

The layer number of α -evenly distributed point sets

Ilkyoo Choi*

Weonyoung Joo[†]Minki Kim[‡]

June 5, 2020

Abstract

For a finite point set in \mathbb{R}^d , we consider a peeling process where the vertices of the convex hull are removed at each step. The layer number $L(X)$ of a given point set X is defined as the number of steps of the peeling process in order to delete all points in X . It is known that if X is a set of random points in \mathbb{R}^d , then the expectation of $L(X)$ is $\Theta(|X|^{2/(d+1)})$, and recently it was shown that if X is a point set of the square grid on the plane, then $L(X) = \Theta(|X|^{2/3})$.

In this paper, we investigate the layer number of α -evenly distributed point sets for $\alpha > 1$; these point sets share the regularity aspect of random point sets but in a more general setting. The set of lattice points is also an α -evenly distributed point set for some $\alpha > 1$. We find an upper bound of $O(|X|^{3/4})$ for the layer number of an α -evenly distributed point set X in a unit disk on the plane for some $\alpha > 1$, and provide an explicit construction that shows the growth rate of this upper bound cannot be improved. In addition, we give an upper bound of $O(|X|^{\frac{d+1}{2d}})$ for the layer number of an α -evenly distributed point set X in a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d for some $\alpha > 1$ and $d \geq 3$.

1 Introduction

Let $\text{Vol}(R)$ be the volume function of a region R in \mathbb{R}^d . For a finite point set X in \mathbb{R}^d , let $\text{conv}(X)$ denote the convex hull of X and let $V(X)$ denote the *convex layer* of X , which is the set of extreme points of X . We consider a “peeling process” of X that removes

*Supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2018R1D1A1B07043049), and also by the Hankuk University of Foreign Studies Research Fund. Department of Mathematics, Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea. ilkyoo@hufs.ac.kr

[†]Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, KAIST, Daejeon, Republic of Korea. es345@kaist.ac.kr

[‡]Partially supported by ISF grant no. 2023464 and BSF grant no. 2006099. Department of Mathematics, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel. kimminki@technion.ac.il

the convex layer at each step. Given a point set X , the *peeling sequence* $\{X_i\}_i$ of X is the sequence of subsets of X such that X_i is the set of remaining vertices at step $i-1$; in other words, $X_1 = X$ and $X_{i+1} = X_i \setminus V(X_i)$ for $i \geq 1$. See Figure 1 for an illustration.




Figure 1: One step of the peeling process of X .

The *layer number* $L(X)$ of X is the number of steps the peeling process takes to remove all points from X ; namely, $L(X) := \min\{k : X_{k+1} = \emptyset\}$. An optimal deterministic algorithm to find $L(X)$ with running time $O(|X| \log(|X|))$ was discovered in [Cha85].

Note that $L(X) = 1$ if and only if X is a point set in convex position. It is not hard to see that $1 \leq L(X) \leq \lceil |X|/2 \rceil$. The upper bound is sharp, as it comes from points on a single straight line. See the illustration in Figure 2.



Figure 2: An example of a point set X where $L(X) = \lfloor |X|/2 \rfloor$.

Finding an asymptotic bound on the layer number of a class of point sets is an intriguing research problem; in particular, discovering a class of point sets where every point set X in the class satisfies $L(X) \leq o(|X|)$ or even $L(X) \leq O(|X|^{1-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ is noteworthy.

Problem 1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of point sets in \mathbb{R}^d . Find the maximum value of $\epsilon > 0$ such that $L(X) \leq O(|X|^{1-\epsilon})$ for every finite point set $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Recently, Problem 1.1 was solved for random point sets [Dal04] and the square grid [HPL13].

