

MULTIPLE PHASE TRANSITIONS ON COMPACT SYMBOLIC SYSTEMS

TAMARA KUCHERENKO, ANTHONY QUAS, AND CHRISTIAN WOLF

ABSTRACT. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous potential associated with a symbolic dynamical system $T : X \rightarrow X$ over a finite alphabet. Introducing a parameter $\beta > 0$ (interpreted as the inverse temperature) we study the regularity of the pressure function $\beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ on an interval $[\alpha, \infty)$ with $\alpha > 0$. We say that ϕ has a phase transition at β_0 if the pressure function $P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ is not differentiable at β_0 . This is equivalent to the condition that the potential $\beta_0\phi$ has two (ergodic) equilibrium states with distinct entropies. For any $\alpha > 0$ and any increasing sequence of real numbers (β_n) contained in $[\alpha, \infty)$, we construct a potential ϕ whose phase transitions in $[\alpha, \infty)$ occur precisely at the β_n 's. In particular, we obtain a potential which has a countably infinite set of phase transitions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Broadly speaking a phase transition refers to a qualitative change of the statistical properties of a dynamical system. The precise definition of this notion differs depending on which settings and properties one studies. A phase transition might mean co-existence of several equilibrium states resulting from some optimization [7, 9, 11], lack of the Gateaux differentiability of the pressure functional [6, 8, 12, 19], or loss of analyticity of the pressure with respect to external physical parameters such as temperature [13, 14, 23]. The latter gives rise to further differentiation between the first-order, second-order, or higher order phase transitions.

We briefly note the relationship between the regularity of the pressure and coexistence of several equilibria. We refer to Section 2.1 for the definitions and a formal discussion. It was shown by Walters [26] that the Gateaux differentiability at ϕ of the pressure functional acting on the space of continuous potentials is equivalent to the uniqueness of the equilibrium state for ϕ . On the other hand, non-differentiability of the pressure in the direction of ϕ necessarily implies coexistence of several equilibrium states.

Key words and phrases. equilibrium states, phase transitions, thermodynamic formalism, topological pressure, variational principle.

T.K. is supported by grants from the Simons Foundation #430032 and from the PSC-CUNY TRADA-48-19.

A.Q. is supported by a grant from NSERC.

C.W. was supported by grants from the Simons Foundation (#637594 to Christian Wolf) and from the PSC-CUNY (TRADB-51-63715).

Recently, Leplaideur [16] discovered the surprising fact that the converse to this statement is not true. He provided an example of a continuous potential ϕ defined on a mixing subshift of finite type such that the pressure is analytic in the direction of ϕ , but uniqueness of equilibrium states fails. Moreover, in Leplaideur’s example the uniqueness of equilibrium states fails for two distinct inverse temperature values.

The existence of phase transitions has also been established for parabolic systems and the geometric potential, see, e.g., [1, 3, 17, 25]. Roughly speaking in these examples the *degree of parabolicity* near the parabolic point(s) determines whether the second equilibrium state is finite or σ -finite.

A number of related questions have been studied in the statistical physics literature. Miekisz [18] starts from the well-known Robinson two-dimensional shift of finite type that admits only aperiodic configurations. These configurations have a highly regular structure, and are considered to be a quasi-crystal. Miekisz’s model allows “forbidden” configurations to appear with a local energy cost. There is a conjectural picture in the statistical physics literature which would imply that at finite temperatures, the equilibrium states exhibit global periodicity with local fluctuations, while at zero temperature the equilibrium measure is supported on quasi-crystal states. In this picture, there is a sequence of phase transitions as the temperature is reduced at which the global period increases. In support of this conjecture, Miekisz establishes an increasing sequence of lower bounds on the global period as the temperature is reduced to zero. It should be pointed out that the paper does not demonstrate that the quasi-crystal state is not attained at positive temperature, but rather establishes that conditional on the positive temperature states not being quasi-crystalline, there must be an infinite sequence of phase transitions.

Another related model due to van Enter and Shlosman [10] deals with a model in dimension 2 or higher with a continuous alphabet (the circle). They describe a nearest neighbour potential (the “Seuss model”, named after the Cat in the Hat) with an infinite sequence of first order phase transitions in this setting.

In this note we are concerned with the first-order phase transitions of the pressure function with respect to a parameter regarded as the inverse temperature. We consider a continuous potential $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with a symbolic dynamical system (X, T) over a finite alphabet. Given a positive real number β , we study the regularity of the pressure function $\beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$. We say ϕ has a phase transition at β_0 if the pressure function $\beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ is not differentiable at β_0 . This is equivalent to the condition that the potential $\beta_0\phi$ has two (ergodic) equilibrium states with distinct entropies (see Section 2.1 for details).

It is a classical result due to Ruelle [21, 22] that if X is a transitive subshift of finite type then the pressure functional P_{top} acts real analytically on the space of Hölder continuous potentials, that is, for all Hölder continuous $\phi, \psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have that $\beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(\phi + \beta\psi)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of

0. This immediately implies the uniqueness of equilibrium states for Hölder continuous potential, which is referred to as “lack of phase transitions,” [9]. Therefore, in order to allow the possibility of phase transitions (i.e. the occurrence of distinct equilibrium states) one needs to consider potential functions that are merely continuous.

Although phase transitions have been studied for many classes of dynamical systems, to the best of our knowledge this is the first family of examples in the one-dimensional symbolic setting with more than two phase transitions. In this paper we develop a method to explicitly construct a continuous potential with any finite number of first order phase transitions in any given interval $[\alpha, \infty)$ occurring at any sequence of predetermined points. We are able to go even further. Note that the convexity of the pressure implies that a continuous potential ϕ has at most countably many phase transitions. We show that the case of infinitely many phase transitions can indeed be realized. In the following statement we summarize our results given by Theorem 1, Corollary 1, Corollary 2, Corollary 3 and Remark 1.

