

C-TREES AND A COHERENT PRESENTATION FOR THE PLACTIC MONOID OF TYPE C

URAN MEHA

ABSTRACT. In this article we introduce the \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid of type C , denoted $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$, via a finite convergent presentation \mathbf{ACol} , with generating set $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$ consisting of admissible columns, and an element ϵ . By Squier's coherent completion theorem, this presentation is extended into a coherent presentation by identifying a family of generating confluences, i.e. generating 3-cells. Here the generating 3-cells are critical branchings on words of length 3. We adapt the notions of crystal structure to $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$, and show that the shape of 3-cells is preserved by the action of Kashiwara operators. Thus we reduce the study of the coherent presentation to only describing the generating 3-cells whose source is a word of highest weight. We then introduce combinatorial objects called C -trees which parameterize the words of highest weight in $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$. The C -trees allow for simplifying calculations with the insertion algorithm in type C , as introduced in by Lecouvey, and we prove that the generating 3-cells in \mathbf{ACol} are of shape at most $(4, 3)$. As a consequence, we show that the column presentation of $Pl(C_n)$, as introduced by Hage, has generating 3-cells of shape at most $(4, 3)$. This contrasts the situation in type A , where the 3-cells in the column presentation of $Pl(A_n)$ are of shape at most $(3, 3)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [16], Schensted in his study of determining the lengths of the longest decreasing and increasing subwords of a word, introduced the *insertion algorithm* of a Young tableaux into another. This algorithm associates a semistandard Young tableau $P(w)$ to each word w in the alphabet $A_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. He also proved the *Robinson-Schensted (RS) correspondence*, which establishes a bijection between the permutations of A_n and pairs of standard Young tableaux (P, Q) of the same shape. In [10], Knuth describes the generating relations in A_n^*

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} xzy &\sim zxy && \text{if } x \leq y < z, \\ yzx &\sim yxz && \text{if } x < y \leq z, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $P(w) = P(w')$ if and only if w' is obtained by successively applying relations as in (1) to w . Moreover, Knuth generalizes the RS correspondence to the *Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) correspondence*, which establishes a bijection between words in A_n^* and pairs of Young tableaux (P, Q) of the same shape, where $P = P(w)$ is semistandard, and Q is standard. In [12], Lascoux and Schützenberger define the *plactic monoid* as $Pl(A_n) = (A_n^*/\equiv)$, where $'\equiv'$ is the congruence on A_n^* generated by the relations in (1). They used their construction to give a proof of the Littlewood-Richardson rule, which describes the decomposition of tensor product of irreducible \mathfrak{gl}_n -modules. In [1] a *column presentation* of $Pl(A_n)$ was introduced. In [6], using rewriting theory, the authors introduce extend this into a coherent presentation. This presentation has generators the columns in A_n^* , and the generating 2-cells are rewriting rules of the form $c_1c_2 \implies (c_1 \leftarrow c_2)$, where $(c_1 \leftarrow c_2)$ is the output of the Schensted insertion of c_2 into c_1 . They show that this presentation is finite and convergent, and moreover they explicitly describe the generating 3-cells, in other words they explicitly describe a finite coherent presentation of $Pl(A_n)$. In [13], the column (and row) insertion are shown to induce certain braidings, which are then used to study $Pl(A_n)$ and calculate its cohomology.

Kashiwara's introduction of the crystal bases for classical Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} in [8] gives rise to crystal monoids associated to \mathfrak{g} . In type A , this crystal monoid is isomorphic to $Pl(A_n)$, meaning that there exists a presentation of this crystal monoid by generators and relations. In [15], using his own path model, Littelmann introduces a plactic algebra $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$ for any symmetrizable Kac-moody algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{C} . In his Theorem B, he defines a presentation of $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$, which in type A agrees with the column presentation.

Denote the plactic monoid of type C by $Pl(C_n)$. Many of the relevant notions in $Pl(A_n)$ exist for $Pl(C_n)$ as well. Symplectic tableaux were introduced in [2]. In [11] a presentation of $Pl(C_n)$ by generators and relations is given in the style of Knuth. In [14] this is proven to indeed be a presentation of $Pl(C_n)$. Moreover, Lecouvey defines a Schensted-like column insertion algorithm for symplectic tableaux, and uses it to establish a symplectic Robinson-Schensted correspondence. In [5] a *column presentation* for $Pl(C_n)$ is given, to be denoted by \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet in this paper. Its generators are the non-empty admissible columns, and the relations are generated by rewriting rules of the form $c_1c_2 \implies (c_1 \leftarrow c_2)$, where $(c_1 \leftarrow c_2)$ denotes the column insertion of c_2 into c_1 . This presentation is shown to be finite and convergent.

Squier's Theorem from [17], allows us to extend this presentation into a coherent one by identifying the confluence diagrams of a family of generating confluences. In our case, this family consists of the critical branchings of \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet . The approach of using rewriting theory to explicit coherent presentation appears for example in [3] for Artin monoids, in [6] for the plactic monoid $Pl(A_n)$, and in [7] for Chinese monoids. For $Pl(A_n)$, the critical branchings have sources of the form $c_1c_2c_3$ for c_1, c_2, c_3 columns in A_n . There are two reduction strategies of $c_1c_2c_3$ to its normal form. Each of these alternates insertions on the *left pair* c_1c_2 , and the *right pair* c_2c_3 . The *leftmost reduction strategy* commences with the left pair, while the *rightmost reduction strategy* commences with the right pair. In [6], all the possible such confluence diagrams for the column presentation of $Pl(A_n)$ have been computed, and shown to be of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & t'u'v & \implies & t''u'''v' \\
 & \nearrow^{\alpha_{tuv}} & & & \searrow \\
 tuv & & & & & t_0u_0v_0 \\
 & \searrow_{t\alpha_{uv}} & & & \nearrow \\
 & & tu_1v_1 & \implies & t_1u_2v_1
 \end{array}$$

where $t_0u_0v_0$ is the normal form of tuv , and we allow for some of the arrows to be identities. We say that the confluence diagrams are of shape $(3, 3)$.

In this article we prove a similar result for the column presentation \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet of $Pl(C_n)$, namely we compute the general form of the confluence diagram to be $(4, 3)$. The manner in which we arrive at this result can be summarized as follows

1. Introduce the \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ of type C via a finite convergent presentation \mathbf{ACol} . Its generators are $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n) = \{\text{admissible columns}\} \sqcup \{\epsilon\}$, with ϵ signifying the an 'empty column', and as a set we have $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n) = Pl(C_n) \times \mathbb{N}$. We then adapt the Kashiwara crystal structure from C_n^* to $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$ and obtain the notion of highest weight in $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$.
2. Show that shapes of confluence diagrams in \mathbf{ACol} are stable under the application of Kashiwara operators. This reduces the problem to only computing confluence diagrams of critical branchings of highest weight.
3. Introduce a combinatorial tool, called the C -trees, which parameterize the words of highest weight in $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$.

4. Compute the confluence diagrams for the words of C-trees of rank 3.

1.1. Organisation of the article. In Section 2 we recall notions about $Pl(C_n)$, its crystal structure, insertion, and its presentations. In particular we consider certain words in C_n^* called *block columns*. These are column words whose letters are consecutive in the alphabet C_n . We derive an admissibility condition on products of such columns, and compute certain insertions with these columns. In Section 3 we introduce the \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid and denote it by $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$. This monoid is very similar to $Pl(C_n)$ with its column presentation as in [5], but here we add a generator ϵ thought of as an 'empty column', and rewriting rules involving it. This approach is motivated by that of Lebed in [13], where she uses it to define a braiding on the columns and rows of the plactic monoid of type A and computes the cohomology of $Pl(A_n)$. The upshot of this approach is that the insertion of an admissible column into an admissible column can then be considered as a map $A\text{Col}(C_n)^2 \rightarrow A\text{Col}(C_n)^2$, where $A\text{Col}(C_n)$ is the set of admissible columns including ϵ . In other words, the column presentation of $Pl(C_n)$ is adapted so that it can be considered a quadratic presentation. This covers for the situation where $(c_1 \leftarrow c_2) = c$ is a single column, or the empty word in $Pl(C_n)$, and thus allows us to treat the insertion and the computation of confluence diagrams of critical branchings without distinguishing many cases.


Using the column presentation \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet of $Pl(C_n)$ as starting point, we thus define $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ as the monoid presented by the 2-polygraph $\mathbf{ACol} = (\{*\}, \mathbf{ACol}_1, \mathbf{ACol}_2)$ where $\mathbf{ACol}_1 = A\text{Col}(C_n)$, and \mathbf{ACol}_2 consists of the rewriting rules $c_1c_2 \implies (c_1 \leftarrow c_2) = d_1d_2$ for c_1c_2 not standard. We then prove the following

Theorem 3.2. *The 2-polygraph \mathbf{ACol} is finite and convergent.*

We adapt Kashiwara's crystal structure from C_n^* to $A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$ and define an *extended crystal congruence* \equiv_ϵ . We show that, as in the classical case of $Pl(C_n)$, the congruence \equiv_ϵ is identical to the one generated by \mathbf{ACol}_2 in \mathbf{ACol} . More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $w_1, w_2 \in A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$. We have $w_1 \equiv_\epsilon w_2$ if and only if $[w_1] = [w_2] \in Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$. In other words $(A\text{Col}(C_n)^* / \equiv_\epsilon) = Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$.*

We then describe a notion of a *reduction strategy* for a given word $w \in A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$. This is simply a sequence s which describes the successive positions in $|w|$ where one can apply rewriting rules. We show that reduction strategies are preserved by the action of the Kashiwara operators. This fact can be summarized in the following diagram




We apply this to the leftmost and rightmost reduction strategies for words of the form $w = c_1c_2c_3$, i.e. the two strategies which constitute a critical branching, and characterize the corresponding confluence diagram by a pair $\text{conf}(w) = (a, b)$, where a and b signify the lengths of the two reduction strategies, and call (a, b) the shape of the diagram. We obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $t, u, v \in A\text{Col}(C_n)$ and $w = tuv$. Then $\text{conf}(w) = \text{conf}(w^0)$.*

This result shows that it suffices to describe confluence diagrams of words $w = c_1c_2c_3 \in A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$ of highest weight.

In Section 4 we introduce a certain tree Γ_C along with labels on its vertices, and call it the C -tree. The purpose of it is to parametrize the words of highest weight in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$. This is the tree



We consider labeled C -trees of rank n , which are pairs (Γ_C, s) with $s : V(\Gamma_C) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying certain *finiteness*, *column*, and *admissibility conditions*. We denote the set consisting of such objects by $\mathcal{GT}(n)$. For this purpose, we define a *reading map* $\omega : \mathcal{GT}(n) \rightarrow \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$, which associates an admissible column to each of the 'horizontal' parts of the graph, namely to each subset $\Gamma_C, k = \{(i, j^\pm) \mid i + j = k\}$. In Section 5 we compute the normal form of $\omega(T)$ for $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$ in the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$, and set $q_i = q_i(T)$. Then*

$$[\omega(T)] = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathfrak{c}(q_{k-i}).$$

Here the $q_i(T)$ are numbers associated to each of the vertical strands of the C -tree, and $\mathfrak{c}(a) = 12 \cdots a$ for $a = q_{k-i}$ are block columns. Moreover we show that $\omega(T)$ is a word of highest weight in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$ for all $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$. We denote by HW^n the subset of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ consisting of the highest weight words in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$. We then construct a map $\mathcal{T} : HW^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}(n)$ and prove the following result.

Theorem 5.12. *The map $\mathcal{T} : HW^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}(n)$ is such that*

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}(u)) = u$$

for all $u \in HW^n$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T} = \omega^{-1}$.

Via this result, we are able to adapt the problem of computing the generating 3-cells to the language of C -trees.

In Section 6, we use block columns and C -trees to perform the reductions in \mathbf{ACol} . In particular, we compute the lengths of the leftmost and rightmost reduction strategies for words of C -trees of rank 3, and obtain the following result on the coherent presentation \mathbf{ACol} of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$.

Theorem 6.5. *The generating 3-cells of the coherent presentation \mathbf{ACol} of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ are of the form*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & t'u'v & \Longrightarrow & t'u''v' & \Longrightarrow & t''u'''v' & & \\ & \nearrow \alpha_{tuv} & & & & & & \searrow & \\ tw & & & & & & & & t_0u_0v_0 \\ & \searrow t\alpha_{uv} & & & & & & \nearrow & \\ & & tu_1v_1 & \Longleftrightarrow & t_1u_2v_1 & & & & \end{array}$$

where we allow for some of the arrows to be the identity.

In Section 3 we show that every reduction sequence in \mathbf{ACol} gives rise to a reduction sequence in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet , and using this we obtain the following result on the coherent column presentation of $Pl(C_n)$.

Corollary 6.7. *The generating 3-cells of the coherent presentation \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet of $Pl(C_n)$ are of the form*

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & t'u'v & \Longrightarrow & t'u''v' & \Longrightarrow & t''u'''v' & & \\ & \nearrow^{\alpha_{tuv}} & & & & & & \searrow & \\ tuv & & & & & & & & t_0u_0v_0 \\ & \searrow_{\tau\alpha_{uv}} & & & & & & \nearrow & \\ & & tu_1v_1 & \Longrightarrow & t_1u_2v_1 & & & & \end{array}$$

where we allow for some of the arrows to be the identity.

We remark that the column insertion in type C was coded in Python by the author, and used to compute the confluence diagrams for the column presentation of $Pl(C_n)$ for small n .

2. PRELIMINARY NOTIONS

2.1. Crystal bases and plactic monoids. Here we recall the notions of crystal bases, and the crystal monoid associated to a given crystal basis. This notion initially appeared in the seminal work of Kashiwara [8]. Kashiwara's construction reflects deep properties of the representation theory of semisimple Lie algebras. Here however, we adopt the combinatorial approach as in [1], which fits well with the point of view that is often taken throughout this article.

2.1.1. Words, directed labeled graphs, and crystal graphs. Let X be an alphabet, and denote by X^* the monoid of words over this alphabet. In particular, the empty word denoted by ε , is also an element in X^* and is the unit.

A graph is denoted by $\Gamma = (V, E)$ where V is the set of vertices, $E \subset V \times V$ is the set of edges. An I -(edge-)labeled directed graph (or a directed graph with edges labeled from I) is the data (V, E, I, l) where (V, E) is a directed graph, I is an indexing set, and $l : E \rightarrow I$ is a map. We say that the edge e has label $l(e)$. A *path* of length n in a directed graph (V, E) is a map $p : \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow V$ such that $e_j = (p(j), p(j+1)) \in E$. A morphism $\phi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is a map from the vertices of Γ_1 to those of Γ_2 such that edges with label i in Γ_1 are mapped to edges with label i in Γ_2 . If moreover ϕ^{-1} is also a morphism of labeled directed graphs, we call ϕ an isomorphism.