In [Dal04], it was shown that for a bounded region R with non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^2 , the expected layer number for an n -vertex point set X distributed independently and uniformly in R is $\Theta(n^{2/3})$. Furthermore, the author of [Dal04] proved the bound is $\Theta(n^{2/(d+1)})$ when X is a set of points in \mathbb{R}^d . The main result in [HPL13] is that the layer number is

$\Theta(n^{2/3})$ for a set of points on the $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil \times \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ square grid. It would be remarkable to find the layer number of the $\lceil n^{1/d} \rceil \times \dots \times \lceil n^{1/d} \rceil$ d -dimensional square grid for each $d \geq 3$; this future direction was also mentioned in [HPL13].

Regarding the results in [Dal04] and [HPL13], it is interesting that the bounds coincide to $\Theta(n^{2/3})$ for the case of an n -vertex point set on the plane. However, it may not be surprising because the bounds for the number of points in convex position are the same. Note that the layer number $L(X)$ of a point set X is bounded below by $|X|$ divided by the maximum number of points in convex position. In [Bár89], it was shown that for an n -vertex point set X distributed independently and uniformly in a convex body, the expected value of $|V(X)|$ is $O(n^{(d-1)/(d+1)})$. This result is the core of the proof of the lower bound of $\Omega(n^{2/(d+1)})$ in [Dal04]. The expected number of $|V(X)|$ is also $O(n^{(d-1)/(d+1)})$ for grids, as shown in [Sch88]. See [And63, BB91, BL98, Bár08] for an overview and more information on the number of points of the convex layer of sets of lattice points. Also see [RS63, Sch88, Bár08] for similar results regarding random point sets.

1.1 Evenly distributed point sets

Besides sets of random points or sets of lattice points, it is worth considering the layer number of “evenly distributed” point sets, that is, point sets that are almost uniformly distributed in a given region. In particular, an evenly distributed point set is “locally” not too dense.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a finite point set in a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . For a constant $\alpha > 1$, we say X is *α -evenly distributed* if

$$|X \cap D| \leq \lceil \alpha |X| \text{Vol}(D) \rceil$$

holds for every Euclidean ball D with positive volume.

The above definition, roughly speaking, says that when the volume of D is large, then the number of points of X in D is proportional to the volume of D , and when the volume of D is small, then the number of points of X in D is bounded above by a constant.

In this paper, we investigate Problem 1.1 for α -evenly distributed point sets in \mathbb{R}^d . We succeed in determining the maximum value of ϵ in Problem 1.1 when $d = 2$. It was surprising to discover that the behavior of an evenly distributed point set is quite different from that of the square grid. We also obtain results for higher dimensions, but unfortunately we could not prove that the growth rate is tight.

Given a positive integer d and a positive real number α , let $\mathcal{C}_d(\alpha)$ be the class of all α -evenly distributed point sets in a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.3. *For every real number $\alpha > 1$, if X is a point set in the class $\mathcal{C}_2(\alpha)$, then $L(X) \leq O(|X|^{3/4})$, and the growth rate cannot be improved.*

Theorem 1.4. *For every real number $\alpha > 1$ and every integer $d \geq 3$, if X is a point set in the class $\mathcal{C}_d(\alpha)$, then $L(X) \leq O(|X|^{\frac{d+1}{2d}})$.*

In Section 3.1, we prove Theorem 1.3 by further developing ideas from [Dal04]. In Section 3.2, we give an explicit construction showing that the bound of $O(|X|^{3/4})$ cannot be improved, and hence confirm that $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$ is the answer of Problem 1.1 for evenly distributed point sets on the plane. In Section 4, we prove an analogue of Theorem 1.3 to higher dimensions, which gives a partial solution of $\epsilon \geq \frac{d-1}{2d}$ to Problem 1.1 for evenly distributed point sets in \mathbb{R}^d where $d \geq 3$. We finish the paper with remarks, discussion on future research directions, and open problems in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

We prove some lemmas that will be used in the proofs of the main theorems. The statement of the following lemma also appeared in [Dal04]; we include a proof here for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume X is a finite point set in \mathbb{R}^d .*