Main Theorem. *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the two-sided full shift, α be any positive number and (β_n) be a strictly increasing (finite or infinite) sequence in $[\alpha, \infty)$. Then there exists a continuous potential $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the following holds:*

- (i) *When $\beta \geq \alpha$ the potential ϕ has a phase transition at β if and only if $\beta = \beta_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- (ii) *If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta_\infty < \infty$, then the family of equilibrium states of $\beta\phi$ is constant for all $\beta \geq \beta_\infty$.*

While we are able to completely control the function $\beta \mapsto P(\beta\phi)$ on the interval $[\alpha, \infty)$, we do not have a full picture of the behaviour for β values in the range $[0, \alpha)$. In the range $[\alpha, \infty)$, the potential drops so sharply off certain sets X_n that equilibrium states are forced to live on the X_n 's. For β below α , the “cost” incurred by leaving $\bigcup X_n$ is not sufficiently high to prevent equilibrium states having support outside the union. We conjecture that there exists a β_* such that for $\beta < \beta_*$, the equilibrium state for $\beta\phi$ is fully supported, and indeed in this region, we expect that the pressure depends analytically on β .

Of particular interest is the behavior of the pressure function $\beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. A simple argument shows that $P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ has an asymptote of the form $a\beta + b$. Taking finitely many $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^N$ in part (i) of the Main Theorem we see that $P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ reaches its asymptote at $\beta = \beta_N$. Hence, we have an ultimate phase transition at $\beta = \beta_N$. Physically, this means that for some positive temperature $1/\beta_N$, the systems reaches its ground state which is the unique measure of maximal entropy of a certain subshift of X in our construction, and then ceases to change. This phenomenon is often referred to as a freezing phase transition at which the system reaches a ground state. We refer to [4, 5] and the references therein for details about freezing phase transitions.

We note that in the situation of the Main Theorem part (ii) with infinitely many β_n values we also obtain a freezing phase transition. Namely, for all $\beta \geq \beta_\infty$ there are precisely two ergodic equilibrium states both of which are fixed point measures and each equilibrium state is a convex combination of these fixed point measures. In particular, the set of equilibrium states of $\beta\phi$ does not change anymore when the temperature $1/\beta$ is lowered.

Finally, we mention that the case of finite alphabet shift maps crucially differs from that of countable alphabet symbolic systems. Indeed, for countable Markov shifts Sarig established several new phenomena that are associated with the lack of analyticity of the pressure function. This includes positive Lebesgue measure non-analyticity points of the pressure function, which are associated with the existence of multiple equilibrium states and/or intervals of intermittent behavior, i.e. an interval of β 's with an infinite conservative equilibrium state. We refer to [23, 24] for details.

We thank Aernout van Enter for helpful information about the statistical physics literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Thermodynamic Formalism. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism on a compact metric space X , and denote by $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_T$ the set of all T -invariant probability measures on X endowed with the weak* topology. This makes \mathcal{M} a compact convex metrizable topological space. Further, let $\mathcal{M}^e = \mathcal{M}_T^e$ be the subset of ergodic measures. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ the measure-theoretic entropy of μ , denoted by $h_\mu(T)$, is defined as follows. Let \mathcal{P} be a countable measurable partition of X . We define the entropy of the partition \mathcal{P} with respect to μ

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} -\mu(P) \log \mu(P). \quad (1)$$

Here we interpret $0 \log 0$ as 0. We denote by $\bigvee_{i=m}^n T^i(\mathcal{P})$ the coarsest common refinement of the partitions $T^m(\mathcal{P}), \dots, T^n(\mathcal{P})$. Then the entropy of μ with respect to the partition \mathcal{P} and T is given by

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\mu \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^n T^{-i}(\mathcal{P}) \right) = \inf \frac{1}{n} H_\mu \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^n T^{-i}(\mathcal{P}) \right) \quad (2)$$

Finally, we define the measure-theoretic entropy of μ by

$$h_\mu(T) = \sup \{ h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) : H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) < \infty \}. \quad (3)$$

We note that definition (3) is equivalent to the frequently used definition in terms of finite partitions, see, e.g. [15]. We say \mathcal{P} is a generating partition if $\bigvee_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T^i(\mathcal{P})$ coincides with the original σ -algebra. For a generating partition \mathcal{P} with finite entropy $H_\mu(\mathcal{P})$ we have $h_\mu(T) = H_\mu(T, \mathcal{P})$.

We may define the *topological entropy* of the system (X, T) via the variational principle:

$$h_{\text{top}}(T) = h_{\text{top}}(X, T) = \sup\{h_{\mu}(T) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} = \sup\{h_{\mu}(T) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}^e\}. \quad (4)$$

If a measure μ realizes the supremum in (4) we say that μ is a *measure of maximal entropy*. Note that if the entropy map $\mu \mapsto h_{\mu}(T)$ is upper semi-continuous on \mathcal{M} then there exists at least one measure of maximal entropy. This holds, in particular, for symbolic systems over finite alphabets which are considered in this paper.

The *topological pressure* (with respect to T) is a mapping $P_{\text{top}}: C(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ which is convex, 1-Lipschitz continuous and satisfies

$$P_{\text{top}}(\phi) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \left(h_{\mu}(T) + \int_X \phi d\mu \right). \quad (5)$$

For a T -invariant set $Y \subset X$ we frequently use the notation $P_{\text{top}}(Y, \phi)$ for the topological pressure with respect to $T|_Y$ of the potential $\phi|_Y$. As in the case of topological entropy, the supremum in (5) can be replaced by the supremum taken only over all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^e$. If there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ at which the supremum in (5) is attained it is called an *equilibrium state* (or also equilibrium measure) of the potential ϕ .

It turns out that there is a connection between the structure of the set of equilibrium states of a potential ϕ and Gateaux differentiability of the pressure mapping at ϕ . As for any continuous real-valued map on a Banach space, the pressure is Gateaux differentiable at ϕ if and only if the tangent functional to P_{top} at ϕ is unique. Since every equilibrium state of ϕ is a tangent functional to P_{top} at ϕ , non-uniqueness of equilibrium states immediately implies non-differentiability of the pressure at ϕ . We further note that if T has an upper semi-continuous entropy map then μ is a tangent functional if and only if μ is an equilibrium state [15].

