

TDSVD: A Way to Get the Single Solution from TR-Spectroscopy

Renli Chen

Department of Chemical Physics, University of Science and Technology of China(USTC), Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

Email: chenrla4@mail.ustc.edu.cn

(Dated: 28 Jun. 2020)

This article reminds us the major contribution of orthogonality towards the ESS assignment in TR-spectroscopy. In the field of particles, complete orthogonality is ubiquitous between any two different identical particles, and the complete orthogonality (at the microscopic level) evolves into the partial spectral orthogonality between different ESSs at the mesoscopic level. As a result, we developed SVD to TDSVD so as to reveal the relative amounts of different ESSs in each time interval, and it is the first time that the single solution can be drew from only the TA data set without any kinds of a priori information. In the previous articles, the mathematical underdetermination problem has been addressed prominently, so it is no wonder that researchers are no longer keening to search the method for mathematically analyzing the data set in order to derive the single solution. However, TDSVD will offset this deficiency and becomes an effectively autonomous method towards two-dimensional data analysis.

Mathematically, as long as there is enough orthogonality between any two 'ESSs' in any data set, the yield of the single solution is no longer a problem. Therefore, TDSVD can be applied to lots of fields.

I. INTRODUCTION

Several researchers have already addressed the mathematical underdetermination problem. The mainstream of the researchers think we cannot determine the single solution for the bare TRS. (Nagle, Parodi et al. 1982, Van Stokkum, Larsen et al. 2004) Fewer researchers pay attention to other analytic methods, including SVD.

Based on the current knowledge of the writer, the first try of SVD in the analysis of TRS is to determine the number of PCs(Warner, Christian et al. 1977). Then people start to apply SVD to determine the values of rate constants (it seems to like GLA)(Hofrichter, Henry et al. 1985), even the compartmental model (it seems to like GTA)(Hug, Lewis et al. 1990).

The question is "Can SVD bring us the single solution for the bare TRS?" The writer thinks the answer is "YES!"

II. METHODS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. SVD

1. The definition of SVD

a. Where does the orthogonality come from?

The entire story in this article begins with identical particles. In the realm of spectroscopy, we only concern about the distinguishability among different identical particles.

As we all know, identical particles are particles that cannot be distinguished from each other, even in principle, thanks to the quantization. The orthogonality between any two different identical particles resides in their 'definite' values (even probabilistic) of all kinds of properties. One significant fact is that the orthogonality between any two identical particles is the complete orthogonality (not the 'partial' orthogonality).

However, what is the correlation between 'the complete orthogonality among different identical particles' and 'the spectral distinguishability among different ESSs'? The answer is as follows: the former directly causes the latter, and the complete orthogonality of the former degenerates into the 'partial' orthogonality of the latter because of its scale being macro. Moreover, the 'partial' orthogonality means sometimes the observer are not sure about the origin of some spectra under the existing measurement accuracy. In other words, the 'partial' orthogonality leads to the ambiguity of distinguishability among different ESSs.

Here, the diversity of the ensemble of every different identical particles is ignored. (Because the different environments can influence the identical particles in different ways.) In addition, the case of (accidental) degeneracy is ignored, too. (Normally, seldom the kinetic degeneracy happens, and the spectral degeneracy almost won't happen at all.)

b. The definition of PCA

PCA is a statistically linear dimensionality reduction method. It simplifies the original high-dimensional data set to a few interpretative and uncorrelated dimensions (called PCs) while maximizing the variance (or minimizing the information loss). This transformation works as follows: the 1th PC has the largest variance, and each succeeding PC in turn has the highest variance under the constraint that it is orthogonal to the preceding PCs.

Moreover, one of the ways to do PCA is SVD.

c. The form of SVD

$\mathbf{M}_{m \times n}$ is a real $m \times n$ matrix. Then the SVD of $\mathbf{M}_{m \times n}$ is a factorization of the form

$$\mathbf{M}_{m \times n} = \mathbf{U}_{m \times m} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{m \times n} \mathbf{V}_{n \times n}^T \quad (1)$$

where

- The superscript of T indicates the matrix transpose.

$\mathbf{U}_{m \times m}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{n \times n}$ are orthogonal square matrices. $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{m \times n}$ is a rectangular diagonal matrix with non-negative real numbers on the diagonal. The diagonal entry σ_i of $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{m \times n}$ is known as the SV of $\mathbf{M}_{m \times n}$. The sequence of SVs is in descending order in the diagonal of $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{m \times n}$.

