Dynamics of the COVID-19 Comparison between the Theoretical Predictions and Real Data

Giorgio SONNINO

Department of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Campus Plain CP 231, Boulevard de Triomphe, 1050 Brussels, Belgium Email: gsonnino@ulb.ac.be

October 18, 2021

Abstract

At the end of last year, a new coronavirus (Covid-19) appeared in the Wuhan region of China. Since then, the virus has spread to other Countries, including Europe. We propose the differential equation governing the evolution of the COVID-19. We propose this dynamic equation also for the describing the evolution of the number of infected people by 13 common respiratory viruses, including the COVID-19. We have compared our theoretical predictions with the real data for Italy and Belgium and, as a benchmarking operation, also with the predictions provided by using the logistic model. We find that are predictions are in good agreement with the real data from the beginning of the appearance of the COVID-19, which is not the case for the logistic model that applies only to the few last days. By inserting the numerical values of the parameters, obtained by analysing the real data, into the differential equation we found the values of the days where the maximum values of the number of infected people by COVID-19 will be reached in Italy and Belgium.

1 Introduction

Viral infections usually affect the upper or lower respiratory tract. Although respiratory infections can be classified according to the causative agent (e.g. the flu), they are mostly clinically classified according to the type of syndrome (e.g. common cold, bronchiolitis, laryngo-tracheo-bronchitis acute, pneumonia). In addition, although specific pathogens typically cause characteristic clinical manifestations (e.g. rhinovirus causes the common cold, respiratory syncytial virus [RSV] usually causes bronchiolitis), each of them can cause many of the viral respiratory syndromes (causes of common viral respiratory syndromes). The severity of viral respiratory disease is highly variable; serious illness is more frequent in elderly patients and young children. Morbidity can result directly from the infecting agent or may be indirect, due to the exacerbation of an underlying cardiopulmonary disease or bacterial superinfection of the lung, paranasal sinuses, or middle ear. The main objective of this work is to verify, by making theoretical predictions, that political decisions are truly effective in such a way as to minimise, as much as possible, the number of infected people (and, therefore, of deceased people) and, moreover, to give the possibility

to the local health structures (hospitals) to be able to do their job effectively;
 to gain time so that the research institutes can deliver in a good time the vaccine and, why not, the anti-viral at international level.

Table (1) and table (2) provide the real data for Italy [2] and Belgium [3], respectively, related to positive, healed, deceased and total people caused by the COVID-19. We start our theoretical analysis by introducing the definition

Date	Positive	Healed	Deceased	Total
25-Feb	322	1	10	333
26-Feb	400	3	12	419
27-Feb	650	45	18	713
28-Feb	888	46	21	955
29-Feb	1049	50	29	1128
01-Mar	1577	83	34	1694
02-Mar	1835	149	52	2036
03-Mar	2263	160	79	2502
04-Mar	2706	276	107	3089
05-Mar	3296	414	148	3858
06-Mar	3916	523	197	4636
07-Mar	5061	589	233	5883
08-Mar	7375	622	366	8363
09-Mar	9172	724	463	10359
10-Mar	10149	1004	631	11784
11-Mar	10590	1045	827	12462
12-Mar	12839	1258	1016	15113
13-Mar	14955	1439	1266	17660
14-Mar	17750	1966	1441	2115
15-Mar	20603	2335	1809	24747
16-Mar	23073	2749	2158	27980
17-Mar	26062	2941	2503	31506
18-Mar	28710	4025	2978	35713
19-Mar	33190	4440	3405	41035
20-Mar	37860	5129	4032	4702
21-Mar	42681	6072	4825	53578
22-Mar	46638	7024	5475	59137
23-Mar	50418	7432	6077	63927
24-Mar	54030	8326	6820	69176
25-Mar	57511	9362	7503	74376
26-Mar	62013	10361	8165	80539
27-Mar	66414	10950	9134	86498
28-Mar	70065	12384	10023	92472
29-Mar	73880	13030	10779	97689

