

A STABILITY RESULT FOR THE STEKLOV LAPLACIAN EIGENVALUE PROBLEM WITH A SPHERICAL OBSTACLE

GLORIA PAOLI¹, GIANPAOLO PISCITELLI², ROSSANO SANNIPOLI¹

¹*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni “R. Caccioppoli”, Università degli studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Cintia, Complesso Universitario Monte S. Angelo, 80126 Napoli, Italy.*

²*Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e dell’Informazione “M. Scarano”, Università degli Studi di Cassino e del Lazio Meridionale, Via G. Di Biasio n. 43, 03043 Cassino (FR), Italy.*

E-mail: gloria.paoli@unina.it, gianpaolo.piscitelli@unicas.it (*corresponding author*), rossano.sannipoli@unina.it.

Abstract. In this paper we study the first Steklov-Laplacian eigenvalue with an internal fixed spherical obstacle. We prove that the spherical shell locally maximizes the first eigenvalue among nearly spherical sets when both the internal ball and the volume are fixed.

MSC 2010: 28A75, 35J25, 35P15.

KEYWORDS: Laplacian, Steklov eigenvalue, Mixed boundary conditions, Local maximum.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be an open, bounded, connected set, with Lipschitz boundary such that $B_r \Subset \Omega_0$, where B_r is the open ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin. Let us set $\Omega := \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r}$, then we study the following Steklov-Dirichlet boundary eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r, \\ \partial_\nu u = \sigma(\Omega)u & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ν is the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega_0$. The study of the first eigenvalue of problem (1.1) leads to the following minimization problem:

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) = \min_{\substack{w \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega_0} w^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$ is the set of Sobolev functions on Ω that vanish on ∂B_r (for the precise definition see Section 2). Notice also that the value $\sigma_1(\Omega)$ is the optimal

constant in the Sobolev-Poincaré trace inequality:

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \|w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_0)} \leq \|Dw\|_{H^1_{\partial B_r}(\Omega)}. \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper we treat the following shape optimization issue:

Which sets maximize $\sigma_1(\cdot)$ among sets containing the fixed ball B_r and having prescribed measure?

We partially solve the problem of the optimality of σ_1 , restricting our study to nearly spherical sets, that are set whose boundary can be parametrized on the sphere by means of a Lipschitz function with a small $W^{1,\infty}$ -norm. The main result of the paper is the following.

Main Theorem. *Let $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r}$, with Ω_0 a nearly spherical set. Then*

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \sigma_1(A_{r,R}), \quad (1.4)$$

where $A_{r,R} = B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}$, with $R > r > 0$, is the spherical shell with the same volume as Ω . Moreover the equality in (1.4) holds if and only if Ω is a spherical shell.

So, we study the optimal shape for $\sigma_1(\Omega)$ when both the volume of the domain and the radius of the internal ball are fixed. We also find some counterexamples showing that when only a volume constraint holds, then σ_1 is not upper bounded, hence we cannot speak about optimality.

In order to prove the main Theorem, we obtain a stability result in quantitative form. In Theorem 3.8, we find $K = K(n, |\Omega|) > 0$, such that

$$\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) \geq \sigma_1(\Omega) \left(1 + K(n, |\Omega|) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2(\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right).$$

When $r = 0$ and Ω_0 is connected, the problem becomes the Steklov eigenvalue problem introduced by Steklov. Optimal upper bounds for classical Steklov eigenvalues have been proved by several authors. When the domain is simply connected, a Weinstock inequality ([14] for $n = 2$ and [2] for higher dimensions) holds. This means that, among convex sets with prescribed perimeter, the maximum for the first Steklov Laplacian eigenvalue is reached by the ball. On the other hand, in [1], the author proved that the ball is the maximum for the same eigenvalue keeping the volume fixed.

In the class of sets of the form $B_R(x_0) \setminus \overline{B_r}$ with $B_R(x_0)$ being a ball containing in B_r , the maximizer of σ_1 is the spherical shell, that is the annulus when the balls are concentric (see [8, 13]).

