

# RESOLUTION OF 4-DIMENSIONAL SYMPLECTIC ORBIFOLDS

LUCÍA MARTÍN-MERCHÁN AND JUAN ROJO

ABSTRACT. We give a method to resolve 4-dimensional symplectic orbifolds making use of techniques from complex geometry and gluing of symplectic forms. We provide some examples to which the resolution method applies.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An orbifold is a space which is locally modelled on balls of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  quotient by a finite group. These have been very useful in many geometrical contexts [32]. In the setting of symplectic geometry, symplectic orbifolds have been introduced mainly as a way to construct symplectic manifolds by resolving their singularities. The problem of resolution of singularities and blow-up in the symplectic setting was posed by Gromov in [20]. Few years later, the symplectic blow-up was rigorously defined by McDuff [26] and it was used to construct a simply-connected symplectic manifold with no Kähler structure. The concept of symplectic blow-up was later on generalized to the orbifold setting in [19].

McCarthy and Wolfson developed in [24] a symplectic resolution for isolated singularities of orbifolds in dimension 4. Later on, Cavalcanti, Fernández and Muñoz gave a method of performing symplectic resolution of *isolated* orbifold singularities in all dimensions [13]. This was used in [16] to give the first example of a simply-connected symplectic 8-manifold which is non-formal, as the resolution of a suitable symplectic 8-orbifold. This manifold was proved to have also a complex structure in [3].

Bazzoni, Fernández and Muñoz [4] have given the first construction of a symplectic resolution of an orbifold of dimension 6 with isotropy sets of dimension 0 and 2, although the construction is ad hoc for the particular example at hand as it satisfies that the normal bundle to the 2-dimensional isotropy set is trivial. This was used to give the first example of a simply-connected non-Kähler manifold which is simultaneously complex and symplectic.

Niederkrüger and Pasquotto provided methods for resolving different types of symplectic orbifold singularities in [29, 30]. The first deals with orbifolds arising as symplectic reductions of Hamiltonian circle actions; these singularities are cyclic and might not be isolated. In dimension 4, the previous work in [28] serves to resolve symplectic 4-orbifolds whose isotropy set consists of codimension 2 *disjoint* submanifolds. In such case the orbifold is topologically a manifold (the isotropy

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, 53D35, 57R17.

*Key words and phrases.* Symplectic Orbifold.

points are non-singular), so the question only amounts to change the orbifold symplectic form into a smooth symplectic form.

In this paper we give an elementary and self-contained method to resolve arbitrary symplectic 4-orbifolds. For the symplectic part, we make use of techniques for gluing symplectic forms. These include the so called *inflation procedure* introduced by Thurston in [32], and the notion of *positivity* (or tameness) with respect to an almost complex structure, studied in detail in the book [25]. For the topological part (the resolution of quotient singularities), we mainly make use of complex local models from [13], and tools coming from Invariant Theory. There is however an essential difficulty when dealing with non-isolated isotropy points; this comes from the fact that the (local) resolution of the topologically-singular points must be made compatible with the resolution of the isotropy divisors (real codimension 2) of the orbifold. To overcome this difficulty, the desingularization of the isotropy divisors has to be made with care. The method in [27] starts with a manifold and constructs on it an orbifold atlas with isotropy along a configuration of divisors. This construction has to be reversed, but with an essential change: mainly, that the orbifold and the manifold structures along the divisors must be related through an *holomorphic* map.

The main result is:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic 4-orbifold. There exists a symplectic manifold  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$  and a smooth map  $\pi : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow (X, \omega)$  which is a symplectomorphism outside an arbitrarily small neighborhood of the isotropy set of  $X$ .*

Actually, the compactness hypothesis in the above Theorem can be relaxed: it suffices that every connected component  $S \subset X$  of the set of isotropy surfaces has compact closure  $\bar{S}$  in  $X$ .

In addition, Theorem 1 can be used to construct a 4-dimensional simply connected symplectic manifold as the symplectic resolution of a suitable 4-orbifold. This symplectic orbifold is a quotient of a Kähler manifold  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  by an action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2)$  is a non-trivial mapping torus of the genus 2 surface. The isotropy set of the action consists of 8 isolated points and 3 tori that have 4 intersection points, so this symplectic orbifold cannot be resolved with the methods of [13, 28].

In the recent paper [14], it is given an alternative method for resolving arbitrary symplectic 4-orbifolds. The techniques used in [14] (e.g. symplectic reduction and symplectic fillings) differ completely from ours and are technically more involved.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the necessary preliminaries on symplectic orbifolds. Section 3 studies the isotropy set of 4-dimensional symplectic orbifolds, giving special local models for the isotropy surfaces. With these tools at hand we prove Theorem 1 in section 4. Finally, in section 5 we provide some examples in which the symplectic resolution of Theorem 1 applies.

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Vicente Muñoz and Giovanni Bazzoni for useful conversations. The first author acknowledges financial support by a FPU Grant(FPU16/03475).

## 2. SYMPLECTIC ORBIFOLDS

In this section we introduce some aspects about orbifolds and symplectic orbifolds, which can be found in [28].

### 2.1. Orbifolds.

**Definition 2.** An  $n$ -dimensional orbifold is a Hausdorff and second countable space  $X$  endowed with an atlas  $\{(U_\alpha, V_\alpha, \phi_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha)\}$ , where  $\{V_\alpha\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ ,  $U_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Gamma_\alpha < \text{Diff}(U_\alpha)$  is a finite group acting by diffeomorphisms, and  $\phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subset X$  is a  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -invariant map which induces a homeomorphism  $U_\alpha/\Gamma_\alpha \cong V_\alpha$ .

There is a condition of compatibility of charts for intersections. For each point  $x \in V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$  there is some  $V_\delta \subset V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$  with  $x \in V_\delta$  so that there are group monomorphisms  $\rho_{\delta\alpha} : \Gamma_\delta \hookrightarrow \Gamma_\alpha$ ,  $\rho_{\delta\beta} : \Gamma_\delta \hookrightarrow \Gamma_\beta$ , and open differentiable embeddings  $\iota_{\delta\alpha} : U_\delta \rightarrow U_\alpha$ ,  $\iota_{\delta\beta} : U_\delta \rightarrow U_\beta$ , which satisfy  $\iota_{\delta\alpha}(\gamma(x)) = \rho_{\delta\alpha}(\gamma)(\iota_{\delta\alpha}(x))$  and  $\iota_{\delta\beta}(\gamma(x)) = \rho_{\delta\beta}(\gamma)(\iota_{\delta\beta}(x))$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\delta$ .

The concept of change of charts in orbifolds is borrowed from its analogue in manifolds.

**Definition 3.** For an orbifold  $X$ , a change of charts is the map

$$\psi_{\alpha\beta}^\delta = \iota_{\delta\beta} \circ \iota_{\delta\alpha}^{-1} : \iota_{\delta\alpha}(U_\delta) \rightarrow \iota_{\delta\beta}(U_\delta).$$

Note that  $\iota_{\delta\alpha}(U_\delta) \subset U_\alpha$  and  $\iota_{\delta\beta}(U_\delta) \subset U_\beta$ , so  $\psi_{\alpha\beta}^\delta$  is a change of charts from  $U_\alpha$  to  $U_\beta$ . Clearly a change of charts between  $U_\alpha$  and  $U_\beta$  depends on the inclusion of a third chart  $U_\delta$ . This dependence is up to the action of an element in  $\Gamma_\delta$ . In general this dependence is irrelevant, so we may abuse notation and write  $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$  for any change of chart between  $U_\alpha$  and  $U_\beta$ . We may further abuse notation and write

$$\psi_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta$$

for a change of charts as above, even though its domain and range do not equal in general all  $U_\alpha$  and  $U_\beta$  but an open subset of them.

We can refine the atlas of an orbifold  $X$  in order to obtain better properties; given a point  $x \in X$ , there is a chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  with  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $U/\Gamma \cong V$ , so that the preimage  $\phi^{-1}(\{x\}) = \{u\}$  is only a point, and the group  $\Gamma$  acting on  $U$  leaves the point  $u$  fixed, i.e.  $\gamma(u) = u$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We call  $\Gamma$  the *isotropy group* at  $x$ , and we denote it by  $\Gamma_x$ . This group is well defined up to conjugation by a diffeomorphism of a small open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In addition, using a  $\Gamma_x$ -invariant metric and the exponential chart one can prove:

**Proposition 4.** Around any point  $x \in X$  there exists an orbifold chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  with  $\Gamma_x = \Gamma < \text{O}(n)$ .

**Definition 5.** *The isotropy subset of  $X$  is  $\Sigma = \{x \in X \text{ s.t. } \Gamma_x \neq \emptyset\}$ .*

As we shall see, the isotropy set is stratified into suborbifolds; this notion is also similar to the concept of a submanifold:

**Definition 6.** *Let  $X$  be an orbifold of dimension  $n$ . A suborbifold of dimension  $d$  or  $d$ -suborbifold of  $X$  is defined to be a subspace  $Y \subset X$  such that for each  $p \in Y$  there exists an orbifold chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  of  $X$  around  $p$  with  $\Gamma < O(n)$ ,  $\phi(p) = 0$ , and such that  $U' = U \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\})$  satisfies  $\phi(U') = Y \cap V$ .*

Let  $Y \subset X$  be a suborbifold. Then  $Y$  has a structure of orbifold inherited from  $X$ , as follows. Consider the chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  of the above definition and let us identify  $\mathbb{R}^d \cong \mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \text{ s.t. } \gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbb{R}^d\} < \Gamma$  the subgroup of elements leaving invariant  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Consider the representation given by  $\varrho: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ; its image is a subgroup  $\Gamma' = \text{im}(\varrho) \cong \tilde{\Gamma}/\ker(\varrho)$ . Let us denote  $V' = Y \cap V = \phi(U')$ , and  $\phi' = \phi|_{U'}: U' \rightarrow V'$ . The orbifold chart of  $Y$  around  $p$  is defined to be  $(U', V', \phi', \Gamma')$ . Clearly,  $U'$  is a  $\Gamma'$ -invariant set and satisfies  $U'/\Gamma' \cong Y \cap V$ .

Let us state a notion of equivalence between groups of diffeomorphisms that is useful for orbifolds.

**Definition 7.** *Let  $H < \text{Diff}(U)$ ,  $H' < \text{Diff}(U')$  be two groups of diffeomorphisms of open sets  $U, U'$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . We say that the germs  $(U, H)$  and  $(U', H')$  are equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism  $f: U \rightarrow U'$  such that  $f \circ H \circ f^{-1} = H'$ . In this case we write  $(U, H) \cong (U', H')$ .*

Note that the above gives an equivalence relation in the set of germs of diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . If  $(U, V, \Gamma, \phi)$  is an orbifold chart, a diffeomorphism  $f: U \rightarrow U'$  gives an induced orbifold chart  $(U', V, \Gamma', \phi')$ , where  $\Gamma' = f \circ \Gamma \circ f^{-1}$  and  $\phi' = \phi \circ f^{-1}$ . Hence, all the germs  $(U', \Gamma')$  equivalent to  $(U, \Gamma)$  induce the same orbifold chart. We shall also specify this notion for subgroups of  $O(n)$ .

**Definition 8.** *Two subgroups  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  of  $O(n)$  are equivalent if there exists open sets  $U, U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing 0 such that the germs  $(U, \Gamma)$  and  $(U', \Gamma')$  are equivalent. We denote  $\Gamma \cong \Gamma'$  in this case.*

**Proposition 9.** [28, Proposition 4] *Let  $X$  be an orbifold, and let  $\Sigma$  be its isotropy subset. For every equivalence class  $H$  of finite subgroup  $H < O(n)$ , we can define the set*

$$\Sigma_H = \{x \in X \text{ s.t. } \Gamma_x \cong H\}.$$

*Then the closure  $\bar{\Sigma}_H$  is a suborbifold of  $X$ , and  $\Sigma_H = \bar{\Sigma}_H - \bigcup_{H < H'} \Sigma_{H'}$  is a submanifold of  $X$ .*

**Definition 10.** *An orbifold function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that  $f \circ \phi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth for every  $\alpha$ .*

Note that this is equivalent to giving smooth functions  $f_\alpha$  on  $U_\alpha$  which are  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -equivariant and which agree under the changes of charts. An *orbifold partition*

of unity subordinated to the open cover  $\{V_\alpha\}$  of  $X$  consists of orbifold functions  $\rho_\alpha: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that the support of  $\rho_\alpha$  lies inside  $V_\alpha$  and the sum  $\sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha \equiv 1$  on  $X$ .

**Proposition 11.** [28, Proposition 5] *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -orbifold. For any sufficiently refined open cover  $\{V_\alpha\}$  of  $X$  there exists an orbifold partition of unity subordinated to  $\{V_\alpha\}$ .*

Orbifold tensors are defined in the same way as functions are. That is, an orbifold tensor on  $X$  is a collection of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -invariant tensors on each  $U_\alpha$  which agree under the changes of charts. In particular, there is a notion of orbifold differential forms  $\Omega_{orb}(X)$  and the exterior derivative is also well-defined.

## 2.2. Symplectic orbifolds.

**Definition 12.** *A symplectic orbifold is an orbifold  $X$  equipped with an orbifold 2-form  $\omega \in \Omega_{orb}^2(X)$  such that  $d\omega = 0$  and  $\omega^n > 0$  where  $2n = \dim(X)$ .*

The proof of the existence of an almost Kähler structure on a manifold (see [9]) easily carries over to the orbifold case:

**Proposition 13.** [28, Proposition 8] *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic orbifold. Then  $(X, \omega)$  admits an almost Kähler orbifold structure  $(X, \omega, J, g)$ .*

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic  $2n$ -orbifold. Every point in  $X$  admits a chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma, \omega)$  with  $\Gamma < U(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Put any almost Kähler structure  $(\omega, J, g)$  on  $X$  as provided by Proposition 13. Fix a chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  around  $p$  such that  $\phi(0) = p$ ,  $\Gamma$  acts linearly, and the almost Kähler structure  $(\omega_p, J_p, g_p) = (\omega_0, j, g_0)$  at  $p = 0$  is standard. As  $J$  is an orbifold almost complex structure,  $\Gamma$  preserves  $J$ ; in particular at the point  $0 \in U$  we have  $d_0\gamma \circ j = j \circ d_0\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . As  $\gamma$  is linear, we have that  $d_0\gamma = \gamma$ , hence  $\gamma$  preserves the complex structure of  $\mathbb{C}^n = (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, j)$ . This means that  $\Gamma < \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Analogously, since  $\gamma$  preserves the standard metric  $g_0$ , one sees that  $\Gamma < \text{O}(2n)$ . The conclusion is that  $\Gamma < \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \text{O}(2n) = \text{U}(n)$ .  $\square$

For symplectic (almost Kähler) orbifolds, the isotropy set inherits a symplectic (almost Kähler) structure.

**Corollary 15.** [28, Corollary 9] *The isotropy set  $\Sigma$  of  $(X, \omega)$  consists of immersed symplectic suborbifolds  $\bar{\Sigma}_H$ . Moreover, if we endow  $X$  with an almost Kähler orbifold structure  $(\omega, J, g)$ , then the sets  $\bar{\Sigma}_H$  are almost Kähler suborbifolds.*

The following result is a Darboux theorem for symplectic orbifolds.

**Proposition 16.** [28, Proposition 10] *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic orbifold and  $x_0 \in X$ . There exists an orbifold chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  around  $x_0$  with local coordinates  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$  such that the symplectic form has the expression  $\omega = \sum dx_i \wedge dy_i$  and  $\Gamma < \text{U}(n)$  is a subgroup of the unitary group.*

Any orbifold almost Kähler structure can be perturbed to make it standard around any chosen point. We include a proof below. Denote  $\mathfrak{j}$  the standard complex structure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic orbifold, and let  $(J, g)$  be a compatible almost Kähler structure. Let  $p \in X$  a point and  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma, \omega_0)$  a Darboux chart around  $p$ . Choose  $V_1$  a neighborhood of  $p$  such that  $\overline{V_1} \subset V$ , and let  $U_1 = \phi^{-1}(V_1) \subset U$ . There exists another compatible almost Kähler structure  $(J', g')$  such that  $J' = J$  and  $g' = g$  outside  $V$ , and  $(J', g')$  is the standard  $(\mathfrak{j}, g_0)$  when lifted to the chart  $U_1 \subset U$ .*

*Proof.* Take a bump function  $\rho$  which equals 1 in  $V_1$  and 0 outside  $V$ . Consider the metric  $g_1 = \rho g_0 + (1 - \rho)g$ , where  $\rho g_0$  coincides with the standard metric in  $U_1$  and extends as 0 to all  $X$ . If we use the metric  $g_1$  as auxiliary metric in the proof of Proposition 13 and construct a compatible almost Kähler structure  $(g', J')$ , we find that  $J' = \mathfrak{j}$ ,  $g' = g_0$  when lifted in  $U_1$  because both  $\omega$  and the auxiliary metric  $g_1$  are standard in  $U_1$ .  $\square$

Let us recall a result from symplectic linear algebra that will be useful later. Consider the retraction

$$r: \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(n), \quad r(A) = A(A^t A)^{-1/2} \quad (1)$$

The fact that  $A(A^t A)^{-1/2} \in \mathrm{U}(n) = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathrm{O}(2n)$  for any  $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  can be seen as follows. First, since  $A^t \Omega_0 A = \Omega_0$ , with  $\Omega_0$  the matrix of the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , it is easy to check that  $(A^t A)^t \Omega_0 A^t A = \Omega_0$  so  $A^t A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . Then, by expressing the square root  $S^{1/2}$  as a power series of  $S$ , for  $S$  a positive definite symmetric matrix, one sees that  $(A^t A)^{1/2} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ , hence so does its inverse and it follows that  $r(A) = A(A^t A)^{-1/2} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . Finally, using that  $S$  and  $S^{1/2}$  commute, it follows that  $r(A)^t r(A) = \mathrm{Id}$ , so  $r(A) \in \mathrm{O}(2n)$ .

This retraction satisfies the following. If there is a group  $\Gamma < \mathrm{U}(k)$  and an isomorphism  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma' < \mathrm{U}(k)$ , such that  $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant in the sense that  $A \circ \gamma = \rho(\gamma) \circ A$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $r(A)$  is also  $\Gamma'$ -equivariant, i.e.  $r(A) \circ \rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) \circ r(A)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This property is a consequence of the following result:

**Lemma 18.** [28, Lemma 21] *Let  $A, C \in \mathrm{U}(k)$  and  $B \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $A = B^{-1} C B$ . Then  $A = r(B)^{-1} C r(B)$ .*

### 3. SYMPLECTIC ORBIFOLDS IN DIMENSION 4.

**3.1. The isotropy set in dimension 4.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic orbifold of dimension 4. Let  $x \in X$  a point. Put a compatible orbifold almost complex structure on  $(X, \omega)$ , obtaining an almost Kähler orbifold  $(X, \omega, J)$ . By the equivariant Darboux Theorem, around any point we have an orbifold chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma, \omega_0)$  such that  $U = B_\varepsilon(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a ball and  $\phi^{-1}(\{x\}) = \{0\}$ , and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_x < \mathrm{U}(2)$  acts in  $U$  by unitary matrices. Unless otherwise stated, from now on we assume that

every orbifold chart of  $(X, \omega)$  has the form above. We will write  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma, \omega_0)$  if moreover the symplectic form is standard in the chart, and analogously for another tensors like  $g_0$  and  $j$ .

In dimension 4 the isotropy set can be expressed as a union  $\Sigma = \Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^1$  of three subsets with distinct properties. These are determined by a geometric condition that depends on the action of the isotropy groups  $\Gamma_x < U(2)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , as follows.

**Case 1:**  $x \in \Sigma^0$  if the action of  $\Gamma_x$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$  is free.

**Case 2:**  $x \in \Sigma^*$  if there exists a complex line  $L \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$  we have  $\text{fix}(\gamma) = D$ .

**Case 3:**  $x \in \Sigma^1$  if there exist at least two complex lines  $L_1, L_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  and non-identity elements  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_x$  so that  $L_1 \subset \text{fix}(\gamma_1)$  and  $L_2 \subset \text{fix}(\gamma_2)$ .

Note the following:

- If  $x \in \Sigma^0$ , then  $x$  is an isolated point of  $\Sigma$ . That is why the points of  $\Sigma^0$  are called *isolated singular points*.
- If  $x \in \Sigma^*$  then  $D = \phi(L)$  is contained on  $\Sigma^*$  and every point on this line has constant isotropy  $\Gamma_x$ . The connected components of  $\Sigma^*$  are therefore surfaces  $S_i$  such that all its points have the same isotropy group  $\Gamma_i$ .
- The points of  $\Sigma^1$  are also isolated; in addition these lie on the closure of some surfaces  $S_i \subset \Sigma^*$ . Given  $x \in \Sigma^1$ , let us call  $I_x$  the set of indices  $i$  such that the surface  $S_i$  accumulates to  $x$  and write  $\Gamma_i$  the isotropy set of  $S_i$ .

We have the following result:

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $p \in \Sigma^1$  and let  $(U, V, \Gamma)$  be an orbifold chart around  $p$ , with  $\Gamma = \Gamma_p < U(2)$ . Let  $\Gamma^* = \langle \Gamma_i \text{ s.t. } i \in I_p \rangle \triangleleft \Gamma$  be the normal subgroup generated by the isotropy groups of all the surfaces  $S_i$  accumulating at  $p$ .*

- (1) *The space  $U' = U/\Gamma^*$  is a topological manifold and inherits naturally a complex orbifold structure with isotropy the surfaces  $S_i$ .*
- (2) *The quotient group  $\Gamma' = \Gamma/\Gamma^*$  has an induced action on  $U' = U/\Gamma^*$ , and moreover  $U'/\Gamma' = U/\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* We check first that  $\Gamma^*$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Take  $g_i \in \Gamma_i$ , and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\gamma g_i \gamma^{-1}$  leaves fixed all the points in the surface  $\gamma(S_i) \subset U$ . Hence  $\gamma g_i \gamma^{-1}$  belongs to the isotropy group of some of the surfaces  $S_j = \gamma(S_i)$ . This means that  $\Gamma \circ \Gamma_i \circ \Gamma^{-1} \subset \bigcup_j \Gamma_j \subset \Gamma^*$ . If we take now a generic element of  $\Gamma^*$ , i.e. finite product  $\prod_k g_{i_k}$  with  $g_{i_k} \in \Gamma_{i_k}$ , then for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have  $\gamma(\prod_k g_{i_k})\gamma^{-1} = \prod_k (\gamma g_{i_k} \gamma^{-1}) \in \Gamma^*$ , and this proves that  $\Gamma \circ \Gamma^* \circ \Gamma^{-1} \subset \Gamma^*$ , so  $\Gamma^*$  is a normal subgroup.

The complex orbifold structure on  $U/\Gamma^*$  exists because  $\Gamma$  acts in  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  by biholomorphisms, so it acts  $j$ -equivariantly. To see that  $U' = U/\Gamma^*$  is a topological manifold, observe that the group  $\Gamma^*$  acts in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and it is generated by complex reflections. Hence the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]^{\Gamma^*}$  of  $\Gamma^*$ -invariant polynomials is a polynomial

algebra generated by 2 elements, say  $f, g$ . This is proved for real reflections in [12], but the proof carries over to complex reflections also, see [31]. Consider

$$H: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \quad , \quad H(z) = (f(z_1, z_2), g(z_1, z_2)).$$

This map induces an homeomorphism  $\bar{H}: \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ . That ensures that  $U/\Gamma^*$  is a topological manifold.

Now consider  $\Gamma' = \Gamma/\Gamma^* = \{\gamma\Gamma^* \text{ s.t. } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ , and define its action on  $U' = U/\Gamma^* = \{\Gamma^*u \text{ s.t. } u \in U\}$  by  $(\gamma\Gamma^*) \cdot (\Gamma^*u) = \Gamma^*(\gamma u)$  for  $u \in U$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This is well defined since for  $\gamma' = \gamma\gamma_1^*$  and  $u' = \gamma_2^*u$  other representatives of  $\gamma\Gamma^*$  and  $\Gamma^*u$  we have  $\gamma'u' = (\gamma\gamma_1^*)(\gamma_2^*u) = \gamma(\gamma_1^*\gamma_2^*)u = \gamma\gamma^*u = c_\gamma(\gamma^*)\gamma u$ , where  $c_\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is conjugation by  $\gamma$  maps  $\Gamma^*$  to itself, and  $\gamma^* = \gamma_1^*\gamma_2^* \in \Gamma^*$  so  $\Gamma^*(\gamma'u') = \Gamma^*(\gamma u)$ . It is immediate to check that this gives an action. Moreover, the orbit of  $\Gamma^*u$  in  $U'/\Gamma'$  is given by  $\Gamma' \cdot (\Gamma^*u) = \{\Gamma^*(\gamma u) | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  so it equals  $\Gamma u$  the orbit of  $u$  in  $U/\Gamma$ .  $\square$

The following Lemma proves the existence of a suitable orbifold almost Kähler structure in dimension 4. It gives a local Kähler model around any point if  $\Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^0$ .

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a 4-dimensional symplectic orbifold. There exists an almost Kähler structure  $(X, \omega, g, J)$  such that:*

- (1) *For each point  $p \in \Sigma^0$ , there is an orbifold chart  $(U, V, \Gamma, \omega_0, g_0, j)$  around  $p$ .*
- (2) *For each point  $p \in \Sigma^1$  there is an orbifold chart  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma, \omega_0, g_0, j)$ , and each surface  $S_i$  that accumulates to  $p$  lifts to  $\phi^{-1}(S_i)$  which is a union of disjoint complex curves in the chart  $(U, j)$ .*

*Proof.* We use Corollary 17 to put an almost Kähler structure  $(g, J)$  so that there are flat Kähler charts around any point in  $\Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^0$ . Now, using Corollary 15, both statements are clear.  $\square$

**3.2. Tubular neighbourhood of singular surfaces.** With respect to the orbifold almost Kähler structure of above, given a surface  $S \subset \Sigma^*$ , note that  $TS^{\perp\omega} = TS^{\perp g}$ , i.e. for every  $z \in S$ , the symplectic and metric orthogonal spaces to  $T_z S$  are the same. The following Lemma gives an orbifold atlas of  $X$  such that a tubular neighborhood of any surface  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  inherits an atlas of an orbifold disc-bundle with structure group in  $U(1)$ .

**Lemma 21.** *The symplectic orbifold  $(X, \omega)$  admits an atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for any  $S \subset \Sigma^*$ , some neighborhood  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  of  $\bar{S}$  in  $X$  admits an open cover  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) = \cup_\alpha V_\alpha$  such that for each  $\alpha$  there is an orbifold chart  $(U_\alpha, V_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha, \phi_\alpha, \omega_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}$ , satisfying:*

- (1) *If  $V_\alpha \cap \Sigma^1 = \emptyset$ , then  $U_\alpha = S_\alpha \times B_{\varepsilon_0}$  is a product, with  $S_\alpha \subset S$  open,  $D_{\varepsilon_0} \subset \mathbb{C}$  a disc, and the group  $\Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma$  is the isotropy group of the surface  $S$ . For any other  $V_\beta$  with  $V_\beta \cap \Sigma^1 = \emptyset$ , the orbifold change of charts are given by*

$$\psi_{\alpha\beta} = (\psi_{\alpha\beta}^1, \psi_{\alpha\beta}^2): U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta \quad , \quad (z, w) \mapsto (\psi_{\alpha\beta}^1(z), A_{\alpha\beta}(z)w)$$

with

$$A_{\alpha\beta}: S_\alpha \rightarrow U(1) \quad , \quad z \mapsto A_{\alpha\beta}(z)$$



a smooth function taking values in the unit circle  $U(1)$ . The group  $\Gamma < U(1)$  acts in  $U_\alpha$  and  $U_\beta$  by a rotation in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}$ , in particular it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

- (2) For each  $p \in \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}$  denote  $V_p$  an open set of the cover that contains  $p$ . Then the corresponding chart  $(U_p, V_p, \Gamma_p, \phi_p, \omega_0)$  satisfies that  $H_p \times D_{\varepsilon_0} \subset U_p$  with  $\phi_p(H_p \times \{0\}) = \bar{S} \cap V_p$ , and if  $V_\alpha$  does not contain  $p$  the change of charts is given by

$$\psi_{\alpha p}: U_\alpha \rightarrow U_p \quad , \quad (z, w) \mapsto (\psi_{\alpha p}^1(z), A_{\alpha p}(z)w)$$

with  $A_{\alpha p}(z) \in U(1)$ , and its image is  $\psi_{\alpha p}(U_\alpha) = H_\alpha \times D_{\varepsilon_0} \subset H_p \times D_{\varepsilon_0}$ , with  $\phi_p(H_\alpha \times \{0\}) = S \cap V_\alpha \cap V_p$ . If we denote  $\rho_{\alpha p}: \Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma_p$  the associated monomorphism of isotropy groups, then the subgroup  $\rho_{\alpha p}(\Gamma) < \Gamma_p$  acts on  $H_\alpha \times D_{\varepsilon_0}$  as a rotation in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}$ .

*Proof.* Consider an orbifold almost Kähler structure  $(\omega, g, J)$  on  $X$  as in Lemma 20. To see (1), take an initial cover  $\cup_\alpha V_\alpha$  of  $S$  with orbifold charts  $(U'_\alpha, V_\alpha, \Gamma, \omega_0, g_\alpha, J_\alpha)$  such that  $V_\alpha \cap \Sigma^1 = \emptyset$ . Let  $(z, w)$  be coordinates in  $U'_\alpha$ , such that  $S_\alpha = S \cap U'_\alpha = \{w = 0\}$ . Recall that we have for  $z = (z, 0) \in S_\alpha$  an identification  $(T_z S_\alpha)^\perp = \{z\} \times \mathbb{C}$ . The change of charts are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\alpha\beta}: U'_\alpha &\rightarrow U'_\beta \\ (z, w) &\mapsto (\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^1(z, w), \varphi_{\alpha\beta}^2(z, w) = (z', w')) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^2(z, 0) = 0$  for all  $(z, 0) \in S_\alpha$ . Consider now  $U_\alpha = S_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$ , and the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha = S_\alpha \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow U_\beta = S_\beta \times \mathbb{C} \\ (z, u) &\mapsto (\phi_{\alpha\beta}^1(z), A'_{\alpha\beta}(z)u) = (z', u') \end{aligned}$$

with  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}^1(z) = \varphi_{\alpha\beta}^1(z, 0)$ , and  $A'_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \partial_w \varphi_{\alpha\beta}^2|_{(z,0)}$ . Here  $\partial_w \varphi_{\alpha\beta}^2$  stands for the Jacobian matrix of  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^2$  in the variable  $w$ . Now we use the exponential map to identify  $U'_\alpha$  and  $U_\alpha = S_\alpha \times D_\varepsilon$ , where  $D_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a small disc. To this end let us consider the maps

$$e_\alpha: U_\alpha = S_\alpha \times D_\varepsilon \rightarrow U'_\alpha \quad , \quad (z, u) \mapsto \exp_z(u) = (z, w)$$

which are diffeomorphisms  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , maybe reducing  $U'_\alpha$ . The induced action of the group  $\Gamma$  in  $U_\alpha = S_\alpha \times D_\varepsilon$  is given by complex multiplication in  $D_\varepsilon$ . Now, it is easy to check that the maps  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$  are the induced change of charts with respect to the new coordinates  $(z, u)$  and  $(z', u')$  in  $U_\alpha$  and  $U_\beta$ . In other words,  $\phi_{\alpha\beta} = e_\beta^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \circ e_\alpha$ . Hence we can take the maps  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$  as new orbifold change of charts. The matrices

$$A'_{\alpha\beta}(z): ((T_z S_\alpha)^\perp, h_\alpha|) \rightarrow ((T_{z'} S_\beta)^\perp, h_\beta|)$$

are isometries with respect to the orbifold hermitian metrics  $h_\alpha = g_\alpha + \omega_0(\cdot, J_\alpha \cdot)$  and  $h_\beta = g_\beta + \omega_0(\cdot, J_\beta \cdot)$  restricted to the orthogonal spaces to  $S$  (we use the notation  $h_\alpha|$  to express this restriction). In particular  $A'_{\alpha\beta}(z) \in \text{Sp}(2)$  are symplectic matrices. Take orthonormal basis of  $((T_z S_\alpha)^\perp, h_\alpha|)$  and  $((T_{z'} S_\beta)^\perp, h_\beta|)$  so that  $h_\alpha|_z$  and  $h_\beta|_z$  become the standard hermitian metric  $h_0$ , and denote  $P_\alpha(z), P_\beta(z') \in \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$  the matrices of change of basis. Call the new coordinates  $(z, v) = (z, P_\alpha(z)u)$  and

$(z', v') = (z', P_\beta(z')u')$ . The change of trivializations in the new coordinates are given by the matrices

$$A''_{\alpha\beta}(z) = P_\beta(z') \cdot A'_{\alpha\beta}(z) \cdot (P_\alpha(z))^{-1} \in \mathrm{U}(1).$$

These matrices are unitary as we want, but the isotropy groups act via

$$\Gamma_z = P_\alpha(z) \cdot \Gamma \cdot P_\alpha(z)^{-1} \quad , \quad \Gamma_{z'} = P_\beta(z') \cdot \Gamma \cdot P_\beta(z')^{-1}$$

so they are groups acting non-linearly. To fix this, consider  $r: \mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$  the retraction given in (1). By Lemma 18 we have

$$\Gamma_z = r(P_\alpha(z)) \cdot \Gamma \cdot r(P_\alpha(z))^{-1} \quad , \quad \Gamma_{z'} = r(P_\beta(z')) \cdot \Gamma \cdot r(P_\beta(z'))^{-1}.$$

So if we introduce another coordinates  $w$  in  $U'_\alpha$  by  $(z, w) = (z, r(P_\alpha(z))^{-1}v)$  and  $w'$  in  $U'_\beta$  by  $(z', w') = (z', r(P_\beta(z'))^{-1}v')$  then the corresponding transition matrices are given by

$$A_{\alpha\beta}(z) = r(P_\beta(z'))^{-1} \cdot A''_{\alpha\beta}(z) \cdot r(P_\alpha(z)) \in \mathrm{U}(1)$$

and moreover the varying groups  $\Gamma_z$  and  $\Gamma_{z'}$  become  $\Gamma$  again. This shows what we wanted. The sought transition maps  $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$  are given by  $\psi^1_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \phi^1_{\alpha\beta}(z)$  and  $\psi^2_{\alpha\beta}(z, w) = A_{\alpha\beta}(z)w$

Now let us see (2). Suppose that  $S$  accumulates at  $p \in \Sigma^1$ , and let  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  a chart around  $p = \phi(0)$  with coordinates  $(z, w)$  such that  $(\omega, g, J)$  is the standard Kähler structure in this chart. After a complex rotation on  $U$  (which preserves the whole structure) we can suppose that  $\bar{S} \cap V = \phi(\{w = 0\})$ . In this case,  $e_U(z, w) = (z, w)$  so that  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma)$  remains invariant after the process described before.  $\square$

**Remark 22.** *The proof of this Lemma shows that, given the Kähler chart  $\phi: U_p \rightarrow V_p$  of a point  $p \in \Sigma^1$ , the atlas for the tubular neighborhood  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(S)$  of a singular surface  $S$  with  $p \in \bar{S}$  can be constructed making a complex rotation of the preimage  $\phi^{-1}(V_p \cap D_{\varepsilon_0}(S))$  so that  $S = \{w = 0\}$ .*

**Remark 23.** *Near  $p \in \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}$  one can define a compatible orbifold chart from  $(U_p, V_p, \Gamma_p, \phi_p)$ : we let  $\varepsilon_p > 0$  be such that  $B_{3\varepsilon_p}(p) \subset V_p$  and let  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\phi_p((B_{3\varepsilon_p}(0) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)) \cap (\mathbb{C} \times D_{\varepsilon_0})) \subset X - \cup_{S' \neq S} D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}').$$

*There is a compatible orbifold chart  $(A_p^3, V_{A_p^3}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \phi_p)$  with  $A_p^3 = (B_{3\varepsilon_p}(0) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)) \cap (\mathbb{C} \times D_{\varepsilon_0})$ ,  $V_{A_p^3} = \phi_p(A_p^3)$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_p \text{ s.t. } \gamma(z, 0) = (z', 0)\} < \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ .*

*Moreover, if  $\Gamma_S$  is the isotropy of  $S$  then  $A_p/\Gamma_S \rightarrow A_p/\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a covering with Deck group  $\tilde{\Gamma}/\Gamma_S$ . In addition, given  $\phi_p(z, 0) \in U_{A_p^3}$  one can restrict sufficiently the previous chart to obtain an orbifold chart of  $X$  with isotropy  $\Gamma_S$ .*

**Remarks 24.** (1) *The symplectic forms  $\omega_\alpha = e_\alpha^* \omega_0$  of the atlas above may not be standard in the charts  $U'_\alpha = S_\alpha \times D_\varepsilon$ , but they are standard at the points of  $S$ , so we have in coordinates  $(z, w) \in U'_\alpha$  the expression*

$$\omega_\alpha = -\frac{i}{2}(dz \wedge d\bar{z} + dw \wedge d\bar{w}) + O(|w|).$$

- (2) The atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  constructed above can be refined so that for any  $p \in \Sigma^1$  and any neighborhood  $W^p$  of  $p$  in  $X$ , there is an orbifold chart  $(U^p, V^p, \phi_p, \Gamma_p)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $p \in V^p \subset W^p$ . Also, we can assume that only one of the open sets of the atlas contains the point  $p \in \Sigma^1$ .

Consider an orbifold almost Kähler structure  $(X, \omega, g, J)$  of Lemma 20. Let  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  be an isotropy surface, and  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  a neighborhood of  $\bar{S}$  in  $X$  as in Lemma 21, with an open cover  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) = \cup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$  and orbifold charts  $(U_{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}, \omega_{\alpha})$ . For  $p \in \bar{S} \cap \Sigma^1$  let  $(U_p, V_p, \Gamma_p, \phi_p, \omega_0)$  be the unique orbifold chart covering  $p$ . Denote  $\pi: D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \bar{S}$  the projection. The following Lemma shows the existence of an orbifold connection 1-form in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) - (\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}} B_{\varepsilon_p}(p) \cup \bar{S})$ , where  $\varepsilon_p$  verifies that  $B_{3\varepsilon_p}(0) \subset U_p$  and  $3\varepsilon_p < \varepsilon_0$ .

**Lemma 25.** *Notations as above. There exists an orbifold 1-form  $\eta = \eta_S \in \Omega_{orb}^1(D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) - (\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}} B_{\varepsilon_p}(p) \cup \bar{S}))$  such that:*

- (1) If  $V_{\alpha} \cap \Sigma^1 = \emptyset$ , the liftings  $\eta_{\alpha}$  in the orbifold charts  $U_{\alpha} = S_{\alpha} \times D_{\varepsilon}$  have the form  $\eta_{\alpha} = d\theta + \pi^* \nu_{\alpha}$  for  $\nu_{\alpha} \in \Omega^1(S_{\alpha})$ , with  $\theta$  the angular coordinate in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}$ .
- (2) For  $p \in \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}$ , let  $H_p \times D_{\varepsilon_0} \subset U_p$  with  $\phi(H_p \times \{0\}) = \bar{S} \cap V_p$ . Then, the lifting of  $\eta$  in  $U_p - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)$  equals  $d\theta$  in  $V_{A_p^2}$ , with  $V_{A_p^2} = \phi_p(A_p^2)$  and  $A_p^2 = (B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)) \cap (\mathbb{C} \times D_{\varepsilon'_0})$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\pi_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} \times D_{\varepsilon_0} \rightarrow D_{\varepsilon_0}$ , and the angular function  $\pi_{\alpha}^* \theta$  which measures the angle in each fiber  $D_{\varepsilon_0}$ . We have that  $\pi_{\alpha}^* \theta - \pi_{\beta}^* \theta = \pi^* \xi_{\alpha\beta}$  in the intersections, being  $\xi_{\alpha\beta} = \xi_{\alpha\beta}(z)$  a function on  $S$ .

The 1-forms  $d\pi_{\alpha}^* \theta - d\pi_{\beta}^* \theta = \pi^* d\xi_{\alpha\beta} = \pi^* \nu_{\alpha\beta}$  are  $\Gamma$ -invariant since  $\Gamma$  acts on the angle  $\theta$  as a translation in the charts. The argument carries also on the chart  $(A_p^3, V_{A_p^3}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \phi_p)$  defined on Remark 23; the angular form is  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant because each element of  $\tilde{G}$  can be expressed as the composition of a map  $(z, w) \rightarrow (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}} z, w)$  which preserves the angle, and a map that acts on the angle  $\theta$  as a translation.

We take a cover of  $\bar{S} - \cup_{p \in \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}} B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)$  formed by coordinate open sets and such that all points of  $V_{A_p^2}$  are covered only by  $V_{A_p^3}$ . We denote it by  $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ . Now, taking a partition of unity  $\rho_{\alpha}$  subordinated to the cover  $\{V_{\alpha}\}$  we can define  $\eta_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \pi^* \rho_{\alpha} \cdot \pi_{\alpha}^*(d\theta)$ . If we fix a chart  $U_{\beta} = S_{\beta} \times D_{\varepsilon_0}$ , then the lifting of  $\eta$  to  $U_{\beta}$  is given by

$$\eta|_{U_{\beta}} = \sum_{\alpha} \pi^* \rho_{\alpha} \cdot (\pi_{\beta}^*(d\theta) + \pi^* \nu_{\alpha\beta}) = \pi_{\beta}^*(d\theta) + \sum_{\alpha} \pi^*(\rho_{\alpha} \cdot \nu_{\alpha\beta}).$$

This proves that  $\eta$  restricts to  $d\theta$  on each fiber and (1).

Take  $p \in \Sigma^1$ . Since points on  $\phi_p((B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)) \cap \mathbb{C} \times D_{\varepsilon_0})$  are covered by a unique open set of the covering, the connection is trivial over it. This proves (2).  $\square$

## 4. RESOLUTION.

In this section we shall be explicit about the atlas that we consider in the space  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  the orbifold atlas of  $X$  that verifies Lemma 21 and denote the symplectic orbifold structure by  $(X, \omega, \mathcal{A})$ .

First observe that we can suppose that  $\Sigma^0 = \emptyset$  because we can use the method described in [13] to resolve isolated singularities; we briefly describe it in subsection 4.1. In order to perform the resolution we first endow  $X$  with the structure of a symplectic orbifold  $(X, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \widehat{\omega})$  without changing the underlying topological manifold. The isotropy points of the new structure are isolated and consist of  $\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1$ . For that purpose, we first construct a manifold atlas of  $X - \Sigma^1$  and replace  $\omega$  with a closed 2-form  $\omega_a^*$ , which is zero on a closed neighbourhood of  $\Sigma^1$  and symplectic out of it. After this we extend the orbifold structure to  $\Sigma^1$  to obtain the desired orbifold structure  $(X, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ . The orbifold form  $\omega'$  naturally extends to  $(X, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ ; we finally use a gluing lemma (see Lemma 37) to construct  $\widehat{\omega}$ .

The extension process is inspired in Lemma 19. Following its notation, if  $p \in \Sigma^1$  and  $(U, V, \Gamma, \phi)$  is an orbifold chart then  $V = U/\Gamma = (U/\Gamma^*)/\Gamma'$ . An holomorphic homeomorphism  $H: U/\Gamma^* \rightarrow \widehat{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  allows us to resolve the singularities of  $\Sigma^* \cap V$ ; and  $\widehat{U}/\Gamma'$  has an isolated singularity at 0. This structure must be compatible with the atlas defined on  $X - \Sigma^1$ ; for that reason we resolve the singularities on  $\Sigma^*$  using complex transformations. Riemman extension theorem will ensure the compatibility of both structures out of  $\Sigma^1$ .

We finally resolve the isolated isotropy locus of  $(X, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \widehat{\omega})$  using again the method of [13]. This process yields a resolution of  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \omega)$  as follows:

**Theorem 26.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic 4-orbifold such that the closure of each conected component  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  is compact. There exists a symplectic manifold  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$  and a smooth map  $\pi: (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow (X, \omega)$  which is a symplectomorphism outside an arbitrarily small neighborhood of the isotropy set of  $X$ .*

**4.1. Resolution of isolated singularities.** We briefly outline the process of resolving an isolated singularity, which can be found in [13]. As one should observe, this method is valid for symplectic orbifolds of arbitrary dimension; but we restrict to the case that the dimension is 4.

Take an isolated singular point  $p \in \Sigma^0$  and a Kähler Darboux chart  $(U, V, \Gamma, \phi, \omega_0, j)$  around  $p$ , with  $V \cong U/\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma < U(2)$ . The space  $U/\Gamma$  is an affine variety because one can consider  $\langle P_1, \dots, P_N \rangle$  a basis of the finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of polynomials that are invariant by the action of  $\Gamma$ , and define the holomorphic embedding:

$$\iota: \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N, \quad \iota(x) = (P_1, \dots, P_N)(x).$$

One can use the model  $\iota(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$  to perform the resolution of singularities; this obtained by a finite number of blow-ups. Thus the resolution  $b: F \rightarrow \iota(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$  is quasi-projective and consequently Kähler. We shall denote by  $\omega_F$  the symplectic form on the resolution.

Then we replace  $B_\varepsilon(p) = \phi(B_\varepsilon(0)) \subset V$  by a small ball around the exceptional set  $E = b^{-1}(0)$  in  $F$ ; that is, define:

$$X' = (X - B_\varepsilon(p)) \cup_{\bar{\phi} \circ b} b^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(0)/\Gamma),$$

To endow  $X'$  with a symplectic form we interpolate  $b^*\omega_0$  and  $\lambda\omega_F$  on  $A = b^{-1}(B_{3\delta}(0) - B_\delta(0)/\Gamma)$ , where  $\lambda$  is small enough. The interpolation is allowed due to the fact that  $A$  is a lens space and thus  $H^2(A, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ ; in order to do so one has to replace the Kähler potential  $r^2$  of  $\omega_0$  with a radial Kähler potential on  $\mathbb{C}^2 - B_\delta(0)$  that vanishes on  $\overline{B_\delta(0)}$  and coincides with  $r^2$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2 - B_{2\delta}(0)$ , obtaining a form  $\omega_1$ . If  $d\eta = \omega_F - b^*\omega_1$  on  $A$ , one can ensure that if  $\lambda$  is small enough and  $\rho$  is a radial bump function which is 1 on  $B_{2\delta}(0)$  and 0 on  $\mathbb{C}^2 - B_{3\delta}(0)$ , that:

$$\omega_\lambda = b^*\omega_1 + \lambda d((\rho \circ b)\eta)$$

extends to a symplectic form on  $b^{-1}(B_{2\varepsilon}(0)/\Gamma)$  and interpolates the desired forms; this is similar to the gluing process described on Lemma 37. To ensure that  $\omega_\lambda$  is symplectic on  $\delta < r \leq 2\delta$  we use the fact that both  $b^*\omega_1$  and  $\omega_F$  are positive with respect to the complex structure  $j_F$  on  $F$ .

**4.2. Construction of  $(X - \Sigma^1, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \omega')$ .** In this first step we resolve each surface  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  separately; working away of  $\Sigma^1$ . We split the construction in two parts: we first do a preparation on the orbifold  $(X, \omega, \mathcal{A})$  and then change the symplectic orbifold structure.

**Preparation.** In order to construct a smooth atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $X - \Sigma^1$  we shall modify  $\mathcal{A}$  around singular surfaces. For this, we use the basic fact that the map  $q: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $q(z) = z^m$  gives a homeomorphism between  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . This map applied to the fibers  $\{z\} \times D_{\varepsilon_0} \subset D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  yields a manifold atlas of  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) - \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}$ , hence providing the sought manifold atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $X - \Sigma^1$ .

But the symplectic form  $\omega$  is singular on  $\Sigma^*$  with respect to the atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $X - \Sigma^1$ . For this reason we replace  $\omega$  on the orbifold  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  with a form  $\omega_a^*$  that is degenerated on each  $S \subset \Sigma^*$ , but it will be symplectic on the manifold  $(X - \overline{B_\varepsilon(\Sigma^1)}, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ . Here  $B(\Sigma^1)$  stands for a neighborhood of  $\Sigma^1$  which is a union of balls around each  $p \in \Sigma^1$  that are contained in  $V_p$ , where  $(U_p, V_p, \Gamma_p, \omega_0) \in \mathcal{A}$  is the Darboux chart as usual. More precisely, given  $p \in \Sigma^1$  the ball is  $\phi(B_{\varepsilon_p}(0))$  where  $\varepsilon_p > 0$  verifies  $B_{3\varepsilon_p}(0) \subset U_p$ . In addition,  $\omega_a^* = 0$  on  $\overline{B(\Sigma^1)}$ .

As a first step, we need an orbifold symplectic form  $\omega^0$  in  $X$  which is constant in the fibers of  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  for each  $S \subset \Sigma^*$ . For that purpose we first introduce some notations; let  $S$  be an isotropy surface, we denote  $\pi: D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \bar{S}$  the projection. By Lemma 25 we have an orbifold connection 1-form  $\eta$  on  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) - \bar{S} \times \{0\}$  which equals  $d\theta$  in each punctured fiber  $\{z\} \times (D_\varepsilon - \{0\})$ ,  $z \in \bar{S}$ . Denote  $\omega_S = \iota^*\omega \in \Omega^2(S)$  the symplectic form in  $S$ , with  $\iota: S \hookrightarrow D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) \subset X$  the inclusion.

**Lemma 27.** *For any choice of  $\delta > 0$  small enough, there exists an orbifold symplectic form  $\omega^0 = \omega^0(\delta)$  on  $X$  such that  $\omega^0 = \omega$  in*

$$(X - \cup_{S \subset \Sigma^*} D_{2\delta}(\bar{S})) \cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} V_p,$$

and for every singular surface  $S \subset \Sigma^*$ ,  $\omega^0 = \pi^*\omega_S + rdr \wedge \eta + \frac{1}{2}r^2d\eta$  in  $D_\delta(\bar{S})$ ; where  $\pi: D_\delta(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \bar{S}$  denotes the projection.

*Proof.* Let  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  be a singular surface; we define an orbifold 2-form by

$$\omega' = \pi^*\omega_S + rdr \wedge \eta + \frac{1}{2}r^2d\eta \in \Omega_{orb}^2(D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}))$$

where  $r$  is the function in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  measuring the radius of the fiber  $D_{\varepsilon_0}$ . A simple computation shows that  $\omega'$  is smooth for  $r = 0$ , and that  $d\omega' = 0$ . In addition, given  $p \in \Sigma^1 \cap \bar{S}$ , it holds that  $\eta = d\theta$  and  $\omega$  is the standard Kähler form on the set  $H_p \times D_\varepsilon$ ; therefore  $\omega'$  coincides with  $\omega$ .

It is clear that  $\omega'$  is non-degenerate at every point of the zero section  $\bar{S} \times \{0\}$ , so it is non-degenerate in a maybe smaller neighborhood which we call again  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$ . Now we interpolate  $\omega'$  and  $\omega$  to obtain the sought orbifold symplectic form  $\omega^0$  on  $X$ . Since  $\iota^*(\omega' - \omega) = 0$  and  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  retracts onto  $\bar{S}$ , we have that  $\omega' - \omega = d\beta$  for some orbifold 1-form  $\beta$  defined in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  which is 0 on  $H_p \times D_\varepsilon$ . By remark 24 we have  $|\omega' - \omega| = O(r)$  in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$ . We can take a primitive  $\beta$  of  $\omega' - \omega = d\beta$  such that  $|\beta| = O(r^2)$ . Indeed, we can write  $\omega' - \omega = \alpha_0 \wedge dr + \alpha_1$  for  $\alpha_0$  a 1-form and  $\alpha_1$  a 2-form with  $\alpha_1(\partial_r, \cdot) = 0$ . Then we set  $\beta = \int_0^r \alpha_0 dr$ , which is smooth and a primitive for  $\omega' - \omega$  such that

$$|\beta| \leq Cr|\alpha_0| = Cr|(\omega' - \omega)(\partial_r, \cdot)| \leq Cr|\omega - \omega'| |\partial_r| = O(r^2)$$

since  $|\partial_r|$  is bounded. Now consider a bump function  $\rho_\delta(r)$  which equals 1 in  $D_\delta(\bar{S})$  and 0 in  $D_{2\delta}(\bar{S})$  and such that  $|\rho'_\delta| \leq \frac{3}{\delta}$ . Here  $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$  is small, to be fixed later. Define  $\omega^0 = \omega + d(\rho_\delta\beta)$ . We have that

$$|\omega^0 - \omega| = |\rho'_\delta(r)dr \wedge \beta + \rho_\delta d\beta| = O\left(\frac{r^2}{\delta}\right) + O(r)$$

so  $\omega^0 - \omega = O(\delta)$  in  $D_{2\delta}(S)$ . Hence  $\omega^0$  is symplectic in  $D_{2\delta}(\bar{S})$  for  $\delta$  small. Outside  $D_{2\delta}(S)$  we have  $\omega^0 = \omega$  so it is also symplectic, and then  $\omega^0$  is a global orbifold symplectic form in  $X$ . Note that  $\omega^0$  equals  $\omega'$  in  $D_\delta(S)$ , as desired. Finally, it is clear from the construction of  $\omega^0$  that  $\omega = \omega^0$  on a neighborhood  $\cup_p V_p$  of  $\Sigma^1$ .  $\square$

We now modify  $\omega'$  in order to obtain an intermediate form,  $\omega_a \in \Omega^2(X - B(\Sigma^1))$ . This is a closed form which is symplectic on  $X - (\Sigma^* \cup B(\Sigma^1))$  but  $\omega_a \neq 0$  on  $\partial B(\Sigma^*)$ ; we construct later the desired form  $\omega_a^*$  from  $\omega_a$ . The construction of  $\omega_a$  follows the ideas of the proof of Lemma 27 and consists on defining a symplectic form which is adapted to a splitting of the tangent bundle of each singular surface  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  into two distributions that we now introduce.

Recall that our atlas provides a well-defined radial function around  $S$ . The connection 1-form  $\eta$  defined on Lemma 25 allows us to define the horizontal subbundle  $\mathcal{H} = \ker(rdr \wedge \eta)$  and the vertical subbundle  $\mathcal{V} = \ker(d\pi)$ ; these can be endowed with an almost Kähler structure:

- (1) On the horizontal space we consider the symplectic form  $\pi^*\omega_S$ ; if  $J_S$  tames  $\omega_S$  on  $S$ , we can extend it to  $\mathcal{H}$  via the isomorphism  $d\pi$  and continue denoting it with the same name. This extension tames  $\pi^*\omega_S$  because  $(d\pi)^t(\omega_S) = \pi^*\omega_S$ .

- (2) On the vertical bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  we consider the standard metric  $g_{\mathcal{V}} = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$  and the complex structure  $J_{\mathcal{V}}$  induced by the complex multiplication by  $i$  in the atlas  $(U_{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ . The induced form is  $\omega_{\mathcal{V}} = r dr \wedge d\theta = r dr \wedge \eta|_{\mathcal{V}}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{H}^* \cong \text{Ann}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \otimes \pi^*(\Omega^1(S))$  and that  $\mathcal{V}^* \cong \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \otimes \langle dr, \eta \rangle$ , so we can extend any tensor initially constructed in the horizontal (vertical) distribution as being zero in the vertical (horizontal) distribution respectively. This applies especially to  $J_{\mathcal{V}}$ .

Before stating the result in which we construct the form  $\omega_a$ , we introduce some notations. Consider the neighborhoods  $D_{\delta}(\bar{S})$  for  $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon_0$ ; there exists  $\delta_p^{\Sigma^*} > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta < \delta_p^{\Sigma^*}$  it holds  $D_{\delta}(\bar{S}) \cap D_{\delta}(\bar{S}') \subset B(\Sigma^1)$  for any pair of singular surfaces  $S, S'$ . Fixed a singular surface  $S$ , define for  $0 < \delta < \delta_p^{\Sigma^*}$  the  $\delta$ -normal neighborhood of  $S - B(\Sigma^1)$

$$N_{\delta}(S) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_S} \phi_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha} \times B_{\delta}(0)),$$

where  $\Lambda_S$  denotes the set of indexes  $\alpha$  such that  $V_{\alpha} \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_{\alpha} \subset X - B(\Sigma^1)$ . To ease notation, we assume that  $\varepsilon_0$  is chosen so that  $\varepsilon_0 < \delta_p^{\Sigma^*}$ . Hence the neighborhoods  $N_{\varepsilon_0}(S)$  are disjoint for the different surfaces  $S$ .

**Proposition 28.** *For every isotropy surface  $S$  there exist  $0 < \delta_0^S < \frac{1}{2}\delta_p^{\Sigma^*}$ ,  $\delta_2^S < \frac{1}{3}\delta_0^S$ , and  $a_2^S > 0$  such that for every  $a < \min_S\{a_2^S\}$  there is a closed form  $\omega_a \in \Omega^2(X - B(\Sigma^1))$  which is non-degenerate on  $X - (B(\Sigma^1) \cup \Sigma^*)$ , such that  $\omega_a = \omega$  on  $X - (\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} B_{\varepsilon_p}(p) \cup_{S \in \Sigma^*} N_{2\delta_0^S}(S))$ , and for each singular surface we have:*

$$\omega_a = \pi^* \omega_S - \frac{1}{4} dJ_{\mathcal{V}} d(r^{2m} + a^2)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

on  $N_{\delta_2^S}(S)$ . Here  $\eta_S$  is the connection 1-form constructed on Lemma 25. On  $\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} (B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)) - \Sigma^*$  the form  $\omega_a$  is  $j$ -tamed and Kähler.

*Proof.* We describe the process in a neighborhood of a fixed singular surface  $S$ . Note that  $J_{\mathcal{V}}(dr) = -r\eta$  for  $r \neq 0$ , so in particular

$$\frac{1}{2} d(r^2 \eta) = -\frac{1}{2} d(r J_{\mathcal{V}} dr) = -\frac{1}{4} dJ_{\mathcal{V}} dr^2.$$

Let  $\omega^0$  be the symplectic form of Lemma 27 such that

$$\omega^0 = \pi^*(\omega_S) + \frac{1}{2} d(r^2 \eta) = \pi^*(\omega_S) - \frac{1}{4} dJ_{\mathcal{V}} dr^2$$

on  $N_{\delta_0}(S)$  and  $\omega^0 = \omega$  on  $X - N_{2\delta_0}(S)$ , for some  $\delta_0$  with  $0 < \delta_0 < \frac{1}{2}\delta_p^{\Sigma^*}$ .

Define the 2-form:

$$\omega_a^0 = \pi^*(\omega_S) - \frac{1}{4} dJ_{\mathcal{V}} d(f(r^2, a)),$$

where  $f(r, a) = (r^m + a^2)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ .

Given a function  $\bar{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the 2-form  $-\frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}d(\bar{f}(r^2))$  is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}d\bar{f}(r^2) &= -\frac{1}{2}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}(r\bar{f}'(r^2)dr) = \frac{1}{2}d(\bar{f}'(r^2)r^2\eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}r^2\bar{f}'(r^2)\pi^*\kappa + (r^2\bar{f}''(r^2) + \bar{f}'(r^2))rdr \wedge \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi^*(\kappa) = d\eta$  is the curvature of the connection. In addition, we observe:

- (1) The projection of  $-\frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}d\bar{f}$  to the space  $\Lambda^2\mathcal{V}^*$  is

$$-\frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}d\bar{f}|_{\mathcal{V}} = (r^2\bar{f}''(r^2) + \bar{f}'(r^2))rdr \wedge \eta.$$

It is  $J_{\mathcal{V}}$ -tamed on an annulus  $R_0 \leq r \leq R_1$  as long as  $xf''(x) + \bar{f}'(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [R_0^2, R_1^2]$ .

- (2) Denote  $\|\cdot\|$  the norm with respect to the metric  $g_S + g_{\mathcal{V}}$ . If  $r \leq 1$  then,

$$\|\frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}d\bar{f}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\bar{f}'(r^2)|\|\pi^*\kappa\| + |\bar{f}''(r^2)| + |\bar{f}'(r^2)|.$$

In particular, if  $\Delta = [\bar{\delta}_1, \bar{\delta}_2] \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\bar{f}_a$  is a family of functions such that  $\bar{f}_a|_{[\bar{\delta}_1^2, \bar{\delta}_2^2]}$  tends uniformly to 0 as  $a \rightarrow 0$  in the  $C^2$  norm, then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can choose  $a_0 > 0$  small enough such that for  $a < a_0$ ,  $\|\frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}d\bar{f}_a\| < \varepsilon$  on  $r \in \Delta$ .

We now check that we can choose  $\delta_1 < \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$  and  $a_1 > 0$  such that for every  $a < a_1$  the form  $\omega_a^0$  is non-degenerate on  $0 < r < \delta_1$ . The vertical part  $\omega_a^0|_{\mathcal{V}} = -\frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}df(r^2, a)|_{\mathcal{V}}$  is non-degenerate and  $J_{\mathcal{V}}$ -tamed on  $r \neq 0$  because:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dr}f\right)(r, a) &= r^{m-1}(r^m + a^2)^{\frac{1}{m}-1} > 0 \\ \left(\frac{d}{dr^2}f\right)(r, a) &= a^2(m-1)r^{m-2}(r^m + a^2)^{\frac{1}{m}-2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The horizontal part is  $\omega_a^0|_{\mathcal{H}} = \pi^*(\omega_S) + \frac{1}{2}r^2\left(\frac{d}{dr}f\right)(r^2, a)\pi^*\kappa$ , whose first summand  $\pi^*(\omega_S)$  is non-degenerate and  $J_S$ -tamed on  $\mathcal{H}$ ; since  $r^2\left(\frac{d}{dr}f\right)(r^2, 0) = r^2$  we conclude the existence of  $\delta_1 < \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$  and  $a_1 > 0$  such that  $\omega_a^0|_{\mathcal{H}}$  is non-degenerate and  $J_S$ -tamed on  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $r < \delta_1$  and  $a < a_1$ .

Choose  $\delta_2 < \frac{1}{3}\delta_1$ ; we now show that there exists  $a_2 < a_1$  such that for every  $a < a_2$  there is a form  $\omega_a$  on  $X$  with  $\omega_a = \omega_a^0$  if  $0 \leq r \leq \delta_2$ ,  $\omega_a = \omega^0$  if  $r > 2\delta_2$  and such that  $\omega_a$  is  $J_{\mathcal{V}} + J_{\mathcal{H}}$  tamed on  $\delta_2 < r < 2\delta_2$ . Let  $\rho = \rho(x)$  be a smooth function such that  $\rho = 1$  if  $x \leq 1$  and  $\rho = 0$  if  $x \geq 4$  and define  $\rho_{\delta}(x) = \rho(\frac{x}{\delta^2})$ . We also define  $h(x, a) = f(x, a) - x$ ,  $H(x, a) = \rho_{\delta_2}(x)h(x, a)$  and the closed form

$$\omega_a = \omega^0 - \frac{1}{4}dJ_{\mathcal{V}}d(H(r^2, a)).$$

We now show that this is  $J_{\mathcal{V}} + J_{\mathcal{H}}$  tamed on  $\delta_2 < r < 2\delta_2$ . Note that the function  $H$  is smooth on  $(x, a) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$  and verifies that  $H(x, 0) = \rho_{\delta_2}(x)h(x, 0) = 0$ . Thus, the family  $\bar{f}_a(x) = H(x, a)$  converges uniformly to 0 in the  $C^2$  norm on the domain  $x \in [\delta_2^2, 4\delta_2^2]$ .



Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that an  $\varepsilon$ -ball with respect to  $g_S + g_V$  around  $\omega^0$  is  $(J_S + J_V)$ -tamed on  $\delta_2 \leq r \leq 2\delta_2$ . Our previous observation ensures the existence of  $a_2 > 0$  such that for every  $a < a_2$ :

$$\|\omega_a - \omega^0\| = \|\frac{1}{4}dJ_V d(H_\delta(r^2, a))\| < \varepsilon$$

on  $\delta_2 \leq r \leq 2\delta_2$ , and thus  $\omega_a$  is  $J_V + J_{\mathcal{H}}$ -tamed on  $\delta_2 \leq r \leq 2\delta_2$ , so it is a symplectic form there.

Note also that on the chart  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) \subset V_p$  the connection is flat, i.e.  $\eta = d\theta$ , and moreover  $(\omega_S, J_S)$  becomes the standard Kähler structure on  $S \cap V_p$ , so that  $J_V + J_{\mathcal{H}} = j$  becomes standard on  $U_p \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . Thus, the computation above proves that  $\omega_a$  is  $j$ -tamed and Kähler on the  $\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} (B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)) - \Sigma^*$ .  $\square$

**Remark 29.** For a fixed surface  $S$ , the formula defining  $\omega_a$  near  $S$  clearly extends to a non-degenerate closed 2-form on  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) - \Sigma^1$ . However, for different surfaces  $S, S'$  these extended 2-forms may differ in  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}_i) \cap D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}_j) \subset B(\Sigma^1)$ . That is why we restrict the definition of  $\omega_a$  to  $X - B(\Sigma^1)$ .