- 6					
ſ	02-Feb	1	0	0	1
	01-Mar	1	0	0	1
	02-Mar	6	0	0	6
	03-Mar	13	0	0	13
	04-Mar	23	0	0	23
	05-Mar	50	0	0	50
	06-Mar	109	0	0	109
	07-Mar	169	0	0	169
	08-Mar	200	0	0	200
	09-Mar	239	0	0	239
	10-Mar	267	0	0	267
	11-Mar	311	0	3	314
	12-Mar	396	0	3	399
	13-Mar	556	0	3	559
	14-Mar	686	0	3	689
	15-Mar	882	0	4	886
	16-Mar	1034	14	10	1058
	17-Mar	1202	31	10	1243
	18-Mar	Not received	Not received	14	1441
	19-Mar	1619	155	21	1795
	20-Mar	2016	204	37	2257
	21-Mar	2485	263	67	2815
	22-Mar	2986	340	75	3401
	23-Mar	3305	350	88	3743
	24-Mar	3737	410	122	4269
	25-Mar	4234	525	178	4937
	26-Mar	5340	675	220	6235
	27-Mar	6398	858	28	7284
	28-Mar	7718	1063	353	9134
	29-Mar	9046	1359	431	10836

Figure 1: Italian situation on 29 March 2020. In this table the columns report the real data related to the number of infected people, the number of healed people, the number of deceased people and the total case, respectively.

Figure 2: Belgian situation on 29 March 2020. In this table the columns report the real data related to the number of infected people, the number of healed people, the number of deceased people and the total case, respectively.

of R_0 , defined as the *ability of a virus to infect people in the absence of lockdown measures.* This parameter is strictly linked to the doubling time, indicated with μ , defined as the *(average) time necessary for the number of infected people to double in value.* In this way, the dynamics of the diffusion of the virus can schematically be represented by the Fig. 3. Of course, after n steps, the elapsed time will be $t = n\mu$. If we now, indicate with N the number of the infected people, after n steps, the number of the infected people is

$$N = 2^n \tag{1}$$



Figure 3: Dynamics of the COVID-19 in the absence of containment measures. After an (average) time μ the number of the infected people doubles. Clearly, after n steps the elapsed time is $t = n\mu$.

Since $t = n\mu$, we get

$$N = 2^{t/\mu} \tag{2}$$

It is much more convenient to work in the Euler base e instead of the base 2. So, in the Euler base Eq. (2) reads

$$N = \exp(t/\tau)$$
 where $\tau \log(2) = \mu$ (3)

In literature, τ is referred to as the *characteristic time of the exponential trend*. So, Eq. (3) shows that in the absence of containment measures the number of infected people of the COVID-19 follows the exponential law. Eq. (3) confirms the brilliant intuition previously proposed by E. Bucci and E. Marinari [1]. Let us now analyse, more in deep, Eq. (3). Three scenarios are possible:

1) $\tau > 0$ (as it is the current situation in the world). For Italy, for example, before the adoption of the (severe) containment measures, the value of τ was about $\tau \sim 3.88$ days (and $\mu \sim 2.6$ days). In this case the behaviour of the infected people increases exponentially.

2) If the infection-capacity of the virus is of the type *one-to-one* (i.e. a person infected by COVID-19, in turn infects another person - but only one), we shall get the stationary situation corresponding to $N = 1^{1}$. This situation is referred to as the "latent situation" i.e., the virus still exists but it would not increase the number of the infected people. In this limit case, the COVID-19 is substantially ineffective. Scenarios (1) and (2) are illustrated in Fig. 4.

3) We may also imagine that the capacity of infection of COVID-19 is less than 1. This means that the virus is no longer able to be transmitted thanks to the

¹Indeed, by plugging $\log(1)=0$ into Eq. (I3), we get N=1.

adopted (severe) protective measures, or to the production of the vaccine and the anti-viral, or because people, who has already overcome the disease, became auto-immune to COVID-19 (the latter seems to be the strategy adopted by British). In this case, the value of τ is negative and the number of infected people would decrease in time. In other words, in this case the infection is destined to disappear. The rate of decrease of the infected people will depend on the value of τ . This scenario is depicted in Fig. 5.



Figure 4: Number of infected people corresponding to the exponential law. The Italian situation before the lockdown measures (red line). The black straight line corresponds to the latent situation. In this limit case, the COVID-19 is substantially ineffective.

Figure 5: Case where $\tau < 0$ - Situation where the capacity of infection of COVID-19 is less than 1. In this case the number of infected people decreases exponentially and the virus is destined to disappear after few weeks.

