

# The algebra of complete binary trees is affine complete

André Arnold<sup>1</sup> Patrick Cégielski<sup>2</sup> Serge Grigorieff<sup>3</sup>  
Irène Guessarian<sup>4</sup>

June 9, 2020

To the memory of Kate Karagueuzian-Gibbons and Giliane Arnold

## Abstract

A function on an algebra is congruence preserving if, for any congruence, it maps pairs of congruent elements onto pairs of congruent elements. We show that on the algebra of complete binary trees whose leaves are labeled by letters of an alphabet containing at least three letters a function is congruence preserving if and only if it is polynomial. This exhibits an example of a non commutative and non associative affine complete algebra. As far as we know, it is the first example of such an algebra.

## 1 Introduction

A function on an algebra is congruence preserving if, for any congruence, it maps pairs of congruent elements onto pairs of congruent elements. Such functions were introduced in Grätzer [4], where they are said to have the “substitution property.”

A polynomial function on an algebra is a function defined by a term of the algebra using variables, constants and the operations of the algebra. Obviously, every polynomial function is congruence preserving. In most algebras this inclusion is strict. A very simple example where the inclusion is strict is the additive algebra of natural integers  $\langle \mathbb{N}, + \rangle$ , cf. [1]. Up to the example studied in [2], all affine complete algebras studied so far were commutative and associative, see [3, 7, 5, 8]. The example in [2] is the free monoid on an alphabet with at least three letters: its operation is non commutative but associative. The present paper is a follow-up of [2] though it does not depend on it. We here prove that the free algebra with one binary operation and at least three generators is affine complete, which gives a nontrivial example of a non associative and non commutative affine complete algebra.

## 2 Preliminary definitions

### 2.1 Congruences

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, * \rangle$  be an algebra equipped with a binary operation  $*$ . A congruence  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$  which is compatible with the operation  $*$ , i.e., for all  $a_i, a'_i \in A$ , if  $a_i \sim a'_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  then  $a_1 * a_2 \sim a'_1 * a'_2$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \star \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, * \rangle$  be two algebras with binary operations  $\star$  and  $*$ , and  $\theta: A \rightarrow B$  a homomorphism. Then the kernel of  $\theta$  defines a congruence  $\sim_\theta$  on  $A$  by  $x \sim_\theta y$  iff  $\theta(x) = \theta(y)$ .

<sup>1</sup>LABRI, UMR 5800, Université Bordeaux, France.

<sup>2</sup>LACL, EA 4219, Université Paris XII – IUT de Sénart-Fontainebleau, France.

<sup>3</sup>IRIF, UMR 8243, CNRS & Université Paris-Diderot, France.

<sup>4</sup>IRIF, UMR 8243, CNRS & Sorbonne Université, France.

## 2.2 Monoids

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a nonempty alphabet whose elements are called letters. The free monoid generated by  $\Sigma$  is the algebra  $\langle \Sigma^*, \cdot \rangle$ . Its elements are the finite sequences (or words) of elements from  $\Sigma$ . It is endowed with the concatenation operation and the unit element is the empty word denoted by  $\varepsilon$ . The free monoid will be abbreviated in  $\Sigma^*$  in the sequel.

The length of a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is the total number of occurrences of letters in  $w$  and it is denoted  $|w|$ . The number of occurrences of a letter  $b$  in a word  $w$  is denoted by  $|w|_b$ . As usual  $\Sigma^+$  denotes the set  $\Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subset of  $\Sigma$ . The projection  $\pi_\Gamma$  is the homomorphism  $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  which erases all letters not in  $\Gamma$  and leaves those in  $\Gamma$  unchanged.

By Lemma 2.2 the relation  $\pi_\Gamma(x) = \pi_\Gamma(y)$  is a congruence. We shall use the following homomorphisms on  $\Sigma^*$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $u \in \Sigma^*$ . Then the substitution  $\psi_{a \rightarrow u}$  is the homomorphism  $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  which maps the letter  $a$  onto the word  $u$  and leaves other letters unchanged.