A natural way to prove the result in (1.4) is in finding the right test function for the Rayleigh quotient in (1.2), in order to obtain the sought spectral inequality. This approach works for other mixed boundary condition eigenvalue problems on perforated domains, e.g when using the so-called web functions (see [4] and the references therein). In particular, in [11] it is proved that the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian with external Robin and internal Neumann boundary conditions

is maximum on spherical shells, when the volume and the external perimeter are fixed. In [12] can be found the original proof for $p = 2$ in the bidimensional case. In [5] the authors prove that the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian with external Neumann and internal Robin boundary conditions is maximum on spherical shells when the volume and the internal $(n - 1)$ -quermassintegral are fixed. See [10] for the original proof in the plane and for $p = 2$.

We use the solution z of (1.2) on the spherical shell to introduce the *weighted volume* $V(\Omega)$ and the *weighted perimeter* $P(\Omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Omega) &:= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^2 dx, \\ P(\Omega) &:= \int_{\partial\Omega_0} z^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)},$$

with equality at least in the case $\Omega = A_{r,R}$, where $|\Omega| = |A_{r,R}|$. Unfortunately, using the web-function testing method in the Rayleigh quotient (1.2), we do not obtain the correct inequality:

$$\frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} \leq \frac{V(A_{r,R})}{P(A_{r,R})}. \quad (1.5)$$

In this paper, we restrict our study to the class of sets $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r}$, with Ω_0 nearly spherical. We use some classical stability results for isoperimetric problems (see e.g. [9]) to parametrize the outer boundary of Ω and then we perform a Taylor expansion (as in [7]) to translate the sought spectral inequality into the Poincaré inequality (1.3).

The outline of the paper follows. In Section 2 we give some properties on the mixed Steklov-Dirichlet eigenvalue problem we are dealing with. In Section 3, we prove the main Theorem.

2. THE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

Let $R > r > 0$, throughout this paper, we denote $B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}$ the ball centered at the origin with radius $r > 0$; $A_{r,R}$ the spherical shell $B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_r := \left\{ \Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r} : \Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ open, bounded, connected, } \right. \\ \left. \text{with Lipschitz boundary, s.t. } B_r \Subset \Omega_0 \right\}.$$

Furthermore, we denote by \mathcal{H}^{n-1} the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure and by $|\cdot|$ the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

Since we are studying a Steklov eigenvalue problem with a spherical obstacle, we need to introduce the definition of a closed subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$, that incorporates

the Dirichlet boundary condition on ∂B_r . We denote the set of Sobolev functions on Ω that vanish on ∂B_r by

$$H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega),$$

that is (see [6]) the closure in $H^1(\Omega)$ of the set of test functions

$$C_{\partial B_r}^\infty(\Omega) := \{u|_\Omega \mid u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{spt}(u) \cap \partial B_r = \emptyset\}.$$

2.1. Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions. We are dealing with the following boundary eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r, \\ \partial_\nu u = \sigma(\Omega)u & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where ν is the outer normal to $\partial\Omega_0$. We give now the definitions and some geometric properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of problem (2.1).

Definition 2.1. *The real number $\sigma(\Omega)$ and the function $u \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$ are, respectively, called eigenvalue of (2.1) and eigenfunction associated to $\sigma(\Omega)$, if and only if*

$$\int_{\Omega} DuD\varphi \, dx = \sigma(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega_0} u\varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

for every $\varphi \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$.

Furthermore, the first eigenvalue is variationally characterized by

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) = \min_{\substack{w \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega) \\ w \neq 0}} J[w], \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$J[w] := \frac{\int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega_0} w^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}. \quad (2.3)$$

We point out that the condition of being orthogonal to constants in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is not required, unlike the classical Steklov eigenvalue (when $r = 0$).

The following ensures the existence of minimizers of problem (2.2).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $r > 0$ and $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_r$, then there exists a function $u \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$ achieving the minimum in (2.2) and satisfying problem (2.1). Moreover, u is positive (or negative) in Ω .*

Proof. Let $u_k \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$ be a minimizing sequence of (2.2) such that $\|u_k\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_0)} = 1$. Since the minimum in (2.2) is positive, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $J[u_k] \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore $\|Du_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C$. Moreover, a Poincaré inequality in $H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$ holds and this implies that $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, there exist a subsequence, still denoted by u_k ,

and a function $u \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_0)} = 1$, such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$, hence also almost everywhere, and $Du_k \rightharpoonup Du$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$. By the compactness of the trace operator, u_k converges strongly to u in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and almost everywhere on $\partial\Omega$ to u . Then, by weak lower semicontinuity we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} J[u_k] \geq J[u].$$

