

GOMPF CONNECTED SUM FOR ORBIFOLDS AND K-CONTACT SMALE-BARDEN MANIFOLDS

VICENTE MUÑOZ

ABSTRACT. We develop the Gompf fiber connected sum operation for symplectic orbifolds. We use it to construct a symplectic 4-orbifold with $b_1 = 0$ and containing symplectic surfaces of genus 1 and 2 that are disjoint and span the rational homology. This is used in turn to construct a K-contact Smale-Barden manifold with specified 2-homology that satisfies the known topological constraints with sharper estimates than the examples constructed previously. The manifold can be chosen spin or non-spin.

1. INTRODUCTION

In geometry, a central question is to determine when a given manifold admits a specific geometric structure. Complex geometry provides with numerous examples of compact manifolds with rich topology, and there is a number of topological properties that are satisfied by Kähler manifolds. If we forget about the integrability of the complex structure, then we are dealing with symplectic manifolds. There has been enormous interest in the construction of (compact) symplectic manifolds that do not admit Kähler structures, and in determining its topological properties [16]. In odd dimension, Sasakian and K-contact manifolds are natural analogues of Kähler and symplectic manifolds, respectively. The precise definition of such structures is recalled in section 6. Sasakian geometry has become an important and active subject since [5], and there is much interest on constructing K-contact manifolds which do not admit Sasakian structures.

The problem of the existence of simply connected K-contact non-Sasakian compact manifolds (open problem 7.4.1 in [5]) was solved for dimensions ≥ 9 in [7, 8, 10] and for dimension 7 in [14] by a combination of various techniques in homotopy theory and symplectic geometry, but it is still open in dimension 5 (cf. [5, open problem 10.2.1]). A simply connected compact 5-manifold is called a *Smale-Barden manifold*. These manifolds are classified [1, 18] by their second homology group, that we write as

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p,i} \mathbb{Z}_{p^i}^{c(p^i)} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $k = b_2(M)$, and its second Stiefel-Whitney class w_2 , which is zero on all but one summand \mathbb{Z}_{2^j} , where the value $j = i(M)$ is the Barden invariant.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R18, 53C25, 53D35, 57R17.

Key words and phrases. Symplectic, orbifold, connected sum, K-contact, Seifert circle bundle.

A Sasakian (compact) manifold M has a 1-dimensional foliation defined by the Reeb vector field, which gives an isometric flow, and the transversal structure is Kähler. The Sasakian structure is called *quasi-regular* if the leaves of the Reeb flow are circles, in which case the leaf space X is a cyclic Kähler orbifold and the quotient map $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ has the structure of a Seifert circle bundle. Remarkably, a manifold M admitting a Sasakian structure also has a quasi-regular one [17]. So from the point of view of whether M admits a Sasakian structure, we can assume that it is a Seifert circle bundle over a cyclic Kähler orbifold. The Sasakian structure is *regular* if X is a Kähler manifold (no isotropy locus), and *semi-regular* if the isotropy locus has only codimension 2 strata (maybe intersecting), or equivalently if X has underlying space which is a topological manifold. In [11] Kollár studies the topology of semi-regular Seifert bundles $M \rightarrow X$ when $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Under some technical conditions, we have

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \left(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{2g_i} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$, the isotropy locus are complex curves D_i of genus $g(D_i) = g_i$, with isotropy coefficients m_i such that $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ when D_i, D_j intersect, and $[D_i]$ are linearly independent in homology when the coefficients are not coprime. This is used in [11] to obtain Seifert circle bundles which cannot be Sasakian for $k = 0$.

In the case of a K-contact manifold, the situation is analogous, with the difference that the transversal structure is almost-Kähler. We define regular, quasi-regular and semi-regular K-contact structures with the same conditions. Any K-contact manifold admits a quasi-regular K-contact structure [14], and hence a K-contact manifold is a Seifert circle bundle over a cyclic symplectic orbifold. Such orbifold has isotropy locus which are a (stratified) collection of symplectic suborbifolds. The homology (2) tells us interesting geometric facts: we can read the genus of the isotropy surfaces D_i as long as $g_i > 0$, and also that they are disjoint when m_i are not coprime but different. As $[D_i]$ are linearly independent in homology, we cannot have too many disjoint surfaces, and the hardest possible situation is when there are $k + 1 = b_2(X)$ disjoint surfaces D_i (taking all m_i not pairwise coprime). This is used in [13] to construct a K-contact 5-manifold M which cannot be Sasakian, specifically for $k + 1 = 36$, with $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and semi-regular structure. The distinctive geometric property is that there are symplectic 4-manifolds X with $b_2(X)$ disjoint symplectic surfaces of positive genus (and independent in homology), whereas this seems to be difficult (conjecturally impossible) for algebraic surfaces and complex curves (with the exception of fake projective planes, which have $b_2 = 1$).

In [6] there is a second construction of a symplectic 4-manifold with $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = k + 1 = 12$, and with 12 disjoint symplectic surfaces of positive genus and independent in homology. In this case the corresponding 5-manifold M has trivial fundamental group, and therefore it is a Smale-Barden manifold which admits a semi-regular K-contact structure but not a semi-regular Sasakian structure. Both examples [6, 13] have surfaces of small genus $g_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, which are cases where

we can control the impossibility of having that many disjoint complex curves in an algebraic surface. Noticeably, there is always at least a surface of genus 3, and it seems difficult to lower the genus of the surfaces.

Another consequence of (2) is that Seifert circle bundles $M \rightarrow X$ over cyclic orbifolds satisfy the *G-K condition*, which means that, in terms of the alternative expression (1):

- for every prime p , $t(p) = \#\{i \mid c(p^i) > 0\} \leq k + 1$,
- $i(M) \in \{0, \infty\}$; if $i(M) = \infty$ (M non-spin), then $t(2) \leq k$.

The calculation of the second Stiefel-Whitney class appears in [11, 15]. In [5, Question 10.2.1] it is asked whether a Smale-Barden manifold which satisfies the G-K condition admits a Sasakian structure. Write

$$\mathbf{t}(M) = \max\{t(p) \mid p \text{ prime}\} \leq k + 1.$$

The difficulty to obtain examples increase as we go to the upper bound, since we always can discard surfaces from the isotropy locus. The examples of [6, 13] are instances where the upper bound $\mathbf{t}(M) = k + 1$ is achieved.

Note that the case $\mathbf{t} = 0$ is that of torsion-free Smale-Barden manifolds, where we only have regular Sasakian structures, and all G-K manifolds admit Sasakian structures. The next case is $\mathbf{t} = 1$, which is studied in detail in [15]. All G-K manifolds with $\mathbf{t} = 1$ and $k \geq 1$ admit semi-regular Sasakian structures, and hence the manifolds admitting Sasakian and K-contact structures are the same. In the borderline case $\mathbf{t} = 1, k = 0$, the results in [15] are only partial and touch open questions on symplectic 4-manifold topology.

Write also

$$\mathbf{c}(M) = \max\{c(p^i)\} = \max\{g_i\}.$$

Our previous comments indicate that it is hard to get examples with low $\mathbf{c}(M)$ and $\mathbf{t}(M) = k + 1$, $k = b_2(M)$. One of the purposes of the present paper is to show the following.

Theorem 1. *There is a simply connected 5-manifold M admitting a (quasi-regular) K-contact structure with $\mathbf{t}(M) = b_2(M) + 1$, $\mathbf{c}(M) = 2$. Such M can be chosen spin or non-spin.*

The construction of Theorem 1 comes down to the construction of a suitable symplectic cyclic 4-orbifold with many disjoint symplectic surfaces.

Theorem 2. *There is a symplectic cyclic 4-orbifold X with $b_1 = 0$, $b_2 = 16$, thirteen symplectic surfaces of genus 1 and three of genus 2, which are disjoint and generate the homology $H_2(X, \mathbb{Q})$.*

To construct the orbifold of Theorem 2, we will develop in Sections 2-3 the technique introduced by Gompf [9] for fiber connected sum of symplectic manifolds along codimension 2 symplectic submanifolds, in the orbifold setting. This technique will be useful by its own in future constructions of symplectic orbifolds. In Sections 4-5 we do the construction of the symplectic 4-orbifold of Theorem 2.

Then we have to extend the theory of [11, 13] for semi-regular Seifert bundles to the case of quasi-regular Seifert bundles, in Section 6. In Section 7 we compute the second Stiefel-Whitney class extending the arguments of [14] to the quasi-regular setting. Finally, we compute the orbifold fundamental group of our symplectic 4-orbifold in Section 8.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Jaume Amorós, Denis Auroux, Alejandro Cañas, Paul Seidel and Alex Tralle for very useful comments. Partially supported by Project MINECO (Spain) PGC2018-095448-B-I00.

2. ORBIFOLDS

2.1. Orbifolds. Let us start by collecting some results about orbifolds from [3, 5]. Let X be a topological space, and fix an integer $n > 0$. An *orbifold chart* $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ at $x \in X$ consists of an open set $U \subset X$ with $x \in U$, a connected and open set $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a finite group $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}(n)$ acting smoothly and effectively on \tilde{U} fixing 0, and a continuous map $\varphi: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$, with $\varphi(0) = x$, which is Γ -invariant (that is $\varphi = \varphi \circ \gamma$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$), that induces a homeomorphism $\tilde{U}/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} U$.

Definition 3. An orbifold X , of dimension n , is a Hausdorff, paracompact topological space endowed with an orbifold atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$ of orbifold charts which satisfy the following conditions:

- i) $\{U_i\}$ is an open cover of X ;
- ii) If $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ and $(U_j, \tilde{U}_j, \Gamma_j, \varphi_j)$ are two orbifold charts, with $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, then for each point $p \in U_i \cap U_j$ there exists an orbifold chart $(U_k, \tilde{U}_k, \Gamma_k, \varphi_k)$ at p such that $U_k \subset U_i \cap U_j$;
- iii) If $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ and $(U_j, \tilde{U}_j, \Gamma_j, \varphi_j)$ are two orbifold charts at $p \in X$, with $U_i \subset U_j$, then there exist a smooth embedding $\rho_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ such that $\varphi_i = \varphi_j \circ \rho_{ij}$.

As smooth actions of finite groups are locally linearizable, any orbifold has an atlas consisting of linear charts, that is charts where Γ_i acts on \mathbb{R}^n via an orthogonal representation $\Gamma_i < \text{O}(n)$.

For any point $x \in X$, take an orbifold chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ with $\varphi(0) = x$. Then we call Γ the *isotropy group* at x , and we denote it by Γ_x and write $m(x) = |\Gamma_x|$, which is called isotropy coefficient or multiplicity of x . We call $x \in X$ a *regular* point if the isotropy group $\Gamma_x = \{1\}$ is trivial (that is $m(x) = 1$), and an *isotropy* point if it is not regular. We call $x \in X$ a *cyclic isotropy* point if Γ_x is a cyclic group (that is $\Gamma_x = \mathbb{Z}_{m(x)}$), and X is a *cyclic orbifold* if all isotropy groups are cyclic. We call $x \in X$ a *smooth* point if a neighbourhood of x is homeomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{R}^n , and singular otherwise. Clearly a regular point is smooth, but not conversely.

An orbifold X , with atlas $\{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$, is *oriented* if each \tilde{U}_i is oriented, the action of Γ_i is orientation-preserving, and all the change of charts $\rho_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ are orientation-preserving. In this case, we can arrange that $\Gamma_i < \text{SO}(n)$.

Definition 4 ([5]). *Let X and Y be two orbifolds with atlas $\{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$ and $\{(V_j, \tilde{V}_j, \Upsilon_j, \psi_j)\}$, respectively. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be an orbifold map if f is a continuous map between the underlying topological spaces, and for every point $p \in X$ there are orbifold charts $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ at p and $(V_i, \tilde{V}_i, \Upsilon_i, \psi_i)$ at $f(p)$, with $f(U_i) \subset V_i$, a differentiable map $\tilde{f}_i: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{V}_i$, with $\tilde{f}_i(0) = 0$, and a homomorphism $\varpi_i: \Gamma_i \rightarrow \Upsilon_i$ such that $\tilde{f}_i \circ \gamma = \varpi_i(\gamma) \circ \tilde{f}_i$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$, and $f|_{U_i} \circ \varphi_i = \psi_i \circ \tilde{f}_i$. Moreover, if $\rho_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ is a change of charts for p , then there is a change of charts $\mu(\rho_{ij}): \tilde{V}_i \rightarrow \tilde{V}_j$ for $f(p)$ such that $\tilde{f}_j \circ \rho_{ij} = \mu(\rho_{ij}) \circ \tilde{f}_i$, and $\mu(\rho_{ij} \circ \rho_{ki}) = \mu(\rho_{ij}) \circ \mu(\rho_{ki})$ for changes of charts $\rho_{ki}: \tilde{U}_k \rightarrow \tilde{U}_i$ and $\rho_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$.*

The composition of orbifold maps is an orbifold map. Two orbifolds X and Y are said to be *diffeomorphic* if there exist orbifold maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f = 1_X$ and $f \circ g = 1_Y$, where 1_X and 1_Y are the respective identity maps. Equivalently, an *orbifold diffeomorphism* $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an orbifold map such that is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces, the maps \tilde{f}_i are diffeomorphisms, and the maps ϖ_i are isomorphisms. An orbifold diffeomorphism is orientation preserving (resp. reversing) if the maps \tilde{f}_i preserve (resp. reverse) the orientation.

