

Astrochemistry during the formation of stars

Jes K. Jørgensen,¹ Arnaud Belloche,² and Robin T. Garrod³

¹Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; email: jeskj@nbi.ku.dk

²Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Bonn, Germany; email: belloche@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de

³Departments of Chemistry and Astronomy, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA; email: rgarrod@virginia.edu

Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 2020.
58:1–55

This article's doi:
10.1146/((please add article doi))

Copyright © 2020 by Annual Reviews.
All rights reserved

Keywords

astrochemistry, complex molecules, interstellar medium, interstellar molecules, star formation, submillimeter astronomy

Abstract

Star-forming regions show a rich and varied chemistry, including the presence of complex organic molecules – both in the cold gas distributed on large scales, and in the hot regions close to young stars where protoplanetary disks arise. Recent advances in observational techniques have opened new possibilities for studying this chemistry. In particular, the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) has made it possible to study astrochemistry down to Solar System size scales, while also revealing molecules of increasing variety and complexity. In this review, we discuss recent observations of the chemistry of star-forming environments, with a particular focus on complex organic molecules, taking context from the laboratory experiments and chemical models that they have stimulated. The key takeaway points are:

- The physical evolution of individual sources plays a crucial role in their inferred chemical signatures, and remains an important area for observations and models to elucidate.
- Comparisons of the abundances measured toward different star-forming environments (high-mass versus low-mass, Galactic center versus Galactic disk) reveal a remarkable similarity, an indication that the underlying chemistry is relatively independent of variations in their physical conditions.
- Studies of molecular isotopologs in star-forming regions provide a link with measurements in our own Solar System, and thus may shed light on the chemical similarities and differences expected in other planetary systems.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	2
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Star formation and astrochemistry	4
1.3. Outline of this paper	5
2. RECENT ADVANCES AND NEW CHALLENGES	5
2.1. Advances in observational techniques	5
2.2. Spectroscopic identifications of new species	10
2.3. Linking observations, chemical modeling, and laboratory experiments	13
3. CHEMICAL INVENTORIES IN REGIONS OF STAR FORMATION	18
3.1. Recent salient results about molecular complexity	18
3.2. Detections in the warm gas of hot cores and corinos	19
3.3. Low-density environments and starless/prestellar cores	20
3.4. Outflows	21
3.5. External galaxies	22
4. CHEMICAL DIFFERENTIATION IN THE ENVIRONMENTS OF STAR FORMATION	22
4.1. Sgr B2(N): the impact of cosmic rays and an extended reservoir of COMs	23
4.2. Orion KL: chemical impact of a past explosion	24
4.3. Chemical differentiation in other hot cores	26
4.4. Spatial differentiation in IRAS 16293-2422	28
5. LINKING THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL EVOLUTION OF PROTOSTARS	28
5.1. Chemical changes in the transitions from envelopes to disks	29
5.2. The physical/chemical structure of embedded disks	30
5.3. Episodic accretion and snowlines in protostellar envelopes	31
5.4. Summary	33
6. FRACTIONATION	34
6.1. Water	35
6.2. Complex organics	38
7. ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF CHEMICAL COMPLEXITY	40
7.1. Similarities and differences between IRAS16293B, Sgr B2(N2) and Comet 67P/C-G	40
7.2. A wider census of oxygen- and nitrogen-bearing species in star forming environments	43
A. Molecular abundances	46

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation

The environments in which young stars form show a rich and varied chemistry. In fact, most of the molecules detected in the interstellar medium (ISM) to date have first been found in these regions – whether in the cold starless/prestellar cores or in the warm gas surrounding young stars of high or low masses. These species range all the way from simple di- and tri-atomic neutral molecules, molecular radicals and ions to complex molecules. The latter, some with ten atoms or more, include species containing long unsaturated chains of carbon atoms as well as saturated organics that can be considered the starting points for eventual prebiotic chemistry. The chemical networks describing the formation and destruction paths for these different species are strongly dependent on the underlying physical evolution of the star formation processes, such as the changes in density, temperature, and spectral shape and intensity of irradiation.

Although molecules of varying degrees of complexity have also been detected in other regions including the envelopes around evolved stars, photodissociation regions, well-developed protoplanetary disks around Class II young stellar objects/T Tauri stars, and even in distant galaxies, the chemistry in prestellar cores of molecular clouds and embedded protostellar stages is critical. These stages provide key laboratories for molecular astrophysics: through the high column densities characteristic of these regions we have by far the most complete molecular inventories of those, including censuses of low-abundance organics and their isotopologs. Also, these stages are likely pivotal for linking the birth environments of young stars and the initial conditions in the emerging protoplanetary disks in terms of both their physics and chemistry.

However, these sources also illustrate some of the major challenges in terms of understanding astrochemistry. In particular, recent observations with significant improvements in sensitivity and spatial resolution have revealed that complex chemistry is taking place in a wider range of the physical components of young protostars than considered previously (Fig. 1). Understanding how the physical structure and evolution of young protostars influences the degree of molecular complexity that arises in their envelopes and disks, and how this may further influence chemical composition during the later planet-forming stages, remain some of the key challenges for astrochemistry.




Figure 1

Schematic representation of a young solar-type protostar and its structural components that are key to its chemistry. Complex organic molecules are observed to be present in all of these components as the result of thermal and non-thermal desorption: on small and large scales within the protostellar envelope and disk, in shocks related to accretion at the disk surface and centrifugal barrier, and on larger scales associated with the protostellar outflow. UV irradiation close to the disk and outflow cavity are also potentially important in regulating the chemistry. The main focus of the previous review in this series by Herbst & van Dishoeck (2009) was on the formation of complex organic species during the infall/warm-up phase (yellow thick arrow; see, i.p., their Fig. 14), but, as discussed in this review, in the recent years a much more complex picture has emerged. Background image by Per Bjerkerli.

1.2. Star formation and astrochemistry

The canonical scenario for the formation of a solar-type protostar starts at low temperatures of ~ 10 K at densities of $10^3 - 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with the formation of a dense prestellar core in a giant molecular cloud. In the denser parts of such cores, gaseous molecules collide with and stick to the surfaces of dust grains during their earliest stages and cold gas-phase chemistry will lead to abundance enhancements of, e.g., deuterium-containing molecules through fractionation. Star formation occurs when these cores collapse, leading to the formation of an opaque (second) hydrostatic core. Further infall leads to the release of gravitational potential energy heating the infalling envelope of dust and gas to temperatures of tens or hundreds of K, while the densities increase to $\sim 10^8 - 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the inner ~ 100 au regions around the central protostar. As the temperatures increase above 100 K, the water-rich ice mantles sublime, injecting molecules into the gas phase – giving rise to the so-called hot corino regions at high temperatures and densities, rich in saturated complex organics. These are also the scales at which protoplanetary disks are expected to arise owing to the conservation of angular momentum, a process that also leads to the launching of outflows and jets. While the overall physical evolution for high-mass stars through these stages is clearly more complex, many of the overall characteristics can be identified, including the extended hot cores with elevated temperatures where complex organics are present in some cases. Due to the high column densities of warm material, many of the first detections of complex organic molecules (COMs)¹ were made toward these high-mass regions.

The topic of astrochemistry and its link to star formation has been the subject of previous reviews in this journal.² Van Dishoeck & Blake 1998 focused on the overall chemical evolution of star forming regions that at the time had come within reach through advances in (sub)millimeter wavelength single-dish telescopes, space-borne infrared telescopes, and previous generations of millimeter-wavelength interferometers. These efforts underlined the importance of molecular astrophysics as a tracer of the physical changes taking place during the star formation process. This includes the freeze-out of molecules on the surfaces of dust grains, the resulting grain-surface chemistry leading to more complex species, and eventually the release of those into the gas phase during the collapse due to thermal desorption close to young stars or in outflow driven shocks – or their incorporation into protoplanetary disks.

A decade later, dedicated observational efforts, laboratory studies, and sophisticated gas-phase and grain-surface chemical models had shifted the focus from the relatively simple species to the formation of COMs – the subject of the review by Herbst & van Dishoeck (2009). Extensive observations of hot cores had provided the first unbiased surveys providing

¹We keep the definition proposed by Herbst & van Dishoeck (2009) and widely used in the community: a COM is a carbon-bearing molecule that has at least six atoms. Alternative names such as large astronomical molecule (LAM) or interstellar complex organic molecule (iCOM) have been proposed in the past decade but, as long as the context (astrochemistry, not biology or chemistry) is clear and the definition is stated, the term COM is adequate.

²In addition to the mentioned reviews from this journal, it is worth pointing out a number of reviews from the past decade: Tielens (2013) described the physical and chemical processes governing the formation and evolution of molecules in the interstellar medium, Caselli & Ceccarelli (2012) and Ceccarelli et al. (2014) addressed the link between astrochemistry in star forming regions and the Solar System, Boogert, Gerakines & Whittet (2015) discussed observations of ices, and van Dishoeck et al. (2014) investigated the water trail through the star formation process. A review on recent developments in mm/submm laboratory spectroscopy in support of observational astrochemistry was presented by Widicus Weaver (2019).

complete censuses of the molecular line content of individual high-mass protostars covering wide spectral ranges of the windows where the atmosphere is mostly transparent, as well as systematic, more focused, inventories of networks of species toward groups of sources. Targeted observations of low-mass protostars had started revealing the rich chemistries of these sources as well – including the detections of saturated complex organics in the inner envelopes of deeply embedded protostars as well as in shocks associated with their outflows.

Today, yet another decade later, gigantic steps forward have been taken due to the systematic molecular studies at THz frequencies by the *Herschel Space Observatory* (*Herschel*), significant upgrades to many (sub)millimeter wavelength single-dish telescopes and interferometers especially in terms of the receivers and correlators, and, in particular, the advent of the *Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array* (ALMA) that has pushed molecular astrophysics studies by orders of magnitude in sensitivity and spatial resolution.

1.3. Outline of this paper

In this review, we focus on the complex chemistry taking place from the point where star formation is initiated by the formation of dense (prestellar) cores, through their collapse to form young protostars and their circumstellar disks. We describe the opportunities and challenges encountered with recent advances in observations, modeling, and laboratory experiments (Sect. 2) and provide an overview of detections of complex molecules in different environments (Sect. 3). This is followed by discussions of the importance of the physical conditions on the chemistry reflecting both the non-homogeneous conditions in star forming environments (Sect. 4) and the changes occurring during the formation and early evolution of stars (Sect. 5). The final two sections focus on constraints on the formation of complex organic molecules and the link between star forming environments and our own Solar System. Specifically, we describe the insights that can be obtained by studies of isotopic fractionation (Sect. 6) and by comparing systematic chemical inventories across samples of sources to measurements from our own Solar System and the predictions from models (Sect. 7).

2. RECENT ADVANCES AND NEW CHALLENGES

2.1. Advances in observational techniques

Significant advances within astrochemistry have been made over the past decade thanks to new telescopes and improvements in instrumentation at existing facilities. The key features offered by these facilities are *(i)* the improvement in the large instantaneous bandwidths covered with high spectral resolution by individual instruments, *(ii)* the sensitivity offered by large apertures and excellent observation sites, *(iii)* improved spatial resolution with, in particular, combined array antennas, and *(iv)* coverage of high frequency windows in the far-infrared with high spectral resolution using space-based telescopes such as *Herschel* and the Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA). Each of these aspects provides new opportunities as well as challenges.

2.1.1. Increase of instantaneous bandwidth at many observational facilities. One of the key aspects of *Herschel* and ALMA as well as upgrades of receivers and correlators on facilities such as the Atacama Pathfinder EXperiment (APEX), the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) 30 m telescope, the NORthern Extended Millimeter Array

New facilities

The three main new facilities for studies of star forming regions that have started operations in the past decade are:

- **ALMA:** 66 telescope array operating at submillimeter wavelengths. ALMA has demonstrated its metier for high resolution, high sensitivity imaging of the distribution of molecules in star-forming regions both near and far (2011–).
- **Herschel:** 3.5 m space-based observatory operating at far-IR (THz) wavelengths. Key contributions concern the presence of water and organics in star forming regions (2009–2013).
- **SOFIA:** 2.5 m airborne telescope that gives access to far-IR windows not observable from the ground, and in particular to important cooling lines of the ISM (2010–).

(NOEMA), and the Submillimeter Array (SMA), has been the increase in instantaneous bandwidth obtainable while keeping a relatively high spectral resolution. This is particularly important for performing unbiased spectral surveys covering large frequency ranges. For example, the “Herschel observations of EXtra-Ordinary Sources (HEXOS)” survey of Orion performed a spectral scan from 480 to 1907 GHz (with two small gaps) with 1.1 MHz spectral resolution and identified more than 13,000 spectral lines, i.e., 10 lines per GHz (Crockett et al. 2014). From ALMA the “Exploring Molecular Complexity with ALMA” (EMoCA) survey of the high-mass star forming region Sgr B2(N) (Belloche et al. 2016) and the “Protostellar Interferometric Line Survey” (PILS) of the nearby low-mass protostar IRAS 16293–2422 (Jørgensen et al. 2016) have been the main unbiased studies. The high angular resolution of EMoCA revealed that the secondary hot core Sgr B2(N2), with about 6500 lines detected above 7σ between 84 and 114 GHz (about 220 lines per GHz), has narrow lines ($\sim 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) compared to the lines measured with single-dish telescopes toward Sgr B2(N). This reduction in spectral confusion was decisive for the identification of new species. The main component of PILS was a systematic survey of the 329–363 GHz range of ALMA’s Band 7 at 0.25 km s^{-1} spectral resolution (see Fig. 2). With the narrow lines ($\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) at selected positions toward the protostellar system the line confusion is reached at low levels and more than 10,000 lines above 5σ can be identified (about 300 lines per GHz).

The key advantage of the large bandwidth lies in the possibility for identifications of new species as well as accurate modeling of line emission leading to robust derivations of excitation temperatures and column densities. In particular, secure identifications of new species need a high number of well-isolated lines that can be assigned and modeled (see Sect. 2.2). Furthermore, with access to larger bandwidths, the range of energy levels and line strengths covered by individual species provides highly accurate constraints on their excitation. With of order 15–20 identified lines, the typical uncertainties on excitation temperatures and column densities become less than 10–20% when local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) can be assumed (e.g., Jørgensen et al. 2018). Laboratory astrophysics has also benefited from these technical developments (see Widicus Weaver 2019, and references therein). New spectrometers with large bandwidths are starting to be used in the laboratory




Figure 2

Example of spectra encountered from the ALMA/PILS survey (Jørgensen et al. 2016). The image in the top left corner shows the dust continuum radiation from the protostellar binary with the “edge-on” oriented IRAS16293A source and “face-on” oriented IRAS16293B source at wavelengths of 3.0 mm (red), 1.3 mm (green), and 0.8 mm (blue). The different orientations cause the line widths to differ significantly for the two sources ($\approx 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for IRAS16293B and $\approx 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for IRAS16293A). The panels in the right-hand column show spectra progressively zooming in from the full range covered in the survey toward IRAS16293B. The most zoomed-in version is compared to the same spectrum for IRAS16293A illustrating the enhanced line confusion at those scales due to the wider lines of that source. For reference, the RMS noise levels in the spectra are $8\text{--}10 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, thus most of the features seen represent significant line emission.

to measure the rotational spectra of molecules – in particular, complex ones (e.g., Wehres et al. 2018a; Cernicharo et al. 2019).

The challenges with such wide spectral scans lie mainly in dealing with huge data volumes and in the need for new tools for their analysis. Previous more targeted, and

lower sensitivity, studies typically only picked up (at most) some handfuls of lines of an individual species. In those cases classical rotation diagram methods worked well, because one could deal practically with separating and fitting individual lines. However, in the larger, typically more confused, spectra, it is often more useful to fit the entire observed spectrum using synthetic models (see Fig. 3 for examples). Such models predict the full spectra under, e.g., the LTE assumption, taking into account optical depth of individual lines specifically – and accounting for multiple molecular species at once, thus implicitly sorting out chance alignments, line blends and, also importantly, testing for non-detections (see also Sect. 2.2).

2.1.2. Improvements in sensitivity. Another important aspect of the telescope advances with *Herschel* and ALMA has been the significant improvements in sensitivity, both due to the increased collecting area compared to previous facilities as well as (for ALMA) the excellent conditions on the telescope site. This improvement enables more statistical approaches to astrochemical studies, whether in terms of the ranges of lines and species observed for individual sources or in terms of targeting larger samples of sources. In particular, the latter makes it possible to determine the degree to which variations in molecular emission signatures for different sources are caused by the influence of, e.g., physical conditions (the impact of the external radiation field, variations in cosmic-ray flux, degree of turbulence etc.) on the resulting chemistry.

Moving into this new sensitivity regime also brings new challenges. One example is that the line-confusion limit is now reached also for sources that were relatively line-poor in shallower surveys. A spectrum has reached the confusion limit when emission from spectral lines is detected in basically every single spectral channel (see, e.g., the 1.3 mm single-dish spectra toward Sgr B2(N) of Belloche et al. 2013), implying that a longer integration time with the same telescope would not bring any new information. This issue becomes more severe at higher frequencies because the spectral line density of a mixture of COMs is expected to be relatively uniform in frequency space. The linewidth in frequency space is, however, increasing with frequency (in astronomical spectra the linewidth is expected to be constant in velocity space) and therefore the likelihood of line overlaps, and thereby confusion, in line-rich sources increases with frequency as well.

Reaching the confusion limit raises some practical problems: in particular if the observed angular resolution is coarse compared to the kinematical structure of the source it becomes difficult, if not impossible, to estimate the underlying continuum emission (see, e.g., bottom left panel of Fig. 2). Rather than subtracting the continuum based on line-free channels before performing Fourier transforms and cleaning of the interferometric data, one either needs to take the continuum into account in the synthetic spectrum modeling or define the continuum by fitting the flux distributions pixel-by-pixel in the imaged datacubes (see Sánchez-Monge et al. 2018 and Jørgensen et al. 2016 for example methodologies). While the former has the advantage of most closely resembling the actual data with minimum tinkering, it is often impractical – in particular, if the spatial distribution of molecular line emission is considered for line-rich sources.

Several strategies can be adopted to beat the spectral confusion limit in order to detect species that have a low abundance or weak lines. Observations at higher angular resolution can reveal regions with smaller velocity dispersion or separate sources that have different systemic velocities and were blended in larger beams. This strategy led to significant advances for instance in Sgr B2(N) (Belloche et al. 2016, 2019) or IRAS 16293 (Jørgensen

Table 1 List of molecules mentioned in this review with more than three atoms.

Species	Formula	Species	Formula
Acetaldehyde	CH ₃ CHO	Glyoxal	HC(O)CHO
Acetamide	CH ₃ C(O)NH ₂	Hydroxylamine	NH ₂ OH
Acetic acid	CH ₃ COOH	Isocyanic acid	HNCO
Acetone	CH ₃ C(O)CH ₃	Methane	CH ₄
Ammonia	NH ₃	Methanimine	CH ₂ NH
Benzene	c-C ₆ H ₆	Methanol	CH ₃ OH
Benzonitrile	c-C ₆ H ₅ CN	Methoxymethanol	CH ₃ OCH ₂ OH
Butyl cyanide	C ₄ H ₉ CN	Methyl acetylene	CH ₃ CCH
Cyanoacetylene	HC ₃ N	Methyl amine	CH ₃ NH ₂
Cyanodiacetylene	HC ₅ N	Methyl chloride	CH ₃ Cl
Cyanoformaldehyde	NCCHO	Methyl cyanide	CH ₃ CN
Cyanomethanimine	NHCHCN	Methyl formate	CH ₃ OCHO
Cyanomethyl radical	CH ₂ CN	Nitrous acid	HONO
Cyclopropanone	c-H ₂ C ₃ O	Propanal	C ₂ H ₅ CHO
Dimethyl ether	CH ₃ OCH ₃	Propanol	C ₃ H ₇ OH
Ethanamine	CH ₃ CHNH	Propenal	C ₂ H ₃ CHO
Ethanol	C ₂ H ₅ OH	Propyl cyanide	C ₃ H ₇ CN
Ethylene glycol	(CH ₂ OH) ₂	Propylene oxide	c-CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ O
Formaldehyde	H ₂ CO	Quinoline	C ₉ H ₇ N
Formamide	NH ₂ CHO	Thioformaldehyde	H ₂ CS
Formic acid	HCOOH	Vinyl cyanide	C ₂ H ₃ CN
Glycolaldehyde	CH ₂ (OH)CHO	Urea	NH ₂ C(O)NH ₂
Glycolonitrile	HOCH ₂ CN		

et al. 2016). Finding sources with intrinsically narrow linewidths is another promising avenue that is illustrated, for example, by the recent detection of methoxymethanol³ in the hot core MM1 of NGC 6334I (McGuire et al. 2017).

Finally, going to lower frequency where the spectral confusion is less severe is another option, provided that the emission lines are still strong enough to be detected. IRAM has started to explore frequencies below 80 GHz (down to 70 GHz with NOEMA and 73 GHz with the 30-m telescope), and Bands 1 and 2 of ALMA will be valuable in this respect in the near future. COMs have been detected at even lower frequencies, for instance propanal and benzonitrile with the GBT (Hollis et al. 2004a; McGuire et al. 2018). While confusion is not (yet) an issue at these low frequencies, the difficulty lies in the excitation of the molecules that does not follow LTE in the environments probed by these observations. Collision rate coefficients are not available for many COMs, making a reliable estimate of their column densities under such conditions a challenge. However, recent progress has been made in this respect for, e.g., methyl formate and methanimine (Faure et al. 2014; Faure, Lique & Remijan 2018).