The columns $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$ of $\mathbf{U}_{m \times m}$ yield an orthonormal basis of K^m and the columns $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of $\mathbf{V}_{n \times n}$ yield an orthonormal basis of K^n . The former columns are the left-SVs and the latter columns are the right-SVs.

2. One fundamental prerequisite

The SV of $\mathbf{M}_{m \times n}$ is viewed as the scaling factor of the corresponding PC. Moreover, one particular important fact is that: The stronger the spectral orthogonality between any two ESSs is, the closer the approximation between the PCs and the corresponding spectral measurements of the ESSs is. Namely, as long as there is enough spectral orthogonality between any two ESSs, the SVs indicate some information about the relative amounts of the ESSs. This is the motivation of TDSVD.

B. TDSVD

1. What is TDSVD?

a. The definition of TDSVD

The conventional utilization of SVD in the TRS targets the whole data set. Therefore, only the 'whole' PCs are yielded.

However, the whole data set should be divided into lots of block matrices with respect to the dimension of time (Equation 2). Then, SVD of each block matrix is calculated. Thus, there are TD-SVs for every block matrix. According to 'the fundamental prerequisite of SVD' (see above),

the TD-SVs of each block matrix indicate some information about the relative amounts of the ESSs in that block matrix. As a result, (sufficient number of) TDSVs can indicate the concentration profiles of the ESSs.

b. The form of TDSVD

If the number of ESSs involved in is p and no accidental degeneracy happens, then the number of non-zero TD-SVs in every block matrix should be identical to p (only for noise-free data set). Only the non-zero TDSVs (after ‘carefully’ normalization) is plotted against the central time of every corresponding time interval. The (‘carefully’ normalized) curves of TDSVs indicate the concentration profiles of the ESSs.

The ideal case for TDSVD is like this: The spectral half of all the ESSs is mutually orthogonal; and the kinetic half of all the ESSs in every block matrix is mutually orthogonal, too. Then the (‘carefully’ normalized) curves of TDSVs are just identical to the concentration profiles of all the ESSs. Because in every block matrix, the left-SVs are the same as the normalized concentration profiles of the ESSs in this time interval and the right-SVs are the same as the normalized difference spectra of the ESSs.

There are ‘peaks’ and ‘valleys’ (both with valuable meanings in indication) in the curves of TDSVs.

However, the experimental TRS is not noise-free and has many kinds of observational errors. As long as the S/N (signal/noise) is not outrageous, the result can be still indicative of the kinetic half.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} [\mathbf{M}]_{m_1 \times n} \\ \vdots \\ [\mathbf{M}]_{m_k \times n} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} [[\mathbf{U}]_{m_1 \times m_1} [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}]_{m_1 \times n} \mathbf{V}_{n \times n}^T]_{m_1 \times n} \\ \vdots \\ [[\mathbf{U}]_{m_k \times m_k} [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}]_{m_k \times n} \mathbf{V}_{n \times n}^T]_{m_k \times n} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} \quad (2)$$

where

- $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = m$

2. What do the TDSVs represent?

(One thing to be clarified ahead is the name:

TD-SV(s) means the time-dependent singular value(s) in a block matrix;

n^{th} _SV means the n^{th} large SV in a block matrix;

n^{th} _TDSV means the collection of all the n^{th} _SV from every time interval;

TDSVs means several the TDSV together.)

a. The (foremost) 1th_TDSV

According to the definition of PCA, the 1th_PC has the largest variance. If one ESS is the main ESS, namely, this ESS has the highest relative amount, then the 1th_TD-SV seems bigger than the rest TD-SVs, and the curve of the 1th_TDSV looks like a ‘peak’ in this time interval.

When the main ESS converts to other incoming ESS(s), namely, they are of approximately the same amount. Then, the relative amount of the 1th_TD-SV with respect to the rest TD-SVs is smaller, and the curve of the 1th_TDSV looks like a ‘valley’ in this time interval.

b. The rest (not so significant) non-zero TDSVs

According to the definition of PCA, each succeeding PC in turn has the highest variance under the restriction that it is orthogonal to the preceding PCs. Corresponding to the positions of the ‘valleys’ in the curve of the previous TDSVs, ‘peaks’ may emerge in these positions of the curve of the following TDSV. On the other hand, corresponding to the positions of the ‘peaks’ in the curve of the previous TDSVs, ‘valleys’ may emerge in these positions of the curve of the following TDSV.

