

# STANDARD MONOMIALS OF 1-SKELETON IDEALS OF GRAPHS AND THEIR SIGNLESS LAPLACE MATRICES

CHANCHAL KUMAR, GARGI LATHER, AND AMIT ROY

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a (multi) graph on the vertex set  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  with root 0. The  $G$ -parking function ideal  $\mathcal{M}_G$  is a monomial ideal in the polynomial ring  $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G}\right) = \det\left(\tilde{L}_G\right)$ , where  $\tilde{L}_G$  is the truncated Laplace matrix of  $G$  and  $\det\left(\tilde{L}_G\right)$  is the determinant of  $\tilde{L}_G$ . In other words, standard monomials of the Artinian quotient  $\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G}$  correspond bijectively with the spanning trees of  $G$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , the  $k$ -skeleton ideal  $\mathcal{M}_G^{(k)}$  of  $G$  is the monomial subideal  $\mathcal{M}_G^{(k)} = \langle m_A : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq [n] \text{ and } |A| \leq k + 1 \rangle$  of the  $G$ -parking function ideal  $\mathcal{M}_G = \langle m_A : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq [n] \rangle \subseteq R$ . For a simple graph  $G$ , Dochtermann conjectured that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}}\right) \geq \det\left(\tilde{Q}_G\right)$ , where  $\tilde{Q}_G$  is the truncated signless Laplace matrix of  $G$ . We show that Dochtermann conjecture holds for any (simple or multi) graph  $G$  on  $V$ .  
 KEY WORDS: Standard monomials, signless Laplace matrix, parking functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a multigraph on the vertex set  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n\} = \{0\} \cup [n]$  with root 0 and adjacency matrix  $A(G) = [a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . Let  $E(i, j)$  be the set of edges between  $i, j \in V$ . Then  $E(i, j) = E(j, i)$  and  $|E(i, j)| = a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ . We always assume that  $G$  is loopless, i.e.,  $a_{ii} = 0$  for every  $i \in V$ . For  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , set  $d_A(i) = \sum_{j \in V \setminus A} a_{ij}$ , for  $i \in A$ . Then  $d_i = d_{\{i\}}(i)$  is the degree of the vertex  $i$  in  $G$ . Let  $D = \text{diag}[d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n]$  be the diagonal matrix of order  $n + 1$ . The Laplace matrix  $L_G$  and the signless Laplace matrix  $Q_G$  of  $G$  are given by

$$L_G = D - A(G) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_G = D + A(G).$$

On deleting row and column corresponding to the root 0 from  $L_G$  and  $Q_G$ , we obtain truncated Laplace matrix  $\tilde{L}_G$  and truncated signless Laplace matrix  $\tilde{Q}_G$  of  $G$ , respectively. Let  $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Sometimes, we write  $R = R_n$  to indicate the number of variables in the polynomial ring. The monomial ideal  $\mathcal{M}_G$  in  $R$  given by

$$\mathcal{M}_G = \left\langle m_A = \prod_{i \in A} x_i^{d_A(i)} : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq [n] \right\rangle$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E40, 15B36.

is called the *G-parking function ideal*. The monomial ideal  $\mathcal{M}_G$ , more generally for directed graph  $G$  on  $V$ , has been introduced by Postnikov and Shapiro [9]. The standard monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}} = x_1^{p_1} x_2^{p_2} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$  of  $\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G}$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}} \notin \mathcal{M}_G$ ) correspond to  $G$ -parking functions  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G} \right) = \det \tilde{L}_G$ . Thus by the matrix tree theorem, number of  $G$ -parking functions equals the number of spanning trees of  $G$ . An algorithmic bijection between the set of  $G$ -parking functions and the set of spanning trees of  $G$  is given by Perkinson, Yang and Yu [7] for simple graph and by Gaydarov and Hopkins [3] for multigraph.