## 3 Complete binary trees and their congruences

### 3.1 Complete binary trees

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet, let  $\Xi = \{ \blacktriangleleft, \bullet, \blacktriangleright \}$  be an alphabet disjoint from  $\Sigma$  and let  $\Theta = \Sigma \cup \Xi$ . We shall use the interpretation of the free binary algebra with generators  $\Sigma$  as a set of words on the alphabet  $\Theta$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** The free binary algebra with  $\Sigma$  as set of generators, can be seen as the algebra of complete binary trees with leaves labeled by letters of  $\Sigma$  endowed with the operation which concatenates two trees as the left and right subtrees of a new root. It can also be isomorphically represented by the algebra  $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{T}, \star \rangle$  defined as follows:

- Its carrier set  $\mathcal{T}$  is the least set of non empty words of  $\Theta^+$  also called “trees”, inductively defined by
  1. each letter  $a$  in  $\Sigma$  is a tree  $a$  in  $\mathcal{T}$
  2. if  $t$  and  $t'$  are trees in  $\mathcal{T}$ , then the word  $\blacktriangleleft t \bullet t' \blacktriangleright$  is a tree in  $\mathcal{T}$
- The binary product operation  $\star$  defined by:  $t \star t' = \blacktriangleleft t \bullet t' \blacktriangleright$

This product is neither commutative nor associative. The elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  can be viewed as trees with leaves labeled by letters in the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . See Figure 1.

**Definition 3.2.** The set  $\mathcal{S}$  of skeletons is the least set of words of  $\Xi^*$  inductively defined by (1)  $\varepsilon$  is a skeleton, and (2) if  $s$  and  $s'$  are skeletons, then  $\blacktriangleleft s \bullet s' \blacktriangleright$  is a skeleton.

- The skeleton of a tree  $t$  is the word  $\sigma(t) = \pi_\Xi(t) \in \Xi^*$  obtained by erasing all letters not in  $\Xi$ .
- The foliage of a tree  $t$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  is the word  $\varphi(t) = \pi_\Sigma(t) \in \Sigma^+$  obtained by erasing all letters not in  $\Sigma$ .

Note that the skeleton of a tree indeed belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ .




Figure 1: A graphic representation of two trees

**Proposition 3.3.** For all  $t, t' \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

- (1)  $\sigma(t \star t') = \blacktriangleleft \sigma(t) \bullet \sigma(t') \blacktriangleright$ ,
- (2)  $\varphi(t \star t') = \varphi(t) \varphi(t')$ ,
- (3)  $|\sigma(t)| = 3|\varphi(t)| - 3$ .

*Proof.* Point (3) is the variant (due to the extra symbols  $\blacktriangleleft, \bullet, \blacktriangleright$ ) of the classical result that a complete binary tree has one more leaf than it has nodes.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** (1) Let  $u \in \Sigma^+$  and  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $|s| = 3|u| - 3$ . Then there exists a unique tree  $t = \tau(u, s)$  with foliage  $\varphi(t) = u$  and skeleton  $\sigma(t) = s$ .

- (2) If  $t$  and  $t'$  are such that  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(t')$  and  $\sigma(t) = \sigma(t')$ , then  $t = t'$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $|u|$ . If  $|u| = 1$  then  $u = a$ ,  $s = \varepsilon$  and  $\tau(a, \varepsilon) = a$ . If  $|u| > 1$ , there exists  $u_1, u_2 \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ , such that  $u = u_1 u_2$ ,  $s = \blacktriangleleft s_1 \bullet s_2 \blacktriangleright$  and  $|s_i| = 3|u_i| - 3$ . Hence  $\tau(u, s) = \tau(u_1, s_1) \star \tau(u_2, s_2)$ .

- (2) immediately follows from (1).  $\square$

### 3.2 Congruences on complete binary trees

**Example 3.5.** We shall use later two congruences defined as kernels of homomorphisms.

- (1) Equality of skeletons:  $t \sim_\sigma t'$  iff  $\sigma(t) = \sigma(t')$ .
- (2) Equality of foliage:  $t \sim_\varphi t'$  iff  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(t')$ .

Other fundamental congruences are the kernels of the grafting homomorphisms defined below.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is the homomorphism on the free algebra of trees such that, for  $b \in \Sigma$ , the tree  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau}(b)$  is equal to  $\tau$  if  $b = a$ , and to  $b$  otherwise.

