

Counting odd cycle free orientations of graphs

Matija Bucić*

Benny Sudakov†

1 Introduction

Given a fixed graph H , over all n -vertex graphs G what is the maximum number of 2-edge colourings of G which contain no monochromatic copy of H ? We denote the answer by $F(n, H)$. This very natural question was first asked by Erdős and Rothschild [4] in 1984 for the special case of $H = K_3$. This case was resolved by Yuster [6] for large n who in turn raised the problem of determining $F(n, K_k)$. This problem, again for large n , was solved by Alon, Balogh, Keevash and Sudakov [1] who in addition solve it for H being any edge-colour critical graph (defined as graphs in which removal of some edge results in decrease in the chromatic number). This question has attracted a lot of attention over the years and has been generalised in a number of ways, we point the interested reader to the numerous papers citing [1].

In 2006 Alon and Yuster [2] raised a closely related problem of maximising, over all n -vertex graphs G , the number of orientations of G which contain no copy of some fixed tournament T . We denote the answer by $D(n, T)$. An immediate lower bound comes from taking G to be a K_k -free graph with maximum number of edges. Since any orientation of such a G is free of any tournaments on k vertices this gives $D(n, T) \geq 2^{|E(G)|} = 2^{t_{k-1}(n)}$ for any k -vertex tournament T , where $t_{k-1}(n)$ denotes the Turán number. Alon and Yuster [2] show that, for large n , this easy lower is in fact the answer. Their general argument, which follows the approach used in [1], relies on a regularity lemma and hence results in a requirement for n to be extremely large. For the special case of 3 vertex tournaments they give a different approach which solves the problem for the transitive tournament on 3 vertices for all n and only requires n to be larger than about 10^4 for C_3 . Recently Araujo, Botler and Mota [3] determine the answer for C_3 for all values of n .

Araujo, Botler and Mota [3] raise a very natural question of what happens if instead of tournaments we are interested in $D(n, H)$ for an arbitrary oriented graph. In particular, they single out the question of what happens if H is a strongly connected directed cycle C_k , even if we are only interested in the case of large n . In this short remark we answer their question for odd cycles.

Theorem 1. *For any $k \geq 1$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(k)$ such that if $n \geq n_0$*

$$D(n, C_{2k+1}) = 2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor}.$$

In fact, our argument applies for any H which is an orientation of an edge-colour critical graph. Since our argument follows closely the ideas of both [1, 2] we will only give a short sketch and only for the case of odd cycles. Another benefit of this approach is that we are able to present the key ideas behind all of these arguments without burying them under the details as tends to happen when making regularity based proofs formal.

*Department of Mathematics, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland. Email: matija.bucic@math.ethz.ch.

†Department of Mathematics, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland. Email: benjamin.sudakov@math.ethz.ch. Research supported in part by SNSF grant 200021-175573.

2 Proof sketch

We refer the reader to [2] for how to fill in various details and computations since their results are in the same setting as we are working in. We will assume some familiarity with the basic directed regularity lemma, the specific details needed are given in Section 2 of [2].

The following lemma says that if there are many orientations of G which are C_{2k+1} -free then G is not far from being bipartite. It is analogous to Lemma 2.1 in [2] which replaces C_{2k+1} with an arbitrary tournament (and adjusts the numbers accordingly).

Lemma 2. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(\delta, k)$ such that if G is a graph of order $n \geq n_0$ which has at least $2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor}$ distinct C_{2k+1} -free orientations then there is a bipartition of $V(G)$ with at most δn^2 edges inside parts.*

Proof (sketch). Let \vec{G} be a C_{2k+1} -free orientation of G . We apply directed regularity lemma to \vec{G} to obtain an ε -regular partition $V(\vec{G}) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ (all V_i 's should have sizes as equal as possible, and all but εm^2 pairs (V_i, V_j) should satisfy that linear sized subsets have about the same density of edges in both directions as the density between V_i, V_j). We then consider a cluster graph of density η (so vertices being parts of our partition and two parts joined by a directed edge if they are ε -regular and the density of edges in the corresponding direction is at least η).

