

# THE ISOTROPY GROUP OF A FOLIATION: THE LOCAL CASE.

D. CERVEAU & A. LINS NETO

ABSTRACT. Given a holomorphic singular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  we define  $Iso(\mathcal{F})$  as the group of germs of biholomorphisms on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  preserving  $\mathcal{F}$ :  $Iso(\mathcal{F}) = \{\Phi \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \mid \Phi^*(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}\}$ . The normal subgroup of  $Iso(\mathcal{F})$ , of biholomorphisms sending each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  into itself, will be denoted as  $Fix(\mathcal{F})$ . The corresponding groups of formal biholomorphisms will be denoted as  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ , respectively. The purpose of this paper will be to study the quotients  $Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ , mainly in the case of codimension one foliation.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Theorem 1 and correlated facts	7
2.1. Preliminaries	7
2.2. Proof of theorem 1 and complements	8
2.3. An Application of theorem 1	9
2.4. Examples with formal, but without meromorphic integrating factor	16
3. Conical and logarithmic foliations	18
3.1. Preliminaries and statement of the results.	18
3.2. Conical foliations: proof of theorem 4	21
3.3. Logarithmic foliations	22
References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  of a singular codimension  $p$  holomorphic foliation, where  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{F}$  can be defined by a germ of holomorphic  $p$ -form  $\Omega \in \Omega^p(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ , which is integrable in the following sense:

- (1).  $\Omega$  is locally completely decomposable outside its singular set: if  $m \notin Sing(\Omega)$ , that is  $\Omega(m) \neq 0$ , then the germ  $\Omega_m$ , of  $\Omega$  at  $m$ , is completely decomposable; i. e. there exist germs of holomorphic 1-forms at  $m$ , say  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p$ , such that  $\Omega_m = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p$ .
- (2).  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p$  satisfy the Frobenius integrability condition:  $d\omega_j \wedge \Omega \equiv 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq p$ .

Condition (1) implies that we can define a codimension  $p$  distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  outside  $Sing(\Omega)$  by

$$\mathcal{D}(m) = \{v \in T_m \mathbb{R}^n \mid i_v \Omega(m) = 0\} := ker(\Omega(m)).$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F75, 34M15.

*Key words and phrases.* holomorphic foliation.

Condition (2) implies that the distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  is integrable.

**Remark 1.1.** If  $\text{Cod}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Sing}(\Omega)) \geq 2$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is another germ of  $p$ -form that represents  $\mathcal{F}$  then there exists an unity  $u \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$  such that  $\tilde{\Omega} = u \cdot \Omega$ . From now on, we will assume that  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Sing}(\Omega)$  has codimension  $\geq 2$ .

Associated to the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  we introduce the following group

$$(1) \quad \text{Iso}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\phi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \mid \phi^*(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}\},$$

the subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms fixing the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In terms of a germ of  $p$ -form  $\Omega$  defining  $\mathcal{F}$  relation (1) means that  $\phi^*(\Omega)$  also defines the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , and so  $\phi^*(\Omega) = u \cdot \Omega$ , where  $u \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$ .

We will consider also

$$\widehat{\text{Iso}}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\phi \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \mid \phi^*(\Omega) = \hat{u} \cdot \Omega, \hat{u} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^*\},$$

the group of formal diffeomorphisms "fixing"  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\Omega$  be as before. The reader can check that a germ  $\phi \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  if, and only if, there are neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and representatives of  $\Omega$  and  $\phi$ , denoted by the same symbols, such that  $\Omega \in \Omega^p(U \cup V)$ ,  $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ , and

- a.  $\phi(\text{Sing}(\Omega|_U)) = \text{Sing}(\Omega|_V)$ .
- b.  $\phi$  sends leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_U$  onto leaves of  $\mathcal{F}|_V$ .

Let us see some examples.

**Example 1.** The simplest example of a germ  $\mathcal{F}$  of codimension  $p$  foliation is the *regular foliation*, when  $\Omega(0) \neq 0$ . In this case, the definition and Frobenius theorem implies that there are local coordinates  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  around  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and an unity  $v \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$  such that  $\Omega = v(z) dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_p$ . In this case, it is easy to see that  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  if, and only if  $\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial z_j} = 0$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $\forall j > p$ . In other words, if we set  $\Psi_1 = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)$ ,  $\Psi_2 = (\phi_{p+1}, \dots, \phi_n)$ ,  $\zeta_1 = (z_1, \dots, z_p)$  and  $\zeta_2 = (z_{p+1}, \dots, z_n)$  then

$$\phi(z) = (\Psi_1(\zeta_1), \Psi_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)).$$

**Example 2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\Omega$  be as above. We say that a germ of holomorphic (resp. formal) vector field  $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  (resp.  $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ ) is tangent to the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $i_X \Omega = 0$ . Let us denote the local flow of  $X$  by  $t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow X_t$ . If  $X$  is holomorphic and  $t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  then  $X_t$  defines a germ of diffeomorphism  $X_t: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, X_t(0))$ . In particular, if  $X(0) = 0$  then  $X_t \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ ,  $\forall t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ . The reader can verify directly that in this case  $X_t \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\forall t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ .

In this example,  $X_t$  *fixes* the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , in the sense that if  $L$  is a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $X_t(L) \cap L \neq \emptyset$  is an open subset of  $L$ .

Motivated by example 2 we define  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  as the subgroup of  $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  of germs of diffeomorphisms that "fix the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ ". In other words, if  $\Phi \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $U$  is the domain of  $\Phi$  and  $L \subset U$  is a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}|_U$  then  $\Phi(L) \cap L \neq \emptyset$  is an open subset of  $L$ . For instance, if  $L$  accumulates in the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  then, as a germ, we have  $\Phi(L) = L$ .

The formal completion of  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  in  $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  will be denoted by  $\widehat{\text{Fix}}(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Remark 1.3.**  $Fix(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.  $\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ ) is a normal subgroup of  $Iso(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ ). We leave the proof to the reader.

One of the goals of this paper is to describe the groups  $Iso(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ ) and the quotient  $Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ ) in certain cases. Let us begin by a simple example.

**Example 3.** Let  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be an integrable 1-form and  $\Phi \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be an involution ( $\Phi^2 = I$ ), which is not in  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ . In particular,  $\eta := \omega \wedge \Phi^*(\omega) \neq 0$  and if  $n \geq 3$  then  $\eta$  is integrable and defines a foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\eta$  of codimension two of  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ . Since  $\Phi^2 = I$  we have

$$\Phi^*(\eta) = \Phi^*(\omega \wedge \Phi^*(\omega)) = \Phi^*(\omega) \wedge \omega = -\eta \implies \Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\eta).$$

We would like to observe that  $\Phi \notin Fix(\mathcal{F}_\eta)$ , so that its class in  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\eta)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\eta)$  is non-trivial.

Another simple example is the following:

**Example 4.** We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *homogeneous* foliation on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  if there exists a  $p$ -form  $\Omega$  defining  $\mathcal{F}$  with all coefficients homogeneous of the same degree. Let  $R = \sum_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  be the radial vector field. The  $p$ -form  $\Omega$  is homogeneous if, and only if,  $L_R \Omega = (d+p)\Omega$ , where  $d$  is the degree of the coefficients. In particular, the group of dilatations of  $\mathbb{C}^n$

$$H = \{h_\rho(z) = \rho \cdot z \mid \rho \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$$

is contained in  $Iso(\mathcal{F})$ :  $h_\rho^*(\Omega) = \rho^{d+p} \cdot \Omega$  and so  $h_\rho \in Iso(\mathcal{F})$ .

We note however that  $i_R \Omega \equiv 0$  if, and only if,  $H \subset Fix(\mathcal{F})$ . When  $i_R \Omega = 0$  we say that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  is *conic*.

If  $i_R \Omega \neq 0$  then the generic element of  $H$  does not fix the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the quotient  $H/H \cap Fix(\mathcal{F})$  can be very complicated in general, as in the next example.

**Example 5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the germ of codimension one logarithmic foliation given by  $\Omega = x_1 \dots x_n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \frac{dx_j}{x_j}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case the holonomy group of the leaf  $L := (x_1 = 0) \setminus \bigcup_{j>1} (x_j = 0)$  in the transversal section  $\Sigma := \{(\tau, 1, \dots, 1) \mid \tau \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is linear and its multipliers are in the sub-group  $G$  of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  generated by  $e^{2\pi i \lambda_j / \lambda_1}$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq n$ . It can be shown that  $H/H \cap Fix(\mathcal{F})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^*/G$ .

**Example 6.** Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p$  be  $p$  germs of integrable 1-forms on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ , where  $2 \leq p < n$ . Let  $\eta := \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p$ . We will assume that  $\eta \neq 0$ . Note that  $\eta$  is integrable. We will assume also that:

- (1). If  $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^p f_j \cdot \omega_j$  is integrable, where  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$ , and there is  $i$  such that  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$  then  $\omega = f_i \cdot \omega_i$ .
- (2). If  $i \neq j$  then there is no  $\Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\eta)$  such that  $\omega_i \wedge \Phi^*(\omega_j) = 0$ .

With the above hypothesis we have  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\eta) = \bigcap_{j=1}^p Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_j})$ .

In fact, if  $\Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\eta)$  then  $\Phi^*(\eta) = u \cdot \eta$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$ , implies that  $\Phi^*(\omega_j) = \sum_{i=1}^p f_{ji} \cdot \omega_i$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq j \leq p$ , where  $f_{ji} \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$  for some  $i$ . Therefore, from (1) we get  $\Phi^*(\omega_j) = f_{ji} \cdot \omega_i$  and by (2) we get  $i = j$  and  $\Phi^*(\omega_j) = f_{jj} \cdot \omega_j$ , so that  $\Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_j})$ ,  $\forall j$ . The converse statement is immediate and is left to the reader.

A concrete example of this situation is the following: let  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_2$  be such that  $f^{-1}(0)$  and  $g^{-1}(0)$  are not homeomorphic. Define  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^4, 0)$  as  $\omega_1 = z_1 dz_2 + df(z_1, z_2)$  and  $\omega_2 = z_3 dz_4 + dg(z_3, z_4)$ .

Using that  $d\omega_1 = dz_1 \wedge dz_2$  and  $d\omega_2 = dz_3 \wedge dz_4$  it is possible to prove that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  satisfy hypothesis (1) and (2) above. In particular, we get  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2}) = \{(\phi(z_1, z_2), \psi(z_3, z_4)) \mid \phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_1}) \text{ and } \psi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_2})\} \simeq Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_1}) \times Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_2})$ .

Another example in  $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ , satisfying (1) and (2), is the following: let  $\omega_1 = a(x, y) dx + b(x, y) dy$  and  $\omega_2 = dz$ , where we assume that  $\omega_1$  has no meromorphic integrating factor. Here we have  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2}) =$

$$= \{(\phi(x, y), \psi(z)) \mid \phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_1}) \text{ and } \psi \in Diff(\mathbb{C}, 0)\} \simeq Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_1}) \times Diff(\mathbb{C}, 0).$$

We begin in §2 studying the case of codimension one foliations. In [4] the authors study some special cases of this situation: when the dimension is  $n = 2$  and when  $\mathcal{F}$  has an holomorphic first integral, related with a known Brianccon-Skoda theorem [4]. In particular, we intend here to precise and generalize some of the results of [4]. Our first result in this direction is the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  of codimension one foliation defined by a germ of integrable 1-form  $\Omega$ . Suppose that the quotient  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  has an element of infinite order. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is formally Liouville integrable, that is, there exists  $\hat{f} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n$  such that  $\frac{1}{\hat{f}}\Omega$  is closed.*

In § 2.3 we will apply theorem 1 in the case of foliations on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  that in the process of resolution have a non-dicritical irreducible component with non-abelian holonomy. In theorem 2 we will prove that for such a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of the linear group  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Theorem 1 is stated in a formal context. A germ of meromorphic closed 1-form  $\omega/f$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ , can be written in a normal form of the following type: if  $f = f_1^{n_1+1} \dots f_r^{n_r+1}$  is the decomposition of  $f$  into irreducible factors, then it can be proved that (cf. [3])

$$(2) \quad \frac{\omega}{f} = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j} + d \left( \frac{H}{f_1^{n_1} \dots f_r^{n_r}} \right),$$

where  $H \in \mathcal{O}_n$  and  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ .

In the formal case, when  $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  and  $f_j \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , there is a similar result, proved in [23], with  $H \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n$  in (2). In our case,  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  and  $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ , but there are conditions, on the residues  $\lambda_j$ , assuring the convergence of  $f$  (see [3]).

About the cardinality of the quotient group and the existence of holomorphic integrating factor, by using results of Pérez Marco [20] it is possible to construct examples of germs of foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  in which  $Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F})$  is non-countable, contains elements of infinite order, but  $\mathcal{F}$  is not liouville integrable: it cannot be defined by a meromorphic closed form (see theorem 3 in §2.4).

In §3 we will study  $Iso(\mathcal{F})$  and  $Fix(\mathcal{F})$  when  $\mathcal{F}$  is homogeneous or has an integrating factor.

