Dynamics of the COVID-19 Comparison between the Theoretical Predictions and the Real Data, and Predictions about Returning to Normal Life

Giorgio SONNINO and Pasquale NARDONE Faculté des Sciences Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB) Boulevard de Triomphe, Campus Plain CP 231 1050 Brussels, Belgium Emails: gsonnino@ulb.ac.be and pnardon@ulb.ac.be

February 17, 2022

Abstract

A new coronavirus disease, called COVID-19, appeared in the Chinese region of Wuhan at the end of last year; since then the virus spread to other countries, including most of Europe. We propose a differential equation governing the evolution of the COVID-19. This dynamic equation also describes the evolution of the number of infected people for 13 common respiratory viruses (including the SARS-CoV-2). We validate our theoretical predictions with experimental data for Italy, Belgium and Luxembourg, and compare them with the predictions of the logistic model. We find that our predictions are in good agreement with the real world since the beginning of the appearance of the COVID-19; this is not the case for the logistic model that only applies to the first days. The second part of the work is devoted to modelling the descending phase, i.e. the decrease of the number of people tested positive for COVID-19. Also in this case, we propose a new set of dynamic differential equations that we solved numerically. We use our differential equations parametrised with experimental data to make several predictions, such as the date when Italy, Belgium, and Luxembourg will reach a peak number of SARS-CoV-2 infected people. The descending curves provide valuable information such as the duration of the COVID-19 epidemic in a given Country and therefore when it will be possible to return to normal life.

Highlights (for review)

We find ourselves in a global pandemic, referred to as COVID-19. There is much research underway on all aspects of the pandemic, including to slow its spread, improve diagnostic tests, develop a vaccine, and mathematical models able to foresee the dynamic of this pandemic. In this paper, we develop a mathematical model for the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019. By means of a very simple mathematical model, we study the particular case of Italy, Belgium, and Luxembourg and we provide the dynamic of the descending phase, i.e. the evolution of the decrease number of people tested positive to the COVID-19. The predictions about the descending phase provide valuable information about the duration of the COVID-19 in a given Country, especially when it will be possible to return to normal life. The theoretical predictions are in excellent agreement with the experimental data.

1 Introduction

Viral infections usually affect the upper or lower respiratory tract. Although respiratory infections can be classified according to the causative agent (e.g. the flu), they are mostly clinically classified according to the type of syndrome (e.g., common cold, bronchiolitis, laryngo-tracheo-bronchitis acute, pneumonia). Although pathogens typically cause characteristic clinical manifestations (e.g., rhinovirus causes the common cold, respiratory syncytial virus [RSV] usually causes bronchiolitis), they can all cause many of the most common respiratory syndromes. The severity of viral respiratory disease is highly variable; serious illness is more frequent in elderly patients and young children. Morbidity can either directly result from the infecting agent, or may be indirect. The latter case can be due to the exacerbation of an underlying cardiopulmonary disease, or a bacterial superinfection of the lung, paranasal sinuses, or middle ear. The main motivation of this work is to verify, by making theoretical predictions, that political decisions are truly effective to minimise the number of infected people in order to (i) not overload local health services (such as hospitals), and to (ii) gain time to allow research institutes to deliver vaccines or the anti-virals.

Tables [\(1\)](#page-28-0) and [\(3\)](#page-30-0) respectively provide the experimental data for Italy [\[1\]](#page-22-0) and for Belgium [\[2\]](#page-22-1), [\[3\]](#page-24-0). They show the number of active people (i.e., people currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the recovered people, and deaths for COVID-19.

We start our theoretical analysis by introducing the definition of the basic reproduction number of an infection R_0 , defined as the ability of an individual, infected by a virus, to transmit the infection to other individuals on his turn, in the absence of lockdown measures^{[1](#page-1-0)}. This parameter is strictly linked to the replication time of a virus, indicated with μ_i , defined as the time interval after which the number of infected people has increased by R_0 times. Fig. [1](#page-2-0) schematically represents the diffusion dynamics of the virus. By indicating with N the

¹More precisely, in epidemiology, the basic reproduction number of an infection, R_0 , is the expected number of cases directly generated by one case in a population where all individuals are susceptible to infection in absence of any deliberate intervention in disease transmission [\[4\]](#page-24-1), [\[5\]](#page-25-0).

Figure 1: Schematic dynamics of respiratory virus in the absence of the lock*down measures* In this graphics, for illustrative purpose only, we set $R_0 = 3$. However, for SARS-CoV-2, the value of R_0 is 2 even at the beginning of the outbreak in China and Italy. After a period of time μ_1 , an infected individual can infect R_0 other individuals. In turn, after a period μ_2 , each of these newly infected individuals can infect other R_0 people, and so on. After n steps the elapsed time is $t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i$.

number of infected people, after *n* steps we get^{[2](#page-3-0)}:

$$
N = R_0^n \tag{1}
$$

Of course, after *n* steps, the elapsed time is $t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i$ and, if there are M outbreaks of infectious viruses, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-1) can be cast into the form[3](#page-3-2)

$$
N = MR_0^{t/\mu} \tag{2}
$$

with $\mu \equiv 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$. Note that the two parameters R_0 and μ are not independent (see, for example, $[8]$, $[9]$ or $[10]$ ^{[4](#page-3-3)}. It is more convenient to work in the Euler base e rather than in base R_0 ; in the Euler base Eq. [\(2\)](#page-3-4) provides the law of growth of a Malthusian population [\[6\]](#page-25-4).

$$
N = M \exp(t/\tau) \quad \text{where} \quad \tau = \frac{\mu}{\log(R_0)} \tag{3}
$$

In literature, τ is referred to as the *characteristic time of the exponential trend.* So, in the absence of containment measures the number of infected people follows the exponential law (3) . Let us now analyse Eq. (3) in more dept. We have three possible scenarios:

- 1. $R_0 > 1$ (as is the current world's situation). For Italy, for example, before the adoption of (severe) containment measures, the value of τ was about $\tau \sim 3.8$ days (and $\mu \sim 2.6$ days). In this case the number of the infected people increases exponentially.
- 2. $R_0 = 1$ If the infection-capacity of the virus is of the type *one-to-one* (i.e., a person infected by SARS-CoV-2 can in turn infects only another person), we get the stationary situation corresponding to $N = 1$. This situation is referred to as the *latent situation* i.e., the virus is still present but does not spread. In this limit case, the SARS-CoV-2 is substantially ineffective. Scenarios (1) and (2) are illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0)
- 3. $0 < R_0 < 1$. We may also imagine that the capacity of infection of SARS-CoV-2 is less than 1. This means that the virus is no longer able to be spread (e.g., thanks to protective measures, or to the production of vaccines and anti-virals, or because people who overcame the disease became immune. In this case, the value of τ is negative and the number of infected people decreases ever time. That is, the infection eventually disappears. The rate of decrease of the number of infected people depends on the value of τ . This scenario is depicted in Fig. [3.](#page-4-1)

²In this Section we shall follow the definitions and the expressions reported in standard books or thesis dissertation such as, for example, [\[6\]](#page-25-4) or [\[7\]](#page-25-5).

 3 Actually, Eq. [\(2\)](#page-3-4) applies only if the M outbreaks of the virus are exactly at the same conditions. In general, the correct expression reads $N = \sum_{i=1}^{M} R_0^{t/\tilde{\mu}_i}$, with $\tilde{\mu}_i$ indicating the replication time of the virus for the *i* th outbrook replication time of the virus for the i -th outbreak.

⁴In ref. [\[8\]](#page-25-1), the doubling time is used to calculate R_0 , by means of the equation $R_0 =$ $1 + (\gamma + \rho) \log(2)/\mu$ where γ is the duration of the incubation period, ρ is the duration of the symptomatic period, and μ is the doubling time (see [\[8\]](#page-25-1)). In this respect, we would also like to mention another excellent work recently produced by G. Steinbrecher [\[10\]](#page-25-3).

Figure 2: Situation before the lock- Figure 3: Number of infected peodown measures. Number of infected ple corresponding to the exponential people corresponding to the exponen-law. The red line represents the case tial law. The red line represents the $R_0 < 1$. In this situation the number case $R_0 > 1$, such as the situation of infected people decreases exponenbefore the adoption of lockdown mea-tially and the virus disappears after a sures. The black line corresponds to few weeks. the case $R_0 = 1$, the *latent situation* in which the virus is substantially ineffective.