We first want to show that there must exist some orientation \vec{G} for which the resulting cluster graph has at least $m^2/4 - \beta m^2$ edges directed both ways. If this is not the case there would be too few (less than $2^{n^2/4}$) orientations possible. Indeed, we will fix a partition \mathcal{P} and a cluster graph C and count how many orientations could result with this partition and the cluster graph. Since there are relatively few (recall that regularity lemma gives us a constant number of parts) possible partitions and cluster graphs and every orientation gives rise to some partition and cluster graph this will result in too few orientations in total. There are few edges inside parts of our fixed \mathcal{P} and between non ε -regular pairs (at most εn^2 in both cases) and each edge may be oriented in two ways so total contribution of such edges is at most a factor of $2^{2\varepsilon n^2}$ to the number of orientations. Similarly, for any ε -regular pair (V_i, V_j) which is not an edge of C in some direction there must be at most about $\eta n^2/m^2$ directed edges, so a large proportion of the edges are oriented the same way. An easy estimate tells us that edges can be oriented this way in at most $2^{c_\eta n^2/m^2}$ many ways where c_η is a small constant depending on η . Since there are at most m^2 such pairs, orienting edges between them contributes at most a factor of $2^{c_\eta n^2}$ to the total number of orientations. Finally, for any edge of C there are at most $2^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor^2}$ orientations of edges between the corresponding pair, but since we are assuming C has at most $m^2/4 - \beta m^2$ edges they contribute at most a factor of $2^{n^2/4 - \beta n^2}$ to the total number of orientations. Choosing η to be small enough compared to β gives us a contradiction to having at least $2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor}$ orientations.

Let now \vec{G} be an orientation for which the resulting cluster graph C has at least $m^2/4 - \beta m^2$ edges directed both ways. We claim C can not contain a bidirected triangle missing only a single directed edge as otherwise \vec{G} would contain a C_{2k+1} . This is a consequence of a standard embedding lemma along the lines of Lemma 2.5 of [2] and can be deduced from it by refining the partition (splitting each part into k parts, while preserving the regularity and density with somewhat worse constants) and then using their lemma to embed C_{2k+1} one vertex per new part.

In particular, this tells us that the graph consisting only of bidirected edges of C is both triangle free and has at least $m^2/4 - \beta m^2$ edges. The stability theorem of Simonovits [5] tells us that there is a bipartition of $V(C) = W_1 \cup W_2$ with at most αm^2 bidirected edges within a part (for any α , provided $\alpha \gg \beta$). If we consider a bipartite subgraph consisting of bidirected edges of C between W_1 and W_2 it has at least $m^2/4 - (\beta + \alpha)m^2$ edges. In particular, if C had in addition $9(\alpha + \beta)m^2$ directed edges we would find a

bidirected triangle with one directed edge removed in C . This follows since at least $8(\alpha + \beta)m^2$ of these additional edges must be inside parts so at least $4(\alpha + \beta)m^2$ inside a single part, say W_1 , and we can pass to a bipartite subgraph of size $2(\alpha + \beta)m^2$ within W_1 . Taking into account these edges might also be bidirected there are $(\alpha + \beta)m^2$ distinct pairs spanning a directed edge. These edges together with the bidirected edges across make a subgraph with at least $m^2/4$ edges so by Mantel's theorem make a triangle. This triangle needs to have at most one vertex inside the part (since edges inside the part make a bipartite graph) so they make a desired triangle in C .

