

From n -exangulated categories to n -abelian categories

Yu Liu and Panyue Zhou

Abstract

Herschend-Liu-Nakaoka introduced the notion of n -exangulated categories. It is not only a higher dimensional analogue of extriangulated categories defined by Nakaoka-Palu, but also gives a simultaneous generalization of n -exact categories in the sense of Jasso and $(n+2)$ -angulated in the sense of Geiss-Keller-Oppermann. Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives, and \mathcal{X} a cluster tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} . In this article, we show that the quotient category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category. This extends a result of Zhou-Zhu for $(n+2)$ -angulated categories. Moreover, it highlights new phenomena when it is applied to n -exact categories.

Key words: n -exangulated categories; $(n+2)$ -angulated categories; n -exact categories; cluster tilting subcategories; n -abelian categories.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18E30; 18E10.

1 Introduction

Cluster tilting theory permitted to construct abelian categories from some triangulated categories. By Buan-Marsh-Reiten [BMR, Theorem 2.2] in cluster categories, by Keller-Reiten [KR, Proposition 2.1] in the 2-Calabi-Yau case, then by Koenig-Zhu [KZ, Theorem 3.3] and Iyama-Yoshino [IY, Corollary 6.5] in the general case, one can pass from triangulated categories to abelian categories by factoring out cluster tilting subcategories. Cluster tilting theory is also permitted to construct abelian categories from some exact categories. Demonet-Liu [DL] provided a general framework for passing from exact categories to abelian categories by factoring out cluster tilting subcategories. More precisely, Demonet-Liu [DL] showed that if an exact category \mathcal{B} with enough projectives and injectives has a cluster tilting subcategory \mathcal{X} , then \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{X} is an abelian category.

The notion of an extriangulated category was introduced in [NP], which is a simultaneous generalization of exact category and triangulated category. In particular, exact categories and triangulated categories are extriangulated categories. Hence, many results hold on exact categories and triangulated categories can be unified in the same framework. Of course, there are

Yu Liu was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2682019CX51) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11901479). Panyue Zhou was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11901190 and 11671221), and the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 2018JJ3205), and by the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 19B239).

a lot of examples of extriangulated categories which are neither exact categories nor triangulated categories, see [NP, ZZ1]. Zhou and Zhu [ZZ2] obtained abelian categories as quotients from triangulated categories modulo cluster tilting subcategories. This unifies a result by Koenig-Zhu for triangulated categories and a result by Demonet-Liu for exact categories.

In [GKO], Geiss, Keller and Oppermann introduced $(n+2)$ -angulated categories. There are a higher dimensional analogue of triangulated categories, in the sense that triangles are replaced by $(n+2)$ -angles, that is, morphism sequences of length $(n+2)$. Thus a 1-angulated category is precisely a triangulated category. A typical example is a certain n -cluster tilting subcategory of a triangulated category. The notions of n -abelian and n -exact categories were introduced by Jasso in [Ja]. An important source of examples of n -abelian and n -exact categories are n -cluster tilting subcategories. For $n = 1$ they specialise to abelian and exact categories. Zhou and Zhu [ZZ3] proved that any quotient of an $(n+2)$ -angulated category modulo a cluster tilting subcategory is an n -abelian category. When $n = 1$, it is just the Koenig-Zhu's result.

Recently, Herschend-Liu-Nakaoka [HLN] defined n -exangulated categories as a higher dimensional analogue of extriangulated categories. In particular, n -exangulated categories simultaneously generalize $(n+2)$ -angulated and n -exact categories. They also gave some examples of n -exangulated categories, which are neither n -exact nor $(n+2)$ -angulated. For more examples of n -exangulated categories, see [LZ].

It is very natural to ask if any quotient of an n -exangulated category modulo a cluster tilting subcategory is an n -abelian category. In this article, we give an affirmative answer. We define cluster tilting subcategories of n -exangulated categories and prove the following main result.

Theorem 1.1. (see Theorem 3.7 for details) *Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives, and \mathcal{X} a cluster tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category.*

Since an $(n+2)$ -angulated category can be viewed as an n -exangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives, when \mathcal{C} is an $(n+2)$ -angulated category, our main result is just the Theorem 3.4 in [ZZ3]. Moreover, this result is completely new when it is applied to n -exact categories. At the same time, it also provides a new source to construct n -abelian categories.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some elementary definitions and facts about n -abelian, right $(n+2)$ -angulated and n -exangulated categories. In Section 3, we prove our main result and apply to $(n+2)$ -angulated and n -exact categories.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we review basic concepts and results concerning n -abelian categories, right $(n+2)$ -angulated categories and n -exangulated categories.