2.1.3. Increased spatial resolution. ALMA has clearly pushed observations at submillimeter wavelengths to a new regime with its high angular resolution and sensitivity providing images of dust and gas with a resolution of 0.01'', corresponding to few au scales in nearby

³See Table 1 for a list of the names and formulae for molecules discussed in this review.

star forming regions. Even in ALMA’s intermediate baseline configurations, the achieved angular resolution of $\approx 0.1''$ represents an improvement by a factor 5–10 in angular resolution compared to what is typically achieved at other facilities. Besides helping with issues such as line confusion described above, the advantages of imaging at these spatial scales are obvious: it makes it possible to look at the spatial coincidences and separations between different species and thereby reveal their chemical relations and their link to the underlying source physical structures.

One challenge encountered in high-resolution studies of low- and high-mass protostars comes from the optical thickness of the observed line and continuum emission. Many sources show unresolved continuum structures that become optically thick, in particular, in the higher-frequency ALMA bands. The continuum optical thickness may, for example, suppress the line emission on protostellar disk scales (e.g., Harsono et al. 2018). These effects made it necessary for the PILS and ReMoCA surveys to focus on positions offset from the main continuum peaks to derive reliable column densities.

2.2. Spectroscopic identifications of new species

There are a number of considerations to make when reporting detections of new species or presenting derivations of their physical/chemical characteristics. This is becoming particularly important with the new sensitive observations, where line-rich spectra of individual sources are often revealed serendipitously.

2.2.1. Considerations for new detections. While it may be uncontroversial to report the detection of a common species toward sources belonging to a well-studied group, more care needs to be taken with an exotic claim (such as a completely new species or the detection of a species toward a type of region where it has not previously been seen). In the former case, it is often sufficient just to note the rest frequency of the species from common spectroscopic references, but in the latter case a range of transitions is required to be measured independently. The number of transitions needed for a secure claim strongly depends on the spectral line density (see Neill et al. 2012; Halfen et al. 2006).

For new detections, a number of other criteria should be fulfilled as well: the line widths and local-standard-of-rest (LSR) velocities of all transitions of a given species have to be consistent or, if they vary (for example, as a function of energy level), an explanation needs to be provided (obviously an interesting scientific result in its own right). Likewise it is critical to check that the column density and/or excitation temperature derived based on the measured transitions do not predict other transitions to be present across the observed spectral ranges where they are not seen, e.g., from lines of higher intrinsic strengths or more favorable energy levels. Chance alignments from transitions of other (more common) species should also be checked. In particular, in the ALMA era it is often found that even fairly high energy levels of common organic molecules can be populated (including rotational levels in vibrationally or torsionally excited states) that can lead to serious false identifications. To fulfill all these criteria, especially in the case of hot cores or corinos, a recommended approach is to fit a complete spectral survey with a synthetic spectrum that accounts for all identified molecules rather than rely on simple independent Gaussian fits of individual lines of a given species. The formalism behind such synthetic spectra is described in Möller, Endres & Schilke (2017) and a number of publicly available tools are available to calculate them (e.g., *CASSIS*, *XCLASS*, *Weeds*, and *MADCUBA*). Details about basic

radiative transfer equations and the derivation of column densities can be found in, e.g., Goldsmith & Langer (1999) and Mangum & Shirley (2015).

An often overlooked aspect is the impact of continuum emission on small scales: the presence of high column densities of dust on small scales can introduce frequency-dependent continuum opacity significantly altering the intensities of observed molecular transitions if split over multiple bands. The strength of the continuum emission may also be such that the corresponding “background” temperature terms in the equation of radiative transfer cannot be neglected (see, e.g., Sect. 4.4 of Belloche et al. 2019). Also, these effects strongly affect the use of traditional methods such as population diagrams that typically assume a negligible amount of background radiation (see Goldsmith & Langer 1999, for details about population diagrams).

Finally, the spectroscopic reference needs to be evaluated in terms of the accuracy of the listed frequencies and any extrapolations from laboratory measurements (see Fig. 3 and Sect. 2.2.3). We encourage astronomers to inspect the documentation for individual species in the spectroscopic databases such as the *Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy* (CDMS; Müller et al. 2001, 2005) and *Jet Propulsion Laboratory’s database for molecular spectroscopy* (JPL; Pickett et al. 1998) and cite the relevant spectroscopic studies, and spectroscopists to make their measurements and associated predictions as well as partition functions available in such databases in order to increase the impact of their work in the astrochemical community.

2.2.2. Reporting physical properties. Both in the context of new detections, and when reporting properties from line emission for other species, there is also a range of issues that need to be considered and reported. Exact positions, beam sizes, and, in the case of interferometric observations of extended structures, spatial sensitivity – in terms of (u, v) -coverage – obviously belong to such critical information. For constraints on the column densities of the emission, it is important to consider the source size in comparison to the angular resolution of the observations. In mapping observations, this involves a comment about the distribution of the material (i.e. whether it is Gaussian or homogeneous with respect to the beam) and whether the column density is estimated from a single position or pixel (where the measured flux density in janskys per beam can be translated into an effective radiation temperature in kelvins) or whether an integration over a larger area is adopted (where the beam-to-pixel size ratio also needs to be taken into account).

As mentioned above, when constructing a model for the excitation of a given molecule, the important physical parameters besides the column density and excitation temperature are the systemic velocity and line width. In particular, the latter – together with the assumed extent – is important when considering possible optical depth effects. On the scales routinely probed with ALMA, many lines of the main isotopologs (and in some cases even less abundant isotopologs; Jørgensen et al. 2018) become optically thick. In those cases, it is often possible to obtain apparently good fits in population diagrams, but if those lines in reality are optically thick then no constraints are obtained on the actual column densities. For such species it is therefore critical to seek additional constraints to confirm which lines are optically thin – either by looking at rarer variants or studying transitions with lower line strengths – when constraining their column densities.

It is also important to consider whether or not local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) is a reasonable approximation, i.e., whether the densities of the main collision partners (typically H₂) in the region are sufficiently high that the excitation of a molecule is collision-

dominated. In hot corinos and cores where the densities exceed 10^7 – 10^8 cm^{-3} , LTE is often achieved for most species and transitions of interest, but in more tenuous environments (e.g., prestellar and translucent cores, outflow regions) this is not necessarily the case. It is also worth keeping in mind that while LTE may work well at high frequencies (e.g., at submillimeter wavelengths) for a given source, this may not be the case for the same source at lower frequencies (e.g., centimeter wavelengths) if the observations trace more extended emission. In the case of non-LTE excitation it becomes even more problematic to trust the assignments of lines and thus claims of detections and properties of a given species. This issue may outweigh the advantage that the line confusion at longer wavelengths may be lower.

For species excited to temperatures of 100–150 K or above it is important to note whether the partition function associated with a given spectroscopic entry includes the vibrational contribution or whether it is purely rotational. In the latter case, vibrational corrections need to be applied to derive the full column density of the molecule (see, e.g., Sect. 5 of Margulès et al. 2017).

A final consideration is whether column densities or abundances are reported for the modeled species. Abundances are very often referenced relative to the column density of H_2 , as estimated from the dust continuum or CO gas-phase lines. However, such estimates may be problematic (e.g., due to different spatial distributions of CO and the targeted species) or highly model-dependent (e.g., the dust temperature and assumed dust opacity law). Often it is helpful to focus on the relation between a given species and its possible chemical precursor, but again here care must be taken that the choice of reference species does not introduce spurious correlations, e.g., due to assumptions about the excitation or the line optical thickness of the reference species.

2.2.3. The importance of laboratory spectroscopy. The identification of interstellar COMs rely heavily on the accuracy of frequencies and line strengths derived from the analysis of laboratory measurements (see review by Widicus Weaver 2019). The complexity of the COM Hamiltonians due to, e.g., internal rotation, makes extrapolations beyond the range of frequencies measured in the laboratory uncertain or even unreliable. With the new era of sensitive spectral broadband datasets at submillimeter wavelengths, laboratory measurements at high frequencies are becoming even more crucial. The spectroscopy community has already begun to extend the spectral characterization of a number of COMs into the submm domain (e.g., Wehres et al. 2018b; Kolesníková et al. 2018; Motiyenko et al. 2019). But even the extension of the spectroscopy of COMs from the cm range, investigated several decades ago, to the mm range already represents significant progress (e.g., Cernicharo et al. 2016; Alonso et al. 2016; Martin-Drumel et al. 2019).

A more accurate characterization of the Hamiltonian of COMs with advanced modeling codes is also critical: the identification of new interstellar COMs with low abundance requires a good knowledge of the complete spectra of more abundant, known, COMs – that is, not only their strongest lines, but also the weaker ones. The recent progress made with, for example, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ (e.g., Müller et al. 2016a) or $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3$ (e.g., Ordu et al. 2019) illustrates how critical this is (see Fig. 3). There is also a strong need to characterize the rotational spectrum of COMs in their vibrationally excited states (e.g., Müller et al. 2016b; Degli Esposti et al. 2017), and of their isotopologs (e.g., Margulès et al. 2016; Zakharenko et al. 2019), to advance the line identification of astronomical spectra.




Figure 3

Synthetic spectra (red) of ethanol (a, b) and acetone (c, d) computed assuming LTE, overlaid on spectra (black) of the hot molecular core Sgr B2(N2) observed with ALMA in the frame of the EMOCA project (Belloche et al. 2016). The synthetic spectra of panels b and d were computed with recent spectroscopic predictions produced by Müller et al. (2016a) and Ordu et al. (2019), respectively. They agree much better with the observed spectra than the synthetic spectra computed with older predictions from the JPL database (panels a and c). The central frequency and frequency range (in parentheses) are indicated in MHz below each sub-panel.

2.3. Linking observations, chemical modeling, and laboratory experiments

Our understanding of the chemistry of star-forming regions is constantly informed by ongoing efforts to simulate it both in the laboratory and through computational means. The latter includes both the calculation of rates and parameters of individual processes and full-scale chemical kinetic simulations of astronomical objects. However, observational discoveries of new molecules have often been the driving factor in the choice of species to study.

Over the past decade or so there has been an increased interest in determining the importance, or otherwise, of dust grain-surface chemistry to the production of complex organic molecules, including through thermal or energetic processing of mixed molecular ices, as well as their relative importance compared to, e.g., gas-phase processes. While the field has largely moved beyond the facile “either/or” argument, there is nevertheless still disagreement as to the precise origins of even some of the most commonly observed molecules in star-forming regions, such as formamide (NH_2CHO). Quantum rate calculations for the gas-phase reaction of formaldehyde (H_2CO) with the radical NH_2 , leading to formamide, have suggested variously that this mechanism is very *efficient* (Barone et al. 2015; Skouteris et al. 2017) or that it is prohibitively *inefficient* (Song & Kästner 2016). Meanwhile, chemical models indicate (e.g., Garrod 2013; Quénard et al. 2018) that with warm (~ 20 K) dust grains, formamide can be produced through reactions within/upon the ice mantles. Even for molecules such as dimethyl ether, with plausible production mechanisms in both

the gas phase and on grains (see Fig. 4), the relative contributions may also be dependent on the interaction of large-scale physical conditions like temperature with molecule-specific microscopic quantities such as binding energies. Thus, it is likely that there is no blanket description that applies equally well to all COMs, even within a single astronomical source. The chemistry of star-forming regions may perhaps be best described as an intrinsically well-coupled gas-grain chemical system. The recent push to explore the limits of both gas and grain-surface/ice chemistry has led to the investigation of a number of new types of reaction mechanisms, which may become standard parts of the toolbox for astrochemical simulations of all kinds.

Radical: A molecular species that has an unpaired valence-shell electron, making it typically very reactive.

2.3.1. Chemical modeling. Chemical kinetic models of star-forming regions calculate the time-evolution of chemical abundances, as determined by a network of reactions and processes and their rates. The main advances made in this field over the past decade involve either the improvement or expansion of chemical networks, the detailed treatment of grain-related chemistry, or the improvement of astrophysical inputs such as density, temperature, and radiation fields.

Models of complex molecule production in star-forming regions have frequently concentrated on high-mass star-forming regions, i.e. hot cores. Since early models of hot-core chemistry, such as that of Brown, Charnley & Millar (1988), some form of two-stage physical model has been assumed. In those, the first stage involves the low-temperature evolution and/or collapse of a molecular cloud core, with the second treating the hot-core stage proper, with higher densities and temperatures. A major feature of the first stage involves the build-up of molecular ice mantles on dust grains, composed primarily of simple hydrides such as H₂O, NH₃, and CH₄, as well as CO, CO₂, H₂CO, and CH₃OH. In the second stage, these are ejected into the gas phase – either immediately, or gradually, according to a time-dependent release as temperatures are ramped up to observed values (Viti & Williams 1999). The thermal ejection of grain-mantle molecules is a major driver of gas-phase chemistry, through both ion-molecule and neutral-neutral reactions (Charnley, Tielens & Millar 1992).

Photolysis: The process of photodissociation of molecules, and subsequent associated mechanisms, caused by photons typically at UV/Visible wavelengths.

Radiolysis: The ionization, and subsequent associated processing, of a target material caused by the impingement of an ionizing particle.

2.3.2. Grain-surface chemical treatments. Models with some explicit treatment of grain-surface chemistry are now fairly standard, due to the need to consider ice evolution. The use of three-phase models (ice mantle, surface, and gas phase), based on the treatment by Hasegawa & Herbst (1993), has also become more common. These models treat the upper ice layer as a separate phase from the underlying bulk, which in some cases is treated as being chemically active (Garrod 2013). Other models have considered multiple layers within the bulk (Taquet, Charnley & Sipilä 2014). In general, gas-grain models calculate grain-surface abundances using the same so-called “rate equation” approach as is used for pure gas-phase chemistry. This method ignores the inherently stochastic nature of the grain-surface chemistry, and can lead to divergence from numerically-exact treatments. Vasyunin & Herbst (2013a) successfully constructed a three-phase model that treats both gas and grain chemistry accurately using a macroscopic Monte Carlo method, followed more recently by Lu, Chang & Aikawa (2018). Rate-equation models can also be adapted to replicate stochastic surface chemistry reasonably well, using the modified-rate method (Garrod 2008; Garrod et al. 2009), although the method has not been widely adopted (Furuya et al. 2015).

Much modeling work over the past decade has involved the development of chemical networks for the grain-surface production of COMs. Early grain chemistry networks (Tielens

& Hagen 1982) included mechanisms for the build-up of certain COMs by repetitive atomic addition, as well as through reactions between radicals (Allen & Robinson 1977). Garrod & Herbst (2006) presented a model of hot-core chemistry in which the surface mobility, and thus the reactivity, of radicals on grain surfaces would be enhanced by including the gradual warm-up of the gas and dust, which had previously been considered only in the context of the *desorption* of grain-surface molecules (Viti & Williams 1999; Viti et al. 2004). In these models, radicals are produced largely as the result of photodissociation (i.e. photolysis) of stable, solid-phase species such as methanol (CH_3OH), caused by cosmic ray-induced UV photons. Reactions between the radical products of methanol dissociation become prominent at temperatures of 20–40 K resulting in the subsequent formation of many COMs. Many of those linger on grain surfaces until temperatures upward of 100 K are reached where they sublime and become observable in the gas phase. The models were expanded to include a larger network of radical reactions (Garrod, Widicus Weaver & Herbst 2008) that was quite successful in providing a basic framework for the production of many COMs, which has been extended over subsequent years to include newly-detected species (Garrod et al. 2017; Müller et al. 2016a; Belloche et al. 2017), especially toward Sgr B2(N).

Importantly for gas-grain models, uncertainties exist in the desorption energies (i.e. binding energies) and diffusion barriers of many chemical species. The former control the temperatures at which atoms or molecules may thermally desorb from the dust grains and into the gas, while the latter determine the rates at which reactive species may migrate on the grain surfaces through diffusion, allowing them to react (see also Cuppen et al. 2017). In the case of radicals, both values are often poorly defined by experiment, due to these reactive species' short lifetimes. Some grain-surface reactions require a chemical activation energy barrier to be overcome. Below ~ 100 K, many such processes important to astrochemistry involve quantum tunneling of H atoms, however, the rates for barrier-mediated reactions used in chemical networks are often poorly defined. Hydrogen addition to unsaturated COMs, which often involves an activation barrier, could be especially important to interstellar abundances (Álvarez-Barcia et al. 2018; Krim et al. 2018).

The degree of diffusion that can occur within the bulk ice is also uncertain and could indeed be minimal for species other than H and H_2 . Although such diffusion is technically required in the models to allow radical photo-products to react within the bulk, new methods are emerging to treat those reactions without mediation by diffusion, allowing COMs to be formed even at very low temperatures (Garrod 2019), in line with experimental evidence (e.g., Henderson & Gudipati 2015).

The strength of the radical-radical reaction process for COMs is affected both by the warm-up timescale of the core, and by the rates of ice photodissociation, which scale with the cosmic-ray ionization rate. These two physical inputs are somewhat degenerate in their chemical effects. Recent models (e.g., Barger & Garrod 2020) indicate that short warm-up timescales (on the order of 10^4 yr) and high cosmic-ray ionization rates ($\sim 10^{-16}$ s $^{-1}$) may provide the best agreement with observations. Dedicated models of chemistry in individual cores within Sgr B2(N) (Bonfand et al. 2019; Willis et al. 2019) support the latter finding. Photodissociation rates of individual solid-phase molecules are also not well constrained by laboratory data, although they are expected to be lower than equivalent gas-phase processes by a factor of a few (Kalvāns 2018).

Shingledecker et al. (2018) presented a gas-grain model of cosmic ray-induced radiolysis of interstellar ice mantles. In the case of cosmic rays, the impinging particle (which may




Figure 4

An illustrative selection of proposed pathways to the formation of the representative COM dimethyl ether, CH_3OCH_3 , in star-forming regions, under either warm or cold conditions. Not all possible pathways are shown. Each of the processes occurring on cold grains or within cold ice mantles could also occur at higher temperatures, although with arguably lower significance. The warm grains should be assumed to inherit much of the ice-mantle composition of the earlier, cold dust grains. The cold UV-induced photolysis process implicitly assumes minimal thermal diffusion of dissociation products. The cosmic-ray radiolysis process could also involve “suprathermal” dissociation products (not shown). UV photolysis and cosmic ray-induced radiolysis may occur either within the ice mantles or on their surfaces. On the warm grains, surface diffusion of many radicals is expected to be rapid, but diffusion rates within the ice mantles remain uncertain, and could also depend on the presence of ice porosity. Gas-phase protonation of, e.g., methanol may occur by reaction with multiple different molecular ions and not only H_3^+ . Electronic recombination of protonated dimethyl ether, $[\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_3]\text{H}^+$, is expected to produce dimethyl ether in no more than 7% of cases (see text), while proton transfer to ammonia (NH_3) may be very efficient.

be an electron, proton, or a heavier atomic nucleus) has far more energy than is required to produce a single molecular ionization, and the resultant electron will also be capable of producing further ionization events. The cascade of electrons produced by a single cosmic ray results in dissociation, excitation, ionization, and recombination of species within the ice. Shingledecker et al. considered this process as a means of forming COMs in quiescent interstellar clouds (mostly through reactions between neutral product species, including radicals), but the mechanism could also plausibly influence COM abundances in actively star-forming cores (see also Sect. 2.3.4).

2.3.3. Gas-phase mechanisms. Gas-phase production mechanisms for COMs have long been a part of the chemical networks, and the production of some relatively complex species through ion-molecule gas-phase chemistry remains uncontroversial. For example, for dimethyl ether, CH_3OCH_3 (Fig. 4), the gas-phase reaction of methanol with protonated methanol (CH_3OH_2^+) can lead to the formation of protonated dimethyl ether. This species can recombine with an electron to produce dimethyl ether in up to 7% of cases, while the remainder of recombinations should result in the fragmentation of the underlying C–O bonds (Hamberg et al. 2010). Chemical models indicate that the large abundances of gas-phase methanol, following grain-mantle ejection, could make this process effective enough to explain dimethyl ether observations in star-forming regions. However, for other molecules, the inefficient conversion of protonated molecules to a stable, neutral COM via electronic recombination presents a problem. The main gas-phase *destruction* mechanism for many COMs will also be their protonation by small molecular ions, followed by destructive electronic recombination.

Taquet, Wirström & Charnley (2016) proposed a solution to this problem, citing the reaction of protonated COMs with gas-phase NH_3 (following its desorption) as a way to remove a proton without destroying the underlying COM structure. They also suggest that gas-phase mechanisms could produce a selection of COMs through ion-molecule chemistry, with proton-transfer to ammonia being the terminal step. These authors further proposed that the heating of grains as the result of episodic accretion events in low-mass sources (see Sect. 5.3) could induce thermal desorption of molecules that would promote gas-phase chemistry. The formation of methyl formate would proceed via the reaction of protonated methanol with formic acid, leading to the *trans*-conformer of protonated methyl formate (Neill et al. 2011). Further conversion to produce the commonly-observed *cis*-methyl formate would be required, but the efficiency of the overall process under interstellar conditions is not well constrained.