**Definition 1.** We say that a  $p$ -form  $\Omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is conical if it is homogeneous and  $i_R\Omega = 0$ , where  $R$  is the radial vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . A holomorphic foliation of codimension  $p$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is conic if it can be defined by a conical  $p$ -form.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a conical foliation on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , as above, then  $\mathcal{F}$  induces a foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , of the same codimension, on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . In theorem 4 we prove that, in this case,  $Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F})$  is isomorphic to  $Aut(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ , the subgroup of  $Aut(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  preserving  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . Let us see an example.

**Example 7.** Jouanolou's example of degree  $d \geq 2$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (see [9] and [12]) is defined in homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  by the homogeneous  $(n-1)$ -form  $\Omega = i_R i_X \nu$ , where  $R$  is the radial vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $\nu = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n+1}$  and

$$X = x_{n+1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n x_{j-1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

The foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , induced on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$ , can be defined in the affine coordinate  $x_{n+1} = 1$  by the vector field

$$Y = (1 - x_n^d \cdot x_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n (x_{j-1}^d - x_n^d \cdot x_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Let  $D = \frac{d^{n+1}-1}{d-1}$  and  $\lambda$  be a primitive  $D$ th-root of unity. It is known that  $Aut(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is isomorphic to the finite sub-group  $G(n, d)$  of  $PSL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  generated by the transformations  $\tau$  and  $\rho$ , where

$$\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = \left( \lambda \cdot x_1, \lambda^{d+1} \cdot x_2, \dots, \lambda^{\frac{d^j-1}{d-1}} \cdot x_j, \dots, \lambda^{\frac{d^n-1}{d-1}} \cdot x_n, x_{n+1} \right)$$

and

$$\rho(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = (x_{n+1}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

In particular,  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) \simeq G(n, d)$  by theorem 4.

On the other hand, if  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is integrable and homogeneous, but is non-conical, then the following facts are known (see [3]):

- a. If  $i_R\omega = f \neq 0$  then  $f$  is an integrating factor of  $\omega$ :  $d(f^{-1} \cdot \omega) = 0$ .
- b.  $f$  is homogeneous:  $R(f) = \ell f$ , for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- c. If  $f = f_1^{n_1+1} \dots f_r^{n_r+1}$  is the decomposition of  $f$  into homogeneous factors then the hypersurfaces  $(f_j = 0)$  are  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ -invariant,  $\forall 1 \leq j \leq r$ . Moreover, the form  $f^{-1} \cdot \omega$  can be written as in (2).
- d. When  $f$  is reduced,  $f = f_1 \dots f_r$ , then  $\Omega := f^{-1} \cdot \omega$  is logarithmic:

$$(3) \quad \Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}.$$

When  $\Omega = f^{-1} \cdot \omega$  is like in (2) or (3) and  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}_n$  are relatively prime, but not necessarily homogeneous, we will consider in § 3.3 the case in which  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  has no meromorphic first integral. In proposition 4 we will prove that if  $\Omega$  is a closed meromorphic 1-form, without meromorphic first integral, and if  $\Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  then  $\Phi^*(\Omega) = \delta \Omega$ , where  $\delta$  is a root of unity. As we will see there, in this case  $\Phi$  permutes the "separatrices"  $(f_j = 0)$ , and if  $\Phi(f_j = 0) = (f_j = 0)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , then  $\delta = 1$ .

In theorem 5 we will prove that if  $\Omega$  is logarithmic, has no meromorphic first integral and  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  contains a transformation  $\Phi$  with  $D\Phi(0) = \rho \cdot I$ , where  $\rho$  is not a root of unity, then there exists  $h \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $h^*\Omega$  is homogeneous.

In corollaries 2, 3, 4 and 5, we apply theorem 5 in some special situations.

**Example 8.** Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{O}_2$  be such that:

1.  $f_1$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{O}_n$  and its first non-vanishing jet at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is  $J_0^3(f_1) = z_1 \cdot z_2^2$ .
2.  $J_0^1(f_2) = z_1 + z_2$ .

Let  $\Omega = \frac{df_1}{f_1} + \lambda \frac{df_2}{f_2}$ , where  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) = Fix(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ .

This example is an application of corollary 4, as we will see in § 3.1.

**Remark 1.4.** Let  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be an integrable form inducing the germ of foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ . Note that  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$  can be considered as a subgroup of  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .

In fact, there is a natural group inclusion  $In: Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \rightarrow \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ , as the reader can check. This map is injective, but not surjective in general.

For instance, in the case of 1-forms  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  that are formally linearizable, but not holomorphically linearizable, studied in theorem 3, the map is not surjective. In contrast, in the case of conical foliations, to be studied in § 3.2, the map is an isomorphism. A natural problem is the following:

**Problem 1.** When  $In: Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \rightarrow \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$  is an isomorphism?

Another natural problem is the following:

**Problem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of foliation such that any element  $\Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F})$  has finite order. Is  $Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F})$  finite? The same question can be posed for  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ .

In theorem 2 we prove that the answer of problem 2 is positive in a particular case in dimension two. In corollary 4 of theorem 5 we prove a similar statement in the case of logarithmic foliations in  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  (see § 3.1).

**Remark 1.5.** Let  $S = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  be a semi-simple linear vector field with eigenvalues  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $gcd(k_1, \dots, k_n) = 1$ . We say that a  $p$ -form  $\eta \in \Omega^p(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is  $S$  quasi-homogeneous if  $L_S \eta = k \eta$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the case of a germ of function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$  the identity  $S(f) = L_S(f) = k \cdot f$  means that

$$f(\tau^{k_1} z_1, \dots, \tau^{k_n} z_n) = \tau^k f(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad \forall (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C}, 0), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We would like to observe the following facts:

1.  $\eta$  is homogeneous if, and only if,  $\eta$  is quasi-homogeneous with respect to  $R$ , the radial vector field in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
2. If  $\eta$  is  $S$  quasi-homogeneous then their coefficients are  $S$  quasi-homogeneous. As a consequence all coefficients of  $\eta$  are polynomials.
3. The flow  $exp(tS)$  of  $S$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action  $\Psi: \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$\Psi_\tau(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \Psi(\tau, z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\tau^{k_1} z_1, \dots, \tau^{k_n} z_n).$$

The relation  $L_S\eta = k\eta$  is equivalent to  $\Psi_\tau^*(\eta) = \tau^k\eta$ .

We say that  $\eta$  is a  $S$  conical foliation if  $\eta$  is  $S$  quasi-homogeneous and  $i_S\eta = 0$ . A  $S$  conical and integrable form induces a foliation on the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$ , associated to the weights  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  (cf. [7]).

A natural question is the following:

**Problem 3.** Let  $S = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  be as above. Are there statements concerning  $S$  conical and  $S$  quasi-homogeneous foliations, similar to theorems 4 and 5?

## 2. THEOREM 1 AND CORRELATED FACTS

**2.1. Preliminaries.** This section is devoted to the statement of some well known results that will be used along the text. We will prove also theorem 1 in § 2.2 and give an application in § 2.3. In § 2.4 we construct examples of foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  in dimension two for which  $Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F})$  is non-countable. These examples are not Liouville integrable, but formally Liouville integrable, in the sense that they admit a formal integrating factor.

We begin recalling the concept of unipotent germ of formal diffeomorphism.

**Definition 2.** We say that  $\phi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is unipotent if the linear part  $D\phi(0)$  is unipotent. A formal vector field  $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is nilpotent if its linear part  $DX(0)$  is nilpotent.

In fact, the following proposition is known (cf. [1] and [4]):

**Proposition 1.** *A formal germ  $\phi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is unipotent if, and only if, there exists a nilpotent formal vector field  $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $\phi = \exp(X)$ , where  $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$  denotes the formal flow of  $X$ . Moreover, if  $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is nilpotent then the formal flow is polynomial in  $t$ , in the sense that*

$$(4) \quad \exp(tX)(z) = \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma}(t) z^{\sigma},$$

where  $P_{\sigma}(t)$  is a polynomial in  $t$  for all  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ .

As a consequence, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  is unipotent and  $\phi = \exp(X)$  then  $\phi_t = \exp(tX) \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}), \forall t \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  be a germ of integrable  $p$ -form that represents  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  we have

$$\phi^*(\Omega) = \widehat{u} \cdot \Omega, \quad \widehat{u} \in \mathcal{O}_n^*.$$

From the above relation, we get by induction on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$(5) \quad (\phi^k)^*(\Omega) = \widehat{u}_k \cdot \Omega,$$

where  $\widehat{u}_k$  is defined inductively by  $\widehat{u}_1 = \widehat{u}$  and  $\widehat{u}_{k+1} = \widehat{u}_k \circ \phi \cdot \widehat{u}$ , if  $k \geq 1$ .

Write

$$\Omega = \sum_I F_I(z) dz^I,$$

where  $I = (1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n)$ ,  $dz^I = dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_p}$  and  $F_I(z) = \sum_{\sigma} A_{I,\sigma} z^{\sigma}$ .

Let  $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be such that  $\phi = \exp(X)$ . Since  $\exp(tX)(z) = \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma}(t) z^{\sigma}$ , where  $P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ , we get by direct substitution that

$$\exp(tX)^*(\Omega) = \sum_I F_I(t, z) dz^I,$$

where  $F_I(0, z) = F_I(z)$  and

$$(6) \quad F_I(t, z) = \sum_{\sigma} Q_{I, \sigma}(t) z^{\sigma}, \quad Q_{I, \sigma} \in \mathbb{C}[t], \forall I, \sigma$$

Now, we can write  $\phi^k = \exp(kX)$  if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that, from (5) and (6) we obtain that for all  $I_1, \sigma_1$  and  $I_2, \sigma_2$  such that  $A_{I_1, \sigma_1} \cdot A_{I_2, \sigma_2} \neq 0$  we have

$$\frac{Q_{I_1, \sigma_1}(k)}{A_{I_1, \sigma_1}} = \frac{Q_{I_2, \sigma_2}(k)}{A_{I_2, \sigma_2}}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since all  $Q_{I, \sigma}(t)$  are polynomials in  $t$ , from the above relation that

$$\frac{Q_{I_1, \sigma_1}(t)}{A_{I_1, \sigma_1}} = \frac{Q_{I_2, \sigma_2}(t)}{A_{I_2, \sigma_2}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{C}$$

which implies the corollary.  $\square$

Another well known fact is the following:

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\phi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be a formal diffeomorphism. Then  $\phi$  admits a formal Jordan decomposition:  $\phi = \phi_S \circ \phi_U$ , where  $\phi_S$  and  $\phi_U$  are commuting formal diffeomorphisms,  $\phi_S$  is semi-simple (formally conjugated to its linear part  $D\phi_S(0)$ ) and  $\phi_U$  is unipotent.*

**Remark 2.1.** If  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  then  $\phi_S, \phi_U \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ . The proof can be found in [4].

**2.2. Proof of theorem 1 and complements.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ of codimension one foliation defined by an integrable germ  $\Omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ . We will assume that  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  has an element of infinite order in the quotient  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ , say  $\phi$ . The proof will be based in several remarks. The first is elementary:

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  be unipotent and  $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be such that  $\phi = \exp(X)$ . Let  $f := i_X \Omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ . We have two possibilities:*

- (a).  $f \neq 0$  and  $\omega := \frac{1}{f} \Omega$  is closed.
- (b).  $f \equiv 0$  and in this case  $\phi \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ .

*Proof.* From corollary 2.1 we know that  $\exp(tX) \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ , which means that

$$\exp(tX)^* \Omega = u_t \Omega,$$

where  $u_t \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^* \forall t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using that  $\frac{d}{dt} \exp(tX)^* \Omega|_{t=0} = L_X \Omega$ , where  $L_X$  denotes the Lie derivative in the direction of  $X$ , and taking the derivative in both members of the above relation we get

$$L_X \Omega = v \Omega, \quad v = \frac{d}{dt} u_t|_{t=0}.$$

Since  $v \Omega = L_X \Omega = i_X d\Omega + d i_X \Omega = i_X d\Omega + df$  we get

$$0 = L_X \Omega \wedge \Omega = i_X d\Omega \wedge \Omega + df \wedge \Omega \implies df \wedge \Omega = \Omega \wedge i_X d\Omega.$$

On the other hand, the integrability condition  $\Omega \wedge d\Omega = 0$  implies that

$$0 = i_X(\Omega \wedge d\Omega) = i_X \Omega \cdot d\Omega - \Omega \wedge i_X d\Omega = f d\Omega - \Omega \wedge i_X d\Omega \implies$$



$$f d\Omega = \Omega \wedge i_X d\Omega = df \wedge \Omega \iff d\left(\frac{1}{f}\Omega\right) = 0, \text{ if } f \neq 0.$$

This proves (a).

If  $X$  is holomorphic and  $i_X\Omega = 0$  then the orbits of the flow  $\exp(tX)$  are contained in the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , so that  $\phi = \exp(X) \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ .

In the general case the formal flow  $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

Let us finish the proof of theorem 1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be defined by the germ of integrable 1-form  $\Omega$  and  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  be of infinite order in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . By proposition 2 we can decompose  $\phi = \phi_S \circ \phi_U$ , where  $\phi_S$  is semi-simple and  $\phi_U$  unipotent. By remark 2.1  $\phi_S$  and  $\phi_U$  are in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be such that  $\phi_U = \exp(X)$ . If  $i_X\Omega \neq 0$  we are done by proposition 3. If  $i_X\Omega \equiv 0$  then  $\phi_U \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  and this implies that the classes of  $\phi_S$  and  $\phi$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  coincide. Hence,  $\phi_S$  is of infinite order in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . Now,  $\phi_S$  is linearizable: there exists  $\varphi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $\varphi^{-1} \circ \phi_S \circ \varphi = L$ , where  $L = D\phi_S(0)$  is linear and semi-simple: in some base of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  the matrix of  $L$  is diagonal and the subgroup of powers of  $L$ ,  $H = \{L^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , is abelian. Note that  $L$  is not of finite order, for otherwise  $\phi_S$  would be also of finite order. In particular  $H$  is infinite.

Let  $G$  be the Zariski closure of  $H$ . Since  $H$  is infinite and abelian,  $G$  is an abelian algebraic Lie group of dimension  $\geq 1$ . Let

$$\widehat{G} = \varphi \circ G \circ \varphi^{-1} = \{\varphi \circ g \circ \varphi^{-1} \mid g \in G\} \subset \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0).$$

Note that  $\widehat{G}$  is abelian. We assert that  $\widehat{G} \subset \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ . In fact, let  $\widetilde{\Omega} = \varphi^*(\Omega)$ . From  $\phi_S^*(\Omega) = u \cdot \Omega$ , where  $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$ , we get  $L^*(\widetilde{\Omega}) = \widetilde{u} \cdot \widetilde{\Omega}$  and  $(L^k)^*(\widetilde{\Omega}) = \widetilde{u}_k \cdot \widetilde{\Omega}$ ,  $\widetilde{u}_k \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $G$  is the Zariski closure of  $H$ , for all  $g \in G$  we must have  $g^*(\widetilde{\Omega}) = \widetilde{u}_g \cdot \widetilde{\Omega}$ ,  $\widetilde{u}_g \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$ . Hence, if  $\widehat{g} = \varphi \circ g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \widehat{G}$  then  $\widehat{g}^*(\Omega) = u_g \cdot \Omega$ ,  $u_g = \widetilde{u}_g \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , so that  $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ .

Now, since  $G$  is a complex Lie group of dimension  $\geq 1$  the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $G$  has dimension  $\geq 1$ . Moreover, if  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  then  $\exp(tX)^*(\widetilde{\Omega}) = u_t \cdot \widetilde{\Omega}$ , so that  $L_X \widetilde{\Omega} = h \widetilde{\Omega}$ , where  $h = \frac{d}{dt} u_t|_{t=0}$ . We assert that there exists  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $i_X \widetilde{\Omega} \neq 0$ .

In fact, if not then  $0 \in \mathcal{G}$  has a neighborhood  $V$  such that  $i_X \widetilde{\Omega} = 0 \forall X \in V$ . In particular, if  $G^\circ$  is the connected component of  $G$  containing the identity, then for any  $g \in G^\circ$  we have  $g = \exp(tX)$  where  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $X \in V$ . This implies that the conjugate  $\widehat{g} := \varphi \circ g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ .

On the other hand, since  $G$  has a finite number of connected components there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $L^k \in G^\circ$ . But, this would imply that the class of  $\phi_S^k$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  would be trivial, contradicting the hypothesis.

Finally, if  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  is such that  $i_X \widetilde{\Omega} \neq 0$  then the vector field  $Y = \varphi_*(X) \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  satisfies  $f := i_Y \Omega \neq 0$ , so that  $d\left(\frac{1}{f}\Omega\right) = 0$ .  $\square$

**2.3. An Application of theorem 1.** A consequence of theorem 1 is that when a germ of codimension one foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , by some reason, cannot be represented by a germ of holomorphic form that has no formal integrating factor then all elements of  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  are of finite order.

We will apply the above remark in the case of germs of foliations on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ .

Is known that any germ at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$  of foliation by curves, say  $\mathcal{F}$ , has a resolution by a sequence of punctual blowing-ups (cf. [22] and [19]). After the resolution process  $\Pi: (M, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ , where  $\Pi$  denotes the blowing-up map and  $E \subset M$  the exceptional divisor, we obtain the resolved foliation  $\Pi^*(\mathcal{F}) := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . All the irreducible components of  $E$  are biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Some of them, the non-dicritical components, are  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -invariant, whereas others, the dicritical ones, are not invariant. The foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  has only simple singularities (see [19]). A singularity of a germ of holomorphic vector field  $Z$  at  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  is simple if:

- a. The derivative  $DZ(0)$  is semi-simple and not identically zero. Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be the eigenvalues of  $DZ(0)$ .
- b. If  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , or vice-versa, the singularity is called a saddle-node.
- c. If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0$  then  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$ .

A non-dicritical component  $D$  of  $E$  contains necessarily singularities of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , say  $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ . If we fix a transverse section  $\Sigma$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  at a non-singular point  $p \in D$  then the holonomy group of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  at  $D$  is a representation  $\rho$  of  $\Pi_1(D \setminus S)$  on the group of germs of biholomorphisms  $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, p) \simeq \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ . The image  $\rho(\Pi_1(D \setminus S))$  is called the holonomy group of  $D$ .

We would like to observe that, given a finitely generated subgroup  $H$  of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  then it is possible to construct examples of foliations such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  has a non-dicritical divisor  $D$  with holonomy group isomorphic to  $H$  (see [13]). As an application of theorem 1 we have the following result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a germ at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . Assume that after the resolution process,  $\Pi: (M, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ , the total divisor  $E$  has a non-dicritical irreducible component  $D$  for which the holonomy of the strict transform  $\Pi^*(\mathcal{F})$  is non abelian. Then  $\widehat{\text{Iso}}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{\text{Fix}}(\mathcal{F})$  is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of the linear group  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by the germ of vector field  $X = P \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ , or equivalently, by the dual form  $\Omega = P dy - Q dx$ , where  $P, Q \in \mathcal{O}_2$  and  $P(0) = Q(0) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**  *$\Omega$  has no formal integrating factor. In particular, any  $\phi \in \widehat{\text{Iso}}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{\text{Fix}}(\mathcal{F})$  has finite order.*

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that  $\Omega$  has a formal integrating factor  $\hat{f}$ :  $d\left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}}\Omega\right) = 0$ . Let  $\hat{f} = \prod_{j=1}^k f_j^{r_j}$  be the decomposition of  $\hat{f}$  into formal irreducible factors. In this case, we can write (cf. [3] and [23]):

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{\hat{f}}\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j} + d\left(\frac{g}{f_1^{r_1-1} \dots f_k^{r_k-1}}\right)$$

where  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $g \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2$ . Let  $\Pi: (M, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  be the minimal resolution process of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^*$  be the strict transform of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\Pi$ . Let  $D \subset E$  be the irreducible component with non abelian holonomy group.

**Claim 2.1.** Let  $p \in D \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}^*)$ . Then there are formal coordinates  $(t, \hat{x})$  around  $p$  such that

- a.  $(\hat{x} = 0) \subset D$  and  $p = (0, 0)$ .
- b.  $\Pi^*\left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}}\Omega\right) = \phi(\hat{x}) d\hat{x}$ , where  $\phi$  is of one of the following types:

1.  $\phi(\hat{x}) = \hat{x}^m$ , where  $m \geq 0$ .
2.  $\phi(\hat{x}) = \lambda/\hat{x}$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .
3.  $\phi(\hat{x}) = (1 + \lambda\hat{x}^{\ell-1})/\hat{x}^\ell$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\ell \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \notin \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}^*)$  and  $D$  is  $\mathcal{F}^*$ -invariant there exists a holomorphic coordinate system  $(U, (t, x))$  such that:

i.  $D \cap U = (x = 0)$  and  $p = (0, 0)$ .

ii.  $\mathcal{F}^*|_U$  is defined by  $dx = 0$ , or equivalently their leaves are the levels  $x = cte$ .

Let  $\tilde{f}_j := f_j \circ \Pi$  and  $\tilde{g} = g \circ \Pi$ . Since  $f_j(0) = 0$  and  $p \notin \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}^*)$  we must have  $\tilde{f}_j(t, x) = x^{m_j} \cdot u_j(t, x)$ , where  $m_j \geq 1$  and  $u_j$  is a formal unity,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is defined by  $dx = 0$ , we must have  $\Pi^*(\Omega) = v(t, x) \cdot x^\mu dx$ , where  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $v$  is a holomorphic unity. In particular, we get

$$\Pi^* \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{f}} \Omega \right) = \frac{\hat{v}}{x^\ell} \cdot dx := \hat{\phi} dx,$$

where  $\hat{v} = v/u_1^{r_1} \dots u_k^{r_k}$  is a formal unity, and  $\ell = \sum_j r_j m_j - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\frac{1}{\tilde{f}} \Omega$  is closed, we must have  $d\hat{v} \wedge dx = 0$ , so that  $\hat{v} = \hat{v}(x)$ .

1. If  $\ell = -m \leq 0$  then  $\hat{\phi}(x) dx = \hat{v}(x) \cdot x^m dx$ . Let  $H(x) = x^{m+1} \cdot w(x)$  be a formal series with  $H'(x) = x^m \hat{v}(x)$  and  $w \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^*$ . Since  $w(0) \neq 0$  there exists  $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$  with  $u^{m+1} = (m+1)w$ . If  $\hat{x} = xu$  then  $H = (m+1)^{-1} \hat{x}^{m+1}$  and  $dH = \hat{x}^m d\hat{x}$ .

2. If  $\ell = 1$  then  $\hat{\phi}(x) dx$  has a pole of order one and we can write

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{x} + \varphi(x),$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\varphi(x)$  is a formal power series. If we set  $u(x) = \exp(\varphi(x)/\lambda)$  then  $d\varphi = \lambda \frac{du}{u}$ . In particular, if  $\hat{x} = u(x)x$  then

$$\hat{\phi}(x) dx = \lambda \frac{d\hat{x}}{\hat{x}}.$$

3. If  $\ell > 1$  and  $\hat{v}(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j x^j$  then we can write

$$\hat{\phi}(x) dx = \left( \frac{\lambda}{x} + \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^\ell} \right) dx = \frac{\varphi(x) + \lambda x^{\ell-1}}{x^\ell} dx,$$

where  $\lambda = a_{\ell-1}$  and  $\varphi(0) = a_0 \neq 0$ . In this case we consider the formal vector field  $X = \frac{x^\ell}{\varphi(x) + \lambda x^{\ell-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ , for which  $i_X(\hat{\phi}(x) dx) = 1$ . It is known that there exists  $\hat{x} = \psi(x) \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  such that  $\psi^*(X) = \frac{\hat{x}^\ell}{1 + \lambda \hat{x}^{\ell-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}$  (see [17] and [6]). It can be checked that  $\psi^*(\hat{\phi}(x) dx) = \frac{1 + \lambda \hat{x}^{\ell-1}}{\hat{x}^\ell} d\hat{x}$ , which proves the claim.  $\square$

**Claim 2.2.** Let  $h \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be such that  $h^*(\phi(x) dx) = \phi(x) dx$ , where  $\phi(x)$  is like (1), (2) or (3) of claim 2.1. Then:

- i. If  $\phi$  is like in (1) then  $h(x) = \delta x$ , where  $\delta^{m+1} = 1$ .
- ii. If  $\phi$  is like in (2) then  $h(x) = \rho x$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- iii. If  $\phi$  is like in (3) then  $h(x) = \delta \exp(tZ)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\delta^{\ell-1} = 1$  and  $Z = \frac{x^\ell}{1 + \lambda x^{\ell-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

In particular, in any case, the group  $G = \left\{ h \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}, 0) \mid h^*(\phi(x) dx) = \phi(x) dx \right\}$  is abelian.

The proof of claim 2.2 can be found in [6] or [11].

Now, let  $p \in D \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}^*)$  and  $\Sigma$  be a transverse section to  $\mathcal{F}^*$  with  $p \in \Sigma$ . Let  $x \in (\mathbb{C}, 0) \mapsto \rho(x) \in (\Sigma, p)$  be a parametrization of  $\Sigma$ , so that, we can consider  $\text{Diff}(\Sigma, p) = \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  and the holonomy group of  $D$  in the section as a subgroup  $H \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ . Let  $\hat{x} = x u(x)$  be a formal change of variables as in claim 2.1:  $\eta := \Pi^* \left( \frac{1}{f} \Omega \right) |_{\Sigma}$  can be written a in (1), (2) or (3) of claim 2.1.

The definition of holonomy and claim 2.2 implies that any  $h \in H$  satisfies  $h^*(\eta) = \eta$ . In particular, if  $\Omega$  has a formal integrating factor then the holonomy group of  $D$  must be abelian, contradicting the hypothesis, which proves lemma 2.1.  $\square$

Let us continue the proof of theorem 2.

**Claim 2.3.** *Any  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  has a semi-simple representative  $\phi_S \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ . Moreover:*

- a. *If  $\rho: \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  is the group homomorphism  $\rho(\phi) = D\phi(0)$  then  $\ker(\rho) \subset \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ .*
- b. *If  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  then  $\rho(\phi)$  has finite order in  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  let  $\phi = \phi_S \circ \phi_U$  be the the Jordan decomposition of  $\phi$ , where  $\phi_S$  is semi-simple and  $\phi_U$  unipotent. As we have seen  $\phi_S, \phi_U \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ . By proposition 2.1 there exists a formal nilpotent vector field  $Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_2$  such that  $\phi_U = \exp(Y)$ . On the other hand, by proposition 3  $\phi_U \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ , because otherwise  $\Omega$  would have a formal integrating factor, which contradicts lemma 2.1. In particular, the classes of  $\phi$  and  $\phi_S$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  are the same, which proves the first assertion of the claim.

Assume that  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $D\phi(0) = I$  then there exists  $Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_2$  such that  $\phi = \exp(Y)$ . Therefore, as above,  $\phi \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . This proves (a).

It remains to prove (b). Let  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . By the first assertion we can suppose that  $\phi$  has a semi-simple representative in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$ , that we call  $\phi_S$ . In this case, there exists  $\varphi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  such that  $\varphi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \varphi = D\phi(0) := L$  is linear and diagonal in some base of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Suppose by contradiction that  $L$  has infinite order. Let  $\overline{H}$  be the Zariski closure in  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  of the group  $H = \{L^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . As we have seen in the proof of theorem 1,  $\overline{H}$  is abelian and  $\dim(\overline{H}) \geq 1$ . Let  $\widehat{H} = \varphi \circ \overline{H} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , so that  $\phi_S \in \widehat{H}$ . Since  $\phi_S$  has finite order in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi_S^{\ell} \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $\overline{H}$  is also the Zariski closure of  $\{L^{n\ell} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . As a consequence, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is the Lie algebra of  $\overline{H}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \varphi_* \mathcal{H}$ , then for any  $Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$  we have  $i_Y \Omega = 0$  and  $\exp(Y) \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . However, since  $L = \exp(A)$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ , this would imply that  $\phi_S = \exp(\widehat{A}) \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ , where  $\widehat{A} = \varphi_*(A)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

By the argument of the proof of claim 2.3 we can construct an injective representation  $\rho: \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ :  $\rho(\phi) = D\phi_S(0)$ . Denote  $G := \rho \left( \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \right)$ , the image of  $\rho$ . Observe that any element  $T \in G$  has finite order, say  $o(T) \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The idea is to prove that there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $o(T) \leq k$ ,  $\forall T \in G$ . It is known that any subgroup of  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with this property is finite and this will finish the proof of theorem 2.

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $o(T) \leq k$ ,  $\forall T \in G$ .*

*Proof.* We will assume first that the resolution process of  $\mathcal{F}$  involves just one blowing-up  $\Pi: (M, D) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ . In this case,  $D \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  is not dicritical and contains at least three singularities of  $\Pi^*(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{F}^*$ , because the holonomy group of  $D$  is not abelian. Let  $Sing(\mathcal{F}^*) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , and  $\ell = k!$ . We assert that for any  $T \in G$  then

$$T^\ell \in \{\lambda \cdot I \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}.$$

In fact, fix  $T \in G$  and  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  semi-simple such that  $D\phi(0) = T$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is represented by the germ of vector field  $X$ , with Taylor series  $X = \sum_{j \geq n} X_j$ , where  $X_j$  is homogeneous of degree  $j$ ,  $\forall j$ , and  $X_n \neq 0$ . From  $\phi^*(X) = uX$ ,  $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^*$ , we get  $T^*(X_n) = u(0)X_n$ . The singularities  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  of  $\mathcal{F}^*$  in  $D$  correspond to the  $X_n$ -invariant directions of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . In particular,  $T$  induces a permutation of the set  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  and so  $T^\ell(p_j) = p_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . A linear isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  that preserves more than two directions is of the form  $\lambda I$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , which proves the assertion.

Let  $H = \langle T^\ell \mid T \in G \rangle \subset \{\lambda I \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$  and let  $S \in H$  with  $o(S) = r$ .

**Claim 2.4.** We assert that there exists  $h \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  and  $v \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^*$  such that  $h^*(v \cdot X) := \tilde{X}$  has the Taylor series of the form

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \tilde{X}_{n+m \cdot r}, \text{ where } \tilde{X}_n = X_n.$$

In fact, let  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  be semi-simple with  $D\phi(0) = S$ . Let  $\phi^*(X) = u \cdot X$ , where  $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^*$ . Since  $\phi$  is formally linearizable there exists  $f \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  such that  $f^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f = S$ . Let  $Y = f^*(X)$ , so that  $S^*(Y) = \hat{u} \cdot Y$ , where  $\hat{u} = u \circ f$ . Note that  $u(0) = \delta$  where  $\delta^r = 1$ . This follows from

$$(S^j)^*(Y) = \hat{u}_j \cdot Y, \quad \hat{u}_j = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \hat{u} \circ S^i.$$

Since  $S^r = I$  we must have  $\hat{u}_r = 1 \implies \delta^r = \hat{u}_r(0) = 1$ .

We assert that there exists  $\hat{v} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^*$  such that  $\hat{v}(0) = 1$  and

$$(8) \quad S^*(\hat{v} \cdot Y) = \hat{u}(0) \cdot \hat{v} \cdot Y.$$

As the reader can check, the existence of  $\hat{v}$  as in (8) is equivalent to solve the functional equation

$$(9) \quad \hat{v} \circ S = \frac{\hat{u}(0)}{\hat{u}} \cdot \hat{v} := w \cdot \hat{v}.$$

Note that  $\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} w \circ S^j = 1$ . If  $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^*$  is such that  $exp(\varphi) = w$  then

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varphi \circ S^j = 0$$

The reader can check that relation (10) implies that it is possible to solve the functional equation

$$\theta \circ S - \theta = \varphi \implies \hat{v} := exp(\theta) \text{ is a solution of (9).}$$

We leave the details for the reader.

Set  $\tilde{X} = \widehat{v}.Y$ . Let  $\tilde{X} = \sum_{j \geq n} \tilde{X}_j$ , where  $\tilde{X}_j$  is homogeneous of degree  $j$ ,  $j \geq k$ . Note that  $\tilde{X}_n = X_n$ .

We have seen that  $S^*(\tilde{X}) = \delta \tilde{X}$ , where  $S = \lambda I$ ,  $\lambda$  is a primitive  $r^{\text{th}}$  root of the unity and  $\delta^r = 1$ . A direct computation shows that

$$S^*(\tilde{X}) = \sum_{j \geq k} \lambda^{j-1} \tilde{X}_j = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda^i \cdot Z_i = \delta \tilde{X} = \delta \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} Z_i,$$

where

$$Z_i = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{X}_{i+1+m.r}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (\lambda^i - \delta) Z_i \equiv 0.$$

Since  $Z_{n-1} = X_n + h.o.t. \neq 0$  we have  $\delta = \lambda^{n-1}$  and  $Z_i = 0$  if  $i \neq n-1$ . In particular, we get

$$\tilde{X} = Z_{n-1} = X_n + \sum_{m \geq 1} \tilde{X}_{n+m.r},$$

which proves claim 2.4.  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{X}_j = P_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ , where  $P_j$  and  $Q_j$  are homogeneous of degree  $j$ . In the chart  $\Pi(t, x) = (x, tx) = (x, y)$  of the blow-up  $\Pi$  we obtain

$$\Pi^*(X_j) = x^{j-1} \left( f_j(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + x P_j(1, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right), \quad \text{where } f_j(t) = Q_j(1, t) - t P_j(1, t).$$

This implies  $\Pi^*(\tilde{X}) = x^{n-1} [x G(t, x^r) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F(t, x^r) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]$ , where

$$\begin{cases} G(t, x^r) = \sum_{m \geq 0} f_{n+mr}(t) x^{mr} \\ F(t, x^r) = \sum_{m \geq 0} P_{n+mr}(1, t) x^{mr} \end{cases}.$$

The strict transform of  $\Pi^*(\mathcal{F})$  is therefore defined by the form  $\Omega^* := x G(t, x^r) dt - F(t, x^r) dx$ .

Let  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow D \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  be a  $C^1$  closed curve with  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = t_o \in D$ . The holonomy  $h_\gamma$  of the curve  $\gamma$  calculated in the section ( $t = t_o$ ) is the solution of the differential equation

$$(11) \quad \frac{dx}{ds} = x \cdot \frac{G(\gamma(s), x^r)}{F(\gamma(s), x^r)} \gamma'(s)$$

with initial condition  $x(0) = x$ . If  $X(s, x)$  is this solution then  $h_\gamma(x) = X(1, x)$ .

Note that  $h_\gamma$  is necessarily of the form

$$h_\gamma(x) = \lambda x (1 + H_\gamma(x^r)).$$

In fact, if we consider the ramification  $y = x^r$  applied in (11) we obtain

$$\frac{dy}{ds} = r x^{r-1} \frac{dx}{ds} = r x^r \frac{G(\gamma(s), x^r)}{F(\gamma(s), x^r)} \gamma'(s) = r y \frac{G(\gamma(s), y)}{F(\gamma(s), y)} \gamma'(s).$$

If  $Y(s, y)$  is the solution of the above equation with initial condition  $Y(0, y) = y$  then

(1).  $Y(s, y) = y \cdot U(s, y)$  where

$$U(s, 0) = \exp \left( r \int_0^s \frac{G(\gamma(s), 0)}{F(\gamma(s), 0)} \gamma'(s) ds \right).$$

(2).  $X(s, x) = x (U(s, x^m))^{1/r}$ . This implies the assertion.

Note also that

$$h'_\gamma(0) = \exp \left( \int_\gamma \frac{G(z, 0)}{F(z, 0)} dz \right).$$

Since the holonomy of  $D$  is non abelian, there are  $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi_1(D \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}, t_0)$  such that if  $\gamma = [\alpha, \beta] := \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \beta^{-1}$ , then  $h_\gamma \neq id$ , but is tangent to the identity. Therefore, the formal diffeomorphism is necessarily of the form

$$h_\gamma(x) = x + a \cdot x^{m \cdot r} + h.o.t., \text{ where } a \neq 0 \text{ and } m \geq 1.$$

The integer  $m \cdot r$  is the order of tangency of  $h_\gamma$  with the identity. It is a formal invariant of  $h_\gamma$ , in the sense that if  $g = f^{-1} \circ h_\gamma \circ f$ , where  $f \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  then the order of tangency of  $g$  with the identity is also  $m \cdot r$ .

Suppose by contradiction that the assertion of lemma 2.2 is false. This implies that the set  $\{o(T) \mid T \in G\}$  is unbounded, so that there is  $T \in G$  such that  $o(T) = r' > m \cdot r$ . But, as we have seen above, this implies that the order of tangency of  $h_\gamma$  with the identity is a multiple  $m' \cdot r' > m \cdot r$ , a contradiction. Therefore, the set  $\{o(T) \mid T \in G\}$  is finite, as we wished.

Suppose now that the resolution process  $\Pi: (M, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  involves more than one blowing-up. Let  $\widehat{Diff}(M, E)$  be the set of germs at  $E$  of formal diffeomorphisms of  $M$ .

We assert that for any  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  there is  $\tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{Diff}(M, E)$  such that the diagram below commutes

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (M, E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & (M, E) \\ \Pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \\ (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \end{array}$$

The proof is done following the resolution process. To give an idea, we will do the two first steps. Since  $\phi(0) = 0$ , when we perform the first blow-up  $\Pi_1: (M_1, D_1) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  then there is  $\phi_1 \in \widehat{Diff}(M_1, D_1)$  that lifts  $\phi$ :  $\Pi_1 \circ \phi_1 = \phi \circ \Pi_1$ .

The formal diffeomorphism  $\phi_1$  converges in the divisor and  $\phi_1|_{D_1}$  is an automorphism of  $D_1$ . Moreover, it preserves the germ of the strict transform of  $\mathcal{F}$ , say  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , along  $D_1$ . In particular, it induces a permutation in the set of singularities  $Sing(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset D_1$ .

Let  $p_1 \in Sing(\mathcal{F}_1)$  be a non-simple singularity of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Its orbit by  $\phi_1$  is periodic:  $p_2 = \phi_1(p_1), p_3 = \phi_1(p_2), \dots, p_\ell = \phi_1(p_\ell)$ . Since  $\phi_1^*(\mathcal{F}_1) = \mathcal{F}_1$  the germs of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  at all  $p_j$ 's are equivalent and to continue the blowing-up process we have to blow-up in all these singularities, thus obtaining another step of the process  $\Pi_2: (M_2, E_2) \rightarrow (M_1, D_1)$ , with  $E_2 = \tilde{D}_1 \cup D'_1 \cup \dots \cup D'_\ell$ , where  $\tilde{D}_1$  is the strict transform of  $D_1$  and  $D'_j = \Pi_2^{-1}(p_j)$ .

In this case, we can lift  $\phi_1$  to a formal germ of diffeomorphism  $\phi_2 \in \widehat{Diff}(M_2, E_2)$  such that

(3).  $\Pi_2 \circ \phi_2 = \phi_1 \circ \Pi_2$ .

- (4).  $\phi_2|_{E_2}$  is an automorphism of  $E_2$ .
- (5).  $\phi_2(\tilde{D}_1) = \tilde{D}_1$  and  $\phi_2(D'_j) = D'_{j+1}, \forall 1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ .
- (6). If  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \Pi_2^*(\mathcal{F}_1)$  then  $\phi_2^*(\mathcal{F}_2) = \mathcal{F}_2$ .

Continuing this process inductively, at the end we will find a germ of formal diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{Diff}(M, E)$  that makes the diagram (12) to commute. Moreover, if  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \Pi^*(\mathcal{F})$  then  $\tilde{\phi}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . The restriction  $\tilde{\phi}|_E$  is an automorphism of  $E$  and permutes the irreducible components of  $E$  and also  $\tilde{\phi}(Sing(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})) = Sing(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \Pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ .

Let  $D$  be an irreducible component of  $E$  with non abelian holonomy. Since  $E$  has a finite number  $k$  of irreducible components, if  $m = k!$  then for any  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  we have  $\tilde{\phi}^m(D) = D$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts  $\phi$ . Let  $\#(Sing(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \cap D) = k'$ . Since the holonomy of  $D$  is non abelian we have  $k' \geq 3$ . In particular, if  $m' = k!.k'!$  then  $\tilde{\phi}^{m'}|_D = id_D$ , the identity of  $D$ .

Assume that the divisor  $D$  was obtained blowing-up a singularity  $q$  in a previous step of the process. Taking local coordinates at  $q$  we can assume that the blowing-up is  $\Pi: (\tilde{M}, D) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, q = 0)$  and the germ at  $q$  of the previous foliation in the process was  $\mathcal{F}'$ , so that  $\Pi^*(\mathcal{F}')$  is the germ of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  along  $D$ . The group  $\tilde{G} := \langle \tilde{\phi}^{m'}|_{M'} | \phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle \subset \widehat{Diff}(M', D)$  is a subgroup of  $\widehat{Iso}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{M'})$ .

Repeating the previous argument it can be shown that  $\widehat{Iso}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{M'})/\widehat{Fix}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{M'})$  is finite. In particular, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any semi-simple  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  we have  $\tilde{\phi}^k|_{M'} = id_{M'}$ , which implies that  $\tilde{\phi}^k = id_M$  and  $\phi^k = id_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ , proving lemma 2.2 and theorem 2.  $\square$

#### 2.4. Examples with formal, but without meromorphic integrating factor.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.** *There exist germs of foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  with the following properties:*

- (a).  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is non-countable and contains elements of infinite order.
- (b).  $\mathcal{F}$  is not liouville integrable: it cannot be defined by a closed meromorphic 1-form.

*Proof.* The proof is based in [20] and in the fact that any germ  $h \in Diff(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  can be realized as the holonomy of a separatrix of a germ holomorphic vector field  $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  such that  $DX(0)$  is linear and diagonal:

**Claim 2.5.** Let  $h \in Diff(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a germ of biholomorphism with  $h'(0) = \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then there exists a holomorphic vector field  $X \in \mathcal{X}(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2; |x| < 2, |y| < \epsilon\}$  of the form

$$X(x, y) = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y b_h(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where  $\lambda = e^{2\pi i \alpha_h}$ ,  $\alpha_h = b_h(0, 0)$ , and the holonomy of the curve  $\beta(t) = (e^{2\pi i t}, 0)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , contained in the leaf  $(y = 0) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , in the transversal section  $\Sigma := (x = 1)$  is  $y \mapsto h(y)$ .

*Proof.* When  $h$  is linearizable theorem 3 is immediate. When  $h$  is non-linearizable then  $|\lambda| = 1$ . When  $\lambda$  is a root of unity the proof can be found in [18], whereas when  $\lambda$  is not a root of unity the proof can be found in [21].  $\square$



Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_X$  be the germ of foliation defined by the vector field  $X$ . The foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the following properties:

- (1). Outside the axis ( $x = 0$ ) it is transverse to the vertical fibration ( $x = ct$ ).
- (2). The leaf  $L_y$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  through the point  $(1, y)$  cuts the fiber ( $x = 1$ ) exactly at the points of the form  $(1, \tilde{y})$ , where  $\tilde{y}$  belongs to the pseudo-orbit of  $h$ :  $\tilde{y} = h^n(y)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

More precisely, given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $Dom(h^n) =$  the connected component of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  of the set  $\{y \in \mathbb{C} \mid |y| < \epsilon \text{ and } h^j(y) \text{ is defined for all } j \text{ with } 0 \leq |n - j| \leq |n|\}$ . If  $y \in Dom(h^n)$  then  $(1, h^j(y)) \in L_y \cap (x = 1)$  for all  $j$  with  $0 \leq |n - j| \leq |n|$ .

We will assume also that  $\alpha_h \notin \mathbb{R}_+$ . With this condition, the saturation by  $\mathcal{F}$  of the set  $\Sigma_\epsilon = \{(1, y) \mid |y| < \epsilon\}$

$$Sat(\Sigma_\epsilon) = \bigcup_{y \in \Sigma_\epsilon} L_y$$

contains a set of the form  $D_\delta \times D_\epsilon \setminus \{x = 0\}$ , where  $D_r$  denotes the disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < r\}$ .

Given  $h_1 \in Diff(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  a germ of diffeomorphism commuting with  $h$ , we will construct a germ  $\Phi_{h_1} \in Iso(\mathcal{F}) \subset Diff(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ . The construction will be done in such a way that:

- (3).  $\Phi_{h_1}(x, y) = (x, f(x, y))$ , so that  $\Phi_{h_1}$  preserves the fibers ( $x = ct$ ).
- (4).  $\Phi_{h_1}(1, y) = (1, h_1(y))$  ( $f(1, y) = h_1(y)$ ).

**Remark 2.2.** We will see that there is only one  $\Phi_{h_1} \in Iso(\mathcal{F})$  satisfying (3) and (4).

In order to formalize the construction of  $\Phi_{h_1}$  we consider the universal covering of  $\Delta \setminus \{x = 0\}$ ,  $\Pi: B \times D_\epsilon \rightarrow \Delta \setminus \{x = 0\}$ ,

$$\Pi(z, y) = (e^z, y),$$

where  $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid Re(z) \in (-\infty, \log(2))\}$  and  $\Delta = D_2 \times D_\epsilon$ .

The pull-back foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \Pi^*(\mathcal{F})$  is defined in  $B \times D_\epsilon$  by the vector field

$$\tilde{X}(z, y) = \Pi^*(X)(z, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + y \cdot b_h(e^z, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Note that this foliation has no holonomy, in the sense that if  $\tilde{L}$  is a leaf of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  then it cuts any transversal ( $z = ct$ ) in at most one point. Denote by  $\tilde{L}_y$  the leaf of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  such that  $\tilde{L}_y \cap (z = 0) = (0, y)$ .

Note that, by the definition of holonomy (of  $\mathcal{F}$ ), if  $y \in Dom(h^n)$  then  $\tilde{L}_y \cap (z = 2n\pi i) = (2n\pi i, h^n(y))$ .

Now, we define the covering  $\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}$  of (the future)  $\Phi_{h_1}$  as the germ along  $(y = 0) = B \times \{0\}$  of map that satisfies:

- (5).  $\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}$  preserves the fibers ( $z = ct$ ):  $\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(z = z_0) \subset (z = z_0)$ .
- (6).  $\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(\tilde{L}_y) = \tilde{L}_{h_1(y)}$ .

Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  has no holonomy (5) and (6) define an unique germ of holomorphic diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}$  along  $B \times \{0\}$  such that  $\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(B \times \{0\}) = B \times \{0\}$ .

Now, we will see that there exists a germ  $\Phi_{h_1} \in Iso(\mathcal{F}) \subset Diff(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  such that  $\Phi_{h_1} \circ \Pi = \Pi \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}$ . By (5) and (6), the extension of  $\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}$  to the fiber ( $t = t_0$ ) is done

using the holonomy of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , say  $H_{t_o} : (t = t_o) \rightarrow (t = 0)$ , so that

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(t_o, y) = (t_o, H_{t_o}^{-1}(h_1(H_{t_o}(y)))) .$$

For instance,  $H_{2\pi i}(y) = h^{-1}(y)$  and so

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(2\pi i, y) = (2\pi i, h(h_1(h^{-1}(y)))) = (2\pi i, h_1(y)) ,$$

because  $h$  and  $h_1$  commute. In particular,

$$\Pi \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(2\pi i, y) = (1, h_1(y)) = \Pi(0, h_1(y)) = \Pi \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(0, y) .$$

Similarly,  $\Pi \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(2k\pi i, y) = \Pi \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{h_1}(0, y)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y \in \text{Dom}(h^k)$ . This implies that we can define  $\Phi_{h_1}(1, y) = (1, h_1(y))$  for all  $y \in \text{Dom}(h_1)$ .

The extension of  $\Phi_{h_1}$  to the fibers  $(x = x_o)$ ,  $x_o \neq 0$ , can be done by using (13). We leave the details to the reader. It remains to prove that  $\Phi_{h_1}$  can be extended to the fiber  $(x = 0)$ .

When  $\alpha \in \alpha_h \notin \mathbb{R}$  then, by Poincaré's linearization theorem, we can assume that  $X$  is linear. In this case,  $h(y) = \lambda y$ , where  $\lambda = \exp(2\pi i \alpha)$ . If  $h_1$  commutes with  $h$  then  $h_1$  is also linear and  $\Phi_{h_1}(x, y) = (x, h_1(y))$ , as the reader can check.

When  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_-$  and  $h$  is non-linearizable, then we have to use that the saturation of the transversal  $(x = 1)$  by  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a set of the form  $D_\delta \times D_\epsilon \setminus (x = 0)$ . In this case, it can be proved that  $\Phi_{h_1}$  is bounded in the set  $D_\delta \times D_\epsilon \setminus (x = 0)$  and so  $\Phi_{h_1}$  can be extended to  $\{0\} \times D_\epsilon$  by Riemann's extension theorem (see [16]). We leave the details to the reader.

Now, we use a construction of Perez Marco. In [20] he proves the following result:

**Theorem.** *There exists non-linearizable germs of diffeomorphisms  $h \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  of the form  $h(y) = \lambda y + h.o.t.$ , with  $|\lambda| = 1$  and not a root of unity, whose centralizer*

$$C(h) = \{g \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0) \mid g \circ h \circ g^{-1} \circ h^{-1} = \text{Id}\}$$

*is a Cantor set, in the sense that the set  $\{g'(0) \mid g \in C(h)\}$  is a Cantor set of  $S^1 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\mu| = 1\}$ . In particular,  $C(h)$  is non countable. In fact, in  $C(h)$  there are infinitely many elements of finite order and a non countable set of elements of infinite order.*

Now, we take a vector field  $X$  like in claim 2.5, associated to  $h$  like in Perez Marco's theorem. The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_X$ , associated to  $X$ , cannot be defined by a closed meromorphic 1-form, and  $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_X)/\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_X)$  is non-countable.

This finishes the proof of theorem 3. □

### 3. CONICAL AND LOGARITMIC FOLIATIONS

In this section we study  $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{F})$  when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a conical or a logarithmic foliation.

**3.1. Preliminaries and statement of the results.** A conical foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  induces a foliation of the same codimension, say  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . Denote by  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_K^{n-1})$ , of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}$  preserving  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ :

$$\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \left\{ \Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}) \mid \Phi^*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \right\} .$$

We have the following:

**Theorem 4.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is conical and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is the foliation induced by  $\mathcal{F}$  on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  then  $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\widehat{\text{Iso}}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{\text{Fix}}(\mathcal{F})$  are isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ .*

When  $\mathcal{F}$  is a conical homogeneous foliation of dimension one and the degree of the foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , as a foliation of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , is  $d$  then the degree of the homogeneous form  $\Omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  inducing  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $d + 1$  (see [15]). Denote by  $\text{Fol}(d, n - 1)$  the set of 1-dimensional foliations on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  of degree  $d$ . It is known that  $\text{Fol}(d, n - 1)$  can be identified with a Zariski open and dense subset of some  $\mathbb{P}^N$ . As a consequence of theorem 4 we have the following:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $d \geq 2$  then  $\text{Fol}(d, n - 1)$  contains a Zariski open and dense subset, say  $G$ , such that for any  $\mathcal{G} \in G$  we have  $\text{Iso}(\Pi^*(\mathcal{G})) = \text{Fix}(\Pi^*(\mathcal{G}))$ , where  $\Pi: \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is the canonical projection.*

When  $\Omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is homogeneous and non-conical, then it has a holomorphic integrating factor: if  $f := i_R \Omega \neq 0$  then  $f^{-1} \cdot \Omega$  is closed. In particular, if the decomposition of  $f$  into irreducible factors is  $f_1^{k_1} \dots f_r^{k_r}$  then

$$\frac{\Omega}{f} = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j} + d \left( \frac{H}{f_1^{k_1-1} \dots f_r^{k_r-1}} \right),$$

where  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , and  $f_1, \dots, f_r, H$  are all quasi-homogeneous with respect to  $S$ . When  $f = f_1 \dots f_r$ , is reduced, then in the above formula we have  $H = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r \neq 0$ . In this case, the form  $f^{-1} \cdot \Omega$  is logarithmic.

In section 3.3 we will consider germs of closed logarithmic 1-forms in general, that is when the divisor of poles is reduced and not necessarily quasi-homogeneous. In this case, if  $\Omega$  has pole divisor  $F = f_1 \dots f_r$  then

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j} + dh,$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $h \in \mathcal{O}_n$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Multiplying  $f_1$  by an unity  $u \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$  we can suppose that  $dh = 0$ . In fact, since  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ , if we set  $\tilde{f}_1 = u f_1$ , where  $u = \exp(-h/\lambda_1)$ , then

$$\Omega = \lambda_1 \frac{d\tilde{f}_1}{\tilde{f}_1} + \sum_{j \geq 2} \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}.$$

Other remarks about a 1-form  $\Omega$  as above are the following

- a.  $\Omega$  has a holomorphic (or formal) non-constant first integral if, and only if, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $(\lambda_1/\lambda, \dots, \lambda_n/\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .
- b.  $\Omega$  has a meromorphic (or formal meromorphic) non-constant first integral if, and only if, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $(\lambda_1/\lambda, \dots, \lambda_n/\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Another fact is the following:

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a germ at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  of closed meromorphic 1-form, without non-constant meromorphic first integral. If  $\Phi \in \widehat{\text{Iso}}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  then  $\Phi^*(\Omega) = \delta \cdot \Omega$ , where  $\delta$  is a root of unity.*

**Example 9.** In general  $\delta = 1$  in proposition 4, as we will see in the proof. Let us see an example in which  $\delta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ : we set  $\Omega = \frac{dx}{x} + \delta \frac{dy}{y} + \delta^2 \frac{dz}{z}$  and  $\Phi(x, y, z) = (z, x, y)$ . As the reader can check we have  $\Phi^*(\Omega) = \delta \cdot \Omega$ .

In the next result we give conditions implying that a logarithmic 1-form is holomorphically equivalent to a homogeneous form.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  are irreducible and  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  has no meromorphic first integral. Suppose also that:*

- (a). *There exists  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  such that  $D\Phi(0) = \rho \cdot I$  and, either  $\rho$  is not a root of unity, or the class of  $\Phi$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  has infinite order.*
- (b). *The first non zero jet of  $f_j$  is  $J_0^{k_j}(f_j) = h_j$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^r k_j \cdot \lambda_j \neq 0$ .*

*Then there exists  $\phi \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that*

$$(14) \quad \phi^*(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j}.$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  is holomorphically equivalent to a homogeneous foliation.*

As a consequence we have:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}_n$  are irreducible. Suppose further that:*

- (a).  *$\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  has no meromorphic first integral.*
- (b). *The first non-zero jet of  $f_j$  is  $J_0^{k_j} f_j = h_j$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^r k_j \lambda_j \neq 0$ .*
- (c). *There exists a formal diffeomorphism  $\widehat{\psi} \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $\widehat{\psi}^*(\Omega) = \Omega_h$ , where  $\Omega_h = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j}$ .*

*Then there exists  $\psi \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $\psi^*(\Omega) = \Omega_h$ .*

The proof of corollary 2 is based in the fact that if  $T = \rho \cdot I$  then  $T \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_{\Omega_h})$ . This of course, implies that hypothesis (a) of theorem 5.

We state below a condition implying that for any  $\Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D\Phi^N(0) = \rho \cdot I$ , where  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $H = (h_1, \dots, h_\ell)$  be a set of homogeneous polynomials, not necessarily of the same degree. Set

$$\mathcal{I}(H) = \{T \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid h_j \circ T = \alpha_j \cdot h_j, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}^*, 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}.$$

Note that:

- I.  $\mathcal{I}(H)$  is a closed sub-group of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .
- II.  $\mathcal{I}(H) \supset \mathbb{C} \cdot I = \{\rho \cdot I \mid \rho \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ , where  $I$  is the identity in  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

We say that  $H = (h_1, \dots, h_\ell)$  is *rigid* if  $\mathcal{I}(H) = \mathbb{C} \cdot I$ .

**Example 10.** If  $H = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \subset \mathcal{O}_n$  then  $\mathcal{I}(H) =$

$$\{T \mid T(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda_1 \cdot z_1, \dots, \lambda_n \cdot z_n), \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}^*\}.$$

Two examples of rigid sets are  $H_1 = (z_1, \dots, z_n, z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n)$  and  $H_2 = (z_1 \cdot z_2^2 \dots z_n^n, z_1 + \dots + z_n)$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}$  and assume that:*

- (a).  *$f_1, \dots, f_r$  are irreducible and  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  has no meromorphic first integral.*
- (b). *The first non zero jet of  $f_j$  at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is  $J_0^{k_j}(f_j) := h_j$ , where  $k_j \geq 1$ , and the set  $H := (h_1, \dots, h_r)$  is rigid.*
- (c).  *$\sum_{j=1}^r k_j \cdot \lambda_j \neq 0$ .*

- (d). There exists  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  whose class in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  has infinite order.

Then there exists  $\phi \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $\phi^*(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j}$ .

Another consequence of theorem 5 is the following:

**Corollary 4.** Let  $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}$  and assume that:

- (a).  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  are irreducible and  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  has no meromorphic first integral.
- (b). The first non zero jet of  $f_j$  at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is  $J_0^{k_j}(f_j) := h_j$ , where  $k_j \geq 1$ , and the set  $H := (h_1, \dots, h_r)$  is rigid.
- (c).  $h_1$  is not irreducible.
- (d).  $\sum_{j=1}^r k_j \cdot \lambda_j \neq 0$ .

Then  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) \simeq \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  and both are isomorphic to the same finite sub-group of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Example 11.** An example for which  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) = Fix(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  and  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) = \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  is  $\Omega = \lambda_1 \frac{df_1}{f_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{df_2}{f_2} + \lambda_3 \frac{df_3}{f_3} + \lambda_4 \frac{df_4}{f_4}$ , where  $\lambda_j/\lambda_i \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\forall i \neq j$ ,  $f_1 = z_1^2 + z_2^3$ ,  $f_2 = z_1$ ,  $f_3 = z_3$  and  $f_4 = z_1 + z_2$ .

Another example was done in example 8.

In the case of dimension two we have the following:

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j} \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}_2$  are all irreducible and relatively primes two by two. Suppose that:

- (a).  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  has no meromorphic first integral.
- (b). The first non-zero jet of  $f_j$  is  $J_0^{k_j}(f_j) = h_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , and the set  $(h_1, \dots, h_r)$  is rigid.
- (c).  $\sum_{j=1}^r k_j \lambda_j \neq 0$ .
- (d). There exists  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  whose class in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  has infinite order.

Then  $k_1 = \dots = k_r = 1$ ,  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  are linear forms and there coordinates  $(z_1, z_2)$  such that  $\Omega$  is holomorphically equivalent to

$$(15) \quad \lambda_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} + \sum_{j=3}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j}.$$

Corollary 5 is a direct consequence of corollary 3 and the fact that any homogeneous polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$  can be decomposed into linear factors. We leave the details to the reader.

**3.2. Conical foliations: proof of theorem 4.** Let  $\omega$  be a conic integrable p-form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We will assume that  $cod(Sing(\omega)) \geq 2$ . The form  $\omega$  is homogeneous and defines a codimension p foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and a foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We want to prove that  $Aut(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega) \simeq Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .

Given  $\Phi \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$  we denote as  $\widehat{\Phi}$  its class in  $Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/Fix(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\widehat{\Phi} \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$  and  $\Phi_1 = D\widehat{\Phi}(0)$  be the linear part of  $\widehat{\Phi}$  at 0. Then:

- (a).  $\Phi_1 \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .
- (b).  $\widehat{\Phi}$  and  $\Phi_1$  define the same class at  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .

*Proof.* The condition  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$  is equivalent to  $\Phi^*(\omega) = u \cdot \omega$ , where  $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$ . Since the coefficients of  $\omega$  are homogeneous of the same degree, the first non-zero jet of  $u \cdot \omega$  is  $u(0) \cdot \omega$ , whereas the first non-zero jet of  $\Phi^*(\omega)$  is  $\Phi_1^*(\omega)$ . Hence  $\Phi_1^*(\omega) = u(0) \cdot \omega$  and  $\Phi_1 \in Iso(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .

In particular, we can write  $\Phi = \Phi_1 \circ \varphi$ , where  $\varphi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$  and  $D\varphi(0) = I$ . We assert that  $\varphi \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .

To see this, let us blow-up once at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . If we denote this blow-up by  $\Pi: (\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  then the exceptional divisor  $E = \Pi^{-1}(0) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and there exists a germ  $\widehat{\varphi} \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $\Pi \circ \widehat{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \Pi$ .

Recall also that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is a linear bundle over  $E$ , say  $P: \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow E$ , where  $E$  is the zero section and the fibers  $P^{-1}(a)$ ,  $a \in E$ , project by  $\Pi$  onto the lines of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  through the origin.

Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\omega = \Pi^*(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  is conical with respect to the radial vector field  $R = \sum_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ , the lines through the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are contained in the leaves or in the singular set of  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ , so that the fibers of  $P$  are contained in the leaves or in the singular set of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\omega$ . In particular the leaves and the singular set of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\omega$  are transverse to  $E$ .

Finally, we note that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega = i_E^*(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\omega)$ , where  $i_E: E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is the inclusion. In other words,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega$  can be viewed as the intersection of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\omega$  with the zero section  $E$ . Since  $d\varphi(0) = I$  we get  $\widehat{\varphi}|_E = id_E$ , the identity map of  $E$ , which implies that  $\widehat{\varphi}$  preserves the leaves and the singular set of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\omega$ . This implies that  $\varphi \in Fix(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ .  $\square$

In particular, any  $\overline{\Phi} \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  has a linear representative  $\Phi_1 \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

On the other hand, any  $T \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  induces an automorphism  $\widetilde{T} \in Aut(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ . Let  $Q: GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  be the group homomorphism given by  $Q(T) = \widetilde{T}$ , where  $\widetilde{T}$  is as before. Recall that  $Q$  is surjective and

$$(16) \quad ker(Q) = \mathbb{C}^* \cdot I = \{\rho \cdot I \mid \rho \in \mathbb{C}^*\}.$$

Finally, we have seen in lemma 3.1 that  $Q(Iso(\mathcal{F})) \subset Aut(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})$  and that  $\Phi_1 \in Fix(\mathcal{F})$  if, and only if,  $Q(\Phi_1) = id$ , where  $id =$  identity of  $Aut(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ . Therefore (16) implies that

$$Aut(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/ker(Q|_{\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})}) = \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) = Iso(\mathcal{F})/Fix(\mathcal{F}).$$

This proves theorem 4.  $\square$

**3.3. Logarithmic foliations.** In this section we prove the results stated before concerning the isotropy group of logarithmic foliations.

**3.3.1. Proof of proposition 4.** Let  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be integrable and having an integrating factor  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ , so that,  $\Omega := f^{-1} \cdot \omega$  is closed. We will assume that,  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  has no meromorphic first integral and we want to prove that for any  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$  then  $\Phi^*(\Omega) = \delta \cdot \Omega$ , where  $\delta$  is a root of unity.

First of all, recall that  $\Phi^*(\omega) = u \cdot \omega$  where  $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$ . We assert that  $\Phi^*(f) = v \cdot f$ , where  $v \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$

In fact, since  $f^{-1} \cdot \omega$  is closed we have  $\frac{df}{f} \wedge \omega = d\omega$ . Applying  $\Phi^*$  in both members of this relation we get

$$\frac{d(f \circ \Phi)}{f \circ \Phi} \wedge \Phi^*(\omega) = d(\Phi^*(\omega)) \iff \left( \frac{d(f \circ \Phi)}{f \circ \Phi} - \frac{du}{u} \right) \wedge \omega = d\omega$$

If we set  $g = \frac{f \circ \Phi}{u}$  then the above relation becomes

$$\frac{dg}{g} \wedge \omega = d\omega \implies \left( \frac{dg}{g} - \frac{df}{f} \right) \wedge \omega = 0 \implies d(g/f) \wedge \omega = 0.$$

Therefore,  $g/f$  is a meromorphic first integral of  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  and so  $f/g = c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Hence,

$$\Phi^*(\Omega) = \Phi^* \left( \frac{\omega}{f} \right) = C \cdot \Omega,$$

where  $C = 1/c$ .

It remains to prove that  $C$  is a root of unity. Let  $f = \prod_{j=1}^r f_j^{k_j}$ ,  $k_j \geq 1$ , be the decomposition of  $f$  into irreducible factors, so that

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j} + d \left( \frac{H}{f_1^{k_1-1} \dots f_r^{k_r-1}} \right),$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}$ . Note that:

- i.  $\lambda_j \neq 0$  for some  $j$ , because otherwise  $\frac{H}{f_1^{k_1-1} \dots f_r^{k_r-1}}$  would be a meromorphic first integral. Without loss of generality, we will assume that  $j = 1$ .
- ii.  $\Phi^*$  permutes the factors of  $f$ : there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in S_r$  such that  $f_j \circ \Phi = u_j \cdot f_{\sigma(j)}$ , where  $u_j \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$ .

In particular, we have

$$C \cdot \Omega = \Phi^*(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \left( \frac{df_{\sigma(j)}}{f_{\sigma(j)}} + \frac{du_j}{u_j} \right) + d\Phi^* \left( \frac{H}{f_1^{k_1-1} \dots f_r^{k_r-1}} \right)$$

Comparing the residues, we get

$$\lambda_{\sigma(i)} = C \cdot \lambda_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Let  $m \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  be such that  $\sigma^m(1) = 1$ . From the above relation we get

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_{\sigma^m(1)} = C \cdot \lambda_{\sigma^{m-1}(1)} = C^2 \cdot \lambda_{\sigma^{m-2}(1)} = \dots = C^m \cdot \lambda_1 \implies C^m = 1. \quad \square$$

**Remark 3.2.** It follows from the proof of proposition 4 that if  $\Phi^*(f_j) = u_j \cdot f_j$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq j \leq r$ , then  $\delta = 1$ :  $\Phi^*(\Omega) = \Omega$ .

**3.3.2. Proof of theorem 5.** Let  $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}$  satisfying the hypothesis of theorem 5 and let  $\tilde{\Phi} \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  be such that  $D\tilde{\Phi}(0) = \rho \cdot I$ , where either  $\rho$  is not a root of unity, or the class of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  has infinite order. Since  $\tilde{\Phi}$  permutes the hypersurfaces  $(f_j = 0)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , there exists  $N \leq r!$  such that  $\tilde{\Phi}^N(f_j = 0) = (f_j = 0)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Note that  $\Phi := \tilde{\Phi}^N$  fixes all hypersurfaces  $(f_j = 0)$  and  $D\Phi(0) = \rho^N \cdot I$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  be such that  $D\phi(0) = I$ . Then  $\phi \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* We will consider the more general case where  $D\phi(0) = \beta.I$ .

Let  $\Pi: (\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be a blow-up at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is the exceptional divisor. Denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\Omega$  the foliation  $\Pi^*(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ .

In the chart  $U_1 = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}, t = (t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}\} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  we have

$$\Pi(x, t) = (x, x t_2, \dots, x t_n) = (x, x t) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and  $E \cap U_1 = (x = 0)$ .

Moreover, since the order of  $f_j$  at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is  $k_j$ , we can write

$$f_j \circ \Pi(x, t) = x^{k_j} \cdot \widetilde{f}_j(x, t),$$

where  $\widetilde{f}_j$  is the strict transform of  $f_j$  in this chart. Note that:

iii. The foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\Omega$  is defined in this chart by

$$(17) \quad \widetilde{\Omega} := \Pi^*(\Omega) = \alpha \cdot \frac{dx}{x} + \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{d\widetilde{f}_j}{\widetilde{f}_j},$$

where  $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^r k_j \cdot \lambda_j \neq 0$ .

In particular,  $\widetilde{\Omega}$  is non-dicritical and  $L_E := E \setminus \bigcup_j (\widetilde{f}_j = 0)$  is a leaf of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\Omega$ .

Let  $p = (0, t_o) \in L_E$  and fix. We assert that there exists a local chart  $(U, (\widetilde{x}, t) \in (\mathbb{C}, 0) \times (\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0))$  such that

$$\widetilde{\Omega}|_U = \alpha \frac{d\widetilde{x}}{\widetilde{x}}.$$

In fact, since  $\widetilde{f}_j(0, t_o) \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , the form  $\theta := \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{d\widetilde{f}_j}{\widetilde{f}_j}$  is exact in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $(0, t_o)$ . In particular, there exists  $h \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  such that  $\theta|_U = dh$ . Therefore, if  $\widetilde{x} = x \cdot e^h$  then  $\widetilde{\Omega}|_U = \alpha \frac{d\widetilde{x}}{\widetilde{x}}$ .

Now, there exists  $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widehat{Diff}(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, E)$  such that  $\Pi \circ \widetilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \Pi$ . The reader can check that in the chart  $(U, (\widetilde{x}, t))$  the transformation  $\widetilde{\phi}$  is of the form

$$\widetilde{\phi}(\widetilde{x}, t) = (g_1(\widetilde{x}, t), g_2(\widetilde{x}, t), \dots, g_n(\widetilde{x}, t)) = (g_1(\widetilde{x}, t), t + \widetilde{x} \cdot H(\widetilde{x}, t))$$

where  $H \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ . In particular,  $\widetilde{\phi}|_E = id_E$ , the identity map of  $E$ .

On the other hand, by proposition 4 we have  $\phi^*(\Omega) = \delta \Omega$ , where  $\delta$  is a root of unity. From  $\Pi \circ \widetilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \Pi$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\phi}^*(\widetilde{\Omega}) &= \widetilde{\phi}^* \circ \Pi^*(\Omega) = (\Pi \circ \widetilde{\phi})^* \circ (\Omega) = \Pi^* \circ \phi^*(\Omega) = \Pi^* \delta \Omega = \delta \widetilde{\Omega} \implies \\ \widetilde{\phi}^* \left( \alpha \cdot \frac{d\widetilde{x}}{\widetilde{x}} \right) &= \delta \alpha \cdot \frac{d\widetilde{x}}{\widetilde{x}} \implies \delta = 1 \text{ and } \frac{dg_1}{g_1} = \frac{d\widetilde{x}}{\widetilde{x}} \implies g_1(\widetilde{x}, t) = \beta \cdot \widetilde{x}. \end{aligned}$$

In the chart  $(\widetilde{x}, t)$  the leaves of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\Omega$  are of the levels  $\widetilde{x} = \text{constant}$ . If  $\beta = 1$  then  $\widetilde{\phi}(\widetilde{x}, t) = (\widetilde{x}, t + \widetilde{x} \cdot H(\widetilde{x}, t))$ , so that  $\widetilde{\phi}$  preserves the leaves of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\Omega$ , which implies that  $\phi \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  such that  $D\Phi(0) = \rho.I$ , where  $\rho \neq 1$ . Then the class of  $\Phi$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  has a formally linearizable representative  $\widetilde{\Phi}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  be such that  $D\Phi(0) = \rho.I$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi_S \circ \Phi_U$  be the decomposition of  $\Phi$  as in proposition 2. Recall that  $\Phi_S, \Phi_U \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ ,  $\Phi_S$  is formally linearizable and  $\Phi_U$  is unitary. Since  $\rho.I = D\Phi_S(0) \circ D\Phi_U(0)$  we have



$D\Phi_S(0) = \rho \cdot I$  and  $D\Phi_U(0) = I$ . Therefore, by lemma 3.2  $\Phi_U \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  and the classes of  $\Phi_S$  and  $\Phi$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  are equal. This proves corollary 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  with  $D\Phi(0) = \rho \cdot I$ . If the class of  $\Phi$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  has infinite order then  $\rho$  is not a root of unity. Moreover:*

- (a).  $\Phi$  is formally linearizable: there exists  $g \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $g^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ g = D\Phi(0)$ .
- (b).  $g^*(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j}$ . In particular  $g^*(\Omega)$  is homogeneous.

*Proof.* It follows from lemma 3.2 that  $\rho \neq 1$ . Therefore by corollary 3.1 there is a formally linearizable representative of  $\Phi$  in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ , say  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . If  $\rho^k = 1$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\tilde{\Phi}^k = I$ , so that its class has finite order. Therefore,  $\rho$  is not a root of unity.

In particular,  $D\Phi(0)$  is non-resonant and  $\Phi$  is formally linearizable by Poincaré's linearization theorem: there exists an unique  $g \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $Dg(0) = I$  and  $g^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ g = \rho \cdot I := T \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

Let us prove (b). Set  $\Omega^* := g^*(\Omega)$ , so that  $T^*\Omega^* = \Omega^*$  by proposition 4. On the other hand, the Zariski closure of the group  $H = \{T^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is the group  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot I \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . This implies that, if  $T_\tau = e^\tau \cdot I = \exp(\tau R)$  then

$$\exp(\tau R)^* \Omega^* = \Omega^*, \forall \tau \in \mathbb{C}^* \implies L_R \Omega^* = \frac{d}{d\tau} (\exp(\tau R)^* \Omega^*)|_{\tau=0} = 0.$$

Let us finish the proof that  $\Omega^* = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j}$ . Set  $f_j^* := f_j \circ g \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , so that  $\Omega^* = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{df_j^*}{f_j^*}$ . Note that  $j_0^{k_j} f_j^* = h_j$ , because  $Dg(0) = I$ . Now,

$$L_R \Omega^* = i_R d\Omega^* + di_R \Omega^* = di_R \Omega^* \implies di_R \Omega^* = 0 \implies i_R \Omega^* = c \iff$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j f_1^* \dots R(f_j^*) \dots f_r^* = c f_1^* \dots f_r^* \implies R(f_j^*) = v_j \cdot f_j^*, v_j \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*,$$

because  $f_j^*$  is irreducible,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . As the reader can check, the last relation implies that  $h_j$  divides  $f_j^*$ :  $f_j^* = \tilde{v}_j \cdot h_j$ . Therefore,

$$\Omega^* = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j} + \Theta, \Theta = \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{d\tilde{v}_j}{\tilde{v}_j} \in \widehat{\Omega}^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0).$$

Since  $h_j$  is homogeneous of degree  $k_j$  we have  $R(h_j) = k_j h_j$  by Euler's identity, so that  $L_R \left( \frac{dh_j}{h_j} \right) = 0$ . This implies that  $L_R \Theta = 0$  and so  $\Theta = 0$ .  $\square$

If  $\Phi$  is holomorphic and  $|\rho| \neq 1$  or if  $|\rho| = 1$  and  $\rho$  satisfies a small denominator condition then  $g \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  (cf. [2]) and we are done.

In the general case however, when  $\Phi$  is only formal, the idea is to prove that there exists a holomorphic vector field  $\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  with  $D\tilde{R}(0) = R$ , the radial vector field, with  $i_{\tilde{R}}\Omega = \alpha = \sum_j k_j \cdot \lambda_j$ . In the proof of this fact, we will use Artin's approximation theorem.

Let us finish the proof of theorem 5, assuming the existence of a vector field  $\tilde{R}$  as above. If  $i_{\tilde{R}}\Omega = \alpha$  then  $L_{\tilde{R}}\Omega = i_{\tilde{R}}d\Omega + di_{\tilde{R}}\Omega = 0$ , because  $\Omega$  is closed and  $i_{\tilde{R}}\Omega$  is a constant.

On the other hand, since  $D\tilde{R}(0) = R$ , by Poincaré's linearization theorem (cf. [2]), the vector field  $\tilde{R}$  is holomorphically linearizable: there exists  $\phi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $D\phi(0) = I$  and  $\phi^*(\tilde{R}) = R$ . We assert that  $\phi^*(\Omega)$  is homogeneous:

$$\phi^*(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j}.$$

In fact, set  $\tilde{f}_j = \phi^*(f_j)$  and  $\tilde{\Omega} = \phi^*\Omega$ . Then

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{d\tilde{f}_j}{\tilde{f}_j} \text{ and } i_R \tilde{\Omega} = \alpha \implies$$

$R(\tilde{f}_j) = u_j \tilde{f}_j$ ,  $u_j \in \mathcal{O}_n$  and  $u_j(0) = k_j$ . Writing the Taylor series of  $\tilde{f}_j$  and of  $u_j$ , by an induction argument, we obtain that there exists an unity  $v_j \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$  such that  $\tilde{f}_j = v_j \cdot h_j$ . In particular, we get

$$\Omega_1 = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j} + \Theta, \text{ where } \Theta = \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{dv_j}{v_j} \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0).$$

Since  $h_j$  is homogeneous,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , we have

$$L_R \left( \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j} \right) = 0 \implies L_R \Theta = 0 \implies \Theta = 0,$$

because  $\Theta$  is holomorphic. Therefore,  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is homogeneous.

It remains to prove the existence of  $\tilde{R}$  with  $i_{\tilde{R}}\tilde{\Omega} = \alpha$ . We have proved that there exists  $g \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that

$$g^*(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{dh_j}{h_j} := \Omega^*.$$

In particular, if  $R$  is the radial vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  then

$$i_R \Omega^* = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{R(h_j)}{h_j} = \alpha.$$

Let  $\hat{R} = g_* R \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  and note that  $i_{\hat{R}}\tilde{\Omega} = \alpha$ , because  $i_R g^* \Omega = \alpha$ . In fact, let  $\hat{R} = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ , where  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ . Writing explicitly the relation  $i_{\hat{R}}\tilde{\Omega} = \alpha$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{\hat{R}(f_j)}{f_j} = \alpha &\implies \sum_{j=1}^r f_1 \dots \hat{R}(f_j) \dots f_r = \alpha \cdot f_1 \dots f_r \implies \\ &\implies \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \varphi_j \cdot f_1 \dots \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z_i} \dots f_r = \alpha \cdot f_1 \dots f_r. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n^n$  is a formal solution of the analytic equation  $F(z, w) = 0$ , where

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} w_j \cdot f_1(z) \dots \frac{\partial f_j(z)}{\partial z_i} \dots f_r(z) - \alpha \cdot f_1(z) \dots f_r(z).$$

It follows from Artin's approximation theorem that  $F(z, w) = 0$  has a convergent solution  $w = \phi(z) = (\phi_1(z), \dots, \phi_n(z))$  such that  $J_0^1(\phi) = J_0^1(\varphi)$ . Since  $j_0^1(\widehat{R}) = R$ , the radial vector field, we can conclude that the vector field  $\widetilde{R} = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  satisfies  $i_{\widetilde{R}}\Omega = \alpha$  and  $D\widetilde{R}(0) = R$ , as desired. This finishes the proof of theorem 5.  $\square$

### 3.3.3. Proof of corollaries 3, 4 and 5.

*Proof of corollary 3.* Let us sketch how theorem 5 implies corollary 3. Let  $\widetilde{\Phi} \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  whose class in  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  has infinite order. Since  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$  has no formal meromorphic first integral, then  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  permutes the set of hypersurfaces  $(f_j = 0)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . In particular, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_j \circ \widetilde{\Phi}^N = u_j \cdot f_j$ , where  $u_j \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ . If  $T = D\widetilde{\Phi}^N(0)$  then  $h_j \circ T = u_j(0) \cdot h_j$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq j \leq r$ . Since  $(h_1, \dots, h_r)$  is rigid we must have  $D\widetilde{\Phi}^N(0) = \rho \cdot I$ . In particular  $\Phi := \widetilde{\Phi}^N$  satisfies hypothesis (a) of theorem 5, as wished. This finishes the proof of corollary 3.  $\square$

*Proof of corollary 4.* First of all we would like to observe that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  then  $D\Phi^N(0) = \rho I$ , where  $\rho$  is a root of unity.

In fact, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $N \leq r!$  and such that  $f_j \circ \Phi^N = u_j \cdot f_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Since the set  $(h_1, \dots, h_r)$  is rigid, we have  $D\Phi^N(0) = \rho \cdot I$ . If  $\rho$  was not a root of unity then by theorem 5 there would exist  $\psi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $f_1 \circ \psi = u_1 \cdot h_1$ . However, this is impossible, because  $f_1$  is irreducible and  $h_1$  is not.

**Claim 3.1.** Let  $\Sigma = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists a primitive } k^{\text{th}}\text{-root of unity } \rho \text{ such that } D\Phi(0) = \rho \cdot I, \text{ for some } \Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) \text{ such that } f_j \circ \Phi = u_j \cdot f_j, \forall j\}$ . We claim that  $\Sigma$  is bounded.

*Proof.* We will use that  $f_1$  is irreducible and  $h_1$  is not. First of all, we assert that for any  $\rho \in X$  there exists  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  such that  $D\Phi(0) = \rho \cdot I$  and  $\Phi$  is formally linearizable.

Fix  $\ell \in \Sigma$ , so that there is  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  with  $D\Phi(0) = \rho I$ , where  $\rho$  is a  $\ell^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity and  $f_j \circ \Phi = u_j \cdot f_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi_S \circ \Phi_U$ , where  $\Phi_S$  is semi-simple,  $\Phi_U$  unitary and  $\Phi_S \circ \Phi_U = \Phi_U \circ \Phi_S$ . As we have seen in remark 2,  $\Phi_S, \Phi_U \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ . On the other hand,  $D\Phi_U(0) = I$  and  $D\Phi_S(0) = \rho \cdot I$ . Since  $\Phi_S$  is linearizable, this proves the assertion.

Let  $\ell \in \Sigma$  and  $\Phi \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  be formally linearizable with  $D\Phi(0) = \rho \cdot I$ . Since  $\Phi$  is linearizable there exists  $\psi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $\psi^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ \psi = \rho \cdot I$ . Let  $f_1^* = f_1 \circ \psi$ . We assert that, if  $\ell > k_1$  then  $h_1$  divides the  $(\ell + k_1 - 1)^{\text{th}}$ -jet of  $f_1^*$ .

In fact, let  $f_1^* = \sum_{i \geq k_1} g_i$  be the Taylor series of  $f_1^*$ , where  $g_i$  is homogeneous of degree  $i$ . Note that  $g_{k_1} = h_1$ , because  $D\psi(0) = I$ . Since  $f_1 \circ \Phi = u_1 \cdot f_1$ , where  $u_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^*$ , we have

$$(18) \quad f_1^* \circ (\rho \cdot I) = u_1^* \cdot f_1^*,$$

where  $u_1^* = u_1 \circ \psi$ . Let  $u_1^* = \sum_{i \geq 0} w_i$  be the Taylor series of  $u_1^*$ . Relation (18) can be written as

$$\sum_{i \geq k_1} \rho^i g_i = \sum_{i \geq k_1} \sum_{s=k_1}^i w_{i-s} \cdot g_s \implies w_0 = \rho^{k_1} \text{ and } (\rho^i - \rho^{k_1}) g_i = \sum_{s=k_1}^{i-1} w_{i-s} g_s, \forall i > k_1$$

Since  $\rho$  is a primitive  $\ell^{th}$  root of unity,  $\rho^i - \rho^{k_1} \neq 0$  if  $k_1 + 1 \leq i \leq \ell + k_1 - 1$ . Therefore, the above relation implies that  $h_1 | g_i$  if  $k_1 \leq i \leq \ell + k_1 - 1$ , as the reader can check. Hence,  $h_1$  divides the  $(\ell + k_1 - 1)^{th}$  jet of  $f_1^*$ , as asserted.

Now, suppose by contradiction that  $\Sigma$  was unbounded. In this case, since  $h_1$  is reducible, the above argument implies that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\psi \in \widehat{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $J_0^k(f_1 \circ \psi)$  is reducible. On the other hand, we have

$$J_0^k(f_1 \circ \psi) = J_0^k(J^k f_1 \circ J_0^k \psi) \implies J_0^k f_1 \text{ is reducible mod } m_n^{k+1},$$

in the sense that there exist  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_n$  such that  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$  and  $J_0^k f_1 = J_0^k(f.g)$ . Therefore, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $J_0^k(f_1)$  is reducible mod  $m_n^{k+1}$ , and this implies that  $f_1$  is reducible. This proves the claim,  $\square$

Now, let  $\Delta: \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be the homomorphism  $\Delta(\Phi) = D\Phi(0)$ . Note that lemma 3.2 implies that  $\ker(\Delta) \subset \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ . We assert that, in fact  $\ker(\Delta) = \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$ .

In fact, if  $\Phi \in \widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  then  $f_j | f_j \circ \Phi$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , because  $(f_j = 0)$  is a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$ . This implies that  $D\Phi(0) \in \mathcal{I}(h_1, \dots, h_r)$  (see definition 3). Since  $(h_1, \dots, h_r)$  is rigid, we  $\Delta(\Phi) = I$ . Hence,  $\ker(\Delta) = \{I\}$ .

This implies that  $\widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)/\widehat{Fix}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega) \simeq Im(\Delta)$ . On the other hand, since  $X$  is bounded, the subgroup  $G := \mathbb{C}^* I \cap Im(\Delta)$ , of  $Im(\Delta)$ , is finite. This subgroup is contained in the center of  $Im(\Delta)$ , and so is a normal subgroup.

In order to conclude the proof of corollary 4 is sufficient to prove that  $Im(\Delta)/G$  is finite. However, this group can be identified with a subgroup of the group  $S_r$  of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ .

In fact, as we have seen before, if  $\Phi \in \widehat{Iso}(\mathcal{F}_\Omega)$  then there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in S_r$  such that  $f_j \circ \Phi = u_j . f_{\sigma(j)}$ ,  $\forall j$ . Moreover,  $\Phi \in G$  if, and only if,  $\sigma = id$ , which proves the assertion. This finishes the proof of corollary 4.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ahern, J. P. Rosay: "Entire functions in the classification of differentiable germs tangent to the identity in one and two variables"; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (2) (1995) 543-572.
- [2] V. Arnold: "Chapitres Supplémentaires de la Théorie des Équations Différentielles Ordinaires"; Éditions MIR, 1980.
- [3] D. Cerveau, J.-F. Mattei: "Formes intégrables holomorphes singulières"; Astérisque, vol. 97(1982).
- [4] M. Berthier, D. Cerveau, R. Meziani: "Transformations isotropes des germes de feuilletages holomorphes"; J. Math. Pures Appl., 78 (1999), pp. 701–722.
- [5] D. Cerveau, A. Lins Neto: "Logarithmic foliations"; arXiv:1803.08894, to appear in the Ann. Sc. de la Faculté de Toulouse.
- [6] D. Cerveau, R. Moussu: "Groupes d'automorphismes de  $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  et équations différentielles  $ydy + \dots = 0$ "; Bull. Soc. Math. France, 116 (1988), 459–488.
- [7] Dolgachev, I.: "Weighted projective varieties"; Group actions and vector fields (Vancouver, B.C., 1981), Lecture Notes in Math., 956, Berlin: Springer, pp. 34–71.
- [8] A. R. Iano-Fletcher: "Working with weighted complete intersections". Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds, ed. A. Corti and M. Reid, Cambridge Univ. Press (2000), 101–173.
- [9] J.P. Jouanolou: "Équations de Pfaff algébriques"; Lecture Notes in Math. 708, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [10] C. Camacho, A. Lins Neto, P. Sad: "Topological invariants and equidesingularization for holomorphic vector fields"; J. of Diff. Geometry, vol. 20, n° 1 (1984), pg. 143–174.
- [11] A. Lins Neto P. Sad, B. Scárdua: "On topological rigidity of projective foliations"; Bull. Soc. Math. de France, 126 (1998), p. 381-406.

- [12] A. Lins Neto, M. G. Soares: "Algebraic solutions of one dimensional foliations"; J. Diff. Geometry 43 (1996) pg. 652-673.
- [13] A. Lins Neto: "Construction of singular holomorphic vector fields and foliations in dimension two"; Journal of Diff. Geometry 26 (1987), pg. 1-31.
- [14] A. Lins Neto: "Germs of complex two dimensional foliations"; Bull. Brazilian Math. Soc. (NS) 46, 4 (2015) 645-680.
- [15] A. Lins Neto & W. Costa e Silva: "Pull-back components of the space of foliations of codimension  $\geq 2$ ", Article published electronically on August 9, 2018, Transactions of the Am. Math. Soc.
- [16] R. Gunning, H. Rossi: "Analytic functions of several complex variables"; Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1965.
- [17] J. Martinet: "Normalisations des Champs de vecteurs holomorphes (d'après A.-D. Brjuno)"; Séminaire Bourbaki, vol. 1980/81, pp. 55-70. Lect. Notes in Math. 901, S. V.
- [18] J. Martinet; J. P. Ramis: "Analytic classification of resonant saddles and foci."; Singularities and dynamical systems, 109 - 135, North-Holland Math. Stud., 103, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [19] J.F. Mattei; R. Moussu: "Holonomie et intégrales premières"; Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. 13 (1980), pg. 469-523.
- [20] R. Pérez Marco: "Centralisateurs non dénombrables de germes de difféomorphismes holomorphes non linéarisables de  $(C,0)$ "; C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 313 (1991), no. 7, 461-464.
- [21] R. Pérez Marco, J. C. Yoccoz: "Germes de feuilletages holomorphes à holonomie prescrite."; Complex analytic methods in dynamical systems (Rio de Janeiro, 1992). Astérisque No. 222 (1994), 7, 345-371.
- [22] A. Seidenberg: "Reduction of singularities of the differential equation  $Ady = Bdx$ "; Amer. J. de Math. 90 (1968), pp. 248-269.
- [23] O. Thom: "Decomposition en éléments simples des formes méromorphes fermées."; arXiv:1812.07551 ,dec.2018.

D. CERVEAU  
*Université de Rennes, CNRS,*  
*IRMAR-UMR 6625,*  
*F-35000 Rennes, France*  
E-Mail: dominique.cerveau@univ-rennes1.fr

A. LINS NETO  
*Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada*  
*Estrada Dona Castorina, 110*  
*Horto, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil*  
E-Mail: alcides@impa.br