2.1 n -abelian categories

Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category and $f: A \rightarrow B$ a morphism in \mathcal{A} . A *weak cokernel* of f is a morphism $g: B \rightarrow C$ such that for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$ the sequence of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{A}(C, X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(g, X)} \mathcal{A}(B, X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(X, f)} \mathcal{A}(A, X)$$

is exact. Equivalently, g is a weak cokernel of f if $gf = 0$ and for each morphism $h: B \rightarrow X$ such that $hf = 0$ there exists a (not necessarily unique) morphism $p: C \rightarrow X$ such that $h = pg$. These properties are subsumed in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ & \searrow & \downarrow h & \swarrow p & \\ & 0 & X & & \end{array}$$

Clearly, a weak cokernel g of f is a cokernel of f if and only if g is an epimorphism. The concept of a *weak kernel* is defined dually.

Definition 2.1. [Ja, Definiton 2.2] and [L, Definition 2.4] Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category and $f_0: A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ a morphism in \mathcal{A} . An n -cokernel of f_0 is a sequence

$$(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n): A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1}$$

such that the induced sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A_{n+1}, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A_n, B) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A_1, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A_0, B)$$

is exact for each object $B \in \mathcal{A}$. That is, the morphism f_i is a weak cokernel of f_{i-1} for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and f_n is a cokernel of f_{n-1} . In this case, we say the sequence

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1}$$

is *right n -exact*.

We can define n -kernel and *left n -exact* sequence dually. The sequence

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1}$$

is called *n -exact* if it is both right n -exact and left n -exact.

Definition 2.2. [Ja, Definiton 3.1] Let n be a positive integer. An n -abelian category is an additive category \mathcal{A} which satisfies the following axioms:

(A0) The category \mathcal{A} has split idempotents.

(A1) Every morphism in \mathcal{A} has an n -kernel and an n -cokernel.

(A2) For every monomorphism $f_0: A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ in \mathcal{A} there exists an n -exact sequence:

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1}.$$

(A2)^{op} For every epimorphism $g_n : B_n \rightarrow B_{n+1}$ in \mathcal{A} there exists an n -exact sequence:

$$B_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} B_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} B_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_3} B_{n-1} \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} B_n \xrightarrow{g_n} B_{n+1}.$$

Remark 2.3. 1-abelian categories are precisely abelian categories in the usual sense. It is easy to see that abelian categories have split idempotents; thus, if $n = 1$, then axiom (A0) in Definition 2.2 is redundant.

2.2 Right $(n + 2)$ -angulated categories

Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category with an endofunctor $\Sigma^n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. An $(n + 2)$ - Σ^n -sequence in \mathcal{A} is a sequence of morphisms

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0.$$

Its *left rotation* is the $(n + 2)$ - Σ^n -sequence

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0 \xrightarrow{(-1)^n \Sigma^n f_0} \Sigma^n A_1.$$

A *morphism* of $(n + 2)$ - Σ^n -sequences is a sequence of morphisms $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n+1})$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\ \downarrow \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \downarrow \Sigma^n \varphi_0 \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n B_0 \end{array}$$

where each row is an $(n + 2)$ - Σ^n -sequence. It is an *isomorphism* if $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n+1}$ are all isomorphisms in \mathcal{A} .

We recall the notion of a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category from [L, Definition 2.1]. Compare with [L, Definition 2.1], the condition (RN1)(a) is slightly different from that in [L], we don't assume that the class Θ is closed under direct summands.

Definition 2.4. A *right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category* is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$, where \mathcal{A} is an additive category, Σ^n is an endofunctor of \mathcal{A} (which is called the n -suspension functor), and Θ is a class of $(n + 2)$ - Σ^n -sequences (whose elements are called right $(n + 2)$ -angles), which satisfies the following axioms:

- (RN1) (a) The class Θ is closed under isomorphisms and direct sums.
 (b) For each object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the trivial sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{1_A} A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$$

belongs to Θ .

(c) Each morphism $f_0: A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ in \mathcal{A} can be extended to a right $(n+2)$ -angle:

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0.$$

(RN2) If an $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequence belongs to Θ , then its left rotation belongs to Θ .

(RN3) Each solid commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\ \downarrow \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \downarrow \Sigma^n \varphi_0 \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n B_0 \end{array}$$

with rows in Θ can be completed to a morphism of $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequences.

(RN4) Given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & & & & & & & & & \parallel \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & B_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\ & & \downarrow h_1 & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & C_2 & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & \downarrow h_2 & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & \downarrow h_{n-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & C_n & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & \downarrow h_n & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & C_{n+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & \downarrow h_{n+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & \Sigma^n A_1 & & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

whose top rows and second column belong to Θ . Then there exist morphisms $\varphi_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$), $\psi_j: B_j \rightarrow C_j$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$) and $\phi_k: A_k \rightarrow C_{k-1}$ ($k = 3, 4, \dots, n+1$) with the following two properties:

(I) The sequence $(1_{A_1}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n+1})$ is a morphism of $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequences.