We are interested in the differentiability of the pressure function $\beta \mapsto P(\beta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ for a fixed potential ϕ . The convexity of the topological pressure implies that left and right derivatives for $P(\beta)$, denoted $d^+P(\beta)$ and $d^-P(\beta)$ respectively, exist at every point β . Moreover, we have (see, e.g., [26]):

$$\begin{aligned} d^+P(\beta) &= \sup \left\{ \int \phi d\mu : \mu \text{ is a tangent functional to } P \text{ at } \beta\phi \right\} \\ d^-P(\beta) &= \inf \left\{ \int \phi d\mu : \mu \text{ is a tangent functional to } P \text{ at } \beta\phi \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, simply having two distinct equilibrium states for a potential $\beta_0\phi$ does not guarantee non-differentiability of $P(\beta)$ at β_0 . We must, in addition, require that the integrals of ϕ with respect to the equilibrium states differ, or equivalently for $\beta_0 \neq 0$, that there are (ergodic) equilibrium states of $\beta_0\phi$ with different entropies.

2.2. Symbolic Spaces. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ be a finite alphabet with d symbols. The (two-sided) shift space X on the alphabet \mathcal{A} is the set of all bi-infinite sequences $x = (x_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ where $x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We endow X with the Tychonov product topology which makes X a compact metrizable space. It is easy to see that

$$d(x, y) = 2^{-\inf\{|n|: x_n \neq y_n\}} \quad (6)$$

defines a metric which induces the Tychonov product topology on X . Note that d is actually an ultrametric, i.e. it satisfies the strong triangle inequality $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The shift map $T : X \rightarrow X$ given by $T(x)_n = x_{n+1}$ is a homeomorphism on X . For a word $w = w_0 \cdots w_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}^n$, we denote by $[w] = [w_0 \cdots w_{n-1}] = \{x \in X : x_0 = w_0, \dots, x_{n-1} = w_{n-1}\}$ the cylinder generated by w . For any two words $w = w_0 \cdots w_{n-1}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v = v_0 \cdots v_{m-1}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by wv their concatenation $wv = w_0 \cdots w_{n-1}v_0 \cdots v_{m-1}$.

If $Y \subset X$ is a non-empty closed T -invariant set we say that $T|_Y$ is a subshift. For a subshift $Y \subset X$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(Y)$ the set of all admissible words in Y of length n , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_n(Y) = \{w \in \mathcal{A}^n : [w] \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{L}(Y) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n(Y)$ is referred to as the *language* of Y . One way to create various subshifts is through coded systems. We say that (Y, T) is a *coded system* if Y is the closure of the set of sequences obtained by freely concatenating the words in a set $W \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$. In this case W is called the *generating set* of Y .

We conclude this section with a remark that the topological entropy of a subshift Y can be computed as the logarithmic growth of the number of words length n in the language of Y . More precisely,

$$h_{\text{top}}(Y, T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{card } \mathcal{L}_n(Y)}{n}. \quad (7)$$

Here and for the remainder of the paper all logarithms are taken to base 2.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We deal first with the case of a countably infinite number of phase transitions. The reduction to the case of finitely many phase transitions is done in Corollary 1.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a two-sided full shift on two symbols. Then for any positive real number α and any strictly increasing sequence $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $[\alpha, \infty)$ there is a continuous potential $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the pressure function $\beta \mapsto P(\beta\phi)$ on $[\alpha, \infty)$ is not differentiable exactly at points $\beta_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

We build a potential φ on the full shift (X, T) with alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ whose equilibrium states move among a sequence of disjointly supported subshifts. Specifically we take (X_n) to be a sequence of disjoint proper shifts of finite type that are sub-systems of X . We also define X_{∞} to be the set of

accumulation points of $\bigcup X_n$. We fix a positive strictly increasing sequence $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and find a corresponding sequence of values $(c_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. The potential we build is then initially specified as a constant c_n on each X_n , and $c = \lim c_n$ on X_∞ . The potential then drops sharply for points in X outside $\bigcup X_n$ as a means of forcing the equilibrium measures at all values of the inverse temperature to be supported on $\bigcup X_n$. Clearly, care needs to be taken to ensure that the resulting potential is continuous. Provided that the drop-off is sufficiently steep, we obtain $P_{\text{top}}(X, \beta\phi) = \max_n P_{\text{top}}(X_n, \beta\phi)$. The phase transitions occur at those values of β for which $P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi) = P_{\text{top}}(X_n, \beta\phi) = P_{\text{top}}(X_{n+1}, \beta\phi)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the subshift X_n generated by $W_n = \{(\underbrace{00\dots 01}_{2^n}), (\underbrace{11\dots 10}_{2^n})\}$.

We denote the topological entropy of X_n by h_n and the measure of maximal entropy for X_n by μ_n . It is easy to see that $h_n = 2^{-n}$ and the subshifts (X_n) are pairwise disjoint.

The next lemma provides a way to select the appropriate values of the potential on the subshifts X_n for a given set of discontinuity points (β_n) .

Lemma 1. *Suppose $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers. Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(c_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following holds.*

1. $h_n + \beta_n c_n = h_{n+1} + \beta_n c_{n+1}$.
2. For any $\beta \in [\beta_n, \beta_{n+1}]$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $h_k + \beta c_k \leq h_{n+1} + \beta c_{n+1}$ with strict inequality provided that $k \notin \{n, n+1\}$. Moreover, for $\beta \in [0, \beta_1]$ we have $h_k + \beta c_k \leq h_1 + \beta c_1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
3. In addition, $\beta c \leq h_n + \beta c_n$ for any positive $\beta \leq \beta_n$.