There has recently been renewed interest in neutral gas-phase reaction mechanisms for COM production (prompted by the detection of cold COMs in prestellar cores), especially those involving radicals. Such mechanisms include radiative association, a process by which two species react to form a single product that is stabilized by the emission of a photon. These reactions are inefficient for small-molecule production, but for larger products – including COMs – the efficiency could be much greater. Calculations by Vuitton et al. (2012) suggest radiative association is rapid for large hydrocarbon radicals. Vasyunin & Herbst (2013b) found the radiative association reaction $\text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_3\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_3 + h\nu$ to be effective under prestellar core conditions. Rates for radiative association are generally difficult to constrain in the laboratory, so detailed calculations will be required to confirm the production efficiencies for specific COMs. Balucani, Ceccarelli & Taquet (2015) noted further that the abstraction of a hydrogen atom from dimethyl ether by Cl and, to a lesser extent, F atoms – followed by reaction of the resultant radical with an O atom – could lead to the production of methyl formate itself. Skouteris et al. (2018) recently considered similar processes beginning with ethanol to form glycolaldehyde, and acetic and formic acid. Many of the above-mentioned reactive processes have been tested with chemical models under only a limited set of physical conditions (centered mainly around cold, prestellar core conditions) and are dependent on the efficient gas-phase production of radicals. However, this neutral and radical chemistry provides an important new dimension to networks for COM production and further investigation will be highly valuable.

2.3.4. Laboratory experiments. The production of COMs through the irradiation of mixed molecular ices has long been an important area of astrochemical research. The relative influence of cosmic ray-induced ultraviolet/visible irradiation (i.e. photolysis) versus direct cosmic-ray impingement (i.e. radiolysis) in interstellar COM production continues to be debated. Complex organics typical of star-forming regions can be produced through either scheme (Bennett et al. 2007, 2011; Öberg et al. 2009; Öberg 2016). However, the action of “supra-thermal” (i.e. excited or kinetically energetic) species may play a larger role in radiolysis, while COM production through photolysis would be closer to the radical-addition mechanisms considered in many astrochemical models. Öberg et al. (2009) demonstrated that a large range of observed COMs could be formed through photolysis of solid methanol, with product abundances varying with temperature (20–70 K). More recently, Butscher et al. (2016) showed that HCO radicals produced by formaldehyde photodissociation could be stored in the ice at low temperatures, becoming more mobile and reactive as temperatures increase. The relative orientations of the radicals during reaction may also be important to the product ratios and reaction efficiencies (Bergner et al. 2016; Enrique-Romero et al. 2016; Butscher et al. 2017).

A major area of new ice-chemistry research involves the production of COMs at very low temperatures without the need for diffusion of large radicals (thermally or otherwise), nor with any initiating energetic process. Fedoseev et al. (2015) found that co-deposition of H and CO at low temperatures could produce COMs, which they suggest occurs through the production of glyoxal (HCOCHO) via HCO radical addition, which is subsequently hydrogenated to produce glycolaldehyde and ethylene glycol. In this scheme, the low-temperature chemistry that produces methanol by hydrogen addition would allow more complex species to be formed in tandem. Reactions between HCO radicals would be the result not of diffusive meetings, but rather their occasional production in close enough proximity that little or no diffusion would be required. The HCO radical could also plausibly react with CO itself, mediated by an activation energy barrier but with little need to diffuse. Subsequent studies have highlighted cold pathways to other COMs, through deposition of additional species (Fedoseev et al. 2016; Qasim et al. 2019; Dulieu et al. 2019). In this review, we refer to such processes generically as *non-diffusive*, although they may indeed be initiated by the diffusion of H atoms, which are mobile even at very low temperatures. It seems likely that non-diffusive mechanisms could make a significant contribution to the COM content of star-forming regions. However, methyl formate does not seem to be produced in sufficient quantities to explain its interstellar abundance and photolysis may therefore still be required (Chuang et al. 2016) if it originates in the solid phase. Astrochemical models have treated non-diffusive reactions in the past on a case-by-case basis (Garrod & Pauly 2011), but new models are beginning to include more general treatments for this cold chemistry (e.g., Jin & Garrod 2020).

3. CHEMICAL INVENTORIES IN REGIONS OF STAR FORMATION

3.1. Recent salient results about molecular complexity

Between 2010 and 2019, 58 new species were detected in the ISM, at a rate exceeding the approximately 4 new detections per year on average since 1968 (McGuire et al. 2018). About 36% of those new detections were COMs, of which 71% were detected toward dark clouds or star-forming regions, with the majority being highly saturated species. Since 2014, 6 out of 16, i.e. ~40% of the new COM detections, were made with ALMA – a clear

demonstration of its capabilities for astrochemical studies. But large single-dish telescopes still have a role to play, as illustrated by the detections of 9 new COMs with the GBT and IRAM 30 m telescopes over the same period (4 and 5, respectively, for these telescopes).

Three of the new COM detections reported over the past five years have revealed the wider complexity of the molecular structures produced by chemistry in star-forming regions. The ALMA detection of the branched alkyl molecule iso-propyl cyanide toward the hot core Sgr B2(N2) with an abundance only 2.5 times lower than that of its straight-chain structural isomer normal-propyl cyanide has shown that branched molecules can easily form in the ISM (Belloche et al. 2014). Astrochemical simulations subsequently showed that at the next stage of complexity in the alkyl cyanide family (C_4H_9CN), some of the branched isomers should even dominate over the straight-chain one (Garrod et al. 2017). This remains to be verified observationally. The detection of a chiral molecule has also enlarged our inventory of the types of molecular structures present in the ISM: McGuire et al. (2016) reported the detection of propylene oxide in the extended, low-density region of the protocluster Sgr B2(N) with the GBT and the Parkes telescope. These observations do not tell us if propylene oxide has an enantiomeric excess in Sgr B2, as was found for some chiral molecules in meteorites (see, e.g., Pizzarello & Groy 2011), but McGuire et al. (2016) discuss the prospects for a measurement of this. Finally, the identification of an aromatic COM in the ISM was reported by McGuire et al. (2018) who detected benzonitrile toward the cold dense core TMC-1 with the GBT. Apart from the fullerenes, this molecule is, with 13 atoms, the largest molecule identified in the ISM so far. As those authors' chemical models indicate, its presence is likely connected to the chemistry of benzene. However, it is less clear whether benzene itself should be formed predominantly through a "bottom-up" mechanism starting from simpler species, or whether it represents the smaller end of a spectrum of PAHs that are relatively long-lived and photo-stable.

Attempts to detect larger molecules such as butyl cyanide (15 atoms) or the heterocyclic aromatic molecule quinoline (C_9H_7N , 17 atoms) have not been successful so far (Ordu et al. 2012; Garrod et al. 2017; Cordiner et al. 2017). Garrod et al. (2017) predicted an abundance of butyl cyanide relative to propyl cyanide in Sgr B2(N) just below the detection limit of the EMoCA survey, but a preliminary search for this molecule in the three times more sensitive survey ReMoCA on the same source (Belloche et al. 2019) has not succeeded yet. Likewise, no secure detection of propanol (12 atoms) has been achieved so far (Müller et al. 2016a; Tercero et al. 2015; Qasim et al. 2019).

3.2. Detections in the warm gas of hot cores and corinos

Through efforts with ALMA, *Herschel*, and other millimeter/submillimeter facilities, significant advances have been made toward the collection of systematic molecular inventories for a larger number of sources, including those that are generally less line-rich. For example, many of the complex species previously only detected toward high-mass star forming regions are now also seen toward solar-type protostars. These include molecules containing three carbon atoms, such as acetone and propanal (Lykke et al. 2017), and species of prebiotic interest such as glycolaldehyde (Jørgensen et al. 2012; Coutens et al. 2015; Taquet et al. 2015) and formamide (Kahane et al. 2013; Coutens et al. 2016).

At the current level there is no evidence that the degree of molecular complexity is any less for low-mass hot corinos than their high-mass counterparts, although the former typically have fainter lines. This is not to say that there are no differences between low-

and high-mass star forming regions. There are significant non-detections in some regions: for example, species such as methylamine, CH_3NH_2 , and hydroxylamine, NH_2OH , have been sought due to their potential roles as precursors for amino acids. However, so far only CH_3NH_2 has been detected in the ISM and only toward a few select regions such as Sgr B2(N) (Kaifu et al. 1974), Orion KL (Pagani et al. 2017), G10.47+0.03 (Ohishi et al. 2019), and NGC 6334 (Bøgelund et al. 2019b), but not in general toward high-mass star forming regions or low-mass protostars (Ligterink, Tenenbaum & van Dishoeck 2015; Ligterink et al. 2018a). No secure detection of NH_2OH has been reported so far despite dedicated searches toward high- (Pulliam, McGuire & Remijan 2012) and low-mass star forming regions (McGuire et al. 2015; Ligterink et al. 2018a). An analysis of the PILS data shows that the CH_3NH_2 upper limit, as well as the detection of methanimine (CH_2NH), a precursor species of CH_3NH_2 , imply abundances with respect to methanol and formamide more than an order of magnitude lower in IRAS16293B compared to Sgr B2(N) (Ligterink et al. 2018a).

Conversely, the targeted studies of solar-type protostars have revealed the presence of some species not previously seen toward high-mass star forming regions – for example, the simple halogen-bearing organic methyl chloride (Fayolle et al. 2017), and nitrous acid (Coutens et al. 2019). Due to high degrees of line confusion typically seen toward high-mass star forming regions, it is not clear whether those species differ in abundance compared to high-mass star forming regions, as the increased line confusion toward Sgr B2(N2) in the EMOCA survey produces upper limits on the abundance of these two species comparable to the inferred values in IRAS 16293B. Another recent example of a “first discovery” in low-mass protostars is that of glycolonitrile. This species was detected in IRAS 16293B (Zeng et al. 2019) but searches for it toward Sgr B2(N) have been unsuccessful so far, both in single-dish surveys (Margulès et al. 2017) and in the EMOCA and ReMOCA interferometric surveys.

3.3. Low-density environments and starless/prestellar cores

Some particularly interesting observations of complex molecules and related species involve detections toward cold starless or prestellar cores. While unsaturated carbon-chains have long been known to be present toward such cores (TMC-1 and L134N being the most well-studied examples), recent studies have also yielded detections of a number of saturated COMs toward dense, low-mass prestellar cores such as L1689B in the Ophiuchus molecular cloud region (Bacmann et al. 2012), L1544 in Taurus (Vastel et al. 2014; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2016) and Barnard 5 in Perseus (Taquet et al. 2017), as well as their likely counterparts for high-mass stars such as the infrared dark cloud IRDC028.34+0.06 (Vasyunina et al. 2014) and the prestellar core candidate W43-MM1/6 (Molet et al. 2019). Detected molecules include acetaldehyde, methyl formate, and dimethyl ether. Maps of the spatial distributions of these species toward some of the cores (Bizzocchi et al. 2014; Soma et al. 2015) suggest that they are prevalent in regions offset from the center of the core as traced by the submillimeter continuum radiation or infrared extinction.

The detections of COMs in absorption toward Sgr B2(N) also provide insight into the chemistry of cold clouds. Some of these detections correspond to the large-scale envelope of Sgr B2(N) itself, but a few COMs (CH_3OH , CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , NH_2CHO) were also detected at velocities corresponding to translucent clouds along the line of sight, both in the Scutum arm and in the Galactic center region (Corby 2016; Thiel et al. 2017, 2019). The

abundances of CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and NH_2CHO relative to methanol were found by Thiel et al. (2017) to be similar to those derived for the same molecules detected in absorption in the $z = 0.89$ spiral galaxy located in front of the quasar PKS 1830-211 (Muller et al. 2011, 2013). This suggests that the processes leading to chemical complexity in the translucent parts of molecular clouds have remained similar since the universe was half its current age.

The detections of COMs in these cold regions emphasize two points: firstly, the formation of these highly-saturated organics cannot be dependent on the same warm, diffusive, grain-surface chemistry that many models rely on to explain COMs in hot-core regions. Secondly, if they originate on the grains, they must be released into the gas through some non-thermal mechanism. Plausible theories include cosmic-ray sputtering of the ices, photo-desorption by UV photons, and so-called “reactive desorption”, in which excited, newly-formed molecules spontaneously desorb. However, neither of the latter two mechanisms are found to be efficient for methanol in the laboratory (e.g., Bertin et al. 2016; Martín-Doménech, Muñoz Caro & Cruz-Díaz 2016). The presence of COMs in translucent regions, in which photo-destruction of those molecules by ambient interstellar UV photons would be more significant, presents a greater problem. Formation of the COMs within transient or unresolved structures (e.g., Garrod et al. 2005) could provide a possible explanation. An alternative idea is that reactions of H and O atoms with the bare surfaces of carbonaceous grains could be a source of COMs. Experiments have for example shown that formaldehyde may be produced in this way (Potapov et al. 2017).

These new detections have reset the clock for COM formation to an even earlier stage than previously thought. An important task for the future will be to determine to what degree hot cores and corinos have inherited chemistry from their earlier evolutionary stages.

3.4. Outflows

The first discovery of COMs toward an outflow-related shock was made toward the chemically active outflow L1157-B1 (Arce et al. 2008). This region has since then been the target of unbiased line surveys using the Nobeyama 45 m telescope (Yamaguchi et al. 2012), the IRAM 30 m telescope (ASAI, Lefloch et al. 2017), and *Herschel*/HIFI (CHESS, e.g., Codella et al. 2010, 2012; Benedettini et al. 2012). These surveys have led to a number of new detections in such shocked regions, including of phosphorus mononitride (PN; Yamaguchi et al. 2011), as well as inventories of COMs there (Sugimura et al. 2011; Lefloch et al. 2017). Beyond the L1157 outflow, the systematic inventories of complex organics beyond CH_3OH and CH_3CN in outflow regions remain relatively sparse. Öberg et al. (2010, 2011) reported the detections of COMs, including CH_3OCHO , CH_3CHO , and CH_3OCH_3 , toward outflows in the B1-b and SMM4-W regions with abundances of up to a few percent with respect to methanol. With their relatively rich spectra, the shocks in the L1157 outflow have also been the targets of a number of interferometric studies aimed at searching for chemical differentiation between the various species either between the different shocks or within individual ones (Fontani et al. 2014; Burkhardt et al. 2016; Codella et al. 2017). Such studies, as well as ones for high-mass protostellar outflows (e.g., Palau et al. 2017), show great potential for observations of chemistry in the *time domain* that can provide much needed constraints, but naturally require good, independent constraints on the time progression of the shocks as well as the physical changes they induce (e.g., Burkhardt et al. 2019).

3.5. External galaxies

COMs have also been detected in external galaxies. In Sect. 3.3 we already mentioned the detections reported in the $z = 0.89$ spiral galaxy in front of PKS 1830-211, with more than 42 simple and complex molecules identified with ATCA and ALMA (Muller et al. 2014). Extragalactic detections of methanol, methyl cyanide, and methyl acetylene were already reported three decades ago, in particular in the central regions of the starburst galaxy NGC 253, with abundances similar to abundances in our Galaxy (Henkel et al. 1987; Mauersberger et al. 1991). More recently, hot cores have been reported in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC). Shimonishi et al. (2016) identified a hot core toward the high-mass young stellar object ST11 with ALMA on the basis of its compact size, high density, and high temperature, but no COM was detected, with upper limits implying that methanol is at least one order of magnitude less abundant than in Galactic hot cores. Further progress was made by Sewilo et al. (2018) who detected not only methanol but also dimethyl ether and methyl formate toward two hot cores of N113, one of the most prominent star-forming regions in the LMC. The abundances of those species were found to be similar to those found in Galactic hot cores after accounting for the difference in metallicity. Methanol has been detected at even lower metallicity, in a cold core of the Small Magellanic Cloud, with an abundance, not corrected for metallicity, comparable to similar galactic cold sources, which may suggest an enhanced production of methanol at low metallicity (Shimonishi et al. 2018) although the implication is somewhat dependent on the assumptions about the dust properties and temperatures used to derive the H_2 column density (Sect. 2.2.2).

A limited number of gas-grain chemical models of Magellanic Cloud chemistry have been published: Acharyya & Herbst (2018) present an example of a model of hot-core chemistry in these environments. They found that the effects of varied physical conditions, particularly the minimum dust temperature achieved, could be more influential than the metallicity. Unfortunately, the relatively few detections of COMs so far in the Magellanic Clouds do not place strong constraints on the chemical and physical processes at work.

4. CHEMICAL DIFFERENTIATION IN THE ENVIRONMENTS OF STAR FORMATION

The environment of a star forming region can have a strong impact on its chemistry: the temperature, cosmic-ray ionization rate, radiation field, and timescale of evolution all influence the rates at which molecules are created or destroyed, and possibly introduce chemical differentiation. In turn, the chemical content of a source can be used to infer its physical properties and history. Good examples of these links are the two favorite hot-core regions, the protocluster Sgr B2(N) in the Galactic Center (GC) region and the 20-times more nearby Orion KL region. Both have been targets of broad spectral line surveys over the past four decades to probe their COM content, but their physical characteristics may in fact be very different. Furthermore, other regions of low- and high-mass star formation are starting to show evidence of chemical differentiation through the increased sensitivity, spectral coverage, and angular resolution of current observational facilities (see Sect. 2). In this section we therefore focus on the observational relationships between the physical structure in star forming environments and chemical differentiation.

4.1. Sgr B2(N): the impact of cosmic rays and an extended reservoir of COMs

The GC giant molecular cloud Sgr B2 shows a rich chemical diversity, related both to compact hot cores and to more extended material where complex molecules are also present. The fact that high-mass star formation is happening in Sgr B2 has been known for several decades, with the detection of several dozen ultracompact HII regions indicating the presence of a protocluster of young O and B stars (e.g., Gaume et al. 1995). Recently, a large population of several hundred compact, high-density sources in a likely earlier stage of evolution was unveiled with ALMA through their dust continuum emission, with a large fraction of them being interpreted as tracing high-mass young stellar objects (Sánchez-Monge et al. 2017; Ginsburg et al. 2018). In Sgr B2(N) in particular, three new hot cores have been identified through their molecular line forests with ALMA (Bonfand et al. 2017); all coincide with previously known Class II methanol masers (Caswell 1996), which are exclusively associated with young high-mass stars (Minier et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2008).

While the global chemical composition of Sgr B2's main sites of star formation, Sgr B2(N) and Sgr B2(M), could be derived from single-dish observations (e.g., Belloche et al. 2013; Neill et al. 2014), significant progress has been made thanks to ALMA's high angular resolution and sensitivity that allowed the chemical composition of the individual hot cores of Sgr B2(N) to be determined and accurate column densities to be derived (Belloche et al. 2016; Bonfand et al. 2017). Through models of the observed COM abundances of Sgr B2(N)'s hot cores, Bonfand et al. (2019) found evidence for a cosmic-ray ionization rate a factor 50 higher than the canonical value of $1.3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$ usually assumed for dense gas in the galactic disk. This is in qualitative agreement with the higher cosmic-ray ionization rate values found for the diffuse medium of the GC region compared to the diffuse medium in the galactic disk (Indriolo et al. 2015; Le Petit et al. 2016).

Another interesting discussion concerns the thermal history of the region and its impact on the formation of COMs. Dust temperatures measured with *Herschel* are higher in the GC region compared to the galactic disk. Temperatures of 20–27 K were derived for Sgr B2 (Guzmán et al. 2015) but they may be affected by the feedback of its star formation. A better proxy for the physical conditions that were prevalent during the earlier prestellar phase in Sgr B2 may be G0.253+0.016, a GC molecular cloud with little on-going star formation. Dust temperatures of 19–27 K were measured in this cloud (Longmore et al. 2012). Bonfand et al. (2019) showed that minimum temperatures above 20 K during the collapse history of Sgr B2(N)'s hot cores would not be consistent with their current COM abundances. Either this discrepancy implies that the *Herschel* maps are not sensitive to the lowest temperatures at high densities or that our understanding of the formation of COMs, and indeed ices of all kinds, in a region like Sgr B2 would have to be revised. Specifically, the retention of CO ice on grains much above 20 K or so is unlikely, rendering even methanol production a challenge, as it has no efficient gas-phase formation mechanism (Garrod, Wakelam & Herbst 2007). This discussion may also have implications for more local (low-mass) star forming regions where the presence of nearby high-mass stars may cause the temperature floor to be elevated (e.g., Jørgensen et al. 2006).

A feature of Sgr B2 that has been known for nearly two decades but has become more prominent in the past one is the presence of COMs distributed on large scales in the cloud, in addition to the COMs present on small (arcsec) scales in the hot cores. GBT detections of $\text{CH}_2(\text{OH})\text{CHO}$, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{CHO}$, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{CHO}$, $(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_2$, $c\text{-H}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}$, NH_2CHO , $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{NH}_2$, NCCHO , CH_3CHNH , and NHCHCN in absorption and/or emission with low excitation temperatures is an indirect indication that these molecules are present at low densities and

distributed over arcminute scales in Sgr B2 (Hollis et al. 2004a,b; Hollis 2005; Hollis et al. 2006a,b; Remijan et al. 2008; Loomis et al. 2013; Zaleski et al. 2013). Mapping observations confirm this with, for example, emission of CH₃OH, CH₃CN, CH₃CCH, NH₂CHO, CH₃CHO, and HC₅N extending over several arcminutes in maps obtained with the Mopra telescope at 3 and 7 mm (Jones et al. 2008, 2011) and absorption of CH₂CN, CH₃CHO, and CH₃CHNH detected with ATCA at 7 mm over the extent of the background free-free continuum emission ($\sim 10''$, Corby et al. 2015).

