

STRATA OF A DISCONNECTED REDUCTIVE GROUP

G. LUSZTIG

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and let G be a possibly disconnected reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{k} with a fixed connected component D . The identity component G^0 of G acts on D by conjugation. In the case where $D = G^0$, Steinberg [St65] has defined the open set D_{reg} of regular elements in G^0 (a Lie algebra analogue of this set was earlier defined by Kostant); in [L15] we have defined a partition of G^0 into finitely many Strata, one of which is D_{reg} . The goal of this paper is to define (without assuming $D = G^0$) a partition of D into finitely many Strata, (each of which is a union of G^0 -conjugacy classes of fixed dimension) generalizing the partition [L15] of G^0 into Strata. (We use the term “Stratum” of D to distinguish it from “stratum” of D in [L03,§3]. In fact, every Stratum of D is a finite union of strata of D .) To define the Strata of D we associate to any $g \in D$ an irreducible representation E_g (a variant of Springer’s representation) of the subgroup of the Weyl group given by the fixed point set of the action of D on the Weyl group. Then we say that g, g' in D are in the same Stratum whenever $E_g, E_{g'}$ are isomorphic. We show that the Strata of D are indexed by a set defined purely in terms of the Weyl group and its automorphism defined by D (thus extending a result of [L15]). A definition of Strata of D (different from the one in this paper) was sketched without proof (under some additional assumptions on G, D) in [L15, 6.1].

I wish to thank the referee for very helpful comments.

0.2. Notation. We fix a prime number l invertible in \mathbf{k} . Let $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ be an algebraic closure of the field of l -adic numbers. For any finite group Γ let $Irr(\Gamma)$ be the set of irreducible representations (over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$) of Γ (up to isomorphism). For a Weyl group W and for $E \in Irr(W)$ we denote by b_E the smallest integer ≥ 0 such that E appears in the b_E -th symmetric power of the reflection representation of W .

CONTENTS

1. Definition of Strata.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1855773.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

2. Bipartitions.
3. Examples.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.15.
5. Further results.

1. DEFINITION OF STRATA

1.1. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{G^0}$ be the flag manifold of G^0 . Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{G^0}$ be the set of maximal tori of G^0 . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{G^0}$ be the set of all pairs (T, B) where $B \in \mathcal{B}, T \in \mathcal{T}, T \subset B$. Let $W = W_{G^0}$ be the Weyl group of G^0 , viewed as the set of G^0 -orbits on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$; this is naturally a (finite) Coxeter group with length function $w \mapsto |w|$. For $(B_1, B_2) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ let $pos(B_1, B_2) \in W$ be the G^0 -orbit of (B_1, B_2) . (The product of $pos(B_1, B_2)$ with $pos(B_2, B_3)$ is $pos(B_1, B_3)$ provided that some maximal torus of G^0 is contained in B_1, B_2, B_3 .)

Now G acts by inner automorphisms on G^0 and this induces an action of G/G^0 on W . In particular, D , viewed as an element of G/G^0 , defines an automorphism $[D] : W \rightarrow W$ whose fixed point set is denoted by W^D . For each $[D]$ -orbit o on $\{s \in W; |s| = 1\}$ we denote by s_o the element of maximal length in the subgroup of W generated by o ; then the elements $s_o \in W^D$ for various o are the simple reflections for a Coxeter group structure on W^D . (See [L14, Appendix].) For $g \in G$ let g_s be the semisimple part of g and let g_u be the unipotent part of g .

1.2. For $g \in G$ let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_g = \{(T, B) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}; gTg^{-1} = T, gBg^{-1} = B\}$. Let $D_{un} := \{g \in D; g = g_u\}$. For any $e = (T, B) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ let $S = S_{e;D}$ be the set of all $g \in D$ such that $e \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_g$. This is a single orbit of T acting on D by left multiplication (resp. by right multiplication). Now T is uniquely determined by S (it is the set of all $s's^{-1}$ where $(s, s') \in S \times S$). Let $*S_{e;D} = \{g \in S; Z_G(g_s)^0 \subset T\}$; this is an open dense subset of S , see [L04, 3.11]. (Here $Z_-(\cdot)$ denotes a centralizer). Let $*D = \cup_{e \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}} *S_{e;D}$. This is a stratum of G in the sense of [L04, 3.1]. By [L04, 3.13, 3.16], $*D$ is an irreducible locally closed subset of D of dimension $\dim(G^0/T) + \dim S = \dim(G^0) = \dim D$. Hence $*D$ is an open dense subset of D . In the case where $D = G^0$, $*D$ is the set of regular semisimple elements in G^0 . (In the general case, $*D$ is the same as the subset of D associated in [L87, (2.3.2)] to (L^0, Σ) with (L^0, Σ) as in [L87, (2.3.1)] with $L^0 \in \mathcal{T}$ and with the added requirement [L87, (2.3.5)] which, contrary to what is stated in *loc.cit.*, is not an automatic consequence of the conditions [L87, (2.3.1)].) Now $*D$ has a finite unramified covering $*\pi : *\tilde{D} \rightarrow *D$, see [L04, 3.13] or [L87, 2.5]. In our case we have $*\tilde{D} = \{(g, B') \in *D \times \mathcal{B}; gB'g^{-1} = B'\}$, $*\pi(g, B') = g$. If $g \in *S_{e;D}$ with $e = (T, B) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, then $*\pi^{-1}(g)$ is the finite set $\{(g, B'); B' \in \mathcal{B}, T \subset B', pos(B, B') \in W^D\}$. As stated in *loc.cit.*, $*\pi$ is a principal covering whose group is in our case W^D , which acts freely on $*\tilde{D}$ by $w : (g, B') \mapsto (g, B'')$ where $B'' \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by $T \subset B'', pos(B', B'') = w$. Let $\tilde{D} = \{(g, B') \in D \times \mathcal{B}; gB'g^{-1} = B'\}$. Define $\pi : \tilde{D} \rightarrow D$ by $\pi(g, B') = g$. This is a proper surjective morphism. According to [L87, 2.6], [L04] (see also [S04] in the case where $D_{un} \neq \emptyset$), this morphism is small. (When $D = G^0$, this

is an observation of [L81].) It follows that $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ is the intersection cohomology complex of D with coefficients in the local system ${}^*\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ on *D , which has a natural action of W^D . Then $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim D] = \bigoplus_E E \otimes \pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim D]_E$ where E runs through $\text{Irr}(W^D)$, $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim D]_E$ is a simple perverse sheaf on D and the action of W^D on ${}^*\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ restricts for each E to the obvious W^D action on E tensor the identity on $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim D]_E$.

1.3. In this subsection we assume that $D_{un} \neq \emptyset$. Let $g \in D_{un}$ and let $\mathbf{c} \subset D_{un}$ be the G^0 -conjugacy class of g .

(a) *There is a unique $E = E_g \in \text{Irr}(W^D)$ such that $(\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim D]_E)|_{D_{un}}$ is (up to shift) the intersection cohomology complex of the closure of \mathbf{c} with coefficients in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$.*

In the case where $D = G^0$ this is proved in [L84a]. Similar arguments apply in the general case, see [L04, 8.2(b)], [S04].

1.4. For any $g \in G$ let $\mathcal{B}_g = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; gBg^{-1} = B\}$; this is a closed nonempty subvariety of \mathcal{B} , see [St68]. Let $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_g$ be the set of irreducible components of \mathcal{B}_g .

Recall that an element $h \in G$ is said to be quasi-semisimple (qss) if $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_h \neq \emptyset$. In this subsection we fix a qss element h of G ; let $H = Z_G(h)$.

(a) *H is reductive, see [Sp82, II, 1.17], and $(H \cap G^0)/H^0$ consists of semisimple elements, see [DM94, 1.8];*

(b) *if $B \in \mathcal{B}_h$, then $B \cap H^0 \in \mathcal{B}_{H^0}$; for any $Z \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_h$, $B \mapsto B \cap H^0$ is an isomorphism $t_Z : Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{H^0}$, see [Sp82, II, 1.17].*

(c) *if $T' \in \mathcal{T}_{H^0}$ then $T := Z_{G^0}(T') \in \mathcal{T}$; moreover T is the unique maximal torus of G^0 that contains T' , see [Sp82, II, 1.15], [DM94, 1.8];*

(d) *if $T \in \mathcal{T}$ is such that $hTh^{-1} = T, hBh^{-1} = B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ containing T then $T' := T \cap H^0$ is in \mathcal{T}_{H^0} , see [Sp82, II, 1.15], [DM94, 1.8].*

1.5. Let h, T', T, H be as in 1.4(c). If $n \in H^0, nT'n^{-1} = T'$, then clearly $nTn^{-1} = T$. Thus we have a well defined inclusion $N_{H^0}(T') \subset N_{G^0}(T)$ (here $N_{-}(-)$ denotes a normalizer); this carries T' into T hence induces a homomorphism

(a) $N_{H^0}(T')/T' \rightarrow N_{G^0}(T)/T$.

This homomorphism is injective. It is enough to show that $N_{H^0}(T') \cap T \subset T'$; this follows from $H^0 \cap T = T'$ (see 1.4). Now $\text{Ad}(h)$ defines an automorphism of each side of (a) and the map (a) is compatible with these automorphisms; moreover the automorphism on the left hand side of (a) is the identity. It follows that (a) restricts to

(b) *an imbedding $N_{H^0}(T')/T' \rightarrow (N_{G^0}(T)/T)^h$*

where h denotes the fixed point set of $\text{Ad}(h)$.

1.6. Let $g \in D$. Note that g_s is qss in G (see [St68]). Hence the results in 1.4, 1.5 apply with $h = g_s$. Let $G' = Z_G(g_s)$. Let D' be the connected component of G' that contains g . Let $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}_{G'^0}$, $W' = W_{G'^0}$. We define $[D'] : W' \rightarrow W'$ and $W'^{D'}$ in terms of W', D' in the same way as $[D] : W \rightarrow W$ and W^D were defined in terms of W, D . For any $Z \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s}$, the assignment

G'^0 – orbit of $(B'_1, B'_2) \mapsto \text{pos}(t_Z^{-1}(B'_1), t_Z^{-1}(B'_2))$
is a map $\tau_Z : W' \rightarrow W$.

Let $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ and let T' be a maximal torus of B' . Let $B = t_Z^{-1}(B') \in Z$, and let T be the unique maximal torus of G^0 that contains T' ; we have $T \subset B$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{G'^0}(T')/T' & \xrightarrow{j} & N_{G^0}(T)/T \\ a' \downarrow & & a \downarrow \\ W' & \xrightarrow{\tau_Z} & W \end{array}$$

where $a'(nT') = G'^0$ – orbit of $(B', nB'n^{-1})$, $a(nT) = G^0$ – orbit of (B, nBn^{-1}) and j is as in 1.5(a) with $h = g_s$, $H = G'$. (We use that for $n \in N_{G'^0}(T')$ we have $t_Z(nBn^{-1}) = nB'n^{-1}$.) Since j is an injective homomorphism it follows that τ_Z is an injective homomorphism.

Now let Z, Z' in $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s}$; let $w = w_{Z, Z'}$ be the unique G^0 -orbit on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ that contains $(t_Z^{-1}(B'), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'))$ for any $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ (we view w as an element of W). We show that

(a) $\tau_{Z'}(w')w = w\tau_Z(w')$ for any $w' \in W'$.