Mostly, the rest non-zero TDSVs has relatively small amount, and many noises are deteriorating the data set at about the same level, so the rest non-zero TDSVs have very few significances for the researchers if the S/N is not high enough.

c. The whole non-zero TDSVs together

If the curves of all the TDSVs are carefully normalized in one plot, the set of these curves should (somehow) resemble the set of all the concentration profiles depicted together.

In the non-ideal case for TDSVD, there are some deviations. Naturally, most of the deviations are caused by the ‘partial’ orthogonality (or, non-perfect orthogonality) from both the spectral half and (especially) the kinetic half (FIG. 2,4). For the simulated data set, some of them are caused by truncation errors; for the experimental data set, some of them are caused by devastating observational errors.

C. The Result of the 1th_TDSV

1. The intuitive examples of the 1th_TDSV

There are four types of the intuitive examples to demonstrate how the combination of the different kinetic orthogonality and the different spectral orthogonality affects the results of TDSVD (FIG. 1-2). Moreover, the cosine of the angle between two vectors tells the degree of the orthogonality between these two vectors.

The only kind of function used in the intuitive examples is the Cauchy distribution function: $f(x, x_0, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma}{(x-x_0)^2 + \gamma^2}$. And eight groups of parameters of Cauchy distribution function (the ‘focused’ functions: $x_0 = 0, 0.4, 0.8, 1.2$; $\gamma = 0.8$; the ‘diffused’ functions: $x_0 = 0, 4, 8, 12$; $\gamma = 0.8$) present here. The ‘diffused’ function is more likely to couple with other functions, especially with itself. The ‘focused’ function is more unlikely to couple with other functions, especially with itself.

In one data set, the kinetic half is either the ‘diffused’ functions or the ‘focused’ functions, so does the spectral half. Therefore, the ‘diffused’/‘focused’ appearance (in the kinetic half or the spectral half) on the contour plot \Leftrightarrow the ‘diffused’/‘focused’ functions used (in the kinetic half or the spectral half) \Leftrightarrow the weak/strong orthogonality (in the kinetic half or the spectral half).

In FIG. 1, there are four types of simulated TA data set. In FIG. 2, the hollow dotted lines are the corresponding 1th_TDSV of the simulated TA data set in the FIG. 1, and the colored lines are the real concentration profiles.

One thing is for sure (FIG. 2): the stronger the orthogonality in both the kinetic half and the spectral half (respectively) is, the more apparent the contrasts between the ‘peaks’ and the ‘valleys’ are, the more evident the kinetic half from TDSVD is. Obviously, the stronger the orthogonality in the kinetic half is, the better the distinguishability is. (Normally, we don’t need to worry about the spectral orthogonality.)

The measurements of the orthogonality in both the half and the results of the whole TDSVs can be found in the Appendix. As long as there is enough orthogonality in both the half, TDSVD should be always determinant in finding the single solution.




FIG. 1. Contour maps of the four types of the intuitive examples. (a) The case of ('weak, strong'). (b) The case of ('strong, strong'). (c) The case of ('weak, weak'). (d) The case of ('strong, weak').

(Note: Every figure and every table in this article is made up of four parts. These parts in the same position share the same type of orthogonality.)

(Only the curve of the 1th_TDSV is plotted in the main body of this article. The rest are in the Appendix.)

This article agrees as follows. In the parentheses of ('weak/strong, weak/strong'), the left part (of the comma) is about the kinetic orthogonality and the right part (of the comma) is about the spectral orthogonality.)




FIG. 2. Only curves of the 1th_TDSV and the concentration profiles of the previous four types of the intuitive examples. (a) The case of ('weak, strong'). (b) The case of ('strong, strong'). (c) The case of ('weak, weak'). (d) The case of ('strong, weak').

The legend above all is the legend for all.

2. The 'real' examples of the 1th_TDSV

In FIG. 3-4, all the kinetic half are the evolution model made up of four ESSs. For simplicity, the spectral half is the same as the one in the intuitive examples. (Because it is the degree of spectral orthogonality rather than the pattern of every spectrum that matters! Moreover, the degree of spectral orthogonality is usually high enough. Therefore, there should be modest obstacles for us to find the single solution for TRS.)