Hence the existence of a minimizer $u \in H_{\partial B_r}^1(\Omega)$ follows. Moreover, the fact that

$$J[u] = J[|u|]$$

implies that any eigenfunction must have constant sign on Ω . So, by Harnack inequality, u is strictly positive on Ω . \square

Now we state the simplicity of the first eigenvalue of (2.1).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $r > 0$ and $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_r$, then the first eigenvalue $\sigma_1(\Omega)$ of (2.1) is simple, that is all the associated eigenfunctions are scalar multiple of each other.*

Proof. Let u, \tilde{u} be two non trivial weak solutions of the problem (2.1). Since, by Proposition 2.2, we can assume that \tilde{u} is positive in Ω , then it is clear that

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} \, dx \neq 0.$$

So, we can find a real constant χ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u - \chi\tilde{u}) \, dx = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $u - \chi\tilde{u}$ is still a solution of the problem (2.1), then it is also non-negative (or non-positive) in Ω . Therefore, (2.4) implies that $u \equiv \chi\tilde{u}$ in Ω and the simplicity of $\sigma_1(\Omega)$ follows. \square

It is worth noticing that the first nontrivial eigenvalue for the classical Steklov-Laplacian problem (when $r = 0$) on B_R is $1/R$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions are the coordinate axis x_i , for $i = 1, \dots, N$. This means that the first nontrivial eigenvalue has multiplicity N and this makes a huge difference with problem (2.1), for which we proved that the simplicity holds.

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that both have the same scaling property:

$$\sigma(t\Omega) = \frac{1}{t}\sigma(\Omega), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.5)$$

The first attempts to study the optimal shape of problem (2.1) has been done on spherical shells, i.e. when $\Omega_0 = B_R$, for $R > r > 0$. We recall from [13], the

explicit expression of the first eigenfunction on the spherical shell $A_{r,R}$:

$$z(\rho) = \begin{cases} \ln \rho - \ln r & \text{for } n = 2 \\ \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{\rho^{n-2}} \right) & \text{for } n \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

with $\rho = |x|$. This function is radial, positive, strictly increasing and it is associated to the following eigenvalue:

$$\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{R \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)} & \text{for } n = 2 \\ \frac{n-2}{R \left[\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n-2} - 1 \right]} & \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

It is worth noting that, since problem (2.1) and the classical Steklov ($r = 0$) have the same scaling property (2.5), then the shape functional $\Omega \rightarrow |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{n}} \sigma(\Omega)$ is scaling invariant, as in the classical case.

2.2. A first upper bound. We show an upper bound for σ_1 depending only by the dimension n , the measure of Ω and by the radius of the internal ball r .

Proposition 2.4. *Let $r > 0$ and $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}_r$, then*

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{2}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{2\omega_n} + r^n \right)^{1/n} - r \right)} |\Omega|^{1/n}.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{R} > 0$ be such that $|A_{r,\bar{R}}| = |\Omega|/2$, then \bar{R} depends only by the dimension n , the measure $|\Omega|$ and r , that is

$$\bar{R} = \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{2\omega_n} + r^n \right)^{1/n}.$$

Consider the function

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} |x| - r & \text{if } r \leq |x| \leq \bar{R}; \\ \bar{R} - r & \text{if } |x| \geq \bar{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

We distinguish now two cases. Firstly, we assume that $B_{\bar{R}} \subseteq \Omega_0$, i.e. $d := \text{dist}(\partial B_{\bar{R}}, \partial \Omega_0) > 0$. By using (2.8) as test function in the Rayleigh quotient (1.5) and by the isoperimetric inequality, we obtain

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{(\bar{R} - r)^2 P(\Omega_0)} \leq \frac{1}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} (\bar{R} - r)^2} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (2.9)$$

We consider now the case $d = 0$, that is when the ball $B_{\bar{R}}$ is not strictly contained in Ω_0 . Therefore, we divide the boundary of Ω_0 in the two sets $\partial^{int}\Omega_0$ and $\partial^{ext}\Omega_0$

that live, respectively, inside and outside of $B_{\bar{R}}$. Using the test function (2.8) in the Rayleigh quotient (2.3), we have

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{\int_{\partial\Omega_0} |\varphi|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{(\bar{R} - r)^2 \int_{\partial^{ext}\Omega_0} 1 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}. \quad (2.10)$$

We recall that a relative isoperimetric inequality with supporting set $B_{\bar{R}}$ holds (see as a reference e.g. [3]):

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^{ext}\Omega_0) \geq n \left(\frac{\omega_n}{2}\right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{|\Omega_0|}{2}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (2.11)$$

By using (2.11) in (2.10), we have

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{2}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}(\bar{R} - r)^2} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (2.12)$$

The conclusion follows by observing that the upper bound (2.12) is greater than (2.9). \square

We remark that, when a volume constraint for Ω holds, then the upper bound is still finite, when $r \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, when $r \rightarrow \infty$, the first eigenvalue cannot be upper bounded. This, together with other examples we are giving in the rest of this Section, motivates the study the optimality of σ_1 when another constraint holds, besides the volume one.

2.3. Volume constraint on the spherical shells. In this paper we deal with geometric properties of the first eigenvalue of (2.1). We look for shapes minimizing $\sigma_1(\Omega)$, when both ω , the volume of Ω , and the radius r of the internal ball are fixed. We show that, even among the spherical shells, σ_1 cannot be upper bounded when only a volume constraint holds.

Let us consider the spherical shell $A_{r,R}$ with the volume constraint:

$$|A_{r,R}| = \omega_n(R^n - r^n) = \omega.$$

We show that both in bidimensional case and in higher dimension, σ_1 is not upper bounded in the class of spherical shells of fixed volume.

Let $n = 2$, then $R = \left(r^2 + \frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and, by (2.7), we have

$$\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = \frac{1}{\left(r^2 + \frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\pi r^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{2}{r \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\pi r^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\pi r^2}\right)}.$$

Hence for r big enough:

$$\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) \approx \frac{2}{r \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{2\pi r^2}\right) \frac{\omega}{\pi r^2}} = \frac{2\pi r}{\omega \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{2\pi r^2}\right)},$$

and so

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = +\infty.$$

Let $n \geq 3$, then $R = \left(r^n + \frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) &= \frac{n-2}{r \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\omega_n r^n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\omega_n r^n}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{n}} - 1\right]} = \\ &= \frac{n-2}{r \left[\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\omega_n r^n}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{n}} - \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\omega_n r^n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right]}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, if r is big

$$\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) \approx \frac{n-2}{r \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{\omega}{\omega_n r^n} - 1 - \frac{1}{n} \frac{\omega}{\omega_n r^n}\right]} = \frac{n\omega_n}{\omega} r^{n-1}.$$

and hence again

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = +\infty. \quad (2.13)$$

Further, it is clear that, in any dimension, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

The limiting results (2.13) and (2.14) motivate the fact that it is not sufficient to fix the volume to study the first eigenvalue σ_1 . Indeed, when r is too big, it is not possible to find an upper bound, and, on the other hand, when r is too small, the eigenvalue is trivial. We remark that, in the class of sets of the form $B_R(x_0) \setminus \overline{B}_r$ with $B_R(x_0)$ being a ball containing in B_r , the maximizer of σ_1 is the spherical shell (see [8]).

2.4. Spherical shell with fixed difference between radii. It is clear now that we cannot study the shape optimization for σ_1 when only a volume constraint holds. On the other hand, it could be interesting to understand if we can study the shape optimization for double connected domains, when only one geometric quantity is fixed. Here, e.g., we briefly study the behavior of the spherical shell when the distance between the radii is fixed. Let d be a positive real number such that

$$R - r = d,$$

so that $R = r + d$ and $\frac{R}{r} = 1 + \frac{d}{r}$.

If $n = 2$, then for r big enough, we have

$$\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = \frac{1}{(r+d) \log\left(1 + \frac{d}{r}\right)} \approx \frac{r}{rd + d^2},$$

and hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = \frac{1}{d}.$$

If $n \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) &= \frac{n-2}{(r+d) \left[\left(1 + \frac{d}{r}\right)^{n-2} - 1 \right]} \\ &\approx \frac{n-2}{(r+d) \left[1 + (n-2)\frac{d}{r} - 1 \right]} = \frac{r}{rd + d^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = \frac{1}{d}.$$

Furthermore, in any dimensions, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = 0$$

The case of r small is again trivial. On the other hand, σ_1 is upper bounded for any value of R by the reciprocal of the difference between the radii d . The fact that a uniform upper bounds holds for spherical shells when only the difference between the radii is fixed, suggests that could be interesting to study the shapes minimizing σ_1 in the class of double connected sets when only the width is fixed.