(II) The $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}} A_3 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_3 & 0 \\ \varphi_3 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}} A_4 \oplus B_3 \oplus C_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -\varphi_4 & -g_3 & 0 \\ \phi_4 & \psi_3 & h_2 \end{pmatrix}} A_5 \oplus B_4 \oplus C_3 \\ \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_5 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_5 & -g_4 & 0 \\ \phi_5 & \psi_4 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_n & 0 & 0 \\ (-1)^{n+1} \varphi_n & -g_{n-1} & 0 \\ \phi_n & \psi_{n-1} & h_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}} A_{n+1} \oplus B_n \oplus C_{n-1} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{n+1}\varphi_{n+1} & -g_n & 0 \\ \phi_{n+1} & \psi_n & h_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}}{\longrightarrow} B_{n+1} \oplus C_n \xrightarrow{(\psi_{n+1}, h_n)} C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^n f_1 \circ h_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_2$$

belongs to Θ , and $h_{n+1} \circ \psi_{n+1} = \Sigma^n f_0 \circ g_{n+1}$.

The notion of a *left n -angulated category* is defined dually.

If Σ^n is an automorphism and the class Θ is closed under direct summands, it is easy to see that the converse of an axiom (RN2) also holds, thus the right n -angulated category $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ is an n -angulated category in the sense of Geiss-Keller-Oppermann [GKO, Definition 1.1] and in the sense of Bergh-Thaule [BT, Theorem 4.4]. If $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ is a right n -angulated category, $(\mathcal{A}, \Omega^n, \Phi)$ is a left n -angulated category, Ω^n is a quasi-inverse of Σ^n and $\Theta = \Phi$, then $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ is an n -angulated category.

The following two lemmas can be found in [ZZ3], which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ be a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category and*

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0.$$

be a right $(n+2)$ -angle. Then $f_i \circ f_{i-1} = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$. That is to say, any composition of two consecutive morphisms in a right $(n+2)$ -angle vanishes.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ be a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category and*

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0.$$

be a right $(n+2)$ -angle. Then f_i is a weak cokernal of f_{i-1} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

2.3 n -exangulated categories

Assume that \mathcal{C} is an additive category. Let us recall definitions and properties related to n -exangulated categories from [HLN].

Definition 2.7. [HLN, Definition 2.1] Suppose that \mathcal{C} is equipped with an additive bifunctor $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, where \mathbf{Ab} is the category of abelian groups. For any pair of objects $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, an element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an \mathbb{E} -*extension* or simply an *extension*. We also write such δ as ${}_A\delta_C$ when we indicate A and C .

Let ${}_A\delta_C$ be any extension. Since \mathbb{E} is a bifunctor, for any $a \in \mathcal{C}(A, A')$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(C', C)$, we have extensions

$$\mathbb{E}(C, a)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}(C, A') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}(C', A).$$

We abbreviately denote them by $a_*\delta$ and $c^*\delta$. In this terminology, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(c, a)(\delta) = c^*a_*\delta = a_*c^*\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C', A').$$

For any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, the zero element ${}_A0_C = 0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called the *split \mathbb{E} -extension*.

Definition 2.8. [HLN, Definition 2.3] Let $A\delta_C, A'\delta'_{C'}$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -extensions. A *morphism* $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta'$ of extensions is a pair of morphisms $a \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(A', C')$ in \mathcal{C} , satisfying the equality

$$a_*\delta = c^*\delta'.$$

Definition 2.9. [HLN, Definition 2.7] Let $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the category of complexes in \mathcal{C} . As its full subcategory, define $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ to be the category of complexes in \mathcal{C} whose components are zero in the degrees outside of $\{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$. Namely, an object in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ is a complex $X^\bullet = \{X_i, d_i^X\}$ of the form

$$X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^X} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}^X} X_n \xrightarrow{d_n^X} X_{n+1}.$$

We write a morphism $f^\bullet: X^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet$ simply $f^\bullet = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n+1})$, only indicating the terms of degrees $0, \dots, n+1$.

Definition 2.10. [HLN, Definition 2.11] By Yoneda lemma, any extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ induces natural transformations

$$\delta_{\sharp}: \mathcal{C}(-, C) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(-, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{\sharp}: \mathcal{C}(A, -) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, -).$$

For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, these $(\delta_{\sharp})_X$ and δ_X^{\sharp} are given as follows.

- (1) $(\delta_{\sharp})_X: \mathcal{C}(X, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X, A)$; $f \mapsto f^*\delta$.
- (2) $\delta_X^{\sharp}: \mathcal{C}(A, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, X)$; $g \mapsto g_*\delta$.

We abbreviately denote $(\delta_{\sharp})_X(f)$ and $\delta_X^{\sharp}(g)$ by $\delta_{\sharp}(f)$ and $\delta^{\sharp}(g)$, respectively.

Definition 2.11. [HLN, Definition 2.9] Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, n$ be as before. Define a category $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E})}^{n+2}$ as follows.