Considering \mathbb{R} as an orbifold, we can define *orbifold functions* on an orbifold X as orbifold maps $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We denote $C_{\text{orb}}^\infty(X)$ the set of orbifold functions on X . An *orbifold partition of unity* subordinated to a locally finite cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X is a collection of orbifold functions $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ with $\rho_\alpha \geq 0$, $\sum \rho_\alpha \equiv 1$ and the support of ρ_α lies inside U_α for all α . By [12, Proposition 5], orbifold partitions of unity always exist.

2.2. Orbivector bundles. Now fix a finite-dimensional vector space \mathbb{R}^m and a finite group $F < \text{O}(m)$. We call *orbivector space* to the quotient $V = \mathbb{R}^m/F$.

Definition 5. *Let X be a smooth orbifold, of dimension n , and let $\{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$ be an atlas for X . An orbivector bundle over X with fiber \mathbb{R}^m/F consists of a smooth orbifold E , of dimension $m + n$, and an orbifold map $\pi: E \rightarrow X$, called projection, satisfying the following conditions:*

- i) *For every orbifold chart $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ on X , there exists an orbifold chart $(V_i, \tilde{V}_i, \Upsilon_i, \Psi_i)$ on E , such that $V_i = \pi^{-1}(U_i)$, $\tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$. The action of Υ_i on $\tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is diagonal, that is $\Upsilon_i < \text{O}(n) \times \text{O}(m) \subset \text{O}(n + m)$, $F = \Upsilon_i \cap \text{O}(m)$ and $\Gamma_i = \text{pr}_1(\Upsilon_i)$, where $\text{pr}_1: \text{O}(n) \times \text{O}(m) \rightarrow \text{O}(n)$ is the first projection. In particular, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Upsilon_i \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \Gamma_i \rightarrow 0.$$

The map $\Psi_i: \tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow V_i = E|_{U_i} = \pi^{-1}(U_i)$ satisfies $\pi|_{V_i} \circ \Psi_i = \varphi_i \circ \text{pr}_1$.

- ii) If $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ and $(U_j, \tilde{U}_j, \Gamma_j, \varphi_j)$ are two orbifold charts on X , with $U_i \subset U_j$, and $\rho_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ is a change of charts, then there exists a differentiable map, called transition map $g_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \text{GL}(m)$, and a change of charts $\lambda_{ij}: \tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \tilde{V}_j = \tilde{U}_j \times \mathbb{R}^m$ of E , such that

$$\lambda_{ij}(x, y) = (\rho_{ij}(x), g_{ij}(x)(y)),$$

for all $(x, y) \in \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

Note that if $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is an orbivector bundle, and $x \in X$, then the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^m/F_x , where $F_x = \text{pr}_2(\Upsilon_x)$, where $\text{pr}_2: \text{O}(n) \times \text{O}(m) \rightarrow \text{O}(m)$ is the second projection. In particular, at a regular point $\Gamma_x = \{1\}$, $F_x = F$ and $\pi^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{R}^m/F$.

A metric on an orbivector bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is an orbifold scalar product on every fiber $\pi^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{R}^m/F_x$, that is a scalar product on \mathbb{R}^m which is F_x -invariant, varying smoothly. For every chart as in Definition 5(i), we have on $\tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$ a scalar product $a(x) = \sum a_{ij}(x)y_i y_j$ on \mathbb{R}^m , depending on $x \in \tilde{U}_i$, which is Υ_i -invariant. Using partitions of unity as in [12, Proposition 6], we can see that there is a metric on any orbivector bundle.

If a is a metric on the orbivector bundle $E \rightarrow X$, we say that a chart $(V, \tilde{V}, \Upsilon, \Psi)$ is *orthogonal* if $a = \sum y_i^2$ is the standard scalar product in the chart. They always exist: just take a chart $(V, \tilde{V}, \Upsilon, \Psi)$ and write $a = \sum a_{ij}(x)y_i y_j$. We take the standard basis and apply the Gram-Schmidt process to obtain an orthonormal basis $e(x) = \{e_1(x), \dots, e_m(x)\}$. As a is Υ -invariant, the map $E: \tilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \tilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $(x, y) \mapsto (x, e(x)(y))$ is Υ -equivariant. The orbifold chart $(V, \tilde{V}, \Upsilon, \Psi \circ E)$ is orthogonal. When we use an atlas consisting of orthogonal charts, the transition maps are $g_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \text{O}(m)$,

An oriented orbivector space $V = \mathbb{R}^m/F$ consists of a vector space \mathbb{R}^m with an orientation, and $F < \text{SO}(m)$. An *oriented orbivector bundle* is an orbivector bundle with oriented orbivector space as fiber, and such that the transition maps are orientation preserving, that is $\det(g_{ij}(x)) > 0$ for all $x \in \tilde{U}_i$. If X is an oriented orbifold and $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is an oriented orbivector bundle, then E is an oriented orbifold and $\Upsilon_i < \text{SO}(n) \times \text{SO}(m)$.

If $\pi: E \rightarrow X$, then the *reverse oriented orbivector bundle*, denoted by \overline{E} , is the same orbivector bundle, endowed with the opposite orientation of the fiber orbivector space.

Two orbivector bundles $\pi_1: E_1 \rightarrow X$, $\pi_2: E_2 \rightarrow X$ are isomorphic if there is an orbifold diffeomorphism $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\pi_2 \circ f = \pi_1$, and for each orbifold chart $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ of X , there are orbifold charts $(V_i, \tilde{V}_i, \Upsilon_i, \Phi_i)$ and $(W_i, \tilde{W}_i, \Theta_i, \Psi_i)$ of E_1, E_2 , respectively, such that the orbifold lift $\tilde{f}_i: \tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \tilde{W}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$, with $\Psi_i \circ \tilde{f}_i = f \circ \Phi_i$, is of the form $\tilde{f}_i(x, u) = (x, \hat{f}_i(x)(u))$, where $\hat{f}_i: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \text{GL}(m)$. Moreover, the isomorphism $\varpi_i: \Upsilon_i \rightarrow \Theta_i$ sits in an exact

sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & \Upsilon_i & \rightarrow & \Gamma_i & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \varpi_i & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & \Theta_i & \rightarrow & \Gamma_i & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The isomorphism f is orientation preserving if $\det(\hat{f}_i(x)) > 0$ for all $x \in \tilde{U}_i$. It is orientation reversing if $f : E_1 \rightarrow \overline{E}_2$ is orientation preserving.

Finally, let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be an orbifold diffeomorphism, and $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be an orbivector bundle. We define the pull-back $E' = f^*E$ by taking the total space as the usual pull-back $E' = \{(x', v) \in X' \times E \mid f(x') = \pi(v)\}$, and the charts of E' as follows. Take orbifold charts $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ of X' and $(V_i, \tilde{V}_i, \Delta_i, \psi_i)$ of X , with $f(U_i) \subset V_i$, with lift $\tilde{f}_i : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{V}_i$, and an isomorphism $\varpi_i : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \Delta_i$ as in Definition 4. Let $(W_i, \tilde{W}_i, \Upsilon_i, \Phi_i)$ be an orbifold chart for E with $\tilde{W}_i = \tilde{V}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Upsilon_i \rightarrow \Delta_i \rightarrow 0$, where $\Upsilon_i < \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$. Then we define an orbifold chart for $E' = f^*E$ as $(Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i, \Theta_i, \Psi_i)$ with $\tilde{Z}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\Theta_i < \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(m)$ defined by the pull-back exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & \Theta_i & \rightarrow & \Gamma_i & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \varpi_i & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & \Upsilon_i & \rightarrow & \Delta_i & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

An *orbifold vector bundle* over an orbifold is the case of an orbivector bundle with $F = \{1\}$ and fiber $V = \mathbb{R}^m$ (cf. [2, Definition 3.5]). The *orbifold tangent bundle* TX of an orbifold X is defined as follows. For each orbifold chart $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ of X , we consider the tangent bundle $T\tilde{U}_i \cong \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$ over \tilde{U}_i . Take $\rho_i : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n)$ the homomorphism given by the action of Γ_i on \mathbb{R}^n . Then $(TX|_{U_i}, \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^n, \Gamma_i, \Psi_i)$ is an orbifold chart for TX , where $TX|_{U_i} = T\tilde{U}_i/\Gamma_i$, and Ψ_i is the quotient map. If $\rho_{ij} : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ is a change of charts for X , the transition map $g_{ij} : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n)$ for TX is given by the Jacobian matrix of ρ_{ij} . The orbifold cotangent bundle T^*X and the orbifold tensor bundles are constructed similarly. Thus, one can consider Riemannian metrics, almost complex structures, orbifold forms, etc.

Definition 6. A section of an orbifold vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ is an orbifold map $s : X \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ s = 1_X$. Therefore, if $\{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$ is an atlas on X , then s consists of a family of smooth maps $\{s_i : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m\}$, such that every s_i is Γ_i -equivariant and compatible with the changes of charts on X .

An (orbifold) *Riemannian metric* g on X is a positive definite symmetric tensor in $T^*X \otimes T^*X$. This is equivalent to have, for each orbifold chart $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ on X , a Riemannian metric g_i on the open set \tilde{U}_i that is invariant under the action of Γ_i on \tilde{U}_i (Γ_i acts on \tilde{U}_i by isometries), and the change of charts $\rho_{ij} : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ are isometries. An (orbifold) *almost complex structure* J on X is an endomorphism $J : TX \rightarrow TX$ such that $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$. Thus, J is determined by an almost complex structure J_i on \tilde{U}_i , for every orbifold chart $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ on X , such that the action of Γ_i on \tilde{U}_i is by biholomorphic maps, and any change of charts $\rho_{ij} : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ is a holomorphic embedding. An *orbifold p-form* α on X is a section of $\bigwedge^p T^*X$.

This means that, for each orbifold chart $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ on X , we have a differential p -form α_i on the open set \tilde{U}_i , such that every α_i is Γ_i -invariant (i.e. $\gamma^*(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$), and any change of charts $\rho_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ satisfies $\rho_{ij}^*(\alpha_j) = \alpha_i$. The space of p -forms on X is denoted by $\Omega_{\text{orb}}^p(X)$.

2.3. Suborbifolds. There are different notions of sub-objects in the orbifold category [4, 19], each of them suitable for a different situation. We will use the following:

Definition 7. *Let Z be an n -dimensional orbifold. A suborbifold X of Z is a p -dimensional orbifold such that the underlying spaces $X \subset Z$, and at every point of X , we have a chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ of Z , where $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and a chart $(U', \tilde{U}', \Gamma', \varphi')$ of X , where $\tilde{U}' = \tilde{U} \cap (\mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\})$, $U' = U \cap X$, $\varphi' = \varphi|_{\tilde{U}'}$.*

A normalizable suborbifold $X \subset Z$ is a suborbifold such that at every $x \in X$ there is an adapted chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$, where $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $\Gamma < \text{O}(p) \times \text{O}(n-p) \subset \text{O}(n)$, and $\Gamma' = \text{pr}_1(\Gamma) < \text{O}(p)$, where $\text{pr}_1: \text{O}(p) \times \text{O}(n-p) \rightarrow \text{O}(p)$ is the projection on the first factor.

The chart in Definition 7 is called an *adapted chart*. A suborbifold satisfies the following *fullness condition* [19]: if $g \in \Gamma$, $x \in \tilde{U}'$, $gx \in \tilde{U}'$, then there is some $h \in \Gamma'$ with $hx = gx$.

For a normalizable suborbifold, take an adapted chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$. Then all elements in Γ fix the subspace $\mathbb{R}^p = \mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ since $\Gamma < \text{O}(p) \times \text{O}(n-p)$. The elements in $K = \ker(\text{pr}_1)$ fix pointwise \mathbb{R}^p . Hence the induced action on \mathbb{R}^p is given by the group $\Gamma' = \Gamma/K = \text{pr}_1(\Gamma)$. Clearly, the fullness condition is satisfied. However, note that the normalizable condition is stronger, since it implies that for any $g \in \Gamma$, $g\tilde{U}' = \tilde{U}'$. Therefore, the preimage of $U' \subset U$ under $\varphi: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is exactly $\varphi^{-1}(U') = \tilde{U}'$.

Remark 8. *An example of a non-normalizable suborbifold is the following. Take \mathbb{Z}_m acting on \mathbb{C}^2 as $\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\xi z_1, \xi^l z_2)$, where $\xi = e^{2\pi i/m}$, $\text{gcd}(l, m) = 1$. Then take $Z = \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_m$ and $X = \mathbb{C}$ the image of $z \mapsto (z, az)$, for $a \neq 0$.*

Given a suborbifold $X \subset Z$, it might be that $i: X \hookrightarrow Z$ is not an orbifold map. This happens when we do not have $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ in Definition 7. An example is given by $Z = \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_4$, where \mathbb{Z}_4 acts via $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (-z_1, iz_2)$, and $X = (\mathbb{C} \times \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proposition 9. *For a (connected) normalizable suborbifold $X \subset Z$, there is a well-defined normal orbivector bundle ν_X .*

Proof. Consider an atlas of adapted charts $\{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$ that covers X . For $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ we consider the projection $\text{pr}_1: \text{O}(p) \times \text{O}(n-p) \rightarrow \text{O}(p)$ and let $\Gamma'_i = \text{pr}_1(\Gamma_i) < \text{O}(p)$. Then let $F_i = \ker(\text{pr}_1) < \text{O}(n-p)$ and the *normal fiber* to be the orbivector space $V = \mathbb{R}^{n-p}/F_i$. For $\tilde{U}'_i = \tilde{U}_i \cap (\mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\})$, we consider the chart $\tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}'_i \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ with the action of $\Gamma_i < \text{O}(p) \times \text{O}(n-p) \subset \text{O}(n)$, $V_i = \tilde{V}_i/\Gamma_i$, and $\Psi_i: \tilde{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$ the quotient map. Let us see that these V_i glue together to give

an orbivector bundle. For a change of charts $U_i \subset U_j$ with $\rho_{ij} : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$, we write $\rho_{ij} = (\rho'_{ij}, \rho''_{ij})$, where $\rho'_{ij} : \tilde{U}'_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}'_j$. As F_i consists of the maps that fix pointwise \mathbb{R}^p , it is also equal to the maps that fix pointwise any open subset of \mathbb{R}^p . Therefore $F_i \cong F_j$ under the homomorphism $\Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_j$. This proves in particular, using the connectedness of X , that all F_i are isomorphic, hence we can write $F_i = F$. Also, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & F_i & \rightarrow & \Gamma_i & \rightarrow & \Gamma'_i \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & F_j & \rightarrow & \Gamma_j & \rightarrow & \Gamma'_j \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The change of charts for ν_X is given by $\hat{\rho}_{ij} = (\rho'_{ij}, d\rho''_{ij}) : \tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}'_i \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_j = \tilde{U}'_j \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$. The charts $\{(\nu_X|_{U_i} = V_i, \tilde{V}_i, \Gamma_i, \Psi_i)\}$ give the atlas for ν_X . \square

If Z is an oriented orbifold and X is an oriented normalizable suborbifold then $\Gamma < \text{SO}(p) \times \text{SO}(n-p)$. Hence ν_X is an oriented orbivector bundle.