This tells us that after removing edges within V_i 's, between non- ε -regular pairs, between pairs having density less than η in some direction (since we know there are at most $9(\alpha + \beta)m^2$ such pairs) and edges inside W_1 or W_2 above (at most αm^2 such pairs) we removed at most a small constant proportion of edges and are left with a bipartite graph, as desired. \square

The following lemma replaces the embedding Lemma 3.1 of [2]. Let us introduce some notation for convenience. Given a directed graph G and an integer k we say a pair of disjoint subsets $W_1, W_2 \subseteq V(G), |W_i| \geq 2k$ are k -regular if for any $X_i \subseteq W_i, |X_i| \geq |W_i|/20$ for $i = 1, 2$ we have at least $1/10$ proportion of edges of G directed from X_1 to X_2 as well as from X_2 to X_1 .

Lemma 3. *Let G be a directed graph and $W_1, W_2 \subseteq V(G)$ a k -regular pair. Then one can find a directed path of length $2k$ starting in either of W_i .*

Proof. We iteratively find our directed path. Assume that at stage $2i - 1$ we found a path v_1, \dots, v_{2i-1} and a subset $V_{2i-1} \subseteq W_2 \setminus \{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{2i-2}\}$ of at least $|W_2|/20$ out-neighbours of v_{2i-1} . Then since there is a $1/10$ proportion of edges oriented from V_{2i-1} to $W_1 \setminus \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{2i-1}\}$ there must be a vertex v_{2i} in V_{2i} with a set V_{2i} of at least $(|W_1| - k)/10 \geq |W_1|/20$ out-neighbours in $W_1 \setminus \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{2i-1}\}$. Repeating from the other side completes the iteration. After $2k$ iterations we find the desired path. \square

We now turn to the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let n_0 be given by Lemma 2 with sufficiently small δ .

Let us take a graph G on $n > n_0^2 + n_0$ vertices which has at least $2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + m}$ C_{2k+1} -free orientations for some $m \geq 0$. We will show that if G is not the Turán graph then we can find a vertex v such that $G \setminus v$ has at least $2^{\lfloor (n-1)^2/4 \rfloor + m+1}$ C_{2k+1} -free orientations. We then iterate (note that no subgraph we consider can any longer be a Turán graph since it has too many orientations, so also edges) as long as our graph has at least n_0 vertices. When we stop we obtain a graph with less than n_0 vertices which has at least 2^{m_0} orientations which is impossible since it has at most $n_0^2/2$ edges. Let us assume G is not the Turán graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices and proceed to find such a vertex v .

Every vertex needs to have degree at least $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ as otherwise its edges contribute at most a factor of $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}$ to the number of orientations so it would work as our vertex v above.

Let V_1, V_2 make a bipartition of $V(G)$ which minimises the number of edges within parts. Since $n \geq n_0$ by Lemma 2 we have few (in particular at most δn^2) edges within parts. This implies $|V_1|, |V_2| \leq (1/2 + \delta^{1/2})n$ as otherwise there would be less than $n^2/4$ edges, so too few orientations. Similarly, there can be at most δn^2 edges missing between parts.

We first claim that there can be only few orientations for which there exists a pair of subsets $X_1 \subseteq V_1, X_2 \subseteq V_2$, both of size at least $2\delta n$, which have at most $1/10$ proportion of edges directed from X_1 to X_2 . The number of such orientations of edges between X_1, X_2 is at most $\binom{e(X_1, X_2)}{\leq e(X_1, X_2)/10} \leq 2^{0.5e(X_1, X_2)}$. Since the total number of edges is at most $n^2/4 + \delta n^2$ there are at most $2^{n^2/4 + \delta n^2 - 0.5e(X_1, X_2)}$ such orientations of the whole

graph. Since we are missing at most δn^2 edges between V_1, V_2 we have $e(X_1, X_2) \geq |X_1||X_2| - \delta n^2 \geq 3\delta n^2$ the number of such orientations is at most $2^{n^2/4 - 0.5\delta n^2}$. Since we can choose possible locations of X_1 and X_2 in at most 2^{2n} many ways there can be at most $2^{2n} \cdot 2^{n^2/4 - 0.5\delta n^2} \leq 2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor / 2}$ orientations for which such a pair X_1, X_2 exists.

