

INTEGRAL Ext^2 BETWEEN HOOK WEYL MODULES

DIMITRA-DIONYSIA STERGIOPOULOU

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns representations of the integral general linear group. The extension groups Ext^2 between any pair of hook Weyl modules are determined via a detailed study of cyclic generators and relations associated to certain extensions. As a corollary, the modular extension groups Ext^1 between such modules are determined.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of polynomial representations of the integral general linear group GL_n , the Weyl modules $\Delta(\lambda)$, indexed by partitions λ , play a central role. These modules have an explicit construction, enjoy a standard basis theorem and their characters are the classical Schur functions [Gr]. Moreover, the heads of the modules $K \otimes \Delta(\lambda)$ form a complete set of inequivalent polynomial simple modules for $GL_n(K)$, where K is an infinite field. However, the structure of the modules $\Delta(\lambda)$ is not well understood.

One of the important problems in the area is to determine the extension groups $Ext^i(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu))$. Relatively few explicit results are known. Modular extension groups for $GL_n(K)$ were studied in [A], when λ consists of a single column and μ of a single row. For $SL_2(K)$, all modular extension groups between Weyl modules were described in [Pa], generalizing [Er] and [CE]. The modular extension groups $Ext^1(K \otimes \Delta(\lambda), K \otimes \Delta(\mu))$ for $GL_n(K)$ were determined in [DG], when μ consists of a single row.

Concerning the integral extension groups, $Ext^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu))$ for GL_3 was computed in [BF], when λ and μ differ by a multiple of a positive root. The groups $Ext^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu))$ for GL_n was determined in [Ku2], when λ and μ differ by a single positive root. The groups $Ext^i(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k)))$ were computed in [MS] for $i = 1, k$ and any pair of hooks $h = (a, 1^b)$ and $h(k) = (a + k, 1^{b-k})$. The main method there was to determine cyclic generators of certain extension groups of the form $Ext^i(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$, where D_* and Λ^* denote the divided power algebra and the exterior algebra, respectively, of the natural GL_n -module. Except for some extreme cases, these generators usually have an involved form. The next step in our method was to calculate the images of these under canonical maps. The idea of using the ‘skew’ extensions $Ext^i(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$, in place of the usual extensions $Ext^i(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k)))$, comes from the observation that the former seem to have more manageable presentation matrices. The reason for this is that there is no straightening law involved.

Date: June 5, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20G05.

Key words and phrases. Extensions, Weyl modules, general linear group, hook.

The purpose of the present paper is to study Ext^2 between any two hook Weyl modules using the above strategy. The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider hook partitions $h = (a, 1^b)$ and $h(k) = (a - k, 1^{b-k})$ for $k \geq 0$. Suppose $n \geq b + 1$. Then, $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) = 0$, except possibly when $k \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, in which cases*

$$Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_s, & \text{if } k = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}_{3/t}, & \text{if } k = 3, \\ \mathbb{Z}_t, & \text{if } k = 4, \end{cases}$$

where $s = (a + b)/\gcd(2, a + b)$, $t = \gcd(3, a + b)$.

As a corollary, we determine the modular Ext^1 groups between any hook partitions.

In Section 2 we gather necessary preliminaries. In Section 3 we consider the cases $k \neq 4$. In Section 4, which constitutes the main part of the paper, we complete the proof of the theorem. As a corollary, we determine the dimensions of the modular Ext^1 groups between any hook Weyl modules.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will use the notation of [MS] but, for completeness, we recall the main points here and supplement with additional material.

2.1. Notation. Let F be a free abelian group of finite rank n . Fixing a basis of F yields an identification of general linear groups $GL(F) = GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We will be working with homogeneous polynomial representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of degree r , or equivalently, with modules over the Schur algebra $S_{\mathbb{Z}}(n, r)$ [Gr]. We will write $S(n, r)$ in place of $S_{\mathbb{Z}}(n, r)$. By $DF = \sum_{i \geq 0} D_i F$ and $\Lambda F = \sum_{i \geq 0} \Lambda^i F$ we denote the divided power algebra of F and the exterior algebra of F respectively. We will usually omit F and write D_i and Λ^i .

Throughout this paper all tensor products are over the integers.

For a partition λ of r with at most n parts, we denote by $\Delta(\lambda)$ the corresponding Weyl module for $S(n, r)$. A hook h is a partition of the form $h = (a, 1^b)$.

The following complex of $S(n, r)$ -modules (which is the dual of the usual Koszul complex) is exact

$$0 \rightarrow D_{a+b} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow D_{a+1} \otimes \Lambda^{b-1} \xrightarrow{\Theta_a} D_a \otimes \Lambda^b \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^{a+b} \rightarrow 0,$$

where Θ_a is the composition $D_{a+1} \otimes \Lambda^{b-1} \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes 1} D_a \otimes D_1 \otimes \Lambda^{b-1} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes m} D_a \otimes \Lambda^b$, where Δ (respectively, m) is the indicated component of the comultiplication (resp., multiplication) map of the Hopf algebra DF (resp., ΛF). It is well known that if $h = (a, 1^b)$ is a hook, $b \geq 1$, then $\Delta(h) \simeq \text{cok}(\Theta_a) \simeq \text{ker}(\Theta_{a-1})$.

Throughout this paper we use the notation $h = (a, 1^b)$, $h(k) = (a + k, 1^{b-k})$ and $r = a + b$.

2.2. Straightening law and standard basis. We recall the straightening law and the standard basis theorem for $\Delta(h)$ [ABW]. Fix an ordered basis e_1, \dots, e_n of F . For simplicity, we denote the element e_i by i and accordingly the element $e_{i_1}^{(a_1)} \dots e_{i_t}^{(a_t)} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_b} \in D_a \otimes \Lambda^b$ by $i_1^{(a_1)} \dots i_t^{(a_t)} \otimes j_1 \dots j_b$. The image of this element under the identification $\Delta(h) \simeq \text{cok}(\Theta_a)$ will be denoted by

$i_1^{(a_1)} \cdots i_t^{(a_t)} / j_1 \cdots j_b$. Now suppose $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t$ and $j_1 \leq i_1$. Then in $\Delta(h)$ we have

$$i_1^{(a_1)} \cdots i_t^{(a_t)} / j_1 \cdots j_b = \begin{cases} - \sum_{s \geq 2} i_1^{(a_1+1)} \cdots i_s^{(a_s-1)} \cdots i_t^{(a_t)} / i_s j_2 \cdots j_b, & \text{if } j_1 = i_1 \\ - \sum_{s \geq 1} j_1 i_1^{(a_1)} \cdots i_s^{(a_s-1)} \cdots i_t^{(a_t)} / i_s j_2 \cdots j_b, & \text{if } j_1 < i_1. \end{cases}$$

A \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\Delta(h)$ is the set of elements $i_1^{(a_1)} \cdots i_t^{(a_t)} / j_1 \cdots j_b$, where $a_1 + \cdots + a_t = a$, $i_1 < \cdots < i_t$ and $i_1 < j_1 < \cdots < j_b$.

2.3. Resolutions of hooks. From [Gr] or [AB] we recall that for each sequence a_1, \dots, a_n of non negative integers a_i that sum to r , the $S(n, r)$ -module $D_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes D_{a_n}$ is projective.

We will use the explicit finite projective resolution $P_*(a, b)$ of $\Delta(h)$,

$$0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_2(a, b) \xrightarrow{\Theta_2(a, b)} P_1(a, b) \xrightarrow{\Theta_1(a, b)} P_0(a, b)$$

of [Ma] which we now recall. For short, we denote the tensor product $D_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes D_{a_m}$ of divided powers by $D(a_1, \dots, a_m)$. We have $P_i(a, b) = \sum D(a_1, \dots, a_{b+1-i})$ where the sum ranges over all sequences (a_1, \dots, a_{b+1-i}) of positive integers of length $b+1-i$ such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_{b+1-i} = a+b$ and $a \leq a_1 \leq a+i$. The differential $\Theta_i(a, b)$ is defined by sending $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{b+1-i} \in D(a_1, \dots, a_{b+1-i})$ to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b+1-i} (-1)^{j+1} x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(x_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{b+1-i} \in D(a_1, \dots, u, v, \dots, a_{b+1-i}),$$

where Δ is the two-fold diagonalization $D(a_j) \rightarrow \sum D(u, v)$, the sum ranges of all positive integers u, v such that $u+v = a_j$ and $D(a_1, \dots, u, v, \dots, a_{b+1-i})$ is a summand of $P_{i-1}(a, b)$ with u located in position j . Let $\Delta_{u,v} : D(a_j) \rightarrow D(u, v)$ be the indicated component of the two-fold diagonalization $D(a_j) \rightarrow \sum D(u, v)$.

If A, B are $S(n, r)$ -modules, we write $Hom(A, B)$ and $Ext^i(A, B)$ in place of $Hom_{S(n, r)}(A, B)$ and $Ext_{S(n, r)}^i(A, B)$ respectively.

We recall the recursions

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(a, b) &= D(a) \otimes P_0(1, b-1), \\ P_i(a, b) &= P_{i-1}(a+1, b-1) \oplus D(a) \otimes P_i(1, b-1), \quad i > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and that under these identifications we have the following.

Remark 2.1. *The differential $Hom(\Theta_i(a, b), M)$ of the complex $Hom(P_*(a, b), M)$ is given by*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Hom(P_{i-2}(a+1, b-1), M) & \longrightarrow & Hom(P_{i-1}(a+1, b-1), M) \\ \oplus & \nearrow & \oplus \\ Hom(D(a) \otimes P_{i-1}(1, b-1), M) & \longrightarrow & Hom(D(a) \otimes P_i(1, b-1), M) \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is $Hom(\Theta_{i-1}(a+1, b-1), M)$, the bottom one is $-Hom(1 \otimes \Theta_i(1, b-1), M)$ and the restriction of the diagonal one on the summand $Hom(D(a, j, a_2, \dots, a_m), M)$ is $Hom(\Delta_{a,j} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1, M)$.

We now consider weight spaces. For any $S(n, r)$ -module M and any sequence a_1, \dots, a_m of nonnegative integers such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_m = r$ and $m \leq n$, we identify the \mathbb{Z} -module $Hom(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M)$ with the (a_1, \dots, a_m) weight subspace of M (with respect to the action of \mathbb{Z}^n) [AB]. We will use such identifications freely

throughout this paper. In particular, suppose M is a skew Weyl module for $S(n, r)$ (denoted be $K_{\lambda/\mu}$ in [ABW]). Using the \mathbb{Z} -basis of M given by standard tableaux of [ABW], Thm. II.3.16, we see that the \mathbb{Z} -module $\text{Hom}(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M)$ may be identified with the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of M that has basis the standard tableaux of M that contain the entry i exactly a_i times, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We call this the standard basis of $\text{Hom}(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M)$. If a is a non negative integer, we denote by M_a the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of M given by

$$M_a = \sum \text{Hom}(D(a, a_2, \dots, a_m), M)$$

where the sum ranges over all nonnegative integers a_2, \dots, a_m such that $a_2 + \dots + a_m = r - a$ and $m \leq n$. A \mathbb{Z} -basis of this is the set of standard tableaux of M in which the entry 1 appears exactly a times. M_a is a GL_{n-1} submodule of M with GL_{n-1} acting on the basis elements $2, \dots, n$.

From the definition of M_a it follows that we have the identification

$$\text{Hom}_A(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M) = \text{Hom}_B(D(a_2, \dots, a_m), M_{a_1})$$

and thus by summing, $\text{Hom}_A(D(a) \otimes P_i(1, b-1), M) = \text{Hom}_B(P_i(1, b-1), M_a)$, where $a = a_1$, $A = S(n, r)$, $B = S(n-1, b)$. We refer to the standard basis of $\text{Hom}_A(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M)$ as the standard basis of $\text{Hom}_B(D(a_2, \dots, a_m), M_a)$.

Under the above identifications it follows from the definition of the complexes, that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}(1 \otimes \Theta_i(1, b-1), M) : \\ & \text{Hom}_A(D(a) \otimes P_{i-1}(1, b-1), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(D(a) \otimes P_i(1, b-1), M), \\ & \text{Hom}(\Theta_i(1, b-1), M_a) : \\ & \text{Hom}_B(P_{i-1}(1, b-1), M_a) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(P_i(1, b-1), M_a) \end{aligned}$$

are equal. From now on we will drop from the above Hom modules the subscripts A, B .

2.4. Additional Preliminaries. We want to consider matrices of the differential of the complex $\text{Hom}(P_*(a, b), M)$, where M is a skew Weyl module.

We consider the usual lexicographic ordering of the elements of the standard basis of $\text{Hom}(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M) = \text{Hom}(D(a_2, \dots, a_m), M_{a_1})$. We identify the sequence (a_1, \dots, a_m) of nonnegative integers, where $m \leq n$, with the sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_m, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Now if (a_1, \dots, a_m) is greater than $(b_1, \dots, b_{m'})$, where $m, m' \leq n$, in the usual lexicographic ordering of sequences, we declare that each element of the standard basis of $\text{Hom}(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M)$ is less than each element of the standard basis of $\text{Hom}(D(b_1, \dots, b_{m'}), M)$.

For M a skew Weyl module, let $E^i(\Delta(h), M)$ be the cokernel of the differential $\text{Hom}(\Theta_i(a, b), M)$ of the complex $\text{Hom}(P_*(a, b), M)$. We know that the torsion part of this abelian group is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}^i(\Delta(h), M)$ [AB].

Let $e^{(i)}(a, b, M)$ be the matrix of the differential $\text{Hom}(\Theta_i(a, b), M)$ with respect to the standard bases for the various spaces $\text{Hom}(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M)$ and the above ordering. Likewise, let $e^{(i)}(1, b-1, M_a)$ be the matrix of the differential $\text{Hom}(\Theta_i(1, b-1), M_a)$ with respect to the standard bases for the various $\text{Hom}(D(a_2, \dots, a_m), M_a)$. From the previous discussion and Remark 2.1 we get the following statement.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $n \geq b + 1$ and M is a skew Weyl module for $S(n, r)$. Then for $i = 1$*

$$e^{(1)}(a, b, M) = \left(\frac{B^1(a, b, M)}{-e^{(1)}(1, b-1, M_a)} \right)$$

and for $i > 1$

$$e^{(i)}(a, b, M) = \left(\frac{e^{(i-1)}(a+1, b-1, M) \mid B^i(a, b, M)}{-e^{(i)}(1, b-1, M_a)} \right),$$

where in both cases $B^i(a, b, M)$ is the matrix of the diagonal map $Hom(D(a) \otimes P_{i-1}(1, b-1), M) \rightarrow Hom(P_{i-1}(a+1, b-1), M)$ of Remark 2.1.

We will also need a lemma for the special case $M = D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}$ which we now describe. We will need a different order of the basis elements.

Let B_i be the standard basis of $Hom(P_{i-1}(a+1, b-1, D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}))$, $B_{i,1}$ the subset of the standard basis of $Hom(D(a) \otimes P_i(1, b-1), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$ consisting of the standard tableaux with one 1 in the Λ^{b-d} part and $B_{i,0}$ the subset of the standard basis of $Hom(D(a) \otimes P_i(1, b-1), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$ consisting of the standard tableaux with no 1 in the Λ^{b-k} part. We order each of the sets $B_i, B_{i,1}, B_{i,0}$ lexicographically and we declare that the elements of B_i are less than the elements of $B_{i,1}$, and the elements of $B_{i,1}$ are less than the elements of $B_{i,0}$. Hence we have a total order on the basis $B_{i-1} \cup B_{i-1,1} \cup B_{i-1,0}$ of the domain of $Hom(\Theta_i(a, b), D_{a+d} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$ and a total order on the basis $B_i \cup B_{i,1} \cup B_{i,0}$ of the codomain of $Hom(\Theta_i(a, b), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$.

Lemma 2.3. *With respect to the above ordered bases and for $i \geq 2$,*

$$e^{(i)}(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} A & * & * \\ \hline & B & \\ \hline & & C \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= e^{(i-1)}(\Delta(a+1, 1^{b-1}), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}), \\ B &= -e^{(i)}(\Delta(1, 1^{b-1}), D_{1+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k-1}), \\ C &= -e^{(i)}(\Delta(1, 1^{b-1}), D_k \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The claim for the matrix A follows from Lemma 2.2. For B consider the diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} span B_{i-1,1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & span B_{i,1} \\ \downarrow f_{i-1} & & \downarrow f_i \\ Hom(P_{i-1}(1, b-1), D_{1+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k-1}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Hom(P_i(1, b-1), D_{1+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k-1}) \end{array}$$

where we regard the modules in the bottom row as GL_{n-1} modules with GL_{n-1} acting on the basis elements $1, \dots, n-1$. Here, f_{i-1} is the isomorphism of abelian groups sending each standard basis element $1^{(a-1)} i_2^{(c_2)} \dots i_q^{(c_q)} \otimes 1 j_2 \dots j_{b-k} \in B_{i-1,1}$ to the standard basis element $(i_2 - 1)^{(c_2)} \dots (i_q - 1)^{(c_q)} \otimes (j_2 - 1) \dots (j_{b-k} - 1) \in Hom(P_{i-1}(1, b-1), D_{1+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k-1})$ and similarly for f_i , α is the restriction of $Hom(\Theta_i(a, b), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$ to $span B_{i-1,1}$ and $\beta = Hom(\Theta_i(1, b-1), D_{1+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k-1})$.