For  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , we consider the monomial subideal  $\mathcal{M}_G^{(k)}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_G$  given by  $\mathcal{M}_G^{(k)} = \left\langle m_A = \prod_{i \in A} x_i^{d_A(i)} : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq [n] \text{ and } |A| \leq k+1 \right\rangle$  and call it the *k-skeleton ideal* of  $G$ . Dochtermann [1, 2] showed that like  $\mathcal{M}_G$ , the  $k$ -skeleton ideals  $\mathcal{M}_G^{(k)}$  of  $G$  also have many interesting combinatorial properties. He verified that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) = \det \tilde{Q}_G$  for the complete graph  $G = K_{n+1}$  and conjectured the inequality  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) \geq \det \tilde{Q}_G$  for any simple graph  $G$  on  $V$ .

Let  $a, b$  be positive integers. The complete multigraph  $K_{n+1}^{a,b}$  on  $V$  is given by the adjacency matrix  $A \left( K_{n+1}^{a,b} \right) = [a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$  with  $a_{i0} = a_{0i} = a$  and  $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = b$  for  $i, j \in [n]; i \neq j$ . Let  $G$  be a subgraph of the complete multigraph  $K_{n+1}^{a,b}$  obtained by deleting some edges through the root 0. Then we show (Theorem 2.5) that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) = \det \tilde{Q}_G$ . Also for any (simple or multi) graph  $G$  on  $V$ , we show (Corollary 3.4) that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) \geq \det \tilde{Q}_G$ . In fact, corresponding to any positive semidefinite matrix  $H = [\alpha_{ij}]_{n \times n}$  over  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{ii} \geq \max_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we consider the monomial ideal

$$\mathcal{J}_H = \left\langle x_l^{\alpha_l}, x_i^{\alpha_i - \alpha_{ij}} x_j^{\alpha_j - \alpha_{ij}} : 1 \leq l \leq n, 1 \leq i < j \leq n \right\rangle$$

in  $R$ . Using Courant-Weyl inequalities and Fischer's inequality on the determinant of positive semidefinite matrices, we obtain (Theorem 3.3)  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) \geq \det H$ .

## 2. COMPLETE MULTIGRAPHS AND PARKING FUNCTIONS

Let  $K_{n+1}$  be the complete (simple) graph on  $V$ . Then  $K_{n+1}$ -parking functions are precisely (ordinary) parking functions of length  $n$ . More generally, if  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 1$ , then a finite sequence  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  is called a  *$\lambda$ -parking function* if a non-decreasing rearrangement  $p_{j_1} \leq p_{j_2} \leq \cdots \leq p_{j_n}$  of  $\mathbf{p}$  satisfies  $p_{j_i} < \lambda_{n-i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\text{PF}(\lambda)$  be the set of  $\lambda$ -parking functions. An ordinary parking function of length  $n$  is a  $\lambda$ -parking function for  $\lambda = (n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ .

For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 1$ , let

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \left[ \frac{\lambda_{n-i+1}^{j-i+1}}{(j-i+1)!} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

be a  $n \times n$  Steck matrix, whose  $(i, j)$ th entry is  $\frac{\lambda_{n-i+1}^{j-i+1}}{(j-i+1)!}$  if  $i \leq j + 1$ , and 0, otherwise. Consider the monomial ideal  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \left\langle (\prod_{i \in A} x_i)^{\lambda^{|A|}} : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq [n] \right\rangle$  in  $R$ . Then the standard monomials of  $\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$  are precisely  $\lambda$ -parking functions and by Steck determinant formula (see [8]), the number of  $\lambda$ -parking function is given by

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_\lambda} \right) = |\text{PF}(\lambda)| = n! \det(\Lambda(\lambda)).$$

The Steck determinant  $\det(\Lambda(\lambda))$  can be easily evaluated for the sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of special types, for example, it is in arithmetic progression (see [5, 6, 8]). Let  $x$  be a variable and  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose  $f_n^b(x) = \det(\Lambda(x + (n-1)b, x + (n-2)b, \dots, x + b, x))$  and  $g_n^b(x) = \det \left( \Lambda(x + b, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{n-1}) \right)$ .