To construct  $\omega_a^*$  we interpolate  $\omega_a$  with 0 near  $\Sigma^1$ ; for that purpose we first prove that  $\omega_a$  admits a Kähler potential on a neighbourhood of  $\Sigma^1$ . This neighbourhood consists of the union of annulus  $A_p = B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p) \subset X$  for each  $p \in \Sigma^1$ ; these are covered by the orbifold chart  $U_{A_p} = B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)$ .

**Proposition 30.** Let  $p \in \Sigma^1$ ; there is a Kähler potential  $F_a: A_p \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for the lifting of  $\omega_a$  to the chart  $U_{A_p}$ . That is, in  $U_{A_p}$  we have

$$\omega_a = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} F_a.$$

In addition,  $a$  can be chosen so that there exists  $0 < t_0 < t_1$  such that:

$$B_{\varepsilon_p}(0) \subset F_a^{-1}([0, t_0]) \subset B_{3\varepsilon_p/2}(0) \subset F_a^{-1}([0, t_1]) \subset B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0).$$

*Proof.* First of all recall that the preparation of Lemma 27 does not alter  $\omega|_{V_p}$ , being  $V = V_p$  a neighborhood of  $p$  containing  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)$ . To ease notation let us suppose from now on that  $V = B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)$ , so  $V$  is covered by an orbifold chart  $U = B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0) \rightarrow V$  with coordinates  $(z, w)$ . Fix a surface  $S \subset \Sigma^*$ . We cover  $V - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)$  with the charts  $W = U_p - (B_{\varepsilon_p}(0) \cup_S N_\delta(S))$  and  $W' = \cup_S W'_S$ , with  $W'_S = N_{2\delta}(S) \cap V$ , so  $V = W \cup W'$ . The Kähler potential over  $W$  is of course:

$$F_a|_W = |z|^2 + |w|^2.$$

We now look for the Kähler potential near a singular surface  $S$ . Consider a rotation of  $V$  in which  $S$  corresponds to  $w = 0$ . By remark 22, on the set  $W'_S = N_{2\delta}(S) \cap V$  the expression of  $\omega_a$  is:

$$\omega_a = \frac{i}{2} (dz \wedge d\bar{z} + dw \wedge d\bar{w}) - \frac{1}{4} dJ_V dH(|w|^2, a)$$

where  $H(x) = \rho_{\delta_2}(x)h(x, a)$ . In addition,  $dJ_V dH(|w|^2, a) = dj dH(|w|^2, a)$  because  $J_V + J_S = j$ , and  $dH(|w|^2, a) \in \mathcal{V}^*$ . Moreover, taking into account that  $j(d\zeta) = \text{id}\zeta$

and  $j(d\bar{\zeta}) = -id\bar{\zeta}$  for a complex variable  $\zeta$ , we get  $j\partial = i\bar{\partial}$  and  $j\bar{\partial} = -i\partial$ . Hence we obtain:

$$dj d = (\partial + \bar{\partial})j(\partial + \bar{\partial}) = -2i\partial\bar{\partial}.$$

Thus,  $-\frac{1}{4}dJ_V dH(|w|^2, a) = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}H(|w|^2, a)$  and the Kähler potential is:

$$F_a|_{W'_S} = |z|^2 + |w|^2 + H(|w|^2, a).$$

Note that if  $|w| > 2\delta_2$  then  $H(|w|^2, a) = 0$ ; thus  $F_a|_{|w|>2\delta_2} = |z|^2 + |w|^2$ .

If we consider another singular surface  $S'$  with  $p \in \bar{S}'$ , we make another rotation in  $V$  and repeat the process to construct  $F_a$  near  $S'$ . Since transition functions are rotations, these functions glue together and give a function  $F_a$  well-defined in  $A$ . Note that, as discussed above, the global expression of  $F_a$  in  $A$  depends on both the radius  $r^2 = |z|^2 + |w|^2$  and the distance  $d_S$  from a surface  $S$ . That is, we have in global coordinates  $(z, w) \in A$  the expression:

$$F_a(z, w) = |z|^2 + |w|^2 + \sum_S H(d_S(z, w)^2, a)$$

where each  $H(d_S(z, w)^2)$  extends as 0 outside  $N_{2\delta_2}(S)$ . Finally, the choice of  $0 < t_0 < t_1$  with

$$B_{\varepsilon_p}(0) \subset F^{-1}([0, t_0]) \subset B_{3\varepsilon_p/2}(0) \subset F^{-1}([0, t_1]) \subset B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0)$$

can be made for  $a$  small enough. Indeed, the function  $|z|^2 + |w|^2$  verifies the above property for  $t_0 = \frac{5}{4}\varepsilon_p$  and  $t_1 = \frac{7}{4}\varepsilon_p$ , and  $H_a(x) = H(x, a)$  are positive functions that converge uniformly to 0 as  $a \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

We now prove a technical result that enables us to perform the desired interpolation.

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  open, and  $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a smooth function such that  $\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}F$  is  $j$ -semipositive. Let  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth with  $h' \geq 0$ ,  $h'' \geq 0$ . Denote  $\omega = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}F$ ,  $\omega_h = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}(h \circ F)$ .*

*Then the form  $\omega_h$  is  $j$ -semipositive. Moreover,  $\omega_h$  is  $j$ -positive on the subset of  $V$  where  $\omega = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}F$  is  $j$ -positive and  $h'(F) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* A computation in the complexified tangent bundle  $TV \otimes \mathbb{C}$  gives that

$$\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}(h \circ F) = \frac{i}{2}h''(F)\partial F \wedge \bar{\partial}F + \frac{i}{2}h'(F)\partial\bar{\partial}F.$$

On the other hand denote

$$\beta = \partial F \wedge \bar{\partial}F = \sum_{i,j} (\partial_{z_i} F)(\partial_{\bar{z}_j} F) dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

Recall that  $\beta(v, jv) = -\frac{1}{2}\beta(v - jv, v + jv)$  for every  $v \in TV$ , with  $v - jv \in T^{1,0}V$ . Take a vector  $u = v - jv = \sum_i a_i \partial_{z_i} \in T^{1,0}V$  and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(u, \bar{u}) &= \sum_{i,j} (\partial F \wedge \bar{\partial} F)(a_i \partial_{z_i}, \bar{a}_j \partial_{\bar{z}_j}) = \sum_{i,j} a_i \bar{a}_j (\partial_{z_i} F)(\partial_{\bar{z}_j} F) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (a_i \partial_{z_i} F)(\bar{a}_j \partial_{\bar{z}_j} F) = \left| \sum_i a_i \partial_{z_i} F \right|^2 = |\partial F(u)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here he have taken into account that  $\partial_{\bar{z}_j} F = \overline{\partial_{z_j} F}$  because  $F$  is real. This shows that  $\frac{1}{2}\beta(v, jv) = \frac{1}{4}\beta(u, \bar{u}) = \frac{1}{4}|\partial F(u)|^2$  for  $v \in TV$ . Finally, since  $\omega_h = \frac{1}{2}h''(F)\beta + h'(F)\omega$ , the result is clear.  $\square$

Consider the Kähler potential for  $\omega_a$  in the chart  $U_A$ , given by  $F_a: U_A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . As shown in Proposition 30, we can take numbers  $t_1 > t_0 > 0$  so that

$$B_{\varepsilon_p}(0) \subset F_a^{-1}([0, t_0]) \subset B_{3\varepsilon_p/2}(0) \subset F_a^{-1}([0, t_1]) \subset B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0).$$

Let  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function which vanishes for  $t \leq t_0$ , such that  $h(t) = t + c$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , and with  $h', h'' \geq 0$ . For instance one can take a bump function  $\varrho$  with  $\varrho' \geq 0$  so that  $\varrho$  vanishes in  $(-\infty, t_0)$  and equals 1 in  $(t_1, +\infty)$ , and then define  $h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \varrho$ .

Let us define  $\omega_a^* = \frac{1}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}(h \circ F_a)$ . This gives a closed 2-form in  $U_A = B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)$  which is  $j$ -semipositive by Lemma 31 above; moreover it extends to  $B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)$  as zero. The global formula on  $U_A$  for the Kahler potential  $F_a$  shows that  $F_a$  is invariant by the isotropy group  $\Gamma_p$ , therefore  $h \circ F_a$  is also  $\Gamma_p$ -invariant. On the other hand, as  $\Gamma_p$  acts by holomorphic maps, we have that  $\bar{\partial}\gamma^* = \gamma^*\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial\gamma^* = \gamma^*\partial$  as operators acting on forms, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$ .

It follows that  $\omega_a^* = \partial\bar{\partial}(h \circ F_a)$  is  $\Gamma_p$ -invariant in  $U_p$ . Since  $\omega_a^*$  equals  $\omega_a$  outside  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0)$ , we see that  $\omega_a^*$  is a global orbifold 2-form defined in  $X$ . We summarize the above discussion in the following:

**Corollary 32.** *There exists a closed orbifold 2-form  $\omega_a^*$  in  $X$  satisfying:*

- *It vanishes in  $B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)$ .*
- *It is  $j$ -positive in  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - (B_{\varepsilon_p}(p) \cup \Sigma^*)$ . In fact,  $\omega_a^* = \partial\bar{\partial}(h \circ F_a)$  there.*
- *It coincides with  $\omega_a$  outside  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)$ .*

**Desingularisation.** As explained before, we now define a smooth atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $X - \Sigma^1$  that makes the map  $\text{Id}: (X - \Sigma^1, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (X - \Sigma^1, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  differentiable; we also prove that  $\widehat{\omega}_a^* = \text{Id}_*(\omega_a^*)$  is the desired 2-form. In order to make the presentation clearer, we first check on Proposition 33 that  $\widehat{\omega}_a = \text{Id}_*(\omega_a)$  endows  $(X - \cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} B_{\varepsilon_p}(p), \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  with the structure of a symplectic manifold.

**Proposition 33.** *Notations and hypothesis as above. The following holds:*

- (1) *There is a manifold atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{(\widehat{U}_\alpha, \widehat{V}_\alpha, \widehat{\phi}_\alpha, \widehat{\Gamma}_\alpha)\}$  on  $X - B(\Sigma^1)$  (i.e. an orbifold atlas with isotropy  $\widehat{\Gamma}_\alpha = \{1\}$ ) such that the identity*

$$\text{Id}: (X - \Sigma^1, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (X - \Sigma^1, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}),$$

- is a smooth orbifold map, and it is a diffeomorphism out of  $\Sigma^*$ .
- (2) The push-forward  $\widehat{\omega}_a = (\text{Id})_*(\omega_a)$  is smooth on  $(X - B(\Sigma^1), \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ , and is a symplectic form for  $a < a_2$ . In addition, on  $(\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} (B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)), \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  we have that  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  is tamed by  $j$ .

*Proof.* We shall modify some orbifold charts of  $\mathcal{A}$  to obtain  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ . First, if  $x \notin \Sigma^*$  we consider an orbifold chart  $(U_x, V_x, \phi_x, \{1\}) \in \mathcal{A}$  around  $x$  with  $V_x \cap \Sigma^* = \emptyset$  and we take this as a chart of  $x$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Now, given a singular surface  $S$  with isotropy isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ , we consider the cover of  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  as in Lemma 21. Take  $(U_\alpha, V_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$  in this cover with  $U_\alpha = S_\alpha \times D_{\varepsilon_0}$  and  $p \notin V_\alpha$ . We define  $\widehat{U}_\alpha = S_\alpha \times D_{(\varepsilon_0)^m}$ ,  $\widehat{V}_\alpha = V_\alpha$ , and  $\widehat{\phi}_\alpha(z', w') = \phi_\alpha(z', w'^{\frac{1}{m}})$ . Despite of the fact that  $w'^{\frac{1}{m}}$  is not well-defined on  $\mathbb{C}$ , the composition  $\phi_\alpha \circ (z', w'^{\frac{1}{m}})$  is because  $\phi_\alpha$  is a  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -invariant map. The manifold coordinates  $(z', w')$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  and the orbifold coordinates  $(z, w)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are related by  $w' = w^m, z' = z$ . We now check that the change of charts of  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  are smooth. Denote

$$\psi_{\alpha\beta} = (\psi_{\alpha\beta}^1, \psi_{\alpha\beta}^2): U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta$$

the change of charts of the atlas  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $V_\alpha \subset D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  a chart from  $\mathcal{A}$  not containing any  $p \in \Sigma^1$ , and take  $V_\beta$  another chart in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Two cases arise:

- (1) If  $V_\beta \subset D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) - \Sigma^1$  we have induced transition functions given by

$$\widehat{\psi}_{\alpha\beta}: \widehat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \widehat{U}_\beta, \quad (z', w') \mapsto (\psi_{\alpha\beta}(z'), A_{\alpha\beta}(z')^m w') = \psi_{\alpha\beta}(z', w'^{\frac{1}{m}})$$

because

$$\widehat{\phi}_\beta(\widehat{\psi}_{\alpha\beta}(z', w')) = \widehat{\phi}_\beta(\psi_{\alpha\beta}(z', w'^{\frac{1}{m}})) = \phi_\alpha(z', w'^{\frac{1}{m}}) = \widehat{\phi}_\alpha(z', w').$$

The map  $\widehat{\psi}_{\alpha\beta}$  is a diffeomorphism because  $A_{\alpha\beta}(z) \in \text{U}(1)$ .

- (2) If  $p \notin V_\beta \not\subset D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  and  $V_\beta \cap D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S}) \neq \emptyset$ , then by construction  $V_\beta \cap \Sigma^* = \emptyset$ . The induced change of chart in the atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is

$$\widehat{\psi}_{\alpha\beta}(z', w') = (\psi_{\alpha\beta}^1(z', w'), \psi_{\alpha\beta}^2(z', w')^m);$$

this is a local diffeomorphism since  $V_\beta \cap \Sigma^* = \emptyset$  and therefore  $\psi_{\alpha\beta}^2(z, w) \neq 0$ .

The map  $\text{Id}$  restricted to  $D_{\varepsilon_0}(\bar{S})$  is covered by the local maps:

$$\text{Id}_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \widehat{U}_\alpha, \quad (z, w) \rightarrow (z, w^m) = (z', w'),$$

which are diffeomorphisms outside  $w = 0$ . Note that the radial function  $r' = |w'|$  is again well-defined on  $(D_{\varepsilon_0^m}(\bar{S}), \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $\text{Id}^*(r') = r^m = |w|^m$ .

We now consider the symplectic form around a singular surface  $S$ ; we follow the notation of Proposition 28. First observe that if  $\eta_\alpha = \pi^*(\nu_\alpha) + d\theta$ , then  $(\text{Id})_*(\eta_\alpha) = \widehat{\pi}^*(\nu_\alpha) + m d\theta$ , where  $\widehat{\pi}: D_{\varepsilon_0^m}(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \bar{S}$  is the projection. If we define  $\eta' = \frac{1}{m} \text{Id}_*(\eta)$ , then  $\eta'$  is a connection form in  $D_{\varepsilon_0^m}(\bar{S})$ . Again, one can define smooth distributions  $H' = \ker(r' dr' \wedge \eta')$  and  $V' = \ker d\widehat{\pi} = \text{Id}_*(\ker d\widehat{\pi})$ , and almost Kähler structures as before:  $(\widehat{\pi}^*\omega_S, J'_S), (r' dr' \wedge \eta', J'_V = i)$ . Taking into

account that  $\text{Id}^*(r') = r^m$  and the fact that  $(\text{Id}_*)J_V = J'_V$  (since  $\text{Id}$  is holomorphic), we obtain:

$$(\text{Id}^*)(\pi^*\omega_S - \frac{1}{4}dJ'_V d(r'^2 + a^2)^{\frac{1}{m}}) = \omega_a, \quad r' \leq \delta_2^m.$$

Therefore  $\widehat{\omega}_a = \text{Id}_*(\omega_a)$  extends smoothly to  $(X - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p), \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ , it is closed, and it is non-degenerate out of  $S$ . Moreover, near  $S$  it has the form:

$$\widehat{\omega}_a = \pi^*\omega_S - \frac{1}{4}dJ'_V d(r'^2 + a^2)^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

In every point of  $S = \{r' = 0\}$ , taking into account the formula obtained for  $dJ_V d\bar{f}(r^2)$  in Proposition 28, the form  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  coincides with:

$$\widehat{\pi}^*\omega_S + \frac{1}{ma^{1-\frac{1}{m}}}r'dr' \wedge \eta',$$

which is  $J'_V + J'_H$ -tamed.