Definition 2.1. *A crystal basis is a directed labeled graph with vertex set X and label set I such that*

i) *For any $x \in X$ and $i \in I$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{e = (x, v) \in E \mid v \in V \ l(e) = i\} &\leq 1 \\ \#\{e = (v, x) \in E \mid v \in V \ l(e) = i\} &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

ii) *For any $i \in I$, there exists no infinite path with edges labeled with i .*

For $i \in I$ there exist two partial maps $e_i, f_i : X \rightarrow X$ by setting $e_i.y = x$ and $f_i.x = y$ if and only if $x \xrightarrow{i} y$ is an edge in X . These two maps are called the *Kashiwara operators*.

The graph structure on X is extended to all of X^* by extending the Kashiwara operators to X^* inductively on the length of words, denoted by $|w|$ for $w \in X^*$. More precisely we have the following.

Definition 2.2. *A crystal graph arising from a crystal basis X with labels in I , is the directed I -labeled graph denoted by Γ_X that has*

- X^* as vertex set,
- an edge $w \xrightarrow{i} w'$ if and only if $e_i.w' = w$ and $f_i.w = w'$,

where e_i and f_i are defined on X^* inductively on the length of words by setting for every $u, v \in X^*$

$$e_i.(uv) = \begin{cases} (e_i.u)v & \text{if } \varphi_i(u) \geq \varepsilon_i(v), \\ u(e_i.v) & \text{if } \varphi_i(u) < \varepsilon_i(v), \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_i.(uv) = \begin{cases} (f_i.u)v & \text{if } \varphi_i(u) > \varepsilon_i(v), \\ u(f_i.v) & \text{if } \varphi_i(u) \leq \varepsilon_i(v), \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi_i(u) = \#\{f_i.u, f_i^2.u, \dots\}$, and $\varepsilon_i(u) = \#\{e_i.u, e_i^2.u, \dots\}$ are also inductively defined.

Here we describe a practical way for computing the action of the Kashiwara operators on words of X^* , first described by Kashiwara and Nakashima in [9] for classical Lie algebras. Consider the alphabet $M = \{+, -\}$, and let M^* be the free monoid on M . Set $\mathcal{M} := M^*/\langle (+-) = \varepsilon \rangle$, where ε is the empty word in M^* . As a set we have $\mathcal{M} = \{-^p +^q \mid p, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$, thus via the projection $M^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, to each element of M^* corresponds an element of the form $-^p +^q$. For $i \in I$, define a map $\rho_i : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by setting for $x \in X$

$$\rho_i(x) = \begin{cases} - & \text{if } \varphi_i(x) = 1, \\ + & \text{if } \varepsilon_i(x) = 1, \\ \varepsilon & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and then extending ρ_i to all of X^* by

$$(4) \quad \rho_i(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k) = \rho_i(x_1) \cdots \rho_i(x_k).$$

For $w = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$, the expression $\rho_i(w) = -^p +^q$ is obtained by successively canceling out the subwords $+ -$ that appear on the right side of (4). We then have the following recipe for applying the Kashiwara operators e_i and f_i on w as follows

- $e_i.w$ exists if and only if $p > 0$, and in that case we have $e_i.w = x_1 \cdots x_{l-1} (e_i.x_l) x_{l+1} \cdots x_k$, where l is such that $\rho_i(x_l) = -$ is not cancelled, and is the rightmost $-$ appearing in $-^p +^q$.
- $f_i.w$ exists if and only if $q > 0$, and in that case we have $f_i.w = x_1 \cdots x_{l-1} (f_i.x_l) x_{l+1} \cdots x_k$, where l is such that $\rho_i(x_l) = +$ is not cancelled, and is the leftmost $+$ appearing in $-^p +^q$.

2.2. Crystal monoid. Let Γ_X be a crystal graph as in the previous section, and $w \in \Gamma_x$. Denote by $B(w)$ the connected component of w in Γ_X , i.e. $B(w)$ is the full directed labeled subgraph of Γ_X having as vertices all the words obtained by successively applying Kashiwara operators to w . From Definition 2.2, if $w' = f_i.w$, we have $|w| = |w'|$. Hence, $B(w) \subset \{w' \in X^* \mid |w'| = |w|\}$, and if X is finite, we see that $B(w)$ is a finite graph.

We define a relation \sim_K on the vertices of Γ_X , namely X^* , by setting $w \sim_K w'$ if there exists a labeled graph isomorphism $\phi : B(w) \rightarrow B(w')$ such that $\phi(w) = w'$. Let then \equiv_K be the congruence on X^* generated by \sim_K .

Definition 2.3. *The crystal monoid arising from the crystal graph Γ_X is defined as*

$$Pl(X) := (X^* / \equiv_K).$$

If X is the crystal basis of a classical Lie algebra, we call $Pl(X)$ the crystal monoid of type X .

2.3. Plactic monoid of type C. We now specify the previous discussion to the particular alphabet of type C.

The crystal basis of type C and rank n is

$$C_n : 1 \xrightarrow{1} 2 \xrightarrow{2} \dots n-1 \xrightarrow{n-1} n \xrightarrow{n} \bar{n} \xrightarrow{n-1} \overline{n-1} \xrightarrow{n-2} \dots \xrightarrow{2} \bar{2} \xrightarrow{1} \bar{1}.$$

We denote the crystal monoid corresponding to this crystal basis by $Pl(C_n)$. We note that the direction of the edges in C_n also endows C_n with a total order $<$. As in Definition 2.3, the definition of $Pl(C_n)$ is graph theoretic. Lecouvey in [14] gives a presentation of $Pl(C_n)$ in terms of generators and relations as follows. The relations include those of Knuth in type A, and thus the monoid $Pl(C_n)$ is called the *plactic monoid of type C*. To explicit this presentation, we first recall a few key concepts in C_n^* .

• A word $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_k \in C_n^*$ with $x_i < x_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ is called a *column*. Denote the set of all columns in C_n^* by $\text{Col}(C_n)$.

For a column $u \in \text{Col}(C_n)$ and $z = 1, \dots, n$, define

$$\text{Set}_z(u) := \{x \in u \mid x \leq z\} \cup \{x \in u \mid x \geq \bar{z}\},$$

and denote by $N_z(u) = \#\text{Set}_z(u)$, the cardinality of $\text{Set}_z(u)$.

• A column $u \in \text{Col}(C_n)$ is called *admissible* if u is non-empty and $N_z(u) \leq z$ for all $z = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Denote by $\text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$ the set of all admissible columns in C_n^* .

For a word $w \in C_n^*$, we say that $v \in C_n^*$ is a *strict factor* of w if there exist $w_0, w_1 \in C_n^*$ such that $w = w_0vw_1$.

• A column $u \in \text{Col}(C_n)$ is called an *almost admissible* column if for every strict factor v of u we have $v \in \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$, but $u \notin \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$. One can then show that $N_z(u) \leq z + 1$ for all $z = 1, 2, \dots, n$, see [14].

Theorem 2.4. ([14]) *Let \equiv_{pl} be the congruence on C_n^* generated by the following three families of relations*

$$R_1 : yzx \equiv yxz \text{ for } x \leq y < z \text{ with } z \neq \bar{x}, \text{ and } xzy \equiv zxy \text{ for } x < y \leq z \text{ with } z \neq \bar{x};$$

$$R_2 : y(x-1)(x-1) \equiv yx\bar{x} \text{ and } x\bar{x}y \equiv \overline{x-1}(x-1)y \text{ for } 1 < x \leq n \text{ and } x \leq y \leq \bar{x};$$

$$R_3 : \text{let } w \text{ be an almost admissible column, and let } z \text{ be the lowest unbarred letter such that } z, \bar{z} \in w, \text{ and } N_z(w) = z + 1. \text{ Then } w \equiv \tilde{w}, \text{ where } \tilde{w} \text{ is obtained from } w \text{ by deleting the pair } (z, \bar{z}).$$

Then $Pl(C_n) = (C_n^* / \equiv_{pl})$.

The elements of $Pl(C_n)$ are parametrized by symplectic tableaux. These are Young diagrams, i.e. collections of left-justified boxes in rows, with the length of rows being weakly decreasing from top to bottom, and with entries from C_n in its boxes satisfying certain conditions. More precisely, if T is a Young diagram with entries from C_n in its boxes, and C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k are its columns numbered from left to right, we have $C_i \preceq C_{i+1}$. Here \preceq is a partial order in $\text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$ defined as follows.

• Let $c = x_1 \cdots x_k, d = y_1 \cdots y_l \in \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$. We write $c \preceq d$ if

$$- k \geq l$$

$$- x_i \leq y_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, l$$

- there exists no pair of numbers (a, b) such that $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n$ and that the following conditions are satisfied

$$1. x_p = a, y_q = b, y_r = \bar{b}, y_s = \bar{a} \text{ or } x_p = a, x_q = b, x_r = \bar{b}, y_s = \bar{a} \text{ for some } 1 \leq p \leq q < r \leq s \leq l,$$

$$2. (s-r) + (q-p) \geq b-a.$$

The third condition is due to [9], and any such pair (a, b) is known as an (a, b) -configuration. It may look cumbersome in this form, but it will shortly be expressed differently in terms of the insertion algorithm.

Example 2.5. *If*

$$T_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & \bar{3} & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ \hline \bar{3} & \bar{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

then T_1 is a symplectic tableau in $Pl(C_3)$, while T_2 contains a $(2, 3)$ -configuration, hence it is not a symplectic tableau in $Pl(C_3)$, despite satisfying the first two conditions.

We denote by $[-] : C_n^* \rightarrow Pl(C_n)$ the natural projection, and for $w \in C_n^*$, we call the symplectic tableaux $[w]$ its *normal form*. There is a reading map $g : \{\text{symplectic tableau}\} \rightarrow C_n^*$, where for T a symplectic tableau with columns c_1, \dots, c_k numbered from left to right, we set $g(T) = g(c_k) \cdots g(c_1)$, where $g(c) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \in C_n^*$ for c a column with entries x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k . Often we shall use a symplectic tableau T and its word $g(T)$ interchangeably.

Remark 2.6. *We note that throughout this article we read a tableau by reading its columns from right to left. For instance if T_1 is as in the previous example, its reading is $g(T_1) = (2)(1\bar{3})(12)$, where the brackets signify the columns.*

2.4. Insertion algorithm in type C. ([14]) We recall the insertion algorithm for type C as introduced in [14].

Let $c \in \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$ and $x \in C_n$, and consider the word $w = cx$. Then the highest weight w^0 of w admits one of the forms

$$w^0 = \begin{cases} 12 \dots p(p+1), \\ 12 \dots p1, \\ 12 \dots p\bar{p}. \end{cases}$$

The insertion $c \leftarrow x$ is defined as follows

1. if $w^0 = 12 \dots p(p+1)$, then $(c \leftarrow x) = w \in \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$.
2. if $w^0 = 12 \dots p1$, then $(c \leftarrow x) = yc'$, where yc' is the word obtained by successively applying plactic relations of the form R_1 and R_2 on w from right to left.
3. if $w^0 = 12 \dots p\bar{p}$, then $(c \leftarrow x) = \tilde{c}x$ via a plactic relation R_3 .

Using the insertion of a letter into a column, we can define the insertion of a letter into a symplectic tableau. Let $T = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_k$ be a symplectic tableau in $Pl(C_n)$, where c_i are admissible columns such that $c_{i+1} \preceq c_i$, and let $x \in C_n$. Consider the word $w = c_k x \in Pl(C_n)$. The insertion of x into T , denoted by $T \leftarrow x$ is a new tableau T' defined as follows

1. if $w^0 = 12 \dots p(p+1)$, and $(c_k \leftarrow x) = w$, then

$$(T \leftarrow x) = c_1 c_2 \dots c_{k-1} w.$$

2. if $w^0 = 12 \dots p1$, and $(c_1 \leftarrow x) = yc'_k$, then

$$(T \leftarrow x) = (c_1 c_2 \dots c_{k-1} \leftarrow y) c'_k$$

3. if $w^0 = 12 \dots p\bar{p}$, and $(c_k \leftarrow x) = c'_k = y_1 \cdots y_l$, then

$$(T \leftarrow x) = (((c_1 \dots c_{k-1} \leftarrow y_1) \leftarrow y_2) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow y_l).$$

Using this insertion algorithm, we can define the insertion of a symplectic tableau T_2 into another symplectic tableau T_1 by setting

$$(5) \quad (T_1 \leftarrow T_2) := (((T_1 \leftarrow x_1) \leftarrow x_2) \leftarrow \cdots) \leftarrow x_k),$$

where $g(T_2) = x_1 \cdots x_k$.

This insertion algorithm is such that given $T_1, T_2 \in Pl(C_n)$, we have $(T_1 \leftarrow T_2) = [g(T_1)g(T_2)]$.

2.4.1. *Column insertion.* Of particular interest to us is the insertion of a column into another, namely $(c \leftarrow d)$ for $c, d \in \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$. This is defined in equation (5). An important result from [5], and also appearing in [1] is the following.

2-column Lemmata . *Let $t, u \in \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$ be such that $u \not\leq t$, i.e. $g(tu)$ is not the reading of a symplectic tableau. Then $[tu] = (t \leftarrow u)$ consists of at most two columns. Moreover, if $[tu] = t'u'$, we have $|u'| < |u|$.*

Note that if $n = 3$, and $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 2, c_3 = \bar{1}$ we have

$$(c_1 \leftarrow c_1) = (1)(1); \quad (c_1 \leftarrow c_2) = (12); \quad (c_1 \leftarrow c_3) = \emptyset,$$

where the brackets signify the columns. Thus for $t, u \in \text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$ we may have that $(t \leftarrow u)$ consists of 0, 1, or 2 columns. For purposes of treating the insertion in functional terms, in Section 3 we will include an element ϵ in $\text{ACol}(C_n)$ to signify an 'empty column'.

We note here that the condition $c_2 \preceq c_1$ is equivalent to $(c_1 \leftarrow c_2) = c_1 c_2$.

2.5. **Block columns.** Here we describe certain column words in C_n^* which will be useful in describing words of highest weight in $\text{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$, and will later on be useful in Section 4.

Definition 2.7. *A column $c = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \in C_n^*$ is called a block column (or simply a block), if for each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, there exists $j_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_{i+1} = f_{j_i} x_i$.*

In other words, c is a block column if the letters in c are consecutive letters in the alphabet C_n . We will particularly be interested in certain words that are products of blocks. Given $a, b, c \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, if $c \leq a + b \leq n$, and $b, c \neq 0$ we set

$$\mathbf{c}(a; b, c) := (a+1)(a+2) \cdots (a+b) \overline{a+b} \overline{a+b-1} \cdots \overline{a+b-c+1}.$$

If $b \neq c = 0$, we adopt the notation

$$\mathbf{c}(a; b, c) = \mathbf{c}(a, b) := (a+1) \cdots (a+b),$$

and if $c \neq b = 0$ we adopt the notation

$$\mathbf{c}(a; 0, c) = \mathbf{c}(\bar{a}, c) := \bar{a} \overline{a-1} \cdots \overline{a-c+1}.$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{c}(a, b)$ and $\mathbf{c}(\bar{a}, c)$ are blocks, and so is $\mathbf{c}(a; b, c)$ if $a + b = n$.