1.1 Comparison with the Real Data for COVID-19 before the Lockdown Measures

It is understood that the main objective of the lockdown measures established by most European governments and health organisations is to reduce the ability of a virus to spread. From a mathematical point of view, we would like to have $R_0 = 1$ (or, better, $R_0 < 1$), in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-3-5) instead of $R_0 > 1$. In practical terms, this means reducing the frequency of all involuntary contacts with a large number of people, reducing unnecessary movements to avoid encounters, and to prolong the closure of schools. Although these measures cannot prevent the spread of the infection in the long term, they can reduce the number of new infections daily. This has the benefit of leaving room for seriously-ill patients by avoiding to overload the healthcare system. We can easily realise what are the consequences if the lockdown measures are not set up. To make a comparison between the theoretical predictions and the experimental data in absence of lockdown measures, we have to consider the correct reference period. More specifically, we saw that the number of positive cases grows in the course of time by following the law [\(3\)](#page-3-5). Hence, at the reference time t_0 , the number of people infected by the virus is

$$
N_0 = M \exp(t_0/\tau) \tag{4}
$$

After a period of time, say t , Eq. (3) reads

$$
N = M \exp(t/\tau) \tag{5}
$$

Hence,

$$
N = N_0 \exp((t - t_0)/\tau) \tag{6}
$$

Eq. (6) is the equation that we use for comparing the mathematical predictions with experimental data during the initial phase where the spread of SARS-CoV-2, causing the COVID-19, follows the exponential law, and $(t - t_0)$ is our reference period. For the case of COVID-19 we get (see, for example, [\[6\]](#page-25-4) or [\[7\]](#page-25-5))

- All infectious outbreaks are exactly at the same conditions. So, Eq. (2) applies;
- $R_0 = 2$;
- All the μ_i are equal with each other: $\mu_i = const = \mu$ (see also [\[6\]](#page-25-4) and [\[8\]](#page-25-1)).

In this case, μ is referred to as the *doubling time*. So, the *doubling time is the* amount of time it takes for a given quantity to double in size or value at a constant growth rate [\[9\]](#page-25-2). If we do not apply the locking measures, the evolution in the course of time of the number of infected people is best approximated by an exponential curve with $R = 2$. Fig. [4](#page-6-0) and Fig. [5](#page-6-1) respectively show the comparison between the theoretical predictions and the experimental data for Italy and Belgium before the lockdown measures. We get $\tau \simeq 3.8$ days and $\mu \simeq 2.6$ days for Italy, and $\tau \simeq 5.2$ days and $\mu \simeq 3.7$ days for Belgium. We conclude this Introduction by mentioning that there are several methods currently proposed in Literature to derive by mathematical models, the value of R_0 . For example, in ref. $[10]$, we have a short numerical code, written in R-programming language for statistical computing and graphics, able to compute the estimated R_0 values for the following 17 infectious diseases: Chickenpox (varicella) (Transmission: Aerosol), Common cold (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), COVID-19 (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), Diphtheria (Transmission: Saliva), Ebola - 2014 Ebola outbreak (Transmission:: Body fluids, HIV/AIDS (Transmission: Body fluids), Influenza - 1918 pandemic strain (Transmission:: Respiratory Droplets), Influenza - 2009 pandemic strain (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets, Influenza - seasonal strains (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), Measles (Transmission: Aerosol), MERS (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), Mumps (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), Pertussis (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), Polio (Transmission: Fecal oral route), Rubella (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), SARS (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets), Smallpox (Transmission: Respiratory Droplets). However, this task is particularly problematic if there are intermediate vectors between hosts, such as malaria. This manuscript is organised as follows. Section [\(2\)](#page-6-2) determines the dynamic differential equation for the COVID-19; Section [\(2.4\)](#page-12-0) compares the theoretical predictions and experimental data for Italy and Belgium. The differential equa-

tions providing the evolution of the decrease of the number of people tested

Figure 4: Number of infected people in Italy on the 10th of March 2020 (before the adoption of lockdown measures). The blue line corresponds to the theoretical predictions and the black dots correspond to experimental data. The values of the parameters τ_{IT} and μ_{IT} are $\tau_{IT} \simeq 3.8$ days and $\mu_{IT} \simeq 2.6$ days, respectively.

Figure 5: Exponential phase in Belgium. The lockdown measure have been adopted on the 16the of March 2020 (however, initially not so strict as in Italy).The red line corresponds to the theoretical predictions and the black dots correspond to experimental data. The values of the parameters τ_{BE} and μ_{BE} are $\tau_{BE} \simeq$ 5.3 days and $\mu_{BE} \simeq 3.7$ days, respectively.

positive for COVID-19 can be found in Section [\(3\)](#page-14-0); Section [\(4\)](#page-20-0) concludes. The comparison between the theoretical predictions of our model and experimental data for Luxembourg, as well as the solution of the differential equations in the descending phase for Luxembourg are reported in Appendix. We may object that we are dealing data from countries which have passed the peak of infection, such as South Korea, Iceland or Austria etc. The situation in other Countries, which may have adopted other political decisions about the application of the lockdown measures, may be subject of future works. However, we would like to mention that several authors are currently applying our model to other Countries. In this regard, we have received their pre-prints such as the work cited in Ref. [\[12\]](#page-25-6). More specifically, we have received a message where our model has been used, with success, to analyse data from UK, USA, NY City, Spain, and Mumbai City.

2 Modelling the COVID-19 - Virus' growth

The objective of this section is to determine the coefficients of the evolutionary differential equation for the COVID-19 (see the forthcoming Eq. (13)). We also determine the generic analytical expression for the time-dependent number

of infected people through fitting techniques validated by the χ^2 tests. This expression is proposed after having previously analysed 12 respiratory infectious diseases caused by viruses [\[11\]](#page-25-7), in addition of being solution to the Richard's differential equation.

2.1 General background

Letting N represent population size and t represent time, the Logistic model model is formalised by the differential equation below:

$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{K} \right) \tag{7}
$$

where $\alpha > 0$ defines the grow rate and $K > 0$ is the carrying capacity. In this equation, the early, unimpeded growth rate is modelled by the first term $+\alpha N$. The value of the rate α represents the proportional increase of the population N in one unit of time. Later, if the system is closed (i.e. the system is isolated and, hence, not in contact with a reservoir allowing the system to exchange individuals), as the population grows the modulus of the second term, $-\alpha N^2/K$, becomes almost as large as the first, until to saturating the exponential growth. This antagonistic effect is called the bottleneck, and is modelled by the value of the parameter K. The competition diminishes the combined growth rate, until the value of N ceases to grow (this is called *maturity of the population*). The solution of Eq. [\(7\)](#page-7-0) is

$$
N(t) = \frac{K}{1 + B\exp\left(-t/\tau\right)}\tag{8}
$$

where $B > 0$ is a constant related to the value of $N(0)$. It is more convenient to rewrite Eq. (8) in terms of the *initial Logistic time* t_{0L} defined as

$$
t_{0L} = \tau \log B \tag{9}
$$

So, Eq. [\(8\)](#page-7-1) may be cast into the form

$$
N(t) = \frac{K}{1 + \exp(-(t - t_{0L})/\tau)}
$$
(10)

where τ is linked to the steepness of the curve. Since the environmental conditions influence the carrying capacity, as a consequence it can be time-varying, with $K(t) > 0$, leading to the following mathematical model (see, for example, [\[13\]](#page-25-8)):

$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{K(t)} \right) \tag{11}
$$

More generally, the growth modelling is well described by Richards' differential equation (RDE) [\[14\]](#page-25-9)

$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \left(\frac{N}{K(t)} \right)^{\nu} \right) \tag{12}
$$

where $\nu > 0$ affects near which asymptote maximum growth occurs. The phenomenological logistic function is used to model the evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic in different Countries. The logistic model is mainly used in epidemiology and provides insights into the transmission dynamics of the virus. We note, however, to evaluate the dynamics of transmission of SARS-CoV-2, more refined models are needed, which take into account specific measures adopted in each Country [\[15\]](#page-25-10). So, let us suppose that the Government decides to adopt the lockdown measures. After the application of the lockdown measures the equation may be revised to be

$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \left(\frac{N}{K(t)}\right)^{\nu} \right) - c(t)N\tag{13}
$$

where $c(t)$ takes into account the degree of effectiveness of the lockdown measures.

