

Some numerical observations about the COVID-19 epidemic in Italy

Federico Zullo*

September 1, 2022

Abstract

We give some numerical observations on the total number of infected by the recent COVID-19 epidemic in Italy. The analysis is very simple and is adapted from the well-known SIR model. A tanh formula with two parameters is taken into consideration. A polynomial correlation between the parameters is formulated, giving an upper bound for the time of the peak of new infected. The result is discussed in the conclusions.

1 Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been recognized in Italy starting from 31st January 2020, also if there are some evidences that the first cases started some time earlier [3]. The spread of the disease started from the northern regions of Italy, Lombardy and Veneto on the 21st of February 2020: after about twenty positive cases, two small areas had been put in quarantine but, since then, the number of infected increased exponentially in this two districts. From the localities situated farthest south in Lombardy the disease spread in closest regions, Emilia-Romagna above all, with the provinces of Piacenza, Parma, Modena, Rimini, but soon after also in other provinces of Lombardy (e.g. in the province of Bergamo). On the 8th of March a lockdown for the entire region of Lombardy (with a population of about 10 millions of inhabitants) and other fourteen provinces (population of about six millions of inhabitants) was imposed by the central government of Italy and then extended, on the 9th of March, to the entire country. Up to the 23rd of March, the total number of infected is 63927, of which 28761 in the Lombardy region.

Since the start of the epidemic in China, a certain number of studies appeared in the mathematical community about this subject: the description of the spatial or temporal diffusion of the infected in given regions [4], [8]-[10], the transmission dynamics of the infection [6], the economic and financial consequences of the epidemic [1], the effect of

*DICATAM, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Brescia, Italy

atmospheric indicators on the spread of the virus [5], are only a fraction of the topics under investigation in these days.

One of the simplest non-linear deterministic continuous (in time) model of epidemiology is the SIR model, in which the overall population is divided in three disjoint classes: S is the number of susceptible individuals, I the number of infectious individuals and R the number of recovered individuals. Albeit its non-linearity, the dynamic of the model is fairly uncomplicated and manageable from an analytical point of view, and displays very interesting properties such as the existence of an *epidemic threshold* (see e.g. [11]), making it a very reasonable model.

In section (2) the SIR model is introduced and briefly discussed, in section (3) we will analyze the data of the cumulative number of infected in Italy on the base of two simple hypotheses. An upper bound for the timing of the peak of new number of infected is obtained. In the conclusions we will comment about the results and look for possible extensions.

2 The SIR model and some considerations

The SIR model describes the evolution of the individuals in the susceptible, infectious or recovered classes with the following differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = -r \frac{SI}{N}, \\ \frac{dI}{dt} = r \frac{SI}{N} - aI, \\ \frac{dR}{dt} = aI. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The total population $N = S + R + I$ is a conserved quantity from the dynamical point of view, meaning that there are only two independent variables in the set of equations (1). The characteristics of this model are well-known and the interested readers can look for example at the discussions in the classical books of Braun [2] and Murray [11]. Here we will make only few observations, relevant for the next sections.

Some authors do not include the denominator N on the right hand side of (1), since it is a constant and can be absorbed by a re-definition of the parameter r . However, we will keep it: in this way it is evident the *scaling* property of this model: if the initial conditions (S_0, I_0, R_0) are scaled by a common constant factor α , (and so the total population is scaled by a factor α), the solution is scaled by the same factor. Some temporal properties of this model, like the time corresponding to a maximum in I (the time of the peak of the infected), do not depend on the scaling. This property is very useful, since the actual number of infected or susceptible (and then of recovered) is in general not known. The reasonable assumption that the *same fraction* (with respect to the total) of infected, susceptible and recovered individuals are known, gives the possibility, in this case, to compare the measured data with the properties that are scale-independent.

The solution of the system (1) can be written in terms of just one variable: if $R(t)$ is known, $I(t)$ can be obtained by the third equation and $S(t)$ from the first one or from the constrain $N = S(t) + I(t) + R(t)$. If the epidemic is not severe (the number $R(t)$ can be considered small compared to the overall population), an explicit formula for the number of recovered can be obtained in terms of the hyperbolic tangent function. The functions reads as

$$R(t) = \alpha \tanh(\beta t - c) + \alpha \tanh(c) \quad (2)$$

where we used the initial value $R(0) = 0$ and the parameters (α, β, c) can be made explicit in terms of the parameters appearing in (1). The previous is one of the example of the so-called s-shaped epidemiological curve (with a “peaked” derivative, the function sech^2) that universally describes an infection disease. The value of the number of infected can be obtained by derivation, i.e. $I(t) = \alpha\beta\text{sech}(\beta t - c)^2$. When considering the cumulative number of infected, $R + I$, the contribution of sech^2 is negligible on the tails, whereas it is more pronounced in correspondence of the maximum of sech^2 , but it is however small if the value of the parameter β is less than one. In this case, the value of $R + I$ is well approximated by a tanh formula like (2), with a certain different value of c . This is what we will assume in the next section.