- (1) A pair $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ is an object of the category \mathbb{A} with $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}, X_0)$ is called an \mathbb{E} -attached complex of length $n+2$, if it satisfies

$$(d_0^X)_*\delta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (d_n^X)^*\delta = 0.$$

We also denote it by

$$X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^X} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}^X} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}^X} X_n \xrightarrow{d_n^X} X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \dashrightarrow$$

- (2) For such pairs $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ and $\langle Y^\bullet, \rho \rangle$, $f^\bullet: \langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y^\bullet, \rho \rangle$ is defined to be a morphism in \mathbb{A} if it satisfies $(f_0)_*\delta = (f_{n+1})^*\rho$.

Definition 2.12. [HLN, Definition 2.13] An *n-exangle* is an object $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ in \mathbb{A} that satisfies the listed conditions.

- (1) The following sequence of functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is exact.

$$\mathcal{C}(-, X_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, d_0^X)} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, d_n^X)} \mathcal{C}(-, X_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\sharp}} \mathbb{E}(-, X_0)$$

(2) The following sequence of functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is exact.

$$\mathcal{C}(X_{n+1}, -) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(d_n^X, -)} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(d_0^X, -)} \mathcal{C}(X_0, -) \xrightarrow{\delta^\#} \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}, -)$$

In particular any n -exangle is an object in \mathbb{E} . A *morphism of n -exangles* simply means a morphism in \mathbb{E} . Thus n -exangles form a full subcategory of \mathbb{E} .

Definition 2.13. [HLN, Definition 2.22] Let \mathfrak{s} be a correspondence which associates a homotopic equivalence class $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [{}_A X_C^\bullet]$ to each extension $\delta = {}_A \delta_C$. Such \mathfrak{s} is called a *realization* of \mathbb{E} if it satisfies the following condition for any $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$ and any $\mathfrak{s}(\rho) = [Y^\bullet]$.

(R0) For any morphism of extensions $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \rho$, there exists a morphism $f^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ of the form $f^\bullet = (a, f_1, \dots, f_n, c)$. Such f^\bullet is called a *lift* of (a, c) .

In such a case, we simple say that “ X^\bullet realizes δ ” whenever they satisfy $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$.

Moreover, a realization \mathfrak{s} of \mathbb{E} is said to be *exact* if it satisfies the following conditions.

(R1) For any $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$, the pair $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ is an n -exangle.

(R2) For any $A \in \mathcal{C}$, the zero element ${}_A 0_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{E}(0, A)$ satisfies

$$\mathfrak{s}({}_A 0_0) = [A \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0].$$

Dually, $\mathfrak{s}({}_0 0_A) = [0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} A]$ holds for any $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

Note that the above condition (R1) does not depend on representatives of the class $[X^\bullet]$.

Definition 2.14. [HLN, Definition 2.23] Let \mathfrak{s} be an exact realization of \mathbb{E} .

- (1) An n -exangle $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ is called a \mathfrak{s} -*distinguished n -exangle* if it satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$. We often simply say *distinguished n -exangle* when \mathfrak{s} is clear from the context.
- (2) An object $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ is called an \mathfrak{s} -*conflation* or simply a *conflation* if it realizes some extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}, X_0)$.
- (3) A morphism f in \mathcal{C} is called an \mathfrak{s} -*inflation* or simply an *inflation* if it admits some conflation $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ satisfying $d_X^0 = f$.
- (4) A morphism g in \mathcal{C} is called an \mathfrak{s} -*deflation* or simply a *deflation* if it admits some conflation $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ satisfying $d_X^n = g$.

Definition 2.15. [HLN, Definition 2.27] For a morphism $f^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ satisfying $f^0 = \text{id}_A$ for some $A = X_0 = Y_0$, its *mapping cone* $M_f^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ is defined to be the complex

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{d_0^{M_f}} X_2 \oplus Y_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^{M_f}} X_3 \oplus Y_2 \xrightarrow{d_2^{M_f}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}^{M_f}} X_{n+1} \oplus Y_n \xrightarrow{d_n^{M_f}} Y_{n+1}$$

where $d_0^{M_f} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_1^X \\ f_1 \end{bmatrix}$, $d_i^{M_f} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_{i+1}^X & 0 \\ f_{i+1} & d_i^Y \end{bmatrix}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$), $d_n^{M_f} = [f_{n+1} \quad d_n^Y]$.

The *mapping cocone* is defined dually, for morphisms h^\bullet in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ satisfying $h_{n+1} = \text{id}$.

Definition 2.16. [HLN, Definition 2.32] An n -exangulated category is a triplet $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ of additive category \mathcal{C} , additive bifunctor $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, and its exact realization \mathfrak{s} , satisfying the following conditions.

- (EA1) Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ be any sequence of morphisms in \mathcal{C} . If both f and g are inflations, then so is $g \circ f$. Dually, if f and g are deflations then so is $g \circ f$.
- (EA2) For $\rho \in \mathbb{E}(D, A)$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(C, D)$, let ${}_A\langle X^*, c^*\rho \rangle_C$ and ${}_A\langle Y^*, \rho \rangle_D$ be distinguished n -exangles. Then (id_A, c) has a *good lift* f^* , in the sense that its mapping cone gives a distinguished n -exangle $\langle M_f^*, (d_0^X)_*\rho \rangle$.
- (EA2^{op}) Dual of (EA2).