Then  $f_n^b(x)$  and  $g_n^b(x)$  are polynomials in  $x$  of degree  $n$  given by

$$f_n^b(x) = \frac{x(x+nb)^{n-1}}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n^b(x) = \frac{x^{n-1}(x+nb)}{n!}.$$

In case  $b = 1$ , we get  $f_n(x) = f_n^1(x) = \frac{x(x+n)^{n-1}}{n!}$  and  $g_n(x) = g_n^1(x) = \frac{x^{n-1}(x+n)}{n!}$ . Hence for the complete graph  $K_{n+1}$ , we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_{K_{n+1}}} \right) = (n+1)^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_{K_{n+1}}^{(1)}} \right) = (n-1)^{n-1}(2n-1) = \det(\tilde{Q}_{K_{n+1}}).$$

More generally, for complete multigraph  $K_{n+1}^{a,b}$ , we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_{K_{n+1}^{a,b}}} \right) = a(a+nb)^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_{K_{n+1}^{a,b}}^{(1)}} \right) = (n!)g_n^b(a + (n-2)b).$$

It can be easily verified that

$$(2.1) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_{K_{n+1}^{a,b}}^{(1)}} \right) = (a + (n-2)b)^{n-1}(a + (2n-2)b) = \det(\tilde{Q}_{K_{n+1}^{a,b}}).$$

Let  $0 \leq r \leq n$  and  $G_{n,r}$  be the graph obtained from  $K_{n+1}$  on deleting precisely  $r$  edges through root 0. We have  $G_{n,0} = K_{n+1}$ . On renumbering vertices, we assume that the deleted edges are between 0 and  $i$  for  $n-r+1 \leq i \leq n$ . We proceed to verify that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R}{\mathcal{M}_{G_{n,r}}^{(1)}} \right) = \det(\tilde{Q}_{G_{n,r}})$ .

Let  $a$  be a fixed positive integer and let  $\omega$  be a weight function (depending on  $r \in [0, n]$ ) given by  $\omega(i) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } i \in [n-r], \\ a-1 & \text{if } i \in [n] \setminus [n-r]. \end{cases}$  Let  $\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}$  be a monomial ideal in  $R_n = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)} = \langle x_i^{\omega(i)}, x_i^{\omega(i)-1} x_j^{\omega(j)-1} : i, j \in [n] \text{ and } i \neq j \rangle.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(n)} = \mathcal{M}_{G_{n,r}}^{(1)}$ .

Consider the map  $\mu = \mu_{x_{n-r+1}} : R_n \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}}$  given by  $\mu(f) = x_{n-r+1}f + \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}$  for  $f \in R_n$ .

Then  $\ker \mu = \left( \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)} : x_{n-r+1} \right)$  and let  $\bar{\mu} : \frac{R_n}{\left( \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)} : x_{n-r+1} \right)} \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}}$  be the induced  $R_n$ -linear map.

Thus there exists a short exact sequence of  $R_n$  modules (or  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces)

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\left( \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)} : x_{n-r+1} \right)} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}} \xrightarrow{\nu} \frac{R_n}{\langle \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}, x_{n-r+1} \rangle} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is the natural projection.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $r \geq 1$ . Then*

$$(i) \quad \left( \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)} : x_{n-r+1} \right) = \mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}} \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}} \right) - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{I}_{n-1,r-1}^{(a)}} \right).$$

Proof. Clearly,  $\left( \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)} : x_{n-r+1} \right) = \{f \in R_n : x_{n-r+1}f \in \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}\} = \mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}$ . Thus the short exact sequence (2.2) is  $0 \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}} \xrightarrow{\nu} \frac{R_n}{\langle \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}, x_{n-r+1} \rangle} \rightarrow 0$ . Further, We see that  $\langle \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}, x_{n-r+1} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}, x_{n-r+1} \rangle$ . Also,  $\frac{R_n}{\langle \mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}, x_{n-r+1} \rangle} \cong \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{I}_{n-1,r-1}^{(a)}}$  as  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces. Thus from the short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{K}$  vector spaces, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}} \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}} \right) - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\langle \mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}, x_{n-r+1} \rangle} \right).$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $0 \leq r \leq n$ . Then*

$$(i) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,0}^{(a)}} \right) = (a-1)^{n-1}(a+(n-1)).$$

$$(ii) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} (a-1)^{n-i-1} (a+(n-i-1)) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \theta_{n-i}(a-1), \text{ where } \theta_l(x) = x^{l-1}(x+l) \text{ is a polynomial in } x.$$