2.5.1. *Products of block columns.* Here investigate words that are products of blocks. In particular, we describe a criterion for checking admissibility of such words. This result will be used in Section 4.

Proposition 2.8. *Let c_1, \dots, c_t and d_1, \dots, d_r be blocks in C_n^* such that c_i consists of unbarred letters for all i , d_j consists of barred letters for all j , and such that $w = c_1 \cdots c_t d_1 \cdots d_r$ is a column. Denote by x_i the largest (i.e. rightmost) letter in c_i , and by \bar{y}_j the smallest (i.e. leftmost) letter in d_j . Then w is admissible if and only if*

$$(6) \quad N_z(w) \leq z \quad \text{for all } z = x_i, y_j.$$

Proof. If w is admissible, then (6) is satisfied.

Conversely, suppose that (6) is satisfied. We need to check whether w is admissible. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Assume that $k \in w$ or $\bar{k} \in w$. Here we treat the case $k \in w$, while the case $\bar{k} \in w$ is completely analogous. As k is unbarred, there exists some $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $k \in c_i$. Let $z = x_i$. We then have

$$\text{Set}_k(w) = \text{Set}_z(w) \setminus (\{k+1, \dots, z\} \cup \{\overline{k+1}, \dots, \bar{z}\})$$

By assumption, we have $\{k+1, \dots, z\} \subset \text{Set}_z(w)$, and let $Q = \{\overline{k+1}, \dots, \bar{z}\} \cap \text{Set}_z(w)$. We then have

$$\text{Set}_k(w) = \text{Set}_z(w) \setminus (\{k+1, \dots, z\} \sqcup Q),$$

and we get

$$N_k(w) = N_z(w) - (z - k) - \#Q \leq z - (z - k) - \#Q = k - \#Q \leq k,$$

showing that w indeed satisfies the admissibility condition for k . Hence w is admissible.

Assume now that $k, \bar{k} \notin w$. Let

$$l = \max\{i \leq t \mid x_i < k\}; \quad m = \min\{j \leq r \mid y_j < k\}.$$

We then have

$$\text{Set}_k(w) = \{x \in c_1 \cdots c_l\} \sqcup \{x \in d_m d_{m+1} \cdots d_r\}.$$

If l or m does not exist, then the left set, respectively the right set, is empty. Since $k > x_l, y_m$, we obtain

$$N_k(w) \leq N_{\max(x_l, y_m)}(w) \leq \max(x_l, y_m) < k,$$

which shows that w satisfies the admissibility condition for k . Hence w is admissible. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We make here note of a computational result, which can be proved by making direct computations of the insertion.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $a \leq p$. Then*

1. $(\mathbf{c}(p) \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(a)) = \mathbf{c}(a)\mathbf{c}(p)$
2. $(\mathbf{c}(p) \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(p; 0, a)) = \mathbf{c}(p - a)$

2.6. 2-polygraphs. Polygraphs are a notion for presentations of higher dimensional categories by generators, relations, relations between relations and so on. Here we recall the notions relevant to this article, namely the notion for presentations of monoids. The polygraphs have one 0-cell. For a detailed account, see [4].

A 2-polygraph with one 0-cell is a pair $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$, where Σ_1 is a set of generators, called 1-cells, and Σ_2 is a set of rewriting rules, called generating 2-cells, $\alpha : u \Rightarrow v$ with $u, v \in \Sigma_1^*$, the free monoid on Σ_1 . We call u and v respectively the source and target of α , and denote them by $s_1(\alpha)$ and $t_1(\alpha)$. A 2-category, respectively a $(2, 1)$ -category, is a category enriched in categories, respectively in groupoids. We denote by Σ_2^* and Σ_2^\top the 2-category, respectively the $(2, 1)$ -category, generated by the 2-polygraph Σ . A *sphere* in Σ_2^\top is a pair (f, g) of 2-cells in Σ_2^\top such that $s_1(f) = s_1(g)$ and $t_1(f) = t_1(g)$.

The monoid presented by the 2-polygraph Σ is denoted by $\bar{\Sigma}$, and is defined as

$$\bar{\Sigma} := (\Sigma_1^*) / \equiv_{\Sigma_2},$$

where \equiv_{Σ_2} is the congruence on Σ_1^* generated by the 2-cells $u \Rightarrow v \in \Sigma_2$.

2.7. Rewriting properties of 2-polygraphs. Call a 2-polygraph Σ *finite* if Σ_i are finite for $i = 1, 2$. A *rewriting step* in Σ is a 2-cell of the form $wuw' \xrightarrow{\alpha} wv'w'$ where $\alpha : u \Rightarrow v$ is a 2-cell in Σ_2 , and $w, w' \in \Sigma_1^*$. A *rewriting sequence* in Σ is a sequence of rewriting steps. Note that this can be a finite or an infinite sequence. If such a sequence exists from u to v , we say that u rewrites into v . Call a word $w \in \Sigma_1^*$ of *normal form* if there exists no rewriting step with w as its source. Given a word $w \in \Sigma_1^*$, call $v \in \Sigma_1^*$ a *normal form* of w if v itself is of normal form, and w rewrites into v . We say that the polygraph Σ is *terminating* if there are no infinite rewriting sequences in Σ . We say that Σ is *confluent* if for any words $u, u_1, u_2 \in \Sigma_1^*$ such that u rewrites into u_1 and u_2 , there exists a word $v \in \Sigma_1^*$ such that u_1 and u_2 rewrite into v . We say that Σ is *convergent* if it is terminating and confluent.

A *branching* of Σ is a pair (f, g) of 2-cells in Σ_2^* with a common source. We can define an order \preceq on the family of branchings to be generated by the relations

$$(f, g) \preceq (ufv, ugv)$$

for $u, v \in \Sigma_1^*$. A branching that is minimal with respect to this order, is called a *critical branching*. Given a critical branching (f, g) with source w in a convergent 2-polygraph, denote by F and G respectively the 2-cells in Σ_2^* which starting from f , respectively g , reduce w to a normal form. We call the 3-cell $F \Rightarrow G$ the *confluence diagram* of the critical branching (f, g) . More generally, 2-cells F, G in Σ_2^* are called *parallel* if they have the same source and target. Such a pair (F, G) is then called a *2-sphere*.

2.8. Coherent presentations of monoids. A $(3, 1)$ -polygraph is a pair (Σ, Σ_3) with Σ a 2-polygraph, and Σ_3 a set of generating 3-cells $A : f \Rightarrow g$, with f and g parallel 2-cells in the $(2, 1)$ -category Σ^\top , such that $s_1(f) = s_1(g)$ and $t_1(f) = t_1(g)$. Denote by Σ_3^\top the free $(3, 1)$ -category generated by (Σ, Σ_3) .

A *coherent presentation* of a monoid \mathcal{M} is a $(3, 1)$ -polygraph (Σ, Σ_3) such that Σ is a presentation of \mathcal{M} , and for any 2-sphere γ of Σ^\top there exists a 3-cell in Σ_3 with boundary γ . In other words, a coherent presentation of a monoid is one that consists of generators, relations, and relations between relations.

Squier's theorem from [17] asserts that a convergent presentation of a monoid extended by the generating 3-cells defined by the confluence diagrams of critical branchings, is a coherent convergent presentation.

2.9. Column presentation of $Pl(C_n)$. In [5], Hage constructs a finite convergent presentation of the plactic monoid $Pl(C_n)$, called the *column presentation*. This is the presentation given by the 2-polygraph $\mathbf{ACol}_\bullet = (\mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet,1}, \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet,2})$ where $\mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet,1} = \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$, and

$$\mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet,2} = \{c_1c_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_{c_1c_2}} (c_1 \leftarrow c_2) \mid c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet(C_n), c_2 \not\prec c_1\}.$$

The critical branchings of this presentation are of the form

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & (c_1 \leftarrow c_2)c_3 \\ & \nearrow^{\alpha_{c_1c_2c_3}} & \\ c_1c_2c_3 & & \\ & \searrow_{c_1\alpha_{c_2c_3}} & \\ & & c_1(c_2 \leftarrow c_3) \end{array}$$

for $c_3 \not\prec c_2 \not\prec c_1$ in $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$. The reduction sequence of $c_1c_2c_3$ starting with the upward (downward) arrow is called the *leftmost (rightmost) reduction strategy*.

3. \mathbb{N} -DECORATED PLACTIC MONOID OF TYPE C AND ITS CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

In this section we introduce the \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid of type C , to be denoted by $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$. The purpose of this new object is to overcome the issue of the column insertion not being a map $\mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)^2$. Indeed, in 2.4.1 we have seen that for $c, d \in \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)$, the insertion $(c \leftarrow d)$ may be 0, 1, or 2 columns. Here we adapt the 2-polygraph \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet} so that the presentation is quadratic. To do this, we modify the column presentation \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet} of $Pl(C_n)$ to include the empty column ϵ as a generator, and we add 2-cells containing ϵ . This approach resembles that of Lebed in [13] for the plactic monoid of type A , via which she establishes braidings from the column and row insertion and uses it to compute the cohomology of $Pl(A_n)$.

3.1. \mathbf{ACol} and insertion as a map. As previously discussed, we set $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n) := \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n) \sqcup \{\epsilon\}$, where ϵ plays the role of an 'empty column'. We have a natural map $p : \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^* \rightarrow \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)^*$ given by $p(\epsilon) = \emptyset \in \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)^*$, i.e. ϵ maps to the identity, and $p(c) = c$ for $c \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$ with $c \neq \epsilon$. This map then extends to

$$(8) \quad p(c_1 \cdots c_k) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ c_i \neq \epsilon}} c_i.$$

We extend the order \preceq to $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$ by setting $c \preceq \epsilon$ for all $c \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$, and for $c_1, c_2 \neq \epsilon$, we set $c_2 \preceq c_1$ if and only if $p(c_2) \preceq p(c_1)$. We now define the insertion as a map $\text{ins}(**) = (* \leftarrow *) : \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^2$ by setting

$$(c_1 \leftarrow c_2) := \begin{cases} d_1 d_2 & \text{if } (p(c_1) \leftarrow p(c_2)) = p(d_1)p(d_2) \in \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)^2 \\ \epsilon d & \text{if } (p(c_1) \leftarrow p(c_2)) = d \in \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n), \\ \epsilon \epsilon & \text{if } (p(c_1) \leftarrow p(c_2)) = \emptyset \in \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)^*. \end{cases}$$

3.2. Definition of, and convergent presentation for $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$. Next we construct an \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid of type C . Consider the 2-polygraph $\mathbf{ACol} = (\mathbf{ACol}_1, \mathbf{ACol}_2)$ where $\mathbf{ACol}_1 = \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$ and

$$\mathbf{ACol}_2 = \{c_1 c_2 \implies d_1 d_2 \mid c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n) \text{ such that } (c_1 \leftarrow c_2) = d_1 d_2 \text{ and } c_2 \not\preceq c_1\}$$

Denote

$$Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n) := \overline{\Sigma} = (\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^* / \equiv_{\mathbf{ACol}}),$$

where $\equiv_{\mathbf{ACol}}$ is the congruence on $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$ generated by \mathbf{ACol}_2 , and call $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ the \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid of type C and rank n . We will soon exhibit the elements of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$, and its product. Before we do this, we first show that \mathbf{ACol} is a convergent polygraph. We do this by utilizing the map p as defined in 3.1 in order to compare \mathbf{ACol} to \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet} .

Denote by $|\cdot|$ and $|\cdot|_{\bullet}$ respectively the length functions in \mathbf{ACol} and \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet} . Here the length functions may take as argument either words, or rewriting sequences $s : w \implies w'$ in \mathbf{ACol} (respectively \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet}). For $w \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$, we have $|w| = |p(w)|_{\bullet} + \#_{\epsilon}(w)$, where $\#_{\epsilon}(w)$ counts the number of ϵ that appear in w .

By definition of the rewriting system \implies , we see that if $w \implies w'$ is any 2-cell in \mathbf{ACol} , then $|w| = |w'|$. To distinguish the generating 2-cells of \mathbf{ACol} that contain ϵ , we denote them by $\xRightarrow{\epsilon}$. These are the 2-cells of the form $c\epsilon \xRightarrow{\epsilon} \epsilon c$ for $c \neq \epsilon$. For a rewriting sequence $s : w \implies w'$ in \mathbf{ACol} , denote by $\#_{\epsilon}(s)$ the number of $\xRightarrow{\epsilon}$ that appear in s . The following result gives an upper bound of $\#_{\epsilon}(s)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $w \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$ with $|w| = t$, and let*

$$s : w = w_0 \Longrightarrow w_1 \Longrightarrow \cdots \Longrightarrow w_k$$

be a rewriting sequence in \mathbf{ACol} , where $(w_i \Longrightarrow w_{i+1}) \in \mathbf{ACol}_2$. Then $\#_\epsilon(s) \leq \frac{t^2(3t+1)}{4}$.

Proof. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, denote $t_i = \#_\epsilon(t_i)$. By definition, a generating 2-cell $u \Longrightarrow u'$ increases the number of ϵ by at most 1. Thus $t_i \leq t_{i+1} \leq t_i + 1$. Let $0 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_l \leq k$ be all those indices for which we have $t_{j_i+1} = t_{j_i} + 1$. We can then split our sequence s into

$$s = (s_1 \Longrightarrow_1 s_2 \Longrightarrow_2 \cdots \Longrightarrow_{l-1} s_l).$$

where $s_i : w_{j_{i-1}+1} \Longrightarrow \cdots \Longrightarrow w_{j_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$, and where $j_0 := -1$. Moreover, as \Longrightarrow_i increase the number of ϵ , we see that $\Longrightarrow_i \neq \overset{\epsilon}{\Longrightarrow}$, thus we have

$$(9) \quad \#_\epsilon(s) = \sum_{i=1}^l \#_\epsilon(s_i).$$

We now compute an upper bound for $\#_\epsilon(s_i)$, for instance for s_1 . For simplicity, denote $j_1 = r$. We have a rewriting sequence $s_0 : w_0 \Longrightarrow w_1 \Longrightarrow \cdots \Longrightarrow w_r$ such that $t_0 = t_1 = \cdots = t_r =: q$. If $w = c_1 \cdots c_t$, denote by i_1, \dots, i_q the indices where ϵ appears in w , so that $c_{i_1} = c_{i_2} = \cdots = c_{i_q} = \epsilon$. Note that for $l = 1, \dots, q$ we have that c_{i_l} is preceded by $i_l - 1$ columns, of which $l - 1$ are equal to ϵ . Hence there are at most $i_l - l$ 2-cells $\overset{\epsilon}{\Longrightarrow}$ in s_0 involving $c_{i_l} = \epsilon$. Thus the number of 2-cells in s_0 that involve c_{i_l} is $\#_\epsilon(s_0) = \sum_{l=1}^q (i_l - l)$. Note that for each l we have that c_{i_j} for $j = l+1, \dots, q$ are columns to its right. Thus we have that $i_l \leq t - q + l$, which gives us

$$\#_\epsilon(s_0) \leq \sum_{l=1}^q (t - q + l) = q(t - q) + \frac{q(q+1)}{2}.$$

Note that we have $q(t - q) = \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2 - \left(q - \frac{t}{2}\right)^2 \leq \frac{t^2}{4}$. As $q \leq t$, we obtain $\#_\epsilon(s_0) \leq \frac{t^2}{4} + \frac{t^2+t}{2} = \frac{t(3t+1)}{4}$. We have proven this upper bound for s_0 , but this proof holds for all s_i .