Proposition 10. *Let $X \subset Z$ be a connected normalizable suborbifold. There exists an open neighbourhood of X diffeomorphic to a neighbourhood of the zero section of the normal bundle ν_X . If X, Z are both oriented, then the diffeomorphism is orientation preserving.*

Proof. We put an orbifold metric g on Z . For each point $x \in X$, we take an adapted orbifold chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ with $\tilde{U}' = \tilde{U} \cap (\mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\})$, $\Gamma' = \text{pr}_1(\Gamma)$, under $\text{pr}_1 : \text{O}(p) \times \text{O}(n-p) \rightarrow \text{O}(p)$. Take $F = \ker(\text{pr}_1)$. We define the normal space $\tilde{v}_x = (T_x \tilde{U}')^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ with respect to g_x , for $x \in \tilde{U}'$, and consider

$$\tilde{v}_{\tilde{U}'} = \bigsqcup_{x \in \tilde{U}'} \nu_x \cong \tilde{V}' = \tilde{U}' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$$

and $\nu_X|_{U'} = V = \tilde{v}_{\tilde{U}'}/\Gamma$, as in Proposition 9. Then $(\nu_X|_{U'}, \tilde{v}_{\tilde{U}'}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ is a chart for ν_X over $(U', \tilde{U}', \Gamma', \varphi')$.

Now we define the exponential map $\exp^\perp : \nu_X \rightarrow Z$. For this, take $T\tilde{U} = \tilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and consider a point

$$(x, v) = (x, 0, 0, v) \in \tilde{v}_{\tilde{U}} = T\tilde{U} \cap ((\mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\}) \times (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})),$$

and use the exponential for the metric g on \tilde{U} , as

$$\exp^\perp(x, v) := \exp_{(x,0)}(0, v).$$

This is well-defined for some $|v| < \epsilon(x)$. It is Γ -equivariant, since the metric is Γ -invariant. Therefore it defines an orbifold map. It is diffeomorphism near X , since $d\exp^\perp$ is an isomorphism over $v = 0$. Therefore there exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} = \{(x, v) | x \in X, v \in \nu_x, |v| < \epsilon(x)\} \subset \nu_X$ such that $\exp^\perp : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \subset Z$ is a diffeomorphism. The function $\epsilon(x)$ can be taken continuous, and if X is compact, then we can take $\epsilon_0 = \min\{\epsilon(x) | x \in X\} > 0$. \square

3. ORBIFOLD GOMPF CONNECTED SUM

3.1. Symplectic orbifolds.

Definition 11. A symplectic orbifold (Z, ω) is an oriented orbifold Z with an $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{orb}}^2(Z)$ such that $d\omega = 0$ and $\omega^n > 0$, where $2n = \dim Z$.

If (Z, ω) is a symplectic orbifold, then at every point $x \in Z$ there are *orbifold Darboux charts* [12, Proposition 10], that is an orbifold chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ such that $\Gamma < \text{U}(n)$ and $\omega = \sum dx_i \wedge dy_i$, in these coordinates $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$.

Given a symplectic orbifold (Z, ω) , an orbifold almost complex J is *compatible* if the orbifold tensor g defined by $g(-, -) = \omega(-, J(-))$, is an orbifold Riemannian metric. They always exist [12, Proposition 8].

Let Z be a symplectic $2n$ -dimensional orbifold. A *symplectic suborbifold* $X \subset Z$ is a suborbifold as in Definition 7 which is a $2p$ -dimensional symplectic orbifold such that there are adapted charts $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ which are Darboux, where $\tilde{U}' = \tilde{U} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{2p} \times \{0\})$, and $\Gamma < \text{U}(n)$, $\Gamma' < \text{U}(p)$.

A *symplectic normalizable suborbifold* $X \subset Z$ is a normalizable suborbifold as in Definition 7, such that at any $x \in X$, there are adapted charts $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$, with ω_x being the standard symplectic form of $T_x Z$, $\Gamma < \text{U}(p) \times \text{U}(n - p) \subset \text{U}(n)$ and $\Gamma' = \text{pr}_1(\Gamma) < \text{U}(p)$. Equivalently, $\Gamma < \text{SO}(2p) \times \text{SO}(2n - 2p)$ and it preserves the symplectic form at x .

Proposition 12. Let $X \subset Z$ be a symplectic suborbifold. Then there is a compatible almost complex structure J on Z such that X is a J -complex suborbifold, that is, $J(T_x X) = T_x X$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. We follow the argument in [12, Proposition 8]. First, we construct a compatible almost complex structure J_0 on X . We define the Riemannian metric $g_0(-, -) = \omega(-, J_0(-))$ over X . We extend it to the whole of Z in such a way that the symplectic orthogonal $(T_x X)^{\perp, \omega}$ to $T_x X \subset T_x Z$ is also g_0 -orthogonal. Now we define the operator $A \in \text{End}(TX)$ via $g_0(u, Av) = \omega(u, v)$, take a square root \sqrt{B} of $B = -A^2$, and define $J = -(\sqrt{B})^{-1}A$. This is an orbifold compatible almost complex structure. Finally note that $A|_{T_x X} = J_0$, hence $J|_X = J_0$ and thus X is J -complex suborbifold. \square

Proposition 13. Let $X \subset Z$ be a symplectic normalizable suborbifold. Let $x \in X$, then there is an adapted Darboux orbifold chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ at x for Z such that $\Gamma < \text{U}(p) \times \text{U}(n - p)$.

Proof. Take an adapted chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \Gamma, \varphi)$ such that $\tilde{U}' = \tilde{U} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{2p} \times \{0\})$ and $\Gamma < \text{U}(p) \times \text{U}(n - p)$, where $2n = \dim Z$ and $2p = \dim X$. We can assume that $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}' \times \tilde{U}''$. Take now a Darboux chart $(V', \tilde{V}', \Gamma', \psi)$ for X with $\tilde{V}' \subset \tilde{U}'$, and $\Gamma' < \text{U}(p)$. This gives a chart $\tilde{V}' \times \tilde{U}''$ on which $\omega|_{\tilde{V}' \times \{0\}} = \omega_0$ is the standard form. It can be extended to a Darboux chart on some $\tilde{V}' \times \tilde{V}'' \subset \tilde{V}' \times \tilde{U}''$ by using the argument in the proof of [12, Proposition 10]. Noting that $(\omega - \omega_0)|_{\tilde{V}'} = 0$, the

form $\mu \in \Omega^1(\tilde{V}' \times \tilde{U}'')$ so that $\omega - \omega_0 = d\mu$, can be arranged to be zero over \tilde{V}' . All the charts are the identity at first order at $x = (0, 0) \in \tilde{U}' \times \tilde{U}''$, so Γ stays fixed. Then $\Gamma < U(p) \times U(n-p)$ in the Darboux chart. \square

A symplectic form on a orbivector space \mathbb{R}^{2m}/F is a non-degenerate 2-form on \mathbb{R}^{2m} invariant by F . In particular $F < U(m)$.

Definition 14. *A symplectic orbivector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ is an orbivector bundle with fiber a symplectic orbivector space $V = \mathbb{R}^{2m}/F$, as in Definition 5 where we require $\Upsilon_i < O(2n) \times U(m)$ in (i), and the transition maps in (ii) satisfy $g_{ij} : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow U(m)$.*

In particular, a symplectic orbivector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ has a well-defined symplectic form on each fiber $\omega_x \in \Omega_{\text{orb}}^2(E_x)$, for $x \in X$, which identifies canonically to the orbifold symplectic form ω_V on $V = \mathbb{R}^{2m}/F$.

Proposition 15. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic orbifold and let $E \rightarrow X$ be a symplectic orbivector bundle. Then there exists a closed orbifold 2-form Ω such that $\Omega|_X = \omega$ and $\Omega|_{E_x} = \omega_V$, for all $x \in X$, and such that Ω is symplectic in a neighbourhood of the zero section. Moreover, E_x and $T_x X$ are symplectically orthogonal at the zero section.*

Proof. Take a covering $\{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$ by orbifold Darboux charts for X , with $\Gamma_i < U(n) < SO(2n)$, and so that $\tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{C}^m$, where $\Upsilon_i < SO(2n) \times U(m)$. As $\Gamma_i < U(n)$, we have $\Upsilon_i < U(n) \times U(m)$. Let ω_V be the natural symplectic form of $V = \mathbb{C}^m/F$. Then take an orbifold partition of unity $\{\rho_i\}$, and write $\omega_V = d\lambda$, where $\lambda = \sum x_j dy_j$, in the coordinates $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ of \mathbb{C}^m . We take $\lambda_i = (\Psi_i)_*(\sum x_j dy_j)$ and define

$$\Omega = \pi^* \omega + d\left(\sum \rho_i \lambda_i\right). \quad (3)$$

Thus $\Omega|_{E_x} = \omega_V$ at every fiber. At a point $x \in X$ in the zero section, we have $\lambda_i(x) = 0$, hence $\Omega_x = \omega_X + \sum \rho_i \omega_V = \omega_X + \omega_V$. So Ω is symplectic over X , and as this is an open condition, it is so in a neighbourhood of the zero section. \square

Proposition 16. *Let $X \subset Z$ be a symplectic normalizable suborbifold. Then ν_X is a symplectic orbivector bundle.*

Proof. Consider a covering by Darboux adapted orbifold charts $\{(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)\}$ given by Proposition 13. Then $\tilde{U}'_i = \tilde{U}_i \cap (\mathbb{R}^{2p} \times \{0\})$, $\Gamma_i < U(p) \times U(n-p)$ and $\Gamma'_i = \text{pr}_1(\Gamma_i)$. Following the construction of ν_X in Proposition 9, we take $\tilde{V}'_i = \tilde{U}'_i \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2p}$, $\Gamma_i < SO(2p) \times U(n-p)$, $V_i = \tilde{V}'_i/\Gamma_i$, and the quotient map $\Psi_i : \tilde{V}'_i \rightarrow V_i$. The chart $(V_i, \tilde{V}'_i, \Gamma_i, \Psi_i)$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 14. \square

Proposition 17. *Let $X \subset Z$ be a (compact) symplectic normalizable submanifold. Then there is an orbifold symplectomorphism $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{U} \subset \nu_X$ is a neighbourhood of the zero section, $\mathcal{V} \subset Z$ is a neighbourhood of X , and $f|_X = \text{id}_X$.*

Proof. This is an extension of the argument in [12, Proposition 19] to the case of symplectic normalizable suborbifolds. By Proposition 10, there is a diffeomorphism $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{U} \subset \nu_X$ is a neighbourhood of the zero section, and $\mathcal{V} \subset Z$ is a neighbourhood of X and $f|_X = \text{id}$. Take Ω constructed in Proposition 15, and consider $\omega_0 = \Omega$ and the pull-back $\omega_1 = f^*\omega$ on $\mathcal{U} \subset \nu_X$. Making \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} smaller if necessary, we can suppose that both are symplectic. Note also that $\Omega|_X = \omega|_X$ and $\Omega|_{\nu_x} = \omega|_{\nu_x}$, for $x \in X$. As $T_x X \oplus \nu_x = T_x Z$ is a symplectic orthogonal splitting for both symplectic forms, we have that $\omega_0 = \Omega$ and $\omega_1 = f^*\omega$ coincide along X . Now $[\omega_1] = [\omega_0]$ since $H^2(\mathcal{U}) = H^2(X)$ (by a radial retraction), so there is an orbifold 1-form λ such that $\omega_1 - \omega_0 = d\lambda$. We can even assume that $\lambda_x = 0$ for $x \in X$ as in [12, Proposition 19]. Then Moser's trick works: take $\omega_t = \omega_0 + t(\omega_1 - \omega_0)$, a vector field X_t such that $i_{X_t}\omega_t = -\lambda$, and the flow $\varphi_t : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ of X_t (reducing \mathcal{U} if necessary). It is standard to check that $\varphi_t^*\omega_t = \omega_0$ and $\varphi_t|_X = \text{id}_X$. The sought symplectomorphism is $g = f \circ \varphi_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. \square

3.2. Reversing the normal bundle. Now we consider the case of an *orbiline bundle* $\pi : E \rightarrow X$, that is a rank $m = 1$ complex orbivector bundle, with fiber $V = \mathbb{C}/F$, where $F = \mathbb{Z}_m < \text{U}(1)$, for some integer $m \geq 1$. There is a natural (orbifold) hermitian metric on E , that defines a radial function r . We endow E with the symplectic form Ω given by Proposition 15.