Let us now consider only C_{2k+1} -free orientations for which any pair of subsets X_1, X_2 of size at least $2\delta n$ have at least $1/10$ proportion of edges oriented in both ways. In particular, any pair of subsets both of size at least $40\delta n$ is k -regular. We call such an orientation relevant and by above counting there are at least $2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + m} - 2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor} / 2 \geq 2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + m - 1}$ relevant orientations.

Case 1. Some vertex v has at least $800\delta n$ neighbours in its own part, say V_1 .

Note that v must have at least $800\delta n$ neighbours in V_2 as well (by us taking the max-cut). If in a relevant orientation v has $40\delta n$ out-neighbours and $40\delta n$ in-neighbours belonging to different parts then since these sets make a k -regular pair we can find a path of length $2k - 1$ and join it with v to find a C_{2k+1} , a contradiction. This implies that v must have at most $80\delta n$ in neighbours or at most $80\delta n$ out-neighbours. In particular, its edges may be oriented in such a way in at most $2 \cdot \binom{d(v)}{\leq 80\delta n} \leq 2 \binom{d(v)}{\leq d(v)/10} \leq 2^{0.49d(v)}$ many ways.

In other words $G \setminus \{v\}$ must have at least $2^{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + m - 1 - 0.49d(v)} \geq 2^{\lfloor (n-1)^2/4 \rfloor + m + 1}$ C_{2k+1} -free orientations (since $d(v) \leq n$ and n is large) as desired.

Case 2. Every vertex of G has at most $800\delta n$ neighbours in its own part.

Since G is not the Turán graph there must exist an edge uv inside a part. Both u and v have at least $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 800\delta n \geq n/3$ neighbours in the other part, in particular they have $d(u, v) \geq n/8$ common neighbours since parts have size at most $n/2 + \delta^{1/2}n$. If in a relevant orientation uv is an edge then there can be at most $40\delta n$ out-neighbours of v which are also in-neighbours of u in the other part, as otherwise Lemma 3 provides us with C_{2k+1} . This will severely reduce the number of possible orientations of edges incident to u, v . In particular, the edges from u and v to their common neighbours can be oriented in at most $\binom{d(u,v)}{40\delta n} \cdot 4^{40\delta n} \cdot 3^{d(u,v) - 40\delta n} \leq 4^{0.99d(u,v)}$. The same bound analogously holds if vu is the edge instead. In particular, there are at most $2^{d(u)+d(v)-0.02d(u,v)} \leq 2^{n-n/1000}$ possible orientations of edges incident to u and v (we are using that both u and v have degree at most $n/2 + \delta^{1/2}n + 800\delta n$). In particular, the total number of orientations of $G \setminus \{u, v\}$ is going to be at least $2^{\lfloor (n-2)^2/4 \rfloor + m + 2}$ so we made two steps of our argument at once and are done. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon, J. Balogh, P. Keevash, and B. Sudakov, *The number of edge colorings with no monochromatic cliques*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **70** (2004), no. 2, 273–288.
- [2] N. Alon and R. Yuster, *The number of orientations having no fixed tournament*, Combinatorica **26** (2006), no. 1, 1–16.
- [3] P Araujo, F Botler, and G.H. Mota, *Counting graph orientations with no directed triangles*, preprint arXiv:2005.13091 (2019).
- [4] P. Erdős, *Some new applications of probability methods to combinatorial analysis and graph theory*, Proceedings of the Fifth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., 1974), 1974, pp. 39–51. Congressus Numerantium, No. X.
- [5] M. Simonovits, *A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems*, Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966), Academic Press, New York, 1968, pp. 279–319.
- [6] R. Yuster, *The number of edge colorings with no monochromatic triangle*, J. Graph Theory **21** (1996), no. 4, 441–452.