Proof. We have  $\mathcal{I}_{n,0}^{(a)} = \langle x_i^a, (x_i x_j)^{a-1} : i, j \in [n]; i \neq j \rangle$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,0}^{(a)}} \right) =$  number of  $\lambda$ -parking functions for  $\lambda = (a, a-1, \dots, a-1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Here  $\lambda = (x+1, x, \dots, x)$  for  $x = a-1$  and  $b = 1$ . Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,0}^{(a)}} \right) = n! \det(\Lambda(\lambda)) = (n!)g_n(a-1) = (a-1)^{n-1}(a+n-1).$$

This proves (i). We shall prove (ii) by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 0$ , it follows from (i). Assume  $r \geq 1$ . From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}} \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}} \right) - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{I}_{n-1,r-1}^{(a)}} \right).$$

For  $n \geq r \geq 1$ , by induction assumption,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r-1}^{(a)}} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i \binom{r-1}{i} \theta_{n-i}(a-1)$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{I}_{n-1,r-1}^{(a)}} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i \binom{r-1}{i} \theta_{n-1-i}(a-1) = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i-1} \binom{r-1}{i-1} \theta_{n-i}(a-1)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(a)}} \right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i \binom{r-1}{i} \theta_{n-i}(a-1) + \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \binom{r-1}{i-1} \theta_{n-i}(a-1) \\ &= \theta_n(a-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-1)^i \left[ \binom{r-1}{i} + \binom{r-1}{i-1} \right] \theta_{n-i}(a-1) + (-1)^r \theta_{n-r}(a-1) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \theta_{n-i}(a-1). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 2.3.** Note that  $I_{n,n}^{(a)} = \mathcal{I}_{n,0}^{(a-1)}$  for  $a \geq 2$ . Thus from Lemma 2.2, we obtain an interesting combinatorial identity :

$$(a-2)^{n-1}(a+(n-2)) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (a-1)^{n-i-1} (a+(n-i-1)) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Being a polynomial identity in  $a$ , it is valid for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.**  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_{G_{n,r}}^{(1)}} \right) = \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{G_{n,r}} \right)$ .

Proof. The determinant of the truncated signless Laplace matrix  $\tilde{Q}_{G_{n,r}}$  of  $G_{n,r}$  is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{G_{n,r}} \right) = (n-1)^{n-r-1} (n-2)^{r-1} [(2n-1)(n-2) + r].$$

In fact, on applying the column operation  $C_1 + (C_2 + \dots + C_n)$  on  $\tilde{Q}_{G_{n,r}}$ , followed by the row operations  $R_2 - R_1, R_3 - R_1, \dots, R_n - R_1$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_{G_{n,r}}$  reduces to the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2n-1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & n-1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & n-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & n-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & n-2 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

where  $n-2$  appears as the diagonal entry in the last  $r$  rows. Now expanding the determinant along the first column, we get (2.3).

Also,  $\mathcal{I}_{n,r}^{(n)} = \mathcal{M}_{G_{n,r}}^{(1)}$  and from Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_{G_{n,r}}^{(1)}} \right) &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} (n-1)^{n-i-1} ((2n-1) - i) \\ &= (n-1)^{n-r-1} (2n-1) \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} (n-1)^{r-i} \right\} \\ &\quad + (n-1)^{n-r-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{i+1} \binom{r}{i} i (n-1)^{r-i} \right\} \\ &= (n-1)^{n-r-1} [(2n-1)(n-2)^r + r(n-2)^{r-1}] \\ &= (n-1)^{n-r-1} (n-2)^{r-1} [(2n-1)(n-2) + r] \\ &= \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{G_{n,r}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We now proceed to generalize Proposition 2.4 to multigraphs.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a multigraph on  $V$  obtained from the complete multigraph  $K_{n+1}^{a,b}$  on deleting some edges through the root 0. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) = \det \tilde{Q}_G.$$

*Proof.* We shall prove this theorem by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ ,  $G = K_2^{a,0}$  for some  $a \geq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)} = \langle x_1^a \rangle \subseteq R_1$  and  $\tilde{Q}_G = [a]$  and hence (2.4) holds. For  $n = 2$ , the adjacency matrix  $A(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_1 & 0 & b \\ a_2 & b & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 3}$  for some  $a_1, a_2 \leq a$  and  $b \geq 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)} = \langle x_1^{a_1+b}, x_2^{a_2+b}, x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \rangle$  and