It is enough to show that if B'_1, B'_2 are in \mathcal{B}' then $t_Z^{-1}(B'_1), t_Z^{-1}(B'_2), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'_2)$ contain a common maximal torus and that $t_Z^{-1}(B'_1), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'_1), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'_2)$ contain a common maximal torus. Now let T' be a maximal torus of G'^0 contained in $B'_1 \cap B'_2$. Let T be the unique maximal torus of G^0 such that $T' \subset T$, see 1.4(c). We have $T \subset t_Z^{-1}(B'_1), T \subset t_Z^{-1}(B'_2), T \subset t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'_1), T \subset t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'_2)$. Our claim is proved: the orbit represented by either side of (a) is that of $(t_Z^{-1}(B'_1), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'_2))$.

Now G' acts (by conjugation) on \mathcal{B}_{g_s} ; this induces an action $g' : Z \mapsto g'(Z)$ of G'/G'^0 on $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s}$. From the definition, for any $Z \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s}$ and any $g' \in G'/G'^0$ we have $g'\tau_Z = \tau_{g'(Z)}g'$ as maps $W' \rightarrow W$. In particular, taking $g' = g$ we obtain $[D](\tau_Z(w')) = \tau_{g(Z)}([D'](w'))$ for any $w' \in W'$. Let $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s})_g = \{Z \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s}; g(Z) = Z\}$. This set is nonempty; indeed, for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $gBg^{-1} = B$ (see [St68, p.49]) so that we have also $g_sBg_s^{-1} = B$ and if Z_0 is the irreducible component of \mathcal{B}_{g_s} that contains B then $Z_0 \in (\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s})_g$. Note that for any $Z \in (\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s})_g$ we have $[D]\tau_Z = \tau_Z[D']$ as maps $W' \rightarrow W$; hence τ_Z restricts to an (injective) homomorphism $\tau_Z^D : W'^D \rightarrow W^D$.

Assume now that Z, Z' are in $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s})_g$; let $w = w_{Z, Z'}$ be as above. We show that

(b) $w \in W^D$.

It is enough to show that if $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ then

$$(gt_Z^{-1}(B')g^{-1}, gt_{Z'}^{-1}(B')g^{-1}) = (t_Z^{-1}(gB'g^{-1}), t_{Z'}^{-1}(gB'g^{-1}))$$

is in the same G^0 -orbit as $(t_Z^{-1}(B'), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'))$. This is clear since $gB'g^{-1}, B'$ are Borel subgroups of G'^0 hence are in the same G'^0 -orbit.

From (a),(b) we see that

(c) *the injective homomorphism $\tau_Z^D : W'^D \rightarrow W^D$ defined for $Z \in (\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{g_s})_g$ is*

independent of the choice of Z , up to composition with an inner automorphism of W^D .

1.7. Let $h \in D$. Assume that h is qss in G . Then the results in 1.4, 1.5 apply to h and $H = Z_G(h)$. Let $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}_{H^0}$, $W' = W_{H^0}$. For any $Z \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_h$, the assignment

$$H^0 - \text{orbit of } (B'_1, B'_2) \mapsto \text{pos}(t_Z^{-1}(B'_1), t_Z^{-1}(B'_2))$$

is a map $\tau_Z : W' \rightarrow W$.

Let $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ and let T' be a maximal torus of B' . Let $B = t_Z^{-1}(B') \in Z$, and let T be the unique maximal torus of G^0 that contains T' ; we have $T \subset B$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{H^0}(T')/T' & \xrightarrow{j} & N_{G^0}(T)/T \\ a' \downarrow & & a \downarrow \\ W' & \xrightarrow{\tau_Z} & W \end{array}$$

where $a'(nT') = H^0 - \text{orbit of } (B', nB'n^{-1})$, $a(nT) = G^0 - \text{orbit of } (B, nBn^{-1})$ and j is as in 1.5(a). Since j is an injective homomorphism it follows that τ_Z is an injective homomorphism. Now j has image contained in $(N_{G^0}(T)/T)^h$, see 1.5(b). It follows that τ_Z has image contained in W^D so that t_Z restricts to an (injective) homomorphism $\tau_Z^D : W' \rightarrow W^D$.

Now let Z, Z' in $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_h$; let $w = w_{Z, Z'}$ be the unique G^0 -orbit on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ that contains $(t_Z^{-1}(B'), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'))$ for any $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ (we view w as an element of W). As in 1.6 we see that

$$(a) \tau_{Z'}(w')w = w\tau_Z(w') \text{ for any } w' \in W'.$$

We show that

$$(b) w \in W^D.$$

It is enough to show that if $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ then

$$(ht_Z^{-1}(B')h^{-1}, ht_{Z'}^{-1}(B')h^{-1}) = (t_Z^{-1}(hB'h^{-1}), t_{Z'}^{-1}(hB'h^{-1}))$$

is in the same G^0 -orbit as $(t_Z^{-1}(B'), t_{Z'}^{-1}(B'))$. This is clear since $hB'h^{-1}, B'$ are Borel subgroups of H^0 hence are in the same H^0 -orbit.

From (a),(b) we see that

(c) *the injective homomorphism $\tau_Z^D : W' \rightarrow W^D$ defined for $Z \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_h$ is independent of the choice of Z , up to composition with an inner automorphism of W^D .*

1.8. Assume that $h \in D$ is a unipotent qss element in G . Then

(a) $Z_{G^0}(h)$ is connected, see [DM94,1.33]; hence it is equal to H^0 where $H = Z_G(h)$;

(b) if $h' \in D$ is a unipotent qss element in G then h' is G^0 -conjugate to h , see [Sp82, II, 2.21].

We show:

(c) *there exists a pinning of G^0 preserved by $\text{Ad}(h)$.*

If $p = 0$ then $h = 1$ (so that $D = G^0$) and the result is trivial. Assume now

that $p > 1$. We fix a pinning of G^0 (that is, a choice of a Borel subgroup of G^0 , a maximal torus of it and of isomorphisms from \mathbf{k} to the root subgroups corresponding to simple roots and their negatives, satisfying some well known compatibilities); let Γ be the group of all $g \in G$ such that $\text{Ad}(g)$ preserves this pinning (it may permute non-trivially the simple root subgroups). Clearly Γ is a closed subgroup of G which meets any connected component of G ; let $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap D$. The image of γ_s in G/G^0 is semisimple and of order dividing p hence $\gamma_s = 1$ and $\gamma = \gamma_u \in \Gamma \cap D$. Now $\text{Ad}(\gamma_u)$ preserves our pinning hence γ_u is qss in G ; it is also unipotent hence by (b) we have $x\gamma_u x^{-1} = h$ for some $x \in G^0$. Since $\text{Ad}(\gamma_u)$ preserves our pinning, it follows that $\text{Ad}(x\gamma_u x^{-1})$ preserves some pinning of G^0 . Hence $\text{Ad}(h)$ preserves some pinning of G^0 . This proves (c).

We show:

(d) W_{H^0} is isomorphic to W^D .

We choose a pinning of G^0 preserved by $\text{Ad}(h)$. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{G^0}$ be associated to this pinning. Let $T' = T \cap Z_G(h)^0$. Recall from 1.5(b) the imbedding $N_{H^0}(T')/T' \rightarrow (N_{G^0}(T)/T)^h$. We show that this is surjective. Let \mathcal{I} be the image of the Tits section $N_{G^0}T/T \rightarrow N_{G^0}T$; this is defined in terms of the pinning hence $h\mathcal{I}h^{-1} = \mathcal{I}$. Let $n \in N_{G^0}T$ be such that $hnh^{-1} \in nT$. We have $n \in n_0T$ where $n_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $hn_0h^{-1} \in n_0T \cap \mathcal{I}$. But if $n_0t \in \mathcal{I}$, $t \in T$ then $t = 1$. Thus $hn_0h^{-1} = n_0$ so that $n_0 \in N_{Z_{G^0}(h)}(T)$. Using (a) we deduce $n_0 \in N_{H^0}(T) = N_{H^0}(T')$. This shows that our map is surjective hence bijective. This proves (d).

In our case 1.7(c) implies (using (d)):

(e) For any $Z \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_h$, $\tau_Z^D : W_{H^0} \rightarrow W^D$ is an isomorphism; moreover, it is independent of the choice of Z , up to composition with an inner automorphism of W^D .

1.9. To any $g \in D$ we associate $E_g \in \text{Irr}(W^D)$ as follows.

(i) Assume first that g is unipotent. Then E_g is defined as in 1.3(a).

(ii) Next we assume that g_s is central in G . Let D_1 be the connected component of G that contains g_u . We have $W^D = W^{D_1}$. Then $E_{g_u} \in \text{Irr}(W^{D_1}) = \text{Irr}(W^D)$ is defined as in (i) (with G, D, g replaced by G, D_1, g_u). We set $E_g = E_{g_u}$. If g is unipotent this definition agrees with the one in (i).

(iii) Consider the general case. Let D' be the connected component of $G' := Z_G(g_s)$ which contains g and let $W' = W_{G'^0}$. Then $W'^{D'}$ is defined. Now g_s is central in G' . Let $E'_g \in \text{Irr}(W'^{D'})$ be defined as E_g in (ii) by replacing G, D, W, g by G', D', W', g . Let $E_g = j_{W', D'}^{W^D}(E'_g) \in \text{Irr}(W^D)$ where $j_-^-(-)$ is the j -induction [LS79] from $W'^{D'}$ to W^D . (We use any one of the imbeddings of $W'^{D'}$ into W^D given by 1.6(c).) Note that E'_g is a good representation of $W'^{D'}$, in the sense of [L15, 0.2] so the j -induction can be applied to it. (To see this we can assume that G^0 is simple; if moreover $D = G^0$, we use the explicit knowledge of E_g in (ii) for connected groups, see [AL82], [L84a], [LS85], [Sh80], [Sp85]; if $D \neq G^0$, all relevant irreducible representations are automatically good.) If g_s is central, this definition agrees with the one in (ii). (In this case, $G' = G, D' = D, W' = W$.)

1.10. In the case where $D_{un} \neq \emptyset$ we define $\mathcal{S}_1(G, D)$ to be the subset of $\text{Irr}(W^D)$ consisting of irreducible representations E such that $E = E_g$ for some $g \in D_{un}$. In the general case, let $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ be the subset of $\text{Irr}(W^D)$ consisting of irreducible representations E such that $E = E_g$ for some $g \in D$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ is the subset of $\text{Irr}(W^D)$ consisting of irreducible representations E such that $E = E_g$ for some $g \in D$ with g_s of finite order in G . (We use the fact that for any $g \in D$ we can find $g' \in D$ such that $Z_G(g_s) = Z_G(g'_s)$ and g'_s has finite order in G .) The representations in $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ are said to be the *2-special* representations of W^D . (This set is not attached to the Weyl group W^D but rather to the pair (G, D) .) In the case where $D = G^0$, $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ coincides with the set $\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ defined in [L15, 1.1]. This follows from the description of $\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ given in [L15, 2.1].

1.11. From the definition, the representations of W^D in $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ are exactly those obtained by applying $j_{W_s^{D_1}}^{W^D}$ to any representation of $W_s^{D_1}$ in $\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s), D_1)$ where s is any semisimple element in G and D_1 is any connected component of $Z_G(s)$ such that $(D_1)_{un} \neq \emptyset$ and $sD_1 \subset D$; here $W_s = W_{Z_G(s)^0}$ and $W_s^{D_1}$ is viewed as a subgroup of W^D as in 1.6(c).

1.12. We define a partition of D into subsets (called Strata): we say that g, g' in D are in the same Stratum if $E_g = E_{g'}$. (In the case where $D = G^0$, this partition coincides with the partition defined in [L15, 2.3].) Let $Str(D)$ be the set of Strata of D . Now $g \mapsto E_g$ defines a bijection $Str(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$. One can show that any Stratum of D is a constructible subset of D . It is likely that

(b) *any Stratum of D is locally closed in D .*

When $D = G^0$ this is proved in [C20].