The connection between this low-density, colder emission and the hot cores remains unclear. Recently, Li et al. (2017) reported a change in the relative abundances of CH₂(OH)CHO and (CH₂OH)₂ over scales of 15' in Sgr B2 with the Shanghai Tianma 65 m radio telescope with the [(CH₂OH)₂]/[CH₂(OH)CHO] abundance ratio decreasing from the extended, low-density region to the dense regions Sgr B2(N) and Sgr B2(M) where star formation occurs. The recent detections of COMs in cold cores (Sect. 3.3) suggest that a similar set of physical conditions could be producing such molecules in both environments, although – if formed on dust grains – the mechanism of desorption could be quite different. The action of shocks in galactic center environments could provide a more substantial ejection of mantles into the gas (see, e.g., Requena-Torres et al. 2006). Recently, ALMA observations revealed a network of filaments on scales of a few tenths of a parsec toward Sgr B2(N) (Schwörer et al. 2019). The kinematics of the filaments show evidence for mass flows toward a central hub where the main hot core, Sgr B2(N1), is located and imply a timescale of 60–300 kyr for the formation of this central hub. Further investigations of the relation between these filaments and both the formation of the high-mass stars and the chemistry in the extended and compact environments may shed more light on these issues.

4.2. Orion KL: chemical impact of a past explosion

Thanks to its short distance, Orion KL can be studied in greater detail than Sgr B2 and many signs of chemical differentiation have been seen within this source. For example, resolved CARMA observations showed that cyanides peak at different locations from other species such as CH₃OCH₃ and CH₃OCHO (Friedel & Snyder 2008). A differentiation between N-bearing COMs (cyanides and NH₂CHO) and O-bearing COMs (alcohols, CH₃OCH₃, and CH₃OCHO) has also been inferred from differences in their excitation temperatures from *Herschel* observations by Crockett et al. (2015), who found that the former trace hotter gas (200–300 K) than the latter (100–150 K). However, the CH₃C(O)CH₃ emission detected with PdBI resembles more the cyanide emission than that of CH₃OCH₃ and CH₃OCHO (Peng et al. 2013; Feng et al. 2015), two COMs that were found to be strongly spatially correlated (Brouillet et al. 2013). To complicate the situation even further, Favre et al. (2017) demonstrated with ALMA that CH₃COOH and (CH₂OH)₂ trace a more compact region located closer to the hot core than other O-bearing COMs. Analyzing a larger sample of O-bearing COMs detected with ALMA, Tercero et al. (2018) confirmed this result and showed that there is in fact a more general spatial segregation between the COMs containing a C-O-C structure and the COMs containing a C-OH bond, the former tracing the compact ridge and the latter the hot core. Tercero et al. (2018) interpret this segregation as resulting from the chemistry being dominated by different radicals in these two regions, methoxy (CH₃O·) in the former and hydroxymethyl (·CH₂OH) in the latter. One caveat in these discussions is that integrated intensity maps intended to trace a particular transition of a given COM may be contaminated by emission from other species, due to the complex

velocity structure of the Orion KL region (Pagani et al. 2017). A more reliable method would be to construct column density maps from LTE fits of the spectrum of each pixel (e.g., using the VINE method; Calcutt et al. 2018b).

As already noted, some species show indications of temperatures across the region ranging from about 100 K up to 300–450 K toward the cyanide peak at the northeastern part of the hot core (Bell et al. 2014). Among the COM detections recently reported in Orion KL, the detection of propyl cyanide is particularly interesting. Both the straight chain (n) and the branched (i) isomers were detected with ALMA (Pagani et al. 2017). The authors derived an abundance ratio of the n - and i -isomers that is similar to the one obtained by Belloche et al. (2014) in Sgr B2, but varying by a factor of 3 (from 2 to 6) across the region. These variations may reveal a non-uniform thermal history across the Orion KL region (Pagani et al. 2017).

Still, significant evidence has emerged that mechanical rather than thermal processes may strongly influence the chemistry of Orion KL. It has long been known that the region shows a prominent wide-angle outflow with a peculiar structure of finger-like filaments in H₂ emission (Taylor et al. 1984) possibly the result of an explosive event (Allen & Burton 1993). A recent explanation for this explosion is that embedded radio and infrared sources within the cloud were originally part of a multiple young stellar system that violently disintegrated \sim 500 yr ago as a result of a close dynamical interaction, creating at the same time the wide-angle outflow (e.g., Bally & Zinnecker 2005; Gómez et al. 2005; Zapata et al. 2009; Bally et al. 2017; Luhman et al. 2017). The absence of a self-luminous submillimeter, radio, or infrared source embedded in the hot molecular gas and the fact that there are no outflow filamentary structures in the shadow of the hot core pointing away from the explosion center led Zapata, Schmid-Burgk & Menten (2011) to suggest that Orion KL is not a typical hot core with internal heating by a nascent star but a pre-existing dense structure that was heated up from the outside by the gas that was accelerated by the explosion. An alternative explanation (Goddi et al. 2011; Bell et al. 2014) is that the hot core is externally heated due to interaction with the compact SiO outflow driven by source *I* (Plambeck et al. 2009). In either case, the chemistry of the Orion KL “hot core” could thus be dominated by shocks rather than thermal heating by embedded stars, which corroborates earlier suggestions that the hot core is externally heated (e.g., Blake et al. 1996). The context of the explosion may also shed new light on the structure of the Orion KL region: Pagani et al. (2017) argue that the compact ridge, with its narrow velocity dispersion, is located in front of or behind the rest of the region and has not been affected by the explosion yet.

A recent ALMA study by Pagani et al. (2019) presents an interesting case of probing chemistry in a new way in relation to the explosive event. In addition to kinematic evidence pointed out in their previous work (Pagani et al. 2017), elongations found in the channel maps of various simple and complex molecules, which point back toward the explosion center, are interpreted by the authors as the result of these molecules being dragged away by the expanding gas that was pushed out by the explosion (see Fig. 5). The authors suggest that molecules that do not show such elongations are quickly destroyed in the gas phase after their release from the dust ice mantles, while those showing such elongations survive longer. If this interpretation holds true, then the Orion KL region would turn out to be a kind of time-of-flight experiment that would allow us to explore interstellar chemistry in the time domain. This would be a major observational breakthrough.




Figure 5

A selection of species seen at 9 km s^{-1} (color and black contours), compared to the 1.2 mm continuum emission (white contours) towards the Orion KL region. The stellar objects BN, I, and n are indicated. Small red or white 5-pointed stars mark infrared peaks. The yellow arrows starting from the explosion center (red eight-pointed star) suggest possible displacement of gas linked to the explosive event, which occurred ~ 500 years ago. The first row shows species with conspicuous displacements (from left to right: H_2CO , CH_3CN , HNCO) while the second row shows species close to their production sites (from left to right: CH_2NH , CH_3OCH_3 , NH_2CHO) (adapted from Pagani et al. 2019).

4.3. Chemical differentiation in other hot cores

Another avenue to improve our understanding of the formation of COMs in high-mass star forming regions, complementary to the detailed studies in Sgr B2 and Orion KL mentioned above, is to target large samples of sources and compare their chemical compositions. Bishop et al. (2007) found that the species (COMs or otherwise) that they detected with the JCMT toward seven high-mass YSOs were divided into two groups, one tracing hot gas ($>100 \text{ K}$) and the other one cold gas ($<100 \text{ K}$). Further studies of small (<10 sources) samples of high-mass star forming regions targetting several COMs have been performed (e.g., Calcutt et al. 2014; Suzuki et al. 2018; Taniguchi et al. 2018), but the lack of angular resolution, the inhomogeneity of the spectral coverage, or the small number of investigated COMs have prevented the emergence of a clear chemical pattern from these studies. Hernández-Hernández et al. (2014) targeted 17 hot cores in CH_3CN with the SMA and found a correlation between the CH_3CN abundance and its rotational temperature, which they interpreted as evidence for a gas-phase formation of CH_3CN . Öberg et al. (2014) argued that the observed increase of $[\text{CH}_3\text{CN}]/[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]$ with temperature could make this ratio an evolutionary tracer of massive YSOs.

In addition to Orion KL, chemical differentiation between N- and O-bearing COMs has

previously been reported in W3, W75N, W51e1/e2, and G19.61-0.23 (e.g., Wyrowski et al. 1999; Qin et al. 2015; Remijan et al. 2004; Kalenskii & Johansson 2010; Qin et al. 2010). More recently, ALMA observations revealed a chemical differentiation on small scales (a few 100 au) toward the young high-mass YSO G328.2551-0.5321, with the N-bearing COMs peaking toward the protostar and the O-bearing COMs toward two spots offset from the protostar (Csengeri et al. 2018, 2019). The authors interpreted these two spots, which have velocities consistent with a rotation pattern, as tracing accretion shocks onto a disk (see Fig. 6 and Sect. 5.1). Chemical differentiation on scales smaller than 1000 au was also reported by Allen et al. (2017) among the four continuum peaks detected with ALMA toward G35.20-0.74N, but a scenario to explain this differentiation is still lacking.




Figure 6

Continuum emission (left panel) and integrated intensity maps of transitions of several COMs (other panels) toward the young high-mass protostar G328.2551-0.5321 obtained with ALMA. Methanol and ethanol trace two spots (black crosses) offset from the protostar (yellow star) that have velocities consistent with rotation around the outflow axis (blue and red arrows). In contrast, the emission of vinyl and ethyl cyanide peaks on the protostar. Adapted from Csengeri et al. (2019).

Determining spatially-resolved abundance profiles of COMs in protostellar envelopes is a means to test the predictions of chemical models in order to identify their formation routes. For instance, the spatially-resolved analysis of the emission of CH_3OH , CH_3CN , and CH_3CCH toward the massive YSO NGC7538 IRS9 revealed a change in COM chemistry at temperatures above 25 K, likely reflecting the onset of an efficient ice chemistry above this temperature (Öberg et al. 2013). Such spatially-resolved studies of COMs should be generalized toward samples of YSOs in the future. Another strategy is to study families of molecules, such as isomers, in targeted observations of large sample of sources. For instance in the case of the $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$ family, El-Abd et al. (2019) found a bi-modal column density distribution of glycolaldehyde (GA) with respect to methyl formate (MF), while acetic acid correlates uniformly well with the latter. The sources with a high MF/GA ratio are exclusively high-mass objects while both high-mass and low-mass objects populate the region with low MF/GA ratios (El-Abd et al. 2019), similar to comets and quiescent clouds (Rivilla et al. 2017). The reason for this bi-modal distribution is not currently understood: a difference in the balance between UV-driven ice processing, versus other mechanisms such as cold, non-diffusive, surface chemistry, may provide one plausible explanation (see Sect. 2.3.4). Further observational and astrochemical modeling studies are required to investigate this discrepancy and the underlying physical mechanisms.

4.4. Spatial differentiation in IRAS 16293-2422

Chemical differentiation can also be resolved in solar-type regions. Imaging observations of IRAS 16293-2422 indicate some spatial differentiation between N- and O-bearing complex organics (see Bisschop et al. 2008). However, also within these groups of different complex organics, systematic differences can be seen toward one of the two components in the system, IRAS16293A: toward this source a number of species, including HNCO, H₂CO, CH₂(OH)CHO, (CH₂OH)₂, NH₂CHO, CH₃CHO, and C₂H₅OH show compact emission (independent of excitation level) while others show more extended emission (Manigand et al. 2020). This spatial differentiation seems to be related to the derived excitation temperature of the species ranging from above 90 K to 180 K with the ones with higher excitation temperatures showing more compact emission. Toward the other, lower luminosity, component of the system, IRAS16293B, no significant spatial differentiation is resolved at 0.5'' angular resolution. However, variations in excitation temperatures are also seen on smaller scales stretching over a somewhat larger range from about 100 to 300 K (Jørgensen et al. 2016) with a few species (e.g., CH₃OH, CH₃OCHO) showing evidence for both an optically thick foreground layer with temperatures of about 100 K, with the bulk of the optically thin emission originating from molecules at the higher temperatures. A possible explanation for the spatial differentiation and variations in excitation temperatures may lie in the binding energies of the individual species in the ices causing this spatial stratification (Manigand et al. 2020) as also suggested in connection with gas-grain chemical modeling of hot cores (e.g., Garrod 2013).

5. LINKING THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL EVOLUTION OF PROTOSTARS

Over the last decade significant work has been done on searching for and characterizing the properties of disks around embedded protostars in their Class 0 and I stages. In particular, with the advances in sensitivity and angular resolution by ALMA and other millimeter-wave interferometers, it is found that Keplerian disks exist around a large number of Class I protostars (e.g., Harsono et al. 2014; Yen et al. 2015a; Artur de la Villarmois et al. 2019) and at least some Class 0 protostars (Tobin et al. 2012; Murillo et al. 2013; Lindberg et al. 2014; Yen et al. 2017), while counter-examples where no signs of Keplerian rotation are seen down to ~10 au scales certainly also exist (e.g., Yen et al. 2015b; Jacobsen et al. 2019).

These high resolution observations have brought forward the close link between the physical structure and evolution of young stars and their disks and their astrochemistry. In particular, the youngest circumstellar disks serve as an interesting conduit between the COMs observed in the warm gas (Sect. 3.2) and the initial conditions for its later, protoplanetary, evolution. However, on the scales of the protostellar envelopes where the temperatures increase above 100 K due to heating by the central protostar and complex organics sublime from ices, the physics due to the presence of the disks become highly non-trivial (see also Fig. 1). Also, the degree by which the chemistry may be altered, or even completely reset, as material is accreted to the disk, remains unclear. In this section, we discuss recent results concerning the link between the physical and chemical evolution of embedded protostars with particular focus on the formation of disks and accretion.

5.1. Chemical changes in the transitions from envelopes to disks

From a theoretical physical point of view the formation of a circumstellar disk is thought to be associated with the presence of accretion shocks where material enters the newly formed disk (e.g., Cassen & Moosman 1981; Neufeld & Hollenbach 1994). Besides their potential for resetting the chemistry, such shocks may also affect the cosmic-ray ionization rate both on small scales around individual protostars and on larger scales in more clustered environments (Gaches & Offner 2018).

Based on *Spitzer Space Telescope* observations of water lines toward the Class 0 protostar NGC 1333-IRAS4B, Watson et al. (2007) suggested that the water vapor had its origin in the warm and dense gas possibly related to an accretion shock due to infall from the protostellar envelope onto a very young disk. However, images of the infrared lines from *Herschel* and *Spitzer* show that the water line emission is in fact related to the outflow to the south (Herczeg et al. 2012). This is in contrast to narrow, i.e., non-shocked, line emission of the H_2^{18}O isotopolog that peaks toward the embedded protostar itself (Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2010b).

Using ALMA, Sakai et al. (2014) found kinematical evidence of a distinct component, the so-called “centrifugal barrier”, in a region of about 100 au separated from the Class 0 protostar L1527 and surrounding its Keplerian disk. Sakai et al. (2014) showed that SO and other sulfur-containing species were enhanced at this location and argued that this was a result of sublimation of grain mantles due to a weak accretion shock. Subsequent studies of other protostars show similar kinematical features, although in different molecular tracers (e.g., Sakai et al. 2016; Oya et al. 2016, 2017). In an ALMA survey of a sample of protostars from the Ophiuchus star-forming region, Artur de la Villarmois et al. (2018, 2019) detected warm ($E_{\text{up}} \approx 200$ K) SO_2 lines toward five of the more luminous sources. The lines were found to be wide and, in some cases, showed velocity gradients perpendicular to the outflow direction, a possible indication that the emission is related to shocks toward the surfaces of the emerging disks – although the compact nature of the emission made it impossible to rule out, for example, an outflow/jet origin.

Indications of accretion shocks at the envelope-disk interface have also been suggested for high-mass protostars. In high angular resolution ALMA observations of a massive protostar associated with the massive clump G328.2551–0.5321, Csengeri et al. (2018) found evidence for CH_3OH emission spots spatially offset from the central unresolved continuum emission associated with the protostar (see Sect. 4.3 and Fig. 6). Based on the distinct kinematics of the CH_3OH emission compared to the outflow traced by lines of SiO and SO_2 , Csengeri et al. argued that the CH_3OH peaks are associated with accretion shocks at the centrifugal barrier while a transition of HC_3N in its first vibrationally excited state shows more compact emission, possibly tracing the properties of the accretion disks around more massive protostars.

However, significant work still needs to be done to establish an internally consistent picture of the different kinematical and chemical components of protostars as illustrated by different interpretations of similar observations of individual sources. For example, Oya et al. (2016) argued that CH_3OH and other COMs were present at the centrifugal barrier toward IRAS16293A as a result of either a weak accretion shock or protostellar heating, in contrast to H_2CS that also traces the disk on smaller scales. Van 't Hoff et al. 2020 on the other hand found that multiple spatially-resolved H_2CS transitions were consistent with the temperature in a passively heated envelope down to small scales overlapping with the emission from some of the complex organics.

Another example is offered by the Class 0 protostar, L483, for which unsaturated carbon-chain species are present on large, single-dish, scales (e.g., Sakai et al. 2009; Agúndez et al. 2008, 2019), while saturated COMs are present on small, interferometric, scales (Oya et al. 2017; Jacobsen et al. 2019). Based on the kinematical model of CS emission, Oya et al. (2017) argued that the centrifugal barrier in this source is at radii of 30–200 au with COM and SO emission possibly tracing an unresolved Keplerian disk within this. However, Jacobsen et al. (2019) demonstrated that the emission from CS and the COMs both show similar kinematical signatures consistent with material infalling under the conservation of angular momentum down to radii of 10–15 au rather than Keplerian rotation.

5.2. The physical/chemical structure of embedded disks

For protostars with established Keplerian disks, other interesting questions arise concerning their physical structures: does the distribution of molecules in the gas and ices in these disks reflect those around more evolved (T Tauri) stars where significant freeze-out appears in the mid-plane, or does the active accretion change the physical structures, for example by increasing the disk temperatures that are critical for the resulting chemistry? Through radiative transfer modeling of gas-phase lines observed with the SMA toward two Class I protostars with well-established disks, Brinch & Jørgensen (2013) found the former to be the case: relatively simple models for T Tauri disks could be applied to those sources with simple chemistry dictated by the amount of shielding, in turn determining in what fraction of the disk CO is frozen out. A counter-example is offered by the disk around the more deeply embedded protostar L1527: by comparing ALMA data to detailed radiative transfer models, van 't Hoff et al. (2018b) showed that even in the mid-plane of this disk, the temperature is above 20 K out to radii of at least 75 au, thereby causing CO to be in the gas phase in the bulk of the disk.

The exact distribution and location of complex organics around Class I protostellar sources also remains puzzling. Toward the protostars in the ALMA Ophiuchus survey by Artur de la Villarmois et al. (2019), no significant emission from lines in the prominent CH₃OH 7_k-6_k branch was seen toward the locations of the protostars. These results demonstrate that the warm regions of the disk where gas-phase CH₃OH can be present (or where weak shocks could act) must be relatively limited in extent *and* that the envelopes surrounding these disks must have relatively low column densities of material in their inner warm regions. In the standard picture of rotating collapse of a protostellar core and disk formation, the latter would be a natural consequence with the envelope density profile flattening out on small scales where the disk emerges. A simple prediction would consequently be that the presence of complex organics in the envelopes on small scales and the presence of extended Keplerian disks should be anti-correlated.

Recently Lee et al. (2017, 2019a) presented an in-depth analysis of the HH 212 protostellar system in the L1630 cloud of Orion. The system shows a characteristic morphology with an edge-on dust lane with emission from COMs distributed parallel to the dust lane – but offset above and below it. The complex organics show signs of a velocity gradient in the elongated direction, indicative of rotating motions (the region of the disk where Keplerian motions would be present is only marginally resolved). These features led Lee et al. (2017) to suggest that these species are present in the “atmosphere” of the disk and either formed in the cold regions at large scales and sublimated at high temperatures in the disk atmospheres – or alternatively formed through rapid gas-phase reactions there.

Another example of differentiation between dust continuum and line emission is seen toward the Class I protostar TMC1A. Through ALMA long-baseline observations of that source, Harsono et al. (2018) demonstrated that the emission of CO isotopologs (^{13}CO and C^{18}O) was clearly offset from the central location of the protostar and resolved dust continuum emission. Through detailed line radiative transfer modeling, Harsono et al. show that this differentiation in TMC1A best can be explained by the existence of large (millimeter-sized) grains causing the dust to become optically thick and thus blocking the emission of the gas lines. Due to the high column densities traced by ALMA in its long baseline configurations, some care must be taken when interpreting the spatial differentiation between species and dust emission on these scales due to the high continuum optical depth even in the absence of large grains such as those inferred by Harsono et al. (see Sect. 2.1.3).

5.3. Episodic accretion and snowlines in protostellar envelopes

The emergence of disks around embedded protostars may also have profound implications on the overall physical evolution of the infalling material from the protostellar envelopes. If disks around the embedded protostars are comparable in mass to those around more evolved T Tauri stars as is suggested by dust continuum observations (e.g., Tychoniec et al. 2018; Jørgensen et al. 2009), these disks may easily undergo periods of instability causing the accretion onto the central stars, and consequently their luminosities, to be non-steady (episodic) – thereby also causing the temperatures in the ambient disk and envelope heated by the central protostar to vary. Observationally, there is photometric evidence, both statistically (see Audard et al. 2014, Dunham et al. 2014 and references therein) as well as for individual protostars (e.g., Safron et al. 2015), that such luminosity variations indeed take place.