Λ^{b-k-1}). We claim that the diagram commutes. Using Remark 2.1 and Remark 2.2 it suffices to show that $f_i \circ \theta_s = \theta_{s-1} \circ f_{i-1}$, $t \geq 2$. Indeed, if

$$T = 1^{(a-1)} i_2^{(c_2)} \cdots i_q^{(c_q)} \otimes 1 j_2 \cdots j_{b-k} \in B_{i-1,1},$$

then

$$\theta_s(T) = 1^{(a-1)} i_2^{(c_2)} \cdots i_u^{(c_u)} i'_{u+1}^{(c_{u+1})} \cdots i'_q^{(c_q)} \otimes 1 j_2 \cdots j_v j'_{v+1} \cdots j'_{b-k},$$

where $i_u = \max(\{i_2, \dots, i_q\} \cap (\{2, \dots, s\}))$, $j_v = \max(\{j_2, \dots, j_{b-k}\} \cap (\{2, \dots, s\}))$, and $i' = i - 1$. We note that $\theta_s(T)$ is either 0 (if $j_v = j'_{v+1}$) or a standard basis element (if $j_v \neq j'_{v+1}$ and $i_u \neq i'_{u+1}$) or a non-zero multiple of a standard basis element (if $j_v \neq j'_{v+1}$ and $i_u = i'_{u+1}$). Hence, in all cases we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i \circ \theta_s(T) &= (i_2 - 1)^{(c_2)} \cdots (i_u - 1)^{(c_u)} (i'_{u+1} - 1)^{(c_{u+1})} \cdots (i'_q - 1)^{(c_q)} \otimes (j_2 - 1) \\ &\quad \cdots (j_v - 1) (j'_{v+1} - 1) \cdots (j'_{b-k} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{s-1} \circ f_{i-1}(T) &= (i_2 - 1)^{(c_2)} \cdots (i_u - 1)^{(c_u)} (i'_{u+1} - 1)^{(c_{u+1})} \cdots (i'_q - 1)^{(c_q)} \otimes (j_2 - 1) \\ &\quad \cdots (j_v - 1) (j'_{v+1} - 1) \cdots (j'_{b-k} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and thus the diagram commutes. The proof for C is similar and thus omitted. Finally, the middle block in the right column block is indeed 0, since for every $T \in B_{i-1,0}$, and every $s \geq 2$ we have $\theta_s(T)$ is of the form $x \otimes j_1 \cdots j_{b-k}$ with $1 \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_{b-k}\}$. \square

Remark. We will apply many times the isomorphism f_2 of the previous proof in section 4 in order to describe specific relations of $E^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k})$.

The next lemma will be used several times. The first equality follows from the main result of [Ku1].

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $n \geq b + 1$ and $0 \leq k < b$. Then*

$$\text{Ext}^i(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) = \text{Ext}^i(\Lambda^{k+1}, D_{k+1}).$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) &= \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad k \geq 1, \\ \text{(b)} \quad \text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_3, & k = 2, 3 \\ 0, & k \neq 2, 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first statement and (1) are Lemma 2.3 of [MS]. In [To], Ex. 11.9, $\text{Ext}^2(\Lambda^{k+1}, D_{k+1})$ was determined and hence we have (2). We give below a different proof of Touzé's result for the sake of self completeness.

We calculate $\text{Ext}^2(\Lambda^{k+1}, D_{k+1})$ using the projective resolution $P_*(1, k)$ of Λ^{k+1} and the method of [AB], Section 9. If $k = 0, 1$, the length $P_*(1, k)$ is less than 2 and hence $\text{Ext}^2(\Lambda^{k+1}, D_{k+1}) = 0$. Suppose $k \geq 2$. According to Remark 2.1, the map $\text{Hom}(\Theta_2(1, k), D_{k+1})$ looks like:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(P_0(2, k-1), D_{k+1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_1(2, k-1), D_{k+1}) \\ \oplus & \nearrow & \oplus \\ \text{Hom}(D(1) \otimes P_1(1, k-1), D_{k+1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(D(1) \otimes P_2(1, k-1), D_{k+1}) \end{array}$$

If a_1, \dots, a_m are positive integers such that $a_1 + \dots + a_m = k + 1$, then we have $Hom(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), D_{k+1}) = \mathbb{Z}$, a generator is simply the multiplication map, which will be denoted by $1^{(a_1)} \dots m^{(a_m)}$. Thus, the map

$$Hom(D(a_1, \dots, u, v, \dots, a_m), D_{k+1}) \rightarrow Hom(D(a_1, \dots, a_t, \dots, a_m), D_{k+1}),$$

where $u + v = a_t$, induced by $1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta_{u,v} \otimes \dots \otimes 1 : D(a_1, \dots, a_t, \dots, a_m) \rightarrow D(a_1, \dots, u, v, \dots, a_m)$ is multiplication by the binomial coefficient $\binom{a_t}{u}$.

We have the following ordered \mathbb{Z} -bases.

- $Hom(P_0(2, k-1), D_{k+1}) : 1^{(2)}2 \dots k,$
- $Hom(D(1) \otimes P_1(1, k-1), D_{k+1}) : 12^{(2)}3 \dots k, 123^{(2)} \dots k, \dots, 12 \dots k^{(2)},$
- $Hom(P_1(2, k-1), D_{k+1}) : 1^{(3)}2 \dots (k-1), 1^{(2)}2^{(2)}4 \dots (k-1),$
 $1^{(2)}23^{(2)}4 \dots (k-1), \dots, 1^{(2)}23 \dots (k-1)^{(2)}.$
- $Hom(D(1) \otimes P_2(1, k-1), D_{k+1}) : 12^{(3)}3 \dots (k-1), 12^{(2)}3^{(2)}4 \dots (k-1),$
 $\dots, 12^{(2)} \dots (k-1)^{(2)}, \dots, 123^{(3)} \dots (k-1), 123^{(2)}4^{(2)} \dots (k-1),$
 $\dots, 123^{(2)} \dots (k-1)^{(2)}, \dots, 12 \dots (k-1)^{(3)}.$

Now with the above ordered bases, a quick computation shows that the matrix of the top horizontal arrow of $Hom(\Theta_2(1, k), D_{k+1})$ is the $(k-1) \times 1$ matrix

$$e^{(1)}(2, k-1, D_{k+1}) = (3 \quad -2 \quad 2 \quad \dots \quad (-1)^{k-2}2)^t$$

and the matrix of the diagonal arrow is the $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ matrix

$$B^2(1, k, D_{k+1}) = \text{diag}(3, 2, \dots, 2).$$

Also, it follows that the matrix $e^{(2)}(1, k, D_{k+1})$ is of size $\binom{k}{2} \times k$. In the notation of Lemma 2.2, we have $M = D_{k+1}$ and thus $M_1 = D_k$. Therefore the recursion for $e^{(2)}(1, k, D_{k+1})$ is

$$e^{(2)}(1, k, D_{k+1}) = \left(\frac{e^{(1)}(2, k-1, D_{k+1}) \mid B^2(1, k, D_{k+1})}{-e^{(2)}(1, k-1, D_k)} \right)$$

where $k \geq 2$ and $e^{(2)}(1, 2, D_3) = (3 \ 3)$ (this follows by an immediate computation). Hence,

$$e^{(2)}(1, 3, D_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ -2 & 2 \\ & -3 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The nonzero invariant factors of these last two matrices are 3 and 1,3 respectively and therefore $Ext^2(\Lambda^3, D_3) = Ext^2(\Lambda^4, D_4) = \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Let $k \geq 4$. In order to show that $Ext^2(\Lambda^{k+1}, D_{k+1}) = 0$, it suffices to show that the last nonzero invariant factor of $e^{(2)}(1, k, D_{k+1})$ is equal to 1. This matrix has rank at most $k-1$ since the matrix product $e^{(2)}(1, k, D_{k+1}) \cdot e^{(1)}(1, k, D_{k+1})$ is zero, due to the fact that $Hom(P_*(1, k), D_{k+1})$ is a complex. (We have $e^{(1)}(1, k, D_{k+1}) \neq 0$ since $Ext^1(\Lambda^{k+1}, D_{k+1}) \neq 0$. In fact here $e^{(1)}(1, k, D_{k+1})$ is the $k \times 1$ matrix $(2 \quad -2 \quad 2 \quad \dots \quad (-1)^{k-1}2)^t$). It is straightforward to verify that the minor of $e^{(2)}(1, k, D_{k+1})$ corresponding to columns $2, 3, \dots, k$ and rows $2, 3, \dots, k-1, k+1$ is equal to $\pm 2^{k-1}$ and an immediate induction shows that the minor corresponding to columns $1, 2, \dots, k-1$ and rows $1, 1+(k-1), 1+(k-1)+(k-2), \dots, \binom{k}{2}$ is equal to $\pm 3^{k-1}$. Since there exist two relatively prime minors of size the rank of the matrix $e^{(2)}(1, k, D_{k+1})$, the last nonzero invariant factor of this matrix is equal to 1. \square

Next we describe the differential of $\text{Hom}(\Theta_i(a, b), M)$ for M a skew Weyl module. For $T \in \text{Hom}(D(a_1, \dots, a_m), M)$ a standard basis element, let $\theta_s(T)$, $1 \leq s \leq m$, be the element of $\text{Hom}(D(a_1, \dots, a_s + a_{s+1}, \dots, a_m), M)$ obtained from T by replacing each occurrence of $j > s$ by $j - 1$. If $s > m$, let $\theta_s(T) = 0$. By extending linearly, we obtain for each degree i a map of \mathbb{Z} -modules $\text{Hom}(P_i(a, b), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_{i+1}(a, b), M)$ which is denoted by Θ_i . It is clear that only a finite number of these maps are nonzero. From the definition of the differential of $P_*(a, b)$, with the previous notation, we obtain the following fact.