On the other hand, from $t_0 = t_{j_1} \geq 0$, and $t_{j_i+1} = t_{j_i} + 1$, we obtain $t_{j_i} = t_0 + l$. Since $t_{j_i} \leq |w_k| = |w|$, we obtain $l \leq t - t_0 \leq t$. Thus we finally have

$$\#_\epsilon(s) = \sum_{i=1}^l \#_\epsilon(s_i) \leq l \frac{t(3t+1)}{4} \leq \frac{t^2(3t+1)}{4}$$

which is what we wanted to show. \square

Now using Proposition 3.1, and the map $p : \mathbf{ACol}(C_n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$ we are able to study the presentation \mathbf{ACol} of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ by comparing it with \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet . The proof of the following result uses Hage's proof in [5] of the convergence of \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet .

Theorem 3.2. *The 2-polygraph \mathbf{ACol} is finite and convergent.*

Proof. The finiteness of \mathbf{ACol} is evident, as $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$ is clearly finite, and \mathbf{ACol}_2 injects into $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^2$.

Note that if a generating 2-cell \Longrightarrow does not involve ϵ , we have

$$(10) \quad w \Longrightarrow w' \in \mathbf{ACol} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p(w) \Longrightarrow p(w') \in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet.$$

On the other hand, as a 2-cell $\overset{\epsilon}{\Longrightarrow}$ does not change the letters $c_i \neq \epsilon$ of a word w , or their order in w , we have

$$(11) \quad p(w) = p(w') \in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet \quad \text{if and only if} \quad w = w' \text{ or } w, w' \overset{\epsilon}{\Longrightarrow} w'' \in \mathbf{ACol}.$$

for some $w'' \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$, and \implies^ϵ a rewriting sequence in \mathbf{ACol} consisting of 2-cells of the form $\xRightarrow{\epsilon}$. Indeed, $p(w) = p(w')$ means that if $w \neq w'$, then w and w' differ only by the position of ϵ s in them, which can then be reordered via applications of $\xRightarrow{\epsilon}$. The converse is evident.

Thus any rewriting sequence

$$(12) \quad s : w \implies w_1 \implies \cdots \implies w_k \in \mathbf{ACol}$$

of length k in \mathbf{ACol} , gives rise to a rewriting sequence

$$(13) \quad p(s) : p(w) \implies \cdots \implies p(w_k)$$

in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet . By (11) and Proposition 3.1 we see that

$$(14) \quad |s| - \frac{|w|^2(|w| + 1)}{4} \leq |p(s)|_\bullet \leq |s|.$$

Thus the existence of an infinite rewriting sequence in \mathbf{ACol} implies the existence of an infinite rewriting sequence in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet , which is impossible. Hence all rewriting sequences in \mathbf{ACol} are finite, so \mathbf{ACol} is a terminating polygraph.

We now prove confluence of \mathbf{ACol} . Let $w = c_1 \cdots c_k \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$, and let w' be a normal form of w in \mathbf{ACol} . If $w' = c'_1 \cdots c'_k$, since w' is normal, we have $c'_{i+1} \preceq c'_i$. Let $s = \max\{1 \leq i \leq k \mid c'_i = \epsilon\}$. Note that as $c'_s \preceq c'_{s-1} \preceq \cdots \preceq c'_1$, we have that $c'_i = \epsilon$ for $i \leq s$. Thus we have $w' = \epsilon^s c'_{s+1} \cdots c'_k$. Moreover by (10) we have that $p(w') = c'_{s+1} \cdots c'_k$ is normal in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet , so is a normal form of $p(w)$. Then if w'' is another normal form of w in \mathbf{ACol} , similarly we have that $w'' = \epsilon^r c''_{r+1} \cdots c''_k$ for some $0 \leq r \leq k$, and $c''_i \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$. We then have that $p(w'') = c''_{r+1} \cdots c''_k$ is a normal form of $p(w)$ in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet . As \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet is confluent, we have that $p(w') = p(w'')$. Then by (11), since $w' \implies w''$ is impossible due to w' being normal, we have that $w' = w''$. This implies that \mathbf{ACol} is confluent. Indeed, if $w \implies w_1$ and $w \implies w_2$ in \mathbf{ACol} , since this polygraph is terminating, there exist normal forms w' of w_1 and w'' of w_2 . As we have rewriting sequences from w to w' and w'' , we have that w' and w'' are normal forms of w . But then we obtain $w' = w''$, hence indeed \mathbf{ACol} is confluent.

Thus \mathbf{ACol} is a convergent presentation. \square

We note that the proof of Theorem 3.2 describes explicitly the normal forms in \mathbf{ACol} . We note that the reading map $g : Pl(C_n) \rightarrow C_n^*$ can be adapted to $g : Pl(C_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)^* \rightarrow \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$ by setting $g(T) = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_k$, where c_i are the columns of T numbered from right to left. The we can describe $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ as a monoid as follows.

Corollary 3.3. $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n) = \{(T, s) \mid T \in Pl(C_n), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where we identify $w = \epsilon^k g(T)$ with (T, k) . Moreover, the product in $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ is given by

$$(T_1, k_1) * (T_2, k_2) = ((T_1 \leftarrow T_2), k_1 + k_2 + \text{col}(T_1, T_2)),$$

where $\text{col}(T_1, T_2) = |T_1|_\bullet + |T_2|_\bullet - |(T_1 \leftarrow T_2)|_\bullet$.

For a word $w \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$, denote by $[w]$ its normal form in $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$.

We note here that block columns, as introduced in 2.5 are elements of \mathbf{ACol} . We also set $\mathbf{c}(0) = \epsilon$.

3.3. Crystal structure on $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$. The notions of Kashiwara operators and of highest weight are defined in the classical setting as in 2.3, i.e. for words in C_n^* . These are partial operators $f_i, e_i : C_n^* \rightarrow C_n^*$ that are stable under the plactic relations. Here we adapt these notions to $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$ by following 2.1. We first define a reading map $r : \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^* \rightarrow C_n^*$ by setting $r(\epsilon) = \emptyset$, the empty word in C_n^* , and $r(c) = x_1 \cdots x_k$, if $c = x_1 \cdots x_k \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)$, and then extending r to all of $\mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^*$ to be a morphism of free monoids.

Let $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and consider the I -labelled graph B_{adm} with

- vertex set $V(B_{\text{adm}}) = \text{ACol}(C_n)$
- $E(B_{\text{adm}})$ consists of edges $c \xrightarrow{i} d$ where $c, d \neq \epsilon$, and $r(d) = f_i.r(c)$.

Proposition 3.4. B_{adm} is a crystal basis.

Proof. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $c \in \text{ACol}(C_n)$ such $f_i.r(c)$ is defined, we know that $f_i.r(c) = r(d)$ for some $d \in \text{ACol}(C_n)$. Moreover, such a d is unique. Indeed, if $c = x_1 \cdots x_k \in \text{ACol}(C_n)$ is such that $f_i.r(c)$ is defined, we then have $f_i.r(c) = x'_1 \cdots x'_k$ with $x_i = x'_i$ for all but one index $1 \leq i_0 \leq k$. Thus d is entirely determined, hence is unique. Similarly we can show that given $d \in \text{ACol}(C_n)$ such that $e_i.r(d)$ is defined, then $r(c) = e_i.r(d)$ for a unique $c \in \text{ACol}(C_n)$, and thus we have a unique edge $c \xrightarrow{i} d$. These arguments show that given $c \in \text{ACol}(C_n)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{e = (c, d) \in E \mid l(e) = i\} &\leq 1 \\ \#\{e = (d, c) \in E \mid l(e) = i\} &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, any path $p : c \xrightarrow{i} c_1 \xrightarrow{i} \cdots \xrightarrow{i} c_k$ in B_{adm} gives rise to a path $r(p) : r(c) \xrightarrow{i} r(c_1) \xrightarrow{i} \cdots \xrightarrow{i} r(c_k)$ in Γ_{C_n} . As $r(p)$ is finite, we have that p must also be finite. Hence B_{adm} is indeed a crystal basis. \square

Denote by Γ_{adm} the crystal graph arising from the crystal basis B_{adm} . This way we have definitions of Kashiara operators f_i, e_i , maps ε_i, φ_i , highest weights w^0 , and connected components $B(w)$ on $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$. These are notions that can be expressed in terms of the crystal structure on C_n^* as follows

Proposition 3.5. Let $w = c_1 \cdots c_k \in \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$, $p(w) = c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_l}$ as in (8), and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

- i) $f_i.w$ is defined if and only if $f_i.r(w)$ is defined, and if $f_i.r(w) = r(c_{i_1}) \cdots (f_i.r(c_{i_s})) \cdots r(c_{i_l})$, we have

$$f_i.w = c_1 \cdots f_i.c_{i_s} \cdots c_k;$$

- ii) $e_i.w$ is defined if and only if $e_i.r(w)$ is defined, and if $e_i.r(w) = r(c_{i_1}) \cdots (e_i.r(c_{i_s})) \cdots r(c_{i_l})$, we have

$$e_i.w = c_1 \cdots f_i.c_{i_s} \cdots c_k;$$

- iii) $\varepsilon_i(w) = \varepsilon_i(r(w))$, and $\varphi_i(w) = \varphi_i(r(w))$, where the left sides of the equations are for Γ_{adm} , and the right sides for Γ_{C_n} ;

- iv) $r : B(w) \rightarrow B(r(w))$ is an isomorphism of labeled graphs

v) $r(w^0) = r(w)^0$

- vi) $[w] = \epsilon^t w'$, where $r(w') = [r(w)]$ and $t = |w| - |w'|$.

Proof. We prove i), ii), iii) simultaneously by induction on $|p(w)|_{\bullet}$. If $|p(w)|_{\bullet} = 1$, we have that $w = \epsilon^r c \epsilon^t$ for some $r, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \text{ACol}_{\bullet}(C_n)$. We then have that $f_i.w$ is defined if and only if $f_i.c$ is defined, if and only if $f_i.r(c) = f_i.r(w)$ is defined in Γ_{C_n} . Moreover, we have $f_i.w = \epsilon^r f_i.c \epsilon^t$, and $f_i.r(w) = f_i.c$, hence for $|p(w)|_{\bullet} = 1$ the statement holds. Similarly we prove for $e_i.w$, and it is clear that $\varepsilon_i(w) = \varepsilon_i(r(w))$ and $\varphi_i(w) = \varphi_i(r(w))$ in this case.

Assume now that i), ii), iii) hold for $|p(w)|_{\bullet} \leq l$. Let $w = c_1 \cdots c_k$ such that $p(w) = c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_l} c_{i_{l+1}}$. Set $u = c_1 \cdots c_{i_l}$, and $v = c_{i_{l+1}} \cdots c_k$. By definition of the actions of f_i on the crystal graph Γ_{adm} we have

$$f_i.(uv) = \begin{cases} (f_i.u)v & \text{if } \varphi_i(u) > \varepsilon_i(v) \\ u(f_i.v) & \text{if } \varphi_i(u) \leq \varepsilon_i(v) \end{cases}$$

By induction hypothesis for ii) and iii) we see that $f_i.w$ is defined if and only if $f_i.r(w)$. Assume that this is the case. We differentiate between two possibilities.

If $\varphi_i(r(u)) > \varepsilon_i(r(v))$ we have that s as in the statement of $i)$ is such that $s \leq l$, and since $\varphi_i(u) = \varphi_i(r(u))$, $\varepsilon_i(v) = \varepsilon_i(r(v))$ we have

$$f_i.w = (f_i.u)v = c_1 \cdots f_i.c_{i_s} \cdots c_k.$$

If $\varphi_i(r(u)) \leq \varepsilon_i(r(v))$, we have that s as in the statement of $i)$ is $s = l + 1$, and again we obtain

$$f_i.w = u(f_i.v) = c_1 \cdots f_i.c_{i_s} \cdots c_k,$$

which is what we wanted to show.

$iv)$ Since $r(f_i.w) = f_i.r(w)$ and $r(e_i.w) = e_i.r(w)$, we have that r indeed maps $B(w)$ to $B(r(w))$. If $w' \in B(w)$, then $|w'| = |w|$, and if $w' = d_1 \cdots d_k$ we have $|d_i| = |c_i|$ in C_n^* . If we have $r(w) = r(w')$, clearly we must have $d_i = c_i$, hence $w = w'$. Thus r is an injective map. For surjectivity, given $u \in B(r(w))$, we have $u = K.r(w)$, where K is a sequence of Kashiwara operators applied to r . But this implies $u = r(K.w)$, hence r is surjective. This way we have proven that r is indeed a labeled graph isomorphism.

$v)$ Follows directly from $iv)$.

$vi)$ From Corollary 3.3, we have that there exist unique $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \in Pl(C_n)$ such that $w = \epsilon^t g(T)$. Clearly we have $t = |w| - |g(T)|$, as we know that the relations in $ACol(C_n)^*$ preserve lengths. Note now that

$$[r(w)] = [r(p(w))] = r[p(w)]_{\bullet},$$

where $[p(w)]_{\bullet}$ represents the normal form of $p(w)$ in \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet} . But as \mathbf{ACol}_{\bullet} presents $Pl(C_n)$ we have that $[p(w)]_{\bullet} = g(T')$ for some tableau $T' \in Pl(C_n)$, so that we obtain $[r(w)] = T'$. On the other hand we have $g(T) = p([w]) = [p(w)]_{\bullet} = g(T')$, which gives us $T = T'$. Hence we finally obtain $r(g(T)) = T = T' = r(g(T')) = [r(w)]$, which is what we wanted to show. \square

Note that $iv)$ from the previous proposition shows that the crystal monoid associated to the crystal graph Γ_{adm} is C_n^* . This is due to the fact that the Kashiwara operators, and the map r ignore any ϵ that may appear as a letter in $w \in ACol(C_n)^*$. One way to overcome this is to keep track of the length of each word in $ACol(C_n)^*$ when applying the Kashiwara operators. With this idea in mind, we define the following.

Definition 3.6. *The extended crystal congruence on Γ_{adm} is the congruence \equiv_{ϵ} generated by the equivalence relation \sim_{ϵ} defined on $ACol(C_n)^*$ as follows*

$$w_1 \sim_{\epsilon} w_2 \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} |w_1| = |w_2|, \\ \phi : B(w_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} B(w_2) \quad \text{such that} \quad \phi(w_1) = w_2. \end{cases}$$

The monoid $ACol(C_n)^* / \equiv_{\epsilon}$ is called the extended crystal monoid of type C .