$\tilde{Q}_G = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + b & b \\ b & a_2 + b \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2}$ . Again,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_2}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) = (a_1 + b)(a_2 + b) - b^2 = \det \tilde{Q}_G$  shows that (2.4) holds. By induction assumption, suppose theorem holds for multigraphs on the vertex set  $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ;  $m < n$ , obtained from  $K_{m+1}^{a,b}$  on deleting some edges through the root 0 for any  $a, b \geq 1$ .

Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $G$  be a multigraph on  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  obtained from  $K_{n+1}^{a,b}$  on deleting some edges through the root 0. The adjacency matrix  $A(G) = [a_{ij}]_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$  of  $G$  satisfies  $a_{0i} = a_{i0} = a_i \leq a$  and  $a_{ij} = b$  for  $i, j \in [n]$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)} = \left\langle x_l^{a_l + (n-1)b}, x_i^{a_i + (n-2)b} x_j^{a_j + (n-2)b} : 1 \leq l \leq n, 1 \leq i < j \leq n \right\rangle.$$

Let  $e_0$  be a fixed edge from 0 to  $j$  in  $G$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Consider the multigraph  $G_1 = G - e_0$  obtained from  $G$  on deleting the edge  $e_0$ . Then clearly,

$$\mathcal{M}_{G_1}^{(1)} = \left( \mathcal{M}_G^{(1)} : x_j \right) = \left\{ f \in R_n : x_j f \in \mathcal{M}_G^{(1)} \right\}.$$

Consider the  $R_n$ -linear map  $\mu_{x_j} : R_n \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}}$  given by  $\mu_{x_j}(f) = x_j f + \mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}$  for  $f \in R_n$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(\mu_{x_j}) = \left( \mathcal{M}_G^{(1)} : x_j \right) = \mathcal{M}_{G_1}^{(1)}$  and there is a short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_{G_1}^{(1)}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_{x_j}} \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \xrightarrow{\nu} \frac{R_n}{\langle \mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}, x_j \rangle} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is the natural projection and  $\bar{\mu}_{x_j}$  is the map induced by  $\mu_{x_j}$ . Let  $G_2$  be a multigraph on the vertex set  $V \setminus \{j\}$  with adjacency matrix  $A(G_2) = \left[ a_{rs}^{(2)} \right]_{\substack{0 \leq r, s \leq n \\ r, s \neq j}}$ , where  $a_{0,r}^{(2)} = a_r + b$ ,  $a_{rs}^{(2)} = b$  for  $r, s \in [n] \setminus \{j\}$ ,  $r \neq s$ . Then, writing  $R_{n-1} = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n]$  for the polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{K}$  in  $n - 1$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n$ , we have  $\frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{M}_{G_2}^{(1)}} \cong \frac{R_n}{\langle \mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}, x_j \rangle}$ .

Thus from the short exact sequence (2.5), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_{G_1}^{(1)}} \right) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{M}_{G_2}^{(1)}} \right).$$

As determinant is linear on columns, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \det \left( \tilde{Q}_G \right) = \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{G_1} \right) + \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{G_2} \right).$$

By induction assumption,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{M}_{G_2}^{(1)}} \right) = \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{G_2} \right)$ . Thus from (2.6) and (2.7), we see that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) = \det \left( \tilde{Q}_G \right) \iff \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_{G_1}^{(1)}} \right) = \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{G_1} \right).$$

In other words, if theorem holds for a multigraph  $G$  on  $V$  then it also holds for the multigraph  $G_1 = G \setminus e_0$ , and vice-versa. From (2.1),  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_{K_{n+1}^{a,b}}^{(1)}} \right) = \det \left( \tilde{Q}_{K_{n+1}^{a,b}} \right)$ . Thus, we see that the theorem holds for  $G$  by deleting edges through the root, one by one.  $\square$