(a) *If $Y \subseteq X$, then $Y \setminus V(Y) \subseteq X \setminus V(X)$.*

(b) *If $Y \subseteq X$, then $L(Y) \leq L(X)$.*

Proof. (a) If no point of Y is in $V(X)$, then $Y \setminus V(Y) \subseteq Y \subseteq X \setminus V(X)$. Thus, we may assume that $V(X) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, and let $v \in V(X) \cap Y$. Since $v \in V(X)$, there is a hyperplane H such that $H \cap X = \{v\}$ and H divides \mathbb{R}^d into two closed halfspaces H^+ and H^- where $H^+ \cap X = \{v\}$ and $H^- \cap X = X$. See Figure 3 for an illustration. Since $Y \subseteq X$ and $v \in Y$, it follows that $H^+ \cap Y = \{v\}$ and $H^- \cap Y = Y$. Hence, v is also in $V(Y)$. This shows that $Y \cap V(X) \subseteq V(Y)$. Therefore, $Y \setminus V(Y) \subseteq Y \setminus (Y \cap V(X)) = Y \setminus V(X) \subseteq X \setminus V(X)$.

(b) Let $\{X_i\}_i$ and $\{Y_i\}_i$ be the peeling sequence of X and Y , respectively. We will show that $Y_k \subseteq X_k$ for every $k \geq 1$ by induction on k . For $k = 1$, it is true since $Y \subseteq X$. Assume $k \geq 2$ and $Y_{k-1} \subseteq X_{k-1}$ holds. By (a), we know $Y_{k-1} \setminus V(Y_{k-1}) \subseteq X_{k-1} \setminus V(X_{k-1})$, which is equivalent to $Y_k \subseteq X_k$. Hence, when $k = L(X) + 1$, it follows that $Y_{L(X)+1} \subseteq X_{L(X)+1} = \emptyset$, which means $L(Y) \leq L(X)$. \square

Let K_1 and K_2 be two convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d such that $K_2 \subset \text{int}(K_1)$. For each point p on the boundary of K_2 , let H_p be a tangent hyperplane of K_2 at the point p . Note that H_p divides \mathbb{R}^d into two closed halfspaces, say H_p^+ and H_p^- such that $\text{int}(H_p^+) \cap K_2 = \emptyset$. The closed region $K_1 \cap H_p^+$ is called a *cap* of $K_1 \setminus K_2$. See Figure 4 for an illustration. Note that each cap has a corresponding hyperplane and a corresponding point on the boundary of K_2 . The following lemma shows how the number of points in a cap is related to the layer number of the given point set in \mathbb{R}^d .




Figure 3: For Lemma 2.1 (a). Dots represent points in X , and diamonds represent points in Y . Note that $Y \subseteq X$.




Figure 4: A cap C of $K_1 \setminus K_2$

Lemma 2.2. *If K_1 and K_2 are two convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d such that $K_2 \subseteq \text{int}(K_1)$ and X is a finite point set in K_1 , then $L(X) \leq \max\{|X \cap C| : C \text{ is a cap of } K_1 \setminus K_2\} + L(X \cap K_2)$.*

Proof. Let C be a cap of $K_1 \setminus K_2$ and let H be the corresponding hyperplane of C . Recall that H divides \mathbb{R}^d into two closed halfspaces H^+ and H^- where $C = K_1 \cap H^+$.

Let $\{X_i\}_i$ be the peeling sequence of X . We claim that for $k \geq 1$, either $V(X_k) \cap C$ is non-empty or $X_k \cap C$ is empty. Suppose $X_k \cap C$ is non-empty. Note that $X_k \cap C = X_k \cap H^+$ since $X \subset K_1$. Now, it must be that $\text{conv}(X_k)$ contains some point p in H^+ such that $p \in V(X_k)$. Since p must also be in C , it follows that $V(X_k) \cap C$ is non-empty.