The following Proposition and Lemma are easily proved by induction on  $t$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** For all  $\tau, t \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $\varphi(\gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau}(t)) = \psi_{a \rightarrow \varphi(\tau)}(\varphi(t))$ , i.e., the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau}} & \mathcal{T} \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 \Sigma^* & \xrightarrow{\psi_{a \rightarrow \varphi(\tau)}} & \Sigma^*
 \end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.8.** A grafting  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau}$  is idempotent, i.e.,  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau} \circ \gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau} = \gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau}$ , if and only if the letter  $a$  does not appear in the foliage  $\varphi(\tau)$

## 4 Congruence preserving functions on trees

We now study congruence preserving functions on the algebra  $\langle \mathcal{T}, \star \rangle$ . From now on,  $f, g$  will be congruence preserving functions on  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $f: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is congruence preserving (abbreviated into CP) if for all congruences  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{T}$ , for all  $t, t'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $t \sim t' \implies f(t) \sim f(t')$ .

We start with a very convenient result.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\gamma_a = \gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau}$  and  $\gamma_b = \gamma_{b \rightarrow \tau}$  be two graftings with  $a \neq b$ . For any  $t, t'$  if  $\gamma_a(t) = \gamma_a(t')$  and  $\gamma_b(t) = \gamma_b(t')$  then  $t = t'$

*Proof.* By induction on the set of pairs  $(t, t')$  ordered by;  $(t_1, t_2) \leq (t'_1, t'_2)$  iff  $|t_i| \leq |t'_i|$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Basis (i) One of  $t, t'$  is a letter  $c \in \{a, b\}$ . Say  $t = a$ . Then  $\gamma_b(t) = a$  hence  $\gamma_b(t') = a$ . If  $b$  does not occur in  $t'$  then  $\gamma_b(t') = t'$  and equality  $\gamma_b(t') = a$  implies  $t' = a$  hence  $t = t'$ , as wanted. If  $b$  does occur in  $t'$  then equality  $\gamma_b(t') = a$  implies  $\tau = a$  and  $t' = b$ . In particular,  $\gamma_a(t') = b$  which is in contradiction with  $\gamma_a(t') = \gamma_a(t)$  since  $\gamma_a(t) = \gamma_a(a) = \tau = a$ .

(ii) One of  $t, t'$  is a letter  $c \notin \{a, b\}$ . Then  $\gamma_a(c) = \gamma_b(c) = c$ , hence  $\gamma_a(t') = \gamma_b(t') = c$  implying  $t' = c$  and  $t = t'$  as wanted.

Induction Otherwise, we have  $t = t_1 \star t_2$  and  $t' = t'_1 \star t'_2$  with  $(t_i, t'_i) < (t, t')$ . hence  $\gamma_a(t_1) \star \gamma_a(t_2) = \gamma_a(t'_1) \star \gamma_a(t'_2)$  implying  $\gamma_a(t_i) = \gamma_a(t'_i)$ . Similarly  $\gamma_b(t_i) = \gamma_b(t'_i)$ . By the induction  $t_i = t'_i$  hence  $t = t'$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** If  $f$  is CP then for every idempotent grafting  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}$ , we have  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(a)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(t))$ .

*Proof.* As  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}$  is idempotent we have  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(a) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(a))$ . Now,  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(a) = t$  hence  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(a) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(t)$ . Since  $\ker(\gamma_{a \rightarrow t})$  is a congruence and  $f$  is CP, we conclude  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(a)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(t))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** If  $f(a) = g(a)$ , then for any idempotent grafting  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}$ , we have  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(t)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(g(t))$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3 we have,  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(t)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(a))$  and  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(g(a)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(g(t))$ . As  $f(a) = g(a)$ , we infer  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(f(t)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow t}(g(t))$ .  $\square$

Proposition 4.3 and its Corollary tell us that the knowledge of  $f(a)$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$  gives a lot of information about the value of  $f$  on  $\mathcal{T}$ . The following theorem shows that, in fact,  $f$  is completely determined by its value on  $\Sigma$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose  $\Sigma$  has at least three letters, if  $f$  and  $g$  are CP functions on  $\mathcal{T}$  such that for all  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $f(a) = g(a)$  then for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $f(t) = g(t)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \notin \Sigma$ . The proof depends on the number  $N(t)$  of letters of  $\Sigma$  which do not appear in the foliage  $\varphi(t)$  of  $t$ .

**1. Case  $N(t) > 1$**  Let  $a, b$  be two letters which do not occur in the foliage  $\varphi(t)$  of  $t$ . Graftings  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow t}$  and  $\gamma_{b \rightarrow t}$  are idempotent. By Corollary 4.4 we have  $\gamma_{c \rightarrow t}(f(t)) = \gamma_{c \rightarrow t}(g(t))$  for  $c = a, b$ , and Proposition 4.2 yields  $g(t) = f(t)$ .