In a local chart $(U_i, \tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ for X , we have coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ for the base and coordinates (u, v) for the fiber. We will use polar coordinates (r, θ) for the fiber. Consider the chart $(V_i, \tilde{V}_i, \Upsilon_i, \Psi_i)$ for $E|_{U_i}$. Then $\tilde{V}_i = U_i \times \mathbb{C}$, and the product symplectic form is $\omega + du \wedge dv = \omega + r dr \wedge d\theta_i = \omega + d(\frac{1}{2}r^2 d\theta_i)$. Note that r is globally well-defined, but $\theta_i = (\Psi_i)_*\theta$ is defined only on the chart (it is defined up to addition of a function on the base). Hence we can set as in (3),

$$\Omega = \omega + \sum \frac{1}{2} d(\rho_i r^2 d\theta_i).$$

Such a form is locally written in a chart as

$$\Omega = \omega + r^2 \alpha + \beta \wedge r dr + r dr \wedge d\theta, \quad (4)$$

where α is a 2-form on the base and β is a 1-form on the base.

Let \bar{E} be the conjugate vector bundle. This is defined as $\bar{E} = E$ with the opposite orientation. It has charts $\tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{C}$, where we take polar coordinates (r', θ'_i) given by $r' = r$, $\theta'_i = -\theta_i$. Hence the symplectic form on the fiber is $r' dr' \wedge d\theta'_i = -r dr \wedge d\theta$. The process above serves to construct a symplectic form $\bar{\Omega}$ on \bar{E} which is

$$\bar{\Omega} = \omega + \sum \frac{1}{2} d(\rho_i (r')^2 d\theta'_i) = \omega - \sum \frac{1}{2} d(\rho_i (r')^2 d\theta_i).$$

On the same trivialization as above, we have

$$\bar{\Omega} = \omega - (r')^2 \alpha - \beta \wedge r' dr' - r' dr' \wedge d\theta. \quad (5)$$

We denote by $B_\varepsilon(E) = \{e \in E \mid r(e) < \varepsilon\}$ the ε -disc bundle, and $S_\varepsilon(E) = B_{2\varepsilon}(E) - \overline{B_\varepsilon(E)}$. Take the map

$$g_\varepsilon : S_\varepsilon(E) \rightarrow S_\varepsilon(\overline{E}), \quad (6)$$

defined by

$$\theta' = -\theta, r' = (5\varepsilon^2 - r^2)^{1/2}.$$

This sends $r \in (\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$ to $r' \in (\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$ and $rdr = -r'dr'$. Therefore

$$g_\varepsilon^*(\overline{\Omega}) = \omega - (5\varepsilon^2 - r^2)\alpha + \beta \wedge rdr + rdr \wedge d\theta.$$

Hence

$$|\Omega - g_\varepsilon^*(\overline{\Omega})| = |5\varepsilon^2\alpha| = O(\varepsilon^2)$$

on $S_\varepsilon(E)$.

The map $g = g_\varepsilon : S_\varepsilon(E) \rightarrow S_\varepsilon(\overline{E})$ is the identity on cohomology, hence $[\Omega] = g^*[\overline{\Omega}]$. Let $\gamma = \Omega - g^*\overline{\Omega}$. The slice $Y = \{e \in E \mid r(e) = \varepsilon\}$ is a compact orbifold, and $S_\varepsilon(E) \cong Y \times (\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$. Write $\gamma = \gamma_0 \wedge dr + \gamma_1$, and take $\delta = -\int_\varepsilon^r \gamma_0 dr$. As $d\gamma = 0$, we have $d\gamma_0 = -\frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial r}$. Then $d\delta = \gamma_0 \wedge dr - \int_\varepsilon^r (d\gamma_0)dr = \gamma_0 \wedge dr + \int_\varepsilon^r \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial r} dr = \gamma - i_Y \gamma$. Then $|\delta| = O(\varepsilon^2)$. Next $|\gamma| = O(\varepsilon^2)$, then $|i_Y \gamma| = O(\varepsilon^2)$. In a compact orbifold we have Hodge theory as in a compact manifold [2]. We have the differential $d : (\ker d)^\perp \rightarrow \text{im } d$ that is an isomorphism when using Sobolev norms $W^{k,2}$. So we have $i_Y \gamma = d\tau$, for some $\tau \in (\ker d)^\perp \subset \Omega^1(Y)$ where $\|\tau\|_{W^{k,2}} \leq \|i_Y \gamma\|_{W^{k-1,2}}$. So $\gamma = d\mu$ with $\mu = \delta + \tau$ and $|\mu| = O(\varepsilon^2)$.

Now we take a function ρ that $\rho \equiv 1$ for $r \leq \varepsilon$ and $\rho \equiv 0$ for $r \geq 2\varepsilon$, so $|d\rho| = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$. We define

$$\hat{\Omega} = \Omega - d(\rho\mu).$$

Thus $|\hat{\Omega} - \Omega| = O(\varepsilon)$, hence $\hat{\Omega}$ is symplectic for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. We have that $\hat{\Omega} = \Omega$ on $E - B_{2\varepsilon}(E)$ and $\hat{\Omega} = g_\varepsilon^*(\overline{\Omega})$ on $B_\varepsilon(E)$.

3.3. Orbifold Gompf connected sum. Let now Z, Z' be two symplectic $2n$ -orbifolds. Suppose that $X \subset Z, X' \subset Z'$ are compact $(2n-2)$ -dimensional symplectic normalizable suborbifolds. Let $\nu_X \rightarrow X$ and $\nu_{X'} \rightarrow X'$ be the normal orbivector bundles to X, X' , respectively, defined in Proposition 9. By Proposition 16, they are symplectic orbivector bundles, that is orbiline bundles. Suppose that we have an orbifold diffeomorphism $\Phi : X \rightarrow X'$ such that

$$\hat{\Phi} : \overline{\nu}_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi^* \nu_{X'}.$$

We endow $\nu_{X'}$ with the push-forward symplectic form $\hat{\Phi}_*(\overline{\Omega}_{\nu_X})$, so that $\hat{\Phi}$ becomes a symplectomorphism.

By Proposition 17, there are neighbourhoods $X \subset \mathcal{V} \subset Z, X' \subset \mathcal{V}' \subset Z'$ and neighbourhoods of the zero section $\mathcal{U} \subset \nu_X, \mathcal{U}' \subset \nu_{X'}$ with orbifold symplectomorphisms $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, f' : \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$, with $f|_X = \text{Id}, f'|_{X'} = \text{Id}$. In (6) we constructed a symplectomorphism

$$g_\varepsilon : S_\varepsilon(\nu_X) \rightarrow S_\varepsilon(\overline{\nu}_X),$$

and an orbifold symplectic form $\hat{\Omega}$ on some $B_\delta(\nu_X)$ such that $\hat{\Omega} = \Omega_{\nu_X}$ on $\nu_X - B_{2\varepsilon}(\nu_X)$ and $\hat{\Omega} = g_\varepsilon^*(\bar{\Omega}_{\nu_X}) = g_\varepsilon^*(\hat{\Phi}^*(\Omega_{\nu_{X'}}))$ on $B_\varepsilon(\nu_X)$. Here $\delta > 0$ is small enough so that $B_\delta(\nu_X) \subset \mathcal{U}$, $B_\delta(\nu_{X'}) \subset \mathcal{U}'$, and also $\varepsilon < \delta/2$.

Consider now

$$\begin{aligned} Z^o &= Z - f(B_\varepsilon(\nu_X)), \\ Z'^o &= Z' - f'(B_\varepsilon(\nu_{X'})). \end{aligned}$$

and the symplectomorphism

$$\Theta = f' \circ \hat{\Phi} \circ g_\varepsilon \circ f^{-1} : f(S_\varepsilon(\nu_X)) \rightarrow f'(S_\varepsilon(\nu_{X'})).$$

Definition 18. We define the orbifold Gompf connected sum of Z and Z' along $X \cong X'$ as the symplectic orbifold

$$\hat{Z} = (Z^o \cup Z'^o)/\Theta,$$

and it will be denoted $\hat{Z} = Z \#_{X=X'} Z'$.

4. CYCLIC 4-DIMENSIONAL ORBIFOLDS

4.1. Cyclic orbifolds. A *cyclic* orbifold has all isotropy groups which are cyclic groups $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$, and $m = m(x)$ is the order of the isotropy at x . Suppose now that X is an oriented cyclic 4-dimensional orbifold.

Take $x \in X$ and a chart $\varphi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ around x . Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_m < \text{SO}(4)$ be the isotropy group. Then U is homeomorphic to an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z}_m$. A matrix of finite order in $\text{SO}(4)$ is conjugate to a diagonal matrix in $\text{U}(2)$ of the type $(\exp(2\pi i j_1/m), \exp(2\pi i j_2/m)) = (\xi^{j_1}, \xi^{j_2})$, where $\xi = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Therefore we can suppose that $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_m = \langle \xi \rangle \subset \text{U}(2)$ acts on \tilde{U} as

$$\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\xi^{j_1} z_1, \xi^{j_2} z_2). \quad (7)$$

Here j_1, j_2 are defined modulo m . As the action is effective, we have $\gcd(j_1, j_2, m) = 1$. We call $\mathbf{j}_x = (m, j_1, j_2)$ the *local invariants* at x .

We say that $D \subset X$ is an isotropy surface of multiplicity m if D is closed, and there is a dense open subset $D^\circ \subset D$ which is a smooth surface and $m(x) = m$, for $x \in D^\circ$. The *local invariants* for D are those of a point in D° , that is $\mathbf{j}_D = (m, j)$ such that locally $D = \{(z_1, 0)\}$ and the action is given by $\xi = e^{2\pi i/m}$, $\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (z_1, \xi^j z_2)$.

Proposition 19 ([12, Proposition 2]). *Let X be a (cyclic, oriented, 4-dimensional) orbifold and $x \in X$ with local model $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_m$. Then there are at most two isotropy surfaces D_i , with multiplicity $m_i|m$, through x . If there are two such surfaces D_i, D_j , then they intersect transversely and $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$. The fundamental group of the link of x has order d with $m_i m_j d = m$.*

For an action given by (7), we set $m_1 = \gcd(j_1, m)$, $m_2 = \gcd(j_2, m)$. Note that $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$, so we can write $m_1 m_2 d = m$, for some integer d . Put $j_1 = m_1 e_1$, $j_2 = m_2 e_2$, where $\gcd(e_1, m_2) = \gcd(e_2, m_1) = 1$. Let $\eta = \xi^d$, so $\langle \eta \rangle =$

$\mathbb{Z}_{m_1 m_2}$. The action is given by $\eta \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\exp(2\pi i e_1/m_2) z_1, \exp(2\pi i e_2/m_1) z_2)$. Therefore $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{m_1 m_2} \cong \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$, which is homeomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^2 via the map $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (w_1, w_2) = (z_1^{m_2}, z_2^{m_1})$. The points of $D_1 = \{(z_1, 0)\}$ and $D_2 = \{(0, z_2)\}$ define two surfaces intersecting transversely, and with multiplicities m_1, m_2 , respectively.

Now ξ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{m_1 m_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ by the formula $\xi \cdot (w_1, w_2) = (\xi^{m_2 j_1} w_1, \xi^{m_1 j_2} w_2) = (\exp(2\pi i e_1/d) w_1, \exp(2\pi i e_2/d) w_2)$, where $\gcd(e_1, d) = \gcd(e_2, d) = 1$. Therefore $\mathbb{C}^2/\langle \xi \rangle \cong (\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{m_1})/\mathbb{Z}_d$, the point x has as link a lens space S^3/\mathbb{Z}_d , and the images of D_1 and D_2 are the points with non-trivial isotropy, with multiplicities m_1, m_2 , respectively.

The immersion $D_1 \subset Z$ is an oriented normalizable suborbifold. This follows since $\Gamma < \text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(2) = \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) < \text{U}(2)$. The group $F = \ker(\text{pr}_1) = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$, so the multiplicity of D_1 is m_1 , that is the normal fiber is $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$. The point $x = (0, 0)$ has multiplicity $m_2 d$ in the orbifold D_1 , since its isotropy group is $\Gamma' = \text{pr}_1(\mathbb{Z}_m) = \mathbb{Z}_{m_2 d}$. Note that there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_m & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \mathbb{Z}_{m_2 d} \\ \wedge & & \wedge & & \wedge \\ \{1\} \times \text{U}(1) & \rightarrow & \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) & \rightarrow & \text{U}(1) \times \{1\} \end{array}$$

The extension class of the above diagram is controlled by a map $\mathbb{Z}_{m_2 d} \rightarrow \text{U}(1)/\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$.

Remark 20. *Suppose that the fiber is $V = \mathbb{C}$ and that the isotropy points $x \in D$ are of order 2. Then there are two maps $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{U}(1)$. The trivial map corresponds to the fact that $D' = \pi^{-1}(x)$ is an isotropy surface of order 2 and D intersects it transversally. In particular, the orbifold is smooth. The map $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{U}(1)$ sending the generator to -1 corresponds to a point x with model $\mathbb{C}^2/\pm 1$, which is an ordinary double point singularity, and D is a surface through it.*

4.2. Singular symplectic 4-manifolds. In [13] we constructed smooth cyclic 4-orbifolds starting with a symplectic 4-manifold with embedded symplectic surfaces intersecting symplectically orthogonally. Here we shall extend the result to construct non-smooth cyclic orbifolds starting with a cyclic singular symplectic 4-manifold.