3 Analysis of data with a tanh model

It is clear that the description made in the previous section is very basic. However it has the advantage to be manageable and to incorporate the main properties of the SIR model. It is not by chance that the first application of the SIR model (the Bombay plague of 1905) by Kermack and McKendrick [7] used precisely the tanh formula above. In the following we will base our analysis on two hypothesis:

- (i) We assume that the cumulative number of infected is described by a tanh model. This assumption is independent on the underlying dynamical model considered, but may be justified on the base of some of them (e.g. the SIR model, as shown in the previous section).
- (ii) We assume that, whatever it is the underlying model describing the evolution of the number of infected, this model is scale invariant, in the sense specified in the previous section.

The second hypothesis is fundamental since we are going to look at scale-independent quantities: even in the case the measured number of infected and recovered individuals are different from the actual values, it is possible to estimate these quantities.

The cumulative total number of infected that will be considered in the next lines are those of entire Italy territory. There are at least two reasons that suggested to not take regional or local data: the first one is that the epidemic started to spread across three different regions (Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia-Romagna) and there could not be a correspondence between the locality where a certain fraction of inhabitants reside

and the region where this fraction was infected. This is also true at a national level, but the fraction is assumed to be smaller. The second reason is that a non negligible number of workers and students moved, just before the lockdown, from the regions in the north of Italy to their regions of origin in the center and south of Italy. The possibility that a non negligible flow of infected people passed from the north to other regions should be taken into consideration. By taking the entire national set of data we overpass the above issues.

The data can be taken for example from Italian Protezione Civile [12] or from WHO [13]. The cumulative total number of infected will be indicated by F_n , with $F_1 = 20$ corresponding to the number of infected on 21st of February 2020. The subscript n stays for the number of days from the starting of epidemic. These data will be opposed to the continuous formula

$$f(t, \alpha, \beta, c) = \alpha \tanh(\beta t - c) + \alpha \tanh(c). \quad (3)$$

The value of β will be taken to be constrained by the equation

$$\alpha \tanh(\beta - c) + \alpha \tanh(c) = F_1. \quad (4)$$

The function f (3) then depends on two parameters, α and c . When necessary, to stress the dependence on these parameters, we will denote the function with $f_{\alpha,c}(t)$. The cumulative final number of infected expected from formula (3) is given by $f_\infty = \alpha(1 + \tanh(c))$. It is possible to estimate the parameters α and c by minimizing the difference between the actual and predicted number of cases, i.e. minimizing

$$S_n = \sum_{i=2}^n (F_n - f(n))^2 \quad (5)$$

In order to have a reasonable minimum number of data, we start the analysis by taking $n \geq 15$. The values of the parameters minimizing the sum S_n are reported in table (1)

Table 1: the estimated values of α_n , c_n and f_∞




Figure 1: The actual number of infected and the continuous curve given by $f_{\alpha_{31}, c_{31}}(t)$

n	α_n	c_n	f_∞
15	3451.9	2.532	6860
16	4597.5	2.642	9148
17	6305.6	2.770	12562
18	8487.6	2.894	16923
19	8299.1	2.885	16564
20	9704.8	2.949	19356
21	11899.2	3.034	23743
22	13821.3	3.096	27586
23	16608.6	3.173	33159
24	19377.0	3.234	38694
25	21100.7	3.274	42141
26	22540.2	3.302	45019
27	24368.5	3.334	48675
28	27111.3	3.378	54160
29	30632.1	3.428	61200
30	34761.8	3.479	69458
31	38130.2	3.517	76193

At the 31st day of epidemic the final number of infected is estimated to be 76193, but this is only a lower bound since the values of α_n and c_n are increasing. A plot of $f_{\alpha_{31}, c_{31}}(t)$ and of the cumulative number of infected is reported in figure (1). A fundamental observation is that the function S_n actually has a basin of depressed values, showed in detail in figure (2) for a given value of n . This basin of minimum seems to indicate that there is a given function $\alpha(c)$ giving a family of tanh curves with




Figure 2: The basin of depressed values of S_{30} : the values have been re-scaled to F_30^2 for easy of plotting

reduced values of S_n . The curve $\alpha(c)$ is quite stable by varying n and suggests to look at the values of α_n as functions of c_n . In figure (3) we report the plot of the values of α_n and c_n given in table (1) as a function of n , whereas in figure (4) the plot of the values (c_n, α_n) . The values of α_n vs c_n , as explained above, describe the basin of depressed values for S_n as a function of $\alpha(c)$. We make a cubic fit, with linear coefficients, in order to get a rough description of the curve $\alpha(c)$:

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^3 a_k c^k \quad (6)$$

Clearly, by considering a number N of values of α_n and c_n to fit a_k , $k=0, \dots, 3$, we will obtain a set of values $\{a_{k,N}\}$. By fitting all the data available (i.e. by taking $N = 17$), we get the following values for the coefficients a_k :

$$a_0 = -630880, \quad a_1 = 696546, \quad a_2 = -258935, \quad a_3 = 32685. \quad (7)$$

It is possible to get more terms in the sum (6), but the cubic term is sufficient to get a formula accurate enough to what we are going to say.

