

# REMARKS ON THE K-THEORY OF C\*-ALGEBRAS OF PRODUCTS OF ODOMETERS

HUI LI

ABSTRACT. we pose a conjecture on the K-theory of the self-similar  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra of a standard product of odometers. We generalize the C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  to any subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$  and then realize it as the self-similar  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra of a standard product of odometers.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the work of Bost and Connes in [3], Cuntz in [5] constructed a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$  which is strongly related to the  $ax + b$ -semigroup over  $\mathbb{N}$ . Later Li in [15] defined the notion of semigroup C\*-algebras and Brownlowe, Ramagge, Robertson, and Whittaker in [4] defined the boundary quotients of semigroup C\*-algebras. Their work branches out to many interesting mathematical areas, hence generates a very popular area of C\*-algebras.

For any nonempty subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$  consisting of mutually coprime numbers, Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier in [2] defined a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  which is a direct generalization of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$  (by letting  $S$  be the set of all prime numbers). Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier decomposed the K-theory of  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  into a free abelian part which they solved in [2] and a highly nontrivial torsion part. At the end of [2] Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier made a conjecture to the torsion part which is equivalent to a conjecture of  $k$ -graph C\*-algebras about whether the K-theory of the single-vertex  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra is independent of the factorization rule. Very recently, many authors also found the  $k$ -graph algebra conjecture is highly connected with the famous Yang-Baxter equation (see for example [8, 26]). Therefore, the conjecture of Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier and the conjecture about the  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra are extremely important in many ways.

Self-similar actions appear naturally in geometric group theory. Nekrashevych was the first who systematically built a bridge between the C\*-algebra theory and the self-similar actions (on rooted trees, see [20, 21]). Nekrashevych's work was recently generalized to the self-similar directed graphs, self-similar directed graph C\*-algebras by Exel and Pardo in [7], and to the self-similar  $k$ -graphs, self-similar  $k$ -graph C\*-algebras by Li and Yang in [17].

The purpose of this paper is two fold. Firstly we pose a conjecture (see Conjecture 4.2) on the K-theory of the self-similar  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra of a standard product of odometers (see Definition 2.9). Secondly we generalize the C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  to any subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$  and then realize it as the self-similar  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra of a standard product of odometers. Therefore Conjecture 4.2 naturally includes the conjecture of Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier and the conjecture about the  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra. We hope the self-similar

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05.

*Key words and phrases.* C\*-algebra; K-theory; self-similar  $k$ -graph; products of odometers.

The author was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11801176).

$k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebra setting will provide insight on how to solve these conjectures in the future.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide the background material about self-similar  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebras. In Section 3, we use the skew product approach to stabilize every self-similar  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebra. In Section 4, we pose a conjecture (see Conjecture 4.2) on the  $K$ -theory of the self-similar  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebra of a standard product of odometers and then we discuss the relationship between Conjecture 4.2 and the conjecture of Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recap the background of  $k$ -graphs,  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebras, self-similar  $k$ -graph, self-similar  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebras from [13, 17, 18].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $k$  be a positive integer which is allowed to be infinity. A countable small category  $\Lambda$  is called a  $k$ -graph if there exists a functor  $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$  satisfying that for  $\mu \in \Lambda, p, q \in \mathbb{N}^k$  with  $d(\mu) = p + q$ , there exist unique  $\alpha \in d^{-1}(p)$  and  $\beta \in d^{-1}(q)$  with  $s(\alpha) = r(\beta)$  such that  $\mu = \alpha\beta$ .

**Notation 2.2.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $k$ -graph. For  $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , denote by  $\Lambda^p := d^{-1}(p)$ . For  $A, B \subset \Lambda$ , denote by  $AB := \{\mu\nu : \mu \in A, \nu \in B, s(\mu) = r(\nu)\}$ . Denote by  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^k$  the standard basis of  $\mathbb{N}^k$ . For  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , denote by  $\mathbf{1}_n := \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{N}^k, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}^k$ , denote by  $\lambda^p := \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{p_i}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $k$ -graph. Then  $\Lambda$  is said to be *row-finite* if  $|v\Lambda^p| < \infty$  for all  $v \in \Lambda^0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .  $\Lambda$  is said to be *source-free* if  $v\Lambda^p \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v \in \Lambda^0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .  $\Lambda$  is said to be *finite* if  $|\Lambda^n| < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .

Throughout the rest of this paper, all  $k$ -graphs are assumed to be row-finite and source-free.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $k$ -graph. Then the  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  is defined to be the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a family of partial isometries  $\{s_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  (Cuntz-Krieger  $\Lambda$ -family) satisfying

- (1)  $\{s_v\}_{v \in \Lambda^0}$  is a family of mutually orthogonal projections;
- (2)  $s_{\mu\nu} = s_\mu s_\nu$  if  $s(\mu) = r(\nu)$ ;
- (3)  $s_\mu^* s_\mu = s_{s(\mu)}$  for all  $\mu \in \Lambda$ ; and
- (4)  $s_v = \sum_{\mu \in v\Lambda^p} s_\mu s_\mu^*$  for all  $v \in \Lambda^0, p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $G$  be a countable discrete group acting on a  $k$ -graph  $\Lambda$ , and let  $|\cdot| : G \times \Lambda \rightarrow G$  be a map. Then  $(G, \Lambda)$  is called a *self-similar  $k$ -graph* if

- (1)  $G \cdot \Lambda^p \subset \Lambda^p$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ;
- (2)  $s(g \cdot \mu) = g \cdot s(\mu)$  and  $r(g \cdot \mu) = g \cdot r(\mu)$  for all  $g \in G, \mu \in \Lambda$ ;
- (3)  $g \cdot (\mu\nu) = (g \cdot \mu)(g|_\mu \cdot \nu)$  for all  $g \in G, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda$  with  $s(\mu) = r(\nu)$ ;
- (4)  $g|_v = g$  for all  $g \in G, v \in \Lambda^0$ ;
- (5)  $g|_{\mu\nu} = g|_\mu|_\nu$  for all  $g \in G, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda$  with  $s(\mu) = r(\nu)$ ;
- (6)  $1_G|_\mu = 1_G$  for all  $\mu \in \Lambda$ ;
- (7)  $(gh)|_\mu = g|_{h \cdot \mu} h|_\mu$  for all  $g, h \in G, \mu \in \Lambda$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(G, \Lambda)$  be a self-similar  $k$ -graph. Define  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda}^\dagger$  to be the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a Cuntz-Krieger  $\Lambda$ -family  $\{s_\mu : \mu \in \Lambda\}$  and a family of unitaries  $\{u_g : g \in G\}$  satisfying

- (1)  $u_{gh} = u_g u_h$  for all  $g, h \in G$ ;
- (2)  $u_g s_\mu = s_{g \cdot \mu} u_{g|_\mu}$  for all  $g \in G, \mu \in \Lambda$ .

Define  $\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda} := \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu u_g s_\nu^* : s(\mu) = g \cdot s(\nu)\}$ , which is called the *self-similar  $k$ -graph C\*-algebra* of  $(G, \Lambda)$ .

*Remark 2.7.* There exists a strongly continuous homomorphism  $\gamma : \mathbb{T}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda})$ , which is called the *gauge action*, such that  $\gamma_\lambda(s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*) := \lambda^{d(\mu)-d(\nu)} s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda, g \in G$  with  $s(\mu) = g \cdot s(\nu)$ . By the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem  $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$  embeds in  $\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda}$  naturally.

*Remark 2.8.* If  $\Lambda$  is finite, then  $\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda}$  is a unital C\*-algebra with the unit  $\sum_{v \in \Lambda^0} s_v$ .

**Definition 2.9** ([16, Definition 4.6]). Let  $(G, \Lambda)$  be a self-similar  $k$ -graph. Then  $(G, \Lambda)$  is called a *product of odometers* if

- (1)  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (2)  $\Lambda^0 = \{v\}$ ;
- (3)  $\Lambda^{e_i} := \{x_\mathfrak{s}^i\}_{\mathfrak{s}=0}^{n_i-1}, n_i > 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ;
- (4)  $1 \cdot x_\mathfrak{s}^i = x_{(\mathfrak{s}+1) \bmod n_i}^i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq \mathfrak{s} \leq n_i - 1$ ;
- (5)  $1|_{x_\mathfrak{s}^i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq \mathfrak{s} < n_i - 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{s} = n_i - 1 \end{cases}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq \mathfrak{s} \leq n_i - 1$ .

Moreover, if  $x_\mathfrak{s}^i x_\mathfrak{t}^j = x_\mathfrak{v}^j x_\mathfrak{s}'^i$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq k, 0 \leq \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}' \leq n_i - 1, 0 \leq \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{t}' \leq n_j - 1$  with  $\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{t}n_i = \mathfrak{t}' + \mathfrak{s}'n_j$ , then  $(G, \Lambda)$  is called a *standard product of odometers*. Denote by  $g\Lambda := \gcd\{n_i - 1 : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ .

### 3. SELF-SIMILAR SKEW PRODUCTS

Kumjian and Pask in [13] defined the notion of skew products of  $k$ -graphs. That is, given a  $k$ -graph  $\Lambda$  and a functor from  $\Lambda$  into a group  $K$ , they endowed the Cartesian product  $\Lambda \times K$  with a  $k$ -graph structure and it is called the skew product of  $\Lambda$  and  $K$ . Since any  $k$ -graph  $\Lambda$  carries a functor which is the degree map  $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k$ , there is a natural skew product  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ , denoted by  $\Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k$ , induced from the degree map (see Definition 3.1).