1.13. Let Z_{G^0} be the centre of G^0 . Let $G' = G/Z_{G^0}$ and let D' be the image of D under $G \rightarrow G'$ (a connected component of G'). From the definition, the Strata of G are exactly the inverse images of the Strata of D' under $G \rightarrow G'$. Thus $Str(D), Str(D')$ are naturally in bijection. The fixed point set of $[D']$ on $W_{G'^0} = W$ is equal to W^D . From the definition we have $\mathcal{S}_2(G', D') = \mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$.

1.14. Let (W_1, γ) be a pair consisting of a Weyl group W_1 and a Coxeter group automorphism $\gamma : W_1 \rightarrow W_1$ which is ordinary in the sense that, whenever $s \neq s'$ are simple reflections in the same orbit of γ , the product ss' has order 2 or 3. Let W_1^γ be the fixed point set of $\gamma : W_1 \rightarrow W_1$. We state our main result.

Theorem 1.15. *To any (W_1, γ) as in 1.14 one can associate canonically a subset $\mathcal{S}_2(W_1, \gamma)$ of $\text{Irr}(W_1^\gamma)$ such that, whenever $(W_1, \gamma) = (W, [D])$ with $G, D, W, [D]$ as above, we have $\mathcal{S}_2(W_1, \gamma) = \mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$.*

The proof is given in §4.

1.16. Following [Sp82, I, 1.1] we set

$$\text{rk}_D(G) = \max_{g \in D} (\text{dimension of a maximal torus of } Z_G(g)^0).$$

Let $g \in D$. Let D_1 be the connected component of $G' := Z_G(g_s)$ which contains g_u . We have

(a) $\text{rk}_{D_1}(G') = \text{rk}_D(G)$.

See [Sp82, II, 1.14]. From [Sp82, II, 10.15] we have

(b) $\dim Z_{G'}(g_u) = 2 \dim \mathcal{B}'_{g_u} + \text{rk}_{D_1}(G')$

where \mathcal{B}'_{g_u} is the variety of Borel subgroups of G'^0 which are normalized by g_u . From the theory of Springer correspondence it is known that $\dim \mathcal{B}'_{g_u} = b_{E'_g}$ where $E'_g \in \text{Irr}(W^{D'})$, W', D' are as in 1.9(iii). Since the b -function is preserved by j -induction, we have also $\dim \mathcal{B}'_{g_u} = b_{E_g}$. Thus (b) becomes (using also (a)):

(c) $\dim Z_{G'}(g_u) = 2b_{E_g} + \text{rk}_D(G)$.

We have clearly $Z_{G'}(g_u) = Z_G(g)$ and $\dim Z_G(g) = \dim Z_{G^0}(g)$. It follows that

(d) $\dim Z_{G^0}(g) = 2b_{E_g} + \text{rk}_D(G)$.

From this we see that $\dim Z_{G^0}(g)$ is constant when g varies in a fixed Stratum of D . We also see that

(e) *any* $\Sigma \in \text{Str}(D)$ is a union of G^0 -orbits of fixed dimension, namely $\dim G^0 - 2b_{E_g} - \text{rk}_D(G)$ where $g \in \Sigma$.

In the case $D = G^0$ this is proved in [L15, 2.4].

2. BIPARTITIONS

2.1. A *bipartition* is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$ in \mathbf{N} such that $\lambda_m = 0$ for large m and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \lambda_5 \geq \dots$, $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_4 \geq \lambda_6 \geq \dots$. We write $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \dots$. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let BP^n be the set of bipartitions λ such that $|\lambda| = n$. Let $(e, e') \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$. We say that a bipartition $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$ has *excess* (e, e') if $\lambda_i + e \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ and $\lambda_i + e' \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for $i = 2, 4, 6, \dots$. Let $BP_{e, e'}$ be the set of bipartitions which have excess (e, e') . For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let $BP_{e, e'}^n = BP^n \cap BP_{e, e'}$. A *partition* is the same as a bipartition of excess $(0, 0)$. A bipartition is the same as an ordered pair of partitions

$(\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \lambda_5, \dots), (\lambda_2, \lambda_4, \lambda_6, \dots)$.

If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$, $\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_3, \dots)$ are bipartitions, then $\lambda + \tilde{\lambda} := (\lambda_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_1, \lambda_2 + \tilde{\lambda}_2, \lambda_3 + \tilde{\lambda}_3, \dots)$ is a bipartition; moreover if $(e, e') \in \mathbf{N}$, $(\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}') \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\lambda \in BP_{e, e'}$, $\tilde{\lambda} \in BP_{\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}'}$ then $\lambda + \tilde{\lambda} \in BP_{e+\tilde{e}, e'+\tilde{e}'}$.

2.2. For $(e, e') \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$, $m \geq 1$ let ${}^m\widehat{BP}_{e, e'}$ be the set of all sequences $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \dots, \Lambda_{2m+1})$ in \mathbf{N} such that $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \Lambda_3 \geq \dots \geq \Lambda_{2m+1}$, $\Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i+2} \geq e + e'$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 1$, $\Lambda_{2m} = e'$, $\Lambda_{2m+1} = 0$; let ${}^m\widehat{BP}'_{e, e'}$ be the set of all sequences $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \dots, \Lambda_{2m})$ in \mathbf{N} such that $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \Lambda_3 \geq \dots \geq \Lambda_{2m}$, $\Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i+2} \geq e + e'$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 2$, $\Lambda_{2m-1} = e$, $\Lambda_{2m} = 0$. Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we define ${}^m\kappa : BP_{e, e'}^n \rightarrow {}^m\widehat{BP}_{e, e'}$ by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots) \mapsto (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \dots, \Lambda_{2m+1})$ where

$\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1 + m(e + e')$, $\Lambda_2 = \lambda_2 + (m - 1)e + me'$, $\Lambda_3 = \lambda_3 + (m - 1)(e + e')$,

$\Lambda_4 = \lambda_4 + (m - 2)e + (m - 1)e'$, \dots , $\Lambda_{2m} = \lambda_{2m} + e'$, $\Lambda_{2m+1} = \lambda_{2m+1}$.

(We choose m large enough so that $\lambda_{2m} = \lambda_{2m+1} = 0$ for all $\lambda \in BP_{e, e'}^n$.) Then ${}^m\kappa$ defines

(a) a bijection of $BP_{e, e'}^n$ onto a subset ${}^m\widehat{BP}_{e, e'}^n$ of ${}^m\widehat{BP}_{e, e'}$.

Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we define ${}^m\kappa' : BP_{e,e'}^n \rightarrow {}^m\widehat{BP}'_{e,e'}$ by
 $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots) \mapsto (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \dots, \Lambda_{2m})$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1 &= \lambda_1 + me + (m-1)e', \Lambda_2 = \lambda_2 + (m-1)(e+e'), \\ \Lambda_3 &= \lambda_3 + (m-1)e + (m-2)e', \Lambda_4 = \lambda_4 + (m-2)(e+e'), \dots, \\ \Lambda_{2m-1} &= \lambda_{2m-1} + e, \Lambda_{2m} = \lambda_{2m}.\end{aligned}$$

(We choose m large enough so that $\lambda_{2m-1} = \lambda_{2m} = 0$ for all $\lambda \in BP_{e,e'}^n$.) Then ${}^m\kappa'$ defines

(b) a bijection of $BP_{e,e'}^n$ onto a subset ${}^m\widehat{BP}'_{e,e'}^n$ of ${}^m\widehat{BP}'_{e,e'}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $N \geq 2, u \geq 2$. Let $c \in [0, N]$. We set $\epsilon = 0$ if $c = 0$, $\epsilon = 1$ if $c > 0$. Let $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq \dots \leq A_u$ be a sequence in \mathbf{N} such that $A_1 = 0$, $A_2 = c$, $A_3 - A_1 \geq N, A_4 - A_2 \geq N, \dots, A_u - A_{u-2} \geq N$. There exist two sequences $B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \dots \leq B_u, C_1 \leq C_2 \leq \dots \leq C_u$ in \mathbf{N} such that $A_i = B_i + C_i$ for all i , $B_1 = 0, B_2 = \epsilon, B_3 - B_1 \geq 1, B_4 - B_2 \geq 1, \dots, B_u - B_{u-2} \geq 1, C_3 - C_1 \geq N-1, C_4 - C_2 \geq N-1, \dots, C_u - C_{u-2} \geq N-1$.*

We say that $i \in [1, u]$ is single if A_i appears exactly once in the sequence A_1, A_2, \dots, A_u . We define $B_s \in \mathbf{N}$ by induction on s . We set $B_1 = 0, B_2 = \epsilon$. Assume that $s \in [3, u]$ and that B_i is already defined for $i \in [1, s-1]$.

- (i) If s is single and $s-1$ is not single we set $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1$.
- (ii) If s and $s-1$ are singles and $s-2$ is not single we set $B_s = B_{s-1}$.
- (iii) If $s, s-1, s-2$ are singles and $B_{s-1} = B_{s-2}$ we set $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1$.
- (iv) If $s, s-1, s-2$ are singles and $B_{s-1} \neq B_{s-2}$ we set $B_s = B_{s-1}$.
- (v) If s is not single and $s-1$ is single we set $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1$.
- (vi) If s is not single, $s-1$ is not single and $A_{s-1} < A_s$ we set $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1$.
- (vii) If s is not single, $s-1$ is not single and $A_{s-1} = A_s$ we set $B_s = B_{s-1}$.

This completes the inductive definition of B_s .

We show by induction on $s \in [3, u]$ that

- (a) $A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} \geq 0$,
- (b) $A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) \geq 0$.

Assume that s is as in (i) so that $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1 = B_{s-2} + 1$. We have $A_s \geq A_{s-1} + 1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} &\geq 1 - B_s + B_{s-1} \geq 0, \\ A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) &= A_s - A_{s-2} - 1 - (N-1) \geq N - N = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that s is as in (ii) so that $B_s = B_{s-1} = B_{s-2} + 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} &= A_s - A_{s-1} \geq 0, \\ A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) &= A_s - A_{s-2} - N \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that s is as in (iii) so that $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1 = B_{s-2} + 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}A_s &\geq A_{s-1} + 1, \text{ hence} \\ A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} &\geq 1 - B_s + B_{s-1} \geq 0, \\ A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) &= A_s - A_{s-2} - N \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that s is as in (iv) so that $B_s = B_{s-1} = B_{s-2} + 1$. We have

$$A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} = A_s - A_{s-1} \geq 0,$$

$$A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) = A_s - A_{s-2} - N \geq 0.$$

Assume that s is as in (v) so that $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1$.

Since $s-1$ is single we have $A_s \geq A_{s-1} + 1$, hence

$$A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} \geq 1 - B_s + B_{s-1} = 0.$$

Since s is not single and $s-1$ is single we have

$$A_{s+1} = A_s > A_{s-1} > A_{s-2}.$$

We have $B_{s-1} = B_{s-2}$ or $B_{s-1} = B_{s-2} + 1$. If $B_{s-1} = B_{s-2}$ then

$$A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) \geq N - B_{s-1} - 1 + B_{s-1} - (N-1) = 0.$$

If $B_{s-1} = B_{s-2} + 1$ then

$$A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) = A_{s+1} - A_{s-2} - 2 - (N-1) = (A_{s+1} - A_{s-1}) + (A_{s-1} - A_{s-2}) - N - 1 \geq N + 1 - N - 1 = 0.$$

Assume that s is as in (vi) so that $B_s = B_{s-1} + 1$, $B_{s-1} = B_{s-2}$, $A_s > A_{s-1}$. We have

$$A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} = A_s - A_{s-1} - 1 \geq 0,$$

$$A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) = A_s - A_{s-2} - 1 - (N-1) \geq 0.$$

Assume that s is as in (vii) so that $B_s = B_{s-1}$, $B_{s-1} = B_{s-2} + 1$. We have

$$A_s - A_{s-1} - B_s + B_{s-1} = 0,$$

$$A_s - A_{s-2} - B_s + B_{s-2} - (N-1) = A_s - A_{s-2} - 1 - (N-1) \geq 0.$$

This completes the inductive proof of (a),(b). We set $C_i = A_i - B_i$. Then B_i, C_i satisfy the requirements of the proposition. (We have $C_1 = 0$, $C_2 = c - \epsilon \geq C_1$.)

