_i, y_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i$$

which is exactly the Randomized Kaczmarz method for Ay = 0. Linearity of all the underlying objects (or, alternatively, the geometric interpretation in terms of hyperplane intersections) explains why this is perhaps not too surprising. In any case, this allows us to restrict ourselves to the case Ax = 0.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. As discussed above, we can assume without loss of generality that b = 0. It remains to understand the behavior of the Randomized Kaczmarz method when applied to Ax = 0. We have

$$\mathbb{E} \langle x_{k+1}, v_{\ell} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_{i}\|^{2}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \left\langle x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}, v_{\ell} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\|a_{i}\|^{2} \langle x_{k}, v_{\ell} \rangle - \langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle \langle a_{i}, v_{\ell} \rangle \right)$$

$$= \langle x_{k}, v_{\ell} \rangle - \frac{1}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle \langle a_{i}, v_{\ell} \rangle.$$

This sum has a special structure: $\langle a_i, x_k \rangle$ is simply the i-th entry of Ax_k and, likewise, $\langle a_i, v_\ell \rangle$ is simply the i-th entry of Av_ℓ . This simplifies the sum. We then expand x_k into right-singular vectors v_i , make use of $Av_i = \sigma_i u_i$ and the orthogonality of the left singular vectors u_i to obtain

$$\begin{split} \langle x_k, v_\ell \rangle - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \ \langle a_i, x_k \rangle \, \langle a_i, v_\ell \rangle &= \langle x_k, v_\ell \rangle - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \, \langle A x_k, A v_\ell \rangle \\ &= \langle x_k, v_\ell \rangle - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \, \left\langle A \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_k, v_i \rangle \, v_i \right), A v_\ell \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x_k, v_\ell \rangle - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \, \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \, \langle x_k, v_i \rangle \, u_i, \sigma_\ell u_\ell \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x_k, v_\ell \rangle \, \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_\ell^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \right) \end{split}$$

which is the desired result.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. This proof follows the argument of Strohmer & Vershynin [19]. If Z is the (vector-valued) random variable assuming the value $Z = a_j / \|a_j\|^2$ with probability $\|a_j\|^2 / \|A\|_F^2$, then Strohmer & Vershynin have established the inequality

$$||x_{k+1} - x||_2^2 \le \left(1 - \left|\left\langle \frac{x_k - x}{||x_k - x||}, Z\right\rangle\right|^2\right) ||x_k - x||_2^2.$$

At this point we simply compute

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \left\langle \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|}, Z \right\rangle \right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\|a_j\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\langle \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|}, \frac{a_j}{\|a_j\|_2} \right\rangle^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\langle \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|}, a_j \right\rangle^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\| A \frac{x_k - x}{\|x_k - x\|} \right\|^2.$$

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. As above, we can assume without loss of generality that b=0. Moreover, since the entire expression is invariant under scaling, we can assume w.l.o.g. that $||x_k|| = 1$. If $\mathbb{P}(x_{k+1} = 0) = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\langle x_{k}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{\|x_{k+1}\|} \right\rangle^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_{i}\|^{2}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \left\langle x_{k}, \frac{x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}}{\|x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}\|} \right\rangle^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_{i}\|^{2}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \frac{\left\langle x_{k}, x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}\right\rangle^{2}}{\|x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}\|^{2}}.$$

We observe that

$$x_k - \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i$$

is the projection of x_k onto the subspace $\langle x, a_i \rangle = 0$ and thus

$$\left\langle x_k, x_k - \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i \right\rangle = \left\| x_k - \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|^2} a_i \right\|^2.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_{i}\|^{2}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \frac{\left\langle x_{k}, x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i} \right\rangle^{2}}{\|x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}\|^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_{i}\|^{2}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \frac{\|x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}\|^{4}}{\|x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|^{2}} a_{i}\|^{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_{i}\|^{2}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \left\|x_{k} - \frac{\langle a_{i}, x_{k} \rangle}{\|a_{i}\|} \frac{a_{i}}{\|a_{i}\|} \right\|^{2}.$$

We recall that $||x_k|| = 1$ and thus

$$\begin{split} \left\| x_k - \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|} \frac{a_i}{\|a_i\|} \right\|^2 &= \|x_k\|^2 - 2 \left\langle \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|} \frac{a_i}{\|a_i\|}, x_k \right\rangle + \left\| \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|} \frac{a_i}{\|a_i\|} \right\|^2 \\ &= 1 - 2 \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle^2}{\|a_i\|^2} + \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle^2}{\|a_i\|^2} = 1 - \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle^2}{\|a_i\|^2}. \end{split}$$