In this section, for any self-similar  $k$ -graph  $(G, \Lambda)$ , we construct a self-similar  $k$ -graph  $(G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k)$  and we show that  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k} \cong \mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{T}^k$ , where  $\gamma : \mathbb{T}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda})$  is the gauge action.

**Definition 3.1** ([13, Definition 5.1]). Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $k$ -graph. Define  $\Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k := \Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^k$ ; define  $(\Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k)^0 := \Lambda^0 \times \mathbb{Z}^k$ ; for  $(\mu, z) \in \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k$ , define  $s(\mu, z) := (s(\mu), d(\mu) + z), r(\mu, z) := (r(\mu), z)$ ; for  $(\mu, z), (\nu, d(\mu) + z) \in \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k$  with  $s(\mu) = r(\nu)$ , define  $(\mu, z) \cdot (\nu, d(\mu) + z) := (\mu\nu, z)$ ; for  $(\mu, z) \in \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k$ , define  $d(\mu, z) := d(\mu)$ . Then  $\Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k$  is a  $k$ -graph.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(G, \Lambda)$  be a self-similar  $k$ -graph. For  $g \in G, (\mu, z) \in \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k$ , define  $g \cdot (\mu, z) := (g \cdot \mu, z)$  and  $g|_{(\mu, z)} := g|_\mu$ . Then  $(G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k)$  is a self-similar  $k$ -graph.

**Notation 3.3.** Let  $(G, \Lambda)$  be a self-similar  $k$ -graph. Denote by  $\{s_\mu, u_g\}$  the generators of  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda}^\dagger$ , denote by  $\{t_{(\mu, z)}, v_g\}$  the generators of  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}^\dagger$ . We have  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k} = \overline{\text{span}}\{t_{(\mu, z-d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, z-d(\nu))}^* : \mu, \nu \in \Lambda, g \in G, z \in \mathbb{Z}^k, s(\mu) = g \cdot s(\nu)\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $S$  be a set of generators of  $A$ , and let  $(T_i)_{i \in I}$  be a net of operators in  $M(A)$  such that  $(T_i)_{i \in I}$  is uniformly bounded. Suppose that for any  $a \in S \cup S^*$ , the nets  $(T_i a)_{i \in I}$  and  $(T_i^* a)_{i \in I}$  converge. Then  $(T_i)_{i \in I}, (T_i^*)_{i \in I}$  converge strictly and  $(\lim_{i \in I} T_i)^* = \lim_{i \in I} T_i^*$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5** (cf. [13, Corollary 5.3]). *Let  $(G, \Lambda)$  be a self-similar  $k$ -graph. Then  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(i_A, i_G)$  be the universal covariant homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda}, \mathbb{T}^k, \gamma)$  in  $M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k)$  (cf. [25, Theorem 2.61]).

For  $\mu \in \Lambda, g \in G, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}^k$ , define  $\pi(s_{\mu}) := \sum_z t_{(\mu, z)}, \pi(u_g) := \sum_{(v, z)} t_{(v, z)} v_g, U_{\lambda} := \sum_{(v, z)} \lambda^{-z} t_{(v, z)}$  ( $\pi(s_{\mu}), \pi(u_g), U_{\lambda}$  lie in  $M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$  due to Lemma 3.4). It is straightforward to check that there exists a homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda}^{\dagger} \rightarrow M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$  which restricts to a nondegenerate homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda}$ . Since  $\pi(\gamma_{\lambda}(s_{\mu} u_g s_{\nu}^*)) = U_{\lambda} \pi(s_{\mu} u_g s_{\nu}^*) U_{\lambda}^*$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda, g \in G, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}^k$ , we get a nondegenerate covariant homomorphism  $(\pi, U)$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda}, \mathbb{T}^k, \gamma)$  in  $M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$ . By the universal property of  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k$ , there is a nondegenerate homomorphism  $\pi \rtimes U : \mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k \rightarrow M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$  (denote by  $\overline{\pi \rtimes U} : M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$  the unique extension of  $\pi \rtimes U$ ) such that  $\overline{\pi \rtimes U} \circ i_A = \pi, \overline{\pi \rtimes U} \circ i_G = U$ . Notice that the image of  $\pi \rtimes U$  actually lies in  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}$ .