Remark 2.5. $\text{Hom}(\Theta_i(a, b), M) = \sum_{s \geq 1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s$.

3. THE CASES $k = 3$ AND $k \geq 5$

It is well known, for example by [Ja], B.3 (4), and [Ma] respectively, that $\text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h)) = \text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(1))) = 0$. Theorem 4.1 of [MS] implies that $\text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), h(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_{(a+b)/\gcd(2, a+b)}$.

In this Section we will determine the extension groups $\text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k)))$ for $k = 3$ and $k \geq 5$. We will use certain exact sequences that we now describe.

Consider the short exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Delta(h(k+1)) \xrightarrow{i_k} D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{a-k} \xrightarrow{\pi_k} \Delta(h(k)) \rightarrow 0$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where π_k is induced by the identity map on generators and i_k is induced by the composition

$$D_{a+k+1} \otimes \Lambda^{b-1} \rightarrow D_{a+k} \otimes D_1 \otimes \Lambda^{b-1} \rightarrow D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^b$$

of comultiplication in D_* and multiplication in Λ^* .

We have $\text{Hom}_{S_{\mathbb{Q}}(n,r)}(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) = 0$, since $\Delta(h)$ and $\Delta(h(k))$ are distinct irreducible representations of $S_{\mathbb{Q}}(n, r)$. Thus, $\text{Hom}(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) = 0$. Applying then $\text{Hom}(\Delta(h), -)$ to (1) we obtain the exact sequence

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k+1))) \xrightarrow{i_k^{(1)}} \text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_k^{(1)}} \text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k+1))) \\ &\xrightarrow{i_k^{(2)}} \text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) \xrightarrow{\pi_k^{(2)}} \text{Ext}^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}^3(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k+1))) \xrightarrow{i_k^{(3)}} \text{Ext}^3(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.5 of [MS] and Lemma 2.4, we have the following remark.

Remark 3.1.

- (a) $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k+1))) = \text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) = 0$, or
- (b) $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) = \text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k+1))) = 0$.

Thus (2) takes the following form

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k+1))) \xrightarrow{i_k^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_k^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) \longrightarrow Ext^3(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k+1))) \\ &\xrightarrow{i_k^{(3)}} Ext^3(\Delta(h), D_{a+k} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k}) \end{aligned}$$

Then, Theorem 4.1 by [MS] and (3) yield the case $k = 3$ of the theorem.

Proposition 3.2. *We have $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) = \mathbb{Z}_{3/\gcd(3, a+b)}$.*

Proof. We observe that $Ext^3(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}) = 0$, as $Ext^3(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}) = Ext^3(\Lambda^3, D_3)$, by Lemma 2.4, and $Ext^3(\Lambda^3, D_3) = 0$, as Λ^3 has a projective resolution of length 2 by [A]. So, for $k = 2$, (3) has the following form

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) \xrightarrow{i_2^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_2^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(2))) \longrightarrow Ext^3(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) \xrightarrow{i_2^{(3)}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using again Lemma 2.4 we have $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}) = \mathbb{Z}_3$, which implies that $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) = \mathbb{Z}_3$ or 0, as $i_2^{(2)}$ is a monomorphism. We also know that $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(2))) = \mathbb{Z}_{d_2}$ and $Ext^3(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) = \mathbb{Z}_{d_3}$, by Theorem 4.1 of [MS], where $d_2 = \gcd(a+b, \binom{a+b}{2})$ and $d_3 = \gcd(a+b, \binom{a+b}{2}, \binom{a+b}{3})$. Simple calculations yield that $d_2 = d_3$ if and only if $3 \nmid a+b$, so the exact sequence (4) implies that $i_2^{(2)}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $3 \nmid a+b$. It follows that $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) = \mathbb{Z}_3$ if and only if $3 \nmid a+b$ and $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) = 0$ otherwise. \square

Lemma 2.4 (b) also yields that $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+k-1} \otimes \Lambda^{b-k+1}) = 0$ for every $k \geq 5$ and using again the exact sequence (3) we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *We have $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(k))) = 0$ for every $5 \leq k \leq b$.*

4. THE CASE $k = 4$ OF THE THEOREM

In this Section we show Theorem 1.1 for $k = 4$, which constitutes the main part of the paper. This is done using a refinement of the main strategy of [MS], namely by first determining a cyclic generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$, Subsection 4.1, and then computing its image in $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$, Subsection 4.3, with the aid of the relations that we establish in Subsection 4.2.

In order to compute $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4)))$, we use the exact sequence (3) for $k = 3$, which takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4))) \xrightarrow{i_3^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_3^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4 (b) we have $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) = \mathbb{Z}_3$. In order to determine whether $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4))) = 0$ or \mathbb{Z}_3 , we consider the following composition

$$Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) \xrightarrow{\pi_3^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) \xrightarrow{i_2^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}).$$

Let $\phi = i_2^{(2)} \circ \pi_3^{(2)}$. Then, ϕ is a map from \mathbb{Z}_3 to \mathbb{Z}_3 by Lemma 2.4. Our aim in this Section is to find a cyclic generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$ and determine its image under ϕ as a multiple of the cyclic generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$ described by [MS] in Section 4.1.

4.1. Cyclic generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$. In this subsection we will determine a cyclic generator of the group $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$. This is accomplished using the recursion of Lemma 2.3 for $i = 2$, according to which the matrix $e^{(2)}(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}V)$ of the differential $Hom(\Theta_2(a, b), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$ has the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & * & * \\ \hline & B & \\ \hline & & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A = e^{(1)}(\Delta(a+1, 1^{b-1}), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$, $B = -e^{(2)}(\Delta(1, 1^{b-1}), D_4 \otimes \Lambda^{b-4})$ and $C = -e^{(2)}(\Delta(1, 1^{b-1}), D_3 \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$.

Consider the following standard basis elements:

- $T_{i,j}^1 = 1^{(a)}i^{(2)}j \otimes 2 \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots b$
for $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$
in $Hom(D_a \otimes D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i)}, D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$,
- $T_{i,j}^k = 1^{(a-1)}ki^{(2)}j \otimes 1 \dots \hat{k} \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots b$
for $k \in \{2, \dots, b-2\}$, $i \in \{k+1, \dots, b-1\}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$
in $Hom(D_a \otimes D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i)}, D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$,

and the standard basis elements:

- $B_{1,j}^{a,b} = 1^{(a+2)}j \otimes 2 \dots \hat{j} \dots (b-1)$ for $j \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$,
in $Hom(D_{a+2} \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-2)}, D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$,
- $B_{i,1}^{a,b} = 1^{(a)}i^{(3)} \otimes 2 \dots \hat{i} \dots (b-1)$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$,
in $Hom(D_a \otimes D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_3 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i-1)}, D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$,
- $B_{i,j}^{a,b} = 1^{(a-1)}i^{(3)}j \otimes 1 \dots \widehat{i, j} \dots (b-1)$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$
and $j \in \{2, \dots, i-1\} \cup \{i+1, \dots, b-1\}$,
in $Hom(D_a \otimes D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_3 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i-1)}, D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$.

Recall that the cokernel of the differential $Hom(\Theta_i(a, b), M)$ of the complex $Hom(P_*(a, b), M)$ is denoted by $E^i(\Delta(h), M)$ (cf. 2.4).

Let $\pi : Hom(P_i(a, b), M) \rightarrow E^i(\Delta(h), M)$ be the natural projection.

Remark. In the following proposition and its proof, if the lower bound of the summation index is less than the upper bound, then we regard the sum as zero (empty sum).

Proposition 4.1. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{a,b} = & \binom{a+2}{3} \sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j B_{1,j}^{a,b} - \left(aB_{2,1}^{a,b} + \sum_{j=3}^{b-1} (-1)^j B_{2,j}^{a,b} \right) + \\ & \sum_{i=3}^{b-2} (-1)^{i-1} \left(aB_{i,1}^{a,b} - \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^j B_{i,j}^{a,b} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^j B_{i,j}^{a,b} \right) + \\ & (-1)^b \left(aB_{b-1,1}^{a,b} - \sum_{j=2}^{b-2} (-1)^j B_{b-1,j}^{a,b} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $b \geq 3$, $3\pi(\Gamma_{a,b}) = 0$ in $E^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$ and thus $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ is an element of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$.