Theorem 3.7. *Let $w_1, w_2 \in ACol(C_n)^*$. We have $w_1 \equiv_{\epsilon} w_2$ if and only if $[w_1] = [w_2] \in Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$. In other words $(ACol(C_n)^* / \equiv_{\epsilon}) = Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$.*

Proof. Let $w_1, w_2 \in ACol(C_n)^*$ such that $w_1 \equiv_{\epsilon} w_2$. We then have $|w_1| = |w_2|$ and a labeled graph isomorphism

$$\gamma : B(r(w_1)) \longrightarrow B(w_1) \longrightarrow B(w_2) \longrightarrow B(r(w_2))$$

such that $\gamma(r(w_1)) = \gamma(r(w_2))$. This shows that $[r(w_1)] = [r(w_2)]$ in C_n^* . Let now $[w_1] = \epsilon^{t_1} g(T_1)$ and $[w_2] = \epsilon^{t_2} g(T_2)$. By Proposition 3.5 we have $T_1 = [r(w_1)] = [r(w_2)] = T_2$. Since $|g(T_1)| = |g(T_2)|$ we obtain $t_1 = t_2$, hence indeed $[w_1] = [w_2]$ in $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$.

Conversely, suppose that $[w_1] = [w_2] = \epsilon^t g(T)$. We then have that $T = [r(w_1)] = [r(w_2)]$, hence we have a labeled graph isomorphism

$$\beta : B(w_1) \longrightarrow B(r(w_1)) \longrightarrow B(r(w_2)) \longrightarrow B(w_2)$$

with $\beta(w_1) = w_2$. Moreover, since $|w_1| = |[w_1]| = |[w_2]| = |w_2|$, we see that $w_1 \equiv_\epsilon w_2$, which is what we wanted to show. \square

We recall here the following result in [9].

Theorem 3.8. (*[9]*) *Let $T \in Pl(C_n)$ be a tableau of highest weight. Then all the elements in the i -th row of T are equal to i .*

Interpreted in our setting, this result takes the following shape

Corollary 3.9. *Let $w \in Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ be of highest weight. Then*

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{c}(a_i)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_k$.

In sections 4 and 5 we will construct a graph model to parameterize all the highest weight elements of $ACol(C_n)^*$.

3.4. Reduction sequences in \mathbf{ACol} . Here we show that reduction sequences in \mathbf{ACol} , in a certain sense, do not depend on the weight of the starting word w .

Definition 3.10. *Let $w = c_1 \cdots c_k \in ACol(C_n)^*$. A reduction strategy for w is a sequence $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$ of natural numbers with $|s_i| < k$, such that there exists a rewriting sequence*

$$w = w_0 \implies w_1 \implies w_2 \implies \dots \implies w_l$$

in \mathbf{ACol} , where if $w_i = c_1^{(i)} \cdots c_k^{(i)}$, then we have

$$w_{i+1} = c_1^{(i)} \cdots (c_{s_i}^{(i)} \leftarrow c_{s_{i+1}}^{(i)}) \cdots c_k^{(i)}.$$

In other words, a reduction strategy for a word w is the data that successively describes the locations in $\{1, \dots, k\}$ where we apply a 2-cell.

For a word $w \in ACol(C_n)$, denote by $\text{red}(w)$ the set of all reduction strategies for w . Our goal is to compare $\text{red}(w)$ with $\text{red}(f_i.w)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $w \in ACol(C_n)^*$ such that $f_i.w$ is defined. We note first that by Theorem 3.7 we obtain the following

Corollary 3.11. *Let $w \in ACol(C_n)^*$, and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

1. $f_i.w$ is defined if and only if $f_i.[w]$ is defined,
2. if $f_i.w$ is defined, then $[f_i.w] = f_i.[w]$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $w \in ACol(C_n)^*$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $f_i.w$ is defined. Then $\text{red}(w) = \text{red}(f_i.w)$.*

Proof. We show first that $\text{red}(w) \subset \text{red}(f_i.w)$. Let $w = c_1 \cdots c_k$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $f_i.w$ is defined, and let $s \in \text{red}(w)$. Let j be the first element of s . This means that $c_{j+1} \not\leq c_j$. Consider now $f_i.w = d_1 \cdots d_k$. If f_i does not act on w by acting on $c_j c_{j+1}$, then we have $d_j = c_j$ and $d_{j+1} = c_{j+1}$, hence $d_{j+1} \not\leq d_j$. If, conversely, f_i acts on w by acting on $c_j c_{j+1}$, we have $d_j d_{j+1} = f_i.(c_j c_{j+1})$. If we had $d_{j+1} \not\leq d_j$, we would have $d_j d_{j+1} = [d_j d_{j+1}]$, which implies $c_j c_{j+1} = e_i.(d_j d_{j+1}) = e_i.[d_j d_{j+1}] = [e_i.(d_j d_{j+1})] = [c_j c_{j+1}]$, i.e. $c_{j+1} \leq c_j$. However this is impossible due to our assumption. Hence there exists a sequence $s \in \text{red}(f_i.w)$ with first element equal to j .

As the rewriting system \mathbf{ACol} is terminating, we have that $s \in \text{red}(w)$ is finite, and applying the same reasoning for the remaining elements of s , we obtain that $s \in \text{red}(f_i.w)$.

Similarly we can show that $\text{red}(f_i.w) \subset \text{red}(e_i.(f_i.w))$ and putting these together, we obtain that $\text{red}(w) = \text{red}(f_i.w)$. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let $w \in A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$. Then $\text{red}(w) = \text{red}(w^0)$.*

3.5. Confluence diagrams of critical branchings. Let $t, u, v \in A\text{Col}(C_n)$. For $w = tuv$ we have at most two reduction sequences in **ACol**, i.e.

$$\text{red}(w) = \{a(w) = (1, 2, 1, 2, \dots), b(w) = (2, 1, 2, 1, \dots)\},$$

where $b(w)$ signifies the rightmost reduction strategy, and $a(w)$ signifies the leftmost reduction strategy. Critical branchings in **ACol** are of the form

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & (t \leftarrow u)v \\ & \nearrow^{\alpha_{tuv}} & \\ tuv & & \\ & \searrow_{t\alpha_{uv}} & \\ & & t(u \leftarrow v) \end{array}$$

with $v \not\leftarrow u \not\leftarrow t$. One has a critical branching with source $w = tuv$ if and only if $a(w)$ and $b(w)$ are non-empty.

In what follows, we are interested in characterizing the lengths of $a(w)$ and $b(w)$. By the termination of **ACol** we have that $a(w)$ and $b(w)$ are finite, and if we denote their lengths by $|a(w)|$ and $|b(w)|$, we see that they describe the lengths of the leftmost and rightmost reduction strategies. As **ACol** is confluent, we have that the normal forms produced by $a(w)$ and $b(w)$ are equal. Hence the lengths of $a(w)$ and $b(w)$ describe the lengths of these two reduction sequences in the confluence diagram of w . Hence we make the following definition.

Definition 3.14. *Let $t, u, v \in A\text{Col}(C_n)$, and $w = tuv$. The confluence pair of w is the pair $(|a(w)|, |b(w)|)$, denoted by $\text{conf}(w)$.*

We can summarize the preceding discussion into the following.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $t, u, v \in A\text{Col}(C_n)$ and $w = tuv$. Then $\text{conf}(w) = \text{conf}(w^0)$.*

Thus in order to understand $\text{conf}(w^0)$, it remains to first characterize words of highest weight of length 3 in $A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$, and then compute $a(w)$ and $b(w)$. We do this in the remainder of the article.

4. HIGHEST WEIGHT WORDS IN $A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$

In this section we describe a graphical model, namely the C -trees (Γ_C, s) , to characterize the highest weight words in $A\text{Col}(C_n)^*$. Here Γ_C will be a certain tree, and s will be a labeling of its vertices.

4.1. Definition of the C -tree.

Definition 4.1. *The C -tree is the directed graph Γ_C with vertex set $V(\Gamma_C) = \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{N}$, and with directed edges of the type*

$$(E1) \quad (i, 0) \longrightarrow (i + 1, 0),$$

$$(E2) \quad (i, j) \longrightarrow (i, j + 1).$$

We define two maps on the vertices of Γ_C to aid us with the notation of the graph.

- projection maps $\text{str}, \text{lev} : V(\Gamma_C) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, called the *strand* and *level* of a vertex, by setting

$$\text{str}(i, j) = i; \quad \text{lev}(i, j) = i + \left\lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

- $\text{type} : E(\Gamma_C) \longrightarrow \{1, 2\}$ by setting

$$\text{type}(e) = i,$$

if e is an edge as in (Ei), for $i = 1, 2$.

We show that Γ_C is indeed a tree. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $p : v_1 \longrightarrow v_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow v_n$ be a path in Γ_C , and denote the edges in p by $e_i : v_i \longrightarrow v_{i+1}$. Then:*

- $\text{str}(v_i) \leq \text{str}(v_{i+1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*
- If for some $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have $\text{type}(e_j) = 2$, then $\text{type}(e_k) = 2$ for all $k \geq j$.*

Proof. a) is evident by observing in Definition 4.1 that if $(i, j) \longrightarrow (i', j')$ is an edge in Γ_C , then $i \leq i'$.

b) If $\text{type}(e_j) = 2$, the target of the edge e_j is a vertex (i, j) with $j \neq 0$. As this vertex is the source of the edge e_{j+1} , we have that indeed $\text{type}(e_{j+1}) = 2$. The result then follows in full by induction. □

Proposition 4.3. *The C-tree is indeed a tree, with root $(1, 0)$.*

Proof. We need to show that given any vertex $v = (i, j)$ of Γ_C , there exists a unique directed path from $(1, 0)$ to v .

Note that using edges of type 1, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a path

$$p_i : (1, 0) \longrightarrow (2, 0) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (i, 0).$$

If $p : (1, 0) = v_1 \xrightarrow{e_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{e_{n-1}} v_n = (i, 0)$ is any path in Γ_C , we see that $\text{type}(e_{n-1}) = 1$, as its target is of the form $(i, 0)$. From Lemma 4.2 part b) we get that $\text{type}(e_i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. As for $(1, 0)$, there exists a unique edge of type 1 with $(1, 0)$ as source, we get that $e_1 : (1, 0) \longrightarrow (2, 0)$. Inductively we obtain that $n = i$ and $e_j : (j, 0) \longrightarrow (j+1, 0)$ for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$. Thus $p = p_i$, hence p_i is the unique path from $(1, 0)$ to $(i, 0)$.

Let now $(i, j) \in V(\Gamma_C)$ with $j \neq 0$. We note that

$$p_{(i,j)} = p_i * ((i, 0) \longrightarrow (i, 1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (i, j))$$

is a path from $(1, 0)$ to (i, j) in Γ_C . To show that this path is unique, pick a path $p : (1, 0) = v_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow v_n = (i, j)$. Clearly we have $\text{str}(v_1) = 1$ and $\text{str}(v_n) = i$. Let $1 \leq l \leq n$ be minimal with the property $\text{str}(v_l) = i$. We have that $e_l : v_{l-1} \longrightarrow v_l$, with $\text{str}(v_{l-1}) \leq i-1$. Evidently then we have $\text{type}(e_l) = 1$, and we get that $v_l = (i, 0)$. This means that the subpath $(1, 0) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (i, 0) = v_l$ is the unique path p_i from $(1, 0)$ to $(i, 0)$. In particular we get $l = i-1$. Now as $\text{str}(v_k) = i$ for $k \geq i$, we see that $\text{type}(e_k) = 2$ for $k > i$. This forces $e_i : (i, 0) \longrightarrow (i, 1)$, and inductively we obtain

$$e_k : (i, k-i-1) \longrightarrow (i, k-i), \quad \text{for } k > i$$


which gives us $p = p_{(i,j)}$. Thus indeed there exists a unique path from $(1, 0)$ to any vertex of Γ_C , and this concludes the proof of the proposition. □

To graphically present the C-tree, we make the following notational conventions

- We identify the set of vertices Γ_C via the following map $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{in^\pm \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1\}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (i, 0) &\longmapsto i, \\ (i, 2n-1) &\longmapsto in^+ (= in), \\ (i, 2n) &\longmapsto in^-. \end{aligned}$$

Then the C -tree can be graphically presented as follows



Via this identification, we allow for the notation

$$\text{lev}(ij) = \text{lev}(ij^-) = i + j.$$

We now define a few relevant subgraphs and a partial order on the C -tree.

1. We refer to vertices of the form in as *outer vertices*, and to vertices of the form in^- as *inner vertices*.
2. Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the full subgraph of Γ_C on the vertices $\{v \in \Gamma_C \mid \text{str}(v) = i\}$ is called the i -th *strand* of Γ_C , and is denoted by Γ_C^i . Clearly Γ_C^i is a tree.
3. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the full subgraph of Γ_C on the vertices $\{v \in \Gamma_C \mid \text{lev}(v) = k\}$ is called the k -th *level* of Γ_C , and is denoted by $\Gamma_{C,k}$. Clearly $\Gamma_{C,k}$ is not connected for $k \neq 0$.
4. We are also particularly interested in the k -th *truncations* of the tree, namely the full subtrees of Γ_C on the vertices $\{v \in \Gamma_C \mid \text{lev}(v) \leq k\}$. We denote it by $\Gamma_C(k)$.
5. It is useful to consider the partial order on $V(\Gamma_C)$ arising out of the directed graph structure of Γ_C . More precisely, for $v, w \in V(\Gamma_C)$, we say $v \leq w$ if there exists a path $p : v = v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_k = w$ in Γ_C .

4.2. Valuations, and readings of C -trees. Here we endow the C -tree with a vertex labeling from \mathbb{N} .

4.2.1. *Labelings and valuations of C -trees.*

Definition 4.4. A labeling of rank $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of Γ_C is a map $s : V(\Gamma_C(k)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The pair (Γ_C, s) is called an \mathbb{N} -labeled C -tree of rank k .


We illustrate a valuated C -tree with its labels marked down at the corresponding vertex, and often times we neglect noting its rank k , which is implicitly given by s .

For a labeled C -tree (Γ_C, s) of rank k we define a new map $q : V(\Gamma_C(k)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ on the vertices of $\Gamma_C(k)$, called the *valuation* of (Γ_C, s) . For $v \in V(\Gamma_C(k))$ with $\text{str}(v) = i$ we set

$$q(v) = \sum_{\substack{ij \in \Gamma_C^i \\ ij \leq v}} s(ij) - \sum_{\substack{ij^- \in \Gamma_C^i \\ ij^- \leq v}} s(ij^-).$$

For a natural number n and a labeling s of rank k of Γ_C , we say that s is an n -labeling if $0 \leq q(v) \leq n$ for all $v \in V(\Gamma_C(k))$.

Example 4.5. For $k = 1, 2, 3$, we illustrate the labeled C -trees of rank k as follows.