Conversely, for  $\mu \in \Lambda, z \in \mathbb{Z}^k, g \in G$ , define  $\rho(t_{(\mu, z)}) := \int \lambda^{d(\mu) + z} i_A(s_{\mu}) i_G(\lambda) d\lambda, \rho(v_g) := \sum_v i_A(s_v u_g)$ . It is straightforward to see that there exists a homomorphism  $\rho : \mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}^{\dagger} \rightarrow M(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k)$ . Observe that  $\rho(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k$ .

It is easy to see that  $\rho \circ (\pi \rtimes U) = \text{id}|_{\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{T}^k}$  and  $(\pi \rtimes U) \circ \rho|_{\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}} = \text{id}|_{\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}}$ . Hence we are done.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(G, \Lambda)$  be a self-similar  $k$ -graph. Then there exists a group homomorphism  $\widehat{\gamma} : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$  such that*

- (1)  $\widehat{\gamma}_z(t_{(\mu, w - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, w - d(\nu))}^*) = t_{(\mu, z + w - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, z + w - d(\nu))}^*$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda, g \in G, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  with  $s(\mu) = g \cdot s(\nu)$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k} \rtimes_{\widehat{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z}^k$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda}$ .

*Proof.* The first statement follows immediately from Theorem 3.5. The second statement holds due to the Takai duality theorem.  $\square$

## 4. K-THEORY OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS OF PRODUCTS OF ODOMETERS

### 4.1. A conjecture on the K-theory of $C^*$ -algebras of products of odometers.

In this subsection, we pose a conjecture on the K-theory of the self-similar  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebra of a standard product of odometers.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda)$  be a product of odometers. For  $n \geq 1$ , define*

$$B_n := \begin{cases} \overline{\text{span}}\{t_{(\mu, n1_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, n1_k - d(\nu))}^*\}, & \text{if } k \neq \infty \\ \overline{\text{span}}\{t_{(\mu, n1_n - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, n1_n - d(\nu))}^*\}, & \text{if } k = \infty. \end{cases}$$

- (1) For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $B_n$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}$ .
- (2) For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $B_n \cong C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes K(l^2(\Lambda))$ .
- (3)  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n}$ .

- (4)  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}) \cong \left\{ \frac{z}{\prod_{i=1}^k n_i^{p_i}} : z \in \mathbb{Z}, p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=1}^k p_i < \infty \right\}, K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$
- (5) *The homomorphism  $\widehat{\gamma}$  in Corollary 3.6 induces an action of  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  on  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$  such that  $z \cdot w / (n_1 \cdots n_k)^n = w / ((n_1 \cdots n_k)^n n_1^{z_1} \cdots n_k^{z_k})$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^k, w \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1$ , and induces a trivial action  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  of on  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this proposition is similar for both the cases  $k < \infty$  and  $k = \infty$ . So we only prove the proposition for  $k < \infty$  and omit the proof of the other case. We assume that  $k < \infty$ .

For  $n \geq 1, \mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda, g, h \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^* t_{(\alpha, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\alpha))} v_h t_{(\beta, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\beta))}^* = \delta_{\nu, \alpha} t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g h t_{(\beta, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\beta))}^*.$$

So  $B_n$  is a C\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k}$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , by Lemma 3.4,  $\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))}^*$  is a unitary of  $M(B_n)$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $V : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \lambda \mapsto \lambda$  the generating unitary of  $C(\mathbb{T})$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M(B_n)$  such that  $\varphi(V^g) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))}^*$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\{e_{\mu, \nu}\}_{\mu, \nu \in \Lambda}$  the generators of  $K(l^2(\Lambda))$ . For  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ , define  $E_{\mu, \nu} := t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} t_{(\nu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^*$ . For  $\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ , we have  $E_{\mu, \nu} E_{\alpha, \beta} = \delta_{\nu, \alpha} E_{\mu, \beta}$ . So there exists a homomorphism  $\psi : K(l^2(\Lambda)) \rightarrow B_n$  such that  $\psi(e_{\mu, \nu}) = E_{\mu, \nu}$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ . Since the images of  $\varphi, \psi$  commute, by [19, Theorem 6.3.7] there exists a surjective homomorphism  $h : C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes K(l^2(\Lambda)) \rightarrow B_n$  such that  $h(V^g \otimes e_{\mu, \nu}) = t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^*$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ . Notice that there exist two faithful expectations  $E : C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes K(l^2(\Lambda)) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes K(l^2(\Lambda)), F : B_n \rightarrow B_n$  (the existence of  $F$  follows from [18, Theorem 3.20]) such that  $E(V^g \otimes e_{\mu, \nu}) = \delta_{g, 0} \delta_{\mu, \nu} 1_{C(\mathbb{T})} \otimes e_{\mu, \mu}, F(t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^*) = \delta_{g, 0} \delta_{\mu, \nu} t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} t_{(\nu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^*$ . It is easy to check that  $h \circ E = F \circ h$  and  $h$  is injective on the image of  $E$ . Hence  $h$  is an isomorphism by [11, Proposition 3.11]. Therefore  $B_n \cong C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes K(l^2(\Lambda))$ .