Proof. Let

$$A_{a,b} = a \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_{i,j}^1),$$

$$C_{a,b} = \sum_{k=2}^{b-2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i-k} \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_{i,j}^k).$$

We will use induction on $b \geq 3$ to show that

$$(5) \quad A_{a,b} + C_{a,b} = 3\Gamma_{a,b}$$

For $b = 3$ we observe that $C_{a,3} = 0$ as it is an empty sum, and for $T_{2,3}^1 = 1^{(a)}2^{(2)}3$ in $Hom(D_a \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1, D_{a+3})$ we have that

$$A_{a,3} + C_{a,3} = A_{a,b} = a(\theta_1(T_{2,3}) - \theta_1(T_{2,3})) = a\left(\binom{a+2}{2} \cdot 1^{(a+2)}2 - 3 \cdot 1^{(a)}2^{(3)}\right)$$

$$= 3\binom{a+2}{3} \cdot B_{1,2}^{a,3} - 3a \cdot B_{2,1}^{a,3} = 3\Gamma_{a,3}.$$

Let $b > 3$. For $i = 2$ and $j \in \{3, \dots, b\}$ we observe that

$$\theta_1(T_{2,j}^1) = \binom{a+2}{2} 1^{(a+2)}(j-1) \otimes 2 \cdots \widehat{(j-1)} \cdots (b-1) = \binom{a+2}{2} B_{1,j-1}^{a,b},$$

and so we have

$$(6) \quad A_{a,b} = a \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \theta_1(T_{i,j}^1)$$

$$+ a \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_{i,j}^1)$$

$$= a\binom{a+2}{2} \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} B_{1,j-1}^{a,b} + a \sum_{i=3}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \theta_1(T_{i,j}^1)$$

$$+ a \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_{i,j}^1).$$

Consider now the standard basis elements:

- $t_{1,j-1}^0 = 1^{(2)}(j-1) \otimes 2 \cdots \widehat{(j-1)} \cdots (b-1)$ in $Hom(D_2 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-1)}, D_3 \otimes \Lambda^{b-1})$, for $i = 2$ and $j \in \{3, \dots, b\}$,
- $t_{i-1,j-1}^0 = (i-1)^{(2)}(j-1) \otimes 1 \cdots \widehat{(i-1)} \cdots \widehat{(j-1)} \cdots (b-1)$ in $Hom(D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b+2-i)}, D_3 \otimes \Lambda^{b-1})$, for $i \in \{3, \dots, b-1\}$ and $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$.

We observe that $\theta_{s-1}(t_{i-1,j-1}^0) = f_2(\theta_s(T_{i,j}^1))$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$ and $s \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$, where f_2 is the isomorphism described in Lemma 2.3 for $i = 2$. By [MS], Lemma 4.4 for $k = 2$, we have the following relation in $Ext^2(\Delta(1, 1^{(b-1)}), D_3 \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$

$$(7) \quad Hom(\Theta_2(a, b), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}) \left(\sum_{i=2, j>i}^{b-1, b} (-1)^{j-i+1} t_{i-1, j-1}^0 \right) = 3\gamma_{1, b-1},$$

where $\gamma_{1, b-1} = \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^i \delta_{i-1}^{1, b-1}$,

- $\delta_1^{1,b-1} = 1^{(3)} \otimes 2 \cdots (b-2)$ in $\text{Hom}(D_3 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-3)}, D_3 \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$, for $i = 2$,
- $\delta_{i-1}^{1,b-1} = (i-1)^3 \otimes 1 \cdots \widehat{(i-1)} \cdots (b-2)$ in $\text{Hom}(D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_3 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i-1)}, D_3 \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$, for $i \in \{3, \dots, b-1\}$,

and $\pi(\gamma_{1,b-1})$ is the generator of $\text{Ext}^2(\Delta(1, 1^{b-1}), D_3 \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$ described by [MS] in Subsection 4.1. Using Remark 2.5, (7) is equivalent to

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^s \theta_{s-1}(t_{i-1,j-1}^0) = 3 \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^i \delta_{i-1}^{1,b-1}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 2.3, we have that $\delta_{i-1}^{1,b-1} = f_2(B_{i,1}^{a,b})$ where

- $B_{2,1}^{a,b} = 1^{(a)} 2^{(3)} \otimes 3 \cdots (b-1)$ for $i = 2$,
- $B_{i,1}^{a,b} = 1^{(a)} i^{(3)} \otimes 2 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots (b-1)$ for $i \in \{3, \dots, b-1\}$.

and f_2 is the isomorphism described in Lemma 2.3 for $i = 2$.

Applying the isomorphism f_2^{-1} to Equation (8) we have,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^s f_2^{-1}(\theta_{s-1}(t_{i-1,j-1}^0)) = 3 \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^i f_2^{-1}(\delta_{i-1}^{1,b-1})$$

or, equivalently,

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^s \theta_s(T_{i,j}^1) = 3 \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^i B_{i,1}^{a,b}.$$

Using (9), (6) is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{a,b} &= a \binom{a+2}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^i B_{1,i}^{a,b} + a \sum_{i=3}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i+1} \theta_1(T_{i,j}^1) \\ &\quad - 3a \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^i B_{i,1}^{a,b}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{a,b} &= \sum_{i=3}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i} \theta_1(T_{i,j}^2) + \sum_{k=3}^{b-2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i-k} \theta_1(T_{i,j}^k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{b-2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i-k} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_{i,j}^k) \\ &= \sum_{i=3}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i} \theta_1(T_{i,j}^2) + \sum_{k=2}^{b-2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i-k} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_{i,j}^k), \end{aligned}$$

as $\theta_1(T_{i,j}^k) = 0$ for every $k \in \{3, \dots, b-2\}$, because of the 12 part of $T_{i,j}^k$ in Λ^{b-3} . Consider now the basis elements:

- $t_{i-1,j-1}^1 = 1(i-1)^{(2)}(j-1) \otimes 2 \cdots \widehat{(i-1)} \cdots \widehat{(j-1)} \cdots (b-1)$ in $\text{Hom}(D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i)}, D_4 \otimes \Lambda^{b-4})$, for $k = 2$ and $i \in \{3, \dots, b-1\}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$,
- $t_{i-1,j-1}^{k-1} = (k-1)(i-1)^{(2)}(j-1) \otimes 1 \cdots \widehat{(k-1)} \cdots \widehat{(i-1)} \cdots \widehat{(j-1)} \cdots (b-1)$ in $\text{Hom}(D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i)}, D_4 \otimes \Lambda^{b-4})$, for $k \in \{3, \dots, b-2\}$, $i \in \{k+1, \dots, b-1\}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$.

By induction on b , for $a = 1$, we have $A_{1,b-1} + C_{1,b-1} = 3\Gamma_{1,b-1}$, or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{b-2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i-k+1} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^s \theta_{s-1}(t_{i-1,j-1}^{k-1}) \\
&= 3 \sum_{j=3}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{1,j-1}^{1,b-1} - 3 \left(B_{2,1}^{1,b-1} + \sum_{j=4}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{2,j-1}^{1,b-1} \right) \\
& \quad + 3 \sum_{i=4}^{b-1} (-1)^i \left(B_{i-1,1}^{1,b-1} - \sum_{j=3}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{i-1,j-1}^{1,b-1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{i-1,j-1}^{1,b-1} \right) \\
(12) \quad & + 3(-1)^{b-1} \left(B_{b-2,1}^{1,b-1} - \sum_{j=3}^{b-2} (-1)^{j-1} B_{b-2,j-1}^{1,b-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying f_2^{-1} to (12), using that $f_2(B_{i,j}^{a,b}) = B_{i-1,j-1}^{1,b-1}$ and $f_2(\theta_s(T_{i,j})^k) = \theta_{s-1}(t_{i-1,j-1}^{k-1})$ for $i \geq 2$, $j \in \{3, \dots, i-1\} \cup \{i+1, \dots, b-2\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{b-2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i-k+1} \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^s \theta_s(T_{i,j}^k) \\
&= 3 \sum_{j=3}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{2,j}^{a,b} - 3 \left(B_{3,2}^{a,b} + \sum_{j=4}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{3,j}^{a,b} \right) \\
& \quad + 3 \sum_{i=4}^{b-2} (-1)^i \left(B_{i,2}^{a,b} - \sum_{j=3}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{i,j}^{a,b} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{i,j}^{a,b} \right) \\
(13) \quad & + 3(-1)^{b-1} \left(B_{b-1,2}^{a,b} - \sum_{j=3}^{b-2} (-1)^{j-1} B_{b-1,j}^{a,b} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (13) implies that (11) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{a,b} &= \sum_{i=3}^{b-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^b (-1)^{j-i} \theta_1(T_{i,j}^2) + 3 \sum_{j=3}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{2,j}^{a,b} \\
& \quad - 3 \left(B_{3,2}^{a,b} + \sum_{j=4}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{3,j}^{a,b} \right) \\
& \quad + 3 \sum_{i=3}^{b-2} (-1)^i \left(B_{i,2}^{a,b} - \sum_{j=3}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{i,j}^{a,b} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{i,j}^{a,b} \right) \\
(14) \quad & + 3(-1)^{b-1} \left(B_{b-1,2}^{a,b} - \sum_{j=3}^{b-2} (-1)^{j-1} B_{b-1,j}^{a,b} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that $\theta_1(T_{i,j}^2) = a\theta_1(T_{i,j}^1)$ for $i \in \{3, \dots, b-1\}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$, as $\theta_1(T_{i,j}^1) = 1^{(a)}(i-1)^2(j-1) \otimes 1 \cdots \widehat{(i-1)} \cdots \widehat{(j-1)} \cdots (b-1)$, and $\theta_1(T_{i,j}^2) = a1^{(a)}(i-1)^2(j-1) \otimes 1 \cdots \widehat{(i-1)} \cdots \widehat{(j-1)} \cdots (b-1)$. Using this observation and adding equations (10) and (14), we obtain $A_{a,b} + C_{a,b} = 3\Gamma_{a,b}$ and we have proved inductively equation (5).