Note that the case $n = 1$, a triplet $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is a 1-exangulated category if and only if it is an extriangulated category, see [HLN, Proposition 4.3].

Example 2.17. From [HLN, Proposition 4.34] and [HLN, Proposition 4.5], we know that n -exact categories and $(n+2)$ -angulated categories are n -exangulated categories. There are some other examples of n -exangulated categories which are neither n -exact nor $(n+2)$ -angulated, see [HLN, Section 6] for more details and [LZ, Remark 4.5].

Lemma 2.18. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category, and*

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-2}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} A_{n+1} \dashrightarrow^{\delta}$$

a distinguished n -exangle. Then we have the following long exact sequences:

$$\mathcal{C}(-, A_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, A_1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, A_{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(-, A_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(-, A_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(-, A_2);$$

$$\mathcal{C}(A_{n+1}, -) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A_n, -) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A_0, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(A_{n+1}, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(A_n, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(A_{n-1}, -).$$

In particular, α_{i+1} is a weak cokernel of α_i and α_i is a weak kernel of α_{i+1} , for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. This follows from Definition 2.13 and Corollary 3.11 in [HLN]. □

Lemma 2.19. [ZW, Lemma 3.3] *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & A_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow^{\delta} & \\ \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 & & & & \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow f_{n+1} & & \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n-1}} & B_n & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & B_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow^{\delta'} & \end{array}$$

be any morphism of distinguished n -exangles. Then f_0 factors through α_0 if and only if $(f_0)_\delta = f_{n+1}^*\delta' = 0$ if and only if f_{n+1} factors through β_n .*

In particular, in the case $\delta = \delta'$ and $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) = (1_{A_0}, 1_{A_1}, \dots, 1_{A_{n+1}})$, α_0 is a section if and only if $\delta = 0$ if and only if α_{n+1} is a retraction.

Lemma 2.20. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), h \in \mathcal{C}(A, C)$ any pair of morphisms. If α is an inflation, then so is $\begin{bmatrix} -\alpha \\ h \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}(A, B \oplus C)$.

Proof. Since α is an inflation, there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{g_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{g_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{g_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$$

in \mathcal{C} . By (EA2^{op}), we can observe that $(h, 1_{A_{n+1}})$ has a good lift $f^* = (h, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n, 1_{A_{n+1}})$, that is, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \xrightarrow{g_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \rightarrow \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 & & & & \downarrow h_n & & \parallel & & \\ C & \xrightarrow{f_0} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & C_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & C_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

of distinguished n -exangles, and the mapping cocone

$$A \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -\alpha \\ h \end{bmatrix}} B \oplus C \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -g_1 & 0 \\ h_1 & f_0 \end{bmatrix}} A_2 \oplus C_1 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -g_2 & 0 \\ h_2 & f_1 \end{bmatrix}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -g_{n-1} & 0 \\ h_{n-1} & f_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}} A_n \oplus B_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} h_n & f_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}} B_n \xrightarrow{f_n^* \delta} \rightarrow$$

is a distinguished n -exangle. It follows that $\begin{bmatrix} -\alpha \\ h \end{bmatrix} : A \rightarrow B \oplus C$ is an inflation. \square

Definition 2.21. [ZW, Definition 3.14 and Definition 3.15] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category.

- (1) An object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *projective* if, for any distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-2}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$$

and any morphism c in $\mathcal{C}(P, A_{n+1})$, there exists a morphism $b \in \mathcal{C}(P, A_n)$ satisfying $\alpha_n \circ b = c$. We denote the full subcategory of projective objects in \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{P} . Dually, the full subcategory of injective objects in \mathcal{C} is denoted by \mathcal{I} .

- (2) We say that \mathcal{C} has *enough projectives* if for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$B \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} P_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-2}} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} P_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$$

satisfying $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{P}$. We can define the notion of having *enough injectives* dually.

Remark 2.22.

- (1) In the case $n = 1$, they agree with the usual definitions [NP, Definition 3.23, Definition 3.25 and Definition 7.1].
- (2) If $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an n -exact category, then they agree with [Ja, Definition 3.11, Definition 5.3 and Definition 5.5].
- (3) If $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an $(n+2)$ -angulated category, then $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}$ consists of zero objects. Moreover it always has enough projectives and enough injectives.