Proof. We may take

$$c_n = - \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1} \beta_j}. \quad (8)$$

We immediately see that $c_{n+1} - c_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \beta_n} > 0$ and hence $(c_n)_n$ is strictly increasing. Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$ since $(c_n)_n$ is the sequence of tail sums of a convergent series. The first assertion of the lemma is equivalent to $\beta_n(c_{n+1} - c_n) = h_n - h_{n+1}$, which is true because

$$\beta_n(c_{n+1} - c_n) = \beta_n \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \beta_n} = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = h_n - h_{n+1}.$$

To check the second assertion we first show that for any $k \notin \{n, n+1\}$ we have $h_k + \beta_n c_k < h_n + \beta_n c_n$. We consider two cases $k < n$ and $k > n+1$. If $k < n$ then since (β_n) is increasing, we get

$$\beta_n(c_n - c_k) = \beta_n \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1} \beta_j} > \beta_n \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1} \beta_n} = \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} = \frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2^n} = h_k - h_n.$$

If $k > n + 1$,

$$\beta_n(c_n - c_k) = -\beta_n \sum_{j=n}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}\beta_j} < -\beta_n \sum_{j=n}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}\beta_n} = -\sum_{j=n}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} = h_k - h_n.$$

When $\beta \in [\beta_n, \beta_{n+1}]$ we can write $\beta = t\beta_n + (1-t)\beta_{n+1}$ for some $t \in [0, 1]$. Using the above observation and the first part of the lemma we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_k + \beta c_k &= t(h_k + \beta_n c_k) + (1-t)(h_k + \beta_{n+1} c_k) \\ &\leq t(h_n + \beta_n c_n) + (1-t)(h_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1} c_{n+1}) \\ &= h_{n+1} + \beta c_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the inequality here is strict if $k \neq n$ and $k \neq n + 1$. In the case when $\beta \in [0, \beta_1]$ we have

$$\beta(c_k - c_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\beta}{2^{j+1}\beta_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k} = h_1 - h_k.$$

Hence, $h_k + \beta c_k \leq h_1 + \beta c_1$, which completes the proof of part (2).

Finally, the third assertion follows from the facts that $c = 0$, but whenever $0 \leq \beta \leq \beta_n$ we have

$$h_n + \beta_n c_n = \frac{1}{2^n} - \beta_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}\beta_j} \geq \frac{1}{2^n} - \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} = 0.$$

□

We note that the sequence $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which satisfies conditions (1)-(3) of Lemma 1 is determined by the sequence $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ up to addition of a constant.

Lemma 2. *Suppose $(\beta_n)_n$ and $(c_n)_n$ satisfy Lemma 1. Let α be a fixed real number with $0 < \alpha < \beta_1$ and set $\phi(x) = \sup\{\phi_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\phi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by*

$$\phi_n(x) = c_n - \delta_j, \text{ if } \text{dist}(x, X_n) = \frac{1}{2^j} \text{ with } \delta_j = \frac{10 + 3 \log j}{\alpha j}. \quad (9)$$

Then

- ϕ is continuous on X ;
- $\phi|_{X_n} = c_n$;
- $P_{\text{top}}(X_n, \beta_n \phi) = P_{\text{top}}(X_{n+1}, \beta_n \phi)$;
- If $k \neq n$ and $k \neq n + 1$ then $P_{\text{top}}(X_k, \beta_n \phi) < P_{\text{top}}(X_n, \beta_n \phi)$.

Proof. First notice that (δ_j) is decreasing to 0 and write $\delta_{\infty} = 0$. We claim that for any n , $|\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_n(y)| \leq \delta_j$ if $d(x, y) \leq 2^{-j}$. To see this, let $d(x, y) = 2^{-j}$ and $d(x, X_n) = 2^{-k}$ (where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$). Since d is an ultrametric, $d(y, X_n) \leq \max(2^{-j}, 2^{-k})$, so that $\varphi_n(y) \geq c_n - \delta_{\min(j, k)}$. Since $\varphi_n(x) = c_n - \delta_k$, it follows that $\varphi_n(y) \geq \varphi_n(x) - \delta_j$. Switching the role of x and y , we see that $|\varphi_n(y) - \varphi_n(x)| \leq \delta_j$. Hence the $\{\varphi_n\}$ are

uniformly equicontinuous. Since they are uniformly bounded, it follows that $\varphi(x) = \sup_n \varphi_n(x)$ is continuous.

Next, we show that $\phi|_{X_n} = c_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x \in X_n$, then $\phi_n(x) = c_n$ and for $k < n$, $\phi_k(x) < c_k < c_n$. For $k > n$, $d(x, X_k) \geq 2^{-2^n}$ (with equality only if x_0 is aligned with the last symbol in a W_n block), so that $\phi_k(x) \leq c_k - \delta_{2^n} < -10/(\alpha 2^n)$. Since $c_n > -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = -1/(\alpha 2^n)$, we see that $\phi(x) = \phi_n(x) = c_n$.

The other properties follow directly from Lemma 1. \square

To establish Theorem 1, the main task is to prove that for all $\beta \geq \alpha$, $P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi) = \sup_n P_{\text{top}}(X_n, \beta\phi)$. We do this by using the Variational Principle (5). The above lemma controls $h_\mu(T) + \beta_n \int \phi d\mu$ for ergodic measures supported on any of the X_k . In the following we show that for any ergodic measure μ that is not supported on $\bigcup X_k$ and any $\beta \in [\beta_{n-1}, \beta_n]$ we have

$$h_\mu(T) + \beta \int \phi d\mu \leq h_{\mu_n}(T) + \beta \int \phi d\mu_n.$$

We will make heavy use of a pin-sequence construction on a product space as outlined below. For a survey of techniques by which theorems about dynamical systems are proved via appending an auxiliary system we refer the reader to [20]. One particular application similar to ours can be found in [2].

Let $Y = \overline{\bigcup X_n} = \bigcup X_n \cup X_\infty$, which is a closed invariant subset of X . Consider an additional full shift $Z = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the product system $X \times Z$ with map $T(x, z) = (Tx, Tz)$. Let P be the collection of pairs (x, z) with the following properties:

- If $i < j$, and $z_k = 0$ for $i < k \leq j$ (possibly with $z_i = 1$) then $x_i \cdots x_j \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$;
- If $i < j$, $z_i = 1$, $z_j = 1$ then $x_i \cdots x_j \notin \mathcal{L}(Y)$.