In FIG. 3, there are four types of simulated TA data set. In FIG. 4, the hollow dotted lines are the corresponding 1th_TDSV of the simulated data set in the FIG. 3, and the colored lines are the real concentration profiles.

The conclusion is about the same as before. However, because the kinetic half is made up of exponentials and the orthogonality between the exponentials is poor, the distinguishability of the TDSVs is not quite clear. (However, this is not the result of the mathematical underdetermination!)

The measurements of the orthogonality in both the half and the result of the whole TDSVs can be found in the Appendix. As long as there is enough orthogonality in both the half (especially the kinetic half), TDSVD should be always determinant in finding the single solution.




FIG. 3. Contour maps of the four types of the 'real' examples. (a) The case of ('weak, strong'). (b) The case of ('strong, strong'). (c) The case of ('weak, weak'). (d) The case of ('strong, weak').




FIG. 4. Only curves of the 1st TDSV and the concentration profiles of the previous four types of the 'real' examples. (a) The case of ('weak, strong'). (b) The case of ('strong, strong'). (c) The case of ('weak, weak'). (d) The case of ('strong, weak'). The legend above all is the legend for all.

D. How Much Is the Orthogonality of Both the Half in the General Experimental TA Data Set?

It looks like that TDSVD may reveal the kinetic half of the data sets in **AREA I**, **AREA II**, and **AREA IV**, especially **AREA II** and **AREA IV** (FIG. 5).

In TRS, the spectral orthogonality is strong enough and the kinetic orthogonality is somehow weak (FIG. 5). For the noise-free data set, the truncation error is the main reason for the fail of TDSVD. Moreover, for the experimental data set, the observational errors can even be insuperable for TDSVD.

III. CONCLUSION

For the noise-free data set, when there isn't any accidental degeneracy and both the spectral orthogonality and the kinetic orthogonality is high enough, then TDSVD may indicate the kinetic solution for the TRS. Given the kinetic half, the spectral solution can be done by GTA. Therefore, in order to get the single solution, we need both TDSVD and GTA together.




FIG. 5. The general degrees of both the orthogonality in TRS. (a) The case of ('weak, strong'). (b) The case of ('strong, strong'). (c) The case of ('weak, weak'). (d) The case of ('strong, weak').

IV. APPENDIX

A. The Abbreviations

ESS: excited state species; GLA: global lifetime analysis; GTA: global target analysis; IRF: instrument response function; left-SV: left-singular vector; PC: principal component; PCA: principal component analysis; right-SV: right-singular vector; SV: singular value; SVD: singular value decomposition; TA: transient absorption; TDSV: time-dependent SV (it's different from TD-SV, see above); TDSVD: time-dependent SVD; TR: time-resolved; TRS: TR-spectroscopy

B. The Measurement of Orthogonality

In inner product space, the definition of the angle (θ) between two vectors (\vec{a} and \vec{c}) is as follows. The cosine of the angle θ can take any value in the interval $[0, 1]$. The closer to 0 $\cos(\theta)$ is, the stronger the orthogonality is.

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}}{\sqrt{|\vec{a}|^2 \times |\vec{c}|^2}}$$

C. How Does Orthogonality Affect TDSVD?

In S1 and S2, \vec{u} represents the vector (of the concentration profile) in the kinetic half and \vec{v} represents the vector (of the spectrum) in the spectral half.

$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half				
	\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4		\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4
\vec{u}_1	1.000	0.835	0.559	0.360	\vec{u}_1	1.000	0.048	0.012	0.005
\vec{u}_2	0.835	1.000	0.835	0.559	\vec{u}_2	0.048	1.000	0.048	0.012
\vec{u}_3	0.559	0.835	1.000	0.835	\vec{u}_3	0.012	0.048	1.000	0.048
\vec{u}_4	0.360	0.559	0.835	1.000	\vec{u}_4	0.005	0.012	0.048	1.000
$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half				
	\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4		\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4
\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.048	0.012	0.005	\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.048	0.012	0.005
\vec{v}_2	0.048	1.000	0.048	0.012	\vec{v}_2	0.048	1.000	0.048	0.012
\vec{v}_3	0.012	0.048	1.000	0.048	\vec{v}_3	0.012	0.048	1.000	0.048
\vec{v}_4	0.005	0.012	0.048	1.000	\vec{v}_4	0.005	0.012	0.048	1.000