Lemma 2.23. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category. Then an object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is projective if and only if it satisfies $\mathbb{E}(P, A) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Assume that $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is a projective object. For any $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(P, A)$, there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$A \xrightarrow{g_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{g_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{g_n} P \xrightarrow{\delta} \delta$$

in \mathcal{C} . Since P is a projective object, we have that g_n is a retraction. By Lemma 2.19, we get that $\delta = 0$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathbb{E}(P, A) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 2.18, we obtain that P is a projective object. \square

3 n -abelian quotient categories

In this section, all categories are assumed to be k -linear Hom-finite, where k is an algebraically closed field. Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category and \mathcal{X} a subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Recall that we say a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} is an \mathcal{X} -*monic* if

$$\mathcal{C}(f, X): \mathcal{C}(B, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, X)$$

is an epimorphism for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. We say that f is an \mathcal{X} -*epic* if

$$\mathcal{C}(X, f): \mathcal{C}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, B)$$

is an epimorphism for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Similarly, we say that f is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of B if f is an \mathcal{X} -monic and $A \in \mathcal{X}$. We say that f is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of A if f is an \mathcal{X} -epic and $B \in \mathcal{X}$.

We denote by \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} the category whose objects are objects of \mathcal{C} and whose morphisms are elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)/\mathcal{X}(A, B)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{X}(A, B)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ consisting of morphisms which factor through an object in \mathcal{X} . Such category is called the (additive) quotient category of \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{X} . For any morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} , we denote by \bar{f} the image of f under the natural quotient functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}$.

Remark 3.1. If \mathcal{C} has split idempotents, then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} has split idempotents, see the proof of [J, Lemma 1.1(i)].

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C} . If for any object $A \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a distinguished n -exangle*

$$A \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} B \xrightarrow{\delta} \delta$$

where f_0 is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of A and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Then the quotient category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category with the following endofunctor and right $(n+2)$ -angles:

(1) For any object $A \in \mathcal{C}$, we take a distinguished n -exangle

$$A \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \mathbb{G}A \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$$

where f_0 is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of A and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Then \mathbb{G} is a well-defined endofunctor of \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} .

(2) For any distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{g_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{g_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\eta} \rightarrow$$

where g_0 is an \mathcal{X} -monic, take the following commutative diagram of distinguished n -exangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \rightarrow \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_n & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \mathbb{G}A_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

Then we have a complex

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\overline{g_0}} A_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{g_1}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\overline{g_2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{g_{n-1}}} A_n \xrightarrow{\overline{g_n}} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi_{n+1}}} \mathbb{G}A_0.$$

We define right $(n+2)$ -angles in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} as the complexes which are isomorphic to complexes obtained in this way.

Proof. Since the proof is very similar to [ZW, Theorem 3.11], we omit it. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category with split idempotents and \mathcal{X} an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Consider the following conditions:

(a) For any object $A \in \mathcal{C}$, there exist two distinguished n -exangles:

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{f} A \rightarrow \rightarrow$$

where f is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of A and $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$, and

$$A \xrightarrow{g} X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X'_n \rightarrow X'_{n+1} \rightarrow \rightarrow$$

where g is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of A and $X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$.

(b) For any distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$$

in \mathcal{C} , f_0 is an \mathcal{X} -monic if $\overline{f_n}$ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} and f_n is an \mathcal{X} -epic if $\overline{f_0}$ is a monomorphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} .

If the conditions (a) and (b) hold, then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category.

Proof. Since \mathcal{C} has split idempotents, then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} has split idempotents by Remark 3.1. Thus (A0) is satisfied.

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain that $(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G})$ is a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category. By the construction of \mathbb{G} , we have $\mathbb{G} = 0$.

For any morphism $\overline{f_0}: A_0 \rightarrow A_1$, take a distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} X'_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} X'_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} X'_n \xrightarrow{g_n} X'_{n+1} = \mathbb{G}A_0 \xrightarrow{-\delta}$$

with g_0 is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of A and $X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$. It is obvious that $\begin{bmatrix} -g_0 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix}: A_0 \rightarrow X'_1 \oplus A_1$ is an \mathcal{X} -monic. Note that g_0 is an inflation, by Lemma 2.20, we know that $\begin{bmatrix} -g_0 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix}$ is also an inflation. So there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -g_0 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix}} X'_1 \oplus A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{-\eta}$$

By Lemma 2.18, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -g_0 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix}} & X'_1 \oplus A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{-\eta} & \rightarrow \\ \parallel & & \downarrow (-1, 0) & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_n & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & X'_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & X'_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & X'_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & X'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

of distinguished n -exangles in \mathcal{C} . It follows that

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_0}} A_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_1}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-2}}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-1}}} A_n \xrightarrow{\overline{f_n}} A_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

is a right $(n+2)$ -angle in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} . By Lemma 2.6, we know that $\overline{f_i}$ is a weak cokernel of $\overline{f_{i-1}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that $\overline{f_n}$ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} , we have that $\overline{f_n}$ is a cokernel of $\overline{f_{n-1}}$. This shows that $(\overline{f_1}, \overline{f_2}, \dots, \overline{f_{n+1}})$ is an n -cokernel of $\overline{f_0}$.

So any morphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} has n -cokernel. Dually we can show that \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} has n -kernel. Thus (A1) is satisfied.

If $\overline{f_0}$ is a monomorphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} , there exists a right $(n+2)$ -angle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_0}} A_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_1}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-2}}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-1}}} A_n \xrightarrow{\overline{f_n}} A_{n+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} . By the definition of the right $(n+2)$ -angles in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} , without loss of generality, we may assume that the right $(n+2)$ -angle (3.1) is induced by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{-\eta} & \rightarrow \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_n & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

of distinguished n -exangles, where $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus we have that

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \dashrightarrow. \quad (3.2)$$

is a distinguished n -exangle in \mathcal{C} . By hypothesis (b), we have that f_n is an \mathcal{X} -epic since $\overline{f_0}$ is a monomorphism. Thus the distinguished n -exangle (3.2) induces a left $(n+2)$ -angle

$$0 \rightarrow A_0 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_0}} A_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_1}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-2}}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-1}}} A_n \xrightarrow{\overline{f_n}} A_{n+1}$$

in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} . By Lemma 2.6 and its dual, we have that

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_0}} A_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_1}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\overline{f_2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-2}}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{n-1}}} A_n \xrightarrow{\overline{f_n}} A_{n+1}$$

is an n -exact sequence in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} . Thus (A2) is satisfied. Dually we can show that (A2)^{op} is satisfied.

Therefore \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category. \square

Motivated by the definition of cluster tilting subcategories of $(n+2)$ -angulated categories, which is due to Zhou-Zhu [ZZ3, Definition 3.3]. We define cluster tilting subcategories of n -exangulated categories.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C} . \mathcal{X} is called *cluster-tilting* if

- (1) $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) = 0$.
- (2) For any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there are two distinguished n -exangles

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow C \dashrightarrow$$

where $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ and

$$C \rightarrow X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X'_n \rightarrow X'_{n+1} \dashrightarrow$$

where $X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$.

Remark 3.5. When \mathcal{C} is an $(n+2)$ -angulated category, this definition is just the Definition 3.3 in [ZZ3].

Remark 3.6. Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives and \mathcal{X} a cluster tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} . By Lemma 2.23 and its dual, we know that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category with split idempotents and \mathcal{X} a cluster-tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{C} has enough projectives and enough injectives, then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category.*

Proof. We only need to show that cluster tilting subcategories satisfy the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 3.3.

By the definition of cluster-tilting subcategories, the condition (a) holds in Lemma 3.3.

For any distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\eta} \rightarrow$$

in \mathcal{C} .

Now assume that $\overline{f_n}$ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} . Since \mathcal{C} has enough injectives, there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} I_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} I_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} I_n \xrightarrow{g_n} B \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$$

where $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n \in \mathcal{I}$. Since I_1 is an injective object, there exists a morphism $\varphi_1: A_1 \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\varphi_1 f_0 = g_0$. By Lemma 2.18, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \rightarrow \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_n & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & I_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & I_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & I_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \rightarrow \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

of distinguished n -exangles. It follows that $\varphi_{n+1} f_n = g_n \varphi_n$. Since $I_n \in \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, we have $\overline{\varphi_{n+1}} \circ \overline{f_n} = 0$ in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} . Since $\overline{f_n}$ is an epimorphism, we get $\overline{\varphi_{n+1}} = 0$. That is to say, there exist morphisms $u: A_{n+1} \rightarrow X_0$ and $v: X_0 \rightarrow B$ where $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\varphi_{n+1} = vu$.

Now we prove that f_0 is an \mathcal{X} -monic. Let $a: A_0 \rightarrow X$ be any morphism in \mathcal{C} where $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Since \mathcal{C} has enough injectives, there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$X \xrightarrow{h_0} I'_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} I'_2 \xrightarrow{h_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{h_{n-1}} I'_n \xrightarrow{h_n} C \xrightarrow{\theta} \rightarrow \quad (3.4)$$

where $I'_1, I'_2, \dots, I'_n \in \mathcal{I}$. Since I_1 is an injective object, there exists a morphism $\psi_1: I_1 \rightarrow I'_1$ such that $\psi_1 g_0 = h_0 a$. By Lemma 2.18, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & I_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & I_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & I_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \rightarrow \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 & & & & \downarrow \psi_n & & \downarrow \psi_{n+1} & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{h_0} & I'_1 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & I'_2 & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{h_{n-1}} & I'_n & \xrightarrow{h_n} & C & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \rightarrow \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

of distinguished n -exangles. By composing the commutative diagrams (3.3) and (3.5), we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \rightarrow \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \psi_1 \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \psi_n \varphi_n & & \downarrow \psi_{n+1} \varphi_{n+1} & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{h_0} & I'_1 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & I'_2 & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{h_{n-1}} & I'_n & \xrightarrow{h_n} & C & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \rightarrow \end{array} \quad (3.6)$$

of distinguished n -exangles. Applying the functor $\mathcal{C}(X_0, -)$ to the distinguished n -exangle

(3.4), we have the following exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{C}(X_0, I'_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(X_0, h_n)} \mathcal{C}(X_0, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_0, X).$$

Since \mathcal{X} is cluster tilting, we have $\mathbb{E}(X_0, X) = 0$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}(X_0, h_n)$ is an epimorphism. So there exists a morphism $w: X_0 \rightarrow I'_n$ such that $\psi_{n+1}v = h_n w$. It follows that $\psi_{n+1}\varphi_{n+1} = h_n(w\varphi_{n+1})$. Namely, $\psi_{n+1}\varphi_{n+1}$ factors through h_n . By Lemma 2.19, we get that a factors through f_0 , that is, there exists a morphism $b: A_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $a = bf_0$. This shows that f_0 is an \mathcal{X} -monic.