For  $n \geq 1, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda, g \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^* &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\mu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\alpha, n\mathbf{1}_k)} t_{(\alpha, n\mathbf{1}_k)}^* t_{(\nu, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\mu(g \cdot \alpha), n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu))} v_{g|_{\alpha}} t_{(\nu \alpha, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu))}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\mu(g \cdot \alpha), (n+1)\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu(g \cdot \alpha)))} v_{g|_{\alpha}} t_{(\nu \alpha, (n+1)\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu \alpha))}^*. \end{aligned}$$

So  $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$ .

For  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda, w \in \mathbb{Z}^k, g \in \mathbb{Z}$ , pick up an arbitrary  $n \geq 1$  such that  $w \leq n\mathbf{1}_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{(\mu, w - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\nu, w - d(\nu))}^* &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{n\mathbf{1}_k - w}} t_{(\mu, w - d(\mu))} v_g t_{(\alpha, w)} t_{(\alpha, w)}^* t_{(\nu, w - d(\nu))}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{n\mathbf{1}_k - w}} t_{(\mu(g \cdot \alpha), w - d(\mu))} v_{g|_{\alpha}} t_{(\nu \alpha, w - d(\nu))}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{n\mathbf{1}_k - w}} t_{(\mu(g \cdot \alpha), n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\mu(g \cdot \alpha)))} v_{g|_{\alpha}} t_{(\nu \alpha, n\mathbf{1}_k - d(\nu \alpha))}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n}$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , denote by  $\iota_n : B_n \rightarrow B_{n+1}$  the inclusion map. we compute that  $t_{(v,n\mathbf{1}_k)} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\mu,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} t_{(\mu,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^*$ . So  $K_0(\iota_n)(1) = n_1 \cdots n_k$ . Hence  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k}) \cong \{ \frac{z}{\prod_{i=1}^k n_i^{p_i}} : z \in \mathbb{Z}, p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ . We calculate that  $\iota_n(t_{(v,n\mathbf{1}_k)} v_1 t_{(v,n\mathbf{1}_k)}^*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(1-\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} v_1 |_\alpha t_{(\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^*$ , which is regarded as a unitary of  $M_{\Lambda^{1_k}} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ . Consider another unitary  $\sum_{\beta \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\beta,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} v_{-(1|\beta)} t_{(\beta,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^*$  of  $M_{\Lambda^{1_k}} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ . We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(1-\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} v_1 |_\alpha t_{(\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^* \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\beta,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} v_{-(1|\beta)} t_{(\beta,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(1-\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} t_{(\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^* \\ &\sim_h \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} t_{(\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^*. \end{aligned}$$

So  $[\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(1-\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} v_1 |_\alpha t_{(\alpha,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^*] = [\sum_{\beta \in \Lambda^{1_k}} t_{(\beta,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)} v_1 |_\beta t_{(\beta,(n+1)\mathbf{1}_k-1_k)}^*]$  in  $K_1(M_{\Lambda^{1_k}} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$ . Since  $1|_{x_{s_1}^1 \cdots x_{s_k}^k} = \delta_{s_1, n_1-1} \cdots \delta_{s_k, n_k-1} 1$ ,  $K_1(\iota_n) = \text{id}$ . Hence  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $\hat{\gamma}$  is a group homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  to  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k})$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}$  induces actions of  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  on  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k})$  and  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k})$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^k, n \geq 1$ , there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $z \leq m\mathbf{1}_k$ . Then  $\hat{\gamma}_z(t_{(v,n\mathbf{1}_k)}) = t_{(v,n\mathbf{1}_k+z)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{m\mathbf{1}_k-z}} t_{(\alpha,(m+n)\mathbf{1}_k-d(\alpha))} t_{(\alpha,(m+n)\mathbf{1}_k-d(\alpha))}^*$ . So  $K_0(\hat{\gamma}_z)(1/(n_1 \cdots n_k)^n) = 1/((n_1 \cdots n_k)^n n_1^{z_1} \cdots n_k^{z_k})$ . Moreover, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_z(v_1 t_{(v,\mathbf{1}_k)}) &= v_1 t_{(v,\mathbf{1}_k+z)} \\ &= v_1 \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{m\mathbf{1}_k-z}} t_{(\alpha,(m+1)\mathbf{1}_k-d(\alpha))} t_{(\alpha,(m+1)\mathbf{1}_k-d(\alpha))}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{m\mathbf{1}_k-z}} t_{(1-\alpha,(m+1)\mathbf{1}_k-d(\alpha))} v_1 |_\alpha t_{(\alpha,(m+1)\mathbf{1}_k-d(\alpha))}^* \end{aligned}$$