As we have the relations $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_{i,j}^k)) = 0$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, b-2\}$, $i \in \{k+1, \dots, b-1\}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, b\}$, it follows that $\pi(A_{a,b}) = \pi(C_{a,b}) = 0$ and so $3\pi(\Gamma_{a,b}) = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The element $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ of Proposition 3.1 is a cyclic generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$.*

Proof. We will first show that $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ is nonzero. Indeed, if $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b}) = 0$, then $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ would be equal to a linear combination of the relations in the extension group $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$ corresponding to the columns of the matrix $e^{(2)}(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$. This implies that the coefficient -1 of $\pi(B_{b-1,b-2})$ in $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ should be a linear combination of the nonzero entries of the last row of $e^{(2)}(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$. These are the coefficients of $\pi(B_{b-1,b-2})$ resulting from the application of $\pi \circ \theta_{b-1}$ on the standard basis elements:

$$1^{(a-1)}(b-2)(b-1)^{(2)}b \otimes 1 \cdots (b-3), 1^{(a-1)}(b-2)(b-1)b^{(2)} \otimes 1 \cdots (b-3).$$

Indeed, let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_b)$ be a sequence with $\lambda_1 \in \{0, 1\}$, $\lambda_i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i = b$, and a standard basis element T_λ in $Hom(D_{a+\lambda_1} \otimes D_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes D_{\lambda_b}, D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$, such that $\theta_{b-1}(T_\lambda) = c \cdot B_{b-1,b-2}^{a,b}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\theta_{b-1}(T_\lambda)$ has weight $(1^{a+\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, \dots, (b-1)^{\lambda_{b-1}+\lambda_b})$ which must be equal to $(1^a, 2, \dots, (b-2), (b-1)^3)$, the weight of $B_{b-1,b-2}^{a,b}$. This implies that $\lambda_1 = 0$, $(\lambda_{b-1}, \lambda_b) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ and

$$T_\lambda \in \{1^{(a-1)}(b-2)(b-1)^{(2)}b \otimes 1 \cdots (b-3), 1^{(a-1)}(b-2)(b-1)b^{(2)} \otimes 1 \cdots (b-3)\}.$$

By straightforward calculations, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi(\theta_{b-1}(1^{(a-1)}(b-2)(b-1)^{(2)}b \otimes 1 \cdots (b-3))) \\ &= \pi(\theta_{b-1}(1^{(a-1)}(b-2)(b-1)b^{(2)} \otimes 1 \cdots (b-3))) \\ &= 3\pi(B_{b-1,b-2}^{a,b}), \end{aligned}$$

which means that -1 should be a linear combination of 3 and 3, i.e. multiple of 3. This implies that $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ is nonzero. As $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) = \mathbb{Z}_3$, $3\pi(\Gamma_{a,b}) = 0$ (by Proposition 4.1) and $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b}) \neq 0$, we conclude that $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ is a generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3})$. \square

4.2. Relations in $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$. In this Subsection we will prove certain relations in $E^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$ using the columns of $e^{(2)}(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$, i.e. using the equalities $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T)) = 0$, where T is a standard basis element of the domain of the differential $\Theta_2(a, b)$. These relations will be described in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4. They will yield Proposition 4.5 which will be used in the proof of Proposition 4.6, in order to facilitate the computation of the image of the cyclic generator of Proposition 4.2. Proposition 4.6 will then yield the case $k = 4$ of Theorem 1.1.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} b_1^{(1)} &= 1^{(a+2)} \otimes 2 \cdots (b-1), \\ b_j^{(1)} &= 1^{(a+1)} j \otimes 1 \cdots \hat{j} \cdots (b-1) \end{aligned}$$

be the indicated standard basis elements in $Hom(D_{a+2} \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-2)}, D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1^{(i)} &= 1^{(a)}i^{(2)} \otimes 2 \cdots i \cdots (b-1), \\ b_j^{(i)} &= 1^{(a-1)}i^{(2)}j \otimes 12 \cdots \hat{j} \cdots (b-1) \end{aligned}$$

be the indicated standard basis elements in $Hom(D_a \otimes D_1^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes D_3 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i-1)}, D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$ and $j \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For every $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$,*

$$a\pi(b_1^{(i+1)}) - \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) = a\pi(b_1^{(i)}) - \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(i)}) + 3(-1)^{i+1} \pi(b_i^{(i)}).$$

Proof. We consider the basis elements $T_1^{(i+1)} = 1^{(a)}(i+1)^{(2)} \otimes 2 \cdots \widehat{(i+1)} \cdots b$ and $T_j^{(i+1)} = 1^{(a-1)}j(i+1)^{(2)} \otimes 1 \cdots \hat{j} \cdots \widehat{(i+1)} \cdots b$, for $j \in \{2, \dots, i\}$. Let

$$D_{a,b} = a \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}).$$

We know that $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)})) = 0$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$, which implies that $\pi(D_{a,b}) = 0$. We observe that $\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ and $s \in \{i+2, \dots, b-1\}$ because of the $(i+2)(i+3) \cdots b$ part $T_j^{(i+1)}$ in Λ^{b-2} . So we obtain

$$a \sum_{s=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) = 0$$

and

$$(15) \quad D_{a,b} = a \sum_{s=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}).$$

By immediate calculations we have $\theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{2, \dots, i-1\}$, $\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{1, \dots, j-2\} \cup \{j+1, \dots, i-1\}$, $j \in \{2, \dots, i-1\}$ and $\theta_s(T_i^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{1, \dots, i-2\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a,b} &= a \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) \\ &\quad + a \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) \\ &= (a\theta_1(T_1^{(i+1)}) - \theta_1(T_2^{(i+1)})) + \sum_{s=2}^{i-1} (\theta_s(T_s^{(i+1)}) - \theta_s(T_{s+1}^{(i+1)})) \\ &\quad + a \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) \\ (16) \quad &= a \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}), \end{aligned}$$

as $a\theta_1(T_1^{(i+1)}) = \theta_1(T_2^{(i+1)}) = a1^{(a)}i^{(2)} \otimes 1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots (b-1)$ and $\theta_s(T_s^{(i+1)}) = \theta_s(T_{s+1}^{(i+1)}) = 1^{(a-1)}si^{(2)} \otimes 1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots (b-1)$.

It follows that

$$\pi(D_{a,b}) = a \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_1^{(i+1)})) + \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)})) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(17) \quad a\pi(\theta_i(T_1^{(i+1)})) - \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \pi(\theta_i(T_j^{(i+1)})) = a\pi(\theta_{i+1}(T_1^{(i+1)})) - \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \pi(\theta_{i+1}(T_j^{(i+1)})).$$

More straightforward calculations yield:

- $\theta_i(T_1^{(i+1)}) = 1^{(a)}i^{(2)} \otimes 2 \cdots (b-1) = b_1^{(i)}$,
- $\theta_i(T_j^{(i+1)}) = 1^{(a-1)}ji^{(2)} \otimes 1 \cdots \hat{j} \cdots (b-1) = b_j^{(i)}$ for every $j \in \{2, \dots, i-1\}$,
- $\theta_i(T_i^{(i+1)}) = 3 \cdot 1^{(a-1)}i^{(3)} \otimes 1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots (b-1) = 3 \cdot b_i^{(i)}$
- $\theta_{i+1}(T_1^{(i+1)}) = 1^{(a)}(i+1)^{(2)} \otimes 2 \cdots (b-1) = b_1^{(i+1)}$,
- $\theta_{i+1}(T_j^{(i+1)}) = 1^{(a-1)}j(i+1)^2 \otimes 1 \cdots \hat{j} \cdots (b-1) = b_j^{(i+1)}$ for every $j \in \{2, \dots, i\}$,

so (17) is equivalent to

$$a\pi(b_1^{(i+1)}) - \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) = a\pi(b_1^{(i)}) - \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(i)}) + 3(-1)^{i+1} \pi(b_i^{(i)}).$$

□

With the notation established before Lemma 4.3, we have the following statement.

Lemma 4.4. *For every $i = 2, \dots, b-2$,*

$$\sum_{j=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) - 3\pi(b_{i+1}^{(i+1)}) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i)}).$$

Proof. Consider the standard basis elements

$$T_j^{(i+1)} = 1^{(a-1)}(i+1)^{(2)}j \otimes 1 \cdots \widehat{(i+1)} \cdots \hat{j} \cdots b$$

in $\text{Hom}(D_a \otimes D_1^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-i-1)}, D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$ for $j \in \{i+2, \dots, b\}$. We know that $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta(T_j^{(i+1)})) = 0$ for $j \in \{i+2, \dots, b\}$. This implies that

$$(18) \quad \sum_{j=i+2}^b (-1)^j \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)})) = 0.$$

But $\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$, for every $j \in \{i+2, \dots, b\}$ because of the $1 \cdots i$ part of $T_j^{(i+1)}$ in Λ^{b-2} . So (18) is equivalent to

$$(19) \quad \sum_{j=i+2}^b (-1)^j \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)})) + \sum_{j=i+2}^b (-1)^j \sum_{s=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)})) = 0.$$

By straightforward calculations we have $\theta_s(T_{i+2}^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{i+3, \dots, b-1\}$, $\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{i+2, \dots, j-2\} \cup \{j+1, \dots, b-1\}$ for every $j \in \{i+3, \dots, b-1\}$ and $\theta_s(T_b^{(i+1)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{i+2, \dots, b-2\}$. So (19) yields

$$(20) \quad \sum_{j=i+2}^b (-1)^j \sum_{s=i}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(i+1)})) - \sum_{j=i+2}^{b-1} (\pi(\theta_j(T_j^{(i+1)})) - \pi(\theta_j(T_{j+1}^{(i+1)}))) = 0.$$

We observe now that $\theta_j(T_j^{(i+1)}) = \theta_j(T_{j+1}^{(i+1)})$, so (20) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=i+2}^b (-1)^{j-i} (\pi(\theta_{i+1}(T_j^{(i+1)})) - \pi(\theta_i(T_j^{(i+1)}))) = 0,$$

or

$$(21) \quad \sum_{j=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(\theta_i(T_j^{(i+1)})) = \sum_{j=i+3}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(\theta_{i+1}(T_j^{(i+1)})) + \pi(\theta_{i+1}(T_{i+2})),$$

where

- $\theta_i(T_j^{(i+1)}) = b_{j-1}^{(i)}$ for every $j \in \{i+2, \dots, b\}$,
- $\theta_{i+1}(T_{i+2}) = 3 \cdot b_{i+1}^{(i+1)}$ and $\theta_{i+1}(T_j^{(i+1)}) = b_{j-1}^{(i+1)}$ for every $j \in \{i+3, \dots, b\}$.

Equation (21) is now equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) - 3\pi(b_{i+1}^{(i+1)}) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i)})$$

for every $i \in \{2, \dots, b-2\}$. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of the present subsection, which provides usefull relations that will be used in Subsection 4.3.

Proposition 4.5.

(a) For $i = 1$,

$$\sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(1)}) = (a+2)\pi(b_1^{(1)}).$$

(b) For every $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$,

$$a(-1)^i \pi(b_1^{(i)}) + (-1)^i \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) + (-1)^i \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) = 3\pi(b_i^{(i)}).$$

Proof. For $i = 1$ we consider the standard basis elements $T_j^{(1)} = 1^{(a+1)} j \otimes 2 \cdots \hat{j} \cdots b$ of $\text{Hom}(D_{a+1} \otimes D_1^{\otimes(b-1)}, D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$ for $j \in \{2, \dots, b\}$. Then we have the relations $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(1)})) = 0$, for every $j \in \{2, \dots, b\}$, and so

$$(22) \quad \sum_{j=2}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_1(T_j^{(1)})) + \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \sum_{j=2}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(1)})) = 0.$$

But $\theta_2(T_j^{(1)}) = 0$ for $j \geq 4$, $\theta_s(T_j^{(1)}) = 0$ for $j \in \{2, \dots, s-1\} \cup \{s+1, \dots, b\}$, and $\theta_{b-1}(T_j^{(1)}) = 0$ for $j \leq b-2$. So (22) is equivalent to

$$(23) \quad \sum_{j=2}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_1(T_j^{(1)})) + \sum_{s=2}^{b-1} (\pi(\theta_s(T_s^{(1)})) - \pi(\theta_s(T_{s+1}^{(1)}))) = 0.$$

We observe now that $\theta_s(T_s^{(1)}) = \theta_s(T_{s+1}^{(1)}) = 1^{(a+1)}s \otimes 2 \cdots (b-1)$ and $\theta_1(T_2^{(1)}) = (a+2)b_1^{(1)}$ and $\theta_1(T_j^{(1)}) = b_{j-1}^{(1)}$ for $j \in \{3, \dots, b\}$, so (23) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(1)}) = (a+2)\pi(b_1^{(1)}).$$

For $i = 2$, we consider the standard basis elements $T_1^{(2)} = 1^{(a)}2^{(2)} \otimes 3 \dots b$ and $T_j^{(2)} = 1^{(a-1)}2j \otimes \hat{1}2 \dots \hat{j} \dots b$ in $Hom(D_a \otimes D_2 \otimes D_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D_1, D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$, for $j \in \{3, \dots, b\}$. Then $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_1^{(2)})) = 0$ and $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(2)})) = 0$ for $j \in \{3, \dots, b\}$. So we obtain

$$\sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(2)})) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_1(T_j^{(2)})) - \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_2(T_j^{(2)})) \\ & + \sum_{s=3}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_j^{(2)})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

But $\theta_s(T_3^{(2)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{4, \dots, b-1\}$, $\theta_s(T_b^{(2)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{3, \dots, b-2\}$, and $\theta_s(T_j^{(2)}) = 0$ for $s \in \{3, \dots, j-2\} \cup \{j+1, \dots, b-1\}$ for every $j \in \{4, \dots, b-1\}$ and also we have $\theta_s(T_s^{(2)}) = \theta_s(T_{s+1}^{(2)}) = 1^{(a-1)}2^{(2)}s \otimes \hat{1}2 \dots \hat{s} \dots (b-1)$. As a result, (24) is equivalent to

$$(25) \quad \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_1(T_j^{(2)})) - \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_2(T_j^{(2)})) = 0.$$

But $\theta_1(T_j^{(2)}) = \binom{a+1}{2} b_{j-1}^{(1)}$, for $j = 3, \dots, b$, and thus

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_1(T_j^{(2)})) = \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \binom{a+1}{2} \pi(b_{j-1}^{(1)}) = \binom{a+1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(1)}) \\ & = \binom{a+1}{2} (a+2) \pi(b_1^{(1)}) = a \binom{a+2}{2} \pi(b_1^{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used part (a) of the Proposition 4.5 in the second equality. We observe that $\theta_2(T_3^{(2)}) = 3b_2^{(2)}$ and $\theta_2(T_j^{(2)}) = b_{j-1}^{(2)}$ for $j \in \{4, \dots, b\}$, and so

$$(27) \quad \sum_{j=3}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(\theta_2(T_j^{(2)})) = 3\pi(b_2^{(2)}) + \sum_{j=4}^b (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_{j-1}^{(2)}) = 3\pi(b_2^{(2)}) + \sum_{j=3}^{b-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(2)}).$$

Combining (25), (26) and (27) we obtain

$$(28) \quad a \binom{a+2}{2} \pi(b_1^{(1)}) + \sum_{j=3}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(2)}) = 3\pi(b_2^{(2)}).$$

But $\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{s-1} \pi(\theta_s(T_1^{(2)})) = 0$ and $\theta_s(T_1^{(2)}) = 0$ for every $s \in \{3, \dots, b-1\}$, because of the $3 \cdots b$ part of $T_1^{(2)}$ in Λ^{b-2} , which implies that $\pi(\theta_1(T_1^{(2)})) = \pi(\theta_2(T_1^{(2)}))$ or equivalently $\binom{a+2}{2} \pi(b_1^{(1)}) = \pi(b_1^{(2)})$. Equation (28) is now equivalent to

$$a\pi(b_1^{(2)}) + \sum_{j=3}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(2)}) = 3\pi(b_2^{(2)}),$$

and we have proved the case $i = 2$.

The general case for $i \geq 2$ will be proved by induction on i . Suppose that for $i \geq 2$ we have

$$(29) \quad a(-1)^i \pi(b_1^{(i)}) + (-1)^i \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) + (-1)^i \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) = 3\pi(b_i^{(i)}).$$

Using (29), Lemma 4.3 yields

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & a(-1)^{i+1} \pi(b_1^{(i+1)}) + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) \\ &= a(-1)^{i+1} \pi(b_1^{(i)}) + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) + 3\pi(b_i^{(i)}) \\ &= -(a(-1)^i \pi(b_1^{(i)}) + (-1)^i \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)})) + 3\pi(b_i^{(i)}) \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$(31) \quad \sum_{j=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) = 3\pi(b_{i+1}^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i)}),$$

and adding (30) and (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & a(-1)^{i+1} \pi(b_1^{(i+1)}) + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{j=2}^i (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=i+2}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i+1)}) = \\ & (-1)^i \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) + 3\pi(b_{i+1}^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-i} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) = 3\pi(b_{i+1}^{(i+1)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. The image of the generator $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$. By straightforward calculations, one verifies that the composition $i_2 \circ \pi_3 : D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3} \rightarrow \Delta(h(3)) \rightarrow D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}$ is the map $f : D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3} \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes 1} D_{a+2} \otimes D_1 \otimes \Lambda^{b-3} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes m} D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}$.

Let $\phi : Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) \rightarrow Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$ be the map induced by $i_2 \circ \pi_3 : D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3} \rightarrow D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}$, i.e. ϕ is the composition $i_2^{(2)} \circ \pi_3^{(2)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) &\xrightarrow{\pi_3^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) \\ &\xrightarrow{i_2^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}). \end{aligned}$$

In this Subsection we will compute the image $\phi(\pi(\Gamma_{a,b}))$ of the cyclic generator $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ that was defined in Proposition 4.1. More precisely, and using the notation established at the beginning of Subsection 4.2, we will prove the following.

Proposition 4.6. *We have*

$$\phi(\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})) = (a+b)\pi(\gamma_{a,b}),$$

where $\gamma_{a,b} = \binom{a+2}{3} b_1^{(1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{i-1} b_i^{(i)}$ and $\pi(\gamma_{a,b})$ is a cyclic generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$.

Proof. We recall from [MS], Lemma 4.4, that $\pi(\gamma_{a,b})$ is a cyclic generator of the group $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2})$.

As ϕ is a linear map, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})) &= \sum_{i=3}^{b-2} (-1)^{i-1} \left(a\phi(\pi(B_{i,1}^{a,b})) - \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^j \phi(\pi(B_{i,j}^{a,b})) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^j \phi(\pi(B_{i,j}^{a,b})) \right) \\ &\quad + \binom{a+2}{3} \sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j \phi(\pi(B_{1,j}^{a,b})), \end{aligned}$$

where empty sums are equal to zero. Using the straightening law we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\pi(B_{1,j}^{a,b})) &= (-1)^j \cdot \pi(1^{(a+2)} \otimes 2 \dots (b-1)) + \pi(1^{(a+1)} j \otimes 1 \dots \hat{j} \dots (b-1)) \\ &= (-1)^j \pi(b_1^{(1)}) + \pi(b_j^{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{a+2}{3} \sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j \phi(\pi(B_{1,j}^{a,b})) &= \binom{a+2}{3} \sum_{j=2}^{b-1} \left(\pi(b_1^{(1)}) + (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(1)}) \right) \\ (32) \quad &= \binom{a+2}{3} \left((b-2)\pi(b_1^{(1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(1)}) \right) = \binom{a+2}{3} (a+b)\pi(b_1^{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

as $\sum_{j=2}^{b-1} (-1)^j \pi(b_j^{(1)}) = (a+2)\pi(b_1^{(1)})$, by part (a) of Proposition 4.5. Using again the straightening law, we observe that

- $\phi(\pi(B_{i,j}^{a,b})) = (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_i^{(i)}) + (-1)^i \pi(b_j^{(i)})$ for $1 \leq j < i \leq b-1$, and
- $\phi(\pi(B_{i,j}^{a,b})) = (-1)^j \pi(b_i^{(i)}) + (-1)^{i-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)})$ for $2 \leq i < j \leq b-1$.

Then, by Proposition 4.5 for $i \in \{2, \dots, b-1\}$ and (32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})) &= \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{i-1} \left(a\phi(\pi(B_{i,1}^{a,b})) - \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^j \phi(\pi(B_{i,j}^{a,b})) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^j \phi(\pi(B_{i,j}^{a,b})) \right) \\
 &\quad + \binom{a+2}{3} (a+b)\pi(b_1^{(1)}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{i-1} \left((a+b-3)\pi(b_i^{(i)}) + a(-1)^i \pi(b_1^{(i)}) + (-1)^i \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) \right) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^i \sum_{j=i+1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j-1} \pi(b_j^{(i)}) + \binom{a+2}{3} (a+b)\pi(b_1^{(1)}) \\
 &= (a+b) \left(\binom{a+2}{3} \pi(b_1^{(1)}) + \sum_{i=2}^{b-1} (-1)^{i-1} \pi(b_i^{(i)}) \right) = (a+b)\pi(\gamma_{a,b}),
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Using Proposition 4.6, we will now prove the case $k = 4$ of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.7. *We have $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4))) = \mathbb{Z}_{gcd(3, a+b)}$.*

Proof. We noted at the beginning of Section 4.3 that ϕ factors as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi : Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) &\xrightarrow{\pi_3^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))) \\
 &\xrightarrow{i_2^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We also saw in Proposition 4.6 that

$$\phi(\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})) = (a+b)\pi(\gamma_{a,b}),$$

where $\pi(\Gamma_{a,b})$ is a generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) = \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\pi(\gamma_{a,b})$ is a generator of $Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+2} \otimes \Lambda^{b-2}) = \mathbb{Z}_3$. Hence, ϕ is an isomorphism if and only if $3 \nmid a+b$ if and only if $\ker \phi = 0$. Using (3) for $k = 3$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \longrightarrow Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4))) &\xrightarrow{i_3^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), D_{a+3} \otimes \Lambda^{b-3}) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\pi_3^{(2)}} Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(3))),
 \end{aligned}$$

and so $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4))) = \ker \pi_3^{(2)}$. As $i_2^{(2)}$ is an injection, we obtain $\ker \phi = \ker \pi_3^{(2)}$ and so we have $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4))) = \ker \phi$. We have $\ker \phi = 0$ if and only if $3 \nmid a+b$ and, also, $\ker \phi = \mathbb{Z}_3$ if and only if $3 \mid a+b$. We conclude that $Ext^2(\Delta(h), \Delta(h(4))) = \mathbb{Z}_{gcd(3, a+b)}$. □

4.4. Modular Ext^1 . Let K be an infinite field of characteristic $p > 0$. The corollary below is a statement for $S_K(n, r)$ -modules, where $S_K(n, r)$ is the Schur algebra for $GL_n(K)$ corresponding to homogeneous polynomial representations of $GL_n(K)$ of degree r [Gr]. By $\Delta_K(h)$ we denote the Weyl module of highest weight h for $S_K(n, r)$. From [Ma] it follows that $Ext^1(\Delta_K(h), \Delta_K(h(1)))$ is one dimensional if $p \mid a+b$ and zero otherwise. Using the universal coefficient theorem ([AB], Thm. 5.3), Theorem 3.5 by [MS], and Theorem 1.1 we have the following complete picture.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $h = (a, 1^b)$ and $h(k) = (a+k, 1^{b-k})$, where $1 \leq k \leq b$. Suppose $n \geq b+1$. Then $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta_K(h), \Delta_K(h(k)))$ is 1-dimensional in each of the following cases:*

- $k = 1$ and p divides $a + b$,
- $k = 2$ and p divides $2(a + b)/\gcd(2, a + b)^2$,
- $k = 3$ and p divides $6/\gcd(2, a + b + 1)\gcd(3, a + b)$,
- $k = 4$ and p divides $2\gcd(3, a + b)/\gcd(2, a + b)$,
- $k \geq 5$ and $p = 2$, $a + b + k$ is odd.

In all other cases, $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta_K(h), \Delta_K(h(k))) = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [A] Akin K., Extensions of symmetric tensors by alternating tensors, J. Algebra 121 (1989), 358-363.
- [AB] Akin K. and Buchsbaum D., Characteristic-free representation theory of the general linear group II: Homological considerations, Adv. Math. 72 (1988), 172-210.
- [ABW] Akin K., Buchsbaum D. and Weyman J., Schur functors and Schur complexes, Adv. Math. 44 (1982), 207-278.
- [BF] Buchsbaum D. and Flores de Chela D., Intertwining numbers: the three rowed case, J. Algebra 183 (1996), 605-635.
- [CE] Cox A. and Erdmann K., Ext^2 between Weyl modules for quantum GL_n , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 128 (2000), 441-463.
- [DG] Donkin S. and Geranios H., First degree cohomology of Specht modules and extensions of symmetric powers, Adv. Math. 345 (2019), 618-701.
- [Er] Erdmann, K., Ext^1 for Weyl modules of $SL_2(K)$, Math. Z. 218 (1995), 447-459.
- [Gr] Green, J. A., Polynomial Representations of GL_n , 2nd Edition, Springer (2007).
- [Ja] Jantzen J. C., Representations of algebraic groups, volume 107 AMS, Providence, RI, 2nd Edition, 2003.
- [Ku1] Kulkarni U., Skew Weyl modules for GL_n and degree reduction for Schur Algebras, J. Algebra 224 (2000), 248-262
- [Ku2] Kulkarni U., On the Ext groups between Weyl modules for GL_n , J. Algebra 304 (2006), 510-542.
- [Ma] Maliakas M., Resolutions, homological dimensions, and extension of hook representations, Commun. Algebra 19 (1991), 2195-2216.
- [MS] Maliakas M. and Stergiopoulou D.-D., On extensions of hook Weyl modules, arXiv:2005.00578.
- [Pa] Parker A., Higher extensions between modules for SL_2 , Adv. Math. 209 (2007), 381-405.
- [To] Touz e, A., Bar complexes and extensions of classical exponential functors, Annales de L'Inst. Fourier 64 (2014), 2563-2637.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS
E-mail address: `dstergiop@math.uoa.gr`