Dually we can show that if $\overline{f_0}$ is a monomorphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} , then f_n is an \mathcal{X} -epic.

Therefore the condition (b) holds in Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.3, we have that \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category. \square

Remark 3.8. An important source of examples of n -abelian categories are n -cluster-tilting subcategories. That is, Jasso [Ja, Theorem 3.6] showed that an n -cluster-tilting subcategory of an abelian category are an n -abelian category. Later, Zhou-Zhu [ZZ3, Theorem 3.4] proved that any quotient of an $(n+2)$ -angulated category modulo a cluster tilting subcategory is an n -abelian category. Our main result gives a new source to construct n -abelian categories.

Remark 3.9. In Theorem 3.7, when $n = 1$, it is just the Theorem 3.4 in [ZZ2].

Applying Theorem 3.7 to $(n+2)$ -angulated categories, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.10. [ZZ3, Theorem 3.4] *Let \mathcal{C} be an $(n+2)$ -angulated category with split idempotents and \mathcal{X} a cluster tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category.*

Proof. Since any $(n+2)$ -angulated category can be viewed as an n -exangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives. This follows from Theorem 3.7. \square

Remark 3.11. In Corollary 3.10, when $n = 1$, it is just the Theorem 3.3 in [KZ].

Applying Theorem 3.7 to n -exact categories, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.12. *Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exact category with split idempotents and \mathcal{X} a cluster-tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{C} has enough projectives and enough injectives, then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an n -abelian category.*

Proof. Since any n -exact category can be viewed as an n -exangulated category. This follows from Theorem 3.7. \square

Remark 3.13. In Corollary 3.12, when $n = 1$, it is just the Theorem 3.3 in [DL].

References

- [BMR] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, I. Reiten. Cluster-tilted algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359(1): 323–332, 2007.
- [BT] P. Bergh, M. Thaule. The axioms for n -angulated categories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 13(4): 2405–2428, 2013.
- [DL] L. Demonet, Y. Liu. Quotients of exact categories by cluster tilting subcategories as module categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 217(12): 2282–2297, 2013.
- [GKO] C. Geiss, B. Keller, S. Oppermann. n -angulated categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 675: 101–120, 2013.
- [HLN] M. Herschend, Y. Liu, H. Nakaoka. n -exangulated categories. arXiv: 1709.06689, 2017.
- [IY] O. Iyama and Y. Yoshino. Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules. *Invent. Math.* 172(1): 117–168, 2008.
- [Ja] G. Jasso. n -abelian and n -exact categories. *Math. Z.* 283(3–4): 703–759, 2016.
- [J] P. Jørgensen. Quotients of cluster categories. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 140(1): 65–81, 2010.
- [KR] B. Keller, I. Reiten. Cluster-tilted algebras are Gorenstein and stably Calabi-Yau. *Adv. Math.* 211: 123–151, 2007.
- [KZ] S. Koenig, B. Zhu. From triangulated categories to abelian categories: cluster tilting in a general framework. *Math. Z.* 258: 143–160, 2008.
- [L] Z. Lin. Right n -angulated categories arising from covariantly finite subcategories. *Comm. Algebra*, 45(2): 828–840, 2017.
- [LZ] Y. Liu, P. Zhou. Frobenius n -exangulated categories. *J. Algebra* 559: 161–183, 2020.
- [NP] H. Nakaoka, Y. Palu. Extriangulated categories, Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures. *Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég.* 60(2): 117–193, 2019.
- [ZW] Q. Zheng, J. Wei. $(n+2)$ -angulated quotient categories. *Algebra Colloq.* 26(4): 689–720, 2019.
- [ZZ1] P. Zhou, B. Zhu. Triangulated quotient categories revisited. *J. Algebra.* 502: 196–232, 2018.
- [ZZ2] P. Zhou, B. Zhu. Cluster-tilting subcategories in extriangulated categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.* 34(8): 221–242, 2019.
- [ZZ3] P. Zhou, B. Zhu. n -Abelian quotient categories. *J. Algebra* 527: 264–279, 2019.

Yu Liu

School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, 610031, Chengdu, Sichuan, P. R. China

E-mail: liuyu86@swjtu.edu.cn

Panyue Zhou

College of Mathematics, Hunan Institute of Science and Technology, 414006, Yueyang, Hunan,

P. R. China.

E-mail: panyuezhou@163.com