So  $K_1(\hat{\gamma}_z)$  is the identity map.  $\square$

**Conjecture 4.2.** *Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda)$  be a product of odometers with  $k \geq 2$ . Denote by  $e := (\delta_{1,i} + \mathbb{Z}/g\Lambda\mathbb{Z})_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in (\mathbb{Z}/g\Lambda\mathbb{Z})^{2^{k-2}}$ . Then*

$$(K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda}), [1_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda}}, K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda})]) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^{2^{k-1}} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g\Lambda\mathbb{Z})^{2^{k-2}}, (0, e), \mathbb{Z}^{2^{k-1}} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g\Lambda\mathbb{Z})^{2^{k-2}}).$$

*Remark 4.3.* We first notice that the  $C^*$ -dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k}, \mathbb{Z}, \hat{\gamma})$  and  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k}, \mathbb{Z}, \hat{\gamma}^{-1})$  yield the same crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra. By Corollary 3.6,  $K_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda}) \cong K_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k} \rtimes_{\hat{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z}^k) \cong K_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k} \rtimes_{\hat{\gamma}^{-1}} \mathbb{Z}^k)$ . For  $k = 1$ , the K-theory of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda}$  was studied in numerous papers such as [6, 9, 12, 14].

Now we assume that  $k \geq 2$ . It is easier to discuss the K-theory of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k} \rtimes_{\hat{\gamma}^{-1}} \mathbb{Z}^k$  and our discussion is based on the combination of previous profound work ([1, Corollary 2.5], [10, 6.10], [22, Theorem 2], [23]) give rise to a cohomology spectral sequence (see [24])  $\{E_r^{p,q}\}_{r \geq 1, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where for  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $E_1^{p,q} = K_q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \wedge^p(\mathbb{Z}^k)$ , the differential map is  $d_1^{p,q} : E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}, g \otimes e \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k (e_i \cdot g - g) \otimes (e \wedge e_i)$  for all  $g \in K_q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z},\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^k}), e \in \wedge^p(\mathbb{Z}^k)$ . When  $q$  is even, following the algorithm from [2, Proposition 6.12],  $E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/g\Lambda\mathbb{Z})^{C_{k-1}^{p-1}} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq k \\ 0 & \text{if } p < 1 \text{ or } p > k \end{cases}$ . On the other hand, when  $q$  is odd, since the action

on  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k})$  is trivial due to Proposition 4.1, we can easily deduce that the connecting maps  $d_1^{p,q}$  are all zero, hence  $E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{C_k^p} & \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq k \\ 0 & \text{if } p < 0 \text{ or } p > k \end{cases}$ . The determination of the  $k+1$ -th page of the spectral sequence is not easy, even though one can achieve this, there is another extension obstruction to characterize the K-theory of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda \star \mathbb{Z}^k} \rtimes_{\hat{\gamma}^{-1}} \mathbb{Z}^k$ .

#### 4.2. Generalized $\mathcal{Q}_S$ and a conjecture of Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier.

For any nonempty subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$  consisting of mutually coprime numbers, Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier in [2] defined a unital C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  and they made a conjecture about the K-theory of this C\*-algebra.

**Conjecture 4.4** ([2, Conjecture 6.5]).

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}_S), [1_{\mathcal{Q}_S}], K_1(\mathcal{Q}_S)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^{2^{|S|-1}} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g_S\mathbb{Z})^{2^{|S|-2}}, (0, e), \mathbb{Z}^{2^{|S|-1}} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g_S\mathbb{Z})^{2^{|S|-2}}),$$

where  $g_S = \gcd\{n-1 : n \in S\}$ ,  $e = (\delta_{1,i} + \mathbb{Z}/g_S\mathbb{Z})_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in (\mathbb{Z}/g_S\mathbb{Z})^{2^{k-2}}$ .

In the final subsection, we first generalize the construction of  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  to an arbitrary nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ , and we show that  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  is indeed a self-similar  $|S|$ -graph C\*-algebra of a standard product of odometers, finally we connect Conjecture 4.2 with Conjecture 4.4.

**Definition 4.5** (cf. [2, Definition 2.1]). Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ . Define  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  to be the universal unital C\*-algebra generated by a family of isometries  $\{s_n\}_{n \in S}$  and a unitary  $u$  satisfying for any  $n, m \in S$ ,

- (1)  $s_n s_m = s_m s_n$ ;
- (2)  $s_n u = u^n s_n$ ;
- (3)  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u^i s_n s_n^* u^{-i} = 1_{\mathcal{Q}_S}$ .

*Remark 4.6.* It is very natural to extend Conjecture 4.4 to the case that  $S$  is any nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ , and let  $B$  be a unital C\*-algebra generated by a family of isometries  $\{S_n\}_{n \in S}$  and a unitary  $U$  satisfying Conditions (2), (3) of Definition 4.5. Then for  $n \in S, z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $S_n^* U^z S_n = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $z > 0$ . Write  $z = wn + l$ , for some  $w \geq 0, 1 \leq l \leq n - 1$ . We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_n^* U^z S_n)(S_n^* U^z S_n)^* &= S_n^* U^l U^{wn} S_n S_n^* U^{-wn} U^{-l} S_n \\
&= S_n^* U^l (U^{wn} S_n)(U^{wn} S_n)^* U^{-l} S_n \\
&= S_n^* U^l (S_n U^w)(S_n U^w)^* U^{-l} S_n \\
&= S_n^* U^l S_n S_n^* U^{-l} S_n \\
&= S_n^* U^l (1_B - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} U^i S_n S_n^* U^{-i}) U^{-l} S_n \\
&= 1_B - S_n^* U^n S_n S_n^* U^{-n} S_n - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq n-l} S_n^* U^{l+i} S_n S_n^* U^{-l-i} S_n \\
&= - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq n-l} S_n^* U^{l+i} S_n S_n^* U^{-l-i} S_n.
\end{aligned}$$

So  $(S_n^* U^z S_n)(S_n^* U^z S_n)^* = 0$ . Hence  $S_n^* U^z S_n = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ , and let  $B$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a family of isometries  $\{S_n\}_{n \in S}$  and a unitary  $U$  satisfying Conditions (2), (3) of Definition 4.5. Then  $\{S_n\}_{n \in S}$  and  $U$  satisfy Condition (1) of Definition 4.5 if and only if for any  $n, m \in S, S_n^* S_m = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq nm-1, l \in n\mathbb{Z} \cap m\mathbb{Z}} U^{l/n} S_m S_n^* U^{-l/m}$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, suppose that  $\{S_n\}_{n \in S}$  and  $U$  satisfy Condition (1) of Definition 4.5. For  $n, m \in S$ , we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n^* S_m &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_n^* U^i S_n S_n^* U^{-i} S_m \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} S_n^* U^i S_n U^j S_m S_m^* U^{-j} S_n^* U^{-i} S_m \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} S_n^* U^{i+nj} S_n S_m S_m^* S_n^* U^{-i-nj} S_m \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{nm-1} S_n^* U^l S_n S_m S_m^* S_n^* U^{-l} S_m \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{nm-1} (S_n^* U^l S_n) S_m S_n^* (S_m^* U^{-l} S_m) \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq l \leq nm-1, l \in n\mathbb{Z} \cap m\mathbb{Z}} U^{l/n} S_m S_n^* U^{-l/m} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.7}).
\end{aligned}$$



Conversely, suppose that for any  $n, m \in S$ ,  $S_n^* S_m = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq nm-1, l \in n\mathbb{Z} \cap m\mathbb{Z}} U^{l/n} S_m S_n^* U^{-l/m}$ . For  $n, m \in S$ , we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} S_m^* S_n^* S_m S_n &= \sum_{0 \leq l \leq nm-1, l \in n\mathbb{Z} \cap m\mathbb{Z}} (S_m^* U^{l/n} S_m) (S_n^* U^{-l/m} S_n) \\ &= 1_B + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq nm-1, l \in n\mathbb{Z} \cap m\mathbb{Z}} (S_m^* U^{l/n} S_m) (S_n^* U^{-l/m} S_n) \\ &= 1_B \text{ (by Lemma 4.7)}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(S_n S_m - S_m S_n)^* (S_n S_m - S_m S_n) = 2 \cdot 1_B - S_m^* S_n^* S_m S_n - S_n^* S_m^* S_n S_m = 0.$$

Hence  $S_n S_m = S_m S_n$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.9.* Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda)$  be a standard product of odometers. Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda}$  is a universal unital C\*-algebra generated by a family of isometries  $\{s_{x_s^i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq n_i-1}$  and a unitary  $u$  satisfying that

- (1)  $\sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} s_{x_s^i} s_{x_s^i}^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda}}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ;
- (2)  $s_{x_s^i} s_{x_{s'}^j} = s_{x_{s'}^j} s_{x_s^i}$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq k, 0 \leq s, s' \leq n_i - 1, 0 \leq t, t' \leq n_j - 1$  with  $s + tn_i = t' + s'n_j$ ;
- (3)  $u s_{x_s^i} = \begin{cases} s_{x_{s+1}^i} & \text{if } 0 \leq s < n_i - 1 \\ s_{x_0^i} u & \text{if } s = n_i - 1 \end{cases}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq n_i - 1$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ . We enumerate  $S = \{1 < n_1 < \dots < n_k\}$ . Denote by  $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_S)$  the standard product of odometers such that  $|\Lambda_S^{e_i}| = n_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}_S \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_S}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\{s_{n_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  and  $u$  the generators of  $\mathcal{Q}_S$ , and denote by  $\{t_{x_s^i} : 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq n_i - 1\}$  and  $v$  the generators of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_S}$  as discussed in Remark 4.9.