Now, at every peeling step, at least one point is removed from each non-empty cap. Let C_0 be a cap of $K_1 \setminus K_2$ that contains the maximum number of points of X . By repetitively

applying Lemma 2.1 (b), we conclude that $L(X) \leq |X \cap C_0| + L(X_{|X \cap C_0|+1} \cap K_2) \leq |X \cap C_0| + L(X \cap K_2)$. \square

Recall that a finite point set X in a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d is α -evenly distributed if there is a constant $\alpha > 1$ such that

$$|X \cap D| \leq [\alpha |X| \text{Vol}(D)]$$

holds for every Euclidean ball D with positive volume. Let $\delta(X)$ be the minimum distance between two different points in X ; namely, $\delta(X) = \min\{\|x - y\| : x, y \in X \text{ and } x \neq y\}$. The following lemma gives a sufficient condition on $\delta(X)$ in order for X to be an α -evenly distributed point set for some $\alpha > 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *For a positive integer d , there exists a continuous bijection $f_d : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that if X is a finite point set in a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying $\delta(X) \geq \beta |X|^{-1/d}$ for some constant $\beta > 0$, then X is $f_d(\beta)$ -evenly distributed.*

Proof. Let $n = |X|$ and let $\beta > 0$ be a constant such that $\delta(X) \geq \beta n^{-1/d}$. Also, let B be a ball of radius $r > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Note that the $\text{Vol}(B) = C_d r^d$ for some positive constant C_d . A d -dimensional cube with side length $\frac{\delta(X)}{2\sqrt{d}}$ contains at most one point of X since it fits in a ball of diameter less than $\delta(X)$. Since B fits in a d -dimensional cube with side length $2r$, we have the following upper bound on $|X \cap B|$:

$$|X \cap B| \leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2r}{\delta(X)/\sqrt{4d}} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)^d \leq \left\lceil \left(\frac{4\sqrt{d}r}{\beta} n^{1/d} + 1 \right)^d \right\rceil.$$

Let $f_d(\beta) = \left(\frac{4\sqrt{d}}{C_d^{1/d}\beta} + 1 \right)^d$ so f_d is a continuous bijection from $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ to $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$. We see that X is $f_d(\beta)$ -evenly distributed by definition. \square

3 The layer number of an evenly distributed point set on the plane

3.1 The upper bound on the plane

In this section, we give an upper bound of $O(|X|^{3/4})$ on the layer number of a point set X in the class $\mathcal{C}_2(\alpha)$, that is, an α -evenly distributed point set in a unit disk in \mathbb{R}^2 . This shows the first part of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 3.1. *For a real number $\alpha > 1$, if X is a point set in the class $\mathcal{C}_2(\alpha)$, then $L(X) \leq O(|X|^{3/4})$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_2(\alpha)$ with sufficiently many points where $n = |X|$ and let $N = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, let D_j be the disk in \mathbb{R}^2 with radius $1 - \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}$ centered at the origin; note that D_0 is the unit disk centered at the origin. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, let C_j be a cap of $D_j \setminus D_{j+1}$ that contains the maximum number of points of X among all caps of $D_j \setminus D_{j+1}$. See Figure 5.




Figure 5: Take a cap C_j of $D_j \setminus D_{j+1}$ that contains the maximum number of points of X .

Since X is α -evenly distributed in D_0 , we have upper bounds

$$|X \cap B_0| \leq \lceil \alpha\pi \rceil \quad \text{and} \quad |X \cap B_1| \leq \left\lceil \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right\rceil,$$

where B_0 and B_1 is a disk of radius $n^{-1/2}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}n^{-1/2}$, respectively. We prove the following two lemmas, which provide upper bounds on $|X \cap C_j|$ and $|X \cap D_N|$.

Lemma 3.2. *For $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, we know $|X \cap C_j| \leq O(n^{1/4}) + O(1)$.*

Proof. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, the area of C_j is upper bounded by the area of the smallest rectangle R_j containing C_j . The length of one side of R_j is $n^{-1/2}$ and the length of the other side of R_j is bounded above by $2\sqrt{2}n^{-1/4}$ since $2\sqrt{2n^{-1/2} - (2j+1)/n} \leq 2\sqrt{2}n^{-1/4}$. By partitioning R_j into $\lceil 2\sqrt{2}n^{1/4} \rceil$ squares of side length $n^{-1/2}$, we see that R_j can be covered by $\lceil 2\sqrt{2}n^{1/4} \rceil$ disks of radii $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}n^{-1/2}$. See Figure 6.