3. MAIN RESULT

In this section we prove that the spherical shell is a local maximizer for the first eigenvalue of (2.1) among nearly spherical sets with fixed volume, containing B_r , for a fixed value $r > 0$. Firstly, we give the definition of nearly spherical sets.

Definition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. An open, bounded set $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \Omega_0$ is said a nearly spherical set parametrized by v if there exists $v \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ such that*

$$\partial\Omega_0 = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y = R\xi(1 + v(\xi)), \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where R is the radius of the ball having the same measure of Ω_0 and $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq 1$.

The volume of a nearly spherical set is given by

$$|\Omega_0| = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (1 + v(\xi))^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

The class of nearly spherical sets has a peculiar importance in shape optimization theory, in particular for stability results for spectral inequalities. In this paper, we are considering sets $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B}_r$ belonging to \mathcal{A}_r with $r > 0$, with Ω_0 nearly spherical. Now, we are in position to state the main Theorem of this article.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, $r > 0$, $\omega > 0$ and let $R > r$ be such that $|A_{r,R}| = \omega$. There exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, r, \omega) > 0$ such that, for any $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r}$ belonging to \mathcal{A}_r , with Ω_0 nearly spherical set parametrized by v such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\Omega| = \omega$, then*

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \sigma_1(A_{r,R}). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover the equality in (3.2) holds if and only if Ω is a spherical shell.

Let us remark that, in order to have $B_r \subset \Omega_0$, we need to require that $\varepsilon \leq 1 - r/R$ to verify that $|y| \geq r$, that is $R(1 + v(\xi)) \geq r$. Moreover, we observe that, since all the quantities involved are translation invariant, the result in Theorem 3.2 holds also among nearly spherical sets with fixed volume and containing a fixed internal ball.

Recalling the explicit expression (2.6) of the first eigenfunction z on the spherical shell $A_{r,R}$, we define the *weighted volume* and the *weighted perimeter* as:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Omega) &:= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^2 dx, \\ P(\Omega) &:= \int_{\partial\Omega_0} z^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, to simplify the notations, we set, for $n = 2$,

$$h_R(t) = (\ln(tR) - \ln r)^2 \quad (3.3)$$

$$f_R(t) = \frac{h'_R(t)}{2R} = \frac{\sqrt{h_R(t)}}{(tR)}, \quad (3.4)$$

and for $n \geq 3$

$$h_R(t) = \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{(tR)^{n-2}} \right)^2 \quad (3.5)$$

$$f_R(t) = \frac{h'_R(t)}{2R} = \frac{n-2}{(tR)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{(tR)^{n-2}} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where R is the radius of the ball with the same volume of Ω_0 and $t \geq \frac{r}{R}$.

Now, we write the Rayleigh quotient (2.3) using the parametrization in (3.1).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, $r > 0$, $\omega > 0$ and let $R > r$ be such that $|A_{r,R}| = \omega$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - r/R$ and for any $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r}$ belonging to \mathcal{A}_r , with Ω_0 nearly spherical set parametrized by v such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\Omega| = \omega$, then*

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f_R(1 + v(\xi))(1 + v(\xi))^{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_R(1 + v(\xi))(1 + v(\xi))^{n-1} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\nabla v(\xi)|^2}{(1 + v(\xi))^2}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover if $\Omega = A_{r,R}$, then equality holds in (3.7) and $\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) = \frac{f_R(1)}{h_R(1)}$.

Proof. From the variational characterization (2.2) of $\sigma_1(\Omega)$, we have

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega_0} z^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}} = \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega_0} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} z d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{\int_{\partial\Omega_0} z^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}.$$