2.2 Determination of $K(t)$ and $c(t)$ for the COVID-19

According to Prof. Roberto Ronchetti^{[5](#page-8-1)} Respiratory viruses remain quiet for months, inactive but viable, within living cells. Then suddenly they activate and become virulent as they say, the infectious capacity grows to a maximum, after which it decreases. The time duration, explains R. Ronchetti, is about of 2 or 3 months. So we can expect that the epidemic will soon die out in Italy too. So, there is no valid reason to think that this coronavirus behaves differently from others [\[16\]](#page-25-11). The present work starts from the following hypothesis: the SARS-CoV-2 behaves exactly like the other viruses that cause respiratory diseases. As a consequence, for the COVID-19 case, functions $K(t)$ and $c(t)$ are determined by performing several *fittings* on the growth rate-trends of infection capacity of the viruses that mainly affect the respiratory system. More specifically, we considered the following 13 different diseases caused by 12 different viruses: Whooping Cough (Pertussis), Swine Flu (H1N1), Bird Flu (Avian Flu H5N1), Enterovirus, Flu in Children, Flu in Adults, Bacterial Pneumonia, Viral Pneumonia, Bronchitis, Common Cold (Head Cold), Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), and MERS (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome). In all the examined cases, we took into account the fact that the therapy-induced death rate is greater than the baseline proliferation rate, then there is the eradication of the disease. In other words, for the above-mentioned cases the function $c(t)$ in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-8-0) represents the therapy-induced death rate [\[17\]](#page-25-12)-[\[25\]](#page-26-0). Of course, this is an oversimplified model of both the growth and the therapy (e.g., it does not take into account the phenomenon of clonal resistance). We empirically noticed (according to the χ^2 test) that all these viruses have in common the same growth rate-trend of infected people (of course, each of these behaviours have

⁵Prof. Roberto Ronchetti is currently working at the Pediatric Clinic of La Sapienza of the University of Rome, at the Policlinico Umberto I and at the S. Andrea 24 MarchHospital where he helped to found, dealt with childhood respiratory diseases, and studied bronchiolitis in particular. In these days he has studied (with his collaborators) the data available on SARS-CoV-2 in China, in South Korea and now in Italy.

their own growth rate parameters). In particular, we noticed that the trends of the infected people by respiratory viruses versus time follow the function [\[11\]](#page-25-7)

$$
N = At \exp(-(t - t_0)^2/\sigma) \tag{14}
$$

where the values of parameters A, t_0 and σ depend on the virus in question and on the external conditions (e.g. in our case, the lockdown measures) to which the population is subject. It is convenient to write Eq. [\(14\)](#page-9-0) in dimensionless form

$$
N = \widehat{A}\widehat{t}\exp(-(\widehat{t}-1)^2/\widehat{\sigma}) \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{A} \equiv At_0 \ ; \ \widehat{\sigma} \equiv \frac{\sigma}{t_0^2} \ ; \ \widehat{t} \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \tag{15}
$$

Our goal is to construct the O.D.E., where Eq. [\(14\)](#page-9-0) is a particular solution. The term $-N^2/K(t)$ is the term that tends to saturate the exponential growth. However, we have to distinguish two cases:

A) Closed systems. In closed systems $K(t)$ is constant or decreases in the course of time since the non-linear contribution becomes more and more important until saturating the exponential growth.

B) Open systems. Things change for open systems, which are in contact with a very big reservoir of people. In this case, the effectiveness of the nonlinear $\text{contribution may decrease in time}^6$ $\text{contribution may decrease in time}^6$. Hence, for open systems it is necessary to adopt the lockdown measures represented by the term $-c(t)N$ in the dynamic equations^{[7](#page-9-2)}. Indeed, the goal of the lockdown measures is the following:

i) to try to limit the decrease in effectiveness of the saturation term;

ii) to counteract the number of infected people.

However, we do not expect that $K(t)$ grows very quickly in time. We would rather expect that at the lowest order $K(\hat{t})$ varies linearly in time $(K(\hat{t}) \propto \hat{t}$ or, at most, as \hat{t}^2 i.e., $K(\hat{t}) \simeq \chi \hat{t}^2$ with $\chi \gg 1$ (\hat{t} indicates the dimensionless time defined in [\(15\)](#page-9-3)). In the next Sections, we shall see that in our model we get $K(\hat{t}) = \chi \hat{t}^2$ with the constant χ of the order of $10^4 - 10^5$.

As said, function $c(\hat{t})$ takes into account the lockdown measures. Immediately after the lockdown measures have been adopted, i.e. during the very first initial phase, we expect that $c(t)$ is practically constant in time, as these measures have not yet been able to act effectively. However, after a short period of time,

 $6\text{We may easily convince ourselves on this by considering the following example. Let us$ consider a condominium with several condos inside.If the condominium is closed, no resident can exit and no person can enter from outside, then, in this situation, $K(t)$ cannot but be constant or decrease in the course of time. Now, let us suppose that the condominium is an open system where there is a variable flow of individuals, varying in time, entering and leaving the condominium freely. In this case the effectiveness of the (nonlinear) saturation term may decrease in time as the virus has the possibility of infecting other people (since it can draw from a larger tank of people, which varies in the course of time).

⁷ It is worth mentioning that initially England did not adopted any lockdown measures believing that the British system be a closed system. Basically, it was believed that the system be governed by a simply logistic equation with a carrying capacity constant or decreasing in time (according to the above-mentioned point A)). However, contrary to the expectations, in England the carrying capacity increased in time. This induced the British government to adopt the lockdown measures.

the positive effects of the lockdown measures become increasingly efficient and it is intuitive to expect a linear growth of $c(t)$ in time. More specifically, we expect that, after a short transition period, around to $\hat{t} \simeq 1$, the coefficient $c(t)$ starts to grow (approximatively) linearly in time. Successively, at the leading order, $c(t)$ will be equal in magnitude to the coefficient of the linear term (in order to balance the growth rate induced by the linear term). This because, as mentioned above, in open systems the (nonlinear) saturation term may be unable to saturate the exponential growth of the spread of SARS-CoV-2 and, it is up to the lockdown measures to counter the exponential growth. In summary, quite schematically, we expect that the O.D.E. governing the dynamics of the SARS-CoV-2 is of the form [\(13\)](#page-8-0) where

 $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$
K(\hat{t}) \propto \hat{t} \quad \text{(or at most } K(\hat{t}) \simeq \chi \hat{t}^2 \quad \text{with } \chi \gg 1)
$$
\n
$$
c(\hat{t}) \simeq c_0 \simeq const. \qquad \text{for } 0 < \hat{t} \leq 1
$$
\n
$$
c(\hat{t}) \propto \hat{t} \qquad \text{for } 1 < \hat{t} \leq \hat{t}_{c_0}
$$
\n
$$
c(\hat{t}) \simeq \alpha \hat{t} \qquad \text{for } \hat{t} > \hat{t}_{c_0}
$$
\n
$$
(16)
$$

with t_{c0} indicating the dimensionless time when function $c(t)$ starts to grow linearly in time with the slope α . According to our model, conditions [\(16\)](#page-10-0) capture the essential part of the physics of the underlying problem.

It is not difficult to check that Eq. [\(15\)](#page-9-3) is a particular solution of the differential equation [\(13\)](#page-8-0) where

$$
\nu = 1; \ \alpha = \frac{2}{\hat{\sigma}}; \ \ K(\hat{t}) = 2\hat{A}\hat{t}^2; \ c(\hat{t}) = \frac{2\hat{t}^2 - \hat{\sigma}}{\hat{\sigma}\hat{t}} - \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}\hat{t}}\exp(-(\hat{t} - 1)^2/\hat{\sigma}) \tag{17}
$$

Finally, the dynamic differential equation for the COVID-19 can be brought into the general form

$$
\frac{dN}{d\hat{t}} = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{\chi \hat{t}^2} \right) - \left(\frac{2\lambda \hat{t}^2 - 1}{\hat{t}} - \frac{\lambda}{\hat{t}} \exp\left(-\lambda (\hat{t} - 1)^2 \right) \right) N \tag{18}
$$

and expression [\(15\)](#page-9-3) is solution of the RDE in presence of the lockdown measures. According to the literature nomenclature, we refer to the differential equation [\(18\)](#page-10-1) as COVID-19 dynamic model^{[8](#page-10-2)}. Notice that λ is linked to μ . Indeed, as shown in Section [1,](#page-1-1) during the exponential period the COVID-19 grows according to the law (see Eq. (6)):

$$
\frac{dN}{d\hat{t}} \simeq \hat{\tau}^{-1} N \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{\tau} \equiv \frac{\tau}{t_0} \tag{19}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \simeq \frac{1}{2\hat{\tau}} = \frac{\log(R_0)}{2\hat{\mu}} \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{\mu} \equiv \frac{\mu}{t_0} \tag{20}
$$

⁸Viral dynamics is a field of applied mathematics concerned with describing the progression of viral infections within a host organism (see, for example, [\[26\]](#page-26-1).)

The curves reach the plateau at the time t_{max} given by the expression

$$
t_{Max} = \frac{t_0}{2} \left(1 + (2/\lambda)^{1/2} \right) \tag{21}
$$

By summarising, the ascending behaviour of the total number of infected people by SARS-CoV-2 (i.e., the number of of positive cases plus the cumulative number of recovered people plus the cumulative number of deaths) is given by the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) for $0 \le t \le t_{Max}$.