Definition 21. *A cyclic singular symplectic 4-manifold is a symplectic cyclic 4-orbifold X whose isotropy set is of dimension zero (that is, a finite set P of points, called the singular set).*

For a cyclic singular symplectic 4-manifold, a *singular point* is an isolated isotropy point $x \in P \subset X$. A local model around x is of the form $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_d$, where $\xi = e^{2\pi i/d}$ acts as

$$\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\xi^{e_1} z_1, \xi^{e_2} z_2), \quad (8)$$

where $\gcd(e_1, d) = \gcd(e_2, d) = 1$. We will denote $d(x) = d$.

First fix some further notation. A *sing-symplectic surface* is a symplectic 2-orbifold $D \subset X$ such that if $x \in D$ is a singular point, then D is fixed by Γ_x . Two

sing-symplectic surfaces $D_1, D_2 \subset X$ intersect nicely if at every intersection point $x \in D_1 \cap D_2$ there are adapted Darboux coordinates (z_1, z_2) at x such that $D_1 = \{(z_1, 0)\}$ and $D_2 = \{(0, z_2)\}$ in a model $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_m$, where $\mathbb{Z}_m < \mathrm{U}(2)$. Therefore, if the point $x \in X$ is smooth, we recover the notion that D_1, D_2 intersect symplectically orthogonally and positively.

Proposition 22. *Let X be a cyclic singular 4-manifold with set of singular points P . Let D_i be embedded sing-symplectic surfaces intersecting nicely, and take coefficients $m_i > 1$ such that $\mathrm{gcd}(m_i, m_j) = 1$ if D_i, D_j intersect. Then there is an orbifold X with isotropy surfaces D_i of multiplicities m_i , and singular points $x \in P$ of multiplicity $m = d \prod_{i \in I_x} m_i$, $d = d(x)$, $I_x = \{i \mid x \in D_i\}$.*

Proof. We start by fixing a Riemannian metric on a neighbourhood of the points of P . For each $x \in P$ we consider a chart $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_d$ in such a way that if there are (either one or two) D_i 's going through it, then they are the image of $z_1 = 0$ or $z_2 = 0$. We fix the standard metric on a neighbourhood of x . Then we extend it to the whole of X .

In [12, Proposition 4] we construct an orbifold structure on the points of $X - P$. Let us show how to construct it around the points of P in such a way that it is compatible with the structure on $X - P$. If $x \in P$ does not lie in any D_i , just consider an orbifold chart $(U, B_\epsilon^4(0), \mathbb{Z}_d, \varphi)$, where the action of \mathbb{Z}_d is given as in (8). If x lies in only one $D = D_i$ with $m = m_i$, we construct the orbifold chart as follows. We start with the chart $\varphi : B_\epsilon^4(0) \rightarrow U$, $B_\epsilon^4(0)/\mathbb{Z}_d \cong U$, where $D \cap U = \{(z_1, 0)\}$. We write $B_\epsilon^4(0) = B_\epsilon^2(0) \times B_\epsilon^2(0)$, and consider the map

$$\psi : \tilde{U} = B_\epsilon^2(0) \times B_\epsilon^2(0) \rightarrow U, \quad \psi(z_1, z_2) = \psi(z_1, r_2 e^{2\pi i \theta_2}) = \varphi(z_1, r_2 e^{2\pi i m \theta_2})$$

and the action of $\xi = e^{2\pi i/m d}$ given by $\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (e^{2\pi i e_1/d} z_1, e^{2\pi i e_2/m d} z_2)$. This gives our chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \mathbb{Z}_{md}, \psi)$.

If $x \in P$ lies in the intersection of two surfaces, say D_1, D_2 , with coefficients m_1, m_2 , then $\mathrm{gcd}(m_1, m_2) = 1$, by assumption. Take small neighbourhoods $V_1 \subset D_1$, $V_2 \subset D_2$ of x , which we identify with balls $B_\epsilon^2(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Consider a chart $\varphi : B_\epsilon^4(0) = B_\epsilon^2(0) \times B_\epsilon^2(0) \rightarrow U$, with $\varphi(0, 0) = x$, $D_1 \cap U = \varphi(\{(z_1, 0)\})$, $D_2 \cap U = \varphi(\{(0, z_2)\})$, and let g be the standard metric on U . We define the orbifold chart as follows: consider $\tilde{U} = B_\epsilon^2(0) \times B_\epsilon^2(0)$ and

$$\psi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U, \quad \psi(z_1, z_2) = \psi(r_1 e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, r_2 e^{2\pi i \theta_2}) = \varphi(r_1 e^{2\pi i m_2 \theta_1}, r_2 e^{2\pi i m_1 \theta_2}).$$

The action of \mathbb{Z}_m , $m = m_1 m_2 d$, is given by $\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (e^{2\pi i e_1/m_2 d} z_1, e^{2\pi i e_2/m_1 d} z_2)$, where $\xi = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Then $(U, \tilde{U}, \mathbb{Z}_m, \psi)$ is our chart at x .

These charts are compatible with the charts constructed in [13, Proposition 4] for the smooth part of the manifold $X - P$. \square

Proposition 23. *Let X be a singular symplectic cyclic 4-manifold with sing-symplectic surfaces D_i intersecting nicely, and take $m_i > 1$ such that $\mathrm{gcd}(m_i, m_j) = 1$ if D_i, D_j intersect. Then there is a cyclic symplectic orbifold X with isotropy surfaces D_i of multiplicities m_i .*

Proof. Let $P \subset X$ be the finite set of singular points of X . The argument of [13, Proposition 7] shows how to construct an orbifold symplectic form on $X - P$. We want to extend the argument to the points of P . For each singular point $p \in P$, we do as follows. If it does not belong to any D_i , we just take a Darboux chart $\varphi : B_\epsilon^4(0) \rightarrow U = B_\epsilon^4(0)/\mathbb{Z}_m$. If there is one D_i through p , we take a Darboux chart $\varphi : B_\epsilon^4(0) \rightarrow U = B_\epsilon^4(0)/\mathbb{Z}_m$ so that $D_i = \varphi(\{(z_1, 0)\})$. If p lies at an intersection $D_i \cap D_j$, fix a Darboux chart $\varphi : B_\epsilon^2(0) \times B_\epsilon^2(0) \rightarrow U$ with $D_i \cap U = \varphi(\{(z_1, 0)\})$, $D_j \cap U = \varphi(\{(0, z_2)\})$. Take a standard metric on U , and the corresponding almost complex structure J_U on U . The rest of the procedure is as in [13, Proposition 7]. We extend J_U to compatible almost complex structures J_i on each D_i , then take Riemannian metrics on the normal bundles ν_{D_i} compatible with its symplectic structure, and extend this metric g to the whole of X compatible with the symplectic form. This produces an orbifold almost Kähler structure on the whole of X for which each D_i is a J -invariant surface.

Now we use this metric g for producing the atlas of Proposition 22 that gives X the structure of a cyclic orbifold. Let us now construct the orbifold symplectic form. We only have to do it around the points of P , since on $X - P$ the construction is given in [13, Proposition 7].

Let $x \in P$, and let U be a neighbourhood of x as above. Take coordinates (w_1, w_2) , $w_1 = r_1 e^{2\pi i \theta_1}$, $w_2 = r_2 e^{2\pi i \theta_2}$, for the singular symplectic manifold X , and so the orbifold coordinates are $z_1 = r_1 e^{2\pi i \vartheta_1}$, $z_2 = r_2 e^{2\pi i \vartheta_2}$, with $\theta_1 = m_2 \vartheta_1$, $\theta_2 = m_1 \vartheta_2$ (it may be m_1 or m_2 equal to 1, in case there are less than two sing-symplectic surfaces through p). Thus $\omega = r_1 dr_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + r_2 dr_2 \wedge d\theta_2$. We set

$$\hat{\omega} = m_2 r_1 dr_1 \wedge d\vartheta_1 + m_1 r_2 dr_2 \wedge d\vartheta_2,$$

which defines an orbifold symplectic form on U . This pastes well with the orbifold symplectic form $\hat{\omega}$ constructed for $X - P$ in [13, Proposition 7]. \square

4.3. Local invariants. Take a singular point $x \in X$ with local invariants $\mathbf{j}_x = (m_1 m_2 d, j_1, j_2)$ with $j_1 = m_1 e_1, j_2 = m_2 e_2$, where $\gcd(e_1, m_2 d) = \gcd(e_2, m_1 d) = 1$. Here $e_1, e_2 \pmod{d}$. Let $D_1 = \{(z_1, 0)\}$ be one of the isotropy surfaces, with coefficient m_1 . This is a symplectically normalizable suborbifold. Its symplectic normal orbivector bundle has fiber $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$. The action is given by the group $\langle \eta \rangle = \langle \xi^{m_2 d} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$, since

$$\eta \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\xi^{j_1 m_2 d} z_1, \xi^{j_2 m_2 d} z_2) = (z_1, e^{2\pi i j_2 / m_1} z_2).$$

Therefore the local invariant of D_1 is $\mathbf{j}_{D_1} = (m_1, j_2)$, where $j_2 \pmod{m_1}$. This gives the *compatibility conditions* of the local invariants for singular points and isotropy surfaces.

Definition 24. Let X be a cyclic 4-orbifold with singular points P and isotropy surfaces D_i , $i \in I$. We say that $\{\mathbf{j}_x, \mathbf{j}_{D_i} \mid x \in P, i \in I\}$ are local invariants for X if they satisfy the compatibility conditions above.

Proposition 25. *Suppose that X is a symplectic 4-orbifold and the isotropy surfaces D_i are disjoint. Take integers j_i with $\gcd(m_i, j_i) = 1$ for each D_i . Then there exist local invariants for X .*

Proof. We only need to see that if D is an isotropy surface with local invariants $\mathbf{j}_D = (n, j)$, and $x \in D$ is a singular point with $d = d(x)$, then we can assign local invariants $\mathbf{j}_x = (m_1 m_2 d, j_1, j_2) = (nd, j_1, j_2)$ in a compatible way.

First note that in the local model (8) we can use the generator $\xi' = \xi^{e_2}$ instead of ξ . That means that we can there is a model around x of the form $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_d$ with $D_1 = \{(z_1, 0)\}$ and $\xi' \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\xi^e z_1, \xi z_2)$, where $e = e_1 e_2^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_d^*$.

We take $d = \Pi p_i^{a_i}$, $j = \Pi q_j^{b_j}$ the decomposition on prime numbers. Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= n, & m_2 &= 1, \\ j_2 &= j + nx, & \text{where } x &= \Pi p_i / \gcd(\Pi p_i, \Pi q_j), \\ e_2 &= j_2, & e_1 &= e \cdot e_2, & j_1 &= ne_1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $j_1 = m_1 e_1$, $j_2 = m_2 e_2$, $\gcd(e_1, m_2) = 1$, $\gcd(e_2, m_1) = \gcd(j_2, n) = \gcd(j, n) = 1$. Now let us see that $\gcd(j_2, d) = 1$. Take a prime $p|d$. If $p|j$ then $p \nmid x$ and $p \nmid j_2$; if $p \nmid j$ then $p|x$ and $p \nmid j_2$. Now $\gcd(j_1, m_1 d) = \gcd(m_1 e_1, m_1 d) = m_1 \gcd(e e_2, d) = m_1 \gcd(j_2, d) = m_1$, and $\gcd(j_2, m_1 d) = 1 = m_2$. These are all the conditions to match the cyclic orbifold singularity.

The compatibility condition reads as $m_1 = n$, $j_2 \equiv j \pmod{m_1}$ and $j_1 = m_1$, $j_2 = e_2$, $e_1 = e_2 e$, and is clearly satisfied. \square

5. A CYCLIC SYMPLECTIC 4-ORBIFOLD WITH DISJOINT SYMPLECTIC SURFACES

5.1. First building block. Consider a genus 2 complex surface Σ_2 with an involution $\sigma : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ with two fixed points. Then the quotient $C = \Sigma_2/\langle\sigma\rangle$ is a complex torus and the projection $\pi : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow C$ is a degree 2 ramified covering, ramified over two points p_1, p_2 . Second, consider a complex torus Σ_1 with an involution $\tau : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ with four fixed points. The quotient $C' = \Sigma_1/\langle\tau\rangle$ is a 2-sphere and the projection $\pi' : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow C'$ is a degree 2 ramified covering with four ramification points q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 . Then take

$$Y = (\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1)/\langle\sigma \times \tau\rangle,$$

which is a singular complex surface with 8 double points of order 2, namely (p_i, q_j) , $i = 1, 2$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Denote $\varpi : \Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1 \rightarrow Y$ the projection.


We have induced projections $\pi : Y \rightarrow C$, $\pi' : Y \rightarrow C'$. The generic fibers of π are torus $T_p = \pi^{-1}(p) = \{p\} \times \Sigma_1$. There are two exceptional fibers,

$$S_i = \pi^{-1}(p_i) = (\{p_i\} \times \Sigma_1)/\langle\tau\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

which are spheres for $i = 1, 2$. The generic fibers of π' are genus 2 surfaces $\Sigma_q = (\pi')^{-1}(q) = \Sigma_2 \times \{q\}$, except for

$$T_j = (\pi')^{-1}(q_j) = (\Sigma_2 \times \{q_j\})/\langle\sigma\rangle, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

which are tori. Note that the spheres S_i and the tori T_j intersect at the singular points $S_i \cap T_j = (p_i, q_j)$. Also $T_p \cap T_j$ is a transverse intersection at one point in the smooth locus. The intersection $T_p \cap \Sigma_q$ is however two points.



Let us compute the homology of Y . The homology of Σ_2 is given by $H_1(\Sigma_2, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \rangle$, and the homology of Σ_1 given by

$$H_1(\Sigma_1, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle. \quad (9)$$

Then $\tau(\alpha) = -\alpha$ and $\tau(\beta) = -\beta$, and $\sigma(a_1) = a_2, \sigma(b_1) = b_2$. So the homology of Y is the coinvariant part of the cohomology of $\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1$, that is

$$H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle.$$

The integral homology is generated by loops a_1, b_1 inside a fiber T_1 . Note also that the loops α, β in a fiber T_p can be pushed to a special fiber S_i , and then contracted there. Furthermore, the loops α, β can be contracted in $Y - T_1$.

For the 2-homology, we have $H_2(\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle \Sigma, T \rangle \oplus (H_1(\Sigma) \otimes H_1(T))$. Hence

$$H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle [T_1], [S_1], a_1 \times \alpha, b_1 \times \alpha, a_1 \times \beta, b_1 \times \beta \rangle,$$

where $a_1 \times \alpha = -a_2 \times \alpha$, etc. Note that $2[T_j] = [\Sigma_q]$ and $2[S_i] = [T_p]$ in homology. This implies that the 2-homology of Y is generated by the fibers of the projections π, π' plus four extra tori

$$U_1 = a_1 \times \alpha, U_2 = b_1 \times \beta, U_3 = b_1 \times \alpha, U_4 = a_1 \times \beta,$$

which intersect in pairs $U_1 \cdot U_2 = 1, U_3 \cdot U_4 = 1$, with all other intersections $U_i \cdot U_j = 0$.

Therefore the Betti numbers of Y are $b_0 = 1, b_1 = 2, b_2 = 6$, and the Euler characteristic $\chi(Y) = 4$. This agrees with the fact that $\pi : \Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1 \rightarrow Y$ is a double covering ramified at 8 points of multiplicity 2, hence $\chi(\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1) = 2\chi(Y) - 8 = 0$.

We make the tori U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 symplectic by using the following result:

Lemma 26 ([13, Lemma 27]). *Let (M, ω) be a 4-dimensional compact symplectic manifold. Assume that $[F_1], \dots, [F_k] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ are linearly independent homology*

classes represented by k Lagrangian surfaces F_1, \dots, F_k which intersect transversely and not three of them intersect in a point. Then there is an arbitrarily small perturbation ω'' of the symplectic form ω such that all F_1, \dots, F_k become symplectic.

We are going to consider S_1, S_2 and a collection of eleven generic fibers, eight of which we denote $T_{p_i} = \pi^{-1}(p_i)$, for distinct points $p_i \in C - \{p_1, p_2\}$, $i = 3, \dots, 10$, and another three $T_{p'_1}, T_{p''_1}, T_{p'_2}$ for another three points p'_1, p''_1, p'_2 . All of them are tori.


5.2. Second building block. We start with the complex manifold $X = \mathbb{C}P^2$ with a smooth complex cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ and two complex lines L, L' intersecting at a point $s_0 \in C$. Each intersects C at another two points. Blow-up at s_0 and we obtain an exceptional divisor E . We denote again by L, L', C the proper transforms of L, L', C in the blow-up X' . Now we blow-up at the point of intersection $E \cap L$. The resulting manifold X'' has a new exceptional divisor E' , and we denote by E, L, L', C the proper transforms of the respective curves in X' . Note that now $E^2 = -2$.

Next we blow-down E . The blow-down of a (-2) -rational curve is a singular double point modelled on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$, with the action $(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (-z_1, -z_2)$. Call X''' the resulting singular complex surface. The proper image of L, L', C in X''' will be called in the same way. We discard the image of E' now. The curves L', C intersect at the double point. Let us see that the intersection is nice.

Lemma 27. *Let (X, ω) be a cyclic singular symplectic 4-manifold, and suppose that $S, S' \subset X$ are sing-symplectic surfaces intersecting transversely and positively at a singular point $p \in X$ whose local model is \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_d with local invariants (8) with $e_1 = e_2$. Then we can perturb S near p so that S and S' intersect nicely.*

Proof. This follows the argument of [13, Lemma 6]. We are working on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_d$ with the action $\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\xi^e z_1, \xi^e z_2)$, $\xi = e^{2\pi i/d}$, $e = e_1 = e_2$. The deformation defined in [13, Lemma 6] is invariant by the action of \mathbb{Z}_d since the ingredient that we introduce is a function $\rho(|z|)$ dependent only on the norm $|z|$ of $z = (z_1, z_2)$. \square

Now blow-up X''' at one of the two intersection points of $L \cap C$ to get a new manifold X'''' . Let E'' be its exceptional divisor. Note that $L^2 = 0$ in X' , so $L^2 = -1$ in X'' and in X''' , hence now $L^2 = -2$ in X'''' . Then we can blow-down L to get a second double point modelled in $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Call W' the resulting manifold.



The final configuration is a singular complex surface W' with two double points s_1, s_2 , a genus 1 curve C through these two points, and two rational curves $A_1 = L'$ and $A_2 = E''$. The first curve A_1 goes through s_1 and intersects C transversely at another two (smooth) points s'_1, s''_1 . The second curve A_2 goes through s_2 and intersects C transversely at another smooth point s'_2 . Using Lemma 27, we make the intersection nice.

Note also that $b_2(W') = 2$, since each blow-up increases b_2 by one, and each blow-down decreases it by one. Also $b_1(W') = 0$, hence $\chi(W') = 4$. The fundamental group does not change with the blow-ups or blow-downs, hence W' is simply connected.

Proposition 28. *Take the loops a, b generating $\pi_1(C)$. Then they can be contracted in $W' - (A_1 \cup A_2)$.*

Proof. It is enough to start with a cubic curve C close to a cuspidal rational curve, e.g. C can be given by the equation $y^2 = x^3 - \epsilon^2 x$. Then the vanishing cycles lie inside a ball $B(0, 2\epsilon)$. Take the lines L, L' far away from this ball. All the process does not touch it, so the loops will still contract in $W' - (A_1 \cup A_2)$. \square

Let us compute the self-intersection of C in W' . Note that an orbifold has a cohomology algebra $H^*(W', \mathbb{Q})$ which has Poincaré duality and a well-defined intersection product over the rationals. Next, we have the following observation: if $\pi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a blow-down map along a (-2) -rational curve E , and $A, B \subset X_1$ are divisors intersecting E transversally at one point $A \cap E = \{p\}$, $B \cap E = \{q\}$,

$p \neq q$, then $\bar{A} = \pi(A)$ and $\bar{B} = \pi(B)$ intersect nicely at the singular point and they satisfy

$$\bar{A} \cdot \bar{B} = A \cdot B + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Using this, we see that $C^2 = 8$ in W' . Denote $A_1 \cap C = \{s_1, s'_1, s''_1\}$ and $A_2 \cap C = \{s_2, s'_2\}$. We blow-up W' at eight points of C , different from $s_1, s'_1, s''_1, s_2, s'_2$, say $s_3, \dots, s_{10} \in C$. Call $W = W' \# 8\overline{CP}^2$ the resulting manifold, E_3, \dots, E_{10} the exceptional divisors, and note that now $C^2 = 0$. Also $\chi(\tilde{W}') = 12$.

We end up by noting that

$$A_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad A_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (10)$$

For instance, $(L')^2 = 1$ in X , so $(L')^2 = 0$ in X'' and thus $A_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in W' .

5.3. The connected sum. Inside Y we consider the suborbifold T_1 , with two points of multiplicity 2. The fibers T_{p_i} intersect T_1 symplectically transversally and positively (i.e. nicely). The fibers S_1, S_2 also intersect T_1 nicely at the singular points. By Remark 20, T_1 is a symplectically normalizable surface. In \tilde{W} we take the curve $C \subset W$ which is a symplectically normalizable surface.

Consider a symplectic orbifold diffeomorphism $f : T_1 \rightarrow C$, from $T_1 \subset Y$ to $C \subset W$. It may be necessary to rescale one of the symplectic forms of either Y or W so that the total areas of T_1 and C are equal. Moreover, we arrange f so that it matches the two singular points lying in T_1 with the two singular points in C , $f(p_1) = s_1, f(p_2) = s_2$. We also arrange $f(p_i) = s_i, i = 3, \dots, 10$ and $f(p'_1) = s'_1, f(p''_1) = s''_1, f(p'_2) = s'_2$.

By Remark 20 there is only one possible model for the normal bundle at the order two singular points. Moreover, the self-intersection $T_1^2 = 0$ in Y and $C^2 = 0$ in W allows to have an orbivector bundle isomorphism between the normal bundles ν_{T_1} and $\bar{\nu}_C$. Therefore we can take the orbifold Gompf connected sum

$$Z' = Y \#_{T_1=C} W$$

This has

$$\chi(Z') = \chi(Y) + \chi(W) - \chi(T_1) = 16.$$

Theorem 29. *The total space of the 4-orbifold Z' is simply connected.*

Proof. The fundamental group $\pi_1(Z')$ is the amalgamated sum of $\pi_1(Y - T_1)$ and $\pi_1(W - C)$. The fundamental group of $Y - T_1$ is generated by two loops $a, b \in \pi_1(T_1)$. They are transferred to $W - C$, where they can be contracted by Proposition 28. The fundamental group of $W - C$ is generated by a loop around C . This can be contracted by using one of the exceptional spheres E_i . \square

The Betti numbers of Z are $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = 14$. We have 14 surfaces

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= A_1 \# (S_1 \cup T_{p'_1} \cup T_{p''_1}), \\ V_2 &= A_2 \# (S_2 \cup T_{p'_2}), \\ V_i &= E_i \# T_{p_i}, \quad i = 3, \dots, 10, \\ U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

They are all symplectic. The genus of V_1 is 2 and the genus of V_2 is 1. Also the surfaces V_i, U_j , $3 \leq i \leq 10$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, all have genus 1.

Lemma 30. *If T, T' are two symplectic tori in a symplectic 4-manifold X intersecting transversely and positively at one point, then the (symplectic) blow-up of X contains three disjoint symplectic surfaces, two tori and one surface of genus 2, contained in a neighbourhood of (the blow-up of) $T \cup T'$, and linearly independent in homology.*

Proof. Let p be the intersection point $T \cap T'$. Using [13, Lemma 6], we can take a chart (z, w) around p where $T = \{z = 0\}$, and $T' = \{w = 0\}$. Consider $T + T'$ and resolve the singularity producing a symplectic genus 2 surface Σ . We move it to intersect T and T' at the same point p . Locally the equation of Σ is $(z - \varepsilon) \cdot (w - \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2$. As $\Sigma \cdot T = 1$, $\Sigma \cdot T' = 1$, the point p is the only intersection point of the three surfaces T, T', Σ , and they intersect transversely. Moreover, $\Sigma^2 = (T + T')^2 = 2$. Blowing up at p we get a symplectic manifold $\tilde{X} = X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, where the proper transforms $\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}', \tilde{\Sigma}$ are disjoint symplectic surfaces of genus 1, 1, 2 and self-intersection numbers $-1, -1, 1$. They generate the same 3-dimensional space in homology as T, T' and the exceptional sphere E . \square

Using Lemma 30, take the orbifold

$$Z = Z' \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},$$

which is the blow-up twice of Z' and the two points $U_1 \cap U_2, U_3 \cap U_4$. Call again U_i the proper transforms of U_i , and call W_1, W_2 the new genus 2 symplectic surfaces. We denote $V_{10+i} = U_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and $V_{15} = W_1, V_{16} = W_2$. Then V_1, \dots, V_{16} are disjoint surfaces.

Consider coefficients m_i , $1 \leq i \leq 16$. Applying Proposition 23 we put a symplectic orbifold structure on Z , so that V_i is an isotropy surface with multiplicity m_i .

The following proves Theorem 2:

Theorem 31. *There is a simply connected symplectic orbifold Z with $b_2 = 16$ and containing 16 disjoint symplectic orbifold surfaces. They span $H_2(Z, \mathbb{Q})$, thirteen surfaces are of genus 1 and three surfaces are of genus 2.*

The self-intersections of the surfaces are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^2 &= \frac{1}{2}, V_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \\ V_i^2 &= -1, i = 3, \dots, 14, \\ V_{15}^2 &= 1, V_{16}^2 = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We only need to compute V_1^2, V_2^2 , the other are obvious. Let us do the case of $V_1 = A_1 \# (S_1 \# T_{p'_1} \# T_{p''_1})$. We have that

$$V_1^2 = A_1^2 + (S_1^2 + T_{p'_1}^2 + T_{p''_1}^2) = \frac{1}{2},$$

using (10), and analogously for V_2^2 . The formula above can be justified as follows. Let (z_1, z_2) be coordinates on W around the point $s_1 \in W$ with $C = \{z_1 = 0\}$, such that $A_1 = \{z_2 = 0\}$, and (z_1, z_2) coordinates on Y around $p_1 \in T_1$ with $T_1 = \{z_1 = 0\}$, such that $S_1 = \{z_2 = 0\}$. We can perturb A_1 topologically as $A'_1 = \{z_2 = \epsilon z_1\}$ and S_1 as $S'_1 = \{z_2 = \epsilon \bar{z}_1\}$, so that they can be glued in the Gompf connected sum. The local intersection is $A_1 \cdot A'_1 = 1/2$ and $S_1 \cdot S'_1 = -1/2$, whereas after the connected sum $A_1 \# S_1$ does not intersect $A'_1 \# S'_1$.

6. A 5-DIMENSIONAL K-CONTACT MANIFOLD

Consider a contact co-oriented manifold (M, η) with a contact form η . We say that (M, η) admits a *Sasakian structure* (M, g, ξ, η, J) if

- (1) there exists an endomorphism $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ such that $J^2 = -\text{Id} + \xi \otimes \eta$, for the Reeb vector field ξ of η ,
- (2) J satisfies the conditions $d\eta(JX, JY) = d\eta(X, Y)$, for all vector fields X, Y and $d\eta(JX, X) > 0$ for all non-zero $X \in \ker \eta$,
- (3) the Reeb vector field ξ is Killing with respect to the Riemannian metric $g(X, Y) = d\eta(JX, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$,
- (4) the almost complex structure I on the contact cone $C(M) = (M \times \mathbb{R}_+, t^2g + dt^2)$ defined by $I(X) = J(X), X \in \ker \eta, I(\xi) = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, I(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = -\xi$, is integrable.

If one drops the condition of the integrability of I , one obtains a *K-contact* structure.

A compact simply connected 5-manifold M is called a *Smale-Barden manifold*. These manifolds are classified by their second homology group over \mathbb{Z} and a *Barden invariant* (see [5, Theorem 10.2.2]). Let us write the second homology as a direct sum of cyclic groups of prime power order

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p,i} \mathbb{Z}_{p^i}^{c(p_i)} \right),$$

where $k = b_2(M)$. Choose this decomposition in a way that the second Stiefel-Whitney class map $w_2 : H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is zero on all but one summand \mathbb{Z}_{2^j} . The value of j is unique and it is denoted by $i(M)$ and is called the Barden invariant.

The problem of the existence of simply connected K-contact non-Sasakian compact manifolds is still open in dimension 5 (open problem 10.2.1 in [5]):

Do there exist Smale-Barden manifolds which carry K-contact but do not carry Sasakian structures?

A Sasakian structure on a compact manifold M is called *quasi-regular* if there is a positive integer δ satisfying the condition that each point of M has a neighbourhood such that each leaf for ξ passes through U at most δ times. If $\delta = 1$, the structure is called regular. It is known [17] that if a compact manifold admits a Sasakian structure, it also admits a quasi-regular one. Thus, when we are interested in existence questions, we may consider Sasakian structures which are quasi-regular. Analogous results are true for K-contact manifolds [14].

A Seifert bundle is a space fibered by circles over an orbifold. We give a precise definition.

Definition 32. *Let X be a cyclic, oriented n -dimensional orbifold. A Seifert bundle over X is an oriented $(n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold M equipped with a smooth S^1 -action and a continuous map $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ such that for an orbifold chart $(U, \tilde{U}, \mathbb{Z}_m, \varphi)$, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{U} \times S^1)/\mathbb{Z}_m & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi^{-1}(U) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{U}/\mathbb{Z}_m & \xrightarrow{\cong} & U \end{array}$$

where the action of \mathbb{Z}_m on S^1 is by multiplication by $\xi = e^{2\pi i/m}$ and the top diffeomorphism is S^1 -equivariant.

Let X be a 4-dimensional cyclic orbifold. Let p be a cyclic isotropy point of some order $m > 0$. The local model is given by $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_m$ where the action is given for $\xi = e^{2\pi i/m}$ by

$$\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\xi^{j_2} z_1, \xi^{j_1} z_2),$$

where $(m, j_1, j_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ are the local invariants. The Seifert bundle is defined as

$$(\mathbb{C}^2 \times S^1)/\mathbb{Z}_m,$$

with the action $\xi \cdot (z_1, z_2, u) = (\xi^{j_2} z_1, \xi^{j_1} z_2, \xi u)$.

Let $P \subset X$ be the subset of singular points, and D_i the isotropy surfaces.

Definition 33. *For a Seifert bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow X$, we define its Chern class as follows. Let $\ell = \text{lcm}(m(x) | x \in X)$. We denote by M/ℓ the quotient of M by $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \subset S^1$. Then $M/\ell \rightarrow X$ is a circle fiber bundle and it has a Chern class $c_1(M/\ell) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We define*

$$c_1(M) = \frac{1}{\ell} c_1(M/\ell) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $P = \{x_j\} \subset X$ be the set of singular points. We consider small balls around all of the points, $B = \sqcup B_j \subset X$. Every $L_j = \partial B_j$ is a lens space of order $d_j = d(x_j)$, that is S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{d_j} . Let $L = \sqcup L_j$. Taking the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of $X - B$ and \bar{B} , and using that $H^1(L_j, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $H^2(L_j, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_{d_j}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_{d_j}$$

Note that when $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, we have $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{b_2}$ is torsion-free, so any integral class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is well-determined by any multiple of it.

Lemma 34. *Let $m = \text{lcm}(m_i)$. Then $c_1(M/m) = m c_1(M)$ is integral in $H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that $c_1(M) = \frac{1}{m} c_1(M/m)$.*

This is an easy consequence of the fact that $M/m \rightarrow X - P$ is a circle fiber bundle. Note that $m|\ell$. So $c_1(M/m) = \frac{m}{\ell} c_1(M/\ell)$.

Let $X^\circ = X - B$, which is a manifold with boundary L . There is Poincaré duality

$$H^2(X^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(X^\circ, L, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(X^\circ, L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now we have isomorphisms $H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(X^\circ, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^k(X^\circ, L, \mathbb{Z}) = H^k(X, B, \mathbb{Z}) = H^k(X, P, \mathbb{Z}) = H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$, for $k \geq 2$, since P is 0-dimensional. Moreover $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, generated by the fundamental class. Hence Poincaré duality is a perfect pairing

$$H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular the class $[D_i] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ gives a map $H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and hence a class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ via the inclusion $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 35. *Let X be an oriented 4-manifold and $D_i \subset X$ oriented surfaces of X which intersect transversely. Let $m_i > 1$ such that $\text{gcd}(m_i, m_j) = 1$ if D_i and D_j intersect. Suppose that we have local invariants $\mathbf{j}_{D_i} = (m_i, j_i)$ for each D_i and \mathbf{j}_x , for every singular point $x \in P$, which are compatible.*

Let $0 < b_i < m_i$ such that $j_i b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$. Finally, let B be a complex line bundle on X . Then there is a Seifert bundle $f : M \rightarrow X$ with the given local invariants and first Chern class

$$c_1(M) = c_1(B) + \sum_i \frac{b_i}{m_i} [D_i]. \quad (13)$$

The set of all such Seifert bundles forms a principal homogeneous space under $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where the action corresponds to changing B .

Proof. The local model of the Seifert bundle around a singular point is constructed as above, and it is determined by the local invariant \mathbf{j}_x , $x \in P$. The rest of the argument is carried out exactly as in [13, Proposition 14]. \square

The following is the extension of [13, Theorem 16] to the case of quasi-regular Seifert bundles.

Theorem 36. *Suppose that $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ is a quasi-regular Seifert bundle with isotropy surfaces D_i with multiplicities m_i . Let $m = \text{lcm}(m_i)$. Then $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if and only if*

- (1) $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$,
- (2) $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^2(D_i, \mathbb{Z}_{m_i})$ is surjective,
- (3) $c_1(M/m) \in H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z})$ is a primitive class.

Moreover, $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{2g_i})$, g_i = genus of D_i , $k + 1 = b_2(X)$.

Proof. We compute the cohomology of M by using the Leray spectral sequence. Let us denote $\mathcal{F} = R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_M$. By [11, (25)], if $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$. The Leray spectral sequence is then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z} & & H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) & & H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) & & H^3(X, \mathcal{F}) & & \mathbb{Z} \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & & & \\ \mathbb{Z} & & 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} & & 0 & & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

Therefore $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ (equivalently, $H^4(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(X, \mathcal{F}) &= 0 \\ H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ is surjective} \end{aligned}$$

By [11, (24.2) and (24.3)],

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) &= \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \oplus (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{2g_i}), \\ H^3(X, \mathcal{F}) &= \text{coker}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^2(D_i, \mathbb{Z}_{m_i})). \end{aligned}$$

The first equality gives that $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \ker(H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$ is as stated.

We need to understand the edge map $e : H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, given by cupping with the Chern class $c_1(M)$ (note that this is a rational class). The exact sequence in [11, (24.2)] reads

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^1(D_i, \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^2(D_i, \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}) \rightarrow 0$$

using that $H^3(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. The map e factors through the torsion-free part $\bar{H}^2(X, \mathcal{F})$ of $H^2(X, \mathcal{F})$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \bar{H}^2(X, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow e & & \downarrow \hat{e} & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_m & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where we define \hat{e} by declaring $\hat{e}(s) = e(ms)$, and noting that for any $s \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ we have $ms \in \bar{H}^2(X, \mathcal{F})$. From this exact sequence we see that e is surjective if and only if \hat{e} is surjective.

The map \hat{e} is the edge map of the Seifert bundle M/m , that is cupping with $c_1(M/m)$. Recall that

$$c_1(M/m) \in H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which defines a map $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and this coincides with \hat{e} . The map is surjective if and only if $c_1(M/m)$ is a primitive class. \square

Next we recall some results on K-contact and Sasakian manifolds that we shall use later.

Proposition 37 ([13, Theorem 19]). *Let (M, g, ξ, η, J) be a quasi-regular K-contact manifold. Then the space of leaves X has a natural structure of an almost Kähler cyclic orbifold where the projection $M \rightarrow X$ is a Seifert bundle. Furthermore, if (M, g, ξ, η, J) is Sasakian, then X is a Kähler orbifold.* \square

Proposition 38 ([13, Theorem 21]). *Let (X, ω, J, g) be an almost Kähler cyclic orbifold with $[\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$, and let $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ be a Seifert bundle with $c_1(M) = [\omega]$. Then M admits a K-contact structure (M, g, ξ, η, J) such that $\pi^*(\omega) = d\eta$.* \square

Lemma 39. *Let (X, ω) be a cyclic symplectic 4-orbifold with a collection of embedded symplectic surfaces D_i , $i \in I$, intersecting nicely, and integer numbers $m_i > 1$, with $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ whenever $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$. Assume that there are local invariants $\{\mathbf{j}_{D_i} = (m_i, j_i), \mathbf{j}_x | i \in I, x \in P\}$ for X . Let b_i with $j_i b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$, $m = \text{lcm}(m_i)$. Then there is a Seifert bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ such that:*

- (1) *It has Chern class $c_1(M) = [\hat{\omega}]$ for some orbifold symplectic form $\hat{\omega}$ on X .*
- (2) *If $\sum \frac{b_i m}{m_i} [D_i] \in H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive and the second Betti number $b_2(X) \geq 3$, then then we can further have that $c_1(M/m) \in H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive.*
- (3) *If α is a given class in $H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the image $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is at least two-dimensional, then we can also take $c_1(B) \not\equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$.*
- (4) *If α is a given class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha \not\equiv 0$, $\frac{b_i m}{m_i} [D_i] \in H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then we can also take $c_1(B) \equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. This follows the arguments of the proof of [13, Lemma 20]. Using Proposition 23, we construct a symplectic orbifold with isotropy coefficients m_i for each D_i . Given now the local invariants $\{(m_i, j_i)\}$ for the isotropy surfaces and the local invariants \mathbf{j}_x for each singular point $x \in P$, we find a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow X$ with $c_1(M) = [\hat{\omega}]$ for some orbifold symplectic form $\hat{\omega}$.

The proof of (2) is as the proof of [13, Lemma 20(2)], noting that the classes $b_1, b_2 \in H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z})$ whereas the class $a_0 \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The rest of the argument follows verbatim.

For (3), if α is not in the image of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then we are done. Otherwise, we assume $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Take α' not proportional to α in $H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Now we follow the proof of [13, Lemma 20(2)]. We can take b_1 to satisfy also $b_1 \cdot \alpha' = 0$. Therefore we can arrange $a_0 \not\equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$, by adding α'

if necessary. Finally we take k_0 to be also even, and hence a' satisfies that $a' \not\equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$.

For (4), we take a_0 in the proof of [13, Lemma 20(2)] to be the primitive class determined by α , i.e. $\alpha = Na_0$, a_0 primitive. As N is odd, $a_0 \equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$. We also take k_0 even, and hence a' satisfies $a' \equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$. \square

Using the symplectic orbifold Z of Theorem 31, we put coefficients $m_i = p^i$, where p is a fixed prime, over each of the 16 surfaces V_i of genus $g_i \in \{1, 2\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 16$. We get the following main result.

Corollary 40. *We can choose a Seifert bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow Z$ ramified over the D_i so that M is a K-contact 5-manifold with $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and*

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{15} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{16} \mathbb{Z}_{p^i}^{2g_i} \right).$$

Proof. We choose local invariants (m_i, j_i) for D_i with $b_{16} = 1$. As $m = p^{16} = m_{16}$, we have $\frac{b_{16}m}{m_{16}} = 1$, so (2) of Lemma 39 is satisfied. By using Proposition 25, we can assign local invariants at the singular points. By Lemma 39, there is a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow X$ such that $c_1(M) = [\hat{\omega}]$ and $c_1(M/m)$ is primitive. By Proposition 38, M admits a K-contact structure. And by Theorem 36, we have that $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and the 2-homology is as stated. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, it remains to see that M can be chosen spin or non-spin (Section 7) and that M is simply connected (Section 8).