Since each disk of radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}n^{-1/2}$ contains at most $\lceil \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \rceil$ points of X , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |X \cap C_j| &\leq |X \cap R_j| \\ &\leq \left\lceil \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right\rceil \lceil 2\sqrt{2}n^{1/4} \rceil \\ &\leq \left\lceil \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right\rceil (2\sqrt{2}n^{1/4} + 1) \\ &= O(n^{1/4}) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 6: A rectangle R_j containing a cap C_j can be covered by disks of radii $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}n^{-1/2}$. □

Lemma 3.3. $|X \cap D_N| \leq [\alpha\pi]$.

Proof. This is obvious since D_N is a disk of radius less than $n^{-1/2}$. □

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(X) &\leq |X \cap D_N| + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |X \cap C_j| && \text{(by Lemma 2.2)} \\
 &\leq [\alpha\pi] + N \times (O(n^{1/4}) + O(1)) && \text{(by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3)} \\
 &\leq [\alpha\pi] + n^{1/2}(O(n^{1/4}) + O(1)) \\
 &\leq O(n^{3/4})
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $L(X) \leq O(|X|^{3/4})$. □

3.2 The lower bound on the plane

In this section, we prove that the upper bound in Theorem 1.3 is best possible.

Proposition 3.4. *For every real number $\alpha > 1$, there exists an α -evenly distributed point set X in a unit disk in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $L(X) \geq \Omega(|X|^{3/4})$.*

Proof. We give an explicit construction of an α -evenly distributed point set X in the unit disk D in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at the origin with $|X| \leq O(n)$ and $L(X) \geq \Omega(n^{3/4})$. Fix $\alpha > 1$, and let $\beta > 0$ satisfy $f_2(\beta) = \alpha$, where f_2 is the function defined in Lemma 2.3. Let $C = \max\{2\beta, 4\pi^2\}$ and $M = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{n}}{C} \rfloor$. For a sufficiently large positive integer n , let $k = \lfloor n^{1/4} \rfloor$.

Let P_1 be a regular k -gon centered at the origin such that the distance between the origin and a vertex of P_1 is $\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, let P_j be the scaled copy of P_1 , centered at

the origin, with scaling factor j . Let l_j be the length of an edge of P_j . Since $\frac{2x}{\pi} \leq \sin x < x$ and $k > n^{1/4}/2$, we have

$$\frac{j}{n^{3/4}} \leq l_j = 2 \times \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} j \times \sin \frac{\pi}{k} < \frac{4C\pi j}{n^{3/4}}.$$

Now, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, define a point set Q_j containing all vertices of P_j , and in addition include as many points as possible on the boundary of P_j such that the distance between two (consecutive) points on Q_j is at least $\beta n^{-1/2}$. Finally, let

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^M Q_j.$$

See Figure 7 for an illustration.




Figure 7: An α -evenly distributed point set X in a unit disk in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $L(X) = \Omega(|X|^{3/4})$.

Since $\cos \frac{\pi}{k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently large k , the minimum distance between points on the boundaries of P_j and P_{j+1} is at least

$$\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \times \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \geq \frac{C}{2\sqrt{n}} \geq \beta n^{-1/2}.$$

Hence $\delta(X) \geq \beta n^{-1/2}$, and by Lemma 2.3, X is α -evenly distributed in D .

Claim 3.5. For $j \in \{2, \dots, M\}$, if P'_j is a regular k -gon whose vertices are the midpoints of edges of P_j , then $P_{j-1} \subset P'_j$.