We refer to the space P as the *pin-sequence space* and to the 1's in z as *pins*. We note that P is a subshift of $X \times Z$.

Given a point $x \in X$ we describe a construction of a sequence $z \in Z$ such that $(x, z) \in P$ in the following way.

- For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ set $n_0^N = -N$
- Given n_{k-1}^N let $n_k^N = \min\{i > n_{k-1}^N : (x_{n_{k-1}^N}, \dots, x_i) \notin \mathcal{L}(Y)\}$
- Let $z^N \in Z$ have coordinates $z_i^N = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in (n_k^N)_{k=0}^\infty \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- We obtain a pin sequence of x by taking any limit point of $(z^N)_N$

This is a way of greedily partitioning $x \in X$ into maximal legal blocks from $\mathcal{L}(Y)$. Note that for $x \in Y$ the pin sequence obtained by this construction is $z = (\bar{0})$.

Let μ be any ergodic invariant measure on X with $\mu(Y) = 0$. Fix any $x' \in X$ which is generic for μ , i.e.,

$$\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{T^j(x')}.$$

Take a pin sequence z' corresponding to x' and consider a limit point of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\bar{T}^j(x', z')}$. This is an invariant measure on P , which we will denote by $\bar{\nu}$. Then $\bar{\nu} \circ \pi_X^{-1} = \mu$, where $\pi_X : X \times Z \mapsto X$ is the projection map. Since $\mu(Y) = 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \notin \mathcal{L}_n(Y)$ such that $\mu([w]) > 0$. The ergodicity of μ implies that μ -a.e. x contains infinitely many w blocks in its negative coordinates. In $\bar{\nu}$ -a.e. (x, z) , each such w block must contain a pin, and given x and the location of one pin, the pins to the right are determined. It follows that for μ -a.e. x , there are at most n points (x, z) in the pin sequence space. Hence the map π_X is finite-to-one $\bar{\nu}$ -almost everywhere and it follows that $h_\mu(T) = h_{\bar{\nu}}(T)$.

We shall derive upper bounds for $h_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{T})$ and $\int \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu}$ (where $\bar{\phi} = \phi \circ \pi_X$) valid for any ergodic invariant measure $\bar{\mu}$ on P whose support contains non-trivial pin-sequences. By using an ergodic decomposition of $\bar{\nu}$ the estimates obtained for $h_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{T})$ and $\int \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu}$ will immediately yield the same bounds for $h_{\bar{\nu}}(\bar{T})$ and $\int \bar{\phi} d\bar{\nu}$.

Let

$$A = \{(x, z) \in P : z_0 = 1\}, \quad (10)$$

then $\bar{\mu}(A) > 0$ and we can induce on A . Denote by $\bar{\mu}_A$ the restriction of $\bar{\mu}$ to the set A (normalized), i.e.

$$\bar{\mu}_A = \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}(A)} \bar{\mu}|_A.$$

Let $\tau(x, z) = \min\{j \geq 1 : \bar{T}^j(x, z) \in A\}$ be the first return time to A . We obtain an induced system $(A, \bar{T}_A, \bar{\mu}_A)$, where the induced map \bar{T}_A is given by $\bar{T}_A(x, z) = \bar{T}^{\tau(x, z)}(x, z)$. By Abramov's formula we get $h_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{T}) = \bar{\mu}(A) h_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\bar{T}_A)$.

We let \mathcal{Q} be the partition of A into sets

$$Q_j = \{(x, z) \in A : \tau(x, z) = j\}.$$

Then $q_j = \bar{\mu}_A(Q_j)$ is the distribution of return times. We have $\sum_j q_j = 1$. Moreover, by Kac's lemma,

$$\sum_j j q_j = \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}(A)}.$$

We refine the first return time partition \mathcal{Q} according to which $\mathcal{L}(X_s)$ the cylinder set belongs to. The partition \mathcal{R} is a sub-partition of \mathcal{Q} into sets

$$Q_{j,s} = \left\{ (x, z) \in Q_j : x_0 \dots x_{j-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X_s) \right\} \quad \text{for } s = 1, \dots, \lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3;$$

$$Q_{j,0} = \left\{ (x, z) \in Q_j : x_0 \dots x_{j-1} \in \bigcup_{s=\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 2}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(X_s) \right\}.$$

Then \mathcal{P} is a further refinement of \mathcal{R} into cylinder sets (so that Q_j is refined into separate cylinder sets of length j). We let

$$q_{j,s} = \bar{\mu}_A(Q_{j,s}). \quad (11)$$

and estimate $h_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{T})$ and $\int \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu}$ in terms of the $q_{j,s}$. The next lemma provides an estimate on the entropy of the measure.

Lemma 3. *For any \bar{T}_A -invariant probability measure $\bar{\mu}_A$ on A we have*

$$h_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\bar{T}_A) \leq 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(q_{j,0}(8 + 3 \log j) + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s}(jh_s + 3 \log j) \right),$$

where $h_s = h_{\text{top}}(X_s, T)$ and the $q_{j,s}$ are defined as in (11).

Proof. Since the only measure that we use in the proof of this lemma is $\bar{\mu}_A$, we suppress it from the notation and write $h = h_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\bar{T}_A)$. The expansivity of the map T implies that the partition \mathcal{P} described above is generating under \bar{T}_A , so that $h \leq H_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\mathcal{P})$. Recall that $H_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\mathcal{P}) = H_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\mathcal{R}) + H_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{R})$, where $H_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{R})$ is the conditional entropy of \mathcal{P} given \mathcal{R} , i.e.

$$H_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{R}) = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \bar{\mu}_A(R) \left(- \sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{\bar{\mu}_A(R \cap B)}{\bar{\mu}_A(R)} \log \frac{\bar{\mu}_A(R \cap B)}{\bar{\mu}_A(R)} \right).$$

Letting $n_{j,s}$ denote the number of cylinder sets of length j that form $Q_{j,s}$, then the term in parentheses in the above expression is bounded above by $n_{j,s}$ so that,

$$h \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s} (-\log q_{j,s} + \log n_{j,s}) \right).$$

We then write $-\log q_{j,s} \leq 2 \log j + (-\log q_{j,s} - 2 \log j)^+$, where $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the positive part of the function in parentheses. Taking notice that

$-\log q_{j,s} - 2 \log j \geq 0$ when $q_{j,s} \leq \frac{1}{j^2}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} h &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s} (2 \log j + \log n_{j,s}) + \sum_{q_{j,s} \leq \frac{1}{j^2}} q_{j,s} (-\log q_{j,s} - 2 \log j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s} (2 \log j + \log n_{j,s}) + \sum_{q_{j,s} \leq \frac{1}{j^2}} \frac{1}{j^2} \left(j^2 q_{j,s} \log \frac{1}{j^2 q_{j,s}} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \log \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{1}{e \ln 2}$ on $[0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s} (2 \log j + \log n_{j,s}) + \sum_{q_{j,s} \leq \frac{1}{j^2}} \frac{1}{(e \ln 2) j^2} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s} (2 \log j + \log n_{j,s}) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \lfloor \log j \rfloor}{(e \ln 2) j^2} \quad (12) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s} (2 \log j + \log n_{j,s}) \right) + 2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $n_{j,s} = \text{card } \mathcal{L}_j(X_s)$. To bound $n_{j,s}$ for $s \leq \lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3$, we note that each such block can overlap $1 + \lfloor j/2^s \rfloor$ words from the generator W_s and there are two choices for each word. Finally, up to 2^s subsequent applications of the shift transformation to the given block produce different blocks. Therefore,

$$n_{j,s} \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\lfloor j/2^s \rfloor} \cdot 2^s,$$

which implies that $\log n_{j,s} \leq s + 1 + j/2^s = s + 1 + jh_s \leq jh_s + \log j$. For $s = 0$, a similar estimate shows $n_{j,0} \leq 160j$. Without going through all the details, in $\mathcal{L}_j(X_{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 2})$, there are up to 512 choices each occurring with $2^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 2}$ shifts of elements, for a total of at most $128j$ elements. We then deal similarly with $\mathcal{L}_j(X_{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 1})$ and $\mathcal{L}_j(\bigcup_{s \geq \log j} X_s)$ and combine the estimates.

Combining this with (12), we conclude that

$$h \leq 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(q_{j,0} (3 \log j + 8) + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s} (jh_s + 3 \log j) \right).$$

□

We now turn our attention to the integral $\int \bar{\phi} \bar{d}\bar{\mu}$.

Lemma 4. *Suppose $A \subset P$ is the set defined in (10), ϕ is the potential defined in Lemma 2 and $\bar{\phi} : X \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\bar{\phi}(x, z) = \phi(x)$. Then*

for any ergodic \bar{T} -invariant probability measure $\bar{\mu}$ on P with $\bar{\mu}(A) \neq 0$ we have

$$\int \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu} \leq \bar{\mu}(A) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \left(-q_j \delta_j + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} c_s q_{j,s} \right),$$

where $q_{j,s} = \bar{\mu}_A(Q_{j,s})$ as above.

Proof. Let $\bar{\phi}_A(x, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\tau(x,z)-1} \phi(T^i x)$ so that $\int \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}(A) \int \bar{\phi}_A d\bar{\mu}_A$. To estimate $\int_{Q_j} \bar{\phi}_A d\bar{\mu}_A$, we use the partition \mathcal{R} defined above and bound $\bar{\phi}_A$ on $Q_{j,s}$. For $(x, z) \in Q_{j,s}$ we know that the pins are at zero and j and the block $x_{[0,j-1]}$ is in the language of X_s . The former implies that $x_{[0,j]} \notin \mathcal{L}(Y)$, so

$$\text{dist}(T^i x, Y) \geq 2^{-j} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, j-1. \quad (13)$$

We claim that if $(x, z) \in Q_{j,s}$ with $s \leq \lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3$ then $\phi(T^i(x))$ is bounded above by $c_s - \delta_j$. For $m \leq s$ we get from (13) that $\phi_m(T^i(x)) \leq c_m - \delta_j \leq c_s - \delta_j$ since the c_m are increasing. We now deal with the case $m > s$. By assumption, $j \geq 2^{s+3}$, so since $x_{[0,j-1]} \in \mathcal{L}_j(X_s)$ has occurrences of the last two symbols of a word in W_s (that is either 01 or 10), every 2^s symbols. In particular, in any block of $2^{s+1} + 1$ symbols, there are two such transitions, so that no block of length $2^{s+1} + 1$ lies in $\mathcal{L}(X_m)$ for any $m > s$, and hence for $i = 0, \dots, j-1$, $\phi_m(T^i(x)) \leq c_m - \delta_{2^{s+1}+1} \leq 0 - \delta_{2^{s+2}}$. It suffices to make sure that $-\delta_{2^{s+2}} \leq c_s - \delta_j$ for $s \leq \lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3$. This is true because

$$\delta_{2^{s+2}} - \delta_j \geq \delta_{2^{s+2}} - \delta_{2^{s+3}} = \frac{13 + 3s}{2^{s+3}\beta_1} \geq \frac{1}{2^s\beta_1} > -c_s.$$