### 3. POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE MATRICES OVER NONNEGATIVE INTEGERS

Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{N})$  be the set of  $n \times n$  matrices over nonnegative integers  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_n = \{H = [b_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{N}) : H^t = H \text{ and } b_{ii} \geq \max_{j \neq i} b_{ij} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , where  $H^t =$  transpose of  $H$ . For  $H = [b_{ij}]_{n \times n} \in \mathcal{G}_n$  with  $\alpha_i = b_{ii}$ , we consider the monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J}_H = \langle x_i^{\alpha_i}, x_i^{\alpha_i - b_{ij}} x_j^{\alpha_j - b_{ij}} : i, j \in [n]; i \neq j \rangle$  in the polynomial ring  $R_n = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If  $H = \tilde{Q}_G$ , the truncated signless Laplace matrix of a multigraph  $G$  on  $V$ , then  $\mathcal{J}_H = \mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}$ . We shall show that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) \geq \det H$  for every positive semidefinite  $H \in \mathcal{G}_n$ . For this, we need the following results on symmetric or Hermitian matrices.

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a Hermitian matrix and its real eigenvalues be arranged in a non-decreasing order  $\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A)$ . The Courant-Weyl inequalities (see [4]) compare eigenvalues of two Hermitian matrices with their sum.

**Theorem 3.1** (Courant-Weyl). *Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be Hermitian matrices. Then*

$$\lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+j}(A) + \lambda_{n-j}(B) \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n - i.$$

Hadamard showed that the determinant of a positive definite matrix  $M = [\alpha_{ij}]_{n \times n}$  is bounded by the product of its diagonal entries, i.e.,  $\det(M) \leq \alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} \cdots \alpha_{nn}$ . Fischer's inequality (see [4]) is a generalization of Hadamard's theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** (Fischer). *Let  $M \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a positive semidefinite matrix having block decomposition  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix}$  with square matrices  $A$  and  $C$ . Then  $\det M \leq \det(A)\det(C)$ .*

For a proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we refer to the book of Horn and Johnson [4]. Now, using Courant-Weyl inequalities and Fischer's inequality, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $H \in \mathcal{G}_n$  be positive semidefinite and  $\mathcal{J}_H$  be the monomial ideal in the polynomial ring  $R = R_n$  associated to  $H$ . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) \geq \det H.$$



Proof. We shall prove this theorem by induction on the order  $n$  of  $H$ . For  $n = 1$ ,  $H = [\alpha_1]_{1 \times 1}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_H = \langle x_1^{\alpha_1} \rangle$ , and thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_1}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) = \alpha_1 = \det H$ . For  $n = 2$ ,  $H = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_H = \langle x_1^{\alpha_1}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_1^{\alpha_1 - a_{12}} x_2^{\alpha_2 - a_{12}} \rangle \subseteq R_2$ . Again,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_2}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - a_{12}^2 = \det H$ . Assume that  $n \geq 3$  and the theorem holds for every positive semidefinite matrices in  $\mathcal{G}_m$  for  $1 \leq m < n$ . Let  $H = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n} \in \mathcal{G}_n$  with  $\alpha_i = a_{ii}$ . Let  $b = \max\{a_{ij} : i, j \in [n]; i \neq j\}$ . On permuting rows and columns of  $H$ , obtain  $H' = [a'_{ij}] \in \mathcal{G}_n$  similar to  $H$  such that there exists an integer  $r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq n - 2$ ) satisfying  $a'_{i, r+1} < b$  and  $a'_{r+1, j} = b$  for  $1 \leq i < r + 1 < j \leq n$ . The monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J}_{H'}$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{J}_H$  by renumbering variables. Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_{H'}} \right)$  and  $\det H = \det H'$ . Hence, without loss of generality, assume that  $H = H'$ , i.e., there exists  $r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq n - 2$ ) such that  $a_{i, r+1} < b$  and  $a_{r+1, j} = b$  for  $1 \leq i < r + 1 < j \leq n$ . Let  $\mu' : R_n \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H}$  be the  $R_n$ -linear map given by  $\mu'(f) = x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} f + \mathcal{J}_H$  for  $f \in R_n$ . Then  $\ker \mu' = \left( \mathcal{J}_H : x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right) = \left\{ f \in R_n : x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} f \in \mathcal{J}_H \right\}$ . Now as in (2.2), there is a short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces,