Proof. Let O be the origin and let W_1 , V , and W_2 be three consecutive vertices of P_k . For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let M_i be the midpoint of the edge VW_i . Let U be the vertex of P_{k-1} such that O, U, V are collinear, and let P be the point where UV and M_1M_2 intersect. It is sufficient to show that P is strictly between U and V . See Figure 8 for an illustration.

Let $l \leq 1$ be the length of the line segment OV . Since $\angle W_iOV = 2\pi/k$ and W_iOV is an isosceles triangle for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we know that $\angle M_1OV = \angle VM_1M_2 = \pi/k$. Since $\sin x < x$ for $x > 0$ and $k > n^{1/4}/2$, the length of the line segment VP is given by

$$l \times \left(\sin \frac{\pi}{k} \right)^2 < 1 \times \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{k^2} < \frac{4\pi^2}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}.$$




Figure 8: An illustration of Claim 3.5. P exists strictly between U and V .

Since the line segment VP has length strictly less than the length of UV , which is $Cn^{-1/2}$, it follows that P is strictly between U and V . \square

Claim 3.6. For $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, we have $|Q_j| = \Theta(j)$ and $L(Q_j) \geq \frac{j}{4\beta n^{1/4}}$.

Proof. Recall that

$$\frac{j}{n^{3/4}} \leq l_j < \frac{4C\pi j}{n^{3/4}}.$$

Since the distance between two consecutive points of Q_j is between $\beta n^{-1/2}$ and $2\beta n^{-1/2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} j \leq \frac{l_j}{2\beta n^{-1/2}} \times n^{1/4} \leq |Q_j| \leq \frac{l_j}{\beta n^{-1/2}} \times n^{1/4} \leq \frac{4C\pi}{\beta} j.$$

Thus, we conclude that $|Q_j| = \Theta(j)$.

For the layer number of Q_j , observe that every layer of Q_j contains at most two points on each edge of P_j . In fact, the layer number of Q_j is at least half the number of points on an edge. Therefore,

$$L(Q_j) \geq \frac{l_j/2}{2\beta n^{-1/2}} \geq \frac{j/n^{3/4}}{4\beta n^{-1/2}} = \frac{j}{4\beta n^{1/4}}.$$

\square

Claim 3.7. $L(X) \geq \Omega(n^{3/4})$ and $|X| = \Theta(n)$.

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^M j = \frac{M(M+1)}{2} = \Theta(n).$$

Thus $|X| = \sum_{j=1}^M |Q_j| = \Theta(n)$.

On the other hand, Claim 3.5 tells us that all points of Q_k will be removed before removing a point of Q_{k-1} in the peeling process of X . By Claim 3.6, we obtain the following lower bound on $L(X)$.

$$L(X) \geq \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{j}{4\beta n^{1/4}} = \Omega(n^{3/4}).$$

□

Since $L(X) \geq \Omega(n^{3/4})$ and $|X| = \Theta(n)$, we know that $L(X) \geq \Omega(|X|^{3/4})$. □

4 Results in higher dimensions

In this section, we generalize Proposition 3.1 in Section 3.1 to higher dimensions by proving Theorem 1.4. The proof is similar to the planar case, yet, it is not a straightforward generalization.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Fix $d \geq 3$ and let D_0 be a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d centered at the origin. Let X be an α -evenly distributed finite point set in D_0 with sufficiently many points and let $n = |X|$. The volume of a d -dimensional ball with radius $r > 0$ is given by $\frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} r^d = \Theta(r^d)$ for a fixed d ; Γ is Euler's gamma function. Hence, for a d -dimensional ball to have at most a constant number of points of X , the ball must have radius $O(n^{-1/d})$. Fix $k \geq 0$ and let B_0, B_1 , and B_2 be a ball of radius $n^{-k}, \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} n^{-1/d}$, and $\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} n^{-k}$, respectively. Since X is α -evenly distributed in D_0 , there exist constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ depending only on α, d , and k , but not n , such that

$$|X \cap B_0| \leq \begin{cases} c_1 & \text{if } k > \frac{1}{d} \\ c_2 n^{1-dk} & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{d} \end{cases}, |X \cap B_1| \leq c_3, \text{ and } |X \cap B_2| \leq c_4 n^{1-dk}.$$

Let $N = \lfloor n^k \rfloor$. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, let D_j be the ball of radius $1 - \frac{j}{n^k}$ centered at the origin. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, let C_j be a cap of $D_j \setminus D_{j+1}$ that contains the maximum number of points of X among all caps of $D_j \setminus D_{j+1}$.