The proposition is proved.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(e, e') \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$, $(\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}') \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$. Let $\lambda \in BP_{e+\tilde{e}, e'+\tilde{e}'}$. There exist $\lambda^1 \in BP_{e, e'}$, $\tilde{\lambda}^1 \in BP_{\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}'}$ such that $\lambda = \lambda^1 + \tilde{\lambda}^1$.*

Using 2.2(a) with $m \gg 0$, we see that it is enough to show that

(a) for any $\Lambda \in {}^m \widehat{BP}_{e+\tilde{e}, e'+\tilde{e}'}$ there exist $\Lambda^1 \in {}^m \widehat{BP}_{e, e'}$, $\tilde{\Lambda}^1 \in {}^m \widehat{BP}_{\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}'}$ such that $\Lambda = \Lambda^1 + \tilde{\Lambda}^1$ (addition coordinate by coordinate).

The following statement clearly implies (a):

(b) for any $(f, f') \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ and any $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_{2m+1}) \in {}^m \widehat{BP}_{f, f'}$ there exist $\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2, \dots, \Lambda^f$ in ${}^m \widehat{BP}_{1,0}$ and $\Lambda'^1, \Lambda'^2, \dots, \Lambda'^{f'}$ in ${}^m \widehat{BP}_{0,1}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^0 \in {}^m \widehat{BP}_{0,0}$ such that $\Lambda = \Lambda^1 + \Lambda^2 + \dots + \Lambda^f + \Lambda'^1 + \Lambda'^2 + \dots + \Lambda'^{f'} + \tilde{\Lambda}^0$.

We prove (b). Using 2.3 with $u = 2m+1$, $N = f + f'$ and $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{2m+1}) = (\Lambda_{2m+1}, \Lambda_{2m}, \dots, \Lambda_1)$, $c = f'$, we see that if $f' \geq 1$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda'^1 + \tilde{\Lambda}$ where $\Lambda'^1 \in {}^m \widehat{BP}_{0,1}$, $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \widehat{BP}_{f, f'-1}$. Using this repeatedly, we see that Λ is of the form $\Lambda'^1 + \Lambda'^2 + \dots + \Lambda'^{f'} + \tilde{\Lambda}^1$ where $\Lambda'^1, \Lambda'^2, \dots, \Lambda'^{f'}$ are in ${}^m \widehat{BP}_{0,1}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^1 \in \widehat{BP}_{f,0}$. Thus it is enough to prove (b) assuming in addition that $f' = 0$.

Using 2.3 with $u = 2m+1$, $N = f$ and

$$(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{2m+1}) = (\Lambda_{2m+1}, \Lambda_{2m}, \dots, \Lambda_1),$$

$c = 0$, we see that if $f \geq 1$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda^1 + \tilde{\Lambda}$ where $\Lambda^1 \in {}^m \widehat{BP}_{1,0}$, $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \widehat{BP}_{f-1,0}$. Using this repeatedly, we see that Λ is of the form $\Lambda^1 + \Lambda^2 + \dots + \Lambda^f + \tilde{\Lambda}^0$ where $\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2, \dots, \Lambda^f$ are in ${}^m \widehat{BP}_{1,0}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^0 \in \widehat{BP}_{0,0}$. This proves (b). The corollary is proved.

2.5. Some special cases of 2.4 have been used (without proof) in three surjectivity statements in [L15] (see [L15, p.348, line 8],[L15, p.349, line 16 of 3.7], [L15, p.351, line 5]), namely that addition defines surjective maps $BP_{1,1} \times BP_{1,1} \rightarrow BP_{2,2}$, $BP_{2,0} \times BP_{0,2} \rightarrow BP_{2,2}$, $BP_{0,2} \times BP_{0,2} \rightarrow BP_{0,4}$. Other special cases of 2.4 are contained in [L09], namely that addition defines surjective maps $BP_{1,0} \times BP_{0,1} \rightarrow BP_{1,1}$, $BP_{1,0} \times BP_{1,0} \rightarrow BP_{2,0}$, $BP_{0,1} \times BP_{0,1} \rightarrow BP_{0,2}$.

3. EXAMPLES

3.1. Assume that $p \neq 2$, that $G = O(V)$ where V is a \mathbf{k} -vector space of even dimension $N \geq 4$ with a fixed nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form and that $D = O(V) - SO(V)$. Let $g \in D$. For any $c \in \mathbf{k}^*$ let V_c be the c -eigenspace of $g_s : V \rightarrow V$. Let $d_c = \dim V_c$. For any $c \in \mathbf{k}^*$ such that $c^2 \neq 1$ let $\lambda_1^c \geq \lambda_2^c \geq \dots$ be the partition of d_c whose nonzero terms are the sizes of the Jordan blocks of $g_u : V_c \rightarrow V_c$. For $c \in \mathbf{k}^*$ such that $c^2 = 1$ let $\nu_1^c \geq \nu_2^c \geq \dots$ be the partition of (the odd number) d_c whose nonzero terms are the sizes of the Jordan blocks of the unipotent element $g_u \in SO(V_c)$. Let $\lambda^c = (\lambda_1^c, \lambda_2^c, \lambda_3^c, \dots)$ be the bipartition in $BP_{2,0}^{(d_c-1)/2}$ associated to $\nu_1^c \geq \nu_2^c \geq \dots$ in [L15, 3.6(a)]. Note that λ^c is such that the Springer representation attached to the unipotent element $g_u \in SO(V_c)$ (an irreducible representation of a Weyl group of type B) is indexed by λ^c . (We use results in [L84a].) Define ${}^g\lambda = ({}^g\lambda_1, {}^g\lambda_2, {}^g\lambda_3, \dots)$ by ${}^g\lambda_j = \sum_c \lambda_j^c$ where c runs over a set of representatives for the orbits of the involution $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ of \mathbf{k}^* . Note that ${}^g\lambda \in BP_{4,0}^{(N-2)/2}$. Thus $g \mapsto {}^g\lambda$ defines a map $D \rightarrow BP_{4,0}^{(N-2)/2}$. From the definitions we see that the fibres of this map are precisely the Strata of D . (We use the description of the j -induction in classical Weyl groups groups given in [L09].) This map is also surjective: indeed, by 2.4, for any $\mu \in BP_{4,0}^{(N-2)/2}$ we can find $\mu' \in BP_{2,0}, \mu'' \in BP_{2,0}$ such that $\mu = \mu' + \mu''$; we have $\mu' \in BP_{2,0}^k, \mu'' \in BP_{2,0}^{k'}$ for some $k \geq 0, k' \geq 0$ such that $k + k' = (N-2)/2$ and it remains to use that any bipartition in $BP_{2,0}^k$ (resp. $BP_{2,0}^{k'}$) represents the Springer representation associated to a unipotent element in $SO_{2k+1}(\mathbf{k})$ (resp. $SO_{2k'+1}(\mathbf{k})$); see [L15, 3.6(a)]. We see that

(a) $D \mapsto BP_{4,0}^{(N-2)/2}, g \mapsto {}^g\lambda$ defines a bijection from the set of Strata of D to $BP_{4,0}^{(N-2)/2}$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ can be identified with $BP_{4,0}^{(N-2)/2}$.

3.2. Assume that V is a \mathbf{k} -vector space of dimension N . Let V^* be the vector space dual to V . For $x \in V, \xi \in V^*$ we set $(x, \xi) = \xi(x)$. Let D be the set of all linear isomorphisms $g : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*$. For $g \in D$ we define an isomorphism $\hat{g} : V^* \rightarrow V$ by $(\hat{g}(\xi), g(x)) = (x, \xi)$ for any $x \in V, \xi \in V^*$. For $g \in GL(V)$ we define $\hat{g} \in GL(V^*)$ by $(g(x), \hat{g}(\xi)) = (x, \xi)$ for any $x \in V, \xi \in V^*$. Let $G = GL(V) \sqcup D$. For g, g' in G we define $g * g' \in G$ to be gg' if $g' \in GL(V)$ and $\hat{g}g'$ if $g' \in D$. Then $(g, g') \mapsto g * g'$ defines a group structure on G . Note that G has an obvious structure of algebraic group over \mathbf{k} with $G^0 = GL(V)$ and with D being another connected component. We now assume that this G, D is the same as that in 1.1.

3.3. In the setup of 3.2 assume that $p \neq 2$. Let $s \in D$ be semisimple in G . Define a bilinear form $\langle, \rangle_s: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ by $\langle x, x' \rangle_s = (x, s(x'))$. For any $c \in \mathbf{k}^*$ let V_c be the c -eigenspace of $s * s: V \rightarrow V$; we have

$$V_c = \{x \in V; \langle y, x \rangle_s = c \langle x, y \rangle_s \text{ for any } y \in V\};$$

let $d_c = \dim V_c$. It follows that if $c \in \mathbf{k}^*, c' \in \mathbf{k}^*, cc' \neq 1$ and $x \in V_c, y \in V_{c'}$ then $\langle x, y \rangle_s = cc' \langle x, y \rangle_c$ so that $\langle x, y \rangle_s = 0$. It follows that \langle, \rangle_s defines an isomorphism $V_c \rightarrow V_{c^{-1}}^*$. If $h \in Z_{G^0}(s)$ then h restricts to an isomorphism $h_c: V_c \rightarrow V_c$ for any $c \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Now $h_{-1}: V_{-1} \rightarrow V_{-1}$ preserves the nondegenerate symplectic form \langle, \rangle_s restricted to V_{-1} ; $h_1: V_1 \rightarrow V_1$ preserves the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \langle, \rangle_s restricted to V_1 ; if $c \in \mathbf{k}^* - \{1, -1\}$ then $h_c: V_c \rightarrow V_c$ is related to $h_{c^{-1}}: V_{c^{-1}} \rightarrow V_{c^{-1}}$ by $\langle h_c(x), h_{c^{-1}}(y) \rangle_s = \langle x, y \rangle_s$ for $x \in V_c, y \in V_{c^{-1}}$; moreover, $h \mapsto (h_c)$ is an isomorphism of $Z_{G^0}(s)$ onto $Sp(V_{-1}) \times O(V_1) \times \prod_{c \in C'} GL(V_c)$ where C' is a set of representatives for the orbits of $c \mapsto c^{-1}$ on $\mathbf{k}^* - \{1, -1\}$.

Now assume that $g \in D$ and $s = g_s$. Then $g_u \in Z_{G^0}(s)$ gives rise to

a unipotent element $(g_u)_{-1} \in Sp(V_{-1})$ with Jordan blocks of sizes $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \dots$ (a partition of d_{-1});

a unipotent element $(g_u)_1 \in O(V_1)$ with Jordan blocks of sizes $\nu'_1 \geq \nu'_2 \geq \dots$ (a partition of d_1);

a unipotent element $(g_u)_c \in GL(V_c)$ with Jordan blocks of sizes $\lambda_1^c \geq \lambda_2^c \geq \dots$ (a partition of d_c) for any $c \in C'$.