4.2.2. *Reading of labeled C -trees.* Let (Γ_C, s) be a n -labeled C -tree of rank k . We define a map $\rho : V(\Gamma_C(k)) \rightarrow C_n^*$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(i) &= \mathbf{c}(s(i)), \\ \rho(ij) &= \mathbf{c}(q(i(j-1)^-), s(ij)), \\ \rho(ij^-) &= \mathbf{c}(q(ij), s(ij^-)). \end{aligned}$$

Since s is an n -labeling on Γ_C , we see that $\rho(v)$ is well defined for all vertices v of $\Gamma_C(k)$. We define the *reading of the t -th level of (Γ_C, s)* by setting

$$(16) \quad \omega_t(\Gamma_C, s) := \prod_{l=0}^{t-1} \rho((t-l)l) \prod_{l=1}^{t-1} \rho(l(t-l)^-)$$

where the product runs over those vertices v with $s(v) \neq 0$. If $s(v) = 0$ for all v with $\text{lev}(v) = t$, we set $\omega_t(\Gamma_C, s) = \epsilon$. We note right away that $\rho(v)$ are blocks for all $v \in V(\Gamma_C(k))$, and $\omega_t(\Gamma_C, s)$ are products of blocks.

Definition 4.6. Let (Γ_C, s) be a n -labeled C -tree of rank k . The *reading of, or word of (Γ_C, s)* is


$$\omega(\Gamma_C, s) := \prod_{t=1}^k \omega_t(\Gamma_C, s)$$

with $\omega_t(\Gamma_C, s)$ as in (16).

Thus we have defined a map $\omega : \{n\text{-labeled } C\text{-trees of rank } k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow C_n^*$. While the notation for constructing the word of an n -labeled C -tree is cumbersome, we can summarize the reading map as follows.

1. Construct a column $\rho(v)$ for each vertex of $V(\Gamma_C(k))$ as follows. If $v = i0$ for some i , then $\rho(v) = \mathbf{c}(s(v))$. Otherwise denote by v' the maximal vertex such that $v' < v$. If v itself is an outer vertex, then $\rho(v) = \mathbf{c}(q(v'), s(v))$. If v is an inner vertex, then $\rho(v) = \mathbf{c}(q(v'), s(v))$.
2. For $t \leq k$, the reading of the t -th level of Γ_C is the product of $\rho(v)$ for $v \in \Gamma_{C,t}$, with the outer vertices read from right to left first, and then the inner vertices read from left to right.
3. The word of (Γ_C, s) is the product of the readings of its levels.

Example 4.7. Let $n = 4$ and T the following \mathbb{N} -labeled C -tree of rank 3



For the 1st level we have $\rho(1) = \mathbf{c}(3) = 123$ hence $\omega_1 = 123$. For the 2nd level we have $\rho(2) = \mathbf{c}(2) = 12$, $\rho(11) = \mathbf{c}(3, 1) = 4$, and $\rho(11^-) = \mathbf{c}(\overline{4}, 2) = \overline{43}$, hence $\omega_2 = 124\overline{43}$. For the 3rd level we have $\rho(3) = \mathbf{c}(1) = 1$, $\rho(21) = \mathbf{c}(2, 1) = 3$, $\rho(12) = \mathbf{c}(2, 2) = 34$, $\rho(12^-) = \mathbf{c}(\overline{4}, 1) = \overline{4}$, and $\rho(21^-) = \mathbf{c}(\overline{3}, 2) = \overline{32}$, hence $\omega_3 = 13344\overline{32}$. Thus we finally obtain

$$\omega(T) = \omega_1\omega_2\omega_3 = 1231244\overline{43}13344\overline{32}.$$

Note that in Example 4.7 ω_1 is an admissible column, ω_2 is a non-admissible column, and ω_3 is not a column. In what follows, we specify the conditions on the labeling of a C -tree T such that $\omega_t(T)$ are all admissible columns. In particular, we illustrate these conditions graphically.

4.2.3. Column conditions. In this section, we will investigate under what conditions are the readings $\omega_t = \omega_t(\Gamma_C, s)$ of the t -th levels admissible columns. Let (Γ_C, s) be an n -labeled C -tree of rank k , and $t \leq k$.

Denote by a_l^0 and a_l^1 respectively the leftmost and rightmost elements of the column $\rho((t-l)l)$, and by b_l^0 and b_l^1 respectively the leftmost and rightmost elements of the column $\rho(l(t-l)^-)$. Then ω_t is a column if and only if

$$(17) \quad a_l^1 < a_{l+1}^0, \quad b_l^1 < b_{l+1}^0.$$

Note that $a_l^0 = q((t-l)(l-1)^-) + 1$, $a_l^1 = q((t-l)(l-1)^-) + s((t-l)l) = q((t-l)l)$, $b_l^0 = \overline{q(l(t-l))}$, and $b_l^1 = \overline{q(l(t-l)^-)} + 1$. Thus the inequalities (17) become

$$(17') \quad q((t-l)l) \leq q((t-l-1)(l+1)^-)$$

and

$$(17'') \quad q(l(t-l)) \leq q((l+1)(t-l-1)^-).$$


which we can summarize into

$$(17''') \quad q(ij) \leq q((i-1)(j+1)^-) \quad \text{and} \quad q((i-1)j^-).$$

This way we have obtained the following

Proposition 4.8. (Column conditions) Let (Γ_C, s) be an n -labeled C -tree of rank k . The readings $\omega_t = \omega_t(\Gamma_C, s)$ of the t -levels are columns if and only if (17''') are satisfied for all $v = ij \in \Gamma_C(k)$.

This notation is quite cumbersome. However these inequalities are easy to illustrate on the C -tree itself. More specifically, we add a *red edge* $e : u \rightarrow v$ to the C -tree to signify $q(u) \leq q(v)$. With these new edges, the labeled C -tree is illustrated as follows



4.2.4. *Admissibility conditions.* Assume now that (Γ_C, s) is an n -labeled C -tree satisfying the column conditions. Here we give the conditions for ω_t to be admissible columns. We make use of Proposition 2.8 for this purpose.

We recall that

$$\omega_t = \prod_{l=0}^{t-1} \rho((t-l)l) \prod_{l=1}^{t-1} \rho(l(t-l)^-).$$

is a product of blocks. Thus to check admissibility of ω_t , by Proposition 2.8, it suffices to check whether $N_z(\omega_t) \leq z$ for z the rightmost element of a column $\rho((t-l)l)$, or z such that \bar{z} is leftmost element of a column $\rho(l(t-l)^-)$. We note that the last element of $\rho((t-l)l)$ is $q((t-l)l)$, and the first element of $\rho(l(t-l)^-)$ is $\overline{q((t-l)l)}$. Thus for ω_t to be admissible, it suffices that the following hold

$$N_{z_l}(\omega_t) \leq z_l \quad \text{for } z_l = q((t-l)l).$$

for $l = 0, \dots, k-1$. We note that given l , we have

$$\text{Set}_{z_l}(\omega_t) = \{x \in \rho((t-i)i) \mid i \leq l\} \sqcup \{x \in \rho((t-i)i^-) \mid i \leq l\},$$

thus we have

$$N_{z_l}(\omega_t) = \sum_{i=0}^l |\rho(t-i)i| + \sum_{i=0}^l |(\rho((t-i)i^-))|$$

where we set $s(t0^-) = 0$, thus $\rho(t0^-) = \emptyset$ the unit in C_n^* . By definition of R , we have

$$N_{z_l}(\omega_t) = \sum_{i=0}^l (s((t-i)i) + s((t-i)i^-)).$$

This way we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.9. (*Admissibility conditions*) For an n -labeled C -tree that satisfies the column conditions, ω_t is admissible if and only if for all $l \leq t-1$ we have

$$(18) \quad \sum_{i=0}^l (s((t-i)i) + s((t-i)i^-)) \leq q((t-l)l).$$

Note that as $q((t-l)l) = q((t-l)(l-1)^-) + s((t-l)l)$, we can write (18) as follows

$$(18') \quad \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (s((t-i)i) + s((t-i)i^-)) + s((t-l)l^-) \leq q((t-l)(l-1)^-).$$


Again the condition in this form is quite cumbersome. Once again we make use of the graphical presentation of the C -tree to illustrate this condition.

Given t , for $l \leq t$, the right side of the inequality (18) is the map q evaluated at the vertex $(t-l)l$. The left side of the inequality is the sum of all the labels $s(v)$ on the t -th level to the right of the vertex $(t-l)l$.

We add edges to Γ_C as follows

1. Label the inner vertices of level $t-1$ with $q(v)$.
2. Label the inner vertices of level t , with $p(v) = \sum s(v)$, where the sum is taken over all the vertices to the right (and including) v .
3. Draw a blue edge $e : v \rightarrow v'$ to signify $p(v) \leq s(v')$.

For $t = 5$, the C -tree with these newly appended blue edges to signify the admissibility of $\omega_5(\Gamma_C, s)$ look as follows.



This way, we have specified conditions for an n -labeled C -tree $T = (\Gamma_C, s)$ to have its level readings $\omega_t(\Gamma_C, s)$ as admissible columns. If T is of rank k , then its reading $\omega(T)$ is defined, and is a product of k admissible columns.

We now fix notation. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{GT}_k(n)$ the set of n -labeled C -trees T such that

- i) T is of rank k
- ii) T satisfies the column and admissibility conditions, i.e. T is *admissible*.

We denote

$$\mathcal{GT}(n) = \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{GT}_k(n).$$

So $\mathcal{GT}(n)$ consists of all the admissible finite n -labeled C -trees, and the reading map w can be considered as $\omega : \mathcal{GT}(n) \rightarrow \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$.

Remark 4.10. *In what follows, the term C -tree will mean an element of $\mathcal{GT}(n)$, unless otherwise specified. We usually denote elements of $\mathcal{GT}(n)$ by T , and the labeling s will be implicitly assumed.*

5. PARAMETERIZING WORDS OF HIGHEST WEIGHT IN $\text{ACOL}(C_n)^*$ VIA C -TREES

5.1. Normal form, and weights of words of C -trees. Let T be a C -tree of rank k . In Definition 4.6 we have defined the reading of T denoted by $\omega(T)$, which is a word in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$. In what follows, we will describe the normal form of the word $\omega(T)$ in the \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$. More precisely, for $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$, we set $q_i(T) := q(i(k-i)^-)$ and we prove the following

Theorem 5.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$, and set $q_i = q_i(T)$. Then*

$$[\omega(T)] = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathfrak{c}(q_{k-i}).$$

We will prove this theorem by induction on k . For this purpose, we prove several weaker propositions leading to the proof of the theorem. We note right away a crucial consequence of this theorem.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$. Then $\omega(T)$ is of highest weight.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 3.9, we see that $[\omega(T)]$ is highest weight, hence $\omega(T)$ is also of highest weight. \square

In other words $\omega : \mathcal{GT}(n) \rightarrow \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$ maps every C -tree to a highest weight word in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$.

We now work towards proving Theorem 5.1. We begin by establishing some smaller results first. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k \geq a_{k+1} = 0$. Consider the labeling $s : V(\Gamma_C(k)) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ on Γ_C given by

$$s(v) = \begin{cases} a_{k-j} - a_{k-j+1} & \text{if } v = ij, i + j \leq k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote $T(a_i)_{i=1}^k := (\Gamma_C, s)$.

Proposition 5.3. *If a_i as above, with $a_i \leq n$, then $T = T(a_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$. Moreover*

$$[\omega(T)] = \omega(T) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{c}(a_{k-i}).$$

Proof. Note that for $v \in V(\Gamma_C(k))$, if $v = ij^\pm$, we have

$$(19) \quad q(v) = \sum_{w \leq v} s(w) = \sum_{l=0}^j s(il) = \sum_{l=0}^j (a_{k-l} - a_{k-l+1}) = a_{k-j} \leq n,$$

Hence s is indeed an n -labeling of Γ_C of rank k . To show that $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$, we need to check whether T satisfies the column and admissibility conditions.

For the column conditions, we need to check whether $q(ij) \leq q((i-1)j^-)$ and $q(ij) \leq q((i-1)(j+1)^-)$ hold for all i, j . By (19), we see that $q(ij) = a_{k-j}$, hence the inequalities become $a_{k-j} \leq a_{k-j}, a_{k-j-1}$, both of which hold due to the assumptions on a_i .

For the admissibility conditions, we need to check whether the inequality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (s((l-i)i) + s((r-i)i^-)) \leq q((l-r)(r-1)^-).$$

holds for all $r \leq l-1 \leq k-1$. Again, from our computations of q and s we have $q((l-r)(r-1)^-) = a_{k-r+1}$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} s((l-i)i) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (a_{k-i} - a_{k-i+1}) = a_{k-r+1}$. Thus the admissibility inequality becomes $a_{k-r+1} \leq a_{k-r+1}$, which holds for all l, r , hence T satisfies the admissibility conditions as well. Thus we indeed have $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$.

Note now that the reading of the l -th level of T is as follows

$$\omega_l(T) = \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \rho((l-i)i) = \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \mathbf{c}(q((l-i)(i-1)^-), s((l-i)i)) = \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \mathbf{c}(a_{k-i+1}, a_{k-i} - a_{k-i+1}) = \mathbf{c}(a_{k-l}).$$

The last equality holds because we set $a_{k+1} = 0$, and in general we have $\mathbf{c}(a, b)\mathbf{c}(a+b, c) = \mathbf{c}(a, b+c)$.

Thus we have that the reading of T is

$$\omega(T) = \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \omega_l(T) = \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{c}(a_{k-l+1}),$$

which is what we wanted to show. As we have $a_{k-l} \leq a_{k-l-1}$, we can see that $\omega(T)$ is indeed of normal form, thus we have $[\omega(T)] = \omega(T)$. \square

Proposition 5.3 establishes the existence of certain C -trees, and computes their reading. We call a C -tree of the form $T(a_i)_{i=1}^k$ with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$ a *standard C -tree*. The significance of these particular C -trees is that $\omega(T)$ is of normal form in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$, and it is of highest weight according to Corollary 3.9.

The following proposition asserts that given a C -tree $T \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$, and replacing its k -th truncation with a certain standard C -tree, produces another C -tree, and we compute its reading.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $T = (\Gamma_C, s) \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$, and let T_0 be the k th truncation of T . Let $Q = T(q_i(T_0))_{i=1}^k$, and denote its labeling by s_Q . Let $T' = (\Gamma_C, s')$ of rank $k+1$ where s' is given by*

$$s'(v) = \begin{cases} s_Q(v) & \text{if } \text{lev}(v) \leq k, \\ s(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $T' \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$, $q_i(T) = q_i(T')$, and $\omega(T') = \omega(Q)\omega_{k+1}(T)$.

Proof. Again we check that s' is an n -valuation, and that T' satisfies the column and admissibility conditions. Note that for $v \in V(\Gamma_C)$ with $\text{lev}(v) \leq k$ we have $s' = s_Q$, and by Proposition 5.3 we see that s' satisfies these conditions. It remains to check for vertices v with $\text{lev}(v) = k+1$.