Altogether, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_i\|^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\| x_k - \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle}{\|a_i\|} \frac{a_i}{\|a_i\|} \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|a_i\|^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \left(1 - \frac{\langle a_i, x_k \rangle^2}{\|a_i\|^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\|a_i\|^2 - \langle a_i, x_k \rangle^2 \right)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle a_i, x_k \rangle^2 = 1 - \frac{\|Ax_k\|^2}{\|A\|_F^2}.$$

References

- [1] Z.-Z. Bai and W.-T. Wu, On convergence rate of the randomized Kaczmarz method, Linear Algebra and its Applications 553 (2018), p. 252–269
- [2] C. Cenker, H. G. Feichtinger, M. Mayer, H. Steier, and T. Strohmer. New variants of the POCS method using affine subspaces of finite codimension, with applications to irregular sampling. In Proc. SPIE: Visual Communications and Image Processing, pages 299–310, 1992.
- [3] Y. C. Eldar and D. Needell. Acceleration of randomized Kaczmarz method via the Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma. Numer. Algorithms, 58(2):p. 163–177, 2011.
- [4] R. Gordon, R. Bender and G. Herman, Algebraic reconstruction techniques (ART) for threedimensional electron microscopy and x-ray photography, Journal of Theoretical Biology, 29 (1970): p. 471–481
- [5] R. M. Gower and P. Richtarik. Randomized iterative methods for linear systems. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 36(4):1660-1690, 2015.
- [6] G.T. Herman. Image reconstruction from projections. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1980. The fundamentals of computerized tomography, Computer Science and Applied Mathematics.
- [7] Y. Jiao, B. Jin and X. Lu, Preasymptotic Convergence of Randomized Kaczmarz Method, Inverse Problems 33 (2017), article: 125012
- [8] S. Kaczmarz, Stefan, Angenaherte Auflosung von Systemen linearer Gleichungen, Bulletin International de l'Academie Polonaise des Sciences et des Lettres. Classe des Sciences Mathematiques et Naturelles. Serie A, Sciences Mathematiques, 35 (1937), pp. 355–357
- [9] Y.-T. Lee and A. Sidford, Efficient Accelerated Coordinate Descent Methods and Faster Algorithms for Solving Linear Systems, FOCS 2013
- [10] D. Leventhal and A. S. Lewis, Randomized Methods for Linear Constraints: Convergence Rates and Conditioning, Mathematics of Operation Research, Vol. 35, No. 3, August 2010, pp. 641–654
- [11] J. Liu and S. Wright, An accelerated randomized Kaczmarz algorithm, Math. Comp. 85 (2016), p. 153-178
- [12] A. Ma, D Needell and A Ramdas, Convergence properties of the randomized extended Gauss— Seidel and Kaczmarz methods, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 36 (2015), pp. 1590–1604
- [13] F. Natterer. The Mathematics of Computerized Tomography. Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [14] D. Needell. Randomized Kaczmarz solver for noisy linear systems. BIT, 50(2):395–403, 2010.
- [15] D. Needell and J. Tropp, Paved with good intentions: Analysis of a randomized block Kaczmarz method, Linear Algebra and its Applications 441, 2014, p. 199–221
- [16] D. Needell, R. Ward and N. Srebro, Stochastic gradient descent, weighted sampling, and the randomized Kaczmarz algorithm, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, p. 1017–1025
- [17] D. Needell, R. Zhao and A. Zouzias, Randomized block Kaczmarz method with projection for solving least squares, Linear Algebra and its Applications 484 (2015), p. 322–343
- [18] K.M. Sezan and H. Stark. Applications of convex projection theory to image recovery in tomography and related areas. In H. Stark, editor, Image Recovery: Theory and application, pages 415–462. Acad. Press, 1987
- [19] T. Strohmer and R. Vershynin, A randomized Kaczmarz algorithm for linear systems with exponential convergence, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 15 (2009): p. 262–278
- [20] Y. Tan and R. Vershynin, Phase retrieval via randomized Kaczmarz: theoretical guarantees, Information and Inference: A Journal of the IMA 8 (2019), p. 97–123
- [21] A. Zouzias and N. M. Freris. Randomized extended Kaczmarz for solving least squares. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 34(2): p. 773–793, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 E-mail address: stefan.steinerberger@yale.edu