The plot of the fit is given in figure (5), together with the values of the residuals $\alpha_n - \sum_{k=0}^3 a_k c_n^k$, where the values a_k are those given in equation (7). A comparison between the curve $\alpha(c)$ and the basin of minima for S_n has been plotted in figure (6): the red curve is the function (6) with the black dots giving the actual values of (c_n, α_n) in table (1).

The function $\alpha(c)$ denotes a trend in the data that may be useful. If in the next days the values of the infection continue to rise, it is reasonable to expect that the values of α and c will be constrained closely by the same curve. Clearly the model used here is rough, but it can give at least an idea about the future trend of the data. We




Figure 3: The values of α_n and c_n vs n as given in table (1)




Figure 4: The values of α_n vs the values of c_n as given in table (1)




Figure 5: The plot of the fit (6) (left) and the values of the residuals $\alpha_n - \sum_{k=0}^3 a_k c_n^k$ (right)




Figure 6: The basin of minima together with the curve (6) (in red) and the actual values (c_n, α_n) (black dots)




Figure 7: The values of the derivative of the function $f(t)$ vs n and c (left) and the corresponding values of maxima as a function of n and c (the points where the second derivative of $f(t)$ vanishes) (right).

are tacitly assuming that there will be no other cluster of infection around Italy in the next days: the point will be discussed later.

Now we consider the function f in (3) as a function of t and c alone, since the value of a is constrained by the curve (6). The plot of the derivative of this function (with respect to t) gives the time of the peak of infections. The plot is reported in figure (7): we notice that the maximum of the derivative of the cumulative number of infected increases with n up to $c \sim 4.15$ and then *decreases* by increasing c . This gives an upper bound for the peak of new number of infected (the point where the second derivative of $f(t)$ (3) is zero), given by 32 days after the first infection. In the next days (at the moment of writing we are exactly at the 24th of March, exactly at 32 days) the description above can be tested.

4 Conclusions

The above analysis, despite using a rough function for the total number of infected, is able to give an upper bound for the time of the peak of new infected (around 23rd of March) thanks to the observation that the values of α_n are, in a certain sense, not independent on the values of c_n and are well described by a polynomial interpolation with linear coefficient. The hypothesis about the scale invariance of the underlying model (that, we repeat, not necessarily is represented by the SIR model) is fundamental for the accuracy of the result. Another underlying assumption is that the restrictive measures will be kept and observed in the next days and there will be no other clusters in the south of Italy (in the SIR model language, the values of S_0 are below the epidemic threshold, see e.g. [11]). In the unfortunate case that there will be other clusters, it is

possible to think at a substitution of the tanh curve by a combination of such functions: if there are two clusters of comparable magnitude, then we will have

$$f(t) = \alpha_1 \tanh(\beta_1 t - c_1) + \alpha_1 \tanh(c_1) + \alpha_2 \tanh(\beta_2 t - c_2) + \alpha_2 \tanh(c_2) \quad (8)$$

In a next paper other sets of data will be analyzed, whereas the above analysis will be updated if necessary.

References

- [1] C. Albulescu: Coronavirus and oil price crash, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06184>
- [2] M. Braun: Differential Equations and Their Applications: An Introduction to Applied Mathematics, Springer, ISBN 978-0387978949.
- [3] A. Lai, A. Bergna C. Acciarri, M. Galli, G. Zehender: Early phylogenetic estimate of the effective reproduction number of SARS-CoV2, *Journal of Medical Virology*, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25723>, 2020, see also the interview to Prof. Galli to the italian newspaper *Corriere della Sera* https://www.corriere.it/cronache/20_marzo_01/gallil-coronavirus-italia-settimane-tsunami-il-sistema-sanitario-a153160c-5b3d-11ea-8b1a-b76251361796.shtml
- [4] D. Fanelli, F. Piazza: Analysis and forecast of COVID-19 spreading in China, Italy and France, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06031>
- [5] Jingyuan Wang, Ke Tang, Kai Feng, Weifeng Lv: High Temperature and High Humidity Reduce the Transmission of COVID-19, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.05003>.
- [6] Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, Liu Y, Edmunds J, Funk S, Eggo RM: Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study, *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020 Mar 11. pii: S1473-3099(20)30144-4. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30144-4.
- [7] Kermack, W. O.; McKendrick, A. G.: A Contribution to the Mathematical Theory of Epidemics, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A.* 115 (772): 700721, 1927
- [8] G. Gaeta: Data analysis for the COVID-19 early dynamics in Northern Italy, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.02062>
- [9] G. Gaeta: Data Analysis for the COVID-19 early dynamics in Northern Italy. The effect of first restrictive measures, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.03775>
- [10] D. Giuliani, M. M. Dickson, G. Espa, F. Santi: Modelling and predicting the spatio-temporal spread of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Italy, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06664>
- [11] J. Murray: *Mathematical Biology*, Vol. 1, Springer, Berlin, 2002, ISBN 0-387-95223-3
- [12] <http://www.protezionecivile.gov.it/media-comunicazione/comunicati-stampa>
- [13] <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports>