

THE WEISFEILER-LEMAN DIMENSION OF CHORDAL BIPARTITE GRAPHS WITHOUT BIPARTITE CLAW

ILIA PONOMARENKO AND GRIGORY RYABOV

ABSTRACT. A graph X is said to be chordal bipartite if it is bipartite and contains no induced cycle of length at least 6. It is proved that if X does not contain bipartite claw as an induced subgraph, then the Weisfeiler-Leman dimension of X is at most 3. The proof is based on the theory of coherent configurations.

1. INTRODUCTION


The Weisfeiler-Leman dimension (WL-dimension, for short) of a finite graph X can roughly be thought as the minimum number $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X)$ of variables in a formula of a natural fragment of first-order logic, which is valid only for graphs isomorphic to X ; equivalently, the graph X is identified by the d -dimensional Weisfeiler-Leman algorithm with $d = \dim_{\text{WL}}(X)$ (for details, see [7]). The WL-dimension of a class \mathfrak{K} of graphs is defined to be

$$\dim_{\text{WL}} \mathfrak{K} = \min_{X \in \mathfrak{K}} \dim_{\text{WL}}(X).$$

Interest in the WL-dimension in recent years caused, in particular, by the fact that if $\dim_{\text{WL}}(\mathfrak{K})$ is bounded from above by a constant d , then the graph isomorphism problem restricted to \mathfrak{K} is solved in polynomial time by the d -dimensional Weisfeiler-Leman algorithm. The graphs X with $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X) = 1$ have completely been characterized in [8] and independently in [1]. However, such a characterization for the graphs of the WL-dimension greater than one seems to be hopeless [4]. Moreover, there exist infinitely many graphs with arbitrarily large WL-dimension [2].

There are several results establishing an upper bound of $\dim_{\text{WL}} \mathfrak{K}$ for specific classes \mathfrak{K} , e.g., the planar graphs [9] or distance-hereditary graphs [5]. In the present paper, we are interested in the WL-dimension of a special subclass of chordal bipartite graphs (a bipartite graph is chordal if it contains no induced cycle of length at least 6). The graph isomorphism problem for the class of all chordal bipartite graphs is polynomial-time equivalent to the graph isomorphism problem for general graphs [10]. Therefore, the WL-dimension of the chordal bipartite graphs is unlikely to be bounded from above by a constant. The subclass we mentioned consists of chordal bipartite graphs without bipartite claw, see Fig. 1; a reason for this choice is that these graphs include several known classes (bipartite permutation graphs, difference graphs, etc.) with unknown WL-dimension.

The work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project 18-01-00752).

FIGURE 1. The bipartite claw T_2 .

Theorem 1.1. *The WL-dimension of the class of chordal bipartite T_2 -free graphs is equal to 2 or 3.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on theory of coherent configurations [3]. A coherent configuration can be imagined as an arc-colored complete graph with color classes satisfying some regularity conditions; these conditions are described via the so-called intersection numbers (for exact definitions, see Sections 2 and 3). According to [11], every graph X is associated with uniquely determined coherent configuration \mathcal{X} on the vertex set of X . It was proved in [4] that $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X) \leq 2$ if and only if \mathcal{X} is separable, i.e., is determined up to isomorphism by the array of its intersection numbers. When one vertex of the graph X is distinguished, the formula for X from the definition of the WL-dimension should contain one more variable (this follows from [2]). Thus Theorem 1.1 is almost a direct consequence of the theorem below and the characterization of graphs with WL-dimension equal 1 (see above).

Theorem 1.2. *The coherent configuration of a connected chordal bipartite T_2 -free graph with distinguished vertex is separable.*

The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is given in Section 6. The main tools for the proof are prepared in Section 4, where the coherent configurations of chordal bipartite graphs are studied. A relevant background on coherent configurations and graphs is given in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

Notation.

Throughout the paper, Ω is a finite set.

The set and number of classes of an equivalence relation e on a set Ω , are denoted by Ω/e and $\|e\| = |\Omega/e|$, respectively.

For $r \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$, we set $\alpha r := \{\beta \in \Omega : (\alpha, \beta) \in r\}$.

For $r \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$ and $\Delta, \Gamma \subseteq \Omega$, we set $r_{\Delta, \Gamma} = r \cap (\Delta \times \Gamma)$ and put $r_{\Delta} = r_{\Delta, \Delta}$.

The disjoint union of $m \geq 1$ copies of a complete bipartite graph with parts of cardinalities a and b is denoted by $mK_{a,b}$.

2. COHERENT CONFIGURATIONS

In this section we provide a short background of the theory of coherent configurations. We use the notation and terminology from [3], where the most part of the material is contained.

2.1. Basic definitions. Let Ω be a finite set and S a partition of Ω^2 ; in particular, the elements of S are treated as binary relations on Ω . A pair $\mathcal{X} = (\Omega, S)$ is called a *coherent configuration* on Ω if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (C1) the diagonal relation $\{(\alpha, \alpha) : \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is the union of some relations of S ,
- (C2) for each $s \in S$, the relation $\{(\alpha, \beta) : (\beta, \alpha) \in s\}$ belongs to S ,
- (C3) given $r, s, t \in S$ the number $c_{rs}^t = |\alpha r \cap \beta s^*|$ does not depend on $(\alpha, \beta) \in t$.

Any relation belonging to S is called a *basis relation* of \mathcal{X} . A set $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ is called a *fiber* of \mathcal{X} if the relation $\{(\delta, \delta) : \delta \in \Delta\}$ is basis. The set of all fibers is denoted by $F = F(\mathcal{X})$. From the condition (C1), it follows that

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{\Delta \in F} \Delta$$

and this union is disjoint. For each $s \in S$, there exist (uniquely determined) $\Delta, \Lambda \in F$ such that $s \subseteq \Delta \times \Gamma$. Moreover, if Δ or Γ is a singleton, then $s = \Delta \times \Gamma$.

Let Δ be the union of some fibers of the coherent configuration \mathcal{X} . Denote by S_Δ the set of all nonempty relations $s_\Delta, s \in S$. Then the pair $\mathcal{X}_\Delta = (\Delta, S_\Delta)$ is a coherent configuration.

2.2. Isomorphisms and separability. Let $\mathcal{X} = (\Omega, S)$ and $\mathcal{X}' = (\Omega', S')$ be two coherent configurations. A bijection $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is called a combinatorial isomorphism from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{X}' if the relation

$$s^f = \{(\alpha^f, \beta^f) : (\alpha, \beta) \in s\}$$

belongs to S' for every $s \in S$. The combinatorial isomorphism f induces a natural bijection $\varphi : S \rightarrow S', s \mapsto s^f$. One can see that φ preserves the numbers from the condition (C3), namely, the numbers c_{rs}^t and $c_{r\varphi, s\varphi}^{t\varphi}$ are equal for all $r, s, t \in S$. Every bijection $\varphi : S \rightarrow S'$ having this property is called an *algebraic isomorphism* from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{X}' . A coherent configuration is called *separable* if every algebraic isomorphism from it to another coherent configuration is induced by an isomorphism.

2.3. Parabolics and twins. An equivalence relation e on the set Ω is called a *parabolic* of the coherent configuration \mathcal{X} if e is the union of some basis relations. Denote by $S_{\Omega/e}$ the set of relations

$$s_{\Omega/e} = \{(\Delta, \Gamma) \in \Omega/e \times \Omega/e : s_{\Delta, \Gamma} \neq \emptyset\}, \quad s \in S.$$

Then the pair $\mathcal{X}_{\Omega/e} = (\Omega/e, S_{\Omega/e})$ is a coherent configuration called the *quotient* of \mathcal{X} modulo e .

Following [5], we say that $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ are twins of \mathcal{X} or \mathcal{X} -twins if for each $\gamma \in \Omega$ other than α and β , and each $s \in S$, we have

$$(\alpha, \gamma) \in s \iff (\beta, \gamma) \in s.$$

It immediately follows that α and β belong to the same fiber of \mathcal{X} . Moreover, the relation $t = t(\mathcal{X})$ “to be \mathcal{X} -twins” is a parabolic of \mathcal{X} [5, Lemma 3.1]; it is called a *twin parabolic* of the coherent configuration \mathcal{X} .

Lemma 2.1. [5, Proposition 4.10] *A coherent configuration \mathcal{X} is separable if the quotient of \mathcal{X} modulo $t(\mathcal{X})$ is separable.*

2.4. Coherent closure. There is a natural partial order \leq on the set of all coherent configurations on the same set Ω . Namely, given two such coherent configurations \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}' , we set $\mathcal{X} \leq \mathcal{X}'$ if and only if each basis relation of \mathcal{X} is the union union of some basis relations of \mathcal{X}' . The *coherent closure* $WL(T)$ of a set T of binary relations on Ω , is defined to be the smallest coherent configuration on Ω , for which each relation of T is the union of some basis relations.

3. GRAPHS

3.1. Basic notation. By a *graph* we mean a (finite) simple undirected graph, i.e., a pair $X = (\Omega, D)$ of a set Ω of vertices and an irreflexive symmetric relation $D \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$, which represents the edge set of X . The elements of D are called *arcs*, and D is the *arc set* of X . Two vertices $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ are said to be adjacent in X whenever $(\alpha, \beta) \in D$; we also say that β is the neighbor of α in X . The subgraph of X induced by $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ is denoted by X_Δ .

The graph X is said to be *empty* if $D = \emptyset$. A bipartite graph X with parts Δ and Γ is said to be *biregular* if the number of X -neighbors of a vertex $\alpha \in \Omega$ depends only on whether $\alpha \in \Delta$ or $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

The *distance* $d(\alpha, \beta)$ between the vertices α, β of X is defined as usual to be the length of a shortest path in X from one of α, β to the other. The minimal distance from α to a vertex belonging to a set $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ is denoted by $d(\alpha, \Delta)$.

3.2. Coherent configuration of a graph. The coherent configuration $\text{WL}(X)$ of the graph X is defined to be the coherent closure $\text{WL}(\{D\})$. In the lemma below we establish two properties of $\text{WL}(X)$ to be used in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a graph with arc set D , $\mathcal{X} \geq \text{WL}(X)$ a coherent configuration, and $\Delta, \Gamma \in F(\mathcal{X})$. Then*

- (1) *the bipartite graph with parts Δ and Γ and arc set $D_{\Delta, \Gamma} \cup D_{\Gamma, \Delta}$ is biregular,*
- (2) *if $\Gamma = \{\alpha\}$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega$, then $d(\alpha, \Delta) = d(\alpha, \delta)$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows from [3, formula (2.1.4)]. To prove statement (2), denote by s the set of all pairs of vertices of X at distance $d \geq 0$. According to [3, Theorem 2.6.7], s is a union of basis relations of $\text{WL}(X)$ and hence of \mathcal{X} . Now let $d = d(\alpha, \delta)$ for some $\delta \in \Delta$. Then $s_{\Delta, \Gamma} \neq \emptyset$ and hence is a basis relation of \mathcal{X} . Therefore, $s_{\Delta, \Gamma} = \Delta \times \{\alpha\}$ and we are done by the definition of s . \square

Sometimes, it is convenient to consider a graph X in which a certain vertex α is fixed. In this case, we use notation X_α and say that X_α is a graph with distinguished vertex α . The coherent configuration of X_α is defined to be the coherent closure

$$\text{WL}(X_\alpha) = \text{WL}(\{D, 1_\alpha\}),$$

where $1_\alpha = \{(\alpha, \alpha)\}$. One can see that $\text{WL}(X_\alpha) \geq \text{WL}(X)$ and $\{\alpha\} \in F(\mathcal{X})$ for any $\mathcal{X} \geq \text{WL}(X)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a connected bipartite graph with distinguished vertex and $\mathcal{X} \geq \text{WL}(X)$. Then each $\Delta \in F(\mathcal{X})$ is contained in one of the two parts of X . In particular, the graph X_Δ is empty.*

Proof. Denote by α the distinguished vertex of X . The connectivity assumption implies that $d_X(\alpha, \beta) \neq \infty$ for all vertices β . Since the graph X is also bipartite, the vertices α and β belong to the same part of X if and only if the number $d_X(\alpha, \beta)$ is even. Thus the required statement follows from Lemma 3.1(2). \square

3.3. Twins in graphs. The vertices α and β of the graph X are called *twins* or *X -twins* if any other vertex is the neighbor of both α and β or none of them. One can see that the relation $e = e(X)$ “to be X -twins” is an equivalence relation on the vertex set of X .

Lemma 3.3. [5, Lemma 3.4(2)] *Let X be a graph with distinguished vertex. Then every two X -twins belonging to the same fiber of $\text{WL}(X)$ are also $\text{WL}(X)$ -twins.*

In the condition of Lemma 3.3, let $\Delta, \Gamma \in F(\mathcal{X})$. We define an equivalence relation $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ consisting of all pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta \times \Delta$ such that α and β are twins of the bipartite graph $X_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ with parts Δ and Γ and the arc set $D_{\Delta, \Gamma} \cup D_{\Gamma, \Delta}$. It should be noted that $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ depends on X but we avoid write $e(X_{\Delta, \Gamma})$ if the graph X is clear from the context.

Lemma 3.4. *In the above notation, the following statements hold,*

- (1) $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| = 1$ if and only if $D_{\Delta, \Gamma} = \Delta \times \Gamma$ or $D_{\Delta, \Gamma} = \emptyset$,
- (2) if $\Lambda \in F(\mathcal{X})$ and $e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \subseteq e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$, then $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\|$ is divided by $\|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|$.

Proof. Statement (1) is obvious. To prove statement (2), we recall that $\text{WL}(X_{\Delta, \Gamma})$ is the smallest coherent configuration containing the arc set of the graph $X_{\Delta, \Gamma}$. Since this set is the union of basis relations of the coherent configuration $\text{WL}(X)_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$, we conclude that

$$\text{WL}(X_{\Delta, \Gamma}) \leq \text{WL}(X)_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} = \mathcal{X}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}.$$

However, from Lemma 3.3, it follows that $e_{\Delta, \Gamma} = t(\text{WL}(X_{\Delta, \Gamma}))_{\Delta}$. By the above inclusion, this implies that $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ is a parabolic of \mathcal{X}_{Δ} . Similarly, one can verify that $e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$ is also a parabolic of \mathcal{X}_{Δ} . Thus, the required statement follows from [3, Corollary 2.1.23, Exercise 3.7.9]. \square

3.4. The Weisfeiler-Leman dimension. The exact definition of the WL-dimension $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X)$ of a graph X requires a discussion about the multidimensional Weisfeiler-Leman algorithm, which is beyond the scope of the present paper; we refer the interested reader to the monograph [7]. A relevant information on this invariant is collected in the lemma below.

Lemma 3.5. *Given a graph X , the following statements hold:*

- (1) $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X)$ equals the maximum WL-dimension of a component of X ,
- (2) $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X) \leq \dim_{\text{WL}}(X_{\alpha}) + 1$ for each vertex α of X ,
- (3) $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X) \leq 2$ if and only if the coherent configuration $\text{WL}(X)$ is separable.

Proof. Statement (1) is proved in the first paragraph of [9, Section 4]. Statement (2) is an easy consequence of [2, Theorem 5.2]. Statement (3) follows from [4, Theorem 2.1]. \square

4. CHORDAL BIPARTITE GRAPHS AND COHERENT CONFIGURATIONS

A graph X is said to be *chordal bipartite* if it is bipartite and contains no induced cycle of length at least 6, see [6, Section 12.4]. One can see that every induced subgraph of X is also chordal bipartite. According to [6, Theorems 12.5, 12.8], each connected component of a nonempty chordal bipartite graph X has at least two *bisimplicial* vertices; by definition the vertices α and β are bisimplicial if they are adjacent and $X_{\alpha D, \beta D} = X_{\alpha D \cup \beta D}$ is a complete bipartite graph (with parts αD and βD).

In this section, we are interested in how the fibers of the coherent configuration $\text{WL}(X)$ dissect the arcs of X . A key point here is the following easy observation.

Lemma 4.1. *Every nonempty biregular chordal bipartite graph is isomorphic to $mK_{a, b}$ for some integers $m, a, b \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let X be a nonempty chordal bipartite graph, and let Y be a component of X with parts Δ and Γ . Then there are bisimplicial vertices $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Assume that X is biregular. Then

$$|\alpha D| = |\alpha' D| \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta D| = |\beta' D|$$

for all $\alpha' \in \Delta$ and $\beta' \in \Gamma$, where D is the arc set of X . Since the graph $X_{\alpha D \cup \beta D}$ is complete bipartite with parts αD and βD , this implies that

$$Y = X_{\alpha D \cup \beta D} = K_{a,b},$$

where $a = |\alpha D|$ and $b = |\beta D|$. Since X is biregular, these numbers do not depend on Y . Thus, each connected component of X is isomorphic to $K_{a,b}$, and the required statement is true for m being the number of connected components of X . \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a chordal bipartite graph with distinguished vertex, and let $\mathcal{X} = \text{WL}(X)$ and $F = F(\mathcal{X})$. Then for each $\Delta \in F$,*

- (1) *the graph X_Δ is empty,*
- (2) *if $\Gamma \in F$ and the graph $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ is not empty, then $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} = mK_{a,b}$, where $m = \|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\|$ and $a, b \geq 1$,*
- (3) *$\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| = \|e_{\Gamma, \Delta}\|$ for all $\Gamma \in F$,*
- (4) *the set $\{e_{\Delta, \Gamma} : \Gamma \in F\}$ is linear ordered with respect to inclusion.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Lemma 3.2. Let $\Gamma \in F$. Since $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ is an induced subgraph of X , it is chordal bipartite. Moreover, $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} = X_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ is biregular by Lemma 3.1(1). By Lemma 4.1, this implies that if $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ is not empty, then it is isomorphic to $mK_{a,b}$ for some integers $m, a, b \geq 1$. It follows that in this case, each class of $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ (respectively, $e_{\Gamma, \Delta}$) is the intersection of Δ (respectively, Γ) with vertex set of a component of $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$. This proves statement (2) and also statement (3) except for the case when if $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ is empty. However, in the latter case, statement (3) holds trivially.

To prove statement (4), it suffices to verify that if $\Gamma, \Lambda \in F$, then

$$e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \subseteq e_{\Delta, \Lambda} \quad \text{or} \quad e_{\Delta, \Lambda} \subseteq e_{\Delta, \Gamma}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that each of the graphs $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ and $X_{\Delta \cup \Lambda}$ is nonempty. Then $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma \cup \Lambda}$ being a chordal bipartite graph with parts Δ and $\Gamma \cup \Lambda$, contains bisimplicial vertices $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\beta \in \Gamma \cup \Lambda$; for the definiteness, let $\beta \in \Gamma$. By the assumption, α has a neighbor $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In view of statement (2), this implies that

$$\beta D_{\Delta, \Gamma} \in \Delta / e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda D_{\Delta, \Lambda} \in \Delta / e_{\Delta, \Lambda},$$

where D is the arc set of the graph X . On the other hand, since the vertices α and β are bisimplicial, every neighbor of β is adjacent to every neighbor of λ . Thus,

$$\beta D_{\Delta, \Gamma} \subseteq \lambda D_{\Delta, \Lambda}.$$

This means that at least one class of $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ is contained in some class of $e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$. Since $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ and $e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$ are the parabolics of the coherent configuration \mathcal{X}_Δ (having a unique fiber, namely Δ), this is possible only if $e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \subseteq e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$. \square

5. COHERENT CONFIGURATIONS OF CHORDAL BIPARTITE T_2 -FREE GRAPHS

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the following statement which refines Theorem 4.2 for the chordal bipartite T_2 -free graphs.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a connected chordal bipartite T_2 -free graph with distinguished vertex, and let $\mathcal{X} \geq \text{WL}(X)$ be a coherent configuration. Then for all $\Delta, \Gamma \in F(\mathcal{X})$,*

$$(1) \quad \|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| \leq 2.$$

Proof. In what follows, we set $F = F(\mathcal{X})$. We need an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\Delta, \Gamma, \Lambda \in F$ be pairwise distinct. Assume that $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ is not empty and $e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \not\subseteq e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$. Then*

$$\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| = 2\|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|.$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| \neq 2\|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|$. By the lemma hypothesis, we have $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| \neq \|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|$. Furthermore, $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\|$ is divided by $\|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|$ by Lemma 3.4(2). Thus,

$$(2) \quad \|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| \geq 3\|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|.$$

Let $\Lambda_0 \in \Lambda/e_{\Lambda, \Delta}$. By Theorem 4.2(2) for $\Gamma = \Lambda$, there is a unique $\Delta_0 \in \Delta/e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$ such that $X_{\Delta_0 \cup \Lambda_0}$ is complete bipartite. In view of inequality (2), Δ_0 is the union of at least three pairwise distinct $\Delta_{01}, \Delta_{02}, \Delta_{03} \in \Delta/e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$. Again by Theorem 4.2(2), there are uniquely determined $\Gamma_{01}, \Gamma_{02}, \Gamma_{03} \in \Gamma/e_{\Gamma, \Delta}$ such that $X_{\Delta_{0i} \cup \Gamma_{0i}}$ is complete bipartite, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Choose arbitrarily the vertices

$$\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0, \quad \delta_{0i} \in \Delta_{0i}, \quad \gamma_{0i} \in \Gamma_{0i} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

the subgraph Y induced by these vertices is depicted in Fig. 2. It is easily seen that




FIGURE 2. The vertices $\lambda_0, \delta_{01}, \delta_{02}, \delta_{03}, \gamma_{01}, \gamma_{02}, \gamma_{03}$ in Lemma 5.2.

Y is isomorphic to T_2 , a contradiction. □

Let $\Delta, \Gamma \in F$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ is not empty, for otherwise, $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| = 1$. Next, denote by α the distinguished vertex of X . By the connectivity of X , the number

$$d = d(\alpha, \Delta \cup \Gamma)$$

is a nonnegative integer. By Lemma 3.1(2), this implies that $\{d(\alpha, \Delta), d(\alpha, \Gamma)\} = \{d, d + 1\}$. To prove inequality (1), we use induction on d . By the symmetry between Δ and Γ , we may also assume that

$$d(\alpha, \Delta) = d \quad \text{and} \quad d(\alpha, \Gamma) = d + 1.$$

When $d = 0$, we have $\Delta = \{\alpha\}$. It follows that $X_{\Delta \cup \Gamma}$ (being nonempty) is complete bipartite with parts Δ and Γ . Therefore, $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| = 1$, and we are done.

Let $d \geq 1$. Then there exists a vertex λ of X having a neighbor in Δ and such that $d(\alpha, \lambda) = d - 1$. Denote by Λ the fiber of \mathcal{X} , containing λ . Then $X_{\Lambda \cup \Delta}$ is nonempty and $d(\alpha, \Lambda \cup \Delta) = d - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, this yields

$$(3) \quad \|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\| \leq 2.$$

Assume on the contrary that $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| \geq 3$. Then $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| > \|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|$. According to Theorem 4.2(4), this shows that $e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \subsetneq e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$. Consequently, $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| = 2\|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\|$ by Lemma 5.2. In view of the assumption and inequality (3), this is possible only if

$$(4) \quad \|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \|e_{\Delta, \Lambda}\| = 2.$$

Let $\Lambda_0 \in \Lambda/e_{\Delta, \Delta}$. By Theorem 4.2(2), for $\Gamma = \Lambda$, there is a unique $\Delta_0 \in \Delta/e_{\Delta, \Lambda}$ such that $X_{\Delta_0 \cup \Lambda_0}$ is complete bipartite. By formula (4), the class Δ_0 is the union of distinct $\Delta_{01}, \Delta_{02} \in \Delta/e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$. Again by Theorem 4.2(2), there are uniquely determined $\Gamma_{01}, \Gamma_{02} \in \Gamma/e_{\Gamma, \Delta}$ such that $X_{\Delta_{01} \cup \Gamma_{01}}$ and $X_{\Delta_{02} \cup \Gamma_{02}}$ are complete bipartite. Choose arbitrarily the vertices

$$\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_0 \quad \delta_1 \in \Delta_{01}, \quad \delta_2 \in \Delta_{02}, \quad \gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{01}, \quad \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_{02}.$$

Then the graph induced by these five vertices in X is isomorphic to a subgraph of the graphs depicted in Figs 3 and 4.

By the second equality of formula (4), we have $\Lambda \neq \{\alpha\}$ or, equivalently, $d(\alpha, \Lambda) \geq 1$. It follows that there is a vertex λ' having a neighbor in Λ and such that $d(\alpha, \lambda') = d(\alpha, \lambda) - 1$. Denote by Λ' the fiber of \mathcal{X} , containing λ' . We come to the final contradiction by considering two cases depending on whether or not the graph $X_{\Lambda' \cup \Lambda}$ is complete bipartite.

Case 1: $X_{\Lambda' \cup \Lambda}$ is complete bipartite. Let us choose arbitrary vertex $\lambda_2 \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0$; the obtained configuration is depicted in Fig 3. By the assumption of the case,





FIGURE 3. The vertices $\lambda', \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ in Case 1.

the vertex λ' is adjacent with λ_1 and λ_2 . Furthermore, λ' is adjacent with none of γ_1, γ_2 , because $d(\alpha, \delta') = d - 2$, whereas $d(\alpha, \gamma_1) = d(\alpha, \gamma_2) = d + 1$. Thus the subgraph of X , induced by the vertices $\lambda', \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$, is isomorphic to T_2 , a contradiction.

Case 2: $X_{\Lambda' \cup \Lambda}$ is not complete bipartite. Then $\Lambda' \neq \{\alpha\}$ or, equivalently, $d(\alpha, \Lambda') \geq 1$. It follows that there exists a vertex λ'' having a neighbor in Λ' and such that $d(\alpha, \lambda'') = d(\alpha, \lambda') - 1$. The obtained configuration is depicted in Fig 4. As in the Case 1, the distance argument shows that the subgraph of X , induced by

FIGURE 4. The vertices $\lambda'', \lambda', \lambda_1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ in Case 2.

the vertices $\lambda'', \lambda', \lambda_1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$, is isomorphic to T_2 , a contradiction. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let X be a connected chordal bipartite T_2 -free graph with distinguished vertex, and $\mathcal{X} = \text{WL}(X)$. Denote by Ω the vertex set of X and by $t = t(\mathcal{X})$ the twin parabolic of \mathcal{X} . It suffices to verify that

$$(5) \quad \|t_\Delta\| \leq 2 \quad \text{for all } \Delta \in F,$$

where $F = F(\mathcal{X})$. Indeed, then the cardinality of every fiber of the quotient coherent configuration $\mathcal{X}_{\Omega/t}$ is at most 2. According to [3, Exercise 3.7.20], this implies that $\mathcal{X}_{\Omega/t}$ is separable. Thus, \mathcal{X} is separable by Lemma 2.1.