1.1 Comparison with the Real Data before the Lockdown Measures

It is understood that the main objective of the blocking measures established by governments and the health organisations is to reduce the ability of a virus to infect other people. From a mathematical point of view, it should appear in Eq. (3) $\log(1) = 0$ (or, better, $\log(x) < 0$) instead of $\log(2)$. In practical terms, this means reducing the frequency of all involuntary contacts with a large number of people, to reduce unnecessary movements, to avoid encounters and to prolong the closure of schools. Although these measures cannot, in the long term, prevent the spread of the infection, they can, however, reduce the number of new infections daily, leaving room for serious patients, by avoiding to overload the healthcare system. We can very easily realise what are the consequences if the lockdown measures are not set up. To make a comparison between the theoretical predictions and real data, in absence of lockdown measures, we have to consider the correct reference period. More specifically, we have seen that the number of positive cases grows over time by following the law (3). Hence, at the reference time t_0 , the number of people infected by the virus is

$$N_0 = \exp(t_0/\tau) \tag{4}$$

After a period of time, say t, Eq. (3) reads

$$N = \exp(t/\tau) \tag{5}$$

Hence,

$$N = N_0 \exp((t - t_0)/\tau)$$
(6)

Eq. (6) is the equation that we shall use for comparing the mathematical predictions with real data during the initial phase where the spread of COVID-19 follows the exponential law, and $(t-t_0)$ is our *reference period*. Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 show the comparison between the theoretical predictions and the real data before the lockdown measures for Italy and Belgium, respectively. In this case we get $\tau \simeq 3.88$ and $\mu \simeq 2.6$ for Italy and $\tau \simeq 4.36$ and $\mu \simeq 3.0$ for Belgium.



Figure 6: Italian situation on 10 March 2020 (before the adoption of the lockdown measures). The blue full line corresponds to the theoretical predictions and the black full circles correspond to real data. In this case, the values of the two parameters τ and μ are $\tau \simeq 3.88$ and $\mu \simeq 2.6$, respectively.

Figure 7: Belgian situation on 16 March 2020 (before the adoption of the lockdown measures). The blue full line corresponds to the theoretical predictions and the black full circles correspond to real data. In this case, the values of the two parameters τ and μ are $\tau \simeq 4.36$ and $\mu \simeq 3.0$, respectively.

The manuscript is organised as follows. In Section (2) we determine the dynamic differential equation for the COVID-19. The comparison between the theoretical predictions and real data for Italy and Belgium can be found in the Section (3). Finally, we conclude in Section (4).

2 Modelling the COVID-19 growth

The purpose of this section is to determine the coefficients of the evolutionary differential equation for the COVID-19 (see the forthcoming Eq. (11)). We will also determine, through fitting techniques, validated by the χ^2 tests, the generic analytical expression for the time-dependent number of the infected people which, in addition of being solution of the Richard's differential equation, may be proposed for 13 respiratory infectious diseases caused by viruses (including the COVID-19).

2.1 General background

Letting N represent population size and t represent time, this model is formalised by the differential equation

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{K} \right) \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ defines the grow rate and K > 0 is the carrying capacity. In the equation, the early, unimpeded growth rate is modelled by the first term $+\alpha N$. The value of the rate α represents the proportional increase of the population N in one unit of time. Later, as the population grows, the modulus of the second term (which multiplied out is $-\alpha N^2/K$ becomes almost as large as the first, as some members of the population N interfere with each other by competing for some critical resource, such as food or living space. This antagonistic effect is called the *bottleneck*, and is modelled by the value of the parameter K. The competition diminishes the combined growth rate, until the value of N ceases to grow (this is called *maturity of the population*). The solution to the Eq. (7) is

$$N(t) = \frac{K}{1 + \exp(-\tau(t - t_0))}$$
(8)

Since the environmental conditions influence the carrying capacity, as a consequence it can be time-varying, with K(t) > 0, leading to the following mathematical model:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{K(t)} \right) \tag{9}$$

More generally, the growth modelling is well described by Richards' differential equation (RDE) (also known as the *Generalised logistic differential equation*)

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \left(\frac{N}{K(t)}\right)^{\nu} \right) \tag{10}$$

where $\nu > 0$ affects near which asymptote maximum growth occurs. Now, let us suppose that the Government decides to adopt the *lockdown measures*. If the lockdown measures are started with a log-kill effect, the equation may be revised to be

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \left(\frac{N}{K(t)}\right)^{\nu} \right) - c(t)N \tag{11}$$

where c(t) takes into account the lockdown measures-induced *death rate*.