It is also worth noticing that for large values of time Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) simply reads

$$
\frac{dN}{d\hat{t}} \simeq \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{\chi \hat{t}^2} \right) - 2\lambda \hat{t} N = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{\chi \hat{t}^2} \right) - \alpha \hat{t} N \tag{22}
$$

In agreement with our intuitive expectations.

2.3 Behaviour of the function $c(t)$

In this Section we deal with the COVID-19 for Italy and Belgium. So, from now on, we set $R = 2$. in our expressions. As we will see in Section [\(2.4\)](#page-12-0), we found $\lambda_{IT} = 9.3$ and $t_{0IT} = 72.5$ days for Italy, and $\lambda_{BE} = 4.8$ and $t_{0BE} = 54.3$ days for Belgium, respectively. Hence, $c(t)$ is of the order of 10. Fig. [6](#page-11-0) and Fig. [7](#page-11-1) show the behaviours of the functions $c(\hat{t})$ for Italy and Belgium, respectively. As we can see, $c(\hat{t})$ is almost constant in the first days after the adoption of the

Figure 6: Italian dimensionless coefficient $c(t)$ versus the dimensionless time t/t_0 induced by the locking measures for Italy. After a short period of time, it starts to grow linearly in time with slope 2λ .

Figure 7: Belgian dimensionless coefficient $c(t)$ versus the dimensionless time t/t_0 induced by the locking measures for Belgium. After a short period of time, it starts to grow linearly in time with slope 2λ .

lockdown measures and, successively, its contribution becomes more and more

Figure 8: Italian transient period (from the 10th of March 2020 to the $24th$ of March 2020). During this period, the doubling time μ oscillates over time. μ_0 indicates the (constant) doubling time during the exponential period (for Italy $\mu_0 \simeq$ 2.6 days).

Figure 9: Belgian transient period (from the 17th of March 2020 to the 29th of March 2020). During this period, the doubling time μ oscillates over time. μ_0 indicates the (constant) doubling time during the exponential period (for Belgium $\mu_0 \simeq 3.7$ days).

relevant by growing linearly in time, with the slope $\sim 2\lambda$, In brief,

in agreement with our expectations. It should be mentioned that the determination of the O.D.E., where Eq. [\(14\)](#page-9-0) is a particular solution, is of a fundamental importance if we wish to describe the stochastic process (and the associated Fokker-Planck equation) where a white noise is added to the O.D.E. Since this is out of the scope of the present work, in the sequel we shall proceed only from the model assumptions.

2.4 Comparison between the Theoretical Predictions and Experimental Data

For Italy and Belgium one observes two distinct phases related to the dynamics of the COVID-19, which we classify as before the adoption of the lockdown measures and after some days after the adoption of the lockdown measures. The question therefore naturally arises, of whether these two types of regime are separated by a well-defined transition. We shall see that this is indeed the case. We may identify three different periods classified as follows:

- 1. The exponential period. As seen in Section [1,](#page-1-1) before the adoption of lockdown measures, the *exponential trend* is the intrinsic behaviour of the grow rate of the COVID-19. In this period the doubling time μ is a *constant* parameter versus time.
- 2. The transient period. The transient period starts after having applied the severe lockdown measures. In this period we observe a sort of oscillations (or fluctuations) of μ versus time. In this case the time variation of $\mu(t)$ reflects the behaviour of the *time effective reproduction number*, $R(t)$, defined as the number of cases generated in the current state of a population, which does not have to be the uninfected state. Fig. [8](#page-12-1) and Fig. [9](#page-12-2) show the behaviour of the parameter μ versus time for Italy and Belgium, respectively. The transient period ends when the last step of the exponential trend fits real data as good as the linear trend^{[9](#page-13-0)}.
- 3. The bell-shaped period (or the post-transient period). In the bell-shaped period parameter μ is a (typical) function of time obtained by using Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1). Several theoretical models can be used to study the posttransient period (e.g., by using Gompertz's law [\[27\]](#page-26-2)). Here, we use two mathematical models: the solution of the differential equation [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the logistic model (see, for example, ref. [\[17\]](#page-25-12)), and we compare these two theoretical models with real data for Italy and Belgium.

Figures [\(10\)](#page-14-1), [\(11\)](#page-15-0), and [\(18\)](#page-23-0) (see Appendix) compare the predictions of our model (blue lines) against the logistic model (red lines) for Italy, Belgium, and Luxembourg, respectively. Notice that the number of free parameters of these two models are exactly the same, since K, τ, α , and λ cannot be chosen arbitrarily. More specifically,

- a) The logistic model possesses two free parameters : K and t_{0L} . Notice that parameter τ is not free since it is linked to the doubling time μ ;
- b) Also our model possesses two free parameter: χ and t_0 . Notice that parameter α is linked to the doubling time μ (see Eqs [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and [\(19\)](#page-10-3)) and λ is linked to α by the relation $\lambda = \alpha/2$ (see Eq. [\(20\)](#page-10-4)).

Fig. [10](#page-14-1) and Fig. [11](#page-15-0) compare the theoretical predictions, with the experimental data for Italy and Belgium updated to the 15th of May 2020. The values of the parameters τ , χ , and t_0 for Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the parameters τ , t_0 and K for the logistic function are reported in the figure captions. As we can see, for both Countries Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) fits well all the real data from the initial days, while the logistic model applies only to the first data. The curves reach the plateau at the time t_{Max} given by Eq. [\(21\)](#page-11-2). By inserting the values of the parameters, we get

 $t_{MaxIT} \simeq 80 \text{ days} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{MaxBE} \simeq 60 \text{ days}$ (23)

corresponding to $t_{MaxIT} \simeq 21$ April 2020 and $t_{MaxBE} \simeq 2$ May 2020 for Italy and Belgium, respectively.

⁹A numerical condition may be established by using the χ^2 test: the fittings of the two trends are considered both *good* if, for example, for both trends, the χ^2 -tests get values ≥ 0.9 .

Figure 10: Situation in Italy on 15 May 2020—before, and 65 days after, the adoption of lockdown measures. The black dots correspond to experimental data. The red dotted line corresponds to the situation in Italy before the adoption of the lockdown measures. The blue and the red solid lines correspond to the theoretical predictions for Italy according to the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the logistic model, respectively. Solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) fits well all the experimental data from the initial days (i.e., from the 1st of February 2020), while the logistic model applies only to the first days. The values of the parameters of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the logistic function [\(10\)](#page-7-2) are: $\tau_{IT} \simeq 3.8$ days ($\mu_{IT} = 2.6$ days), $\chi_{IT} \simeq 355250$, and $t_{0IT} \simeq 72.5$ days for Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1), and $\tau_{IT} \simeq 3.8$ days ($\mu_{IT} = 2.6$ days), $K_{IT} = 225000$, $t_{0LIT} = 53$ days for the Logistic function.

3 Modelling the COVID-19 - The Descending Phase

Here, for the descending phase is intended the phase where the number of the positive cases starts to decrease^{[10](#page-14-2)}. So, our model cannot be used for describing also the descending phase since N_t is the number of the total cases and, during the descending phase, N_t tends to reach the *plateau*. The objective of this section is to determine the trend of the curve of positive people during the descending phase. This task is accomplished by establishing the appropriate equations for the recovered people and the deceased people for COVID-19. During the descent phase the number of active people over time must satisfy a conservation equation. This allows determining the time- evolution for the positive people.

 10 We define the number of positive people as the number of people tested positive for COVID-19,hence, by excluding the number of the deceased people and the number of people who recovered.

Figure 11: Situation in Belgium on 15 May 2020—before, and 60 days after, the adoption of lockdown measures. The black dots correspond to real data. The blue dotted line corresponds to the situation in Belgium before the adoption of the lockdown measures. The blue and the red solid lines correspond to the theoretical predictions for Belgium according to the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the logistic model, respectively. Solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) fits well all the experimental data from the initial days (i.e., from the 29th of February 2020), while the logistic model applies only to the first data. The values of the parameters of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the logistic function [\(10\)](#page-7-2) are: $\tau_{BE} \simeq 5.3$ days ($\mu_{BE} = 3.7$ days), $\chi_{BE} \simeq 85251$, and $t_{0BE} \simeq 53.4$ days for Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1), and $\tau_{BE} \simeq 5.3$ days $(\mu_{BE} = 3.7 \text{ days}), K_{BE} = 55500, t_{0LBE} = 39.5 \text{ days}$ for the Logistic function, respectively. The zone I corresponds to the period before the adoption of the lockdown measures.

In the sequel, we denote with r_t , d_t , and n_t the number of people released from the hospital at the time t , the total deaths, and the number of positive individuals at time t, respectively.

3.1 Number of the Recovered People

Let us start with the equation for the recovered people previously hospitalised. Let us suppose that a hospital has 50 patients in intensive therapy, corresponding to its maximum availability capacity. If the hospital is unable to heal any patient, the growth rate of healed people is necessarily equal to zero. On the other hand, if the hospital is able to heal a certain number of people, the places previously occupied by the sick people will free and other patients affected by COVID-19 will be able to be hospitalised. In the latter case, the growth rate of the healed people will rise thanks to the fact that the hospital is able to heal more and more patients. This initial phase may be modelled by introducing into the dynamic equation the term $+\alpha_r r_t$. Clearly this process will continue to grow until it reaches a maximum limit i.e. until when the Hospital is no longer able to accept other sick people; this causes a reduction of people who recover^{[11](#page-16-0)}. This antagonistic effect is modelled by introducing a second term in the equation, $-\alpha_r/K_r$ r_t, where parameter K_r is linked to the hospital's capacity to accept sick people. We may reasonably assume that the recovery carrying capacity of the Hospital is a time-independent constant. The competition between these two effects diminishes the combined growth rate. By summarising, we assume that the equation, written in dimensionless form, that determines the recovery rate of a hospital can be cast into the form

$$
\frac{d}{d\hat{t}}r_t = \alpha_r r_t \left(1 - \frac{r_t}{K_r}\right) \tag{24}
$$

It should be kept in mind that, in this model, the equation for the number of recovered people is in itself and independent of the equations for the other variables (i.e. for d_t and n_t)^{[12](#page-16-1)}. Clearly, the number of the recovered people, previously hospitalised, at the step n (i.e. r_n), is linked to the **total** number of the recovered people previously hospitalised at the step n (denoted by h_n) by the relation

$$
r_n = h_n - h_{n-1} \quad \text{or} \quad h_t = \sum_{n=1}^{n=t/\Delta t} r_n \quad \text{(with } \Delta t \simeq 1 \text{ day)} \tag{25}
$$

where we have set $h_0 = 0$. At the first approximation, the O.D.E. for the *total* recovered people R_t (i.e. the total individuals having survived the disease) is trivially obtained by considering that the rate of R_t is approximatively given by the sum of two contributions: $+\alpha_{R1}n_t$ and $-\alpha_{R2}R_t$ i.e.

$$
\frac{dR_t}{dt} = \alpha_{R1}n_t - \alpha_{R2}R_t
$$
\n(26)

since we may (roughly) assume that the rate of R_t is proportional to the number of the infected people n_t at time t minus the rate of the people already recovered at time t^{13} t^{13} t^{13} . However, it is useful to clarify the following. In Eqs [\(25\)](#page-16-3), h_t stands for the total number of the recovered people previously hospitalised whereas the variable R_t in Eq. [\(26\)](#page-16-4) is the total number of the recovered people (i.e. the number of the recovered people previously hospitalised, plus the number of the asymptomatic people, plus the infected people who have been recovered without

 11 In this limit case, some coronavirus patients who have been admitted into the emergency department at the hospitals are sent home with an oxygen-monitoring device as the city's medical system struggles to reserve resources for only the sickest people.

 $12Eq.$ [\(24\)](#page-16-5) models a hospital's ability to heal people and, by no means, it must be linked to the number of people tested positive for COVID-19 or to the mortality rate caused by the SARS-CoV-2.

¹³Notice that Eq. [\(25\)](#page-16-3) is the dynamic equation for the total recovered people adopted in the Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered-Deceased-Model (SIRD-model) [\[28\]](#page-27-0).

being previously hospitalised). The natural question is: "how can we count R_t and compare this variable with the real data ?". The current statistics, produced by the Ministries of Health of various Countries, concern the people released from the hospitals. Apart from Luxembourg (where the entire population has been subject to the COVID-19-test), no other Countries are in a condition to provide statistics regarding the total people recovered by COVID-19. Hence, it is our opinion that the third equation, concerning R_t , is not useful since it is practically impossible to compare R_t with the experimental data. Conversely, due to the available statistics, h_t may be subject to experimental verification.

3.2 Equation for the Deceased People

The rate of deceased people per unit time is modelled by the following dimensionless equation

$$
\frac{d}{d\hat{t}}d_t = \alpha_d n_{(t-t_d)} - \beta_d n_{(t-t_d)}^2
$$
\n(27)

The meaning of Eq. [\(27\)](#page-17-0) is the following. Manifestly, the rate of deaths is proportional to the number of active people. However, individuals infected by SARS-CoV-2 do not die instantly since the rate of deaths at time t is proportional to the people who were infected at an earlier time $t - t_d$ ($t_d > 0$) with t_d denoting the *time-delay*. We indicate with α_d the, time-independent, constant proportional to the increase of the deaths d_t . The second term, $-\beta_d n_{(t-t_d)}^2$, models the presence of the lockdown measures, having the effect of saturating the rate of infected people and, consequently, of deaths.

3.3 Equation for the Positive People

Of course, during the descent phase, the number of active people n_t satisfies a simple law of conservation: If we are in the situation where there are no longer new cases of people tested positive for COVID-19 and if we assume that the active people cannot leave their country of origin (or else, if they do, they will be rejected by the host Country), then the number of infected people cannot but decrease either because some people are deceased or because others have been recovered. In mathematical terms

$$
n_t = n_0 - (h_t - h_0) - (d_t - d_0) = N_{Max} - h_t - d_t \tag{28}
$$

with h_0 , d_0 and n_0 denoting the values of h_t , d_t and n_t evaluated at the time $t = t_{Max}$ (see Eq. [\(21\)](#page-11-2) i.e., the time that maximises the number of the total cases), respectively. It should be noted that the conservation law [\(28\)](#page-17-1) applies only when there are no longer new cases of people tested positive to COVID- 19^{14} 19^{14} 19^{14} . Here, by the *descending phase* we mean the phase where Eq. [\(28\)](#page-17-1) applies.

¹⁴So, Eq. [\(28\)](#page-17-1) does not apply necessarily as soon as the number n_t (the number of people tested positive for COVID-19) starts to decrease. Indeed, it may happen that n_t decreases because, for example, the number of new cases of people tested positive is less than the number of the people who have recovered in the meantime. Conservation law [\(28\)](#page-17-1) applies only from the moment where the number of new cases of people tested positive is strictly equal to zero.

To sum up, the equations describing the descending phase are

$$
\frac{d}{dt}r_t = \alpha_r r_t \left(1 - \frac{r_t}{K_r}\right) \qquad \text{with } r_{t=t_{Max}} = r_0 \qquad (29)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}d_t = \alpha_d n_{(t-t_d)} - \beta_d n_{(t-t_d)}^2 \qquad \text{with } d_{t=t_{Max}} = d_0
$$
\n
$$
n_t = N_{Max} - h_t - d_t \qquad \text{with } n_{\infty} = 0
$$
\n
$$
h_t = \sum_{n=1}^{n=t/\Delta t} r_n \qquad \text{where } \Delta t \simeq 1 \text{ day}
$$

with t_{Max} given by Eq. [\(21\)](#page-11-2). Notice that the first two equations of system [\(29\)](#page-18-0) are valid also during the ascending-phase. Of course, in this case, the initial conditions are $r_{t=0} = 0$, $d_{t=0} = 0$ and $n_{t=0} = 0$. Hence, during the ascending phase the evolution equations are

$$
\frac{d}{dt}r_t = \alpha_r r_t \left(1 - \frac{r_t}{K_r}\right) \qquad \text{with } r_{t=0} = 0 \qquad (30)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}d_t = \alpha_d n_{(t-t_d)} - \beta_d n_{(t-t_d)}^2 \qquad \text{with } d_{t=0} = 0
$$
\n
$$
n_t = N_t - h_t - d_t \qquad \text{with } n_{t=0} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}N_t = \alpha N_t \left(1 - \frac{N_t}{\chi \hat{t}^2}\right) - \left(\frac{2\lambda \hat{t}^2 - 1}{\hat{t}} - \frac{\lambda}{\hat{t}} \exp\left(-\lambda (\hat{t} - 1)^2\right)\right) N_t
$$
\n
$$
h_t = \sum_{n=1}^{n=t/\Delta t} r_n \qquad \text{where } \Delta t \simeq 1 \text{ day}
$$
\n(30)

According to our expectations, by solving numerically system [\(30\)](#page-18-1), with good approximation, we get

$$
d_t \propto n_{(t-t_d)}\tag{31}
$$

Next, we find the numerical solution of systems [\(29\)](#page-18-0)-[\(30\)](#page-18-1) for Italy and Belgium. A similar analysis for Luxembourg is reported in Appendix.

3.4 Theoretical Predictions for the Descending Phase

In this subsection, we report the numerical solutions of Eqs [\(29\)](#page-18-0)-[\(30\)](#page-18-1) for Italy and Belgium. The solution for Luxembourg can be found in the Appendix. Fig. [\(12\)](#page-19-0) and [\(13\)](#page-19-1) concern the Italian situation. They show the numerical solution of Eqs $(29)-(30)$ $(29)-(30)$ $(29)-(30)$ for the number of recovered people and deaths, respectively. These theoretical predictions are plotted against the experimental data reported in the Table [\(1\)](#page-28-0). According to the theoretical predictions, for Italy we get $t_{ITd} = 12$ days. Fig. [\(14\)](#page-19-2), illustrates the descendant-phase for Italy.

Figs [\(15\)](#page-20-1) and [\(16\)](#page-20-2) refer to the Belgian situation. The figures illustrate the numerical solutions of Eqs $(29)-(30)$ $(29)-(30)$ $(29)-(30)$ for the number of recovered people and deaths, respectively. The theoretical predictions are plotted against the experimental data reported in the Table [\(3\)](#page-30-0). According to the theoretical predictions,

Figure 12: Italy situation. Theoretical predictions (blue line) against the experimental data (black circles) for the recovered people.

Figure 13: Italy situation. Theoretical predictions (blue line) against the experimental data (black circles) for the deceased people.

Figure 14: The descending phase for Italy. According to the theoretical predictions, after two months the lockdown measures may heavily be lightened and we can return to normal work. The estimated time-delay is $t_{ITd} = 12$ days see Eq. [\(29\)](#page-18-0)

for Belgium we get $t_{BEd} = 8.8$ days. Fig. [\(17\)](#page-20-3) shows the descendant-phase for Belgium.

Figure 15: Belgian situation. Theoretical predictions (blue line) against the experimental data (black circles) for the recovered people.

Figure 16: Belgian situation. Theoretical predictions (blue line) against the experimental data (black circles) for the deceased people.

Figure 17: The descending phase for Belgium. According to the theoretical predictions, after one month the lockdown measures may heavily be lightened and we can return to normal work. The estimated time-delay is $t_{BEd} = 8.8$ days - see Eq. [\(29\)](#page-18-0).

4 Conclusions

This work proposed the differential equation governing the spread of SARS-CoV-2. Through fitting techniques previously performed, and validated by the

 χ^2 -tests, we proposed a general analytical expression having two-free parameters. The solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) provides the number of the total case in time. This differential equation may be proposed for 13 respiratory infectious diseases caused by viruses, including SARS-CoV-2. Successively, we compared the theoretical predictions, provided by the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and by the logistic model (see Eq. [\(7\)](#page-7-0)), with the real data for Italy and Belgium (for Luxembourg see Appendix). We saw that the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) is in good agreement with the experimental data since the beginning of the appearance of the COVID-19; this is not the case for the logistic model which applies only to the few last days. We found the days where the maximum number infected people by COVID-19 will be reached in Italy and Belgium by parametrising the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) with experimental data: we get, $t_{MaxIT} \simeq 21$ April 2020 and $t_{MaxBE} \simeq 2$ May 2020 for Italy and Belgium, respectively.

We also noted, empirically, that the infection process caused by SARS-CoV-2 may be divided into three qualitatively different periods; i.e., the exponential period, the transient period and the bell-shaped period (or the post-transient period). The solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) allows defining more precisely these three periods. Indeed, we may classify the above periods as follows

The exponential period for
$$
0 \leq \hat{t} \leq \hat{t}_{LM}
$$
 (32)
The transient period for $\hat{t}_{LM} < \hat{t} \leq \hat{t}_{flex}$
The bell-shaped period for $\hat{t} > \hat{t}_{flex}$

with \hat{t}_{LM} indicating the *dimensionless time when the lockdown measures are* applied and \hat{t}_{flex} the dimensionless inflection point of the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1), respectively. It is easily checked that the value of t_{flex} satisfies the equation

$$
2\lambda \hat{t}_{flex}^3 - 4\lambda \hat{t}_{flex}^2 + (2\lambda - 3)\hat{t}_{flex} + 2 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{t}_{flex} \equiv \frac{t_{flex}}{t_0} \tag{33}
$$

Hence, according to Eq. [\(33\)](#page-21-0), the transient period ended on 31 March 2020 for Italy and on 7 April 2020 for Belgium, respectively. The second part of the work is devoted to modelling the descending phase, i.e. the decrease of the number of people tested positive for COVID-19. Also in this case, we proposed a new set of dynamic differential equations that we solved numerically. The solution of Eqs [\(29\)](#page-18-0) (and Eq. [\(30\)](#page-18-1)) provided valuable information such as the duration of the COVID-19 epidemic in a given Country and therefore when it will be possible to return to normal life.

5 Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Alberto Sonnino from Facebook Calibra and University College London for comments on late manuscript, and to Ing. Alessandro Leone from the Italian Embassy in Belgium for his suggestions and encouragement.

6 Appendix: Comparison between the Theoretical Predictions of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and Experimental Data for Luxembourg

We have stressed the main difference between the *closed systems* and the *open* systems. Luxembourg, due to the particularly severe lockdown measures adopted by the government, may be considered, with good approximation, as a closed system (628108 inhabitants, most of them concentrated in only one town). Indeed, right from the start, the city of Luxembourg was literally closed and citizens were unable to enter and leave the city freely (people who had to enter in the city for working reasons were obliged to undergo each time the test that, of course, had to result negative).

Italy, on the other hand may be considered, with a god approximation, as an open system (60317116 inhabitants dislocated in all the Country). In Italy, especially during the initial phase, the citizens of northern Italy moved freely to the south of Italy, by train, by plans or by car. Only in a second time the Italian government decided to introduce much more restrictive measures concerning the movement of citizens from one region to another.

For the reason mentioned above, it is our opinion that it is very interesting to analyse these two Countries, Luxembourg and Italy, which are so different with each other. In this Appendix we report the comparison between the theoretical predictions of the COVID-19 model [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the real data for Luxembourg update to 15 May 2020 (see Fig. [\(18\)](#page-23-0)). In the columns of table [\(5\)](#page-32-0) we can find the number of active people (currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the number of recovered people, and the number of deceased people, respectively. The experimental data have been found in the databases [\[29\]](#page-27-1) and [\[30\]](#page-27-2). Luxembourg reached its peak on 12 April 2020.

6.1 The Descending Phase for Luxembourg

Figs [\(19\)](#page-24-2) and [\(20\)](#page-24-3) refer to the Luxembourg situation. The figures illustrate the numerical solutions of Eqs [\(29\)](#page-18-0)-[\(30\)](#page-18-1) for the number of recovered people and deaths, respectively. The theoretical predictions are plotted against the experimental data, which can be found in the Table [\(5\)](#page-32-0). Fig. [\(21\)](#page-24-4) shows the descending phase for Luxembourg.

References

- [1] Il Sole 24 Ore, Coronavirus in Italia, i dati e la mappa (2020) - Website: https://lab24.ilsole24ore.com/coronavirus
- [2] Sciensano, Epidemologie des maladies infectueuses (2020) - Website: https://epidemio.wiv-isp.be/ID/Pages/2019-nCoV.aspx

Figure 18: Situation in Luxembourg on 15 May 2020. The black dots correspond to real data. The red dotted line corresponds to the situation in Luxembourg before the adoption of the lockdown measures. The blue and the red solid lines correspond to the theoretical predictions for Luxembourg according to the solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the logistic model, respectively. Solution of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) fits well all the experimental data from the initial days (i.e., from the 29th of February 2020), while the logistic model applies only to the first data. The values of the parameters of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1) and the logistic function [\(10\)](#page-7-2) are: $\tau_{LUX} \simeq$ 3.2 days ($\mu_{LUX} = 2.2$ days), $\chi_{LUX} \simeq 6880$, and $t_{0LUX} \simeq 40$ days for Eq. [\(18\)](#page-10-1), and $\tau_{LUX} \simeq 3.2$ days ($\mu_{LUX} = 2.2$ days), $K_{LUX} = 3950$, $t_{0LLUX} = 30$ days for the Logistic function, respectively. The zone I corresponds to the period before the adoption of the lockdown measures.

Figure 19: Luxembourg situation. Theoretical predictions (blue line) against the experimental data (black circles) for the recovered people.

Figure 20: Luxembourg situation. Theoretical predictions (blue line) against the experimental data (black circles) for the deceased people.

Figure 21: The descending phase for Luxembourg. According to the theoretical predictions, after one month the lockdown measures may heavily be lightened and we can return to normal work. The estimated time-delay is $t_{LUXd} = 15$ days - see Eq. [\(29\)](#page-18-0).

- [3] Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2020 coronavirus pandemic in Belgium, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020 coronavirus pandemic in Belgium
- [4] History and Epidemiology of Global Smallpox Eradication (Archived 2016-

05-10 at the Wayback Machine), a module of the training course $Smallpox$: Disease, Prevention, and Intervention. The CDC and the World Health Organisation, (2001). Slide 17. This gives sources as Modified from Epid Rev, 15, 265-302 (1993), Am J Prev Med,20 (4S): 88-153 (2001), MMWR 49 (SS-9); 27-38 (2000).

- [5] Guerra F. M., Bolotin S., Lim G., Heffernan J., Deeks S.L., Li Y., Crowcroft N. S., The basic reproduction number $(R0)$ of measles: a systematic review. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 17 (12), (2017) - Retrieved March 18, 2020.
- [6] Murray J.D., Mathematical Biology, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg GmbH (1993).
- [7] Lusuardi A., Modelli Matematici per lo Studio di Popolazioni Interagenti in un Ecosistema, Thesis dissertation in Mathematics, Università defli Studi di Bologna (2016).
- [8] Anderson R.M. and May R.M., Infectious diseases of humans, Oxford University Press (1991).
- [9] https://populationeducation.org/what-doubling-time-and-how-itcalculated/
- [10] Steinbrecher G. (University of Craiova - Romania), A short sample in Rprogram able to compute the estimated value of R_0 for 17 infectious diseases. Private Communication (20th April 2020).
- [11] Sonnino G, The COVID-19 - The Infectious Disease Caused by the Latest Discovered Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), European Commission, ARES(2020)1530456, (March 2020).
- [12] N. Mathus and G. Shaw, Analysis of Indian data on Covid-19 using a dynamical model, Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai (India) 2020 - Private communication.
- [13] Yukalov, V. I.; Yukalova, E. P.; Sornette, D., Punctuated evolution due to delayed carrying capacity. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. 238 (17): 1752?1767 (2009).
- [14] Richards, F. J., A Flexible Growth Function for Empirical Use, Journal of Experimental Botany, 10, 290?300 (1959).
- [15] Ruffini R., COVID-19 statistics, ICRANet Newsletter. March 2020. Website: [http://www.icranet.org/index.php?option=com](http://www.icranet.org/index.php?option=com$_$content) content&task= $view\&id=1310\#1$
- [16] Piovani P., Coronavirus, il pediatra: Questa epidemia durer`a tre mesi, Il messaggero, 24 March 2020.
- [17] Hilbe J/M., Practical Guide to Logistic Regression, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC (2015).
- [18] On Health, Respiratory Illnesses: 13 Types of Lung Infections (Reviewed by Charles Patrick Davis, MD, PhD on 3/20/2020). Website: https://www.onhealth.com/content/1/respiratory infections causes
- [19] Manjarrez-Zavala E. et al., Pathogenesis of Viral Respiratory Infection, (2013), DOI: 10.5772/54287. Website: https://www.intechopen.com/books/respiratory-disease-and-infectiona-new-insight/pathogenesis-of-viral-respiratory-infection
- [20] Huang C. et al., Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China, The Lancet Journals, 395, Issue10223, P497-506, (Published: 24 January 2020). Website: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140- 6736(20)30183-5
- [21] Garrett Nichols W. et al., Respiratory Viruses Other than Influenza Virus: Impact and Therapeutic Advances, American Society for Microbiology - Clinical Microbiology Review, DOI: 10.1128/CMR.00045-07, Website: https://cmr.asm.org/content/21/2/274
- [22] Nickbakhsh S. et al., Virus-virus interactions impact the population dynamics of influenza and the common cold, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United State of America (PNAS), PNAS, 116 (52) 27142-27150 (2019); Edited by Burton H. Singer, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, and approved (2019).
- [23] Lauer S.A., The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases: Estimation and Application, Annals of Internal Medicine (ACP), (10 March 2020). Website: https://annals.org/aim/fullarticle/2762808/incubation-periodcoronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-from-publicly-reported
- [24] Chen T.-M., et al., A mathematical model for simulating the phase-based transmissibility of a novel coronavirus, BMC Part of Springer Nature, Infectious Diseases of Poverty, 9, Article number: 24 (2020).
- [25] Galvani A.P., Xiudong L. and Jewell N.P., Severe Acute Respiratory Sindrome: Temporal Stability and Geographic Variation in Death Rates and Doubling Time, Emerging Infect ious Diseases, 991-994 (2003) - Website: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3020622/
- [26] Nowak, M. A. and May, R. Virus Dynamics: mathematical principles of immunology and virology (2001). ISBN 9780198504177. Archived from the original on 13 February 2015. Retrieved 13 February 2015.
- [27] Gompertz, B., On the Nature of the Function Expressive of the Law of Human Mortality, and on a New Mode of Determining the Value of Life Contingencies, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, 115, 513?585 (1825).
- [28] Kermack W. O. and McKendrick, A. G., A Contribution to the Mathematical Theory of Epidemics. Proceedings of the Royal Society A. 115 (772), 700?721 (1927).
- $[29] \ \ \mathit{Site} \qquad \quad \ \ \mathit{Coronavirus} \qquad \quad \ \mathit{(COVID-19)}. \qquad \qquad \textrm{Le} \qquad \qquad \textrm{gouvernement} \\ \text{luxembourgeois.} \qquad \qquad \textrm{Ministère} \qquad \qquad \textrm{de} \qquad \qquad \textrm{la} \qquad \qquad \textrm{Sant.} \qquad \qquad \textrm{Website:}$ luxembourgeois. Ministère de la Sant. Website: https://msan.gouvernement.lu/fr/dossiers/2020/corona-virus.html
- [30] Wikipedia: 2020 coronavirus pandemic in Luxembourg. Website: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020 coronavirus pandemic in Luxembourg

Date	Active	Recovered	Deceased	Total cases
25 -Feb	322	1	10	333
26 -Feb	400	3	12	415
27 -Feb	650	45	18	713
28 -Feb	888	46	21	955
29 -Feb	1049	50	29	1128
01 -Mar	1577	83	34	1694
02 -Mar	1835	149	52	2036
03 -Mar	2263	160	79	2502
04 -Mar	2706	276	107	3089
05 -Mar	3296	414	148	3858
06 -Mar	3916	523	197	4636
07 -Mar	5061	589	233	5883
$08 - Mar$	7375	622	366	8363
$09-Mar$	9172	724	463	10359
$10-Mar$	10149	1004	631	11784
$11-Mar$	10590	1045	827	12462
12 -Mar	12839	1258	1016	15113
13 -Mar	14955	1439	1266	17660
$14-Mar$	17750	1966	1441	21157
15 -Mar	20603	2335	1809	24747
16 -Mar	23073	2749	2158	27980
$17-Mar$	26062	2941	2503	31506
$18 - Mar$	28710	4025	2978	35713
$19-Mar$	33190	4440	3405	41035
20 -Mar	37860	5129	4032	47021
$21-Mar$	42681	6072	4825	53578
22 -Mar	46638	7024	5475	59137
$23-Mar$	50418	7432	6077	63927
24 -Mar	54030	8326	6820	69176
25 -Mar	57511	9362	7503	74376
26 -Mar	62013	10361	8165	80539
$27 - Mar$	66414	10950	9134	86498
$28 - Mar$	70065	12384	10023	92472
29 -Mar	73880	13030	10779	97689
30 -Mar	75528	14620	11591	101739
$31-Mar$	77635	15729	12428	105792
$01-Apr$	80572	16847	13155	110574
$02-Apr$	83049	18278	13915	115242
$03-Apr$	85388	19758	14681	119827
$04-Apr$	88274	20996	15362	124632
$05-Apr$	91246	21815	15887	128948
06-Apr	93187	22837	16523	132547
$07-Apr$	94067	24392	17127	135586
$08-Apr$	95362	26491	17669	139422
$09-Apr$	96877	28470	18279	143626
$10-Apr$	98273	30455	18849	147577
$11-Apr$	102253	34211	19899	152271
$12-Apr$	100269	32534	19468	156363
$13-Apr$	103616	35435	20465	159516
$14-Apr$	104291	37130	21067	162488
$15-Apr$	105418	38092	21645	165155

Table 1: Situation in Italy on 15 May 2020. Columns report the number of active people (currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the number of recovered people, and the number of deceased people.