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{R_n}{\left( \mathcal{J}_H : x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right)} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}'} \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H} \xrightarrow{\nu} \frac{R_n}{\left\langle \mathcal{J}_H, x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right\rangle} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is natural projection and  $\bar{\mu}'$  is the map induced by  $\mu'$ .

$$\text{Let } H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,r+1} \\ a_{1,2} & \alpha_2 & \cdots & a_{2,r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1,r+1} & a_{2,r+1} & \cdots & b \end{bmatrix}_{(r+1) \times (r+1)}. \quad \text{In other words, } H_1 \text{ is the principal } (r +$$

$1) \times (r + 1)$  submatrix of  $H$  consisting of the first  $r + 1$  rows and columns, except the entry  $\alpha_{r+1}$  is replaced by  $b$ . Then  $H_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{r+1}$ . If  $\alpha_{r+1} = b$ , then  $H_1$ , being a principal submatrix of  $H$ , is positive semidefinite. We see that  $\left( \mathcal{J}_H : x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right) = \left\langle \mathcal{J}_{H_1}, x_l^{\alpha_l - b} : r + 2 \leq l \leq n \right\rangle$ , where  $\mathcal{J}_{H_1} \subseteq R_{r+1} = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}]$ . Thus

$$(3.2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\left( \mathcal{J}_H : x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right)} \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{r+1}}{\mathcal{J}_{H_1}} \right) \left( \prod_{l=r+2}^n (\alpha_l - b) \right).$$

Let  $H_2$  be the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  submatrix of  $H$  obtained on deleting  $(r+1)$ th row and  $(r+1)$ th column. As  $H_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$  is positive semidefinite, the monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J}_{H_2} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_{r+1}, \dots, x_n] = R_{n-1}$  satisfies  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{J}_{H_2}} \right) \geq \det H_2$ , by induction assumption. Also  $\left\langle \mathcal{J}_H, x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{J}_{H_2}, x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right\rangle$ . Thus

$$(3.3) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\left\langle \mathcal{J}_H, x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1} - b} \right\rangle} \right) = (\alpha_{r+1} - b) \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{J}_{H_2}} \right).$$

From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) = \left( \prod_{l=r+2}^n (\alpha_l - b) \right) \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{r+1}}{\mathcal{J}_{H_1}} \right) + (\alpha_{r+1} - b) \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{J}_{H_2}} \right).$$

As determinant is linear on columns, writing  $\alpha_{r+1} = (\alpha_{r+1} - b) + b$  in  $H$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \det H = (\alpha_{r+1} - b) \det H_2 + \det T,$$

where  $T$  is the matrix  $H$ , except  $\alpha_{r+1}$  is replaced with  $b$ . On applying elementary column and row operations,  $C_{r+2} - C_{r+1}, R_{r+2} - R_{r+1}, \dots, C_n - C_{r+1}, R_n - R_{r+1}$  on  $T$ , it reduces to the matrix

$$T' = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \cdots & a_{1,r} & a_{1,r+1} & a_{1,r+2} - a_{1,r+1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} - a_{1,r+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1,r} & \cdots & \alpha_r & a_{r,r+1} & a_{r,r+2} - a_{r,r+1} & \cdots & a_{r,n} - a_{r,r+1} \\ a_{1,r+1} & \cdots & a_{r,r+1} & b & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{1,r+2} - a_{1,r+1} & \cdots & a_{r,r+2} - a_{r,r+1} & 0 & \alpha_{r+2} - b & \cdots & a_{r+2,n} - b \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1,n} - a_{1,r+1} & \cdots & a_{r,n} - a_{r,r+1} & 0 & a_{r+2,n} - b & \cdots & \alpha_n - b \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_{i,j}$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix with 1 at  $(i, j)$ th place and zero elsewhere. Then  $P = I_n - \sum_{j=r+2}^n \varepsilon_{r+1,j} = I_n - (\varepsilon_{r+1,r+2} + \dots + \varepsilon_{r+1,n})$  has determinant  $\det P = 1$  and  $P^t T P = T'$ . Thus  $\det T = \det T'$ . Now we consider two cases.