From a chemical point of view, temporal changes in the temperatures are naturally very important. For example, an (episodic) increase in luminosity for a given protostar will cause the regions where specific molecules sublime from the surfaces of grains to become more extended compared to sources in a more quiescent phase of steady accretion (e.g., Lee 2007; Visser & Bergin 2012; Visser, Bergin & Jørgensen 2015; Rab et al. 2017, and Fig. 7). As the time-scale for the subsequent freeze-out may be long (inversely proportional to the density) compared to the duration of such bursts, those extended regions of sublimated molecules may persist for significant periods of time even after the protostar has returned to its quiescent phase. Thus, observations of the molecular signatures of embedded protostars may provide an “archeological” view of their recent evolution. Episodic accretion events may also be reflected in the observed ice and dust features of the protostars. For example, the existence of pure CO_2 ices observed in the mid-infrared spectra of protostars is an indication that some thermal processing and subsequent freeze-out has taken place at some point during the preceding evolution (e.g., Ehrenfreund et al. 1997; Gerakines et al. 1999), possibly due to previous accretion bursts (e.g., Kim et al. 2012; Poteet et al. 2013). Taquet, Wirström & Charnley (2016) demonstrated that the cyclic sublimation and freeze-out of the icy mantles, could lead to the build-up of appreciable amounts of complex organic species in the inner envelopes of protostars.

Observationally, these suggestions can be tested by measuring the extents of primary species directly sublimating from grains or secondary species either produced or destroyed subsequently in the gas phase (Fig. 7). Studies of the images of the C^{18}O emission toward protostars observed with the SMA (Jørgensen et al. 2015; Frimann et al. 2017) show evidence




Figure 7

Changes of the chemical signatures of an embedded protostellar envelope before, during, and after a burst in accretion or temporal increase in luminosity. Panel 1: before the burst, molecules are frozen-out on grains beyond the snowlines of the individual species (CO and H₂O illustrated at the top and bottom, respectively, as examples) and in the gas phase within these. Species destroyed through gas-phase chemical reactions with those species are enhanced in the regions where they are frozen-out (e.g., N₂H⁺ and HCO⁺ enhanced where CO and H₂O, respectively, are frozen-out). Panel 2: During the burst, the luminosity of the protostar, and thus the temperatures in the envelope, increase. As a consequence the snowlines are shifted to larger radii where the species are sublimated instantaneously. Panel 3: In the quiescent phase after the burst, the snowlines shift inwards again, but due to the density-dependence of the freeze-out process, molecules remain in the gas phase for a longer period of time. The timescale for each species will depend on the density where its snowline is located. Eventually the freeze-out will bring the chemistry down to its original state, again assuming that the time interval between bursts, Δt_{burst} , is longer than the molecular freeze-out time-scales. Figure based on Jørgensen et al. (2013, 2015).

for emission extending beyond the predictions based on the current luminosities for 20–50% of the sources. Given that the time-scale for C¹⁸O to freeze out is of order 10^4 yr at the densities where it is found in the gas phase for these protostars, the statistics suggest that each protostar typically undergoes an accretion burst once every $2\text{--}5 \times 10^4$ yrs, resulting in an increase in its luminosity by 1–2 orders of magnitude. A comparison to numerical predictions of large-scale MHD simulations demonstrates that these results are robust even when the possible complex geometry of the sources is taken into account (Frimann et al. 2016). An alternative to targeting the CO isotopologs is to look for the absence of N₂H⁺ emission toward the center of the protostar where CO sublimates. Spatially resolved images of the emission from N₂H⁺ and CO show these species to be anti-correlated. For example, Anderl et al. (2016) presented observations and modeling of N₂H⁺ toward four “non-bursting” protostars from the sample studied in C¹⁸O by Jørgensen et al. (2015) and show that the N₂H⁺ structure indeed was consistent with that seen in C¹⁸O, as well as the current luminosities of the sources. Hsieh et al. (2018) used ALMA to observe seven very low luminosity protostars (“VeLLOs”) in C¹⁸O and N₂H⁺ and found that for five of those sources, the C¹⁸O emission and related N₂H⁺ suppression implied recent accretion bursts with a time interval between bursts of $1.2\text{--}1.4 \times 10^4$ yr.

Complementary constraints to those from CO and N₂H⁺ observations can be obtained by observing species that sublimate at different radii due to their different binding energies in the ices. Because of the decrease in density with increasing radii in the protostellar

envelopes, this would cause the freeze-out time-scale for such species to differ from those of CO, thus providing complementary information concerning the frequencies and magnitudes of the bursts. A particularly interesting example is that of H₂O, which sublimates at much smaller scales of the protostellar envelope where the temperature exceeds 90–100 K, compared to the 20–30 K of CO, and consequently freezes out much more quickly again. Water is difficult to image using millimeter wavelength interferometers due to Earth’s atmosphere, but species such as HCO⁺ is suppressed by the presence of H₂O, in a similar way as N₂H⁺ is by CO, and can thus be used as indirect tracers (Visser, Bergin & Jørgensen 2015, and Fig. 7). Indeed, images of the H₂¹⁸O and H¹³CO⁺ isotopologs of these species toward the Class 0 protostars NGC 1333-IRAS2A (van ’t Hoff et al. 2018a) and IRAS 15398-3359 (Jørgensen et al. 2013; Bjerkeli et al. 2016) show similar anti-correlations to those of CO and N₂H⁺, but on much smaller scales. The extent of this HCO⁺ suppression toward IRAS 15398-3359 (Jørgensen et al. 2013) implies that the protostar has undergone a recent burst but only within the previous 100–1000 yr. High angular resolution images of the CO outflow from this source show the presence of bullet-like structures also supporting the interpretation of ejection events on ~100 yr time-scales (Bjerkeli, Jørgensen & Brinch 2016). Another example is the FU Orionis object V883 Ori for which an increased luminosity moved the water snowline outwards and led to large column densities of methanol and other COMs over extended regions where they are observable with ALMA (van ’t Hoff et al. 2018c; Lee et al. 2019b).

Hsieh et al. (2019) performed a statistical survey of N₂H⁺ and HCO⁺ using ALMA toward 39 Class 0 and I protostars from the Perseus molecular cloud. Almost all sources in their sample show evidence for post-burst signatures in N₂H⁺ while the number of sources with post-burst signatures in HCO⁺ decreases from the Class 0 to I stages. These differences suggest that the time intervals between bursts increase from 2400 yr in the Class 0 stage to 8000 yr in the Class I stage. An explanation for this evolution could be that the accretion bursts are results of instabilities in disks that are more likely to occur in the earliest protostellar stages.

5.4. Summary

The above discussions raise a number of outstanding questions concerning the physical and chemical structure of embedded disks and their formation and evolution. Are the pictures of accretion shocks and episodic accretion generally applicable? To what degree do these cause the chemistry to be reset or lead to differing chemistries with new molecules formed? How are COMs distributed around embedded protostars – and do they point to one physical origin, or perhaps several different ones connected to those shown in Fig. 1? What role does grain growth play in the chemical evolution as well as the interpretation of line emission and chemistry on small scales? These discussions are still suffering from a lack of unbiased datasets that are comparable in terms of the observed molecular line tracers. The obvious next step will therefore be to expand the observed number of sources and species systematically – and establish generally applicable models that can account for the differences between low- and high-mass protostars and, importantly, make predictive statements.

Isotopolog and Isotopomer

Isotopologs refer to molecules that only differ in their isotopic composition. Isotopologs can have one or more atoms substituted by isotopes, occasionally referred to as singly-, doubly-, etc. substituted variants.

Isotopomers, or isotopic isomers, are species that consist of the same number of each isotopic atom, but the positions of those atoms within the molecule differ.

As examples, CH_2DOH , CH_3OD , $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$, and $\text{CH}_3^{18}\text{OH}$ are singly-substituted isotopologs of methanol, CH_3OH . Of these, CH_2DOH and CH_3OD are isotopomers where the D-isotope is substituted on either the CH_3 or OH functional group.


6. FRACTIONATION

One of the important tools to study the physics and chemistry of the ISM is to target less abundant isotopologs of specific species. This may be a useful way of finding transitions that, while optically thick for the main isotopolog, *may* be optically thin for the rarer isotopolog due to its lower column density. However, from an astrochemical point of view, it is also well established that for many molecular species in the ISM, the isotope ratios (e.g., D/H, $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$, or $^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$) measured as column density ratios between their isotopologs, differ significantly from the elemental abundances representative for the local conditions in their ambient interstellar medium. These variations, referred to as isotopic fractionation, can either be enhancements or depletions of the rarer isotopologs compared to the local ISM isotopic ratio and range numerically from a factor of few differences for the heavier elements, such as carbon and nitrogen, to enhancements of several orders of magnitude for deuterium. In the Solar System, significant variations are also seen in the isotopic compositions in different bodies and materials. As these variations are thought to reflect processes that took place during the earliest stages of the Solar System, a detailed understanding of the different physical and chemical processes behind isotopic fractionation may shed light on its origin and relation to star and planet formation as we observe it now (see sidebar title Isotopolog and Isotopomer).

A range of processes can lead to isotopic fractionation in the ISM. For common species such as CO and N_2 , the high abundance of the main isotopologs, and thus opacity at the frequencies of their transitions, causes these species to be self-shielded against photodissociation, while their rarer isotopologs may be photodissociated over larger columns. As a result of this *isotope-selective photodissociation* (see, e.g., Visser, van Dishoeck & Black (2009) for the case of CO and Heays et al. (2014) for N_2), the molecular carrier becomes depleted in the rarer isotopic variant as the depth or extinction increases (^{12}CO becomes more abundant than ^{13}CO compared to the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio, $^{14}\text{N}_2$ more abundant than $^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}$ compared to the $^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$ ratio etc.) while the atomic gas becomes enriched in the rarer isotope (^{13}C , ^{15}N etc.). Depending on the exact chemical networks both the molecular and atomic isotopic signatures may be carried over to other gaseous species and possibly solids (e.g., Lyons & Young 2005; Furuya & Aikawa 2018). The efficiency of these processes naturally depends on the strength and spectral shape of the incoming radiation as well as physical conditions in the environment, e.g., the distribution of material and shielding by grains.

Deeper in the cloud, *chemical fractionation* may play a more prominent role. A classical

example is the balance between H and D through the isotope exchange reaction:



At the low temperatures of prestellar cores, the exothermicity of this reaction causes the deuterium of HD to be driven toward H_2D^+ , which thus becomes increasingly abundant relative to H_3^+ . As H_3^+ is one of the key ingredients for many gas-phase chemical networks in the ISM, its enhanced deuteration can then be carried to a number of other common species, such as HCO^+ and N_2H^+ enhancing the abundance of their deuterated isotopologs. The above reaction also has an important role in determining the D/H ratios for species formed on grain surfaces: the main source of atomic H or D in star forming regions is through dissociative recombination of H_3^+ or H_2D^+ with electrons. Thus, the over-abundance of H_2D^+ leads to an enhanced atomic D/H ratio in the gas at low temperatures, which can be transferred to molecules formed on grains through hydrogenation of neutral species (Tielens 1983). The effectiveness of these deuteration processes depends on a number of factors, including the temperature, the freeze-out of species such as CO, that acts as the main destroyer of H_3^+ and H_2D^+ , and the ortho-to-para ratios of the species in Eq. 1 (e.g., Sipilä, Caselli & Harju 2015).

In this section, we discuss the origin of isotopic fractionation as it occurs in connection to the process of star formation. The intent is not to provide a comprehensive review of all observational and modeling efforts. Rather we highlight a few key points with particular emphasis on how measurements of the relative abundances of different isotopologs can be used to trace the link between complex species and water in star forming environments and the Solar System.

6.1. Water

Perhaps, the most well-known discussion of fractionation in regions of star formation and the link to the Solar System concerns the origin of Earth's water and its deuterium isotopic composition compared to Solar System bodies and star forming regions. It has long been known that the D/H ratio in Earth's oceans of 1.5×10^{-4} (e.g., Robert, Gautier & Dubrulle 2000) is enhanced compared to the cosmic D/H ratio of $1.5 - 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ (e.g., Linsky 2003; Prodanović, Steigman & Fields 2010). Furthermore, a number of other Solar System bodies including comets (e.g., Lis et al. 2013) and meteorites (e.g., Alexander et al. 2012) are also known to show enhanced D/H ratios. While a lot of emphasis has been put on understanding the delivery of water to Earth through comparisons of the D/H ratios between these bodies, e.g., whether water was brought to Earth through cometary or meteoritic impacts, another relevant question from a star-formation astrochemical point of view is when water was formed and how much processing occurred before it ended up as ices in the protoplanetary disk. Through detailed models of the water deuterium chemistry, Cleaves et al. (2014) and Furuya et al. (2017) found that the formation of deuterated water is inefficient in the disk itself, but rather inherited without significant alteration from the earlier prestellar stages. These results highlight the importance of the prestellar chemistry for the origin of water – both in our own Solar System as well as other planetary systems.

Knowledge about the water deuteration in star forming regions comes largely from gas-phase observations at far-infrared and (sub)millimeter wavelengths. In general, the column densities of water ice are large toward pre- and protostellar cores and the ice solid-state features can readily be studied at infrared wavelengths. However, the corresponding solid-

state features of deuterated water, HDO, are difficult to disentangle due to sensitivity and overlap with more prominent species (e.g., Dartois et al. 2003) and only tentative detections have been reported toward solar-type protostars (Aikawa et al. 2012).

With, in particular, its HIFI instrument, *Herschel* provided significant insight into the distribution of gaseous water around solar-type protostars. Those observations demonstrated that small amounts of water are present in the gas phase at large scales of protostellar envelopes (Coutens et al. 2012; Mottram et al. 2013) as well as cold prestellar cores (Caselli et al. 2010), a result of cosmic rays causing the water to photodesorb off the grains (Caselli et al. 2012). The abundance of water in the gas phase remains low, but still the column densities are high enough that HDO emission from the same regions could be estimated for a few sources. In those regions, the HDO/H₂O ratio is determined by gas-phase chemistry at low temperatures and was found to range from $\sim 1\%$ to 20% (Coutens et al. 2012, 2013; Liu et al. 2011).

Deriving the D/H ratios of water ices sublimating in the warm regions close to the central protostar using single-dish and *Herschel* measurements is more problematic: these regions are heavily diluted in the large beams of such observations and the interpretation therefore heavily depends on radiative transfer models of the emission, which in turn are complicated due to the separation of the multiple (unconstrained) physical components within the beam and the fact that both the dust and some prominent lines become optically thick on small scales (e.g., Visser et al. 2013). Alternatively, interferometric studies of lines of less abundant isotopologs make it possible to zoom in and constrain the column densities of water on comparable scales where the emitting regions are relatively homogeneous in terms of their physics and the densities high enough that LTE is a reasonable approximation (see discussions in Taquet et al. 2013; Persson et al. 2014). In this manner, estimates of the HDO/H₂O ratios have been derived for the typical Class 0 hot corinos with values of order 0.1% (e.g., Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2010a; Persson, Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2013; Persson et al. 2014; Taquet et al. 2013), i.e., more than an order of magnitude below those found on larger scales and more in line with the highest numbers found for Oort family comets in our own Solar System.

The differences between the D/H ratios in the cold gas in the outer envelope and in the warm gas on small scales can be successfully modeled in different manners. Either the increased D/H ratio on large scales is caused by photodesorption, predominantly acting on the outermost layers of ice mantles that are formed latest and therefore most deuterium rich (Taquet, Charnley & Sipilä 2014), or by continued gas-phase deuteration of water once desorbed (Furuya, van Dishoeck & Aikawa 2016).

The same models must also explain measured differences in the deuteration between the singly and doubly-deuterated variants of water, i.e., the HDO/H₂O and D₂O/HDO abundance ratios specifically. Statistically, the former ratio should be four times higher than the latter, since there are two indistinguishable variants of singly-deuterated water depending on which H-atom is substituted. However, direct interferometric measurements show that the D₂O/HDO ratio is in fact higher than the HDO/H₂O ratio by a factor of 7 (Coutens et al. 2014) – i.e., D₂O is more than an order of magnitude more abundant than HDO with respect to what should be expected from the HDO/H₂O ratio and the statistics mentioned above. In the models by Furuya, van Dishoeck & Aikawa (2016), the higher D₂O/HDO ratio can be explained if water ice forms throughout the evolution of the dense prestellar cores, from the earliest more tenuous stages where the D/H ratio is low to the later stages where CO freeze-out and a drop in the ortho-to-para ratio of H₂ causes the




Figure 8

Measurements of the HDO/H₂O abundance (left axis) and D/H ratio corrected for statistics (i.e. when taking into account the number of equivalent hydrogen atoms; right axis) toward Oort Cloud and Jupiter Family comets as well as protostars formed in clustered and more isolated regions. The two groups of protostars show a difference in the HDO/H₂O ratios by a factor 2–4 with the ratios for the protostars from the more clustered regions closer to those of the comets. These differences may reflect the conditions in the parental environments of the protostars and provide a diagnostic for the environments in which the Sun was formed. Figure based on Jensen et al. (2019) and references therein.

deuteration to be more efficient. In this scenario, the bulk of the (non-deuterated) water forms early in this evolution, while the deuterated species (HDO and D₂O) are formed in the dense stages and their relative abundances thus reflect the higher D/H ratios there.

While studies of water’s D/H ratios show interesting potential for studies of its formation under different conditions, it should be cautioned that the samples are still relatively small. A few studies have started addressing, e.g., the temporal and environmental variations. For example, toward the Class I protostar SVS13b Codella et al. (2016) derive limits to the HDO/H₂O comparable to that of the Class 0 protostars, which again could be taken as an argument in favor of the water formation and deuteration during the prestellar phases and little processing happening during the evolution of the protostars themselves. An example of the potential environmental dependence is seen in recent measurements by Jensen et al. (2019) of the HDO/H₂O ratios toward three protostars located in more isolated cores (Fig. 8). The HDO/H₂O ratios for those sources are remarkably consistent and higher by factors 2–4 compared to those for the “classical” hot corinos located in more clustered regions. These differences could reflect the physics in the environment from which they arise, with the more isolated cores forming in colder environments and/or evolving more slowly through those. However, to fully disentangle these effects, it is clearly necessary to expand the samples of well-studied sources to other regions and compare to other tracers of the (temporal) protostellar chemical evolution.

6.2. Complex organics

The characterization of the isotopic ratios of COMs also provides important insight into the astrochemistry in star forming regions. The good relative calibration across the ALMA bands, and the number of transitions any COM isotopolog typically shows in these regions, makes it possible to derive the relative abundances and thus levels of fractionation with high accuracy if the isotopologs co-exist in the high-density environment where LTE applies.

The first interstellar detections of deuterated methanol were obtained toward the Orion KL region with the IRAM 30 m telescope for both CH_3OD and CH_2DOH (Mauersberger et al. 1988; Jacq et al. 1993). The deuterium fractionation of methanol as traced more recently with PdBI and *Herschel* is 0.5–0.6% toward the compact ridge and lower than 0.4% toward the hot core (Peng et al. 2012; Neill et al. 2013). Deuterated methyl formate was also first detected in the ISM toward Orion KL with the 30 m telescope, CH_3OCDO only tentatively but CH_2DOCHO robustly, both with a deuterium fractionation of $\sim 4\%$ with some variations across the region (Margulès et al. 2010; Coudert et al. 2013). The different deuteration levels of methanol and methyl formate may indicate that the former was formed at earlier stages than the latter.

A systematic study of the deuteration of COMs in Sgr B2(N2) was performed with the EMoCA survey (Belloche et al. 2016). The low level of deuteration found for a few COMs in Sgr B2(N2) compared to Orion KL and other high-mass protostellar objects surveyed in methanol by Fontani et al. (2015a) was interpreted as resulting either from the higher prestellar-phase temperatures that seem to characterize the Galactic center region, or from a lower elemental abundance of deuterium itself in the Galactic center region due to stellar processing, as was argued in the past on the basis of measurements performed with smaller molecules on larger scales, albeit with large uncertainties (Jacq et al. 1999; Lubowich et al. 2000; Polehampton et al. 2002). Measurements toward the hot cores NGC6334I (Bøgelund et al. 2018) and NGC 7538-IRS1 (Ospina-Zamudio et al. 2019), both located in the Galactic disk, found low values similar to Sgr B2(N2), which supports the temperature interpretation for Sgr B2 rather than that of astration.

Compared to the high-mass star forming regions, the degree of deuteration is much higher toward low-mass protostars such as IRAS 16293-2422 as witnessed by early measurements (van Dishoeck et al. 1995) as well as detections of doubly and triply deuterated variants of species such as CH_3OH (e.g., Parise et al. 2002, 2004) using single-dish telescopes. Toward the two main components of this source, the PILS survey has provided systematic inventories of the D/H ratios as well as some estimates of $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratios for COMs (Jørgensen et al. 2016, 2018; Coutens et al. 2016; Calcutt et al. 2018b; Manigand et al. 2020). For some species the D/H ratios can be as high as 5–8%, which translate to the abundance of the deuterated isotopologs relative to the main isotopologs in some cases being as high as 20% due to statistics (see also discussion of water above). In fact, in the context of PILS, most deuterated isotopologs of two-carbon-containing COMs for which spectroscopy is available have been identified.

Interestingly, some variations are seen in the deuteration between different species toward the B component of IRAS 16293-2422. Toward that source a range of the two carbon-atom containing species including CH_2OHCHO (Jørgensen et al. 2016), CH_3CHO , CH_3OCHO , CH_3OCH_3 , and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ (Jørgensen et al. 2018), all show ratios of 4–8%, while many of the smaller/simpler molecules, H_2CO (Persson et al. 2018), CH_3OH (Jørgensen et al. 2018), CH_3CN (Calcutt et al. 2018b) and the S-bearing species (Drozdovskaya et al. 2018) show lower ratios of $\approx 2\%$. Toward the other source, IRAS16293A, the deutera-

tion is similarly high for some species but because of larger uncertainties no clear trend is discernible (Manigand et al. 2020). One possible explanation for this heterogeneity observed toward IRAS16293B, and possibly also causing the differences between CH₃OH and CH₃OCHO toward Orion mentioned earlier, could be that the former group of species are formed later in the evolution of the protostellar core and inherit the D/H ratio then.