Take the set  $V_S^* = (B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)) \cap N_{\delta_2}(S)$ , covered by the chart  $U_S^* = (B_{2\varepsilon_p}(0) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(0)) \cap (\mathbb{C} \times D_{\delta_2}) \in \mathcal{A}$ ; this has isotropy  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_p \text{ s.t. } \gamma(z, 0) = (z', 0)\}$ . Consider the induced chart  $\widehat{U}_S^* \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  which has coordinates  $(z', w')$  given by

$$\text{Id}_{U_S^*}: U_S^* \rightarrow \widehat{U}_S^* \quad , \quad (z, w) \mapsto (z, w^m) = (z', w').$$

Its isotropy is  $\tilde{\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , that acts without fixed points on  $U_S^*$ . We claim that  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  is tamed by the standard complex structure  $\mathfrak{j}$  on  $\widehat{U}_S^*$ . Moreover, we can push-forward an almost complex structure on  $(X - \Sigma^1, \mathcal{A})$  to  $(X - \Sigma^1, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ , and near  $\Sigma^1$  this push-forward gives the standard almost complex structure. Note that  $\omega_a$  is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -tamed in  $U_S^*$  and, outside  $S$ ,  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  coincides with  $\omega_a$  via the local biholomorphism  $\text{Id}_{U_S^*}$ . Indeed, we saw that the form  $\omega_a$  was tamed on  $U_S^*$  by  $J_H + J_V = \mathfrak{j}$ ; outside  $S$  we have

$$J'_V + J'_H = (\text{Id}_{U_S^*})_*(J_V + J_H) = (\text{Id}_{U_S^*})_*(\mathfrak{j}) = \mathfrak{j}$$

the last equality since  $\text{Id}_{U_S^*}$  is holomorphic. Hence  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -tamed in  $\widehat{U}_S^* - S$ , and also in  $S \cap \widehat{U}_S^*$  because it is  $J'_V + J'_H$ -tamed on  $S$  and that  $J'_V + J'_H = \mathfrak{j}$  near  $p$ .  $\square$

To finish this section we extend the form  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  by zero as we did with  $\omega_a$  in Corollary 32:

**Corollary 34.** *There exists a closed orbifold 2-form  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  in  $(X - \Sigma^1, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  satisfying:*

- *It vanishes in  $B(\Sigma^1)$ .*
- *It is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -positive in  $\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)$ .*
- *It coincides with  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  outside  $\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)$ . In particular it is symplectic there.*

*Proof.* Consider the orbifold symplectic form  $\omega_a^*$  on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  of Corollary 32. We need to check that the form  $\text{Id}_*(\omega_a^*|_{X-\Sigma^1})$  extends to a closed two-form  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  on  $(X - B(\Sigma^1), \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ , and this form will have the required properties. As  $\omega_a^* = \omega_a$  outside  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)$  and  $\text{Id}_*(\omega_a) = \widehat{\omega}_a$ , we only need to check that the push-forward of  $\omega_a^*$  extends on  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)$ .

Let us consider an isotropy surface  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  and denote by  $\widehat{\phi}: \widehat{U}_S^* \rightarrow V_S^*$  the manifold chart in  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  that we constructed in the proof of Proposition 33 in order to desingularize  $V_S^* = (V - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)) \cap N_\delta(S)$ . The restriction of the identity map

$$\text{Id}: (V_S^*, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (V_S^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$$

is holomorphic, and its inverse is holomorphic on  $V_S^* - S$ . This leads to the following equality on  $V_S^* - S$ :

$$\text{Id}_*(\omega_a^*|_{X-\Sigma^*}) = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (h \circ \widehat{F}_a),$$

where  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the smooth function constructed in Corollary 32 and

$$\widehat{F}_a(z, w) = |z|^2 + |w|^{\frac{2}{m}} + \rho_{\delta_2}(|w|^{\frac{2}{m}}) \left( (|w|^2 + a)^{\frac{1}{m}} - |w|^{\frac{2}{m}} \right).$$

The function  $\widehat{F}_a$  has a smooth extension defined on  $V_S^*$  because near  $w = 0$  the expression of  $\widehat{F}_a$  is  $\widehat{F}_a(z, w) = |z|^2 + (|w|^{\frac{2}{m}} + a)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ . Thus, we can extend  $\text{Id}_*(\omega_a^*)$  over  $S$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Symplectic orbifold structure with only isolated singularities.** Now first extend our manifold atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $X - \Sigma^1$  to an orbifold atlas of  $X$  with only isolated singularities, and then we extend the symplectic form; ending up with  $(X, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \widehat{\omega})$  a symplectic orbifold with only isolated singularities.

**Extension of the orbifold structure.** Let  $p \in \Sigma^1$  and let  $(U, V, \Gamma, \mathfrak{j}, \omega_0)$  be a Kähler orbifold chart of  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  around  $p$ . We have  $\Gamma^* \triangleleft \Gamma < \text{U}(2)$ , with  $\Gamma^*$  the isotropy group of the surfaces  $S \subset \Sigma^*$  accumulating at  $p$  and  $\Gamma' = \Gamma/\Gamma^*$  the quotient, which acts in  $U/\Gamma^*$ . The manifold  $(V - \{p\}, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  has a complex structure induced from the orbifold chart  $(U - \{0\}, \mathfrak{j}) \in \mathcal{A}$ , as was shown in Proposition 33. On the other hand,  $V \cong U/\Gamma$  has the structure of a complex orbifold induced by  $\mathcal{A}$ . The identity map  $\text{Id}: (V - \{p\}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (V - \{p\}, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  is holomorphic and a biholomorphism out of  $\Sigma^*$ . In both cases, the complex structure is the restriction to  $U - \{0\}$  of the standard complex structure  $\mathfrak{j}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

We also have a covering map  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^* \rightarrow (U - \{0\})/\Gamma$  because  $\Gamma'$  acts freely on  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*$ . This allows us to consider the complex manifold  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  and the complex orbifold  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \mathcal{A}$ ; the complex structure is again in both cases induced from  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , and the identity map  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is holomorphic and biholomorphic outside  $\Sigma^*$ . The next proposition shows that the orbifold  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \mathcal{A}$  can be naturally seen as an open set of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , allowing us to extend the complex structure  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  at the point 0.

**Proposition 35.** *The complex manifold structure on  $((U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  can be naturally extended to a complex manifold structure on  $U/\Gamma^*$  so that the group  $\Gamma' = \Gamma/\Gamma^*$  acts by biholomorphisms in the complex manifold  $(U/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ .*

*In addition, there is an open set  $\widehat{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  containing 0, a group  $\Gamma''$  acting on  $\widehat{U}$  by biholomorphisms, and a biholomorphic map  $G: (\widehat{U}, \mathfrak{j}) \rightarrow (U/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  such that  $G$  is  $(\Gamma'', \Gamma')$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* As explained in the proof of Lemma 19 there is an homeomorphism,

$$H: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \quad , \quad H(z) = (f(z_1, z_2), g(z_1, z_2)). \quad (2)$$

where  $\{f, g\}$  is a basis of the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]^{\Gamma^*}$  of  $\Gamma^*$ -invariant polynomials. This map induces an homeomorphism  $\bar{H}: \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  which is holomorphic as an orbifold map and a biholomorphism out of the singular locus  $\Sigma^*$ ; here we have considered  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma^*$  as a complex orbifold, covered by a unique chart  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma^*)$ . The structure that  $(U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*$  inherits when viewed as an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma^*$  is precisely the orbifold structure determined by  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let us call  $G' = \bar{H}^{-1}$ , define  $\widehat{U} = H(U) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ , so  $U/\Gamma^* \cong \widehat{U}$  via  $\bar{H}$ . Let  $G = \text{Id} \circ G': \widehat{U} \rightarrow U/\Gamma^*$  and consider the restriction

$$G|: (\widehat{U} - \{0\}, j) \xrightarrow{G'} (U - \{0\}/\Gamma^*, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} ((U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}),$$

which is bijective and biholomorphic out of  $G|^{-1}(\Sigma^*)$ , and can be extended as a homeomorphism from  $\widehat{U}$  to  $U/\Gamma^*$ . The inverse  $G|^{-1}$  is holomorphic out of  $\Sigma^*$ , being  $\Sigma^* \cap U$  a union of complex hyperplanes. Also,  $G|^{-1}$  is a homeomorphism onto the set  $\widehat{U} - \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  which is bounded. By Riemann extension theorem,  $G|^{-1}$  is holomorphic. The inverse function theorem ensures that  $G|$  is a biholomorphism. This shows that the complex manifold structure in  $((U - \{0\})/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  can be extended naturally to all  $U/\Gamma^*$ , in such a way that  $G: (\widehat{U}, j) \rightarrow (U/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  is a global complex chart, hence a biholomorphism.

We consider  $\Gamma'' = \{\gamma'' = G^{-1} \circ [\gamma] \circ G: [\gamma] \in \Gamma' = \Gamma/\Gamma^*\}$ . Note that since  $\gamma \in U(2)$  then clearly the action of  $\Gamma'$  in  $(U/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  is holomorphic outside the isotropy, i.e. on  $((U - \Sigma)/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  with  $\Sigma = \Sigma^* \cup \{0\}$  a complex subvariety. Again by Riemann extension theorem, the action of  $\Gamma'$  must be holomorphic in all  $(U/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ . Since  $G$  is a biholomorphism, every  $\gamma'' \in \Gamma''$  is a biholomorphism of  $(\widehat{U}, j)$ . Hence  $\Gamma''$  acts in  $\widehat{U}$  by biholomorphisms.

We call  $q: U/\Gamma^* \rightarrow (U/\Gamma^*)/\Gamma' \cong U/\Gamma$  the quotient map. Consider  $Y = (U/\Gamma^*, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  a complex manifold and  $\Gamma' \cong \Gamma''$  equivalent groups acting on  $Y$  by biholomorphisms, so the space  $Y/\Gamma'$  is a complex orbifold. In addition,  $(\widehat{U}, Y/\Gamma', \phi_0, \Gamma'')$  gives a global orbifold chart of  $Y/\Gamma'$ , with  $\phi_0 = q \circ G: \widehat{U} \rightarrow Y/\Gamma'$  the orbifold chart that induces  $\bar{\phi}_0: \widehat{U}/\Gamma'' \rightarrow Y/\Gamma'$  a homeomorphism.

Finally, using the homeomorphism  $h: Y/\Gamma' \rightarrow V$  given by  $Y/\Gamma' = (U/\Gamma^*)/\Gamma' \cong U/\Gamma \cong V$  we have  $(\widehat{U}, V, \widehat{\phi}, \Gamma'')$  with  $\widehat{\phi} = h \circ \phi_0$ ; this gives an orbifold chart around the point  $p \in X$  which is compatible with the manifold structure  $(X - \Sigma^1, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 36.** *The map  $G$  induces an orbifold chart  $(\widehat{U}, V, \widehat{\phi}, \Gamma'')$  of  $V = V^p$  which is compatible with the manifold structure  $(X - \Sigma^1, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ .*

**Symplectic form on  $(X, \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ .** Adding to  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  the charts defined on Corollary 36 we obtain an orbifold atlas  $\mathcal{A}'$  on  $X$  with isolated singularities. We also have a symplectic form  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  on  $(X - B(\Sigma^1), \widehat{\mathcal{A}})$  given by Proposition 33. The last step now

is extending the symplectic form  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  to all the orbifold  $(X, \mathcal{A}')$ . For this it will be useful the following Lemma.

**Lemma 37.** *Denote  $B_r = B_r(0)$  a ball of radius  $r$ , and let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  open set containing  $B_r$ . Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega^2(U)$  be closed 2-forms so that:*

- *The form  $\omega_1$  vanishes on  $\bar{B}_{\varepsilon_1}$ , it is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -semipositive in  $B_{\varepsilon_2} - \bar{B}_{\varepsilon_1}$ , and it is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -positive in  $U - B_{\varepsilon_2}$ , for some  $\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1 < r$ .*
- *The form  $\omega_2$  is non-degenerate in  $U$  and  $\mathfrak{j}$ -tame.*

*Then, for any choice of  $\varepsilon_3$  with  $r > \varepsilon_3 > \varepsilon_2$  there is a  $\mathfrak{j}$ -tame symplectic form  $\omega$  in  $U$  so that  $\omega|_{B_{\varepsilon_1}} = \delta\omega_2$  for some  $\delta > 0$  small,  $\omega = \omega_1$  outside  $B_{\varepsilon_3}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho = \rho_\varepsilon(r)$  be a radial bump function which equals 1 in  $0 \leq r \leq \varepsilon_2$  and equals 0 in  $r \geq \varepsilon_3$ . Let  $\beta \in \Omega^1(B_r)$  such that  $d\beta = \omega_2$ . Let us define  $\omega = \omega_\delta = \omega_1 + \delta d(\rho\beta)$ . We have that  $\omega = \delta\omega_2$  on  $r \leq \varepsilon_1$ , so it is symplectic and  $\mathfrak{j}$ -tame there. On  $\varepsilon_1 \leq r \leq \varepsilon_2$  we have  $\omega = \omega_1 + \delta\omega_2$ ; as  $\omega_1$  is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -semipositive and  $\omega_2$  is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -positive in  $B_{\varepsilon_2} - B_{\varepsilon_1}$ , we see that  $\omega$  is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -positive in  $B_{\varepsilon_2} - B_{\varepsilon_1}$ . Also,  $\omega = \omega_1$  on  $r \geq \varepsilon_3$ .

Finally, on  $\varepsilon_2 \leq r \leq \varepsilon_3$  we have  $\omega = \omega_1 + \delta d\rho \wedge \beta + \delta\rho\omega_2$ . Since  $\omega_1$  is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -positive on the compact annulus  $\varepsilon_2 \leq r \leq \varepsilon_3$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  with  $\omega_1(u, \mathfrak{j}u) \geq C|u|^2$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and all point in the annulus. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(u, \mathfrak{j}u)| &= |\omega_1(u, \mathfrak{j}u) + \delta d\rho \wedge \beta(u, \mathfrak{j}u) + \delta\rho\omega_2(u, \mathfrak{j}u)| \\ &\geq \omega_1(u, \mathfrak{j}u) + \delta\rho\omega_2(u, \mathfrak{j}u) - |\delta d\rho \wedge \beta(u, \mathfrak{j}u)| \\ &\geq C|u|^2 - \delta \|d\rho \wedge \beta\| |u|^2 \\ &= (C - \delta \|d\rho \wedge \beta\|) |u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

so if  $\delta < \frac{C}{\|d\rho \wedge \beta\| + 1}$  then  $\omega$  is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -tame and symplectic.  $\square$

Now recall the closed 2-form  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  of Corollary 34. The form  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  is defined on  $(X, \mathcal{A}')$ , vanishes in  $B(\Sigma^1)$ , coincides with  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  outside  $B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)$ , and it is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -positive in  $\cup_{p \in \Sigma^1} (B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p) - B_{\varepsilon_p}(p))$ . By Lemma 37 we can glue  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  with the standard symplectic form  $\omega_0$  near  $p$  to construct an orbifold symplectic form on  $(X, \mathcal{A}')$  extending  $\widehat{\omega}_a$ .

**Corollary 38.** *There exists an orbifold symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}_a$  on  $(X, \mathcal{A}')$  which coincides with  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  out of some neighborhood of  $\Sigma^1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the orbifold chart  $(\widehat{U}, V, \widehat{\phi}, \Gamma''')$  around a point  $p \in \Sigma^1$  of Corollary 36. Consider a local representative of  $\widehat{\omega}_a^*$  in the chart  $\widehat{U}$ , denote it  $\omega_1 = \widehat{\omega}_a^*$ . Consider also  $\omega_2 = -\frac{i}{2}(dz \wedge d\bar{z} + dw \wedge d\bar{w})$  the standard symplectic form in  $\widehat{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . Take balls  $B_{\varepsilon_i}$  in  $\widehat{U}$  so that

$$B_{\varepsilon_1} \subset \widehat{\phi}^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon_p}(p)) \subset \widehat{\phi}^{-1}(B_{2\varepsilon_p}(p)) \subset B_{\varepsilon_2} \subset B_{\varepsilon_3}.$$

We have that  $\omega_1$  vanishes in  $B_{\varepsilon_1}$ , it is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -semipositive in  $B_{\varepsilon_2} - B_{\varepsilon_1}$  and coincides with  $\widehat{\omega}_a$  outside  $B_{\varepsilon_2}$ , so it is  $\mathfrak{j}$ -positive there. We are in the hypothesis of Lemma 37, and this gives our desired symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}_a$  in  $\widehat{U}$  with  $\bar{\omega}_a = \widehat{\omega}_a$  outside  $B_{\varepsilon_3}$ .