**Case I :**  $\det T \leq 0$ . Then from (3.5),  $\det H \leq (\alpha_{r+1} - b) \det H_2$ . Thus by induction assumption and (3.4), we get

$$\det H \leq (\alpha_{r+1} - b) \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_{n-1}}{\mathcal{J}_{H_2}} \right) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right).$$

**Case II :**  $\det T > 0$ . If  $\alpha_{r+1} = b$ , then  $H = T$  is positive definite. Otherwise,  $H = T + S$ , where  $S = (\alpha_{r+1} - b) \varepsilon_{r+1,r+1}$ . Clearly,  $\lambda_1(S) = \dots = \lambda_{n-1}(S) = 0$  and  $\lambda_n(S) = \alpha_{r+1} - b$ . Since  $H$  is positive semidefinite,  $0 \leq \lambda_1(H) \leq \lambda_2(H) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(H)$ . Taking  $i = j = 1$  in the Courant-Weyl inequalities with  $H = T + S$ , we obtain  $\lambda_1(H) \leq \lambda_2(T) + \lambda_{n-1}(S) = \lambda_2(T)$ . Thus  $0 \leq \lambda_2(T) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(T)$ . As  $\det(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(T) > 0$ ,  $T$  must be positive definite. Hence  $T' = P^t T P$  is also positive definite. Thus by Fischer's inequality,

$$\det T = \det T' \leq \det(H_1) \det(C),$$

where  $C = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{r+2} - b & \cdots & a_{r+2,n} - b \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{r+2,n} - b & \cdots & \alpha_n - b \end{bmatrix}$ . The matrix  $C$ , being a principal submatrix of  $T'$ , is also positive definite. Thus by Hadamard's theorem,  $\det C \leq \prod_{l=r+2}^n (\alpha_l - b)$ . Hence,

$$(3.6) \quad \det(T) \leq \left( \prod_{l=r+2}^n (\alpha_l - b) \right) \det(H_1).$$

From (3.5) and (3.6),

$$\det H \leq \left( \prod_{l=r+2}^n (\alpha_l - b) \right) \det H_1 + (\alpha_{r+1} - b) \det H_2.$$

Now by (3.4) and induction assumption, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{J}_H} \right) \geq \left( \prod_{l=r+2}^n (\alpha_l - b) \right) \det H_1 + (\alpha_{r-1} - b) \det H_2 \geq \det H.$$

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a multigraph on  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \frac{R_n}{\mathcal{M}_G^{(1)}} \right) \geq \det \tilde{Q}_G.$$

Proof. Take  $H = \tilde{Q}_G$  in Theorem 3.3.

**Acknowledgments:** The third author is thankful to CSIR, Government of India for financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Dochtermann A., *Spherical parking functions, uprooted trees, and yet another way to count  $n^n$* , arXiv : 1806.04289v1, (2018).
- [2] Dochtermann A., *One-skeleta pf  $G$ -parking function ideals: resolutions and standard monomials*, arXiv : 1708.04712v4, (2018).
- [3] Gaydarov P. and Hopkins S., *Parking functions and tree inversions revisited.*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **80**, (2016), 151-179.
- [4] Horn R. and Johnson C. *Matrix Analysis.*, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2013).
- [5] Kumar C., *Steck determinants and parking functions*, Ganita **68**, (2018), 33-38.
- [6] Kung J. and Yan, C. *Gončarov polynomials and parking functions.*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **102**, (2003), 16-37.
- [7] Perkinson D., Yang Q. and Yu K.,  *$G$ -parking functions and tree inversions*, Combinatorica **37** (2017), 269-282.
- [8] Pitman J. and Stanley R., *A polytope related to empirical distributions, plane trees, parking functions, and the associahedron*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **27** (2002), 603-634.
- [9] Postnikov A. and Shapiro B., *Trees, parking functions, syzygies, and deformations of monomial ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 3109-3142.

IISER MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB -140 306, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* [chanchal@iisermohali.ac.in](mailto:chanchal@iisermohali.ac.in)

IISER MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB -140 306, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* [gargilather@iisermohali.ac.in](mailto:gargilather@iisermohali.ac.in)

IISER MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB -140 306, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* [amitroy@iisermohali.ac.in](mailto:amitroy@iisermohali.ac.in)