The following lemmas reveal upper bounds on $|X \cap C_j|$ and $|X \cap D_N|$.

Lemma 4.1. *For $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, we know*

$$|X \cap C_j| \leq \begin{cases} O(n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}}) & \text{if } k > \frac{1}{d} \\ O(n^{1 - \frac{dk}{2} - \frac{k}{2}}) & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{d} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, C_j is bounded by the smallest d -dimensional box R_j containing C_j . Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2, by geometric observations we know that R_j has $d-1$ sides of length $2\sqrt{2n^{-k} - (2j+1)n^{-2k}} \leq 2\sqrt{2}n^{-k/2}$ and one side of length n^{-k} .

If $k \geq 1/d$, then by partitioning R_j into at most $\left[2\sqrt{2}n^{\frac{1}{d} - \frac{k}{2}}\right]^{d-1}$ d -dimensional boxes of side length $n^{-1/d}$, we see that R_j can be covered by $4^{d-1}n^{\frac{d-1}{2d}}$ balls of radii $\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}n^{-1/d}$. If

$0 \leq k \leq 1/d$, then by partitioning R_j into at most $\lceil 2\sqrt{2}n^{k/2} \rceil^{d-1}$ d -dimensional boxes of side length n^{-k} , we see that R_j can be covered by $4^{d-1}n^{(d-1)k/2}$ balls of radii $\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}n^{-k}$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |X \cap C_j| &\leq |X \cap R_j| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} c_3 4^{d-1} n^{\frac{d-1}{2d}} & \text{if } k \geq \frac{1}{d} \\ c_4 n^{1-dk} \times 4^{d-1} n^{(d-1)k/2} & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{d} \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} O(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2d}}) & \text{if } k \geq \frac{1}{d} \\ O(n^{1-\frac{dk}{2}-\frac{k}{2}}) & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{d} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. $|X \cap D_N| \leq \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } k \geq \frac{1}{d} \\ O(n^{1-dk}) & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{d} \end{cases}$.

Proof. This is obvious since D_N is a ball of radius less than n^{-k} . □

Recall that X is an α -evenly distributed finite point set in a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} L(X) &\leq |X \cap D_N| + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |X \cap C_j| && \text{(by Lemma 2.2)} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} (\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} O(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2d}})) + O(1) & \text{if } k \geq \frac{1}{d} \\ (\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} O(n^{1-\frac{dk}{2}-\frac{k}{2}})) + O(n^{1-dk}) & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{d} \end{cases} && \text{(by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2)} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} O(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2d}+k}) & \text{if } k \geq \frac{1}{d} \\ O(n^{1-\frac{dk}{2}+\frac{k}{2}}) & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{d} \end{cases} && \text{(since } N \leq n^k) \\ &\leq O(n^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2d}}) && \text{(let } k = 1/d) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $L(X) \leq O(|X|^{\frac{d+1}{2d}})$. □

5 Future directions and open problems

In this section, we suggest possible future research directions and open problems.

In the planar case, we gave a construction to show tightness of the upper bound of Theorem 1.3. For higher dimensions, it is trickier to construct such examples relying only on Euclidean geometry. One possible way to find such an example is to consider vector configurations. We conjecture that the upper bound we found for higher dimensions is also tight.

Conjecture 5.1. *For every real number $\alpha > 1$ and $d \geq 3$, there exists an α -evenly distributed point set X in \mathbb{R}^d such that $L(X) \geq \Omega(|X|^{\frac{d+1}{2d}})$.*

In this paper, we focused on upper bounds of the layer number. However, one can also ask about lower bounds.