Note that $q_i(Q) = q_i(T_0)$, hence we have $q_{T'}(i(k-i)^-) = q_i(Q) = q_i(T_0) = q_T(i(k-i)^-)$. Moreover, we have

$$q_i(T') = q_i(T_0) + s(i(k+1-i)) - s(i(k+1-i)^-) = q_i(T).$$

Hence the n -labeling, column, and admissibility conditions for vertices $v \in \Gamma_C$ with $\text{lev}(v) = k+1$ in T' are identical with those in T , thus T' also satisfies these conditions, and we have $T' \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$. The reading $\omega(T')$ is clearly $\omega(Q)\omega_k(T)$. \square

Next we explicitly calculate an insertion of the last two columns of certain C -trees.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$ and let Q be its k th truncation. Assume that Q is a standard C -tree, i.e. $Q = T(a_i)_{i=1}^k$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T' = (\Gamma_C, s')$ of rank $k+1$ with s' defined as follows*

$$s'(v) = \begin{cases} s((i+1)(k-i)) & \text{if } v = i(k-i) \ i = 1, \dots, k \\ q_i(T) - q((i+1)(k-i)) & \text{if } v = i(k+1-i) \ i = 1, \dots, k+1 \\ s(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $T' \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$, $q_i(T') = q_i(T)$, and

$$\omega(T') = \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \omega_l(T) [\omega_k(T)\omega_{k+1}(T)].$$

Proof. We note that $\omega_k(T) = \omega_k(Q) = \mathbf{c}(a_{k-k+1}) = \mathbf{c}(a_1)$, and moreover $a_1 = q_1(Q) = q_T(0k)$. By definition we have

$$\omega_{k+1}(T) = \prod_{i=0}^k \rho((k+1-i)i) \prod_{i=1}^k \rho(i(k+1-i)^-).$$

Note now that $\rho(k+1) = \mathbf{c}(s(k+1))$, $\rho(k1) = \mathbf{c}(s(k), s(k1))$, and for $i > 1$ we have

$$\rho((k+1-i)i) = \mathbf{c}(q((k+1-i)(i-1)^-), s((k+1-i)i)) = \mathbf{c}(a_{k+1-i}, s((k+1-i)i)),$$

and

$$\rho(i(k+1-i)^-) = \mathbf{c}(\overline{q(i(k+1-i))}, s(i(k+1-i))).$$

We now use Lemma 2.9 to compute the insertion $\omega_k \leftarrow \omega_{k+1}$. Note first that

$$\omega'_k = \left(\omega_k \leftarrow \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho((k+1-i)i) \right) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho((k+1-i)i) \omega_k.$$

Indeed, $\omega_k = \mathbf{c}(a_1)$, and the for largest element of $\rho((k+1-i)i)$ for $i \leq k$ is

$$a_{k+1-i} + s((k+1-i)i) = q_T((k+1-i)i) \leq q_T((k-i)i^-) \leq a_i \leq a_1.$$

Since $(\omega_k \leftarrow \rho(1k)\rho(1k^-)) = (\mathbf{c}(a_1) \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(a_1; s(1k), s(1k^-))) = \mathbf{c}(a_1 + s(1k) - s(1k^-)) = \mathbf{c}(q_1)$, we have

$$(\omega'_k \leftarrow \rho(1k))\rho(1k^-) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho((k+1-i)i)\mathbf{c}(q_1).$$

Denote $\overline{R_i} = \rho(i(k+1-i)^-)$. Since $q_2 + s(2(k-1)^-) = q(2(k-1)) \leq q_1$, we have

$$\mathbf{c}(q_1) = \mathbf{c}(q_2)\mathbf{c}(q_2, s(2(k-1)^-))\mathbf{c}(q(2(k-1)), q_1 - q(2(k-1))),$$

and thus

$$(\mathbf{c}(q_1) \leftarrow \overline{R_1}) = (\mathbf{c}(q_1) \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(\overline{q(2(k-1))}, s(2(k-1)^-))) = \mathbf{c}(q_2)\mathbf{c}(q(2(k-1)), q_1 - q(2(k-1))).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$(\mathbf{c}(q_1) \leftarrow \overline{R_1} \cdots \overline{R_k}) = \mathbf{c}(q_{k+1}) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{c}(q(k+1-i)i, q_{k-i} - q((k+1-i)i)).$$

Putting together these calculations, we obtain

$$[\omega_k \omega_{k+1}] = \prod_{i=0}^k \rho((k+1-i)i)\mathbf{c}(q_k) \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{c}(q(k+1-i)i, q_{k-i} - q((k+1-i)i)).$$

We can then see that T' is such that $\omega(T') = \omega(Q)[\omega_k \omega_{k+1}]$, hence $T' \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$. \square

We note here a special case of the previous result.

Corollary 5.6. *Let T and Q be as in Proposition 5.5. Assume that $\omega_{k+1}(T)$ contains no barred letters. Then*

$$\omega(T') = [\omega(T)] = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \omega_i(Q)[\omega_k(Q)\omega_{k+1}(T)] = \prod_{i=0}^k \mathbf{c}(q_{k+1-i})$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1.


Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove it by induction on k . For $k = 1$ we have

$$T = \overset{p}{\bullet},$$

for some $p \leq n$. The reading of T is $\omega(T) = \mathbf{c}(p) = 12 \cdots p$. We note that this is standard, and that $q_0(T) = p$, thus indeed we have

$$[\omega(T)] = \omega(T) = \mathbf{c}(p) = \mathbf{c}(q_0).$$

We illustrate the proof for $k = 2$ as well. Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_2(n)$. We have that T is of the form



for some $p, a, b, c \leq n$ that satisfy the column and admissibility conditions. The reading of T is then

$$\omega(T) = \mathbf{c}(p)\mathbf{c}(a)\mathbf{c}(p; b, c) = c_1c_2.$$

Then the normal form of $\omega(T)$ is $[\omega(T)] = (c_1 \leftarrow c_2)$. We note that the admissibility condition asserts that $a + c \leq p$, hence we have $a \leq p$, thus $(\mathbf{c}(p) \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(a)) = \mathbf{c}(a)\mathbf{c}(p)$. Thus we have

$$[\omega(T)] = \mathbf{c}(a) (\mathbf{c}(p) \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(p; b, c)) = \mathbf{c}(a) (\mathbf{c}(p + b \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(\overline{p + b}, c)) = \mathbf{c}(a)\mathbf{c}(p + b - c) = \mathbf{c}(q_1(T))\mathbf{c}(q_0(T)).$$

Assume now that the statement of the theorem holds for k . Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$, and denote by T_0 its k -truncation, and let $Q = T(q_i(T_0))_{i=1}^k$. By induction hypothesis, we have that $[\omega(T)] = \omega(Q)$. Let T' be the tree as in Proposition 5.4. Then for the congruence of the \mathbb{N} -decorated plactic monoid \equiv_ϵ on $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$ we have

$$(20) \quad \omega(T) = \omega(T_0)\omega_{k+1}(T) \equiv_\epsilon \omega(Q)\omega_{k+1}(T) = \omega(T').$$

The C -tree T' satisfies the conditions of Proposition 5.5. Let now T'' be the C -tree defined as in that proposition. We then have

$$(21) \quad \omega(T') = \prod_{l=1}^{k+1} \omega_l(T') \equiv_\epsilon \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \omega_l(T'') [\omega_k(T')\omega_{k+1}(T')] = \omega(T'').$$

We note that T'' is such that $\omega(T'')$ contains no barred letters. Indeed, in Proposition 5.5 we can see that $s(v) = 0$ for any $v = ij^-$. Let T_0'' be the k -truncation of T'' , and let Q'' be its standard form, and let T''' be as in Proposition 5.4. By induction hypothesis we have

$$(22) \quad \omega(T'') = \omega(T_0'')\omega_{k+1}(T'') \equiv_\epsilon \omega(Q'')\omega_{k+1}(T'') = \omega(T''').$$

As the last level of T''' is the same as that of T'' , we have that T''' contains no barred letters. Moreover, the k -truncation of T''' is standard, hence by Corollary 5.6, we have a C -tree T'''' such that

$$(23) \quad \omega(T''') = [\omega(T''')] = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{c}(q_{k+1-i}(T''')).$$

From (20),(21),(22) we obtain $\omega(T) \equiv_\epsilon \omega(T') \equiv_\epsilon \omega(T'') \equiv_\epsilon \omega(T''')$, and since T', T'' , and T''' were produced via Propositions 5.4, 5.5, we have that $q_i(T''') = q_i(T'') = q_i(T') = q_i(T)$, hence we have $[\omega(T)] = [\omega(T''')] = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{c}(q_{k+1-i})$, and we obtain

$$[\omega(T)] = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{c}(q_{k+1-i})$$

which is what we wanted to show. The statement of the Theorem then follows by induction on k . \square

Given a C -tree $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$, we call $T(q_i(T))_{i=1}^k$ its *normal form*.

Theorem 5.1 also shows what kind of Kashiwara operators act on $\omega(T)$ for $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$.

Corollary 5.7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$. Then $f_i.\omega(T)$ exists if and only if $i = q_j(T)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq \text{rank}(T)$.*

We now note a few more consequences of this result.

Given a C -tree $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$, we see that the normal form of $\omega(T)$ is entirely determined by the values of $q_i(T)$. In particular, we have

Corollary 5.8. *Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$. Then*

$$[\omega(T_1)] = [\omega(T_2)] \iff q_i(T_1) = q_i(T_2)$$

for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$.

Given a C -tree $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$, we say that $T' \in \mathcal{GT}_l(n)$ is a *sub C -tree* of T if $l \leq k$, and there exists $1 \leq r \leq k - l$ such that

$$s'(ij^\pm) = s((r+i)j^\pm) \quad \text{for all } v = ij^\pm \text{ with } i+j \leq l.$$

In other words, the C -tree T contains a copy of T' with root at some vertex $r0$.

Let now $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$, and T' a sub C -tree of T . Let Q be the normal form of T' , and let T_1 be the C -tree obtained when replacing T' by Q in T . This will indeed be a C -tree, and since $q_i(Q) = q_i(T')$, we have that $q_i(T) = q_i(T_1)$. In particular, we have $\omega(T) \equiv_\epsilon \omega(T_1)$. We note this in the following

Corollary 5.9. *Let $T' \subset T$ be C -trees, and let T_1 be the C -tree obtained when replacing T' with its standard C -tree R . Then T_1 is indeed a C -tree, and $[\omega(T)] = [\omega(T_1)]$.*

5.2. Constructing C -trees from highest weight words. In the previous subsection we established where the image of the map $\omega : \mathcal{GT}(n) \rightarrow \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$ is. In particular, if by HW^n we denote the set of highest weights in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$, we have seen that $\omega(T) \in HW^n$. Thus we can consider w as a map $\omega : \mathcal{GT}(n) \rightarrow HW^n$. Here we will show that this map is surjective. We do this by constructing a map $\mathcal{T} : HW^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}(n)$ such that $\omega(\mathcal{T}(u)) = u$ for any $u \in HW^n$. We construct this map inductively on the length of elements of HW^n . Denote by HW_k^n the subset of HW^n consisting of words of length k .

Recall the following result,.

Lemma 5.10. (*[14]*) *Let $w_1, w_2 \in C_n^*$. The word $w_1 w_2$ is of highest weight if and only if*

- w_1 is a word of highest weight
- $\varepsilon_i(w_2) \leq \varphi_i(w_1)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Remark 5.11. *From our discussion in 3.3, this result can be adapted to $w_1, w_2 \in \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$.*

For $k = 1$, by Corollary 3.9 we have $c \in HW_1^n$ if and only if $c = \mathbf{c}(p)$ for some $p \leq n$. So we have $HW_1^n = \{\mathbf{c}(p) \mid p \leq n\}$, and we define a map $\mathcal{T}_1 : HW_1^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}_1(n)$ given by

$$\mathbf{c}(p) \mapsto \bullet^p,$$

and clearly $\omega(\mathcal{T}_1(c)) = c$ for all $c \in HW_1^n$.

Suppose now that we have established a map $\mathcal{T}_k : HW_k^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$ such that $\omega(\mathcal{T}_k(u)) = u$. We now construct a map $\mathcal{T}_{k+1} : HW_{k+1}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$ by utilizing this one.

Let $u = c_1 \cdots c_{k+1} \in HW_{k+1}^n$. Set $t = c_1 \cdots c_k$, and by induction hypothesis, let $T = (\Gamma_C, s) \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$ be such that $\omega(\mathcal{T}(t)) = t$.

Let now $l = |g(c_{k+1})|$ in C_n^* . If $l = 0$, i.e. $c_{k+1} = \epsilon$, then we set $\mathcal{T}_{k+1}(u)$ to be the C -tree $T' \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$ whose k -truncation is T , and its $k+1$ th level has all vertex labels equal to 0. Suppose now that $l = 1$, i.e. $c_{k+1} = x$ for some $x \in C_n$. By Lemma 5.10, we see that $\varepsilon_i(x) \leq \varphi_i(t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. As x is a one-letter word, we see that $\varepsilon_i(x) \in \{0, 1\}$. By Corollary 5.7, we see that $\varphi_i(v) > 0$ only if $i = q_r(T) = q_r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq k$. Thus we have $\varepsilon_i(x) > 0$ only if $i = q_r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq k$. This means that the $x \in C_n$ which satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.10 are $x \in \{1, q_r + 1, \overline{q_r}\}$. We then define $\mathcal{T}_{k+1} = (\Gamma_C, s')$ by setting $s'(v) = s(v)$ for $\text{lev}(v) \leq k$, and

1. if $x = q_r + 1$, and r is minimal with this property, then we set $s'(r(k+1-r)) = 1$,

2. if $x = \overline{q_r}$ and r is minimal with this property, then we set $s'(r(k+1-r)^-) = 1$,
3. if $x = 1$ and $q_r \neq 0$, then we set $s'((k+1)0) = 1$.

and $s'(v) = 0$ for all other vertices. The fact that $T' \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$ follows from our minimal choice of r . Moreover by construction we have $\omega(\mathcal{T}_{k+1}) = u$.

We now construct the map $\mathcal{T}_{k+1} : HW_{k+1}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}(n)$ in full by induction on the length $l = |g(c_{k+1})|$ in C_n^* . Indeed, say that we have $g(c_{k+1}) = dx$, and that $g(w) = g(t)x$. Again by Lemma 5.10 we have that $g(t)$ is of highest weight, and $\varepsilon_i(x) \leq \varphi_i(g(t))$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By induction hypothesis on $l = |g(c)|$, since $|g(d)| < |g(c)|$ there exists a C -tree $\mathcal{T}_{k+1}(t) \in \mathcal{GT}_{k+1}(n)$ with valuation s such that $\omega(\mathcal{T}_{k+1}(t)) = t$. Using the same argument as in the case for $|g(c)| = 1$, since here $x \neq 1$, we have that $x \in \{q_r + 1, \overline{q_r}\}$ for some $0 \leq r \leq k$. Again we apply the same recipe by defining a C -tree $\mathcal{T}_{k+1}(w) = (\Gamma_C, s')$ by setting $s'(v) = s(v)$ for if $s(v) \neq 0$, and

1. if $x = q_r + 1$, and r is maximal with this property, then we set $s'(r(k+1-r)) = s(r(k+1-r)) + 1$
2. if $x = \overline{q_r}$, and r is minimal with this property, then we set $s'(r(k+1-r)^-) = s(r(k+1-r)) + 1$

By construction we have that indeed $\omega(\mathcal{T}_{k+1})(w) = w$.