$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half				
	\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4		\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4
\vec{u}_1	1.000	0.835	0.559	0.360	\vec{u}_1	1.000	0.048	0.012	0.005
\vec{u}_2	0.835	1.000	0.835	0.559	\vec{u}_2	0.048	1.000	0.048	0.012
\vec{u}_3	0.559	0.835	1.000	0.835	\vec{u}_3	0.012	0.048	1.000	0.048
\vec{u}_4	0.360	0.559	0.835	1.000	\vec{u}_4	0.005	0.012	0.048	1.000
$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half				
	\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4		\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4
\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.835	0.559	0.360	\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.835	0.559	0.360
\vec{v}_2	0.835	1.000	0.835	0.559	\vec{v}_2	0.835	1.000	0.835	0.559
\vec{v}_3	0.559	0.835	1.000	0.835	\vec{v}_3	0.559	0.835	1.000	0.835
\vec{v}_4	0.360	0.559	0.835	1.000	\vec{v}_4	0.360	0.559	0.835	1.000


S1: the respective measurements of orthogonality in FIG. 1 and FIG. 2

$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half				
	\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4		\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4
\vec{u}_1	1.0000	0.501	0.0831	0.005	\vec{u}_1	1.000	0.304	0.010	0.000
\vec{u}_2	0.501	1.0000	0.548	0.1034	\vec{u}_2	0.304	1.000	0.316	0.013
\vec{u}_3	0.0831	0.548	1.0000	0.610	\vec{u}_3	0.010	0.316	1.000	0.397
\vec{u}_4	0.005	0.1034	0.610	1.0000	\vec{u}_4	0.000	0.013	0.397	1.000
$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half				
	\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4		\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4
\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.048	0.012	0.005	\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.048	0.012	0.005
\vec{v}_2	0.048	1.000	0.048	0.012	\vec{v}_2	0.048	1.000	0.048	0.012
\vec{v}_3	0.012	0.048	1.000	0.048	\vec{v}_3	0.012	0.048	1.000	0.048
\vec{v}_4	0.005	0.012	0.048	1.000	\vec{v}_4	0.005	0.012	0.048	1.000

$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j \rangle)$ in the Kinetic Half				
	\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4		\vec{u}_1	\vec{u}_2	\vec{u}_3	\vec{u}_4
\vec{u}_1	1.0000	0.501	0.0831	0.005	\vec{u}_1	1.000	0.304	0.010	0.000
\vec{u}_2	0.501	1.0000	0.548	0.1034	\vec{u}_2	0.304	1.000	0.316	0.013
\vec{u}_3	0.0831	0.548	1.0000	0.610	\vec{u}_3	0.010	0.316	1.000	0.397
\vec{u}_4	0.005	0.1034	0.610	1.0000	\vec{u}_4	0.000	0.013	0.397	1.000
$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half					$\cos(\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle)$ in the Spectral Half				
	\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4		\vec{v}_1	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	\vec{v}_4
\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.835	0.559	0.360	\vec{v}_1	1.000	0.835	0.559	0.360
\vec{v}_2	0.835	1.000	0.835	0.559	\vec{v}_2	0.835	1.000	0.835	0.559
\vec{v}_3	0.559	0.835	1.000	0.835	\vec{v}_3	0.559	0.835	1.000	0.835
\vec{v}_4	0.360	0.559	0.835	1.000	\vec{v}_4	0.360	0.559	0.835	1.000

S2: the respective measurements of orthogonality in FIG. 3 and FIG. 4

D. The Whole TDSVs



V. REFERENCES

- Hofrichter, J., et al. (1985). "Nanosecond optical spectra of iron-cobalt hybrid hemoglobins: geminate recombination, conformational changes, and intersubunit communication." **24**(11): 2667-2679.
- Hug, S. J., et al. (1990). "Nanosecond photolysis of rhodopsin: Evidence for a new blue-shifted intermediate." **29**(6): 1475-1485.
- Nagle, J. F., et al. (1982). "Procedure for testing kinetic models of the photocycle of bacteriorhodopsin." **38**(2): 161-174.
- Van Stokkum, I. H., et al. (2004). "Global and target analysis of time-resolved spectra." **1657**(2-3): 82-104.
- Warner, I. M., et al. (1977). "Analysis of multicomponent fluorescence data." **49**(4): 564-573.