**2. Case  $N(t) \leq 1$**  Let  $c$  be any letter and let  $t_c$  be the tree obtained by substituting  $c$  to all letters in  $t$ . Then  $N(t) = |\Sigma| - 1 \geq 2$ , and thus  $g(t_c) = f(t_c)$ . The trees  $t$  and  $t_c$  obviously have the same skeleton hence  $t \sim_\sigma t'$  (cf. Example 3.5 (1)). As  $f$  and  $g$  are congruence preserving, we also have  $f(t) \sim_\sigma f(t_c) = g(t_c) \sim_\sigma g(t)$ . As  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$

have the same skeleton, by Proposition 3.4 (2), we know that  $f(t) = g(t)$  if and only if  $\varphi(f(t)) = \varphi(g(t))$ .

Assume by way of contradiction,  $\varphi(f(t)) \neq \varphi(g(t))$ . By Proposition 3.3, as  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  have the same skeleton, their foliages have the same length, hence  $\varphi(f(t)) = wau$  and  $\varphi(g(t)) = wbv$  with  $a, b \in \Sigma$ ,  $x \neq y$ .

Subcase  $N(t) = 1$  Let  $c$  be the unique letter which does not appear in  $t$ . Then as  $\gamma_{c \rightarrow t}$  is idempotent (cf. Lemma 3.8) we have, by Corollary 4.4,  $\gamma_{c \rightarrow t}(f(t)) = \gamma_{c \rightarrow t}(g(t))$ . By Proposition 3.7,  $\psi_{c \rightarrow \varphi(t)}(\varphi(f(t))) = \psi_{c \rightarrow \varphi(t)}(\varphi(g(t)))$ , which implies  $\psi_{c \rightarrow \varphi(t)}(au) = \psi_{c \rightarrow \varphi(t)}(bv)$ . This is possible only if one of the two letters  $a, b$  is  $c$ . But in this case the other letter is the first letter of  $\varphi(t)$  which cannot be  $c$  by the choice of  $c$ , a contradiction.

Subcase  $N(t) = 0$  Since  $|\Sigma| \geq 3$  there exists a letter  $c \notin \{a, b\}$ . Then  $\gamma_{c \rightarrow t}$  is idempotent. Let  $t' = \gamma_{a \rightarrow c}(t)$ . As  $N(t') = 1$ , we have  $f(t') = g(t')$ .

But  $t$  and  $t'$  are congruent for the congruence  $\ker(\gamma_{a \rightarrow c})$ , and as  $f$  is CP, we also have  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow c}(f(t)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow c}(f(t'))$ . Similarly  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow c}(g(t)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow c}(g(t'))$ . As  $f(t') = g(t')$ , we infer  $\gamma_{c \rightarrow a}(f(t)) = \gamma_{c \rightarrow a}(g(t))$ , implying  $\psi_{a \rightarrow c}(\varphi(f(t))) = \psi_{a \rightarrow c}(\varphi(g(t)))$ : as  $\varphi(f(t)) = wau$  and  $\varphi(g(t)) = wbv$ , we must also have  $c = \psi_{a \rightarrow c}(a) = \psi_{a \rightarrow c}(b) = b$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

## 5 The algebra of binary trees is affine complete

Throughout this section,  $f$  is a fixed CP function on  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### 5.1 Polynomials on trees

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $x \notin \Sigma$  be a variable. A polynomial  $T(x)$  is a tree on the alphabet  $\Sigma \cup \{x\}$ .

With every polynomial  $T(x)$  we associate a polynomial function  $T: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  defined by  $T(t) = \gamma_{x \rightarrow t}(T(x))$ . Obviously, every polynomial function is CP.

This section is devoted to proving the converse which amounts to saying that the algebra  $\langle \mathcal{T}, * \rangle$  is affine complete.

**Theorem 5.2.** Every CP function is polynomial.

By theorem 4.5, a CP function  $f$  is polynomial if there exists a polynomial  $T_f(x)$  such that for all  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $f(a) = T_f(a)$ . Hence to prove Theorem 5.2, we will construct such a polynomial in the next subsection.