We then define $\mathcal{T} : HW^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}(n)$ by setting $\mathcal{T}(w) = \mathcal{T}_k(w)$ if $|w| = k$ in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$. Thus we have proven the first part of the following


Theorem 5.12. *The map $\mathcal{T} : HW^n \rightarrow \mathcal{GT}(n)$ is such that*

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}(u)) = u$$

for all $u \in HW^n$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T} = \omega^{-1}$.

Proof. Since given any $u \in HW^n$ we have $\omega(\mathcal{T}(u)) = u$, the map w is surjective.

To show that $\mathcal{T} = \omega^{-1}$, it suffices to prove that w is injective, which is proven by induction. We illustrate here the fact that $\omega : \mathcal{GT}_2(n) \rightarrow \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$ is injective. Indeed, let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{GT}_2(n)$ be such that $\omega(T_1) = \omega(T_2)$, i.e.



As $\omega(T_1) = \omega(T_2)$, we have

$$\mathbf{c}(p_1) = \mathbf{c}(p_2), \text{ and } \mathbf{c}(a_1; b_1, c_1) = \mathbf{c}(a_2; b_2, c_2).$$

The first equality implies $p_1 = p_2$. As a_1 and a_2 are the largest letters in $\omega_2(T_1)$ respectively $\omega_2(T_2)$ satisfying $a_1 \leq p_1$ and $a_2 \leq p_2 = p_1$, we have that $a_1 = a_2$. Furthermore $\omega_2(T_i)$ contains $a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2$ unbarred letters, implying $b_1 = b_2$, and $c_1 = c_2$ barred letters. Thus indeed $T_1 = T_2$.

The proof in full of the injectivity of w follows similarly by induction. The first part of the theorem asserts that $w \circ \mathcal{T} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{GT}(n)}$. For $T \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$ we have by the first assertion of the theorem


$$\omega((\mathcal{T} \circ w)(T)) = \omega(T),$$

and by injectivity we have $(\mathcal{T} \circ w)(T) = T$. Thus indeed $\mathcal{T} = \omega^{-1}$. \square


From this theorem, in what follows, we will often denote words of HW_n by their corresponding C -tree $T = \mathcal{T}(u) \in \mathcal{GT}(n)$.

6. COHERENT PRESENTATIONS FOR $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ AND $Pl(C_n)$

In this section we will compute the confluence diagrams of the critical branchings of the presentation **ACol** of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ to compute the normal form of a C -tree T of rank 2



we need to compute the insertion $(\omega_1(T) \leftarrow \omega_2(T)) = (\mathbf{c}(p) \leftarrow \mathbf{c}(a)\mathbf{c}(p; b, c))$. We know that $(\omega_1(T) \leftarrow \omega_2(T)) = [\omega(T)]$, and by Theorem 5.1, we have that



with $q_0 = p + b - c - a$. Let now $w = tuv \in \text{ACol}(C_n)^*$, and let w^0 its highest weight component. By definition of the Kashiwara operators, we know that $w^0 = t'u'v'$ for some $t', u', v' \in \text{ACol}(C_n)$. Recall from Section 3.4 that

$$\text{conf}(w) = \text{conf}(w^0) = (|a(w^0)|, |b(w^0)|),$$

where $a(w^0) = (1, 2, 1, \dots)$, and $b(w^0) = (2, 1, 2, \dots)$ are the two reduction strategies for w^0 . From Theorem 5.12, we consider the C -tree $T = \mathcal{T}(w^0) \in \mathcal{GT}_3(n)$. It is clear that the reduction strategy $a(T)$ and $b(T)$ corresponds to successive alternating insertions $\omega_1(T) \leftarrow \omega_2(T)$, and $\omega_2(T) \leftarrow \omega_3(T)$, with $a(T)$ starting with $\omega_1(T) \leftarrow \omega_2(T)$, and $b(T)$ starting with $\omega_2(T) \leftarrow \omega_3(T)$. In what follows, we will identify the maximal lengths of each of these sequences $a(T)$ and $b(T)$.

6.1. Upper bound for $a(T)$.


Theorem 6.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_3(n)$. Then $|a(T)| \leq 4$.*

Proof. Consider a tree $T \in \mathcal{GT}_3(n)$ in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Then the equations (20),(21),(22), and (23) for $T \in \mathcal{GT}_3(n)$ take the form

$$\begin{aligned} T' &= T((\omega_1(T) \leftarrow \omega_2(T))\omega_3(T)), \\ T'' &= T((\omega_1(T')(\omega_2(T') \leftarrow \omega_3(T')))), \\ T''' &= T((\omega_1(T'') \leftarrow \omega_2(T''))\omega_3(T''')), \\ T'''' &= T((\omega_1(T''')(\omega_2(T''') \leftarrow \omega_3(T''''))), \end{aligned}$$

with T'''' being normal. Thus we see that $|a(T)| \leq 4$. Which completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Here we describe each of the C -trees in the reduction sequence from T to $[T]$ in $\mathcal{GT}_3(n)$.



where $q'_0 = p + b - c$.

6.2. Upper bound for $b(T)$. We begin with an auxiliary result. This result is in a more general form than we need here.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_k(n)$ with $k \geq 1$ and assume that $\omega_k(T) \preceq \omega_{k-1}(T)$. Then $s((k-1)1^-) = 0$.*

Proof. As $\omega_k(T) \preceq \omega_{k-1}(T)$, then $\omega_{k-1}(T)\omega_k(T)$ is standard in $\text{ACol}(C_n)^*$. Set $a = s(k-1)$, $d = s(k)$, $e = s((k-1)1)$, and $h = s((n-1)1^-)$. By the column conditions, we have $d \leq a$.

Assume first that $a = 0$. Then $d = 0$. Note that if $e = 0$, then we clearly have $h = 0$, as $h \leq a + e = 0$. If $e > 0$, we have $\omega_k(T) = 12 \cdots e \cdots$. If we had $h > 0$, then $\bar{e} \in \omega_k(T)$, but then $N_e(\omega_k(T)) \geq e + 1$ which contradicts the admissibility of $\omega_k(T)$. Thus we have $h = 0$.


Assume now that $a \neq 0$. Again, if $e = 0$, by a similar reasoning as in the previous case, we have $h = 0$. So suppose that $e > 0$. Then the first $d+1$ letters of $\omega_{k-1}(T)$ and $\omega_k(T)$ are respectively $12 \cdots d(d+1)$ and $12 \cdots d(a+1)$. Since we have $\omega_k(T) \preceq \omega_{k-1}(T)$, we have that in particular $a+1 \leq d+1$, which gives $a = d$. But then we have that the first $d+e$ letters of $\omega_k(T)$ are $12 \cdots (d+e)$, and if $h \neq 0$, we have $\overline{d+e} \in \omega_k(T)$, which contradicts the admissibility of $\omega_k(T)$. Hence indeed we have $h = 0$.

This way we have shown that $s((k-1)1^-) = 0$ in each case, and thus we have proved the proposition. \square

Now we use Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.2 to find an upper bound for $b(T)$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{GT}_3(n)$. Then $|b(T)| \leq 3$.*

Proof. Let



Then by Proposition 6.2, we have that

$$T' = \mathcal{T}(\omega_1(T)(\omega_2(T) \leftarrow \omega_3(T))) =$$

Since $[T] = [T']$, by Corollary 5.8 we have that $q_i(T) = q_i(T')$. More precisely we have $a + e - h = q_1(T) = q_1(T') = d + e_1$ which gives us $e_1 = a + e - h - d = q_1 - d$. Next we have

$$= T'' = \mathcal{T}((\omega_1(T') \leftarrow \omega_2(T'))\omega_3(T'))$$

We note that T'' is of the same form as the fourth C-tree in (24), hence the final computation there we obtain

$$= T''' = \mathcal{T}((\omega_1(T)(\omega_2(T) \leftarrow \omega_3(T)))$$

and since $q_1 = d + e_1$, we see that T''' is indeed standard thus we obtain $|b(T)| \leq 3$, which is what we wanted to show. \square

Example 6.4. Theorems 6.1 and 6.3 give only upper bounds on $\text{conf}(T)$. Here we show that these upper bounds are optimal.

Consider the C-tree $T \in \mathcal{GT}_3(n)$

$$T =$$

with word $\omega(T) = tuv = 1212\bar{2}$, where $t = 12$, $u = 1$, and $v = 2\bar{2}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} T' &= (12 \leftarrow 1)2\bar{2} = (1)(12)(2\bar{2}) \\ T'' &= 1(12 \leftarrow 2\bar{2}) = (1)(2)(1) \\ T''' &= (1 \leftarrow 2)1 = (\epsilon)(12)(1) \\ T'''' &= \epsilon(12 \leftarrow 1) = (\epsilon)(1)(12) \end{aligned}$$

thus indeed $|a(T)| = 4$.

To compute $|b(T)|$ we have

$$R' = 12(1 \leftarrow 2\bar{2}) = (12)(\epsilon)(1)$$

$$R'' = (12 \leftarrow \epsilon)1 = (\epsilon)(12)(1)$$

$$R''' = \epsilon(12 \leftarrow 1) = (\epsilon)(1)(12)$$

so that we have $|b(T)| = 3$. Thus we have $\text{conf}(T) = (3, 4)$.

We are now ready to formalize our results in terms of presentations. Squier's theorem from [17] asserts given a convergent presentation, a family of generating confluences of \mathbf{ACol} forms a generating set for the 3-cells of its coherent extension. In the case of the presentation \mathbf{ACol} of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$, the family of generating confluences is those with source in $\{w = tuv \in \mathbf{ACol}(C_n)^* \mid v \not\leq u \not\leq t\}$, namely the critical branchings. In Theorems 6.1 and 6.3 we have found upper bounds for the two reduction sequences of these critical branchings, and Example 6.4 we see that these can in fact be reached. Thus we have the following.

Theorem 6.5. *The generating 3-cells of the coherent presentation \mathbf{ACol} of $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ are of the form*

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & t'u'v & \Longrightarrow & t'u''v' & \Longrightarrow & t'u'''v' & & \\ & \nearrow^{\alpha_{tuv}} & & & & & & \searrow & \\ tuv & & & & & & & & t_0u_0v_0 \\ & \searrow_{t\alpha_{uv}} & & & & & & \nearrow & \\ & & tu_1v_1 & \Longrightarrow & t_1u_2v_1 & & & & \end{array}$$

where we allow for some of the arrows to be the identity.

Thus far we have worked with $Pl^{\mathbb{N}}(C_n)$ and its convergent presentation \mathbf{ACol} , whose coherent extension is made explicit in Theorem 6.5. We now use this discussion to obtain results about $Pl(C_n)$ and its convergent presentation \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet .

Let $w = tuv \in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet$ the source of a critical branching, i.e. $v \not\leq u \not\leq t$. Let s_1 and s_2 respectively be the leftmost and rightmost reduction sequences of $w = tuv \in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet$. From the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have reduction sequences $p(s_1)$ and $p(s_2)$ of $w \in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet$. By (14) in that proof, and by Theorems 6.1 and 6.3 we obtain

$$|p(s_1)|_\bullet \leq |s_1| \leq 4,$$

$$|p(s_2)|_\bullet \leq |s_2| \leq 3.$$

Note that the leftmost reduction sequence of $w = 1212\bar{2}$ in Example 6.4 involves no 2-cell with ϵ in it, hence the corresponding reduction sequence in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet is also of length 4.

Example 6.6. *Let $t = 1, u = 23, v = 2$ in $\mathbf{ACol}_\bullet(C_n)$. Clearly we have $v \not\leq u \not\leq t$, and the rightmost reduction sequence of $w = tuv = 321$ in \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet is as follows*

$$s_2 : (1)(23)(2) \xrightarrow{2} (1)(2)(23) \xrightarrow{1} (12)(23) \xrightarrow{2} (2)(123),$$

where the label on \Longrightarrow indicates the location in the sequence where we apply a 2-cell. In particular, we see that $|s| = 3$.

This way, we obtain the following.

Corollary 6.7. *The generating 3-cells of the coherent presentation \mathbf{ACol}_\bullet of $Pl(C_n)$ are of the form*

$$(26) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & t'u'v & \Longrightarrow & t'u''v' & \Longrightarrow & t''u'''v' & & \\ & \nearrow^{\alpha_{tuv}} & & & & & & \searrow & \\ tuv & & & & & & & & t_0u_0v_0 \\ & \searrow_{t\alpha_{uv}} & & & & & & \nearrow & \\ & & tu_1v_1 & \Longrightarrow & t_1u_2v_1 & & & & \end{array}$$

where we allow for some of the arrows to be the identity.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alan J Cain, Robert D Gray, and António Malheiro. Crystal monoids & crystal bases: rewriting systems and biautomatic structures for plactic monoids of types an, bn, cn, dn, and g2. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 162:406–466, 2019.
- [2] Corrado De Concini. Symplectic standard tableaux. *Advances in Mathematics*, 34(1):1–27, 1979.
- [3] Stéphane Gaussent, Yves Guiraud, and Philippe Malbos. Coherent presentations of artin monoids. *Compositio Mathematica*, 151(5):957–998, 2015.
- [4] Yves Guiraud and Philippe Malbos. Polygraphs of finite derivation type. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 28(2):155–201, 2018.
- [5] Nohra Hage. Finite convergent presentation of plactic monoid for type c. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 25(08):1239–1263, 2015.
- [6] Nohra Hage and Philippe Malbos. Knuth’s coherent presentations of plactic monoids of type a. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 20(5):1259–1288, 2017.
- [7] Nohra Hage and Philippe Malbos. Coherence of monoids by insertions and chinese syzygies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.09879*, 2019.
- [8] Masaki Kashiwara. Crystalizing theq-analogue of universal enveloping algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 133(2):249–260, 1990.
- [9] Masaki Kashiwara and Toshiki Nakashima. Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical lie algebras. Technical report, Kyoto University. Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences [RIMS], 1991.
- [10] Donald Knuth. Permutations, matrices, and generalized young tableaux. *Pacific journal of mathematics*, 34(3):709–727, 1970.
- [11] Alain Lascoux, Bernard Leclerc, and Jean-Yves Thibon. Crystal graphs andq-analogues of weight multiplicities for the root system a n. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 35(4):359–374, 1995.
- [12] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-P Schützenberger. Le monoïde plaxique. *Noncommutative structures in algebra and geometric combinatorics (Naples, 1978)*, 109:129–156, 1981.
- [13] Victoria Lebed. Plactic monoids: a braided approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.05768*, 2016.
- [14] Cédric Lecouvey. Schensted-type correspondence, plactic monoid, and jeu de taquin for type cn. *Journal of Algebra*, 247(2):295–331, 2002.
- [15] Peter Littelmann. A plactic algebra for semisimple lie algebras. *advances in mathematics*, 124(2):312–331, 1996.
- [16] Craige Schensted. Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 13:179–191, 1961.
- [17] Craig C Squier, Friedrich Otto, and Yuji Kobayashi. A finiteness condition for rewriting systems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 131(2):271–294, 1994.