The conclusion follows using the change of variables in (3.1). \square

We recall the following result, whose proof can be found in [9].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $R > 0$. There exists a constant $C = C(n) > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and for any v parametrizing a nearly spherical set Ω_0 such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\Omega_0| = |B_R|$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1+v)^{n-1} - \left(1 + (n-1)v + (n-1)(n-2)\frac{v^2}{2} \right) \right| \leq C\varepsilon v^2 \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \\ & 1 + \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{(1+v)^2}} \leq C\varepsilon (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \\ & \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v(\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \frac{n-1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2(\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|v\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the analyticity of h_R and f_R , defined in (3.3)-(3.4)-(3.5)-(3.6), the following Lemma holds.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $0 < r < R$. There exists $K = K(n, r, R) > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and for any v parametrizing a nearly spherical set Ω_0 such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\Omega_0| = |B_R|$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h_R(1+v) - h_R(1) - h'_R(1)v - h''_R(1)\frac{v^2}{2} \right| \leq K\varepsilon v^2 \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \\ & \left| f_R(1+v) - f_R(1) - f'_R(1)v - f''_R(1)\frac{v^2}{2} \right| \leq K\varepsilon v^2 \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the following Poincaré inequality holds.

Lemma 3.6. (*Poincaré inequality*) *Let $n \geq 2$ and $R > 0$, then there exists a positive constant $C = C(n)$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and for any function v parametrizing a nearly spherical set Ω_0 such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\Omega_0| = |B_R|$, then*

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \geq (n-1)(1-C\varepsilon)\|v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. The function $v \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ admits a harmonic expansion in the sense that there exists a family of n -dimensional spherical harmonics $\{H_j(\xi)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$v(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_j H_j(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \quad \text{with} \quad \|H_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} = 1,$$

where

$$c_j = \langle v, H_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v(\xi) H_j(\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

and H_j satisfying

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} H_j = j(j+n-2)H_j, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Furthermore the following identities hold true

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j^2, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(j+n-2)c_j^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Since $H_0 = (n\omega_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_0| &= (n\omega_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v(\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right| \leq \\ &(n\omega_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2(\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right| \left(\frac{n-1}{2} + C\varepsilon \right) = C\varepsilon \|v\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C has been renamed. Using this estimate, by (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\|v\|_{L^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j^2 = c_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2 \leq C\varepsilon \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2,$$

and

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(j+n-2)c_j^2 \geq (n-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2 \geq (n-1)(1-C\varepsilon) \|v\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Now we give a key estimate for the main Theorem.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $n \geq 2$, $r > 0$, $\omega > 0$ and let $R > r$ be such that $|A_{r,R}| = \omega$. There exist two positive constants $K > 0$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon_0 < 1 - r/R$, depending on n , r and ω only, such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, for any $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r}$ belonging to \mathcal{A}_r , with Ω_0 nearly spherical set parametrized by v such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\Omega| = \omega$,*

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{V(\Omega^\#)P(\Omega) - P(\Omega^\#)V(\Omega)}{n\omega_n} = \\
& = f_R(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_R(1+v(\xi))(1+v(\xi))^{n-1} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\nabla v(\xi)|^2}{(1+v(\xi))^2}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& \quad - h_R(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f_R(1+v(\xi))(1+v(\xi))^{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq K \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Using Lemmata 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_R(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_R(1+v(\xi))(1+v(\xi))^{n-1} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\nabla v(\xi)|^2}{(1+v(\xi))^2}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& \quad - h_R(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f_R(1+v(\xi))(1+v(\xi))^{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v (f_R(1)h'_R(1) - f'_R(1)h_R(1)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{v^2}{2} [f_R(1)h''_R(1) - f''_R(1)h_R(1) + 2(n-1)(f_R(1)h'_R(1) - f'_R(1)h_R(1))] d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f_R(1)h_R(1) \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \varepsilon K_1 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where K_1 is a positive constant. Let us set

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(t) & := f_R(t)h'_R(t) - f'_R(t)h_R(t), \\
Q_2(t) & := f_R(t)h''_R(t) - f''_R(t)h_R(t), \\
Q_3(t) & := f_R(t)h_R(t),
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show (3.10), we need to prove

- (1) $Q_1(1) > 0$,
- (2) $Q_3(1) > 0$,
- (3) $(n-1)[Q_1(1) + Q_3(1)] + Q_2(1) > 0$.

Indeed, when (1), (2), (3) hold, then, by using Lemmata 3.4 and 3.6, the last term in (3.11) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_1(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + (2(n-1)Q_1(1) + Q_2(1)) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{v^2}{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& \quad + Q_3(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \varepsilon K_1 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \geq -\frac{n-1}{2} Q_1(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \varepsilon K_2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \left((n-1)Q_1(1) + \frac{Q_2(1)}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& \quad + \frac{n-1}{2} Q_3(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 - \varepsilon K_3 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 - \varepsilon K_1 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \{ (n-1)[Q_1(1) + Q_3(1)] + Q_2(1) \} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad - \varepsilon K_2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 - \varepsilon K_3 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 - \varepsilon K_1 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \geq K \|v\|_{L^2}^2 - \varepsilon K_4 \|v\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we denoted $K = \frac{1}{2} \{ (n-1)[Q_1(1) + Q_3(1)] + Q_2(1) \} > 0$ and $K_4 = \max\{K_1, K_2, K_3\}$. The proof concludes by choosing ε small enough.

It remains to prove (1), (2), (3) by distinguishing the bidimensional from the higher dimensional case. We note that

$$Q_1(t) = f_R^2(t) \left[\frac{h_R(t)}{f_R(t)} \right]' = 2Rf_R^2(t) \left[\frac{h_R(t)}{h_R'(t)} \right]', \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$Q_2(t) = Q_1'(t) = [f_R^2(t)]' \left[\frac{h_R(t)}{f_R(t)} \right]' + f_R^2(t) \left[\frac{h_R(t)}{f_R(t)} \right]''. \quad (3.13)$$

Case 1. Let be $n = 2$. We observe that

$$\frac{h_R(t)}{f_R(t)} = Rt(\ln(tR) - \ln r),$$

is positive and strictly increasing, since it is a product of two strictly increasing positive functions. Hence $Q_1(t) > 0$ and in particular

$$Q_1(1) = \frac{h_R(1)}{R} \left(\sqrt{h_R(1)} + 1 \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, it is clear that

$$Q_3(1) = \frac{h_R(1)\sqrt{h_R(1)}}{R} > 0.$$

Let us now calculate all the terms in (3.13) and evaluate them for $t = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{h_R(t)}{f_R(t)} \right]'_{t=1} &= R \left(\sqrt{h_R(t)} + 1 \right)_{t=1} = R \left(\sqrt{h_R(1)} + 1 \right) > 0, \\ \left[\frac{h_R(t)}{f_R(t)} \right]''_{t=1} &= \left(\frac{R}{t} \right)_{t=1} = R > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_R^2(1) &= \frac{h_R(1)}{R^2} > 0, \\ [f_R^2(t)]'_{t=1} &= \left[\frac{2R}{(tR)^3} \left(\sqrt{h_R(t)} - h_R(t) \right) \right]_{t=1} = \frac{2}{R^2} \left(\sqrt{h_R(1)} - h_R(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, estimate (3) follows by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(1) + Q_3(1) + Q_2(1) &= \frac{h_R(1)\sqrt{h_R(1)}}{R} + \frac{h_R(1)}{R} + \frac{h_R(1)\sqrt{h_R(1)}}{R} + \\ &2 \frac{\sqrt{h_R(1)}}{R} - 2 \frac{h_R(1)\sqrt{h_R(1)}}{R} + \frac{h_R(1)}{R} = \frac{2}{R} (h_R(1) + \sqrt{h_R(1)}) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. For $n \geq 3$, from (3.12) we have

$$\frac{h_R(t)}{h'_R(t)} = \frac{(tR)^{n-1}}{2(n-2)R} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{(tR)^{n-2}} \right),$$

that is a strictly increasing function, since it is product of two strictly increasing and positive functions. Hence $Q_1(t) > 0$ and, in particular

$$Q_1(1) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{R^{n-1}} h_R(1) \sqrt{h_R(1)} + \frac{2(n-2)^2}{R^{2n-3}} h_R(1) > 0.$$

Moreover, it is easily seen that

$$Q_3(1) = \frac{n-2}{R^{n-1}} h_R(1) \sqrt{h_R(1)} > 0.$$

Eventually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(1) &= \frac{(n-2)^3}{R^{3n-3}} \sqrt{h_R(1)} - \frac{(n-1)^2(n-2)}{R^{n-1}} h_R(1) \sqrt{h_R(1)} \\ &+ \frac{(n-1)(n-2)^2}{R^n} h_R(1) \sqrt{h_R(1)} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)^2}{R^{2n-2}} h_R(1), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, it follows that $(n-1)[Q_1(1) + Q_3(1)] + Q_2(1) > 0$. \square

We use the previous result to give a stability result in a quantitative form.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $n \geq 2$, $r > 0$, $\omega > 0$ and let $R > r$ be such that $|A_{r,R}| = \omega$. There exist two positive constants $K > 0$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon_0 < 1 - r/R$, depending on n , r and ω only, such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, for any $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B_r}$ belonging to \mathcal{A}_r ,*

with Ω_0 nearly spherical set parametrized by v such that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$, and $|\Omega| = \omega$, then

$$\sigma_1(A_{r,R}) \geq \sigma_1(\Omega) \left(1 + K(n, r, \omega) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2(\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right).$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.7 we know that there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$P(A_{r,R})P(\Omega) \left(\frac{V(A_{r,R})}{P(A_{r,R})} - \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} \right) \geq n\omega_n K \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(A_{r,R}) &= \frac{V(A_{r,R})}{P(A_{r,R})} \geq \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} + \frac{n\omega_n K \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{P(A_{r,R})P(\Omega)} \\ &= \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} \left(1 + \frac{n\omega_n K \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{P(A_{r,R})V(\Omega)} \right) \\ &= \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} \left(1 + \frac{K \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{h_R(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f_R(1+v(\xi))(1+v(\xi))^{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{V(\Omega)}{P(\Omega)} \left(1 + \frac{K \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{n\omega_n 2^{n-1} h_R(1) f_R(2)} \right) \geq \sigma_1(\Omega) \left(1 + K \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows by the fact that $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq \varepsilon < 1$ and by the monotonicity of $f_R(\cdot)$. \square

Eventually, the main result (Theorem 3.2) easily follows by Theorem 3.8. Moreover, if $\Omega = A_{r,R}$, then the function v parametrizing the outer boundary is constantly equal to zero and equality in (3.2) holds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been partially supported by GNAMPA of INdAM and by Progetto di eccellenza ‘‘Sistemi distribuiti intelligenti’’ of Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e dell’Informazione ‘‘M. Scarano’’.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Brock, *An isoperimetric inequality for eigenvalues of the Stekloff problem*. ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 81.1 (2001), 69-71.
- [2] D. Bucur, V. Ferone, C. Nitsch, C. Trombetti, C. Weinstock *inequality in higher dimensions*. J. Diff. Geom. 118(1), 1-21.

- [3] J. Choe, M. Ghomi, M. Ritoré, *The relative isoperimetric inequality outside convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n* . Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 29.4 (2007), 421-429.
- [4] G. Crasta, I. Fragalá, F. Gazzola, *A sharp upper bound for the torsional rigidity of rods by means of web functions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 164.3 (2002), 189-211.
- [5] F. Della Pietra, G. Piscitelli, *An optimal bound for nonlinear eigenvalues and torsional rigidity on domains with holes*, Milan J. Math. 88, (2020), 373-384.
- [6] M. Egert, P. Tolksdorf, *Characterizations of Sobolev functions that vanish on a part of the boundary*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S 10.4 (2017), 729-743.
- [7] V. Ferone, C. Nitsch, C. Trombetti, *On a conjectured reverse Faber-Krahn inequality for a Steklov-type Laplacian eigenvalue*. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 14.1 (2015), 63-82.
- [8] I. Ftouhi, *Where to place a spherical obstacle so as to maximize the first Steklov eigenvalue*. Hal (2019), 1-19.
- [9] B. Fuglede, *Stability in the isoperimetric problem for convex or nearly spherical domains in \mathbb{R}^n* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 314.2 (1989), 619-638.
- [10] J. Hersch, *Contribution to the method of interior parallels applied to vibrating membranes*. Studies in mathematical analysis and related topics pp. 132-139 Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, Calif. (1962).
- [11] G. Paoli, G. Piscitelli, L. Trani, *Sharp estimates for the first p -laplacian eigenvalue and for the p -torsional rigidity on convex sets with holes*. ESAIM: COCV 26.111 (2020), 1-15.
- [12] L. E. Payne, H. F. Weinberger, *Some isoperimetric inequalities for membrane frequencies and torsional rigidity*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2 (1961), 210-216.
- [13] S. Verma, G. Santhanam, *On eigenvalue problems related to the laplacian in a class of doubly connected domains*. Monatsh. Math. 193 (2020), 879-899.
- [14] R. Weinstock, *Inequalities for a classical eigenvalue problem*. J. Rational Mech. Anal. 3 (1954), 745-753.