The only point is that  $\bar{\omega}_a$  may not be  $\Gamma''$ -invariant; in case it is not, replace it by its average over  $\Gamma''$ , which is also  $\mathfrak{j}$ -tame because diffeomorphisms on  $\Gamma''$  are holomorphic. Being  $\omega_1$  invariant under  $\Gamma''$ , the average coincides with  $\hat{\omega}_a$  outside  $B_{\varepsilon_3}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 39.** *The symplectic orbifold  $(X, \mathcal{A}', \bar{\omega}_a)$  has only isolated singularities.*

**4.4. Cohomology groups of the resolution.** The computation of the cohomology groups of the resolution can be obtained from the results in [13].

**Proposition 40.** *Let  $\pi: (\tilde{X}, \hat{\omega}) \rightarrow (X, \omega)$  be a symplectic resolution of a symplectic orbifold. Define the subset of  $\Sigma^1$*

$$\Delta = \{x \in \Sigma^1 \text{ s.t. } \Gamma_x / \Gamma_x^* \neq \{1\}\},$$

where  $\Gamma_x^*$  is the subgroup of  $\Gamma_x$  generated by the isotropy surfaces accumulating at  $x$ . For each  $p \in \Delta \cup \Sigma^0$ , let  $E_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$  be the exceptional set. For  $k > 0$  there is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^k(X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^k(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \bigoplus_{p \in \Sigma^0 \cup \Delta} H^k(E_p) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* The symplectic resolution of  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \omega)$  is divided into two steps; we first perform a partial resolution  $(X, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\omega}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A}, \omega)$ . The underlying topological space of the partial resolution does not change but its singularities are isolated and consists precisely of the points in  $\Delta \cup \Sigma^0$ . After this, we construct a resolution  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow (X, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\omega})$  employing the method described in [13, Theorem 3.3]. The cohomology ring of  $\tilde{X}$  was computed in [13, Proposition 3.4] and implies the statement.  $\square$

## 5. EXAMPLES

In this section we give some examples of 4-orbifolds to which the resolution described above can be applied.

**Products of orbifolds.** Let  $(S, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic 2-dimensional orbifold. Its isotropy set consists of an isolated set of points  $\{p_0, \dots, p_n\}$ ; we denote the isotropy group of  $p_j$  by  $G_j$ .

Consider the product orbifold  $(S \times S, \omega + \omega)$  and the symplectic involution  $R(x, y) = (y, x)$ . Let us define the symplectic orbifold  $X = S \times S / \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{R, \text{Id}\}$ , and denote  $q: S \times S \rightarrow X$  the projection to the orbit space. The isotropy set of  $X$  is  $\Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^1$ , where:

- (1)  $\Sigma^* = q(\cup_{j=1}^n (S - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}) \times \{p_j\}) \cup q(\{(x, x), x \in S - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}\})$ ,
- (2)  $\Sigma^1 = q(\{(p_j, p_k), 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n\})$ .

The isotropy group of points on  $q((S - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}) \times \{p_j\})$  is  $G_j$ , and for points on  $q(\{(x, x), x \in S - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}\})$  it is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $j < k$ , the isotropy group of  $(p_j, p_k)$  is  $G_{jk} = G_j \times G_k$ . If  $j = k$  a presentation of the isotropy group  $G_{jj}$  is

$\langle \xi, G_j \times G_j \mid \xi^2 = 1, \xi(\gamma, \gamma') = (\gamma', \gamma)\xi \rangle$ . Indeed if  $(U, V, \phi, \Gamma_j)$  is an orbifold chart around  $p_j$  on  $S$ , then an orbifold chart around  $q(p_j, p_j)$  on  $X$  is:


$$(U \times U, q(V \times V), q \circ (\phi \times \phi), G_{jj})$$

where the action of  $\xi$  is given by  $\xi(z, w) = (w, z)$ , and the action of  $G_j \times G_j$  is  $(\gamma, \gamma')(z, w) = (\gamma z, \gamma' w)$ .

Theorem 1 allows us to obtain a symplectic resolution of the orbifold  $(X, \omega)$ ; this resolution is homeomorphic to  $X$  because one can check that  $G'_{jk} = \{1\}$ , following the notation of Lemma 19.

Now let  $(S', \omega')$  be a compact 2-dimensional symplectic orbifold (possibly different from  $(S, \omega)$ ), with singularities  $\{p'_0, \dots, p'_m\}$  and isotropy groups  $\Gamma'_1, \dots, \Gamma'_m$ . We can also consider the product orbifold  $(S \times S', \omega + \omega')$ . The isotropy set is  $\Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^1$ , with  $\Sigma^1 = \{(p_j, p'_k), 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ . The isotropy group of  $(p_j, p'_k)$  is  $G_{jk} = \Gamma_j \times \Gamma'_k$  and verify that  $G'_{jk} = \{1\}$ . The orbifold  $(S \times S', \omega + \omega')$  verifies the hypothesis of Theorem 1, and its resolution is homeomorphic to  $S \times S'$ . Note that one could also have constructed the resolution as  $(\tilde{S} \times \tilde{S}', \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\omega}')$ , where  $q: (\tilde{S}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow (S, \omega)$  and  $q: (\tilde{S}', \tilde{\omega}') \rightarrow (S', \omega')$  are the symplectic resolutions provided in [13].

**Mapping torus over a surface of genus 2.** Consider  $\Sigma_2$  a genus 2 surface smoothly embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with coordinates  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We require that  $\Sigma_2$  is symmetric with respect to the planes  $\{x = 0\}$ ,  $\{y = 0\}$  and  $\{z = 0\}$ . Consider the symplectic form in  $\Sigma_2$  given by  $\omega_{\Sigma_2} = \iota_N(\text{vol}_3)|_{\Sigma_2}$ , being  $N$  the outer unit length normal to  $\Sigma_2$ , and  $\text{vol}_3 = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$  the volume form of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Consider the maps  $\phi(x, y, z) = (-x, y, -z)$ ,  $\gamma(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, z)$ ; these restrict to symplectomorphisms of  $(\Sigma_2, \omega_{\Sigma_2})$  since they preserve  $N$  and  $\text{vol}_3$ .



Consider  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2)$  the mapping torus of  $\Sigma_2$  by  $\gamma$ ; that is,  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) = (\Sigma_2 \times I)/\sim$  where  $(p, 1) \sim (\gamma(p), -1)$  and  $I = [-1, 1]$ . In the space  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  we lift the action of  $\phi$  as

$$\phi([p, t], s) = ([\phi(p), t], s)$$

for  $[p, t] \in M_\gamma(\Sigma_2)$ ,  $s \in S^1 = [-1, 1]/\sim$ .

Note that this action is well defined because if we take  $(p, 1)$  and  $(\gamma(p), -1)$  two representatives of the same class, they get mapped to  $(\phi(p), 1)$  and  $(\phi(\gamma(p)), -1) =$

$(\gamma(\phi(p)), -1)$ , so their images represent the same class also. Take also the map  $\xi$  acting on  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  as

$$\xi([p, t], s) = ([p, -t], -s).$$

The above action is well-defined because  $(p, 1, s)$  and  $(\gamma(p), -1, s)$  are mapped to  $(p, -1, -s)$  and  $(\gamma(p), 1, -s)$ , and  $(\gamma(p), 1) \sim (p, -1)$  since  $\gamma^2 = \text{Id}$ . On the other hand let us consider the symplectic form on  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  given in coordinates as

$$\omega = \omega_{\Sigma_2} + dt \wedge ds.$$

Near a point  $([p, 1], s) = ([\gamma(p), -1], s) \in M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  we can take a chart of the form

$$U^p \times (1 - \varepsilon, 1] \times (s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon) \cup \gamma(U^p) \times [-1, -1 + \varepsilon) \times (s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon)$$

where the above expression for  $\omega$  is well-defined, since  $\gamma$  is a symplectomorphism of  $\Sigma_2$ .

We can describe  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  in an alternative manner. Consider  $Y = \Sigma_2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$  and the isometries of  $Y$ ,  $\tau_1(p, w) = (\gamma(p), w + 1)$ ,  $\tau_2(p, w) = (p, w + i)$ . These determine a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -Kähler action on  $Y$  and  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1 = Y/\mathbb{Z}^2$ , hence  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  is Kähler.

Note that in the symplectic manifold  $(M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1, \omega)$  the group  $\Gamma = \langle \phi, \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  acts by symplectomorphisms. We define a 4-orbifold  $X$  as

$$X = \frac{M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1}{\langle \phi, \xi \rangle}$$

so  $(X, \omega)$  is a symplectic orbifold.

Let us study the isotropy subset of  $X$ . We may abuse notation and identify the isotropy points of  $X$  with the isotropy points of the action of  $\langle \phi, \xi \rangle$  in  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$ ; the context should clarify each case. The maps  $\phi, \gamma, \gamma \circ \phi: \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$  have the following fixed points

$$\text{fix}(\phi) = \{A, B\}, \text{fix}(\gamma) = \{C, D\}, \text{fix}(\gamma \circ \phi) = \{E, F, G, E', F', G'\} \subset \Sigma_2$$

with  $A = (0, 1, 0), B = (0, -1, 0), C = (0, 0, 1), D = (0, 0, -1)$ , and  $\text{fix}(\gamma \circ \phi)$  corresponds to the six points of intersection of  $\Sigma_2$  with the  $x$ -axis. Note also that  $\gamma(A) = B, \gamma(B) = A, \phi(C) = D, \phi(D) = C$ , and  $\phi(E') = E, \phi(F') = F, \phi(G') = G$ .

The isotropy points for the group  $\langle \phi, \xi \rangle$  acting on  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  are as follows:

- Isotropy surfaces given by

$$S_\phi = \{([A, t], s) \text{ s.t. } (t, s) \in I^2\} \cup \{([B, t], s) \text{ s.t. } (t, s) \in I^2\},$$

$$S_\xi^1 = \{([p, 0], 0) \text{ s.t. } p \in \Sigma_2\},$$

$$S_\xi^2 = \{([p, 0], 1) \text{ s.t. } p \in \Sigma_2\}.$$

Note that  $S_\phi$  is a torus, since  $(A, 1, s) \sim (B, -1, s)$ , and  $S_\xi^i$  are surfaces with genus 2, identified with  $\Sigma_2$ . The generic points of  $S_\phi$  have isotropy  $\langle \phi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and those of  $S_\xi$  have isotropy  $\langle \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

- The intersection of  $S_\phi$  and the  $S_\xi^i$  are the points  $A_0 = ([A, 0], 0)$ ,  $B_0 = ([B, 0], 0)$ ,  $A_1 = ([A, 0], 1)$ , and  $B_1 = ([B, 0], 1)$ ; these are points of isotropy  $\langle \phi, \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .
- Eight isolated isotropy points. Two of them,  $C_1 = ([C, 1], 1)$  and  $D_1 = ([D, 1], 1)$ , have isotropy  $\langle \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ ; the rest of them are the points  $E_1 = ([E, 1], 1)$ ,  $F_1 = ([F, 1], 1)$ ,  $G_1 = ([G, 1], 1)$ ,  $E'_1 = ([E', 1], 1)$ ,  $F'_1 = ([F', 1], 1)$ ,  $G'_1 = ([G', 1], 1)$ , all with isotropy  $\langle \phi \circ \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Of the above fixed points in  $M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1$  not all of them are different in the quotient  $X$ : we have  $E_1 \sim E'_1$ ,  $F_1 \sim F'_1$ ,  $G_1 \sim G'_1$ . Moreover  $S_\xi^i$  becomes a torus  $\Sigma_2/\langle \phi \rangle$  in  $X$ , and  $S_\phi$  becomes a sphere.

Following the previous notation for the isotropy points of an orbifold  $X$ , the isotropy subset  $\Sigma$  of  $X$  decomposes as  $\Sigma = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^0$ , with  $\Sigma^1 = \{A_0, B_0, A_1, B_1\}$ ,  $\Sigma^* = (S_\phi \cup S_\xi^1 \cup S_\xi^2) - \Sigma^1$ , and  $\Sigma^0 = \{C_1, D_1, E_1, F_1, G_1\}$ .

Now we compute the betti numbers of  $X$ . For this it is useful to express  $X$  in an alternative way. Recall that the quotient  $T = \Sigma_2/\langle \phi \rangle$  is a torus; its fundamental domain being  $D_T = \Sigma_2 \cap \{x \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with identifications  $(0, y, z) \sim (0, y, -z)$ . The map  $\gamma: \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$  commutes with  $\phi$ , so it descends to a homeomorphism of  $T$ . Consider the mapping torus

$$M_\gamma(T) = (T \times [-1, 1]) / \sim$$

where  $([p], 1) \sim ([\gamma(p)], -1)$ . It is immediate to check that  $X = (M_\gamma(T) \times S^1) / \langle \xi \rangle$ .

The following well-known Lemma is necessary for the computation of the fundamental group of  $X$ .

**Lemma 41.** *Let  $T$  be a CW-complex, and  $\gamma: T \rightarrow T$  a homeomorphism which fixes a point  $x_0 \in T$ . Let  $M_\gamma(T) = T \times [0, 1] / \sim$  with  $(x, 0) \sim (\gamma(x), 1)$ . Then  $\pi_1(M_\gamma(T)) \cong \pi_1(S^1) \rtimes_{\gamma_*} \pi_1(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall first that the operation in  $\pi_1(S^1) \rtimes_{\gamma_*} \pi_1(T)$  is

$$(n, g) \cdot (n', g') = (n + n', g \cdot \gamma_*^n(g')),$$

where  $\gamma_*: \pi_1(T, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(T, x_0)$  is the induced map.

We have a bundle structure on  $M_\gamma(T)$  given by  $T \xrightarrow{i} M_\gamma(T) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} S^1$ , where  $i(x) = [x, 0]$  and  $\pi([x, t]) = t$ . This gives a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(T) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(M_\gamma(T)) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow 1.$$

There is a section  $s: S^1 \rightarrow M_\gamma(T)$ ,  $t \mapsto (x_0, t)$ ; it is well-defined because  $\gamma(x_0) = x_0$ . This gives  $s_*: \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_\gamma(T))$  a right inverse for  $\pi$ , which gives a splitting of the above short exact sequence; then  $\pi_1(M_\gamma(T))$  is the semi-direct product of  $\pi_1(T)$  and  $\pi_1(S^1)$ , where the action of  $\pi_1(S^1)$  in  $\pi_1(T)$  is by conjugation.

Let us call  $\alpha = s_*(1)$ , where  $1 \in \pi_1(S^1)$  is the generator. Note that  $\alpha(t) = [(x_0, t)]$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . It only remains to see that every  $g \in \pi_1(T)$  satisfies that  $\alpha g \alpha^{-1} = \gamma_*(g)$  in  $\pi_1(M_\gamma(T))$ .

Consider the homotopy  $H: S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M_\gamma(T)$  given as

$$H_s(t) = \begin{cases} (x_0, 3ts), & t \in [0, \frac{1}{3}] \\ (\gamma(g(3t-1)), s), & t \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \\ (x_0, 3(1-t)s), & t \in [\frac{2}{3}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

It is immediate to check that  $[H_0] = \gamma_*(g)$  and  $[H_1] = \alpha g \alpha^{-1}$ , proving the Lemma.  $\square$

Now we compute the fundamental group of  $M_\gamma(T)$ , with  $T = \Sigma_2 / \langle \phi \rangle$  as above. Take as base point  $[C] = [D] \in T$ , which is a fixed point by  $\gamma$ , and choose generators  $a, b$  for  $\pi_1(T)$  so that a representative for  $a = [\alpha]$  in the fundamental domain  $D_T$  is the circle

$$\alpha = D_T \cap \{z = 1\} = \{(2 + \cos t, \sin t, 1) : 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi\}.$$

Similarly, a representative for  $b = [\beta]$  is a semicircle

$$\beta = \{(\cos t, 0, \sin t) : \pi/2 \leq t \leq 3\pi/2\}$$

going from  $C$  to  $D$  in  $D_T \cap \{y = 0\}$ ;  $\beta$  descends to a loop in the quotient  $T = D_T / \sim$ . By Lemma 41, the fundamental group of  $M_\gamma(T)$  is

$$\pi_1(M_\gamma(T)) \cong \pi_1(S^1) \rtimes_{\gamma_*} \pi_1(T) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\gamma_*} \mathbb{Z}^2$$

with operation  $(n, x) \cdot (n', x') = (n + n', x + (\gamma_*)^n(x'))$ , being  $\gamma_*: \pi_1(T) \rightarrow \pi_1(T)$  the automorphism induced by  $\gamma: T \rightarrow T$  in  $\pi_1(T) = \pi_1(T, [C])$ .

In order to compute  $\gamma_*$ , we take the representatives in  $D_T$  of  $a$  and  $b$  described above and compute their image by  $\gamma_*$ ; note that  $\gamma$  seen as a map in  $\Sigma_2$  does not map  $D_T$  to itself, but  $\phi \circ \gamma(x, y, z) = (x, -y, -z)$  does, and both maps induce the same map on the quotient  $T = \Sigma_2 / \langle \phi \rangle$ . The loop  $a = [(2 + \cos t, \sin t, 1)]$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , is mapped to  $\phi \circ \gamma(a) = [(2 + \cos t, -\sin t, -1)]$ , and this is a circle in  $D_T \cap \{z = -1\}$  homotopic to  $a$  but with the opposite orientation as  $a$ , so  $\gamma_*(a) = -a$ . Similarly,  $b = [(\cos t, 0, \sin t)]$ ,  $\pi/2 \leq t \leq 3\pi/2$ , is mapped to  $\phi \circ \gamma(b) = [(\cos t, 0, -\sin t)]$ , again the same circle but with opposite orientation, so  $\gamma_*(b) = -b$ . We conclude that

$$\gamma_* = -\text{Id}: \pi_1(T) \rightarrow \pi_1(T), x \mapsto -x.$$

It follows that  $\pi_1(M_\gamma(T)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$  with operation given by

$$(n, x) \cdot (n', x') = (n + n', x + (-1)^n x').$$

We claim that the abelianization of this group is  $H_1(M_\gamma(T), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Indeed, if we impose the condition that  $(1, x) \cdot (0, x)$  and  $(0, x) \cdot (1, x)$  coincide we get that  $(1, 0)$  equals  $(1, 2x)$ , hence  $2x = 0$  for all  $x$  in the abelianization. This applies to the generators  $a, b$ . Once we impose that in the abelianization every  $x$  equals  $-x$ , the operation  $\cdot$  becomes commutative, hence the claim.

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1) &\cong (\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \times \mathbb{Z} \\ H_1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1, \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that torsion part of the homology comes from the torus  $T$  and the free part comes from the two circles associated to the coordinates  $(s, t)$ . When passing to real coefficients we can consider de Rham cohomology and we get  $H^1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1, \mathbb{R}) = \langle dt, ds \rangle$ . As the action of  $\xi$  in  $M_\gamma(T) \times S^1$  sends  $dt, ds$  to  $-dt, -ds$ , it follows that the cohomology of the orbifold  $X = (M_\gamma(T) \times S^1)/\langle \xi \rangle$  is the  $\xi$ -invariant part of  $\langle dt, ds \rangle$ , i.e.  $H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ .

Now let us compute the fundamental group of  $X$ . Recall that  $M_\gamma(T) \times S^1$  is a torus bundle over a torus, i.e.  $T \rightarrow M_\gamma(T) \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$  where fibers are given by  $T = \{([p, t_0], s_0) \text{ s.t. } p \in T\}$  and the bundle map sends  $([p, t], s)$  to  $(t, s)$ . We have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(T) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow 1$$

where  $i: T \rightarrow M_\gamma(T) \times S^1$ ,  $p \mapsto ([p, t], s)$  is the inclusion of the fiber  $F_{(t,s)} \cong T$ , and the bundle map is  $\pi: M_\gamma(T) \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$ ,  $([p, t], s) \mapsto (t, s)$ . Consider

$$q: M_\gamma(T) \times S^1 \rightarrow X = (M_\gamma(T) \times S^1)/\langle \xi \rangle$$

the quotient map. Take as base points  $A_0$  and  $q(A_0)$  respectively. Since  $A_0$  is fixed by  $\xi$ , we have  $q^{-1}(q(A_0)) = \{A_0\}$ . This gives that  $q_*: \pi_1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is an epimorphism by [6, Corollary 6.3].

In  $M_\gamma(T) \times S^1$  there are two fibers invariant by the action of  $\xi$  and not formed by fixed points, namely  $F_{(1,0)}$  and  $F_{(1,1)}$ . Let us take as base points  $A$ ,  $([A, 1], 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$  respectively. Call  $F \cong T$  any of these fibers. Under the quotient map  $q$ ,  $F$  is mapped to  $q(F) \cong T/\langle \gamma \rangle$ . This is so because

$$\begin{aligned} \xi([p, 1], 0) &= ([p, -1], 0) = ([\gamma(p), 1], 0), \\ \xi([p, 1], 1) &= ([p, -1], -1) = ([\gamma(p), 1], 1); \end{aligned}$$

hence  $q \circ i(p) = q \circ i(\gamma(p))$  for  $p \in T$ , being  $i: T \rightarrow F \subset M_\gamma(T) \times S^1$  the inclusion. Recall that  $q(F) = F/\langle \gamma \rangle \cong \Sigma_2/\langle \phi, \gamma \rangle \cong S^2$  is topologically a sphere, so we call  $S^2 = T/\langle \gamma \rangle$ . The map  $q_* \circ i_*: \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1)$  factors through  $\pi_1(S^2) = \{1\}$ , so it is constant. Hence  $\text{im}(i_*) = \ker(\pi_*) \subset \ker(q_*)$ , so the map  $q_*$  induces a map  $\bar{q}_*: \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  in the quotient  $\pi_1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1)/\pi_1(F) \cong \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ .

Note that  $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1)$  can be seen as a subgroup of  $\pi_1(M_\gamma(T) \times S^1)$  via the section

$$f: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow M_\gamma(T) \times S^1, (t, s) \mapsto \begin{cases} ([A, 1 + 2t], s), & t \in [-1, 0] \\ ([B, -1 + 2t], s), & t \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

The image of  $f$  is precisely the isotropy surface  $S_\phi$ , whose image by  $q$  is  $q(S_\phi) = S_\phi/\langle \xi \rangle \cong S^2$ , homeomorphic to a sphere. As  $\bar{q}_* = q_* \circ f_*$  factors through  $\pi_1(q(S_\phi)) = 1$ , we see that  $\bar{q}_* = 1$ , so  $q = 1$  and  $X$  is simply connected.

Now let us compute the second homology of  $X$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 42.**

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \langle \omega_{\Sigma_2}, dt \wedge ds \rangle$$

*Proof.* First of all one can prove that  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1, \mathbb{R})^{\langle \phi, \xi \rangle}$  by averaging closed forms. Kunneth formula ensures that

$$H^2(M_\gamma(\Sigma_2) \times S^1, \mathbb{R}) = H^1(M_\gamma(\Sigma_2), \mathbb{R}) \wedge \langle ds \rangle \oplus H^2(M_\gamma(\Sigma_2), \mathbb{R}).$$

The first summand is of course equal to  $\langle dt \wedge ds \rangle$ ; to compute the second we take into account [4, Lemma 12]:

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(M_\gamma(\Sigma_2)) &= \ker(\text{Id} - \gamma^*: H^2(\Sigma_2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(\Sigma_2, \mathbb{R})) \\ &\quad \oplus \text{coker}(\text{Id} - \gamma^*: H^1(\Sigma_2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma_2, \mathbb{R})) \wedge \langle dt \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand,  $\gamma^* = \text{Id}: H^2(\Sigma_2) \rightarrow H^2(\Sigma_2)$  because  $\gamma_*(\omega_{\Sigma_2}) = \omega_{\Sigma_2}$ , as was previously argued. On the other,  $\gamma^* = -\text{Id}: H^1(\Sigma_2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma_2, \mathbb{R})$ ; this can be deduced from the fact that  $\gamma_* = -\text{Id}$ . Thus,

$$H^2(M_\gamma(\Sigma_2)) = \langle \omega_{\Sigma_2} \rangle.$$

The proof concludes by observing that both  $\omega_{\Sigma_2}$  and  $dt \wedge ds$  are invariant under the action of  $\langle \phi, \xi \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 43.** *Let  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  the symplectic resolution of  $X$ . Denote  $\Sigma^0 = \{p_1, \dots, p_5\}$ ; then  $E_j = \pi^{-1}(p_j)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . In addition,*

- (1)  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \{1\}$ .
- (2)  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R}) = \langle \pi^*(\omega_{\Sigma_2}), \pi^*(dt \wedge ds), \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5 \rangle$ , where  $\omega_j$  is the Thom class of  $E_j$ .

*Proof.* First observe that  $\Delta = \emptyset$ , where  $\Delta$  is defined as in Proposition 40. In addition, if  $p \in \Sigma^0$  is an isolated singularity then  $\Gamma_p = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ; the Kähler local model around  $p$  is necessarily of the form  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , with  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle \text{Id}, -\text{Id} \rangle$ . The algebraic resolution of this space is  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2$  stands for the blow-up of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ; that is:

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{(v, l) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \text{ s.t. } v \in l\} / (v, l) \sim (-v, l).$$

We compute  $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$  using Seifert-Van Kampen theorem. Let  $B_j^\varepsilon$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -ball centered at  $p_j$  with  $\varepsilon$  small enough to ensure that  $B_j^\varepsilon$  are pairwise disjoint. Let  $N_j$  be a neighbourhood of a path between  $p_j$  and  $p_{j+1}$  that does not intersect  $B_j^\varepsilon$  for  $k \neq j, j+1$ . Define:

$$U = (\cup_{j=1}^5 B_j^\varepsilon) \cup (\cup_{j=1}^4 N_j), \quad V = X - \cup_{j=1}^5 \overline{B_j^\varepsilon}.$$

The space  $U \cap V$  is pathwise connected and has the homotopy type of  $\bigvee_{j=1}^5 S_j^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , where we denoted a copy of  $S^3$  as  $S_j^3$ . Its fundamental group is the free product of 5 copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Being  $U$  contractible, it holds that  $1 = \pi_1(X) = \pi_1(V)/i_*(\pi_1(U \cap V))$ , with  $i: U \cap V \rightarrow V$ .

In addition define  $\tilde{U} = \pi^{-1}(U)$ ,  $\tilde{V} = \pi^{-1}(V)$ . The space  $\tilde{U}$  has the homotopy type of  $\bigvee_{j=1}^5 \mathbb{C}P_j^1$ ; which is simply connected. Thus,  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \pi_1(\tilde{V})/j_*(\pi_1(\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}))$ , with  $j: \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ . Taking into account that  $\pi: (\tilde{V}, \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}) \rightarrow (V, U \cap V)$  is an homeomorphism of pairs; this ensures that  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \pi_1(X) = \{1\}$ .

We finally compute  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$ . By Propositions 40 and 42 there is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \langle \omega_{\Sigma_2}, dt \wedge ds \rangle \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \sum_{j=1}^5 H^2(E_j, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The restriction of  $\omega_j$  to  $E_j$  is a volume form of  $E_j$  because the bundle  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  is non-trivial. This yields a splitting:  $i^*(\omega_j) \mapsto \omega_j$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. BAZZONI, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, A 6-dimensional simply connected complex and symplectic manifold with no Kähler metric, *J. Symplectic Geom.* to appear.
- [2] G. BAZZONI, V. MUÑOZ, Classification of minimal algebras over any field up to dimension 6, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 1007-1028.
- [3] G. BAZZONI, V. MUÑOZ, Manifolds which are complex and symplectic but not Kähler, *Essays in Mathematics and its applications: In Honor of Vladimir Arnold*, Springer (eds. Th. Rassias, P. Pardalos), 2016, 49-69.
- [4] G. BAZZONI, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, *Non-formal co-symplectic manifolds*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 367 (2015), 4459-4481.
- [5] I. BISWAS, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, A. TRALLE, *On formality of orbifolds and Sasakian manifolds*, *J. Topology*, 2016; doi:10.1112/jtopol/jtv044
- [6] G. BREDON, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [7] R. BOTT, W. TU, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, UTM, Springer, 1982.
- [8] C. BOYER, K. GALICKI, *Sasakian Geometry*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2007.
- [9] A. CANNAS DA SILVA, *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, 2001.
- [10] A. CANNAS DA SILVA, *Symplectic Geometry*, arxiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0505366v1>
- [11] G. CAVALCANTI, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, *Symplectic resolutions, Lefschetz property and formality* *Advances Math.* 218 (2008) 576-599.
- [12] CHEV, *Invariants of Finite Groups Generated by Reflections*, *American Journal of Mathematics*, Vol 77, No 4, (1955), pp. 778-782.
- [13] G. CAVALCANTI, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, *Symplectic resolutions, Lefschetz property and formality*, *Advances Math.* 218 (2008), 576-599.
- [14] W. CHEN, *Resolving symplectic orbifolds with applications to finite group actions*, *Journal of Gökova Geometry Topology* (2018), 1-39
- [15] S. ENCINAS, O. VILLAMAYOR, *A Course on constructive desingularization and equivariance*. In: Hauser, H., Lipman, J., Oort, F., Quirós, A. (Eds.), *Resolution of Singularities. A Research Textbook in Tribute to Oscar Zariski*. *Progr. in Math.*, 181. Birkhäuser, 2000, pp. 147-227.
- [16] M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, *An 8-dimensional non-formal simply connected symplectic manifold*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 167 (2008), 1045-1054.
- [17] M. FERNÁNDEZ, A. FINO, A. KOVALEV, V. MUÑOZ, *A compact  $G_2$ -calibrated manifold with first Betti number  $b_1 = 1$* , in preparation.
- [18] M.J. GOTAY, R. LASHOF, J. SNIATYCKI, A. WEINSTEIN, *Closed forms on symplectic fibre bundles*. In: *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 58 (1983), 617-621.
- [19] L. GODINHO, *Blowing-up Symplectic Orbifolds*. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* volume 20, pages 117-162 (2001).
- [20] M. GROMOV, *Partial Differential Relations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1987.



- [21] R. GOMPF, A new construction of symplectic manifolds, *Annals of Math.* (2) 142 (1995) 537-696.
- [22] R. GOMPF, A. STIPSICZ, *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, AMS, Providence, 2004.
- [23] H. HIRONAKA, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I, II. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 79 (1964), 109-203; *ibid.* (2) 79 (1964), 205-326.
- [24] J. MCCARTHY, J. WOLFSON, Symplectic gluing along hypersurfaces and resolution of isolated orbifold singularities, *Invent. Math.* 119 (1995), 129-154.
- [25] D. MCDUFF, D. SALAMON, *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [26] D. MCDUFF, Examples of symplectic simply connected manifolds with no Kähler structure, *J. Diff. Geom.* 20 (1984), 267-277.
- [27] V. MUÑOZ, J. ROJO, A. TRALLE, Homology Samale-Barden manifolds with K-contact but not Sasakian structures, *IMRN*, (2018).
- [28] V. MUÑOZ, J. ROJO, Symplectic resolution of orbifolds with homogeneous isotropy, *Geometriae Dedicata*, (2019).
- [29] K. NIEDERKRÜGER, F. PASQUOTTO, Resolution of symplectic cyclic orbifold singularities, *J. Symplectic Geom.* 7 (2009), 337-355.
- [30] K. NIEDERKRÜGER, F. PASQUOTTO, Desingularization of orbifolds obtained from symplectic reduction at generic coadjoint orbits, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 2009.
- [31] D. PRILL, Local classification of quotients of complex manifolds by discontinuous groups.
- [32] W. THURSTON, *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1.* Princeton Mathematical Series, 35. Princeton University Press, 1997.
- [33] W. THURSTON, Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55(2), (1976), 467-468.
- [34] O. VILLAMAYOR, Patching local uniformizations. In: *Annales scientifiques de l' E.N.S 4 serie*, tome 25 (1992), 629-677.

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, PLAZA DE CIENCIAS 3, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `lmmmerchan@ucm.es`

ETS INGENIEROS INFORMÁTICOS, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE MADRID, CAMPUS DE MONTEGANCEDO, 28660, MADRID, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `juan.rojo.carulli@upm.es`