Question 5.2. *For a real number $\alpha > 1$ and $d \geq 2$, find a lower bound on $L(X)$ for an α -evenly distributed finite point set X in the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d .*

For $d = 2$, one can easily construct an example of an α -evenly distributed point set X on the plane such that $L(X) \geq \Omega(|X|^{1/2})$. We suspect that $\Omega(|X|^{1/2})$ is also the best possible lower bound for $d \geq 2$.

Finally, recall that in [HPL13], it was shown that for the $\lceil n^{1/2} \rceil \times \lceil n^{1/2} \rceil$ square grid G_n in \mathbb{R}^2 , $L(G_n) = \Theta(n^{2/3})$. However, it is quite challenging to generalize their proof for higher dimensions. The authors of [HPL13] also posed the following question.

Question 5.3 ([HPL13]). *If G_n^d is the $\lceil n^{1/d} \rceil \times \cdots \times \lceil n^{1/d} \rceil$ square grid in \mathbb{R}^d for $d \geq 3$, then what can one say about $L(G_n^d)$?*

Note that the point set G_n^d is an α -evenly distributed point set in a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d for some $\alpha > 1$ by applying Lemma 2.3 after rescaling G_n^d . By Theorem 1.3, we know $L(G_n^d) \leq O(n^{2/d})$ for $d \geq 3$. On the other hand, the lower bound on $L(G_n^d)$ can be obtained as an immediate consequence of the following well-known result (see [Bár08, Theorem 13.1], for example).

Theorem 5.4 ([Bár08]). *If $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, then $|V(\text{conv}(X))| \ll (\text{Vol}(\text{conv}(X)))^{(d-1)/(d+1)}$.*

From the above theorem, we obtain $L(G_n^d) \geq \Omega(n^{2/(d+1)})$ since the number of points deleted in each step of the peeling process of G_n^d is $O(n^{(d-1)/(d+1)})$.

Indeed, it was shown in [BB91] that the number of lattice points on a ball of radius $r > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d is $\Theta(r^{(d-1)/(d+1)})$. Hence, we suspect that $L(G_n^d) = \Theta(n^{2/(d+1)})$, which is same as the expected layer number of a random point set X with n points in a unit ball of \mathbb{R}^d [Dal04].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Andreas Holmsen for helpful comments and development of this project in the early stages, and also Joseph Briggs for improving the readability of the manuscript. We thank the referees for pointing out the various mistakes and providing valuable comments, which improved the quality of the manuscript.

References

- [And63] George E. Andrews. A lower bound for the volume of strictly convex bodies with many boundary lattice points. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106:270–279, 1963.
- [Bár89] Imre Bárány. Intrinsic volumes and f -vectors of random polytopes. *Math. Ann.*, 285(4):671–699, 1989.
- [Bár08] Imre Bárány. Random points and lattice points in convex bodies. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 45(3):339–365, 2008.
- [BB91] Antal Balog and Imre Bárány. On the convex hull of the integer points in a disc. In *Discrete and computational geometry (New Brunswick, NJ, 1989/1990)*, volume 6 of *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, pages 39–44. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [BL98] Imre Bárány and David G. Larman. The convex hull of the integer points in a large ball. *Math. Ann.*, 312(1):167–181, 1998.
- [Cha85] Bernard Chazelle. On the convex layers of a planar set. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 31(4):509–517, 1985.
- [Dal04] Ketan Dalal. Counting the onion. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 24(2):155–165, 2004.
- [HPL13] Sariel Har-Peled and Bernard Lidický. Peeling the grid. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 27(2):650–655, 2013.
- [RS63] A. Rényi and R. Sulanke. Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 2:75–84 (1963), 1963.
- [Sch88] Rolf Schneider. Random approximation of convex sets. *Microscopy*, 151:211–227, 1988.