VI. SUPPELEMENT: HOW DOES TDSVD WORK?

A. Introduction

Hereinbefore, we introduced the TDSVD as a way to get the single solution from two-dimensional data set. Now, we will talk about the implementation of TDSVD in MatLab.

There are three key points in TDSVD. (1) To distinguish the 'signal'-PCs from the 'noise'-PCs. (2) Understanding that SVs (of SVD) can indicate some information about the relative amounts of the ESSs (a.k.a. 'the fundamental prerequisite of SVD'). (3) To display the result of TDSVD graphically.

In TA, the sequence of times in the delay line can be arbitrary. Of course, the sequence of times will influence the result of TDSVD. There are two kinds of typical ways to arrange the sequence of times: the linear scale and the exponential scale (even, the mixed one of these two). The choice of the sequence of times is determined by how many orders of magnitude the rate constants contain. The equivalent explanation for choice of the sequence of times is that the way of the sequence of times ought to make the distinguishability among different ESSs more sensible by analyzing the data set. Exponential functions have poor orthogonality in very small interval. Moreover, some exponential functions decay too fast in large interval as opposed to slow decaying ones. In the program below, we only display the sequence of times in the linear scale. The sequence of times in the exponential scale is a little complicate. Moreover, in the experimental data set, there are observational errors: the noise and the IRF, etc., which can be devastating. The deconvolution of IRF is complicate. The noise can be destructive to the analysis. We will omit these observational errors in the program below. (That means the data set is noise-free, even 'observational-error-free'.)

B. The TDSVD Program in MatLab

1. To distinguish the 'signal'-PCs from the 'noise'-PCs

In TRS data set analysis, the 'signal'-PC should be smoother than the 'noise'-PC. Therefore, we use the smoothness to distinguish the 'signal'-PCs from the 'noise'-PCs. In MatLab, we programmed "IS_SMOOTH.m" to do this job. The idea of "IS_SMOOTH.m" is to assess how close a value is to the 'interpolation average' of its two/several nearest neighbors. Then, we average the SMOOTH of all the points. Now, we can use this single number (mean(SMOOTH)) to denote the smoothness. Moreover, we assume the criterion of distinguishability to complete this implementation. Eventually, we get the number of the PCs given the criterion of distinguishability ('alpha' & 'weigh').

```
% "IS_SMOOTH.m"
% U: a matrix, the to-be-judged vectors are its rows
% X: the independent variable, a row-oriented vector
% alpha: the 'confidence interval', the default is 0.2
% weigh: the criterion of distinguishability, the default is 0.6

function PC_num = IS_SMOOTH(U,X,alpha,weigh)
U_1 = U(1:s1-2,:);
U_2 = U(2:s1-1,:);
U_3 = U(3:s1,:);
X_1 = X(1:s1-2);
X_2 = X(2:s1-1);
X_3 = X(3:s1);
U_linearfit = U_1+(X_2-X_1).*((U_3-U_1)./(X_3-X_1));
SMOOTH = (1-alpha)*abs(U_2) <= abs(U_linearfit) & abs(U_linearfit) <= (1+alpha)*abs(U_2);
PC_num = sum(mean(SMOOTH) > weigh);
```

2. 'The fundamental prerequisite of SVD'

In MatLab, we programmed "TDSVD.m" to do TDSVD. At first, we divide the whole data matrix into lots of block matrices. Then we perform SVD on each block matrix, in order to get the right-SVs, the left-SVs and the TD-SVs. (In "TDSVD.m", "IS_SMOOTH.m" is called to distinguish the 'signal'-PCs from the 'noise'-PCs by judging the smoothness of the left-SVs or the right-SVs.) Then we use the TDSVs of the 'signal'-PCs to indicate the kinetic solution.

```
% "TDSVD.m"
% M: a matrix, the to-be-judged vectors are its rows
% X: the independent variable, a row-oriented vector
% PC_num_max: the maximum estimated number of PC, than plus 1
% alpha: the 'confidence interval', the default is 0.2
% weigh: the criterion of distinguishability, the default is 0.6
% itv_num: interval number, the number of points in every interval, the default is 20
% mov_itv_num: moving interval number, usually equal to itv_num

function [PC_num_change,S_V] = TDSVD(M,X,PC_num_max,alpha,weigh,itv_num,mov_itv_num)
i2 = 1+floor((s1-itv_num)/mov_itv_num);
PC_num_change = zeros(i2,1);
S_V = zeros(PC_num_max,i2);
for i3 = 1:i2
    [U,S,V] = svd(M(1+mov_itv_num*(i3-1):itv_num+mov_itv_num*(i3-1),:),'econ');
    S_V(:,i3) = diag(S(1:PC_num_max,1:PC_num_max));
    PC_num_change(i3) = IS_SMOOTH(U(:,1:PC_num_max),X(1+mov_itv_num*(i3-...
1):itv_num+mov_itv_num*(i3-1)),alpha,weigh);
end
```

3. To display the result of TDSVD graphically

In the "MAIN_BODY.m", "TDSVD.m" is called to do TDSVD. Then we use the TDSVs to plot against the central time of every time interval. The plot according to different criteria can be divided into different types: (1) the un-normalized one and the normalized one; (2) the 1th TDSV and the whole TDSVs; (3) the logarithmic scale and the linear scale.

The un-normalized plot is the direct result of TDSVD. However, the normalized plot is more feasible to indicate the kinetic solution. (Although the normalization can be arbitrary.) Usually, the plot of the 1th TDSV is enough to indicate the kinetic solution. Moreover, the plot of the rest non-zero TDSVs (except for the 1th TDSV) could indicate some details about the kinetic half, but sometimes they are almost useless. In TA data

set analysis, we prefer the logarithmic scale.

Because there are many requirements in the part of the plot, it consumes lots of attention. (It can be a tedious and complicate process.) We omit it.

```
% "MAIN_BODY.m"

PC_num_max = 5; %should be estimated every time
alpha = 0.2; %default
weigh = 0.6; %default
itv_num = 20; %default
mov_itv_num = 20; %default
X = transpose(t);
M = delta_A;
[PC_num_change,S_V] = TDSVD(M,X,PC_num_max,alpha,weigh,itv_num,mov_itv_num);

%% the part of plot is omitted.
```

4. How to process the experimental data set by TDSVD?

Because there are many difficulties in the preprocessing of the experimental data set, e.g. the deconvolution of the IRF, the subtraction of the coherent artifact and the subtraction of the systematic errors, etc. There are many works to do to achieve this.

C. The Discussion of TDSVD

What is the correlation between the TDSVs and the concentration profiles of all the ESSs? To answer this question, we have to know that how these PCs of SVD are calculated. According to the above definition of PCA, the preceding PC takes two parts: the volume from the 'main' ESS, and the 'includable' volume from the remaining ESSs (because both two parts share the same orthogonality). Moreover, each succeeding PC in turn has its own composition of volume under the restriction that it is orthogonal to the preceding PCs. Alternatively, you can say that the volume (from the remaining ESSs) that can't be 'eaten up' by the preceding PC(s) constitutes the main part of the succeeding PC. (Of course, the succeeding PC also has some volume from the previous ESSs.) That's why every preceding PC is much bigger than the succeeding PC (only for the first several PCs), but the relative amplitudes of concentration profiles doesn't differ that much. And that's why the first several TDSVs together has a direct correlation with the concentration profiles of all the ESSs together, because every the first several TD-SVs in a measuring period is constituted mainly by one dominant ESS, respectively.

When GTA doesn't count on both the unique orthogonality of every ESS, it only takes account of partial information of the data set. However, TDSVD handles well the unique orthogonality of every ESS and it can open a new window to pursue the single solution by uncoupling the couplings among different ESSs. Moreover, TDSVD provides us with a critical hint about the kinetic model, instead of the spectra. Therefore, the discrepancy of the solutions between TDSVD and GTA might just be complementation. Alternatively, the kinetic solution by TDSVD is the one that with the largest distinctions among the orthogonality of the PCs. Thanks to the complex world, the spectral half already has enough orthogonality in itself. The kinetic half seems so intuitive to have about the same relative degrees of orthogonality in the PCs as the magnitudes of the concentrations of all the ESSs.