The ¹²C/¹³C ratios may also carry information. The spectral surveys performed toward Sgr B2(N) led to the determination of the ¹²C/¹³C isotopic ratio for a number of COMs. The EMOCA survey toward Sgr B2(N2) yields values of 20–21 for CH₃CN, C₂H₃CN, and HC₃N (Belloche et al. 2016) and 25–27 for CH₃OH, C₂H₅OH, and NH₂CHO (Müller et al. 2016a; Belloche et al. 2017), with uncertainties likely not higher than 10–15%. A ratio of 32 was derived from the singly ¹³C-substituted isotopologs of C₂H₅CN, which is surprisingly high compared to the other cyanides, all the more so as the ratio derived from the singly and doubly ¹³C-substituted isotopologs of C₂H₅CN is 26 (Margulès et al. 2016). The origin of this difference is still unclear. ¹²C/¹³C ratios were also derived from H₂CS, CH₃CCH, NH₂CHO, and C₂H₅CN toward Sgr B2(N) by Halfen, Woolf & Ziurys (2017) with their single-dish ARO survey. They obtained values ranging from 15 to 33 with uncertainties on the order of 30%, with an average value of 24 ± 9, consistent with the range of values derived from EMOCA. In IRAS16293B, some indications were seen for differences in the ¹²C/¹³C ratio for a few of the species with higher D/H ratios, which, again, may reflect fractionation triggered by FUV irradiation by the embedded protostar (Jørgensen et al. 2018).

Other important information about the formation of these organics may come from possible differences in the isotope ratios for different functional groups in individual COMs. Favre et al. (2014) studied the two ¹³C isotopologs of methyl formate in Orion KL with ALMA and found no significant fractionation difference between the two variants. A similar conclusion was reached based on the EMOCA measurements of the ¹²C/¹³C ratios mentioned above. Toward IRAS16293B, most of the complex organics do not show variations in their D/H ratios after accounting for the statistics when there are multiple hydrogen atoms (Jørgensen et al. 2016, 2018). This again suggests a homogeneous time of formation during the cold phase for each individual species at which point the D/H ratio is inherited, while exchange reactions later in the warm gas play a smaller role. There is one exception to this trend, namely CH₃CHO, for which the CHO-group shows a higher D/H ratio than the CH₃-group when corrected for statistics (Coudert et al. 2019; Manigand et al. 2020). Whether the D/H ratio for this species could be influenced by other (e.g., gas-phase) processes remains an open question.

It has been suggested that the CH₂DOH/CH₃OD ratio could vary between regions of low- and high-mass star formation (e.g., Ospina-Zamudio et al. 2019, and references therein), ranging from about unity toward Orion (or even lower toward NGC6334I, see Bøgelund et al. 2018), over ratios of close to the statistical value of 3 toward high-mass protostars, to much higher ratios claimed toward low-mass star forming regions. However, there are known issues with the predicted line intensities of CH₂DOH that may have caused the column density estimates to be off in some cases. Also, in particular, the higher ratios for low-mass sources have suffered from problems due to extended emission seen in single-dish beams as well as optical thickness of a range of the brighter CH₂DOH transitions. Recent estimates for the warm gas toward solar-type protostars are consistent with the statistical ratios (Jørgensen et al. 2018; Taquet et al. 2019). Consequently, while the estimates toward Orion indicate a CH₂DOH/CH₃OD ratio below the statistical value, which may reflect the physical structure of the region, the conclusion that there is a dichotomy between low- and

high-mass star forming regions in terms of the $\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}/\text{CH}_3\text{OD}$ ratios is not robust at this point.

A final puzzle is posed by the detections of doubly-deuterated variants of a range of species on small scales toward IRAS16293B, including H_2CO (Persson et al. 2018), CH_3CN (Calcutt et al. 2018b), and CH_3OCHO (Manigand et al. 2019). As seen for water, the D/H ratios derived from the ratios of the column densities for the doubly- and singly-deuterated isotopologs are significantly higher by factors of 5–10 than those derived from the singly- and non-deuterated isotopologs once corrected for statistics. However, if these species are all formed in the later stages through hydrogenation of CO-rich ices, this difference can, in contrast to water, not be attributed to differences in formation times between the various isotopologs. An alternative mechanism could be abstraction and substitution reactions, but as noted above those will to be equally efficient for different functional groups of a given molecule (see discussion in Manigand et al. 2019).

7. ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF CHEMICAL COMPLEXITY

As described throughout this review, one of the ultimate goals of astrochemistry is to understand the degree of chemical complexity that can arise before and during the star formation process and how much, if any, of this complexity may be inherited by emerging (proto)planetary systems in general. With the wide diversity of environments in which complex organic molecules are now detected (Sects. 3 and 4) and their intricate link to the physical evolution of individual sources (Sect. 5), a key question is to what degree the chemistry varies. With the systematic inventories of molecular species becoming available for objects in different evolutionary stages and environments, some clues to answering these questions may be obtained by comparing them. Held together with detailed comparison of the isotopic compositions (Sect. 6), the hope is that such measurements will help us to place the origin of our own Solar System and its chemistry in a more general star (and planet) formation context. In this section we pick-up this thread in a discussion of similarities and differences among measured abundances of complex organic molecules toward different types of environments related to star formation, recent cometary values, and predictions from chemical models.

7.1. Similarities and differences between IRAS16293B, Sgr B2(N2) and Comet 67P/C-G

An example of such a comparison between the PILS IRAS16293B results and measurements toward Comet 67P/C-G obtained from the ROSINA instrument on *Rosetta* is presented by Drozdovskaya et al. (2019). Their results show a correlation between the protostellar and cometary measurements of the abundances of the CHO-, N-, and S-bearing species when estimated relative to CH_3OH , CH_3CN , and CH_3SH , although with some scatter. For the CHO- and N-bearing species it further appears that the cometary relative abundances are slightly enhanced compared to the protostellar ones. Drozdovskaya et al. (2019) concluded that the volatiles present at the earliest stages of the protostellar evolution are inherited by the cometary bodies, but also that some additional degree of processing could have occurred during the protoplanetary disk stage leading to further production of more complex species.

Obviously, a relevant question in this comparison is how representative IRAS16293B is for the environment of the protosun – and, more generally, how much variation is seen

istry of Sgr B2(N2), they are actually in slightly better overall agreement with the abundances observed toward IRAS16293B once the differences between the O- and N-bearing species are taken out through the normalisations with CH₃OH and HNCO. Specifically, the abundances are in general slightly lower in the models compared to IRAS16293B, whereas the abundances toward Sgr B2(N2), with very few exceptions, are slightly higher than those toward IRAS16293B, although only in a few cases by more than an order of magnitude.

Aside from NH₂CHO and the three nitrile group-bearing species, HC₃N, C₂H₃CN, and C₂H₅CN, the nitrogen-bearing species appear to agree well between Sgr B2(N2) and IRAS16293B. The fact that the C₂H_XCN species are similarly elevated toward Sgr B2(N2) over IRAS16293B perhaps indicates that all three of these species are behaving in concert through some shared chemistry or common dependence on physical conditions. In the case of C₂H₃CN and C₂H₅CN, their similar behavior across sources may be at least partially explained by the chemical models, which suggest that gas-phase C₂H₃CN is a direct (and major) product of the destruction of gas-phase C₂H₅CN (see Garrod et al. 2017). Several competing theories have been offered as to the production mechanism for NH₂CHO in hot cores/corinos (see Sect. 2.3), including both gas and grain-surface processes. If indeed *all* of those suggested processes were operative, rather than one single, dominant process, then discrepancies between sources should perhaps be expected, if not easily explained.

In the chemical models (Fig. 9, *right*), abundances of most N-bearing species are lower than values from the observations and cometary measurements. It is possible that some of this general discrepancy relates to the use of a ratio against HNCO, if this molecule is not well reproduced by the models. However, the modeled abundance of CH₃CN is especially divergent from the observed value, falling as many as two orders of magnitude short. The models with which we compare here suggest that much of the gas-phase CH₃CN is formed through gas-phase chemistry at high temperatures and densities, although the dominance of this process would require a sufficient time period under such conditions. Other recent models (Bonfand et al. 2019) that use an alternative, and more detailed, physical description in conjunction with an updated binding energy for CH₃CN indicate a grain-surface origin for this molecule. The abundance of CH₃CN may therefore be especially sensitive to physical conditions and evolutionary timescales in individual sources, thus requiring a more accurate physical treatment in the chemical models to determine the origins of this molecule. Deeper integration of gas-grain chemical modeling treatments with magneto-hydrodynamical simulations of the star-formation process would be of great benefit to distinguishing between formation mechanisms for key molecules such as this, especially in the case where observations and models diverge by more than an order of magnitude.

The O-bearing species appear even more closely correlated between IRAS16293B and Sgr B2(N2) than the N-bearing species with, in particular, a number of the CHO species in almost 1-to-1 agreement. Comparing the O- and N-bearing species between IRAS16293B and Comet 67P/C-G, the opposite appears to be the case, with better agreement for the N-bearing species while the O-bearing species are somewhat more abundant toward the comet as also noted by Drozdovskaya et al. (2019). The models reproduce the column densities for the O-bearing species within about an order of magnitude but with larger scatter compared to the observational datasets. Overall, CH₃SH does not show any systematic trends, but a comparison to CH₃OH is perhaps not the most obvious for that species.

7.2. A wider census of oxygen- and nitrogen-bearing species in star forming environments

Similar comparisons can also be made for a wider set of sources of different types for which smaller inventories are present in the literature. Figure 10 shows the abundances of the common O-bearing species (relative to CH_3OH ; *left*) and N-bearing species (relative to HNC; *right*) toward a range of sources. Tentative correlations are also seen here with abundances in agreement for the O-bearing species in most cases within a factor of about 3–5. A few outliers are seen, but no source or species systematically stands out. For the N-bearing species slightly more scatter is seen and there is no clear sign of an overall correlation compared to Sgr B2(N2). The exception to this is the ratio between NH_2CHO and HNC which shows a relatively constant abundance of HNC of about 20% compared to NH_2CHO – except for Sgr B2(N2) where NH_2CHO is close to a factor 2 more abundant than HNC. The former value is in agreement with empirical correlations between the two species previously reported (e.g., Bisschop et al. 2007; Mendoza et al. 2014; López-Sepulcre et al. 2015). This correlation has previously been taken as evidence for the formation of NH_2CHO through the addition of H atoms to solid-phase HNC. However, experimental evidence suggests that at least the final step in this process does not proceed with any efficiency, instead re-forming HNC (Noble et al. 2015), although other work (Haupa, Tarczay & Lee 2019) indicates that inter-conversion of HNC and NH_2CHO through the addition of H is indeed possible. A direct chemical relationship, via ice chemistry, between these two molecules therefore remains somewhat uncertain, although this does not rule out that similar chemical conditions would promote independent production of both. For example, Ligterink et al. (2018b) suggest that both molecules could form contemporaneously in the ices through radical addition.

Furthermore, some astrochemical models suggest that much of the observable gas-phase HNC could be a product of the destruction of larger molecules, such as urea, NH_2CONH_2 , through ion-molecule chemistry (Garrod, Wudic Weaver & Herbst 2008; Tideswell et al. 2010). In this scenario, any HNC released directly from the grains is destroyed in the gas phase much earlier on, at lower temperatures. If NH_2CONH_2 itself, a known interstellar molecule (Belloche et al. 2019), is predominantly a product of NH_2CHO , then a case for a direct chemical link between HNC and NH_2CHO may be made. On the other hand, HNC and NH_2CHO may simply be good independent indicators of the overall C, N, and O abundances available to the chemistry. Indeed, models by Quénard et al. (2018) indicate that these two molecules are linked through their similar response to temperature, not a direct chemical link. Correlations between chemically-related molecules, therefore, should not necessarily be taken to indicate a causal relationship in their interstellar production.

Clearly much work needs to be done to confirm the trends outlined above – in particular, the relatively small scatter in abundances for many COMs in Sgr B2(N2) vs. IRAS16293B, as well as the overall agreements across different types of sources. If confirmed, this trend could have important implications, i.e., that the conditions where the complex organics form are relatively homogeneous. A speculation could be that this reflects that many of the complex species form in the colder environments with the subsequent evolution (e.g., heating of gas and dust in low- vs. high-mass star forming regions or in shocks) playing a smaller role. In that case, the small scatter would provide a better defined target for models and laboratory experiments to shoot for. The possible direct detection of solid-phase COMs more complex than methanol in cold regions could also be highly valuable in confirming such a picture and also help addressing the link, if any, between the COMs observed in the




Figure 10

Comparison between the abundances of O- (*left*) and N-bearing species (*right*) toward Sgr B2(N2) (Müller et al. 2016a; Belloche et al. 2016, 2017; Bonfand et al. 2019, and A. Belloche priv. comm.) on the X-axis and a range of sources in the literature on the Y-axis (see legend on the right-hand side): the low-mass protostellar hot corinos IRAS16293B (Jørgensen et al. 2018; Calcutt et al. 2018b) and NGC 1333-IRAS4A2 (López-Sepulcre et al. 2017), the possible disk atmosphere toward the low-mass protostar HH212 (Lee et al. 2019a), the shocked region B1 in the L1157 outflow, the other hot cores in Sgr B2(N), N3, N4, and N5 (Bonfand et al. 2019, and priv. comm.), the high-mass star forming region NGC6334I (Zernickel et al. 2012), the Orion compact ridge (Crockett et al. 2014) and the high-mass protostar AFGL 4176 (Bøgelund et al. 2019a). The low- and high-mass sources are shown with plus-signs and crosses, respectively, and the two shocks (Orion and L1157-B1) with diamonds. The abundances of the O-bearing species are measured as their column densities relative to those of CH_3OH while the N-bearing species are measured relative to $HNCO$. The three diagonal lines in each panel indicate equal abundances (solid) and abundances factors 3 above or below those in Sgr B2(N2) (dashed).

gas phase toward cold prestellar cores and those in hot cores and corinos.

Further, while strong similarities are seen between IRAS16293B and Sgr B2(N2) those still differ from the Comet 67P/C-G measurements. The agreement between, e.g., the IRAS16293B and AFGL4176 column densities (Fig. 10), suggests that this trend may apply more generally. If confirmed through future systematic chemical inventories, this would further emphasise that chemical processing must have taken place between the protostellar and cometary stages. Accounting for this will likely be critical to address the relative importance of the earliest stages of star formation on any eventual chemical diversity in protoplanetary disks and (exo)planetary systems.

SUMMARY POINTS

1. Complex organic molecules are detected in a wide range of environments ranging

from cold gas in prestellar cores to the warm gas on Solar System scales close to individual protostars (Sect. 3).

2. The recent detections of aromatic, branched, and chiral molecules have revealed a wider range of complexity in the molecular structures available to the chemistry of star-forming regions and, by implication, to the earliest stages of protostellar and protoplanetary disk evolution (Sect. 3.1).
3. From a theoretical/modeling perspective, the specific formation mechanisms for individual species are still open for discussion with both grain-surface/ice and gas-phase processes providing possible formation pathways (Sect. 2.3).
4. Spatial differentiation between individual molecules and groups of species are seen toward some regions, which may reflect their physical structure or evolution, and/or more microphysical effects such as variations in binding energies (Sect. 4).
5. The importance of the detailed physical evolution of protostars on their resulting chemistry is complex, with processes such as disk formation, accretion shocks, and episodic accretion – as well as shock events such as those seen in the Orion KL region – all potentially affecting the degree of chemical processing on a source-by-source basis (Sect. 5). However, the importance of these effects on the end-result, e.g., in terms of the relative abundances of COMs, remains to be quantified.
6. The degree of fractionation varies between different types of regions, for example with the enhanced deuteration of water and complex organics in low- versus high-mass star-forming regions. Systematic variations are also seen in the fractionation between groups of molecules, perhaps reflecting the conditions under which they form (Sect. 6).
7. Comparisons between the relative abundances of groups of molecules show promise for providing empirical insights into the origin of chemical complexity and the link to the Solar System. Specifically an excellent agreement between the abundances measured toward two significantly different regions, namely the low-mass protostar IRAS16293B and the Galactic center/high-mass star-forming region Sgr B2(N2), may suggest that interstellar complex chemistry is relatively robust to environmental effects (Sect. 7). Such correlations also set well-defined targets for future work on chemical modeling and laboratory experiments.

FUTURE ISSUES

1. The presence of complex organic molecules toward cold regions highlights the importance of understanding the composition of dust-grain ice mantles (Sect. 3.3). A key task for upcoming observations with the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) will be to reveal the composition of these ices in terms of complex organic molecules. Those observations will thereby help to define the link between the ice chemistry and the large gas-phase inventories established through observations at longer wavelengths.
2. Both from a physical and chemical point of view, there is significant work to do in comparing the predictions of numerical (magneto)hydrodynamical models to the molecular signatures observed toward protostars, as well as understanding, for ex-

ample, the processes leading to the formation of disks and accretion through the evolution of protostars. Deeper integration of detailed chemical models with hydrodynamical simulations, to account for the chemical effects of more realistic treatments of physical evolution, would go some way to disentangling inaccuracies in the chemical treatments from the uncertainties associated purely with source structure.

3. Significant work needs to be done on expanding the large molecular inventory surveys to wider samples of sources in a systematic way. This is recognised as one of the key scientific goals of the ALMA Development Roadmap (Carpenter et al. 2018). Such upgrades will enable comparisons similar to those of Fig. 9 to many more sources in diverse environments and stages of evolution.
4. Moving forward with the characterization of the degree of molecular complexity in the gas phase of star forming regions will require sensitive surveys that can go beyond the confusion limit reached today. Solutions may be to target lower frequencies, e.g., with ALMA and NOEMA below 80 GHz or with ngVLA – or to perform high angular resolution observations to further resolve regions with narrow linewidths.
5. The high angular resolution achievable with ALMA may allow astrochemical studies in the time domain such as proposed in connection with studies of COMs in the Orion KL region and outflows (Sects. 3.4 and 4.2). If indeed possible, this would be an interesting avenue to explore further.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Yuri Aikawa, Geoff Blake, Paola Caselli, Eric Herbst, Niels Ligterink, Laurent Margules and Ewine van Dishoeck for reading and providing comments about the manuscript. Also, we thank Per Bjerke, Timea Csengeri, Sigurd Jensen and Laurent Paganini for providing material used in some of the figures. JKJ acknowledges support by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme through ERC Consolidator Grant “S4F” (grant agreement No 646908). AB acknowledges support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the collaborative research grant SFB 956 (project ID 184018867), sub-project B3. RTG acknowledges support from the NASA Astrophysics Research and Analysis program (grant NNX15AG07G), the NASA Emerging Worlds program (grant NNX17AE23G), and the NASA Astrophysics Theory Program (grant 80NSSC18K0558).

A. Molecular abundances

LITERATURE CITED

Acharyya K, Herbst E. 2018. *ApJ* 859:51

Table 2 Abundances relative to CH₃OH used in Fig. 9 from measurements toward IRAS16293B, SgrB2(N2) and Comet 67P/C-G as well as predicted by models.

Species	IRAS16293B ^a (PILS)	Sgr B2(N2) ^b (EMoCA)	Model predictions ^c	Comet 67P/C-G ^d
CH ₃ OH	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
H ₂ CO	0.19	...	0.48	1.5
C ₂ H ₅ OH	0.023	0.050	0.013	0.19 ^e
CH ₃ OCH ₃	0.024	0.055	0.0096	
CH ₃ OCHO	0.026	0.030	0.0059	0.016 ^e
CH ₂ OHCHO	0.0034	0.0033*	0.038	
CH ₃ COOH	0.00028	<0.0005*	1.2e-05	
CH ₃ CHO	0.012	0.011	0.015	0.22 ^e
c-C ₂ H ₄ O	0.00054	
CH ₃ OCH ₂ OH	0.014	<0.017*	0.0044	0.052 ^e
(CH ₂ OH) ₂	0.0099 ^f	
CH ₃ COCH ₃	0.0017	0.010	...	0.022 ^e
C ₂ H ₅ CHO	0.00022	
NH ₂ CHO	0.0010	0.088	0.020	0.019
CH ₃ CN	0.004	0.055	0.00028	0.028 ^e
CH ₃ NC	2.0e-05	0.00025	...	
HNCO	0.0037	0.050	0.034	0.13
HC ₃ N	1.8e-05	0.0088	0.0024	0.0019
CH ₃ SH	0.00048	0.0085	0.00018	0.18
CH ₃ NCO	0.00040	0.0055	0.00026	...
C ₂ H ₅ CN	0.00036	0.16	0.0033	...
C ₂ H ₃ CN	7.4e-05	0.011	0.00056	...
CH ₃ CONH ₂	0.00025	0.0035	0.0011	...
HONO	9.0e-05	<0.00030*
CH ₂ CO	0.0048	0.0083*	0.0013	...

^aMeasurements toward IRAS16293B in connection with the PILS program (Jørgensen et al. 2016, 2018; Coutens et al. 2016, 2019; Lykke et al. 2017; Calcutt et al. 2018b,a; Ligterink et al. 2017; Drozdovskaya et al. 2018; Persson et al. 2018; Manigand et al. 2020), see also summary by Drozdovskaya et al. (2019).