Date	Active	Recovered	$\rm Deceased$	Total cases
16 -Apr	106607	40164	22170	168941
17 -Apr	106962	42727	22745	172434
$18-Apr$	107771	44927	23227	175925
$19-Apr$	108257	47055	23660	178972
$20 - Apr$	108237	48877	24114	181228
$21-Apr$	107709	51600	24648	183957
$22-Apr$	107699	54543	25085	187327
$23-Apr$	106848	57576	25549	189973
$24-Apr$	106527	60498	25969	192994
$25-Apr$	105847	63120	26348	195351
26 -Apr	106103	64928	26644	197675
$27-Apr$	105813	66624	26977	199414
$28-Apr$	105205	68941	27359	201505
$29-Apr$	104657	71252	27682	203591
$30-Apr$	101551	75945	27967	205463
$01-May$	100946	78249	28236	207428
02 -May	100704	79914	28710	209328
$03-May$	100179	81654	28884	210717
04 -May	99980	82879	29079	211938
05 -May	98467	85231	29315	213013
06 -May	91528	93245	29684	214457
07 -May	89624	96276	29958	215858
$08-May$	87961	99023	30201	217185
$09-May$	84842	103031	30395	218268
$10-May$	83324	105186	30560	219070
$11-May$	82488	106587	30739	219814
12 -May	81266	109039	30911	221216
$13-May$	78457	112541	31106	222104
$14-Mav$	76440	115288	31368	223096
$15-May$	72070	120205	31610	223885
16 -May	70187	122810	31763	224760
$17-May$	68351	125176	31908	225435
$18-Mav$	66553	127326	32007	225886
$19-Mav$	65129	129401	32169	226699
20 -May	62752	132282	32330	227364
$21-Mav$	60960	134560	32486	228006
22 -May	59322	136720	32616	229858
23 -May	57752	138840	32735	229327
24 -May	56594	140479	32785	229858
25 -May	55300	141981	32877	230158
26 -May	52942	144981	32955	230555
27 -May	50966	147101	33072	231139
$28 - May$	47986	150604	33142	231732

Table 2: Situation in Italy on 15 May 2020. Columns report the number of active people (currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the number of recovered people, and the number of deceased people.

Date	Active	Recovered	Deceased	Total cases
29 -Feb	1	0	0	$\mathbf{1}$
01 -Mar	$\mathbf 1$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1
02 -Mar	6	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	6
03 -Mar	13	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	13
04 -Mar	23	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	23
05 -Mar	50	0	$\overline{0}$	50
06 -Mar	109	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	109
$07-Mar$	169	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	169
08 -Mar	200	0	$\overline{0}$	200
$09-Mar$	239	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	239
$10-Mar$	267	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	267
$11-Mar$	311	$\overline{0}$	3	314
12 -Mar	396	0	3	399
$13-Mar$	556	$\overline{0}$	3	599
14 -Mar	686	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{4}$	689
15 -Mar	881	$\mathbf 1$	$\overline{4}$	886
16 -Mar	1052	$\mathbf{1}$	5	1058
$17-Mar$	1218	20	$\overline{5}$	1243
$18 - Mar$	1441	31	14	1486
19 -Mar	1619	155	21	1795
20 -Mar	2016	204	37	2257
$21-Mar$	2485	263	67	2815
22 -Mar	2986	340	75	3401
23 -Mar	3305	350	88	3743
24 -Mar	3737	410	122	4269
25 -Mar	4234	547	178	4937
26 -Mar	5340	675	220	6235
$27 - Mar$	6398	858	289	7284
$28 - Mar$	7718	1063	353	9134
$29-Mar$	9046	1359	431	10836
$30-Mar$	9859	1527	513	11899
$31-Mar$	10374	1696	705	12775
$01-Apr$	11004	2132	828	13964
$02-Apr$	11842	2495	1011	15348
$03-Apr$	12755	2872	1143	16770
04 -Apr	13901	3247	1283	18431
$05 - Apr$	14493	3751	1447	19691
06 -Apr	15196	3986	1632	20814
07-Apr	16002	4157	2035	22194
$08 - Apr$	16482	4681	2240	23403
$09-Apr$	17296	5164	2523	24983
10 -Apr	18080	5568	3019	26667
$11-Apr$	18686	5986	3346	28018
$12-Apr$	19584	6463	3600	29647
$13-Apr$	19979	6707	3903	30589
14 -Apr	20094	6868	4157	31119
$15-Apr$	22025	7107	4440	33573

Table 3: Situation in Belgium on 15 May 2020. Columns report the number of active people (currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the number of recovered people, and the number of deceased people.

Date	Active	Recovered	$\rm Deceased$	Total cases
16 -Apr	22390	7562	4857	34809
17 -Apr	23014	7961	5163	36138
$18-Apr$	23346	8384	5453	37183
$19-Apr$	24056	8757	5683	38496
$20 - Apr$	25260	8895	5828	39983
$21-Apr$	25296	9002	5998	40956
22 -Apr	26194	9433	6262	41889
23 -Apr	26507	9800	6490	42797
$24-Apr$	27492	10122	6679	44293
$25-Apr$	27991	10417	6917	45325
26-Apr	28255	10785	7094	46134
$27-Apr$	28602	10878	7207	46687
$28-Apr$	29060	10943	7331	47334
$29-Apr$	29075	11283	7501	47859
$30-Apr$	29349	11576	7594	48519
$01-Mav$	29437	11892	7703	49032
02 -May	29541	12211	7765	49517
$03-May$	29753	12309	7844	49906
04 -May	29965	12378	7924	50267
05 -May	30052	12441	8016	50509
06 -May	29711	12731	8339	50781
$07-May$	30025	12980	8415	51420
$08-May$	30289	13201	8521	52011
$09-Mav$	30604	13411	8581	52596
$10-May$	30783	13642	8656	53081
$11-May$	31045	13697	8707	53449
$12-May$	31286	13732	8761	53779
$13-May$	31201	13937	8843	53981
14 -May	31274	14111	8903	54288
$15-May$	31384	14301	8959	54644
16 -May	31524	14460	9005	54986
$17-May$	31598	14630	9052	55280
$18-Mav$	31822	14657	9080	55559
$19-Mav$	31996	14687	9108	55791
20 -May	31986	14847	9150	55983
$21-May$	32061	14988	9186	56235
22 -May	32176	15123	9212	56810
$23-May$	32418	15155	9237	56810
24 -May	32540	15272	9280	57092
25 -May	32733	15297	9312	57342
26 -May	32801	15320	9334	57455
$27-Mav$	32763	15465	9364	57592
28 -May	32889	15572	9388	57849

Table 4: Situation in Belgium on 15 May 2020. Columns report the number of active people (currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the number of recovered people, and the number of deceased people.

 \star Attention on the 23rd the figures instead of being given at 9 am are given at 5 pm. ** Including 1 evacuated from France.

Table 5: Situation in Luxembourg on 15 May 2020. Columns provide the number of active people (currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the number of recovered people, and the number of deceased people.

Date	Active	Recovered	Deceased	Total cases
$16-Apr$	1008	2368	68	3444
17 -Apr	919	2489	72	3480
$18-Apr$	858	2607	72	3537
$19-Apr$	799	2678	73	3550
$20 - Apr$	759	2724	75	3558
$21-Apr$	735	2805	78	3618
22 -Apr	689	2885	80	3654
23-Apr	619	2963	83	3665
$24-Apr$	582	3028	85	3695
$25-Apr$	539	3087	85	3711
26 -Apr	532	3103	88	3723
$27 - Apr$	520	3121	88	3729
$28 - Apr$	531	3121	89	3741
$29-Apr$	546	3134	89	3769
$30-Apr$	481	3213	90	3784
$01-May$	497	3213	92	3802
02 -May	402	3318	92	3812
03 -May	349	3379	96	3824
04 -May	327	3405	96	3828
05 -May	332	3412	96	3840
06 -May	301	3452	98	3851
$07-May$	254	3505	100	3859
08-May	245	3526	100	3871
09 -May	226	3550	101	3877
$10-Mav$	199	3586	101	3886
$11-May$	185	3602	101	3888
$12-May$	182	3610	102	3894
$13-May$	172	3629	103	3904
14 -May	147	3665	103	3915
$15-Mav$	137	3682	104	3923
16 -May	127	3699	104	3930
$17-May$	136	3702	107	3945
$18-May$	125	3715	107	3947
$19-May$	131	3718	109	3958
20 -May	134	3728	109	3971
$21-May$	130	3741	109	3980
22 -May	124	3748	109	3981
23 -May	123	3758	109	3990
24 -May	115	3767	110	3992
25 -May	102	3781	110	3993
26 -May	102	3783	110	3995
$27-Mav$	100	3791	110	4001
28 -May	95	3803	110	4008

Table 6: Situation in Luxembourg on 15 May 2020. Columns provide the number of active people (currently infected by SARS-CoV-2), the number of recovered people, and the number of deceased people.