Define  $V := u, T_{x_s^i} := u^s s_{n_i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq n_i - 1$ . Then  $\{T_{x_s^i} : 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq n_i - 1\}$  and  $V$  satisfy Conditions (1)–(3) of Remark 4.9. So there exists a homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_S$  such that  $\pi(t_{x_s^i}) = u^s s_{n_i}, \pi(v) = u$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq n_i - 1$ .

Conversely define  $U := v, S_{n_i} := t_{x_0^i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then  $\{S_{n_i} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  and  $U$  satisfy Conditions (1)–(3) of Definition 4.5. So there exists a homomorphism  $\rho : \mathcal{Q}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_S}$  such that  $\rho(u) = v, \rho(s_{n_i}) = s_{x_0^i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Since  $\rho \circ \pi = \text{id}, \pi \circ \rho = \text{id}$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}_S \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_S}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.11.* For any nonempty subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ , by the above theorem we can easily deduce that Conjecture 4.4 is contained in Conjecture 4.2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Barlak, *On the spectral sequence associated with the Baum-Connes conjecture for  $\mathbb{Z}^n$* , preprint, arXiv:1504.03298.
- [2] S. Barlak, T. Omland, and N. Stammeier, *On the K-theory of C\*-algebras arising from integral dynamics*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), 832–862.
- [3] J.B. Bost and A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **1** (1995), 411–457.
- [4] N. Brownlowe, J. Ramagge, D. Robertson, and M.F. Whittaker, *Zappa-Szép products of semigroups and their C\*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 3937–3967.

- [5] J. Cuntz, *C\*-algebras associated with the  $ax + b$ -semigroup over  $\mathbb{N}$* , EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., *K*-theory and noncommutative geometry, 201–215, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.
- [6] J. Cuntz and A. Vershik, *C\*-algebras associated with endomorphisms and polymorphisms of compact abelian groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **321** (2013), 157–179.
- [7] R. Exel and E. Pardo, *Self-similar graphs, a unified treatment of Katsura and Nekrashevych C\*-algebras*, Adv. Math. **306** (2017), 1046–1129.
- [8] J. Fletcher, E. Gillaspy, and A. Sims, *Homotopy of product systems and K-theory of Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1911.00959.
- [9] I. Hirshberg, *On C\*-algebras associated to certain endomorphisms of discrete groups*, New York J. Math. **8** (2002), 99–109.
- [10] G.G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), 147–201.
- [11] T. Katsura, *The ideal structures of crossed products of Cuntz algebras by quasi-free actions of abelian groups*, Canad. J. Math. **55** (2003), 1302–1338.
- [12] T. Katsura, *A construction of actions on Kirchberg algebras which induce given actions on their K-groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **617** (2008), 27–65.
- [13] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C\*-algebras*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 1–20.
- [14] N.S. Larsen and X. Li, *The 2-adic ring C\*-algebra of the integers and its representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 1392–1426.
- [15] X. Li, *Semigroup C\*-algebras and amenability of semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4302–4340.
- [16] H. Li and D. Yang, *Boundary quotient C\*-algebras of products of odometers*, Canad. J. Math. **71**, (2019), 183–212.
- [17] H. Li and D. Yang, *Self-similar k-graph C\*-algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not., IMRN, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnz146.
- [18] H. Li and D. Yang, *The ideal structures of self-similar k-graph C\*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1906.10658.
- [19] G.J. Murphy, *C\*-algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1990, x+286.
- [20] V. Nekrashevych, *Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of group actions*, J. Operator Theory **52** (2004), 223–249.
- [21] V. Nekrashevych, *C\*-algebras and self-similar groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **630** (2009), 59–123.
- [22] J. Savinien and J. Bellissard, *A spectral sequence for the K-theory of tiling spaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **29** (2009), 997–1031.
- [23] C. Schochet, *Topological methods for C\*-algebras I Spectral sequences*, Pacific J. Math. **96** (1981), 193–211.
- [24] C.A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994, xiv+450.
- [25] D.P. Williams, *Crossed Products of C\*-algebras*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007, xvi+528.
- [26] D. Yang, *The interplay between k-graphs and the Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra **451** (2016), 494–525.

HUI LI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 102206, CHINA

*E-mail address:* lihui8605@hotmail.com