2.2 Determination of functions K(t) and c(t) for the COVID-19

According to Prof. Roberto Ronchetti² Respiratory viruses remain quiet for months, inactive but viable, within living cells. Then suddenly they activate and become virulent as they say, the infectious capacity grows to a maximum, after which it decreases. The time duration, explains R. Ronchetti, is about of 2 or 3 months. So we can expect that the epidemic will soon die out in Italy too. So, there is no valid reason to think that this coronavirus behaves differently from others [5]. The present work starts from this hypothesis: the COVID-19 behaves exactly like the other viruses that cause respiratory diseases. As a consequence, for the COVID-19 case, functions K(t) and c(t) have determined by adopting the following procedure: I performed several *fittings* on the growth rate-trends of infection capacity of the viruses that mainly affect the respiratory system. More specifically, I considered the following 13 different diseases caused by 12 different viruses: Whooping Cough (Pertussis), Swine Flu (H1N1), Bird Flu (Avian Flu H5N1), Enterovirus, Flu in Children, Flu in Adults, Bacterial Pneumonia, Viral Pneumonia, Bronchitis, Common Cold (Head Cold), Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and MERS (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome). In all the examined cases, it was taken into account of the fact that the therapy-induced death rate is greater than the baseline proliferation rate, then there is the eradication of the disease [6]-[13]. Of course, this is an oversimplified model of both the growth and the therapy (e.g. it does not take into account the phenomenon of clonal resistance). I noticed empirically (according to the ξ^2 test) that all these viruses have in common the same growth rate-trend of infected people (of course, each of these behaviours have their own growth rate parameters). In particular, I noticed that the trends of the infected people versus time follow the function

$$N = At \exp(-(t - t_0)^2 / \sigma) \tag{12}$$

where the values of parameters A, t_0 and σ depend on the virus in question and on the external conditions (e.g. the lockdown measures) to which the population is subject. It is not difficult to check that Eq. (12) is a particular solution of the differential equation (11) where

$$\nu = 1; \quad \alpha = \frac{2t_0}{\sigma}; \quad K(t) = \frac{t_0}{2t^2 A}; \quad c(t) = \frac{2}{\sigma}t - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{t_0^2}{\sigma t}\exp(-(t - t_0)^2/\sigma) \quad (13)$$

Finally, the dynamic differential equation for the COVID-19 can be brought into the general form

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{\eta t^2} \right) - \left(\frac{2\lambda t^2 - t}{t} - \frac{\lambda t_0^2}{t} \exp\left(-\lambda (t - t_0)^2\right) \right) N$$
(14)

²Prof. Roberto Ronchetti is currently working at the Pediatric Clinic of *La Sapienza* of the University of Rome, at the *Policlinico Umberto I* and at the *S. Andrea 24 MarchHospital* where he helped found and he he dealt with childhood respiratory diseases and studied bronchiolitis in particular. In these days he has studied (with his collaborators) the data available on SARS-Covid-19 in China, in South Korea and now in Italy.

and expression (12) is the solution of the RDE in presence of the lockdown measures. Note that λ is linked to the doubling period μ . Indeed, as shown in the Introduction, during the exponential period, the COVID-19 grows according to the law (see Eq. (6))

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \tau^{-1}N\tag{15}$$

Hence, we get

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2t_0\tau} = \frac{\log(2)}{2t_0\mu} \tag{16}$$

It is also worth noticing that for large values of time Eq. (14) simply reads

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N \left(1 - \frac{N}{\eta t^2} \right) - \lambda t N \tag{17}$$

Eq. (17) is useful for studying the descent-phase for the number of infected people by COVID-19.

3 Comparison between the Theoretical Predictions and the Real Data

For Italy (and also for Belgium) we observed three phases related to the dynamics of the COVID-19, which we classify as follows:

- 1) The exponential period;
- 2) The transient period;
- **3)** The bell-shaped period (or the post-transient period).

with the following characteristics:

1a) As seen in the Introduction, before the application of the lockdown measures, the *exponential trend* is the intrinsic behaviour of the grow rate of the COVID-19;

1b) In the exponential period the doubling time μ is a *constant parameter* vs time;

2a) The transient period *starts* after having applied the severe lockdown measures;

2b) In the transient period we assist to a sort of oscillations of μ vs time. Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show the behaviour of parameter μ versus time for Italy and Belgium, respectively. during the transient period;

2c) The transient period *ends* when the last step of the exponential trend fits real data as good as the linear trend³.

3a) In the bell-shaped period parameter μ is a (typical) function of time.

³A numerical condition may be established by using the χ^2 test: the fittings of the two trends are considered both *good* if, for example, for both trends, the χ^2 -tests get values ≥ 0.9 .





Figure 8: Italian transient period (from 10 March 2020 to 24 March 2020). During this period, the doubling time μ oscillates over time. μ_0 indicates the (constant) doubling time during the exponential period (for Italy $\mu \simeq 2.6$ days).

Figure 9: Belgian transient period (from 17 March 2020 to 29 March 2020). During this period, the doubling time μ oscillates over time. μ_0 indicates the (constant) doubling time during the exponential period (for Belgium $\mu \simeq 3.0$ days).

3b) Several theoretical models may be used for studying the post-transient period (e.g. by using Gompertz's law). Here, we shall use two mathematical models: the solution of the differential equation (14) and the *logistic model* (see, for example, ref. [4]), and we shall compare these two theoretical models with real data for Italy and Belgium. Fig. (10) and Fig. (11) compare the theoretical predictions, updated to 29 March 2020, with the real data for Italy and Belgium, respectively. The values of the parameters α , η , λ and t_0 for Eq. (14) and the parameters t_0 and K for the Logistic function are reported in the figure captions. As we can see, for both Countries Eq. (14) fits very well all the real data from the initial days, while the logistic model applies only to the last few days. The theoretical predictions seem to confirm that Italy is in the *bell-shaped* period while Belgium seems to be still in the transition period.

The peaks of the curves at reached at the time t_{max} given by the expression

$$t_{Max} = \frac{1}{2} \left(t_0 + (t_0^2 + 2/\lambda)^{1/2} \right)$$
(18)

By inserting the values of the parameters, we get

$$t_{MaxIT} \simeq 68 \text{ days} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{MaxBE} \simeq 41 \text{ days}$$
(19)

corresponding to $T_{MaxIT} \simeq 8$ April 2020 and $T_{MaxBE} \simeq 11$ April 2020 for Italy and Belgium, respectively.



Figure 10: Italian situation on 29 March 2020 - Italian situation before and after 18 days of the adoption of the lockdown measures. The black full circles correspond to real data. The blue dotted line corresponds to the Italian situation before the adoption of the lockdown measures. The blue and the full lines, in the zone II, correspond to the theoretical predictions for Belgium according to the solution of Eq. (14) and the logistic model, respectively. Both models confirm that Italy is in the "bell-shaped" period. However, as we can see, the solution of Eq. (14) fits very well all the real data from the initial days (i.e., from the 25 March 2020), while the logistic model applies only to the last few days. The values of the parameters relating to the solution of Eq. (14) and the logistic function (8) are the following. For the solution of Eq. (14) we get $\alpha_{IT} = 0.338$ days⁻¹, $\lambda_{IT} = 0.0026$ days⁻², $\eta_{IT} = 57.453$ days⁻² and $t_{0IT} = 64.4$ days. For the Logistic function we get $t_{0LIT} = 51.77$ days and $K_{IT} = 115603$.



Figure 11: Belgian situation on 29 March 2020 - Belgian situation before and after 12 days of the adoption of the lockdown measures. The black full circles correspond to real data. The blue dotted line corresponds to the Belgian situation before the adoption of the lockdown measures. The blue and the red full lines, in the zone II, correspond to the theoretical predictions for Belgium according to the solution of Eq. (14) and the logistic model, respectively. However, as we can see, the solution of Eq. (14) fits very well all the real data from the initial days (i.e., from the 1 March 2020), while the logistic model applies only to the last few days. The values of the parameters relating to the solution of Eq. (14) and the logistic function (8) are the following. For the solution of Eq. (14) we get $\alpha_{BE} = 0.45$ days⁻¹, $\lambda_{BE} = 0.0058$ days⁻², $\eta_{BE} = 31.9$ days⁻² and $t_{0BE} = 38.2$ days. For the Logistic function we get $t_{0LBE} = 29.75$ days and $K_{BE} = 20977.45$.

4 Conclusions

In this work we have proposed the differential equation governing the evolution of the COVID-19. Through fitting techniques, previously performed and validated by the χ^2 -tests, we proposed a general analytical expression, a three-free parameters function - see Eq. (12), providing the number of the infected people by respiratory viruses over time. We have shown that this expression is solution of the Richard's differential equation subject to the lockdown measures. The differential equation (14) may be proposed for 13 respiratory infectious diseases caused by viruses, including the COVID-19. Successively, we have compared the theoretical predictions, provided by the solution of Eq. (14) and by the logistic model - see Eq. (8), with the real data for Italy and Belgium. We have seen that the solution of Eq. (14) is in good agreement with the real data from the beginning of the appearance of the COVID-19. This is not the case for the logistic model which applies only to the few last days. By inserting the values of the parameters into the solution of Eq. (14) we found the days where the maximum number infected people by COVID-19 will be reached in Italy and Belgium: we get, $t_{MaxIT} \simeq 8$ April 2020 and $t_{MaxBE} \simeq 11$ April 2020 for Italy and Belgium, respectively.