Hence we see that for $i = 0, \dots, j-1$, $\bar{\phi}(\bar{T}^i(x, z)) = \phi(T^i(x))$ is bounded by $c_s - \delta_j$ for $(x, z) \in Q_{j,s}$ for $s \leq \lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3$ and by $-\delta_j$ for $(x, z) \in Q_{j,0}$.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_j} \bar{\phi}_A d\bar{\mu}_A &= \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} \int_{Q_{j,s}} \bar{\phi}_A d\bar{\mu}_A + \int_{Q_{j,0}} \bar{\phi}_A d\bar{\mu}_A \\ &\leq -jq_j\delta_j + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} \int_{Q_{j,s}} jc_s d\bar{\mu}_A \\ &= j \left(-q_j\delta_j + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \log j \rfloor - 3} q_{j,s}c_s \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall show that given any ergodic T -invariant measure μ and any $\beta \in [\beta_{n-1}, \beta_n]$ for $n > 1$ or $\beta \in [\alpha, \beta_1]$ for $n = 1$ we have

$$h_\mu(T) + \int \beta \phi d\mu \leq h_{\mu_n} + \int \beta \phi d\mu_n = h_n + \beta c_n, \quad (14)$$

with equality if and only if μ is either μ_n or μ_{n+1} . Any ergodic measure is supported on some X_k , or X_∞ , or $X \setminus Y$. Among measures supported on X_k , the maximum of $h_\mu(T) + \beta \int \phi d\mu$ is achieved by μ_k so that (14) holds for measures supported on $\bigcup X_k$ by Lemma 1. For measures supported on X_∞ , $h_\mu(T) = 0$ and $\int \phi d\mu = c = 0$, so that again Lemma 1 establishes (14) in this case.

We now consider the case when μ is an ergodic measure supported on $X \setminus Y$, and we let $\bar{\mu}$ be an ergodic measure on P such that $\pi_{X*}\bar{\mu} = \mu$. Recall that $h_\mu(T) = h_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{T}) = \bar{\mu}(A)h_{\bar{\mu}_A}(\bar{T}_A)$, where A is the pin set. From Lemmas 3 and 4, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}(A)} \left(h_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{T}) + \int \beta \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu} \right) &\leq 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{[\log j]-3} q_{j,s} (jh_s + 3 \log j + \beta jc_s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_{j,0} (8 + 3 \log j) - \beta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jq_j \delta_j \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequalities $h_s + \beta c_s \leq h_n + \beta c_n$ from Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}(A)} \left(h_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{T}) + \int \beta \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu} \right) &\leq 2 + (h_n + \beta c_n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{[\log j]-3} q_{j,s} j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (8 + 3 \log j) \sum_{s=0}^{[\log j]-3} q_{j,s} - \beta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jq_j \delta_j \\ &\leq 2 + \frac{h_n + \beta c_n}{\bar{\mu}(A)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j (8 + 3 \log j - j\beta \delta_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since $j\delta_j\beta > j\delta_j\alpha = 10 + 3 \log j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j = 1$, we see

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}(A)} \left(h_{\bar{\mu}}(T) + \int \beta \bar{\phi} d\bar{\mu} \right) \leq \frac{h_n + \beta c_n}{\bar{\mu}(A)}$$

as required. \square

Remark 1. Note that on the interval $[\alpha, \infty)$ there are no phase transitions other than at points β_n . In addition, μ_{n+1} is an equilibrium state for $\beta\phi$ for all $\beta \in [\beta_n, \beta_{n+1}]$.

Next, we address the case of finitely many phase transitions.

Corollary 1. For any set of positive real numbers $\alpha < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_N$ there exists a continuous potential $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for $\beta \geq \alpha$ the only points of non-differentiability of the pressure function $\beta \mapsto P_{\text{top}}(\beta\phi)$ are β_1, \dots, β_N .

Proof. Our construction can be easily modified by truncating it at $n = N$ as follows. For $n = 1, \dots, N + 1$ we consider subshifts (X_n) with generators

$W_n = \{\underbrace{(00\dots 01)}_{2^n}, \underbrace{(11\dots 10)}_{2^n}\}$. We let c_1 be any real number, and define

$$c_{n+1} = c_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{j+1}\beta_j}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N.$$

One can check that for any integer $n \leq N$ we have $c_n < c_{n+1}$, and the conditions similar to the ones in Lemma 1 hold, i.e.

1. $h_n + \beta_n c_n = h_{n+1} + \beta_n c_{n+1}$.
2. For $k \leq N + 1$ we have $h_k + \beta c_k \leq h_n + \beta c_n$ whenever $\beta \in [\beta_n, \beta_{n+1}]$ and $h_k + \beta c_k \leq h_1 + \beta c_1$ whenever $\beta \in [0, \beta_1]$. The inequalities are strict as long as $k \notin \{n, n + 1\}$.
3. $\beta c_{N+1} \leq h_n + \beta c_n$ for $0 \leq \beta \leq \beta_1$ and $n = 1, \dots, N$.

Analogously to Lemma 2 we define $\phi(x) = \max\{\phi_n(x) : 1 \leq n \leq N + 1\}$, where

$$\phi_n(x) = c_n - \delta_j, \quad \text{if } \text{dist}(x, X_n) = \frac{1}{2^j} \quad \text{with } \delta_j = \frac{10 + 3 \log j}{\alpha j}.$$

The continuity of ϕ is now immediate, since it is a maximum of finitely many continuous functions. The other characteristics of ϕ asserted in Lemma 2 follow from properties of $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^N$ and $(c_n)_{n=1}^{N+1}$ above. The rest of the proof is a verbatim repetition of the proof of Theorem 1 with the assumption that $q_{s,j} = 0$ whenever $s > N + 1$. \square

We conclude with a discussion of the freezing properties of the potential ϕ . Define $I(\phi) = \{\int \phi d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\} = [a_\phi, b_\phi]$. For $t \in I(\phi)$ we define the localized entropy at t by $\mathcal{H}(t) = \sup\{h_\mu(T) : \int \phi d\mu = t\}$. It follows that \mathcal{H} is a concave and upper semi-continuous (and hence continuous) function. The proofs of the freezing properties of ϕ are based on the following elementary facts which are immediate consequences of the Variational Principle (5) and the upper semi-continuity of the entropy map.