### 5.2 The polynomial associated with a CP function

As  $\sigma(a) = \varepsilon$ , for all  $a \in \Sigma$ , if  $f$  is CP then all  $f(a)$  have the same skeleton. For any pair  $a, b \in \Sigma$  with  $a \neq b$ , we have  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(a) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(b)$  and hence, by Lemma 2.2,  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(f(a)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(f(b))$ . Thus, the next proposition can be applied to  $f$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $g: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that (1) all  $g(a)$  have the same skeleton  $s$  and (2)  $\forall a \neq b$ ,  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(g(a)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(g(b))$ . Then there exists a polynomial  $T_g$  such that  $g(a) = T_g(a)$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction of the size of the common skeleton  $s$ .

Basis If  $s = \varepsilon$  then each  $g(a)$  is a letter  $x_a$ . By Hypothesis (2), we have  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(x_a) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow b}(x_b)$ . This last equality can happen when (i)  $x_a = x_b$ , or (ii)  $\{x_a, x_b\} = \{a, b\}$ .

We first show that if there exists an  $a$  such that  $x_a = c \neq a$  then  $\forall b \neq a$ ,  $x_b = c$ . First for all  $b \neq c$  we have either (i)  $x_a = x_b$  or (ii)  $\{a, b\} = \{x_a, x_b\}$  which is impossible since

$x_a = c \notin \{a, b\}$ . Hence (i) holds and  $x_b = x_a = c$ . If  $x_c \neq c = x_a$ , we would infer from  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow c}(g(a)) = \gamma_{a \rightarrow c}(g(c))$  that  $\{a, c\} = \{c, x_c\}$ , hence  $x_c = c$  holds also for  $c$ .

Otherwise,  $\forall a, x_a = a$ , hence  $T_g = x$ .

Induction Each  $g(a)$  is equal to  $g_1(a) \star g_2(a)$ . It is easy to check that both  $g_i$  satisfy Hypothesis (1) and (2). Hence  $T_g = T_{g_1} \star T_{g_2}$ .  $\square$

## 6 Conclusion

We proved that, when  $\Sigma$  has at least three letters, the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of binary trees with leaves labeled by letters of  $\Sigma$  is a non commutative non associative affine complete algebra. This result extends to non commutative non associative affine complete algebras with unit by adding a unit element to  $\mathcal{T}$ . By forgetting skeletons and replacing graftings  $\gamma_{a \rightarrow \tau}$  with substitutions  $\psi_{a \rightarrow u}$ , the results in Sections 4 and 5 go through mutatis mutandis when  $\mathcal{B}$  is replaced by the free monoid  $\Sigma^*$  on an alphabet  $\Sigma$  with at least three letters. This yields a simpler and shorter proof of the main result of [2], i.e., the affine completeness of  $\Sigma^*$ .

Whether similar results might hold if  $\Sigma$  has only two letters are open problems. The use of  $\Sigma$  was essential in the proof that  $\mathcal{B}$  is affine complete. We do not know whether algebras of trees without labels would still be affine complete.

## References

- [1] Cégielski, P., Grigorieff, S., Guessarian, I.: Newton representation of functions over natural integers having integral difference ratios. *International Journal of Number Theory*, **11** (7), 2019–2139 (2015)
- [2] Cégielski P., Grigorieff S., Guessarian I.: Congruence preserving functions on free monoids. *Algebra Universalis*, Springer Verlag, **78** (3), 389–406 (2017)
- [3] Grätzer G.: On Boolean functions (notes on lattice theory. II). *Rev. Math. Pures Appl. (Académie de la République Populaire Roumaine)* **7**, 693–697 (1962)
- [4] Grätzer G.: *Universal Algebra*, 2nd edition Springer Verlag (1979)
- [5] Grätzer G.: Boolean functions on distributive lattices. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **15**, 193–201 (1964)
- [6] Kaarli K., Pixley A.F.: *Polynomial Completeness in Algebraic Systems*. Chapman & Hall/CRC (2001)
- [7] Nöbauer W.: Affinvollständige Moduln. *Mathematische Nachrichten* **86**, 85–96 (1978)
- [8] M. Ploščica M., Haviar M.: Congruence-preserving functions on distributive lattices. *Algebra Universalis* **59**, 179–196 (2008)
- [9] H. Werner H.: Produkte von Kongruenzen Klassegeometrien Universeller Algebren. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **121**, 111–140 (1971)