We also noted, empirically, that the infection process by COVID-19 may be divided into three qualitatively different periods i.e. the *exponential period*, the *transient period* and the *bell-shaped period* (or the *post-transient period*). The solution of Eq. (14) allows to define more precisely these three periods. Indeed, we may classify the above periods as follows

The exponential period for
$$0 \le t \le t_{LM}$$
 (20)
The transient period for $t_{LM} < t \le t_{flex}$
The bell – shaped period for $t > t_{flex}$

where t_{LM} and t_{flex} stand for the time when the lockdown measures are applied and the inflection point of the solution of Eq. (14), respectively. It is easily checked that the value of t_{flex} satisfies the following equation

$$2\lambda t_{flex}^3 - 4\lambda t_0 t_{flex}^2 + (2\lambda t_0^2 - 3)t_{flex} + 2t_0 = 0$$
⁽²¹⁾

Hence, according to Eq. (21), the *transient period* ended on 29 March 2020 for Italy and will end on 1 April 2020 for Belgium, respectively.

5 Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Dr Alberto Sonnino of the University College London to have carefully read the manuscript.

References

- [1] E. Bucci and E. Marinari. Sull?evoluzione Dell?epidemia DaCovid-19, PattoTrasversale laScienzaper(2020),https://www.pattoperlascienza.it/2020/03/02/lo-studio-di-enrico-buccied-enzo-marinari-sullevoluzione-dellepidemia-da-covid-19/
- [2] Il Sole 24 Ore, Coronavirus in Italia, i dati e la mappa (2020) Website: https://lab24.ilsole24ore.com/coronavirus/
- [3] Sciensano, *Epidemologie des maladies infectueuses* (2020) Website: https://epidemio.wiv-isp.be/ID/Pages/2019-nCoV.aspx
- [4] J. M. Hilbe, Practical Guide to Logistic Regression, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC (2015).
- [5] P. Piovani, Coronavirus, il pediatra: Questa epidemia durerà tre mesi, Il messaggero, 24 March 2020.
- [6] G. Sonnino, The COVID-19 The Infectious Disease Caused by the Latest Discovered Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), European Commission, ARES(2020)1530456, (March 2020).
- [7] On Health, Respiratory Illnesses: 13 Types of Lung Infections (Reviewed by Charles Patrick Davis, MD, PhD on 3/20/2020). Website: https://www.onhealth.com/content/1/respiratory_infections_causes
- [8] E. Manjarrez-Zavala etal., Pathogenesis ofViral Respiratory (2013),DOI: 10.5772/54287. Website: Infection. https://www.intechopen.com/books/respiratory-disease-and-infectiona-new-insight/pathogenesis-of-viral-respiratory-infection
- [9] C. Huang et al., Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China, The Lancet Journals, 395, Issue10223, P497-506, (Published: 24 January 2020). Website: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
- [10] W. Garrett Nichols et al., Respiratory Viruses Other than Influenza Virus: Impact and Therapeutic Advances, American Society for Microbiology - Clinical Microbiology Review, DOI: 10.1128/CMR.00045-07, Website: https://cmr.asm.org/content/21/2/274
- [11] S. Nickbakhsh et al., Virus?virus interactions impact the population dynamics of influenza and the common cold, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United State of America (PNAS), PNAS December 26, 2019 116 (52) 27142-27150; first published December 16, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1911083116 Edited by Burton H. Singer, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, and approved November 12, 2019 (received for review June 27, 2019).

- S. A. Lauer, The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases: Estimation and Application, Annals of Internal Medicine (ACP), (10 March 2020).
 Website: https://annals.org/aim/fullarticle/2762808/incubation-periodcoronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-from-publicly-reported
- [13] T.-M. Chen et al., A mathematical model for simulating the phase-based transmissibility of a novel coronavirus, BMC Part of Springer Nature, Infectious Diseases of Poverty, 9, Article number: 24 (2020).