^bMeasurements toward Sgr B2(N2) in connection with the EMoCA program (Belloche et al. 2016, 2017; Müller et al. 2016a; Bonfand et al. 2019; Ordu et al. 2019; Willis et al. 2019). New measurements presented here for the first time (Belloche, priv. comm) indicated with a “*” ^cResults from the MAGICCAL simulations presented by Müller et al. (2016a) and Belloche et al. (2017). ^dMeasurements from ROSINA instrument presented by Drozdovskaya et al. (2019). ^eSpecies indistinguishable in the ROSINA spectrometer measurements of Comet 67P/C-G. ^fRefers to total abundance of the two lowest state conformers, *aGg'* and *gGg'*, of ethylene glycol, (CH₂OH)₂.

Agúndez M, Cernicharo J, Guélin M, Gerin M, McCarthy MC, Thaddeus P. 2008. *A&A* 478:L19–L22

Agúndez M, Marcelino N, Cernicharo J, Roueff E, Tafalla M. 2019. *A&A* 625:A147

Aikawa Y, Wakelam V, Hersant F, Garrod RT, Herbst E. 2012. *ApJ* 760:40

Alexander CMO, Bowden R, Fogel ML, Howard KT, Herd CDK, Nittler LR. 2012. *Science* 337:721

Allen DA, Burton MG. 1993. *Nature* 363:54–56

Allen M, Robinson GW. 1977. *ApJ* 212:396–415

Allen V, van der Tak FFS, Sánchez-Monge Á, Cesaroni R, Beltrán MT. 2017. *A&A* 603:A133

Alonso ER, Kolesniková L, Tercero B, Cabezas C, Alonso JL, et al. 2016. *ApJ* 832:42

Álvarez-Barcia S, Russ P, Kästner J, Lamberts T. 2018. *MNRAS* 479:2007–2015

Anderl S, Maret S, Cabrit S, Belloche A, Maury AJ, et al. 2016. *A&A* 591:A3

- Arce HG, Santiago-García J, Jørgensen JK, Tafalla M, Bachiller R. 2008. *ApJ* 681:L21–L24
- Artur de la Villarmois E, Jørgensen JK, Kristensen LE, Bergin EA, Harsono D, et al. 2019. *A&A* 626:A71
- Artur de la Villarmois E, Kristensen LE, Jørgensen JK, Bergin EA, Brinch C, et al. 2018. *A&A* 614:A26
- Audard M, Abraham P, Dunham MM, Green JD, Grosso N, et al. 2014. *Episodic Accretion in Young Stars*. In *Protostars and Planets VI*, eds. H Beuther, RS Klessen, CP Dullemond, T Henning
- Bacmann A, Taquet V, Faure A, Kahane C, Ceccarelli C. 2012. *A&A* 541:L12
- Bally J, Ginsburg A, Arce H, Eisner J, Youngblood A, et al. 2017. *ApJ* 837:60
- Bally J, Zinnecker H. 2005. *AJ* 129:2281–2293
- Balucani N, Ceccarelli C, Taquet V. 2015. *MNRAS* 449:L16–L20
- Barger CJ, Garrod RT. 2020. *ApJ* 888:38
- Barone V, Latouche C, Skouteris D, Vazart F, Balucani N, et al. 2015. *MNRAS* 453:L31–L35
- Bell TA, Cernicharo J, Viti S, Marcelino N, Palau A, et al. 2014. *A&A* 564:A114
- Belloche A, Garrod RT, Müller HSP, Menten KM. 2014. *Science* 345:1584–1587
- Belloche A, Garrod RT, Müller HSP, Menten KM, Medvedev I, et al. 2019. *A&A* 628:A10
- Belloche A, Meshcheryakov AA, Garrod RT, Ilyushin VV, Alekseev EA, et al. 2017. *A&A* 601:A49
- Belloche A, Müller HSP, Garrod RT, Menten KM. 2016. *A&A* 587:A91
- Belloche A, Müller HSP, Menten KM, Schilke P, Comito C. 2013. *A&A* 559:A47
- Benedettini M, Busquet G, Lefloch B, Codella C, Cabrit S, et al. 2012. *A&A* 539:L3
- Bennett CJ, Chen SH, Sun BJ, Chang AHH, Kaiser RI. 2007. *ApJ* 660:1588–1608
- Bennett CJ, Hama T, Kim YS, Kawasaki M, Kaiser RI. 2011. *ApJ* 727:27
- Bergner JB, Öberg KI, Rajappan M, Fayolle EC. 2016. *ApJ* 829:85
- Bertin M, Romanzin C, Doronin M, Philippe L, Jeseck P, et al. 2016. *ApJ* 817:L12
- Bisschop SE, Jørgensen JK, Bourke TL, Bottinelli S, van Dishoeck EF. 2008. *A&A* 488:959–968
- Bisschop SE, Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF, de Wachter EBM. 2007. *A&A* 465:913–929
- Bizzocchi L, Caselli P, Spezzano S, Leonardo E. 2014. *A&A* 569:A27
- Bjerkeli P, Jørgensen JK, Bergin EA, Frimann S, Harsono D, et al. 2016. *A&A* 595:A39
- Bjerkeli P, Jørgensen JK, Brinch C. 2016. *A&A* 587:A145
- Blake GA, Mundy LG, Carlstrom JE, Padin S, Scott SL, et al. 1996. *ApJ* 472:L49
- Bøgelund EG, Barr AG, Taquet V, Ligterink NFW, Persson MV, et al. 2019a. *A&A* 628:A2
- Bøgelund EG, McGuire BA, Hogerheijde MR, van Dishoeck EF, Ligterink NFW. 2019b. *A&A* 624:A82
- Bøgelund EG, McGuire BA, Ligterink NFW, Taquet V, Brogan CL, et al. 2018. *A&A* 615:A88
- Bonfand M, Belloche A, Garrod RT, Menten KM, Willis E, et al. 2019. *A&A* 628:A27
- Bonfand M, Belloche A, Menten KM, Garrod RT, Müller HSP. 2017. *A&A* 604:A60
- Boogert ACA, Gerakines PA, Whittet DCB. 2015. *ARA&A* 53:541–581
- Brinch C, Jørgensen JK. 2013. *A&A* 559:A82
- Brouillet N, Despois D, Baudry A, Peng TC, Favre C, et al. 2013. *A&A* 550:A46
- Brown PD, Charnley SB, Millar TJ. 1988. *MNRAS* 231:409–417
- Burkhardt AM, Dollhopf NM, Corby JF, Carroll PB, Shingledecker CN, et al. 2016. *ApJ* 827:21
- Burkhardt AM, Shingledecker CN, Le Gal R, McGuire BA, Remijan AJ, Herbst E. 2019. *ApJ* 881:32
- Butscher T, Duvernay F, Danger G, Chiavassa T. 2016. *A&A* 593:A60
- Butscher T, Duvernay F, Rimola A, Segado-Centellas M, Chiavassa T. 2017. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics (Incorporating Faraday Transactions)* 19:2857–2866
- Calcutt H, Fiechter MR, Willis ER, Müller HSP, Garrod RT, et al. 2018a. *A&A* 617:A95
- Calcutt H, Jørgensen JK, Müller HSP, Kristensen LE, Coutens A, et al. 2018b. *A&A* 616:A90
- Calcutt H, Viti S, Codella C, Beltrán MT, Fontani F, Woods PM. 2014. *MNRAS* 443:3157–3173
- Carpenter J, Iono D, Testi L, Whyborn N, Wootten A, Evans N. 2018. *The ALMA Development Roadmap* (<https://almaobservatory.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/20180712-alma->

development-roadmap.pdf)

- Caselli P, Ceccarelli C. 2012. *A&A Rev* 20:56
- Caselli P, Keto E, Bergin EA, Tafalla M, Aikawa Y, et al. 2012. *ApJ* 759:L37
- Caselli P, Keto E, Pagani L, Aikawa Y, Yıldız UA, et al. 2010. *A&A* 521:L29
- Cassen P, Moosman A. 1981. *Icarus* 48:353–376
- Caswell JL. 1996. *MNRAS* 283:606–612
- Ceccarelli C, Caselli P, Bockelée-Morvan D, Mousis O, Pizzarello S, et al. 2014. *Deuterium Fractionation: The Ariadne’s Thread from the Precollapse Phase to Meteorites and Comets Today*. In *Protostars and Planets VI*, eds. H Beuther, RS Klessen, CP Dullemond, T Henning
- Cernicharo J, Gallego JD, López-Pérez JA, Tercero F, Tanarro I, et al. 2019. *A&A* 626:A34
- Cernicharo J, Kisiel Z, Tercero B, Kolesníková L, Medvedev IR, et al. 2016. *A&A* 587:L4
- Charnley SB, Tielens AGGM, Millar TJ. 1992. *ApJ* 399:L71
- Chuang KJ, Fedoseev G, Ioppolo S, van Dishoeck EF, Linnartz H. 2016. *MNRAS* 455:1702–1712
- Cleeves LI, Bergin EA, Alexander CMOD, Du F, Graninger D, et al. 2014. *Science* 345:1590–1593
- Codella C, Ceccarelli C, Bianchi E, Podio L, Bachiller R, et al. 2016. *MNRAS* 462:L75–L79
- Codella C, Ceccarelli C, Caselli P, Balucani N, Barone V, et al. 2017. *A&A* 605:L3
- Codella C, Ceccarelli C, Lefloch B, Fontani F, Busquet G, et al. 2012. *ApJ* 757:L9
- Codella C, Lefloch B, Ceccarelli C, Cernicharo J, Caux E, et al. 2010. *A&A* 518:L112
- Corby J. 2016. *Astrochemistry in The Age of Broadband Radio Astronomy*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Virginia
- Corby JF, Jones PA, Cunningham MR, Menten KM, Belloche A, et al. 2015. *MNRAS* 452:3969–3993
- Cordiner MA, Charnley SB, Kisiel Z, McGuire BA, Kuan YJ. 2017. *ApJ* 850:187
- Coudert LH, Drouin BJ, Tercero B, Cernicharo J, Guillemin JC, et al. 2013. *ApJ* 779:119
- Coudert LH, Margulès L, Vastel C, Motiyenko R, Caux E, Guillemin JC. 2019. *A&A* 624:A70
- Coutens A, Jørgensen JK, Persson MV, van Dishoeck EF, Vastel C, Taquet V. 2014. *ApJ* 792:L5
- Coutens A, Jørgensen JK, van der Wiel MHD, Müller HSP, Lykke JM, et al. 2016. *A&A* 590:L6
- Coutens A, Ligterink NFW, Loison JC, Wakelam V, Calcutt H, et al. 2019. *A&A* 623:L13
- Coutens A, Persson MV, Jørgensen JK, Wampfler SF, Lykke JM. 2015. *A&A* 576:A5
- Coutens A, Vastel C, Caux E, Ceccarelli C, Bottinelli S, et al. 2012. *A&A* 539:A132
- Coutens A, Vastel C, Cazaux S, Bottinelli S, Caux E, et al. 2013. *A&A* 553:A75
- Crockett NR, Bergin EA, Neill JL, Favre C, Blake GA, et al. 2015. *ApJ* 806:239
- Crockett NR, Bergin EA, Neill JL, Favre C, Schilke P, et al. 2014. *ApJ* 787:112
- Csengeri T, Belloche A, Bontemps S, Wyrowski F, Menten KM, Bouscasse L. 2019. *A&A* 632:A57
- Csengeri T, Bontemps S, Wyrowski F, Belloche A, Menten KM, et al. 2018. *A&A* 617:A89
- Cuppen HM, Walsh C, Lamberts T, Semenov D, Garrod RT, et al. 2017. *Space Science Reviews* 212:1–58
- Dartois E, Thi W, Geballe TR, Deboffle D, d’Hendecourt L, van Dishoeck E. 2003. *A&A* 399:1009–1020
- Degli Esposti C, Dore L, Melosso M, Kobayashi K, Fujita C, Ozeki H. 2017. *ApJS* 230:26
- Drozdovskaya MN, van Dishoeck EF, Jørgensen JK, Calmonte U, van der Wiel MHD, et al. 2018. *MNRAS* 476:4949–4964
- Drozdovskaya MN, van Dishoeck EF, Rubin M, Jørgensen JK, Altwegg K. 2019. *MNRAS* 490:50–79
- Dulieu F, Nguyen T, Congiu E, Baouche S, Taquet V. 2019. *MNRAS* 484:L119–L123
- Dunham MM, Stutz AM, Allen LE, Evans N. J. I, Fischer WJ, et al. 2014. *The Evolution of Protostars: Insights from Ten Years of Infrared Surveys with Spitzer and Herschel*. In *Protostars and Planets VI*, eds. H Beuther, RS Klessen, CP Dullemond, T Henning
- Ehrenfreund P, Boogert ACA, Gerakines PA, Tielens AGGM, van Dishoeck EF. 1997. *A&A* 328:649–669
- El-Abd SJ, Brogan CL, Hunter TR, Willis ER, Garrod RT, McGuire BA. 2019. *ApJ* 883:129
- Enrique-Romero J, Rimola A, Ceccarelli C, Balucani N. 2016. *MNRAS* 459:L6–L10

- Faure A, Lique F, Remijan AJ. 2018. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* 9:3199–3204
- Faure A, Remijan AJ, Szalewicz K, Wiesenfeld L. 2014. *ApJ* 783:72
- Favre C, Carvajal M, Field D, Jørgensen JK, Bisschop SE, et al. 2014. *ApJS* 215:25
- Favre C, Pagani L, Goldsmith PF, Bergin EA, Carvajal M, et al. 2017. *A&A* 604:L2
- Fayolle EC, Öberg KI, Jørgensen JK, Altwegg K, Calcutt H, et al. 2017. *Nature Astronomy* 1:703–708
- Fedoseev G, Chuang KJ, van Dishoeck EF, Ioppolo S, Linnartz H. 2016. *MNRAS* 460:4297–4309
- Fedoseev G, Cuppen HM, Ioppolo S, Lamberts T, Linnartz H. 2015. *MNRAS* 448:1288–1297
- Feng S, Beuther H, Henning T, Semenov D, Palau A, Mills EAC. 2015. *A&A* 581:A71
- Fontani F, Busquet G, Palau A, Caselli P, Sánchez-Monge Á, et al. 2015a. *A&A* 575:A87
- Fontani F, Codella C, Ceccarelli C, Lefloch B, Viti S, Benedettini M. 2014. *ApJ* 788:L43
- Friedel DN, Snyder LE. 2008. *ApJ* 672:962–973
- Frimann S, Jørgensen JK, Dunham MM, Bourke TL, Kristensen LE, et al. 2017. *A&A* 602:A120
- Frimann S, Jørgensen JK, Padoan P, Haugbølle T. 2016. *A&A* 587:A60
- Furuya K, Aikawa Y. 2018. *ApJ* 857:105
- Furuya K, Aikawa Y, Hincelin U, Hassel GE, Bergin EA, et al. 2015. *A&A* 584:A124
- Furuya K, Drozdovskaya MN, Visser R, van Dishoeck EF, Walsh C, et al. 2017. *A&A* 599:A40
- Furuya K, van Dishoeck EF, Aikawa Y. 2016. *A&A* 586:A127
- Gaches BAL, Offner SSR. 2018. *ApJ* 861:87
- Garrod RT. 2008. *A&A* 491:239–251
- Garrod RT. 2013. *ApJ* 765:60
- Garrod RT. 2019. *ApJ* 884:69
- Garrod RT, Belloche A, Müller HSP, Menten KM. 2017. *A&A* 601:A48
- Garrod RT, Herbst E. 2006. *A&A* 457:927–936
- Garrod RT, Pauly T. 2011. *ApJ* 735:15
- Garrod RT, Vasyunin AI, Semenov DA, Wiebe DS, Henning T. 2009. *ApJ* 700:L43–L46
- Garrod RT, Wakelam V, Herbst E. 2007. *A&A* 467:1103–1115
- Garrod RT, Wudic Weaver SL, Herbst E. 2008. *ApJ* 682:283–302
- Garrod RT, Williams DA, Hartquist TW, Rawlings JMC, Viti S. 2005. *MNRAS* 356:654–664
- Gaume RA, Claussen MJ, de Pree CG, Goss WM, Mehringer DM. 1995. *ApJ* 449:663
- Gerakines PA, Whittet DCB, Ehrenfreund P, Boogert ACA, Tielens AGGM, et al. 1999. *ApJ* 522:357–377
- Ginsburg A, Bally J, Barnes A, Bastian N, Battersby C, et al. 2018. *ApJ* 853:171
- Goddi C, Greenhill LJ, Humphreys EML, Chandler CJ, Matthews LD. 2011. *ApJ* 739:L13
- Goldsmith PF, Langer WD. 1999. *ApJ* 517:209–225
- Gómez L, Rodríguez LF, Loinard L, Lizano S, Poveda A, Allen C. 2005. *ApJ* 635:1166–1172
- Guzmán AE, Sanhueza P, Contreras Y, Smith HA, Jackson JM, et al. 2015. *ApJ* 815:130
- Halfen DT, Apponi AJ, Woolf N, Polt R, Ziurys LM. 2006. *ApJ* 639:237–245
- Halfen DT, Woolf NJ, Ziurys LM. 2017. *ApJ* 845:158
- Hamberg M, Österdahl F, Thomas RD, Zhaunerchyk V, Vigren E, et al. 2010. *A&A* 514:A83
- Harsono D, Bjerkeli P, van der Wiel MHD, Ramsey JP, Maud LT, et al. 2018. *Nature Astronomy* 2:646–651
- Harsono D, Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF, Hogerheijde MR, Bruderer S, et al. 2014. *A&A* 562:A77
- Hasegawa TI, Herbst E. 1993. *MNRAS* 263:589
- Haupa KA, Tarczay G, Lee YP. 2019. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 141:11614–11620
- Heays AN, Visser R, Gredel R, Ubachs W, Lewis BR, et al. 2014. *A&A* 562:A61
- Henderson BL, Gudipati MS. 2015. *ApJ* 800:66
- Henkel C, Jacq T, Mauersberger R, Menten KM, Steppe H. 1987. *A&A* 188:L1–L4
- Herbst E, van Dishoeck EF. 2009. *ARA&A* 47:427–480
- Herczeg GJ, Karska A, Bruderer S, Kristensen LE, van Dishoeck EF, et al. 2012. *A&A* 540:A84
- Hernández-Hernández V, Zapata L, Kurtz S, Garay G. 2014. *ApJ* 786:38

- Hollis JM. 2005. *Complex Molecules and the GBT: Is Isomerism the Key?* In *Astrochemistry: Recent Successes and Current Challenges*, eds. DC Lis, GA Blake, E Herbst, vol. 231 of *IAU Symposium*
- Hollis JM, Jewell PR, Lovas FJ, Remijan A. 2004b. *ApJ* 613:L45–L48
- Hollis JM, Jewell PR, Lovas FJ, Remijan A, Møllendal H. 2004a. *ApJ* 610:L21–L24
- Hollis JM, Lovas FJ, Remijan AJ, Jewell PR, Ilyushin VV, Kleiner I. 2006b. *ApJ* 643:L25–L28
- Hollis JM, Remijan AJ, Jewell PR, Lovas FJ. 2006a. *ApJ* 642:933–939
- Hsieh TH, Murillo NM, Belloche A, Hirano N, Walsh C, et al. 2018. *ApJ* 854:15
- Hsieh TH, Murillo NM, Belloche A, Hirano N, Walsh C, et al. 2019. *ApJ* 884:149
- Indriolo N, Neufeld DA, Gerin M, Schilke P, Benz AO, et al. 2015. *ApJ* 800:40
- Jacobsen SK, Jørgensen JK, Di Francesco J, Evans NJ, Choi M, Lee JE. 2019. *A&A* 629
- Jacq T, Baudry A, Walmsley CM, Caselli P. 1999. *A&A* 347:957–966
- Jacq T, Walmsley CM, Mauersberger R, Anderson T, Herbst E, De Lucia FC. 1993. *A&A* 271:276
- Jensen SS, Jørgensen JK, Kristensen LE, Furuya K, Coutens A, et al. 2019. *A&A* 631:A25
- Jiménez-Serra I, Vasyunin AI, Caselli P, Marcelino N, Billot N, et al. 2016. *ApJ* 830:L6
- Jin M, Garrod RT. 2020. *ApJ* submitted
- Jones PA, Burton MG, Cunningham MR, Menten KM, Schilke P, et al. 2008. *MNRAS* 386:117–137
- Jones PA, Burton MG, Tothill NFH, Cunningham MR. 2011. *MNRAS* 411:2293–2310
- Jørgensen JK, Favre C, Bisschop SE, Bourke TL, van Dishoeck EF, Schmalzl M. 2012. *ApJ* 757:L4
- Jørgensen JK, Johnstone D, van Dishoeck EF, Doty SD. 2006. *A&A* 449:609–619
- Jørgensen JK, Müller HSP, Calcutt H, Coutens A, Drozdovskaya MN, et al. 2018. *A&A* 620:A170
- Jørgensen JK, van der Wiel MHD, Coutens A, Lykke JM, Müller HSP, et al. 2016. *A&A* 595:A117
- Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF. 2010a. *ApJ* 725:L172–L175
- Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF. 2010b. *ApJ* 710:L72–L76
- Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF, Visser R, Bourke TL, Wilner DJ, et al. 2009. *A&A* 507:861–879
- Jørgensen JK, Visser R, Sakai N, Bergin EA, Brinch C, et al. 2013. *ApJ* 779:L22
- Jørgensen JK, Visser R, Williams JP, Bergin EA. 2015. *A&A* 579:A23
- Kahane C, Ceccarelli C, Faure A, Caux E. 2013. *ApJ* 763:L38
- Kaifu N, Morimoto M, Nagane K, Akabane K, Iguchi T, Takagi K. 1974. *ApJ* 191:L135–L137
- Kalenskii SV, Johansson LEB. 2010. *Astronomy Reports* 54:1084–1104
- Kalvāns J. 2018. *MNRAS* 478:2753–2765
- Kim HJ, Evans II NJ, Dunham MM, Lee JE, Pontoppidan KM. 2012. *ApJ* 758:38
- Kolesniková L, Tercero B, Alonso ER, Guillemin JC, Cernicharo J, Alonso JL. 2018. *A&A* 609:A24
- Krim L, Jonusas M, Guillemin JC, Yáñez M, Lamsabhi AM. 2018. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 20:19971
- Le Petit F, Ruaud M, Bron E, Godard B, Roueff E, et al. 2016. *A&A* 585:A105
- Lee CF, Codella C, Li ZY, Liu SY. 2019a. *ApJ* 876:63
- Lee CF, Li ZY, Ho PTP, Hirano N, Zhang Q, Shang H. 2017. *ApJ* 843:27
- Lee JE. 2007. *Journal of Korean Astronomical Society* 40:83–89
- Lee JE, Lee S, Baek G, Aikawa Y, Cieza L, et al. 2019b. *Nature Astronomy* 3:314–319
- Lefloch B, Ceccarelli C, Codella C, Favre C, Podio L, et al. 2017. *MNRAS* 469:L73–L77
- Li J, Shen Z, Wang J, Chen X, Li D, et al. 2017. *ApJ* 849:115
- Ligterink NFW, Calcutt H, Coutens A, Kristensen LE, Bourke TL, et al. 2018a. *A&A* 619:A28
- Ligterink NFW, Coutens A, Kofman V, Müller HSP, Garrod RT, et al. 2017. *MNRAS* 469:2219–2229
- Ligterink NFW, Tenenbaum ED, van Dishoeck EF. 2015. *A&A* 576:A35
- Ligterink NFW, Terwisscha van Scheltinga J, Taquet V, Jørgensen JK, Cazaux S, et al. 2018b. *MNRAS* 480:3628–3643
- Lindberg JE, Jørgensen JK, Brinch C, Haugbølle T, Bergin EA, et al. 2014. *A&A* 566:A74
- Linsky JL. 2003. *Space Science Reviews* 106:49–60
- Lis DC, Biver N, Bockelée-Morvan D, Hartogh P, Bergin EA, et al. 2013. *ApJ* 774:L3