Let $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$ be the bipartition in $BP_{1,1}^{d_{-1}/2}$ associated to $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \dots$ in [L15, 3.4(a)]. Note that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$ is such that the Springer representation attached to the unipotent element $g_u \in Sp(V_{-1})$ (an irreducible representation of a Weyl group of type C) is indexed by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$. (We use results in [L84a].)

Let $(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \dots)$ be the bipartition in $BP_{2,0}^{(d_1-1)/2}$, if d_1 is odd (resp. in $BP_{0,2}^{d_1/2}$, if d_1 is even) associated to $\nu'_1 \geq \nu'_2 \geq \dots$ in [L15, 3.6(a)] (resp. [L15, 3.6(b)]). Note that $(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \dots)$ is such that the Springer representation attached to the unipotent element $g_u \in SO(V_1)$ (an irreducible representation of a Weyl group of type B or D) is indexed by $(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \dots)$. (We use results in [L84a].) Define ${}^g\lambda = ({}^g\lambda_1, {}^g\lambda_2, {}^g\lambda_3, \dots)$ by ${}^g\lambda_j = \lambda_j + \lambda'_j + \sum_{c \in C'} \lambda_j^c$. We have ${}^g\lambda \in BP_{3,1}^{(N-1)/2}$ (if N is odd), ${}^g\lambda \in BP_{1,3}^{N/2}$ (if N is even). Thus, $g \mapsto {}^g\lambda$ defines a map $D \rightarrow BP_{3,1}^{(N-1)/2}$ (if N is odd) or $D \rightarrow BP_{1,3}^{N/2}$ (if N is even). From the definitions we see that the fibres of this map are precisely the Strata of D . (We use the description of the j -induction in classical Weyl groups given in [L09].)

This map is also surjective: indeed, by 2.4, for any $\mu \in BP_{3,1}^{(N-1)/2}$ if N is odd (resp. $\mu \in BP_{1,3}^{N/2}$ if N is even) we can find $\mu' \in BP_{1,1}, \mu'' \in BP_{2,0}$ if N is odd (resp. $\mu' \in BP_{1,1}, \mu'' \in BP_{0,2}$ if N is even) such that $\mu = \mu' + \mu''$; we have $\mu' \in BP_{1,1}^k$ and $\mu'' \in BP_{2,0}^{k'}$ if N is odd (resp. $\mu'' \in BP_{0,2}^{k'}$ if N is even) for some $k \geq 0, k' \geq 0$ such that $k + k' = (N-1)/2$ if N is odd (resp. $k + k' = N/2$ if N is even) and it remains to use that any bipartition in $BP_{2,0}^k$ (resp. $BP_{0,2}^{k'}$ if N is

odd or $BP_{0,2}^{k'}$ if N is even) represents the Springer representation associated to a unipotent element in $Sp_{2k}(\mathbf{k})$ (resp. $SO_{2k'+1}(\mathbf{k})$ if N is odd or $SO_{2k'}(\mathbf{k})$ if N is even); see [L15, 3.4(a)], [L15, 3.6(a)], [L15, 3.6(b)]. We see that

(a) the map $g \mapsto {}^g\lambda$ from D to $BP_{3,1}^{(N-1)/2}$ (if N is odd) or to $BP_{1,3}^{N/2}$ (if N is even) defines a bijection from the set of Strata of D to $BP_{3,1}^{(N-1)/2}$ (if N is odd) or to $BP_{1,3}^{N/2}$ (if N is even). Thus, $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ can be identified with $BP_{3,1}^{(N-1)/2}$ (if N is odd) or to $BP_{1,3}^{N/2}$ (if N is even).

3.4. In the setup of 3.2, with $N = 3$, D is a union of two Strata, Σ and Σ' . Now Σ is the union of all G^0 -conjugacy classes of dimension 8 in D ; Σ' is the union of all G^0 -conjugacy classes of dimension 6 in D . More precisely, if $p \neq 2$, Σ' consists of two semisimple G^0 -orbits in D ; if $p = 2$, Σ' consists of a single G^0 -orbit in D (its elements are unipotent, qss). We have $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D) = \text{Irr}(W^D)$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.15

4.1. In this section we assume (until the end of 4.11) that we are given $\vartheta \in G$ of finite order δ such that $G = \sqcup_{i=1}^{\delta} G^0 \vartheta^i$, that $D = G^0 \vartheta$, that G^0 is almost simple, simply connected, with a fixed pinning, that $\text{Ad}(\vartheta) : G^0 \rightarrow G^0$ has order δ and it preserves the pinning of G^0 . (We must have $\delta \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.)

We now assume (until the end of 4.11) that $\delta \geq 2$. We are in one of the following cases (in each case we indicate the type of G^0 ; the number δ appears as an upper index): type ${}^2A_{2n+1}, n \geq 1$; type ${}^2A_{2n}, n \geq 1$; type ${}^2D_n, n \geq 4$; type 3D_4 ; type 2E_6 .

Let $(T, B) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\vartheta}$. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, T)$, $\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbf{k}^*) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbf{Z})$. Let $\underline{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$, $\underline{\mathcal{X}} = \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathbf{Q})$. Let $\check{R} \subset \mathcal{Y} \subset \underline{\mathcal{Y}}$ (resp. $R \subset \mathcal{X} \subset \underline{\mathcal{X}}$) be the set of coroots (resp. roots) of G^0 with respect to T . The natural bijection $\check{R} \leftrightarrow R$ is denoted by $\check{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \alpha$. Let $\{\alpha_i; i \in I\}$ be the set of simple roots in R determined by B . (The corresponding root subgroups are contained in B .) Now $\text{Ad}(\vartheta)$ acts naturally on \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{X} ; this induces a permutation of R , one of \check{R} , one of $\{\alpha_i; i \in I\}$, and one of I (these permutations are denoted by ϑ). Let $G^{\vartheta} = Z_G(\vartheta)$, a reductive group with identity component $G^{\vartheta 0}$. Let $T' = T \cap G^{\vartheta 0}$; we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}_{G^{\vartheta 0}}$. We have $T = Z_{G^0}(T')$. Let $'\mathcal{Y} = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, T') \subset \mathcal{Y}$, $'\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}(T', \mathbf{k}^*) = \text{Hom}(' \mathcal{Y}, \mathbf{Z})$. Let $'\underline{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathbf{Q} \otimes '\mathcal{Y}$, $'\underline{\mathcal{X}} = \mathbf{Q} \otimes '\mathcal{X}$. The homomorphism $\text{res} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow '\mathcal{X}$ (restriction to $'\mathcal{Y}$) induces a linear surjective map $\text{res} : \underline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow '\underline{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $'R = \text{res}(R) \subset '\mathcal{X} \subset '\underline{\mathcal{X}}$. Now $\text{res} : R \rightarrow '\mathcal{X}$ induces a bijection from the set of orbits of $\vartheta : R \rightarrow R$ to $'R$. For $\beta \in '\mathcal{X}$ let d'_{β} be the cardinal of the corresponding ϑ -orbit on R ; we set $d''_{\beta} = 2$ if either $2\beta \in '\mathcal{X}$ or $(1/2)\beta \in '\mathcal{X}$ and $d'_{\beta} = 1$, otherwise; let $d_{\beta} = d'_{\beta} d''_{\beta}$. For $\alpha \in R, \beta = \text{res}(\alpha)$, we set:

$$\begin{aligned} ' \check{\beta} &= \check{\alpha} \text{ if } d'_{\beta} = 1 \text{ or if } d''_{\beta} = 2, \\ ' \check{\beta} &= \check{\alpha} + \vartheta(\check{\alpha}) \text{ if } d'_{\beta} = 2 \text{ and } d''_{\beta} = 1, \\ ' \check{\beta} &= \check{\alpha} + \vartheta(\check{\alpha}) + \vartheta^2(\check{\alpha}) \text{ if } d_{\beta} = 3, \end{aligned}$$

$'\check{\beta} = 2\check{\alpha} + 2\vartheta(\check{\alpha})$ if $d_\beta = 4$.

Let $'\check{R} = \{\check{\beta}; \beta \in 'R\} \subset 'Y \subset '\underline{Y}$; this set is in obvious bijection with $'R$ and $('\check{R}, 'R, '\underline{Y}, '\underline{X})$ is a (not necessarily reduced) root system. Let $'R_0$ be the set of elements in $'R$ which are not in $2'R$; let $'\check{R}_0$ be the set of elements in $'\check{R}$ which are not in $(1/2)'\check{R}$. Then $('\check{R}_0, 'R_0, '\underline{Y}, '\underline{X})$ is a (reduced) root system. Let \bar{I} be the set of orbits of the bijection $\vartheta : I \rightarrow I$. For $i \in \bar{I}$ let $\beta_i = \text{res}(\alpha_{i'})$ where i' is any element of the orbit i . Then $\{\beta_i; i \in \bar{I}\}$ is a basis of the root system $('\check{R}_0, 'R_0, '\underline{Y}, '\underline{X})$. Let \underline{R} (resp. $\check{\underline{R}}$) be the subset of $'\underline{X}$ (resp. $'\underline{Y}$) consisting of the vectors $d_\beta\beta$ (resp. $d_\beta^{-1}\check{\beta}$) for various $\beta \in 'R$. Then $(\check{\underline{R}}, \underline{R}, '\underline{Y}, '\underline{X})$ is a (reduced, irreducible) root system with basis $\{\gamma_i = d_{\beta_i}\beta_i; i \in \bar{I}\}$. There is a unique vector space isomorphism $'\underline{X} \rightarrow '\underline{Y}$ which carries γ_i to $'\check{\beta}_i$ for any $i \in \bar{I}$; from the definitions we see that this isomorphism carries \underline{R} onto $'\check{R}_0$. Hence it carries $\gamma_0 \in \underline{R}$, the negative of the highest root in \underline{R} relative to the basis $\{\gamma_i = d_{\beta_i}\beta_i; i \in \bar{I}\}$, to h_0 , the negative of the highest coroot in $'\check{R}_0$ relative to the basis $\{\check{\beta}_i; i \in \bar{I}\}$. We have $h_0 = \check{\beta}_0$ and $\gamma_0 = d_{\beta_0}\beta_0$ for a well defined $\beta_0 \in 'R_0$. Setting $\tilde{I} = \bar{I} \sqcup \{0\}$ there are unique integers $n_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, $i \in \tilde{I}$ such that $n_0 = 1$ and $\sum_{i \in \tilde{I}} n_i \gamma_i = 0$. We define a subgroup \mathbf{Q}_* of \mathbf{Q} as follows: if $p = 0$ then $\mathbf{Q}_* = \mathbf{Q}$; if $p > 1$, then \mathbf{Q}_* consists of the rational numbers q such that $Nq \in \mathbf{Z}$ for some integer N not divisible by p . Let $'\underline{Y}_* = \mathbf{Q}_* \otimes 'Y \subset '\underline{Y}$. We define

$$\mathcal{C} = \{y \in '\underline{Y}_*; \sum_{i \in \tilde{I}} n_i \gamma_i(y) = 0, \gamma_i(y) \in \mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0} \text{ for } i \in \bar{I}, \gamma_0(y) + 1 \in \mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

For $y \in \mathcal{C}$ let $\text{supp}(y) \subset \tilde{I}$ be the set of all $i \in \tilde{I}$ such that either $i \in \bar{I}$, $\gamma_i(y) > 0$ or $i = 0$, $\gamma_0(y) > -1$. We have $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{K \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{I})} \mathcal{C}_K$ where $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{I})$ is the set of all subsets $K \subset \tilde{I}$ such that $K \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C}_K = \{y \in \mathcal{C}; \text{supp}(y) = K\}$.

We define a subset $\mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$ of $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{I})$ as follows. If $p = 0$ we have $\mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I}) = \mathcal{P}(\tilde{I})$. If $p > 1$, $\mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$ is the set of all $K \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{I})$ such that $n_i/p \notin \mathbf{Z}$ for some $i \in K$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $p > 1$ and $K \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{I}) - \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$. Then $\mathcal{C}_K = \emptyset$.*

For any $y \in '\underline{Y}_*$ and any $j \in \bar{I}$ we have $\gamma_j(y) \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ since γ_j takes integer values on $'Y$. Assume now that $y \in \mathcal{C}_K$. Since $n_0 = 1$, we have $0 \notin K$ so that $\gamma_0(y) = -1$. For $i \in K$ we have $\gamma_i(y) = s_i/t_i$, $n_i = pn'_i$ where s_i, t_i, n'_i are integers > 0 with p not dividing t_i . We have $\sum_{i \in K} pn'_i s_i/t_i - 1 = 0$. It follows that p divides $\prod_{i \in K} t_i$ hence for some $i \in K$, p divides t_i , contradiction.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $\delta \neq p$ and that $K \in \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$. Then $\mathcal{C}_K \neq \emptyset$.*

Assume first that $0 \notin K$. If $p = 0$ we choose any $i \in K \cap \bar{I}$. If $p > 1$ we choose $i \in K \cap \bar{I}$ such that $n_i/p \notin \mathbf{Z}$. Let $K' = K - \{i\}$. We define $c_j \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ for $j \in \tilde{I}$ as follows: $c_0 = -1$; if $j \in \tilde{I} - K, j \neq 0$ then $c_j = 0$; if $j \in K'$, $c_j \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ is chosen so that $0 < c_j < 1/N$ where N is an integer such that $\sum_{j' \in K'} n_{j'} < N$; $c_i = (1 - (\sum_{j' \in K'} n_{j'} c_{j'}))/n_i$. (Note that $c_i \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ and $c_i > (1 - (\sum_{j' \in K'} n_{j'}/N))/n_i > 0$.)

Next we assume that $0 \in K$. We define $c_j \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ for $j \in \tilde{I}$ as follows: if $j \in \tilde{I} - K$ then $c_j = 0$; if $j \in K \cap \tilde{I}$ then $c_j \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ is chosen so that $0 < c_j < 1/N$ where N is an integer such that $\sum_{j' \in K - \{0\}} n_{j'} < N$; $c_0 = -\sum_{j' \in K \cap \tilde{I}} n_{j'} c_{j'}$. (Note that $c_0 \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ and $c_0 > -\sum_{j' \in K \cap \tilde{I}} n_{j'}/N > -1$.)

We now define $y \in \underline{\mathcal{Y}}$ by $\gamma_h(y) = c_h$ for all $h \in \tilde{I}$. Let \mathcal{X}_1 be the subgroup of \mathcal{X} generated by $\{\gamma_i; i \in \tilde{I}\}$. Since $c_h \in \mathbf{Q}_*$, we see that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}_1$ we have $\gamma(y) \in \mathbf{Q}_*$. Using a case by case check we see that the index of \mathcal{X} in \mathcal{X}_1 is of the form δ^m for some integer $m \geq 1$. It follows that for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\delta^m \zeta \in \mathcal{X}_1$ hence $\delta^m \zeta(y) \in \mathbf{Q}_*$ hence $\zeta(y) \in \mathbf{Q}_*$. (Here we use that $\delta \neq p$.) Since this holds for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{X}$ it follows that $y \in \underline{\mathcal{Y}}_*$ so that $y \in \mathcal{C}_K$. This completes the proof.

4.4. Let $\mu(\mathbf{k})$ be the group of roots of 1 in \mathbf{k} . We assume that an identification \mathbf{Q}_*/\mathbf{Z} with $\mu(\mathbf{k})$ (as groups) is fixed. Then $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}_*/\mathcal{Y} = (\mathbf{Q}_*/\mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ is identified with $\mu(\mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ which can be identified (via $\lambda \otimes y \mapsto y(\lambda)$) with the group T'_{fin} of elements of finite order in T' ; thus we obtain a homomorphism $\iota : \underline{\mathcal{Y}}_* \rightarrow T'$ whose kernel is \mathcal{Y} and whose image is T'_{fin} . The following result is an adaptation of results in [L02,§6]. (In *loc.cit* the characteristic is assumed to be zero, but the same arguments apply assuming only that $\delta \neq p$, by replacing the Lie algebras of T and T' by $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}_*$.) Results of this kind go back to de Siebenthal's paper [dS56] where conjugacy classes in disconnected compact Lie groups are discussed.

(a) *Assume that $\delta \neq p$. Then $x \mapsto \iota(x)\vartheta$ defines a bijection of \mathcal{C} with a set of representatives for the G^0 -conjugacy classes of semisimple elements in D which have finite order in G .*

Let $K \in \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$, $J = \tilde{I} - K$. Let \check{R}_J be the set of all vectors in \check{R} which are \mathbf{Z} -linear combinations of vectors in $\{\check{\beta}_i; i \in J\}$. Let R_J be the set of all $\beta \in \check{R}$ such that $\check{\beta} \in \check{R}_J$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{C}_K$, $G_J := Z_{G^0}(\iota(x)\vartheta)$ is a connected reductive group (see [St68]) which depends only on J , not on x , and $(\check{R}_J, R_J, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{X})$ is the root system of G_J relative to the maximal torus T' of G_J . (Here R_J is the set of roots and \check{R}_J is the set of coroots.)

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $\delta = p$. Let $s \in G^{\vartheta 0}$ be semisimple. Then $W_{Z_G(s\vartheta)^0}$ is isomorphic to the fixed point set of $\text{Ad}(\vartheta)$ on $W_{Z_G(s)^0}$.*

Let B, T in G^0 be preserved by $\text{Ad}(\vartheta)$. Then $(T \cap G^{\vartheta 0}, B \cap G^{\vartheta 0}) \in \check{\mathcal{B}}_{G^{\vartheta 0}}$ and are preserved by $\text{Ad}(\vartheta)$. Hence ϑ is qss in $Z_G(s)$; it is also unipotent. We now use 1.8(a),(d) with G replaced by $Z_G(s)$ and note that $Z_G(s)^0 \cap Z_G(\vartheta) = (Z_G(s)^0 \cap Z_G(\vartheta))^0 = Z_G(s\vartheta)^0$.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that $\delta = p$. Let $g \in D$. Then some G^0 -conjugate of g_s is in $Z_G(\vartheta)^0$.*

The image of g_s in G/G^0 is semisimple; since G/G^0 is a unipotent group this image must be 1; thus, we have $g_s \in G^0$. Let $D' \subset G' = Z_G(g_s)$ be as in 1.6. Now $G'/G'^0 \rightarrow G/G^0$ is injective (and carries D' to D) since $Z_{G^0}(g_s)$ is connected. (Recall that G^0 is simply connected.) It follows that D' has order p

in G'/G'^0 . Hence we can find $u \in D'$ unipotent and $(T', B') \in (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{G'^0})_u$. Let Z be an irreducible component of \mathcal{B}_{g_s} such that $g(Z) = Z$. Let $B \in Z$ be the unique Borel subgroup such that $B \cap G'^0 = B'$. Now $uBu^{-1} \in Z$ (since $g(Z) = Z$) and $uBu^{-1} \cap G'^0 = uB'u^{-1} = B'$. By uniqueness we have $uBu^{-1} = B$. Let T be a maximal torus of B containing T' . Now there is a unique maximal torus of G^0 containing T' . It must be equal to T and uTu^{-1} is a maximal torus containing T' hence is equal to T . Thus $uTu^{-1} = T$. We have $u \in D$ and u is unipotent and qss in G . Since $u \in D'$, we have $g_s u = u g_s$. By 1.8(b), for some $h \in G^0$ we have $huh^{-1} = \vartheta$, Let $s' = h g_s h^{-1}$. Then $s' \vartheta = \vartheta s'$. Thus we have $s' \in G^0 \cap Z_G(\vartheta) = Z_{G^0}(\vartheta) = Z_G(\vartheta)^0$ (we use 1.8(a)).

4.7. Assume that $\delta \neq p$. Let $g \in D$ be an element of finite order. By replacing g by a G^0 -conjugate we can assume that for some $K \in \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$ we have $g_s = \iota(x)\vartheta$ where $x \in \mathcal{C}_K$ (see 4.1, 4.4). Let $H = Z_G(g_s)$. With notation of 4.4 we have $Z_{G^0}(g_s) = G_{\tilde{I}-K} = G_{\tilde{I}-K}^0 = H^0$. Let $W_{\tilde{I}-K} = W_{G_{\tilde{I}-K}}$. We have $g_s \in D$ hence $g_u \in G^0$. Thus $g_u \in G^0 \cap H$ so that $g_u \in H^0 = G_{\tilde{I}-K}$. From 1.11 we now see that

$$(a) \mathcal{S}_2(G, D) = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})} j_{W_{\tilde{I}-K}}^{W^D} (\mathcal{S}_1(G_{\tilde{I}-K}, G_{\tilde{I}-K}))$$

where $W_{\tilde{I}-K}$ is viewed as a subgroup of W^D as in 1.6(c). Now let $K \in \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$. We can find $i \in K$ such that $\{i\} \in \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$. (If $p = 0$ any $i \in K$ satisfies our requirement; if $p > 0$ at least one $i \in K$ satisfies our requirement.) We have $G_{\tilde{I}-K} \subset G_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}}$ and in fact $G_{\tilde{I}-K}$ is a Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup of $G_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}}$ so that we can regard $W_{\tilde{I}-K}$ as a subgroup of $W_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}}$. If $E \in \mathcal{S}_1(G_{\tilde{I}-K}, G_{\tilde{I}-K})$ then $j_{W_{\tilde{I}-K}}^{W^D}(E) = j_{W_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}}}^{W^D}(E')$ where $E' = j_{W_{\tilde{I}-K}}^{W_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}}}(E)$. It is known that $E' \in \mathcal{S}_1(G_{\tilde{I}-K}, G_{\tilde{I}-K})$ (a property of induced unipotent classes). It follows that the union in (a) can be restricted to one elements subsets K in $\mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$. Thus, we have

$$(b) \mathcal{S}_2(G, D) = \cup_{i \in \tilde{I}; \{i\} \in \mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})} j_{W_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}}}^{W^D} (\mathcal{S}_1(G_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}}, G_{\tilde{I}-\{i\}})).$$

4.8. Assume that $\delta = p$. Let X be a set of representatives for the semisimple $G^{\vartheta 0}$ -conjugacy classes in $G^{\vartheta 0}$. Let $g \in D$. By replacing g by a G^0 -conjugate we can assume that $g_s \in X$ (see Lemma 4.6). We set $s = g_s$, $H = Z_G(s)$. Since $s \in G^0$ we have $g_u \in D$. Let D_s be the connected component of H which contains ϑ ; we have $g_u \in D_s$ (indeed, $g_u \vartheta^{-1} \in G^0$ and $g_u \vartheta^{-1} \in H$ hence $g_u \vartheta^{-1} \in G^0 \cap H$ which equals H^0 by [St68]). Hence $W_{H^0}^{D_s}$ is equal to the fixed point of $\text{Ad}(\vartheta)$ on W_{H^0} which by Lemma 4.5 is the same as $W_{Z_G(s\vartheta)^0}$ that is, $W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta 0}}(s)^0}$. (Indeed, $Z_G(s\vartheta)^0 = (Z_G(s) \cap G^{\vartheta})^0 = Z_{G^{\vartheta 0}}(s)^0$.) From Lemma 4.5 we see also that W^D is the same as $W_{Z_G(\vartheta)^0} = W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta 0}}(s)^0}$. (We use 1.8(a)). From 1.11 we now see that

$$(a) \mathcal{S}_2(G, D) = \cup_{s \in X} j_{W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta 0}}(s)^0}}^{W^D} (\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s), D_s))$$

where $W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta 0}}(s)^0}$ is viewed as a subgroup of $W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta 0}}} = W^D$ as in 1.6(c). As in 4.7(b), the union in (a) can be restricted to a subset X' of X , namely:

$$(b) \mathcal{S}_2(G, D) = \cup_{s \in X'} j_{W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta 0}}(s)^0}}^{W^D} (\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s), D_s))$$

where X' consists of those $s \in X$ such that $Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(s)^0$ has the same semisimple rank as G^{ϑ_0} .

4.9. In this subsection we assume that we are in type 2E_6 (see 4.1) so that G^{ϑ_0} is of type F_4 . We write the set \bar{I} in 4.1 as $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in such a way that $\beta_1 + \beta_2$, $\beta_2 + \beta_3$, $\beta_2 + 2\beta_3$, $\beta_3 + \beta_4$ are roots of G^{ϑ_0} with respect to T' ; the corresponding simple reflections in $W^D = W_{G^{\vartheta_0}}$ are denoted by s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 respectively. Let $\omega : W^D \rightarrow W^D$ be the Coxeter group automorphism given by $s_1 \mapsto s_4, s_2 \mapsto s_3, s_3 \mapsto s_2, s_4 \mapsto s_1$. The reflection with respect to the highest root of G^{ϑ_0} is the element of W^D given by $s'_0 := s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1$. Let $s_0 = \omega(s'_0) \in W^D$.

If $p \neq 2$, the one element subsets K in $\mathcal{P}'(\bar{I})$ (see 4.1), the corresponding $G_{\bar{I}-K}$ (see 4.4) and $W_{\bar{I}-K} \subset W^D$ (see 4.7) are as follows:

- (i) $K = \{0\}$ with $G_{\bar{I}-K}$ of type F_4 with $W_{\bar{I}-K}$ generated by s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 ;
- (ii) $K = \{4\}$ with $G_{\bar{I}-K}$ of type $A_1 \times B_3$ with $W_{\bar{I}-K}$ generated by s_1, s_2, s_3, s_0 ;
- (iii) $K = \{3\}$ with $G_{\bar{I}-K}$ of type $A_2 \times A_2$ with $W_{\bar{I}-K}$ generated by s_1, s_2, s_4, s_0 (if $p \neq 3$);
- (iv) $K = \{2\}$ with $G_{\bar{I}-K}$ of type $A_3 \times A_1$ with $W_{\bar{I}-K}$ generated by s_1, s_3, s_4, s_0 ;
- (v) $K = \{1\}$ with $G_{\bar{I}-K}$ of type C_4 with $W_{\bar{I}-K}$ generated by s_2, s_3, s_4, s_0 .

In each case $\mathcal{S}_1(G_{\bar{I}-K}, G_{\bar{I}-K}) \subset \text{Irr}(W_{\bar{I}-K})$ is explicitly known: in case (i), see [Sh80]; in case (ii),(v) see [L84a]; in case (iii),(iv) it is equal to $\text{Irr}(W_{\bar{I}-K})$. From this and 4.7(b) we see that:

(a) if $p \neq 2$ then $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ consists of $1_0, 4_1, 9_2, 12_4, 16_5, 9_{10}, 4_{13}, 1_{24}$; both $8_3, 8_3$; both $8_9, 8_9$; one of the two $6_6, 6_6$, namely the one of the form $j_{W_{\bar{I}-\{3\}}}^{W^D}(1_6)$; one of the two $9_6, 9_6$, namely the one of the form $j_{W_{\bar{I}-\{2\}}}^{W^D}(1_6)$; one of the two $4_7, 4_7$, namely the one of the form $j_{W_{\bar{I}-\{2\}}}^{W^D}(1_7)$; one of the two $1_{12}, 1_{12}$, namely the one of the form $j_{W_{\bar{I}-\{1\}}}^{W^D}(1_{12})$; one of the two $2_{16}, 2_{16}$, namely the one of the form $j_{W_{\bar{I}-\{1\}}}^{W^D}(1_{16})$.

Here d_n denotes an irreducible representation E of a Weyl group of degree d with $b_E = n$. (For a Weyl group of type F_4 there are at most two irreducible representations with a given d, n .)

If $p = 2$, the set X' in 4.8 has two elements $s = 1$ and $s = g$ where $g \in G^{\vartheta_0}$ has order 3 with $Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(g)^0$ of type $A_2 \times A_2$; $Z_G(g)$ is of type $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_2$ with $\text{Ad}(\vartheta)$ interchanging two of these A_2 -factors; $W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(g)^0}$ can be identified with the subgroup of W^D generated by s'_0, s_1, s_3, s_4 .

In each case $\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s), D_s) \subset \text{Irr}(W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(s)^0})$ is explicitly known: for $s = 1$ see [M05]; for $s = g$ we have $\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s), D_s) = \text{Irr}(W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(s)^0})$. From this and 4.8(b) we see that:

(b) if $p = 2$, then $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ consists of the same irreducible representations of W^D as those in (a).

Note that

(c) in the union 4.8(b) the term corresponding to $s = g$ is contained in the term corresponding to $s = 1$.

From (a),(b) we see that

(d) $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ is independent of \mathbf{k} .

4.10. In this subsection we assume that we are in type 3D_4 (see 4.1) so that G^{ϑ_0} is of type G_2 . We write the set \bar{I} in 4.1 as $\{1, 2\}$ in such a way that $\beta_1 + \beta_2$, $\beta_1 + 2\beta_2$, $\beta_1 + 3\beta_3$ are roots of G^{ϑ_0} with respect to T' ; the corresponding simple reflections in $W^D = W_{G^{\vartheta_0}}$ are denoted by s_1, s_2 respectively. Let $\omega : W^D \rightarrow W^D$ be the Coxeter group automorphism given by $s_1 \mapsto s_2, s_2 \mapsto s_1$. The reflection with respect to the highest root of G^{ϑ_0} is the element of W^D given by $s'_0 := s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1$. Let $s_0 = \omega(s'_0) \in W^D$.

If $p \neq 3$, the one element subsets K in $\mathcal{P}'(\tilde{I})$ (see 4.1), the corresponding $G_{\tilde{I}-K}$ (see 4.4) and $W_{\tilde{I}-K} \subset W^D$ (see 4.7) are as follows:

- (i) $K = \{0\}$ with $G_{\tilde{I}-K}$ of type G_2 with $W_{\tilde{I}-K}$ generated by s_1, s_2 ;
- (ii) $K = \{2\}$ with $G_{\tilde{I}-K}$ of type $A_1 \times A_1$ with $W_{\tilde{I}-K}$ generated by s_1, s_0 (if $p \neq 2$)
- (iii) $K = \{1\}$ with $G_{\tilde{I}-K}$ of type A_2 with $W_{\tilde{I}-K}$ generated by s_2, s_0 .

In each case, $\mathcal{S}_1(G_{\tilde{I}-K}, G_{\tilde{I}-K}) \subset \text{Irr}(W_{\tilde{I}-K})$ is explicitly known: in case (i), see [Sp85]; in case (ii),(iii) it is equal to $\text{Irr}(W_{\tilde{I}-K})$. From this and 4.7(b) we see that:

(a) if $p \neq 3$ then $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ consists of $1_0, 2_1, 2_2, 1_6$; one of the two $1_3, 1_3$, namely the one of the form $j_{W_{\tilde{I}-\{1\}}^{W^D}}(1_3)$.

(Notation as in 4.9(a).)

If $p = 3$, the set X' in 4.8 has two elements $s = 1$ and $s = g$ where $g \in G^{\vartheta_0}$ has order 2 with $Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(g)^0$ of type $A_1 \times A_1$; $Z_G(g)$ is of type $A_1 \times A_1 \times A_1 \tau A_1$ with $\text{Ad}(\vartheta)$ permuting cyclically three of these A_1 -factors; $W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(g)^0}$ can be identified with the subgroup of W^D generated by s'_0, s_2 .

In each case $\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s), D_s) \subset \text{Irr}(W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(s)^0})$ is explicitly known: for $s = 1$ see [M05]; for $s = g$ we have $\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s), D_s) = \text{Irr}(W_{Z_{G^{\vartheta_0}}(s)^0})$. From this and 4.8(b) we see that:

(b) if $p = 3$, then $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ consists of the same irreducible representations of W^D as those in (a).

Note that

(c) in the union 4.8(b), the term corresponding to $s = g$ is contained in the term corresponding to $s = 1$.

From (a),(b) we see that

(d) $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ is independent of \mathbf{k} .

4.11. In this subsection we assume that we are in type ${}^2A_{2n+1}, n \geq 1$, or type ${}^2A_{2n}, n \geq 1$, or type ${}^2D_n, n \geq 4$. If $p \neq 2$, the Strata of D and the set $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ are determined by 3.1(a), 3.3(a) (we use also 1.13); we see that $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ can be identified with $BP_{3,1}^n, BP_{1,3}^n, BP_{4,0}^{n-1}$ respectively. If $p = 2$, from 4.8(b) we have

(a) $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D) = \mathcal{S}_1(G, D)$

and this is identified in [L04,§13], [MS04] with ${}^m\widehat{BP}_{3,1}^n, {}^m\widehat{BP}'_{1,3}^n, {}^m\widehat{BP}_{4,0}^{n-1}$ respectively (with $m \gg 0$). (The proof of this identification in *loc.cit.* is very similar to the analogous statement for connected classical groups in characteristic 2 given in

[LS85].) Using the identifications in 2.2, we see that $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ is identified with $BP_{3,1}^n, BP_{1,3}^n, BP_{4,0}^{n-1}$ respectively. We now see that

(b) $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ is independent of \mathbf{k} .

4.12. We prove Theorem 1.15. By a sequence of reductions as in [L04, 12.1-12.7] we see that we can assume that G, D, δ are as in the first sentence of 4.1. If $\delta = 1$, we have $G = G^0 = D$ and the desired result follows from [L15]. Assume now that $\delta \geq 2$. In this case the desired result follows from 4.9(d), 4.10(d), 4.11(b). The theorem is proved.

5. FURTHER RESULTS

5.1. In this subsection we assume that $D = G^0 = G$ and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{C}$. Let sgn_W be the sign representation of W . The following is well known:

(a) If $g \in G$ is unipotent then $E_g = \text{sgn}_W$ if and only if $g = 1$.

Let ${}^0\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ be the set of all $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ such that $E = j_{W'}^W(\text{sgn}_{W'})$ where W' is the Weyl group of $Z_G(s)^0$ (for some semisimple $s \in G$) viewed as a subgroup of W . We have ${}^0\mathcal{S}_2(W) \subset \mathcal{S}_2(W)$ (we use (a)). Note that ${}^0\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ parametrizes a subset of the set of unipotent classes of the group of type dual to that of G .

Let $\Sigma \in \text{Str}(G)$ be corresponding to $E \in \mathcal{S}_2(W)$. From (a) and the definitions we deduce:

(b) Σ contains some semisimple element if and only if $E \in {}^0\mathcal{S}_2(W)$.

5.2. We preserve the setup of 5.1. Let G_0 be a maximal compact subgroup of G . Then G_0 is partitioned into subsets defined by the intersections of the various Strata of G with G_0 . The Strata of G which have nonempty intersection with G_0 are precisely those in 5.1(b).

5.3. We preserve the setup of 5.1. For $a \in \mathbf{N}$ we set

$$Y_a = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \in BP_{1,0}^a,$$

$$Y'_a = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \in BP_{0,1}^a.$$

Assume that $G = Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$, $n \geq 2$. We identify $\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ with $BP_{2,2}^n$ as in [L15, 3.5(b)]. Then ${}^0\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ becomes the set of bipartitions of the form $Y_a + Y'_b + C$ where $C \in BP_{0,0}^{n-a-b}$, $a + b \leq n$.

Assume that $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{k})$, $n \geq 2$. We identify $\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ with $BP_{2,2}^n$ as in [L15, 3.5(b)]. Then ${}^0\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ becomes the set of bipartitions of the form $Y_a + Y_b + C$ where $C \in BP_{0,0}^{n-a-b}$, $a + b \leq n$.

Assume that $G = SO_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$, $n \geq 4$. We identify $\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ (modulo the action of $O_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$) with $BP_{0,4}^n$ as in [L15, 3.10(b)]. Then ${}^0\mathcal{S}_2(W)$ (modulo the action of $O_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$) becomes the set of bipartitions of the form $Y'_a + Y'_b + C$ where $C \in BP_{0,0}^{n-a-b}$, $a + b \leq n$.

5.4. We no longer assume that $D = G^0 = G$ but we assume that $p = 0$. Let $s_0 \in D$ be a semisimple element and let T_0 be a maximal torus of $Z_G(s_0)^0$. It is known that $T_0 s_0$ consists of semisimple elements and any semisimple element

in D is G^0 -conjugate to an element of $T_0 s_0$ (see [L03, 1.14]). In this case the description of $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ in 1.11 simplifies as follows: the representations of W^D in $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ are exactly those obtained by applying $j_{W_s}^{W^D}$ to any representation of W_s in $\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s)^0, Z_G(s)^0)$ where s is any element in $T_0 s_0$; here $W_s = W_{Z_G(s)^0}$ is viewed as a subgroup of W^D as in 1.6(c). (We use that D_1 in 1.11 is now $Z_G(s)^0$.) For s, W_s above we consider a point ξ on the torus T_0^* dual to T_0 and we denote by $W_{s, \xi}$ the subgroup of W_s generated by the reflections which keep ξ fixed (in the natural action of W_s on T_0^*). From [L09] it is known that the representations of W_s in $\mathcal{S}_1(Z_G(s)^0, Z_G(s)^0)$ are exactly those obtained by applying $j_{W_{s, \xi}}^{W_s}$ to any special representation of $W_{s, \xi}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(G, D)$ be the collection of reflection subgroups of W^D which are conjugate to a subgroup of the form $W_{s, \xi}$ for some $s \in T_0 s_0$ and some $\xi \in T_0^*$; the subgroups in $\mathcal{P}(G, D)$ are said to be the 2-parabolic subgroups of W^D . It follows that the representations of W^D in $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D)$ are exactly those obtained by applying $j_{W_1}^{W^D}$ (with $W_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, D)$) to any special representation of W_1 .

The set $\mathcal{P}(G, D)$ consists of the reflection subgroups of W^D of type

- (i) $B_a \times B_b \times B_c \times B_d \times \mathcal{A}$ if (G, D) is of type ${}^2D_{n+1}, n \geq 3$ (see 4.1);
- (ii) $B_a \times B_b \times B_c \times D_d \times \mathcal{A}$ if (G, D) is of type ${}^2A_{2n}, n \geq 1$, (see 4.1);
- (iii) $B_a \times B_b \times D_c \times D_d \times \mathcal{A}$ if $G = G^0 = D$ is $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$ or $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{k}), n \geq 2$;
- (iv) $B_a \times D_b \times D_c \times D_d \times \mathcal{A}$ if (G, D) is of type ${}^2A_{2n-1}, n \geq 2$, (see 4.1);
- (v) $D_a \times D_b \times D_c \times D_d \times \mathcal{A}$ if $G = G^0 = D$ is $SO_{2n}(\mathbf{k}), n \geq 4$.

Note that in (i)-(iv), W^D is of type B_n while in (v), $W^D = W$ is of type D_n ; in each case we have $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbf{N}^4$, $a + b + c + d \leq n$; \mathcal{A} stands for a product of reflection subgroups of type A .

5.5. In this subsection we assume that G, D, δ are as in 4.1 with $p = \delta \in \{2, 3\}$. We show:

- (a) *Each Stratum of D contains a unique unipotent G^0 -orbit.*

It is enough to show that $\mathcal{S}_2(G, D) = \mathcal{S}_1(G, D)$. If G, D, δ is as in 4.11 this follows from 4.11(a). If G, D, δ is as in 4.9 (resp. 4.10) this follows from 4.9(c) (resp. 4.10(c)).

5.6. Let $\mathcal{S}(W)$ be the subset of $\text{Irr}(W)$ consisting of special representations. We define a map $\zeta : \text{Str}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(W)$ as the composition $\text{Str}(D) = \mathcal{S}_2(W, [D]) \subset \text{Irr}(W) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\zeta}} \mathcal{S}(W)$ where $\tilde{\zeta}$ is defined as follows. If $D = G^0$, $\tilde{\zeta} : \text{Irr}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(W)$ associates to any $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ the special representation in the same family as E . In the general case, we can find a connected reductive group \mathcal{G} defined over F_q with connected centre, with Weyl group W and with Frobenius map acting on W as $[D]$. Then $\text{Irr}(W^D)$ is in natural bijection with the set of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{G}(F_q)$ which have nonzero invariants under a Borel subgroup defined over F_q ; this is a subset of the set of unipotent representations of $\mathcal{G}(F_q)$ which by its known parametrization [L84b] is decomposed into pieces indexed by the families of W which are stable under $[D]$; in this way we can associate to each representation in

$\text{Irr}(W^D)$ a family of W and hence the unique special representation of W in that family. This defines $\tilde{\zeta}$ and hence ζ .

5.7. We define a partition of D into “special pieces” indexed by $\mathcal{S}(W)$. For $E \in \mathcal{S}(W)$, the special piece corresponding to E is $\cup_{\Sigma \in \text{Str}(D); \zeta(\Sigma)=E} \Sigma$. We expect that this special piece is locally closed in D . As supporting evidence, we note that, when $G = G^0 = D, p = 0$, the intersection of this special piece with the unipotent variety of G coincides with a special piece of that unipotent variety considered in [L97]; in particular it is locally closed in the unipotent variety.

5.8. In this subsection we assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of a finite field F_q and that $G = G^0 = D$ has connected centre; we also assume that G has a fixed F_q -rational structure. Let G^* be a connected reductive group over \mathbf{k} of type dual to that of G with the induced F_q -structure. Let $sc(G^*)$ be the set of all special conjugacy classes in G^* which are defined over F_q . It is known [L84b, 13.2] that there is a natural map $\tau : \text{Irr}(G(F_q)) \rightarrow sc(G^*)$. For any $\Sigma \in \text{Str}(G^*)$ let $\text{Irr}(G(F_q))_\Sigma$ be the set of all $\mathbf{E} \in \text{Irr}(G(F_q))$ such that $\tau(\mathbf{E}) \subset \Sigma$. Thus we have a partition

$$(a) \quad \text{Irr}(G(F_q)) = \sqcup_{\Sigma \in \text{Str}(G^*)} \text{Irr}(G(F_q))_\Sigma.$$

We have natural bijections $a : \text{Str}(G^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Str}(G), b : \text{Str}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Str}(G_{\mathbf{C}})$ where $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ denotes a connected reductive group over \mathbf{C} of the same type as that of G (see [L15]: each of the sets $\text{Str}(G^*), \text{Str}(G), \text{Str}(G_{\mathbf{C}})$ is indexed by $\mathcal{S}_2(W)$). From the results in [L84b, 13.3], we see that for $\Sigma \in \text{Str}(G^*), \text{Irr}(G(F_q))_\Sigma$ is empty unless $ba(\Sigma)$ contains some unipotent class of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$. Thus (a) can be regarded as a partition of $\text{Irr}(G(F_q))$ into pieces indexed by the Strata Σ' of G such that $b(\Sigma')$ contains a unipotent class in $G_{\mathbf{C}}$. (In *loc.cit.* this partition is defined only under the assumption that p is a good prime for G but the same definition applies without this assumption; if p is a bad prime for G , not all Σ' as above contribute to the partition.)

REFERENCES

- [AL82] D.Alvis and G.Lusztig, *On Springer’s correspondence for simple groups of type E_n ($n = 6, 7, 8$)*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **92** (1982), 65-72.
- [C20] G.Carnovale, *Lusztig’s strata are locally closed*, doi.org/10.1007/s00013-020-01448-1, Arch.der Math. (2020).
- [DM94] F.Digne and J.Michel, *Groupes reductifs non connexes*, Ann.Sci.ENS **27** (1994), 345-406.
- [L81] G.Lusztig, *Green polynomials and singularities of unipotent classes*, Adv. Math. **42** (1981), 169-178.
- [L84a] G.Lusztig, *Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group*, Inv. Math. **75** (1984), 205-272.
- [L84b] G.Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Ann.Math.Studies 107, Princeton U.Press, 1984.
- [L87] G.Lusztig, *Introduction to character sheaves*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 47(1), Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, pp. 165-180.
- [L97] G.Lusztig, *Notes on unipotent classes*, Asian J.Math. **1** (1997), 194-207.
- [L02] G.Lusztig, *Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups II*, Represent.Th. **6** (2002), 243-289.

- [L03] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups I*, Represent. Th. **7** (2003), 374-403; Errata **8** (2004), 179-179.
- [L04] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups II*, Represent. Th. **8** (2004), 72-124.
- [L09] G.Lusztig, *Unipotent classes and special Weyl group representations*, J.Alg. **321** (2009), 3418-3449.
- [L14] G.Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, version 2*, arxiv:math/0208154 (2014).
- [L15] G.Lusztig, *On conjugacy classes in a reductive group*, Representations of Reductive Groups, Progr.in Math. 312, Birkhäuser, 2015, pp. 333-363.
- [LS79] G.Lusztig and N.Spaltenstein, *Induced unipotent classes*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **19** (1979), 41-52.
- [LS85] G.Lusztig and N.Spaltenstein, *On the generalized Springer correspondence for classical groups*, Algebraic groups and related topics, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 6, North-Holland and Kinokuniya, 1985, pp. 289-316.
- [M05] G.Malle, *Springer correspondence for disconnected exceptional groups*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **37** (2005), 391-398.
- [MS04] G.Malle and K.Sorlin, *Springer correspondence for disconnected groups*, Math.Z. **246** (2004), 291-319.
- [Sh80] T.Shoji, *On the Springer correspondence of Chevalley groups of type F_4* , Commun.in Alg. **8** (1980), 409-440.
- [dS56] J.de Siebenthal, *Sur les groupes de Lie compacts non connexes*, Comment.Math.Helv. **31** (1956), 41-89.
- [S04] K.Sorlin, *Springer correspondence in non-connected reductive groups*, Jour.für die Reine und Angew.Math. **568** (2004), 197-234.
- [Sp82] N.Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 946, Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [Sp85] N.Spaltenstein, *On the generalized Springer correspondence for exceptional groups*, Algebraic groups and related topics, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 6, North-Holland and Kinokuniya, 1985, pp. 317-338.
- [St65] R.Steinberg, *Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups*, Publications Math.IHES **25**, 49-80.
- [St68] R.Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*, Memoirs AMS **80** (1968).