1. If $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2$ and μ_i is an equilibrium state for $\beta_i \phi$ then $\int \phi d\mu_1 \leq \int \phi d\mu_2$ and $h_{\mu_2}(T) \leq h_{\mu_1}(T)$.
2. If μ is an equilibrium state for $\tilde{\beta} \phi$ with $\int \phi d\mu = b_\phi$ then for any $\beta > \tilde{\beta}$ a measure ν is an equilibrium state for $\beta \phi$ provided that $\int \phi d\nu = b_\phi$ and $h_\nu(T) = \mathcal{H}(b_\phi)$.
3. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta_\infty < \infty$ and $\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n$ where μ_n is an equilibrium state of $\beta_n \phi$ then $\int \phi d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \phi d\mu_n$ and μ is an equilibrium state for $\beta_\infty \phi$.

Corollary 2. *If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta_\infty < \infty$, then the family of equilibrium states of $\beta \phi$ is constant for all $\beta > \beta_\infty$.*

Proof. Let μ_x and μ_y denote the Dirac measures supported on the fixed points $x = (\bar{0})$ and $y = (\bar{1})$ respectively. Further, let μ_n denote the unique

measure of maximal entropy of subshift X_n . Recall that by construction $\int \phi d\mu_n = c_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$. We observe that $b_\phi = c$ and

$$\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^e : \int \phi d\mu = c\} = \{\mu_x, \mu_y\}. \quad (15)$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta_\infty < \infty$ then, by applying property 3 above to a weak* accumulation point μ of (μ_n) , we conclude that $\int_X \phi d\mu = c$ and μ is an equilibrium state for $\beta_\infty \phi$. Finally, property 2 and (15) imply that for all $\beta > \beta_\infty$ the set of equilibrium states for the potential $\beta \phi$ is precisely $\{\alpha \mu_x + (1 - \alpha) \mu_y : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$. \square

Lastly, we attend to the freezing property in the case of finitely many discontinuity points β_n .

Corollary 3. *Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N+1}$ and ϕ be as in Corollary 1 and let μ_{N+1} denote the measure of maximal entropy on X_{N+1} . Then μ_{N+1} is the unique equilibrium state of $\beta \phi$ for all $\beta > \beta_N$.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 2 and is left to the reader. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aaronson, M. Denker and M. Urbanski, *Ergodic theory for Markov fibred systems and parabolic rational maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **337** (1993), 495–548.
- [2] J. Antonioli, *Compensation functions for factors of shifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **36** (2016), 375–389.
- [3] L. Barreira and G. Iommi, *Multifractal analysis and phase transitions for hyperbolic and parabolic horseshoes*, Israel J. Math. **181** (2011), 347–379.
- [4] H. Bruin and R. Leplaideur, *Renormalization, thermodynamic formalism and quasi-crystals in subshifts*, Comm. Math. Phys. **321** (2013), 209–247.
- [5] H. Bruin and R. Leplaideur, *Renormalization, freezing phase transitions and Fibonacci quasicrystals*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **48** (2015), 739–763.
- [6] D. Coronel and J. Rivera-Letelier, *High-order phase transitions in the quadratic family*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **17** (2015), 2725–2761.
- [7] M. Costeniuc, R. S. Ellis, and H. Touchette, *Complete analysis of phase transitions and ensemble equivalence for the Curie-Weiss-Potts model*, J. Math. Phys., **46**(6), (2005)
- [8] H. A. M. Daniëls and A. C. D. van Enter, *Differentiability properties of the pressure in lattice systems*, Comm. Math. Phys., **71**(1) (1980), 6576.
- [9] R.S. Ellis, *Entropy, large deviations and statistical mechanics*, Springer Verlag (1985).
- [10] A.C.D. van Enter and S.B. Shlosman, *Provable first-order transitions for nonlinear vector and gauge models with continuous symmetries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **255** (2005), 21–32.
- [11] Hans-Otto Georgii, *Gibbs measures and phase transitions*, volume 9 *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, second edition, (2011).
- [12] G. Iommi, F. Riquelme and A. Velozo, *Entropy in the cusp and phase transitions for geodesic flows*, Israel J. Math. **225** (2018), 609–659.
- [13] G. Iommi and M. Todd, *Transience in dynamical systems*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **33**(5) (2013), 1450–1476.
- [14] A. Johansson, A. Öberg and M. Pollicott, *Phase transitions in long-range Ising models and an optimal condition for factors of g -measures*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **39** (2019), 1317–1330.

- [15] G. Keller, *Equilibrium states in ergodic theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 42, 1998.
- [16] R. Leplaideur, *Chaos: butterflies also generate phase transitions*, J. Stat. Phys. **161** (2015), 151–170.
- [17] A.O. Lopes, *The zeta function, Nondifferentiability of pressure, and the critical exponent of transition*, Adv. Math. **101** (1993), 133-165.
- [18] J. Miekisz, *A microscopic model with quasicrystalline properties*, Journal Of Statistical Physics **58** (1990), 1137-1149.
- [19] R. R. Phelps, *Generic Fréchet differentiability of the pressure in certain lattice systems*, Comm. Math. Phys., **91(4)** (1983), 557–562.
- [20] A. Quas, *Coupling and Splicing*, Unpublished lecture notes available at <http://www.math.uvic.ca/faculty/aquas/CoupleSplice.pdf>.
- [21] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic formalism: The mathematical structures of equilibrium statistical mechanics*, Second edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [22] D. Ruelle, *Statistical mechanics of a one-dimensional lattice gas*, Comm. Math. Phys. **9** (1968), 267–278.
- [23] O. Sarig, *On an example with a non-analytic topological pressure*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **330** (2000), 311–315.
- [24] O. Sarig, Omri, *Phase transitions for countable Markov shifts*, Comm. Math. Phys. **217** (2001), 555–577.
- [25] M. Urbanski and C. Wolf, *Ergodic theory of parabolic horseshoes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **281** (2008), 711–751.
- [26] P. Walters, *Differentiability Properties of the Pressure of a Continuous Transformation on a Compact Metric Space*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 471–481

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CITY COLLEGE OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, NY, 10031, USA

E-mail address: tkucherenko@ccny.cuny.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA, VICTORIA, BC CANADA

E-mail address: aquas@uvic.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CITY COLLEGE OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, NY, 10031, USA

E-mail address: cwolf@ccny.cuny.edu