- Liu F, Parise B, Kristensen L, Visser R, van Dishoeck EF, Güsten R. 2011. *A&A* 527:A19
- Longmore SN, Rathborne J, Bastian N, Alves J, Ascenso J, et al. 2012. *ApJ* 746:117
- Loomis RA, Zaleski DP, Steber AL, Neill JL, Muckle MT, et al. 2013. *ApJ* 765:L9
- López-Sepulcre A, Jaber AA, Mendoza E, Lefloch B, Ceccarelli C, et al. 2015. *MNRAS* 449:2438–2458
- López-Sepulcre A, Sakai N, Neri R, Imai M, Oya Y, et al. 2017. *A&A* 606:A121
- Lu Y, Chang Q, Aikawa Y. 2018. *ApJ* 869:165
- Lubowich DA, Pasachoff JM, Balonek TJ, Millar TJ, Tremonti C, et al. 2000. *Nature* 405:1025–1027
- Luhman KL, Robberto M, Tan JC, Andersen M, Giulia Ubeira Gabellini M, et al. 2017. *ApJ* 838:L3
- Lykke JM, Coutens A, Jørgensen JK, van der Wiel MHD, Garrod RT, et al. 2017. *A&A* 597:A53
- Lyons JR, Young ED. 2005. *Nature* 435:317–320
- Mangum JG, Shirley YL. 2015. *PASP* 127:266
- Manigand S, Calcutt H, Jørgensen JK, Taquet V, Müller HSP, et al. 2019. *A&A* 623:A69
- Manigand S, Jørgensen JK, Calcutt H, Müller HSP, Ligterink NFW, et al. 2020. *A&A* 635:A48
- Margulès L, Belloche A, Müller HSP, Motiyenko RA, Guillemin JC, et al. 2016. *A&A* 590:A93
- Margulès L, Huet TR, Demaison J, Carvajal M, Kleiner I, et al. 2010. *ApJ* 714:1120–1132
- Margulès L, McGuire BA, Senent ML, Motiyenko RA, Remijan A, Guillemin JC. 2017. *A&A* 601:A50
- Martín-Doménech R, Muñoz Caro GM, Cruz-Díaz GA. 2016. *A&A* 589:A107
- Martin-Drumel MA, Lee KLK, Belloche A, Zingsheim O, Thorwirth S, et al. 2019. *A&A* 623:A167
- Mauersberger R, Henkel C, Jacq T, Walmsley CM. 1988. *A&A* 194:L1–L4
- Mauersberger R, Henkel C, Walmsley CM, Sage LJ, Wiklind T. 1991. *A&A* 247:307
- McGuire BA, Burkhardt AM, Kalenskii S, Shingledecker CN, Remijan AJ, et al. 2018. *Science* 359:202–205
- McGuire BA, Carroll PB, Dollhopf NM, Crockett NR, Corby JF, et al. 2015. *ApJ* 812:76
- McGuire BA, Carroll PB, Loomis RA, Finneran IA, Jewell PR, et al. 2016. *Science* 352:1449–1452
- McGuire BA, Shingledecker CN, Willis ER, Burkhardt AM, El-Abd S, et al. 2017. *ApJ* 851:L46
- Mendoza E, Lefloch B, López-Sepulcre A, Ceccarelli C, Codella C, et al. 2014. *MNRAS* 445:151–161
- Minier V, Ellingsen SP, Norris RP, Booth RS. 2003. *A&A* 403:1095–1100
- Molet J, Brouillet N, Nony T, Gusdorf A, Motte F, et al. 2019. *A&A* 626:A132
- Möller T, Endres C, Schilke P. 2017. *A&A* 598:A7
- Motiyenko RA, Armićieva IA, Margulès L, Alekseev EA, Guillemin JC. 2019. *A&A* 623:A162
- Mottram JC, van Dishoeck EF, Schmalzl M, Kristensen LE, Visser R, et al. 2013. *A&A* 558:A126
- Müller HSP, Belloche A, Xu LH, Lees RM, Garrod RT, et al. 2016a. *A&A* 587:A92
- Müller HSP, Schlöder F, Stutzki J, Winnewisser G. 2005. *Journal of Molecular Structure* 742:215–227
- Müller HSP, Thorwirth S, Roth DA, Winnewisser G. 2001. *A&A* 370:L49–L52
- Müller HSP, Walters A, Wehres N, Belloche A, Wilkins OH, et al. 2016b. *A&A* 595:A87
- Muller S, Beelen A, Black JH, Curran SJ, Horellou C, et al. 2013. *A&A* 551:A109
- Muller S, Beelen A, Guélin M, Aalto S, Black JH, et al. 2011. *A&A* 535:A103
- Muller S, Combes F, Guélin M, Gérin M, Aalto S, et al. 2014. *A&A* 566:A112
- Murillo NM, Lai SP, Bruderer S, Harsono D, van Dishoeck EF. 2013. *A&A* 560:A103
- Neill JL, Bergin EA, Lis DC, Schilke P, Crockett NR, et al. 2014. *ApJ* 789:8
- Neill JL, Crockett NR, Bergin EA, Pearson JC, Xu LH. 2013. *ApJ* 777:85
- Neill JL, Muckle MT, Zaleski DP, Steber AL, Pate BH, et al. 2012. *ApJ* 755:153
- Neill JL, Steber AL, Muckle MT, Zaleski DP, Lattanzi V, et al. 2011. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 115:6472–6480
- Neufeld DA, Hollenbach DJ. 1994. *ApJ* 428:170–185
- Noble JA, Theule P, Congiu E, Dulieu F, Bonnín M, et al. 2015. *A&A* 576:A91
- Öberg KI. 2016. *Chemical Reviews* 116:9631–9663
- Öberg KI, Boamah MD, Fayolle EC, Garrod RT, Cyganowski CJ, van der Tak F. 2013. *ApJ* 771:95

- Öberg KI, Bottinelli S, Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF. 2010. *ApJ* 716:825–834
- Öberg KI, Fayolle EC, Reiter JB, Cyganowski C. 2014. *Faraday Discussions* 168:81–101
- Öberg KI, Garrod RT, van Dishoeck EF, Linnartz H. 2009. *A&A* 504:891–913
- Öberg KI, van der Marel N, Kristensen LE, van Dishoeck EF. 2011. *ApJ* 740:14
- Ohishi M, Suzuki T, Hirota T, Saito M, Kaifu N. 2019. *PASJ* 71:86
- Ordu MH, Müller HSP, Walters A, Nuñez M, Lewen F, et al. 2012. *A&A* 541:A121
- Ordu MH, Zingsheim O, Belloche A, Lewen F, Garrod RT, et al. 2019. *A&A* 629:A72
- Ospina-Zamudio J, Favre C, Kounkel M, Xu LH, Neill J, et al. 2019. *A&A* 627:A80
- Oya Y, Sakai N, López-Sepulcre A, Watanabe Y, Ceccarelli C, et al. 2016. *ApJ* 824:88
- Oya Y, Sakai N, Watanabe Y, Higuchi AE, Hirota T, et al. 2017. *ApJ* 837:174
- Pagani L, Bergin E, Goldsmith PF, Melnick G, Snell R, Favre C. 2019. *A&A* 624:L5
- Pagani L, Favre C, Goldsmith PF, Bergin EA, Snell R, Melnick G. 2017. *A&A* 604:A32
- Palau A, Walsh C, Sánchez-Monge Á, Girart JM, Cesaroni R, et al. 2017. *MNRAS* 467:2723–2752
- Parise B, Castets A, Herbst E, Caux E, Ceccarelli C, et al. 2004. *A&A* 416:159–163
- Parise B, Ceccarelli C, Tielens AGGM, Herbst E, Lefloch B, et al. 2002. *A&A* 393:L49–L53
- Peng TC, Despois D, Brouillet N, Baudry A, Favre C, et al. 2013. *A&A* 554:A78
- Peng TC, Despois D, Brouillet N, Parise B, Baudry A. 2012. *A&A* 543:A152
- Persson MV, Jørgensen JK, Müller HSP, Coutens A, van Dishoeck EF, et al. 2018. *A&A* 610:A54
- Persson MV, Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF. 2013. *A&A* 549:L3
- Persson MV, Jørgensen JK, van Dishoeck EF, Harsono D. 2014. *A&A* 563:A74
- Pickett HM, Poynter IRL, Cohen EA, Delitsky ML, Pearson JC, Muller HSP. 1998. *J. Quant. Spec. Radiat. Transf.* 60:883–890
- Pizzarello S, Groy TL. 2011. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 75:645–656
- Plambeck RL, Wright MCH, Friedel DN, Widicus Weaver SL, Bolatto AD, et al. 2009. *ApJ* 704:L25–L28
- Polehampton ET, Baluteau JP, Ceccarelli C, Swinyard BM, Caux E. 2002. *A&A* 388:L44–L47
- Potapov A, Jäger C, Henning T, Jonusas M, Krim L. 2017. *ApJ* 846:131
- Poteet CA, Pontoppidan KM, Megeath ST, Watson DM, Isokoski K, et al. 2013. *ApJ* 766:117
- Prodanović T, Steigman G, Fields BD. 2010. *MNRAS* 406:1108–1115
- Pulliam RL, McGuire BA, Remijan AJ. 2012. *ApJ* 751:1
- Qasim D, Fedoseev G, Chuang KJ, Taquet V, Lamberts T, et al. 2019. *A&A* 627:A1
- Qin SL, Schilke P, Wu J, Wu Y, Liu T, et al. 2015. *ApJ* 803:39
- Qin SL, Wu Y, Huang M, Zhao G, Li D, et al. 2010. *ApJ* 711:399–416
- Quénard D, Jiménez-Serra I, Viti S, Holdship J, Coutens A. 2018. *MNRAS* 474:2796–2812
- Rab C, Elbakyan V, Vorobyov E, Güdel M, Dionatos O, et al. 2017. *A&A* 604:A15
- Remijan A, Shiao YS, Friedel DN, Meier DS, Snyder LE. 2004. *ApJ* 617:384–398
- Remijan AJ, Hollis JM, Lovas FJ, Stork WD, Jewell PR, Meier DS. 2008. *ApJ* 675:L85
- Requena-Torres MA, Martín-Pintado J, Rodríguez-Franco A, Martín S, Rodríguez-Fernández NJ, de Vicente P. 2006. *A&A* 455:971–985
- Rivilla VM, Beltrán MT, Cesaroni R, Fontani F, Codella C, Zhang Q. 2017. *A&A* 598:A59
- Robert F, Gautier D, Dubrulle B. 2000. *Space Science Reviews* 92:201–224
- Safron EJ, Fischer WJ, Megeath ST, Furlan E, Stutz AM, et al. 2015. *ApJ* 800:L5
- Sakai N, Oya Y, López-Sepulcre A, Watanabe Y, Sakai T, et al. 2016. *ApJ* 820:L34
- Sakai N, Sakai T, Hirota T, Burton M, Yamamoto S. 2009. *ApJ* 697:769–786
- Sakai N, Sakai T, Hirota T, Watanabe Y, Ceccarelli C, et al. 2014. *Nature* 507:78–80
- Sánchez-Monge Á, Schilke P, Ginsburg A, Cesaroni R, Schmiedeke A. 2018. *A&A* 609:A101
- Sánchez-Monge Á, Schilke P, Schmiedeke A, Ginsburg A, Cesaroni R, et al. 2017. *A&A* 604:A6
- Schwörer A, Sánchez-Monge Á, Schilke P, Möller T, Ginsburg A, et al. 2019. *A&A* 628:A6
- Sewilo M, Indebetouw R, Charnley SB, Zahorec S, Oliveira JM, et al. 2018. *ApJ* 853:L19
- Shimonishi T, Onaka T, Kawamura A, Aikawa Y. 2016. *ApJ* 827:72
- Shimonishi T, Watanabe Y, Nishimura Y, Aikawa Y, Yamamoto S, et al. 2018. *ApJ* 862:102

- Shingledecker CN, Tennis J, Le Gal R, Herbst E. 2018. *ApJ* 861:20
- Sipilä O, Caselli P, Harju J. 2015. *A&A* 578:A55
- Skouteris D, Balucani N, Ceccarelli C, Vazart F, Puzzarini C, et al. 2018. *ApJ* 854:135
- Skouteris D, Vazart F, Ceccarelli C, Balucani N, Puzzarini C, Barone V. 2017. *MNRAS* 468:L1–L5
- Soma T, Sakai N, Watanabe Y, Yamamoto S. 2015. *ApJ* 802:74
- Song L, Kästner J. 2016. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics (Incorporating Faraday Transactions)* 18:29278–29285
- Sugimura M, Yamaguchi T, Sakai T, Umemoto T, Sakai N, et al. 2011. *PASJ* 63:459–472
- Suzuki T, Ohishi M, Saito M, Hirota T, Majumdar L, Wakelam V. 2018. *ApJS* 237:3
- Taniguchi K, Saito M, Majumdar L, Shimoikura T, Dobashi K, et al. 2018. *ApJ* 866:150
- Taquet V, Bianchi E, Codella C, Persson MV, Ceccarelli C, et al. 2019. *A&A* 632:A19
- Taquet V, Charnley SB, Sipilä O. 2014. *ApJ* 791:1
- Taquet V, López-Sepulcre A, Ceccarelli C, Neri R, Kahane C, Charnley SB. 2015. *ApJ* 804:81
- Taquet V, López-Sepulcre A, Ceccarelli C, Neri R, Kahane C, et al. 2013. *ApJ* 768:L29
- Taquet V, Wirström ES, Charnley SB. 2016. *ApJ* 821:46
- Taquet V, Wirström ES, Charnley SB, Faure A, López-Sepulcre A, Persson CM. 2017. *A&A* 607:A20
- Taylor KNR, Storey JWV, Sandell G, Williams PM, Zealey WJ. 1984. *Nature* 311:236–237
- Tercero B, Cernicharo J, López A, Brouillet N, Kolesníková L, et al. 2015. *A&A* 582:L1
- Tercero B, Cuadrado S, López A, Brouillet N, Despois D, Cernicharo J. 2018. *A&A* 620:L6
- Thiel V, Belloche A, Menten KM, Garrod RT, Müller HSP. 2017. *A&A* 605:L6
- Thiel V, Belloche A, Menten KM, Giannetti A, Wiesemeyer H, et al. 2019. *A&A* 623:A68
- Tideswell DM, Fuller GA, Millar TJ, Markwick AJ. 2010. *A&A* 510:A85
- Tielens AGGM. 1983. *A&A* 119:177–184
- Tielens AGGM. 2013. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 85:1021–1081
- Tielens AGGM, Hagen W. 1982. *A&A* 114:245–260
- Tobin JJ, Hartmann L, Chiang HF, Wilner DJ, Looney LW, et al. 2012. *Nature* 492:83–85
- Tychoniec Ł, Tobin JJ, Karska A, Chandler C, Dunham MM, et al. 2018. *ApJS* 238:19
- van Dishoeck EF, Bergin EA, Lis DC, Lunine JJ. 2014. *Protostars and Planets VI* :835–858
- van Dishoeck EF, Blake GA. 1998. *ARA&A* 36:317–368
- van Dishoeck EF, Blake GA, Jansen DJ, Groesbeck TD. 1995. *ApJ* 447:760
- van 't Hoff MLR, Persson MV, Harsono D, Taquet V, Jørgensen JK, et al. 2018a. *A&A* 613:A29
- van 't Hoff MLR, Tobin JJ, Harsono D, van Dishoeck EF. 2018b. *A&A* 615:A83
- van 't Hoff MLR, Tobin JJ, Trapman L, Harsono D, Sheehan PD, et al. 2018c. *ApJ* 864:L23
- van 't Hoff MLR, van Dishoeck EF, Jørgensen JK, Calcutt H. 2020. *A&A* 633:A7
- Vastel C, Ceccarelli C, Lefloch B, Bachiller R. 2014. *ApJ* 795:L2
- Vasyunin AI, Herbst E. 2013a. *ApJ* 762:86
- Vasyunin AI, Herbst E. 2013b. *ApJ* 769:34
- Vasyunina T, Vasyunin AI, Herbst E, Linz H, Voronkov M, et al. 2014. *ApJ* 780:85
- Visser R, Bergin EA. 2012. *ApJ* 754:L18
- Visser R, Bergin EA, Jørgensen JK. 2015. *A&A* 577:A102
- Visser R, Jørgensen JK, Kristensen LE, van Dishoeck EF, Bergin EA. 2013. *ApJ* 769:19
- Visser R, van Dishoeck EF, Black JH. 2009. *A&A* 503:323–343
- Viti S, Collings MP, Dever JW, McCoustra MRS, Williams DA. 2004. *MNRAS* 354:1141–1145
- Viti S, Williams DA. 1999. *MNRAS* 305:755–762
- Vuitton V, Yelle RV, Lavvas P, Klippenstein SJ. 2012. *ApJ* 744:11
- Watson DM, Bohac CJ, Hull C, Forrest WJ, Furlan E, et al. 2007. *Nature* 448:1026–1028
- Wehres N, Hermanns M, Wilkins OH, Borisov K, Lewen F, et al. 2018b. *A&A* 615:A140
- Wehres N, Maßen J, Borisov K, Schmidt B, Lewen F, et al. 2018a. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics (Incorporating Faraday Transactions)* 20:5530–5544
- Widicus Weaver SL. 2019. *ARA&A* 57:79–112
- Willis ER, Garrod RT, Belloche A, Müller HSP, Barger CJ, et al. 2019. *A&A*

- Wyrowski F, Schilke P, Walmsley CM, Menten KM. 1999. *ApJ* 514:L43–L46
- Xu Y, Li JJ, Hachisuka K, Pandian JD, Menten KM, Henkel C. 2008. *A&A* 485:729–734
- Yamaguchi T, Takano S, Sakai N, Sakai T, Liu SY, et al. 2011. *PASJ* 63:L37–L41
- Yamaguchi T, Takano S, Watanabe Y, Sakai N, Sakai T, et al. 2012. *PASJ* 64:105
- Yen HW, Koch PM, Takakuwa S, Ho PTP, Ohashi N, Tang YW. 2015a. *ApJ* 799:193
- Yen HW, Koch PM, Takakuwa S, Krasnopolsky R, Ohashi N, Aso Y. 2017. *ApJ* 834:178
- Yen HW, Takakuwa S, Koch PM, Aso Y, Koyamatsu S, et al. 2015b. *ApJ* 812:129
- Zakharenko O, Lewen F, Ilyushin VV, Drozdovskaya MN, Jørgensen JK, et al. 2019. *A&A* 621:A114
- Zaleski DP, Seifert NA, Steber AL, Muckle MT, Loomis RA, et al. 2013. *ApJ* 765:L10
- Zapata LA, Schmid-Burgk J, Ho PTP, Rodríguez LF, Menten KM. 2009. *ApJ* 704:L45–L48
- Zapata LA, Schmid-Burgk J, Menten KM. 2011. *A&A* 529:A24
- Zeng S, Quénard D, Jiménez-Serra I, Martín-Pintado J, Rivilla VM, et al. 2019. *MNRAS* 484:L43–L48
- Zernickel A, Schilke P, Schmiedeke A, Lis DC, Brogan CL, et al. 2012. *A&A* 546:A87