To prove formula (5), let $\Delta \in F$. By Theorem 4.2(4), there exists $\Gamma \in F$ such that the relation $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ is minimal possible. We claim that

$$(6) \quad e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \subseteq t_\Delta.$$

In other words, we need to verify that every vertices α and β lying in the same class of the equivalence relation $e_{\Delta, \Gamma}$ are \mathcal{X} -twins. However, for each $\Lambda \in F$,

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in e_{\Delta, \Gamma} \subseteq e_{\Delta, \Lambda}.$$

It follows that the vertices α and β are $X_{\Delta \cup \Lambda}$ -twins for all Λ . Consequently, they are X -twins. Thus the claim follows from Lemma 3.3.

To complete the proof, we note that $\|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| \leq 2$ by Theorem 5.1. Together with inclusion (6), this yields

$$\|t_\Delta\| \leq \|e_{\Delta, \Gamma}\| \leq 2,$$

which completes the proof of formula (5). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. One can see that $K_{n, n}$ is a chordal bipartite T_2 -free graph for all n . Moreover, $\dim_{\text{WL}}(K_{n, n}) \neq 1$ for all $n \geq 3$, see [1, Lemma 3.1(A)]. Thus suffices to prove that $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X) \leq 3$ for every chordal bipartite T_2 -free graph X .

By statement Lemma 3.5(1), we may assume that X is connected. Take an arbitrary vertex α of this graph. Then the coherent configuration $\text{WL}(X_\alpha)$ is separable by Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.5(3), this shows that $\dim_{\text{WL}}(X_\alpha) \leq 2$. Thus using Lemma 3.5(2), we obtain

$$\dim_{\text{WL}}(X) \leq \dim_{\text{WL}}(X_\alpha) + 1 \leq 3,$$

as required. \square

REFERENCES

1. V. Arvind, J. Köbler, G. Rattan, and O. Verbitsky, *Graph Isomorphism, Color Refinement, and Compactness*, Computational Complexity, **26**, No. 3, 627–685 (2017).
2. J.-Y. Cai, M. Fürer, and N. Immerman, *An optimal lower bound on the number of variables for graph identification*, Combinatorica, **12**, no. 4, 389–410 (1992).
3. G. Chen and I. Ponomarenko, *Coherent configurations*, Central China Normal University Press, Wuhan (2019).
4. F. Fuhlbrück, J. Köbler, and O. Verbitsky, *Identifiability of graphs with small color classes by the Weisfeiler-Leman algorithm*, in: Proc. 37th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany (2020), pp. 43:1–43:18.
5. A. L. Gavriluk, R. Nedela, and I. Ponomarenko, *The Weisfeiler-Leman dimension of distance-hereditary graphs*, arXiv:2005.11766 [math.CO], 1–16 (2020).
6. M. C. Golumbic, *Algorithmic graph theory and perfect graphs*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (2004).
7. M. Grohe, *Descriptive complexity, canonisation, and definable graph structure theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).
8. S. Kiefer, P. Schweitzer, and E. Selman, *Graphs identified by logics with counting*, in: Mathematical foundations of computer science, Springer, Heidelberg (2015), pp. 319–330.
9. S. Kiefer, I. Ponomarenko, and P. Schweitzer, *The Weisfeiler-Leman dimension of planar graphs is at most 3*, Journal of the ACM, **66**, No. 6, Article 44 (2019).
10. R. Uehara, S. Toda, and T. Nagoya, *Graph isomorphism completeness for chordal bipartite graphs and strongly chordal graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math., **145**, No.3, 479–482 (2005).
11. B. Weisfeiler and A. Leman, *Reduction of a graph to a canonical form and an algebra which appears in the process*, NTI, **2**, No. 9, 12–16 (1968); English translation is available at https://www.iti.zcu.cz/wl2018/pdf/wl_paper_translation.pdf

ST.PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF THE STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ST.PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `inp@pdmi.ras.ru`

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `gric2ryabov@gmail.com`