7. THE SECOND STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASS

We now study the second Stiefel-Whitney class of a quasi-regular Seifert bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow X$, in order to compute the Barden invariant of M . Let $P = \{x_j\} \subset X$ be the set of singular points, let $B_j \subset X$ be a small ball around x_j , and $S_j = \pi^{-1}(x_j) \subset M$, which is an embedded S^1 . Let $W_j = \pi^{-1}(B_j)$ and $L_j = \partial W_j$. Clearly there is an oriented fiber bundle $S^3 \rightarrow L_j \rightarrow S^1$, hence L_j is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^3$. We consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated to $(M - \sqcup S_j, \sqcup W_j)$, which gives

$$H^1(\sqcup L_j, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(M - \sqcup S_j, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(\sqcup L_j, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0.$$

The first map reads in homology as $H_3(\sqcup L_j, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_3(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. As $H_3(L_j, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is spanned by the normal fiber to $L_j \rightarrow S^1$, which shrinks in M , this is the zero map. Therefore

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^2(M - \sqcup S_j, \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

and under this isomorphism we have

$$w_2(M) = w_2(M - S),$$

where $\pi : M - S \rightarrow X - P$ is a Seifert bundle over a smooth orbifold. We also have a map

$$\pi^* : H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(M - S, \mathbb{Z}_2) = H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

The discussion in [15, Section 2] works also for non-compact manifolds. It says that

$$w_2(M) = \pi^* w_2(X - P) + \sum_i (m_i - 1)[E_i] \quad (14)$$

$$= \pi^*(w_2(X - P) + \sum_i b_i[D_i] + c_1(B)), \quad (15)$$

where $E_i = \pi^{-1}(D_i)$. Note also that $\pi^*[D_i] = m_i[E_i]$.

Proposition 41. *Let $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-regular Seifert fibration with isotropy locus and local invariants $\{(D_i, m_i, b_i)\}$, and $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The mod 2 cohomology of X is $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+1}$ and the mod 2 cohomology of M is*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) = H^2(M - S, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^k \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m_i \text{ even}} \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g_i} \right).$$

The map $\pi^* : H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(M - S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has image onto the first summand $\mathbb{Z}_2^k \subset H^2(M - S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Its kernel is:

- If all m_i are odd, then $\ker \pi^*$ is one-dimensional spanned by $c_1(B) + \sum b_i[D_i]$.
- If $c = \{i \mid m_i \text{ even}\} > 0$, then $\ker \pi^*$ is c -dimensional, and $\ker \pi^*$ is spanned by those $[D_i]$ with m_i even.

Proof. The proof is the same as in [15, Proposition 13]. We only have to note that $H^1(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, $H^1(X - P, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+1}$ and $H^3(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, by the duality between $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(X - P, \mathbb{Z})$. The argument in [15, Proposition 13] does not use the compactness of X , so it works for $X - P$. \square

Note also that the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $(X - P, B)$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+1}$ and $H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{k+1}$, where $k + 1 = b_2(X)$. Let $c = \#\{x \in P \mid d(x) \text{ is even}\}$. Then $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) = H^2(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^c$. A first consequence is that $c \leq b_2(X)$. Note that this argument works not only for $p = 2$, but for other primes.

Corollary 42. *Let p be a prime and $c(p) = \#\{x \in P \mid d(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$. Then $c(p) \leq b_2(X)$. \square*

Let $x_j \in P$ with $d(x_j)$ even. The map $H^2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ sends $[D]$ to $1 \in H^2(L_j, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ if $x_j \in D$ and to 0 otherwise. The map $H^1(L_j, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is equivalent to $H_2(L_j, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow H_2(X - P, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and it is the immersion of an $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset L_j$ linking x_j into X .

Proposition 43. *If $p = 2$ then the manifold $M \rightarrow Z$ of Corollary 40 is spin. If $p > 2$ then we can arrange $c_1(B)$ and b_i so that M is spin or non-spin.*

Proof. If $p = 2$, then Proposition 41 says that the map $\pi^* : H^2(Z - P, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is zero. By (15), we have $w_2(M) = 0$.

If $p > 2$ then all m_i are odd, and we use (14) which says $w_2(M) = \pi^*(w_2(Z - P))$. The cohomology of $H^2(Z - P, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated by V_1, \dots, V_{16} , where only V_1, V_2 touch the points of P . By (12), $V_i^2 = \pm 1$ for $i \geq 3$. As $\Sigma^2 \equiv w_2(Z - P) \cdot \Sigma \pmod{2}$ for any surface Σ not going through the singular points, we have

$$w_2(Z - P) = a_1[V_1] + a_2[V_2] + \sum_{i=3}^{16} [V_i], \quad (16)$$

for some numbers a_1, a_2 that we do not need to compute. The kernel of π^* is given by Proposition 41 to be $c_1(B) + \sum b_i[V_i]$.

The Seifert bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow Z$ is determined by the Chern class

$$c_1(M) = c_1(B) + \sum \frac{b_i}{m_i} [V_i],$$

where b_i are determined by the local invariants, and B is a line bundle over Z . By Proposition 41, the manifold M is spin or non-spin according to whether (16) is proportional to $c_1(B) + \sum b_i[V_i]$. Taking $\alpha = \sum b_i[V_i] + a_1[V_1] + a_2[V_2] + \sum_{i \geq 3} [V_i]$, we can use Lemma 39(3) to get $c_1(B) \not\equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$, and hence M is non-spin. We can use Lemma 39(4) to get $c_1(B) \equiv \alpha \pmod{2}$, and hence M is spin. \square

8. THE ORBIFOLD FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

Our last objective is to show that for $p > 2$, the 5-manifold of Corollary 40 is simply connected, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 1. As this 5-manifold M is a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow Z$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is an extension

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z)$ is the *orbifold fundamental group* of Z , with the orbifold structure given in Theorem 2. This is defined as

$$\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z) = \pi_1(Z - \cup V_i) / \langle \gamma_i^{m_i} \rangle,$$

where γ_i is a loop around V_i and $m_i = p^j$ is its multiplicity.

By Theorem 29, the total space of $Z = Z' \# 2\overline{CP}^2$ is simply connected. We start by looking at $Z' = Y \#_{T_1=C} W$. Let

$$W^o = W - C \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=3}^8 E_i \right).$$

By Proposition 28, the loops $a, b \in \pi_1(C)$ can be contracted inside W^o . As W is simply connected, the group $\pi_1(W^o)$ is generated by loops around C, A_1, A_2, E_i , and order 2 loops around the singular points. Let also

$$Y^o = Y - T_1 \cup (S_1 \cup T_{p'_1} \cup T_{p''_1}) \cup (S_2 \cup T_{p'_2}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=3}^8 T_{p_i} \right).$$

This is the quotient

$$Y^o = ((\Sigma_2 - \{p'_1, p''_1, p'_2, p_i | 1 \leq i \leq 8\}) \times (T^2 - \{q_1\})) / \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Therefore $\pi_1(Y^o)$ is generated by the loops around $S_1, S_2, T_{p'_1}, T_{p''_1}, T_{p'_2}, T_{p_i}, 3 \leq i \leq 8$, the loops a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 generating $\pi_1(\Sigma_2)$, two loops α, β generating $\pi_1(T^2)$ and a loop ℓ of order 2 giving the covering. This loop can be a loop around a singular point (note that all of them are conjugated).

Take tubular neighbourhoods $U(T_1)$ and $U(C)$ of T_1 and C , respectively, and perform the gluing

$$Z'^o = \tilde{W}^o \cup_{\partial U(T_1)=\partial U(C)} Y^o = Z' - \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^8 V_i \right).$$

Then $\pi_1(Z'^o)$ is generated by loops around each of the surfaces V_i of (11), the loops around the double points and the loops α, β . Note that the loops a_j, b_j can be pushed to $a, b \in \pi_1(C)$ and then contracted in W^o .

Lemma 44. *In $\pi_1(Z'^o)$, we have $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$ for $i, j \geq 3$, $\gamma_2 = \gamma_i^3$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_i^{-5}$. Moreover $\gamma_i^8 = 1$.*

Proof. First consider $V_i, 3 \leq i \leq 8$, and a small neighbourhood $U(V_i)$ around it. The boundary $\partial U(V_i)$ is a circle bundle over a torus $V_i = E_i \# T_{p_i}$ with Chern class -1 . Therefore $\pi_1(\partial U(V_i))$ is generated by loops α_i, β_i from the base, and γ_i from the fiber, with the relation $[\alpha_i, \beta_i] = \gamma_i^{-1}$. Note that γ_i is the loop around the V_i . Now moving from one fiber to another, we have that $\alpha_i = \alpha$ and $\beta_i = \beta$ in the complement of all the surfaces. Therefore $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$, for all $3 \leq i, j \leq 8$. Denote $\Upsilon = \gamma_i$.

Now we look at

$$V_2 = A_2 \# (S_2 \cup T_{p'_2}) = A'_2 \# S_2,$$

where $A'_2 = A_2 \# T_{p'_2}$ is a torus with an orbifold point of order 2 (what we shall glue with an order 2 point of S_2). The orbifold fundamental group of A'_2 is generated by loops α_2, β_2 (which define the same homotopy classes as α, β above) and a loop v_2 around the point of order 2, and the relation $[\alpha_2, \beta_2]v_2 = 1$. The orbifold fundamental of S_2 is generated by loops x_2, y_2, z_2, u_2 around the points of order 2, where $x_2 y_2 z_2 u_2 = 1$. When performing the orbifold connected sum $V_2 = A'_2 \# S_2$, we identify $v_2 = u_2$, so we have

$$\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(V_2) = \langle \alpha_2, \beta_2, x_2, y_2, z_2 \mid x_2^2 = y_2^2 = z_2^2 = [\alpha_2, \beta_2]x_2 y_2 z_2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Next take a neighbourhood $U(V_2)$ and its boundary $\partial U(V_2)$, and let γ_2 be the loop in the fiber. There is an exact sequence for the Seifert circle bundle

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(\partial U(V_2)) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(V_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Take lifts $\alpha_2, \beta_2, x_2, y_2, z_2 \in \pi_1(\partial U(V_2))$ of the corresponding elements in $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(V_2)$. They satisfy the equation

$$[\alpha_2, \beta_2]x_2 y_2 z_2 = \gamma_2^2, \quad x_2^2 = y_2^2 = z_2^2 = \gamma_2, \quad (17)$$

and γ_2 is central in $\pi_1(\partial U(V_2))$. We see the exponent of γ_2 in (17) as follows. The loops x_2, y_2, z_2 are lifted by taking the boundary of a disc in $\{z_2 = \epsilon z_1\}$ in a local chart $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore they go around the fiber $1/2$ turn. So

$[\alpha_2, \beta_2]x_2y_2z_2$ has Chern number $3/2$. As $V_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ from (12), we need to add -2 turns around the fiber to close the loop.

Now we move the loops α, β vertically from the general fiber T_p to the special fiber S_2 , recalling that $T_p \rightarrow S_2 = T_{p_2}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a ramified double cover of the 2-torus over the pillowcase. Under this covering α goes to x_2y_2 and β goes to z_2x_2 , so

$$[\alpha_2, \beta_2] = \alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2^{-1} = x_2y_2z_2x_2y_2x_2z_2\gamma_2^{-4} = (x_2y_2z_2)^2\gamma_2^{-3},$$

using that $\alpha_2^{-1} = (x_2y_2)^{-1} = y_2^{-1}x_2^{-1} = y_2\gamma_2^{-1}x_2\gamma_2^{-1} = y_2x_2\gamma_2^{-2}$ and $\beta_2^{-1} = x_2z_2\gamma_2^{-2}$. If we write

$$\Theta_2 = x_2y_2z_2,$$

then $[\alpha, \beta] = \Theta_2^2\gamma_2^{-3}$ and (17) says that $\Theta_2^3\gamma_2^{-3} = \gamma_2^2$, that is $\Theta_2^3 = \gamma_2^5$. The loops α, β can be moved to α_i, β_i above, so

$$\Upsilon = \gamma_i = \Theta_2^2\gamma_2^{-3},$$

and raising to the 3rd power, $\Upsilon^3 = \Theta_2^6\gamma_2^{-9} = \gamma_2$.

We work analogously with

$$V_1 = A'_1 \# S_1, \quad A'_1 = A_1 \# (T_{p'_1} \cup T_{p''_1}),$$

where A'_1 is a genus 2 curve with a singular point of order 2. The fundamental group $\pi_1(\partial U(V_1))$ is generated by loops $\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \alpha''_1, \beta''_1, x_1, y_1, z_1, \gamma_1$, with the relations

$$[\alpha'_1, \beta'_1][\alpha''_1, \beta''_1]x_1y_1z_1 = \gamma_1, \quad x_1^2 = y_1^2 = z_1^2 = \gamma_1, \quad (18)$$

where $\alpha'_1 = \alpha''_1 = \alpha$ and $\beta'_1 = \beta''_1 = \beta$. Writing $\Theta_1 = x_1y_1z_1$, we end up with $\Theta_1^5\gamma_1^{-6} = \gamma_1$, that is $\Theta_1^5 = \gamma_1^7$. Moving the loops α'_1, β'_1 to α, β , we get

$$\Upsilon = \gamma_i = [\alpha'_1, \beta'_1] = \Theta_1^2\gamma_1^{-3}$$

and raising to the 5th power, $\Upsilon^5 = \Theta_1^{10}\gamma_1^{-15} = \gamma_1^{-1}$. So $\gamma_1 = \Upsilon^{-5}$.

Finally take a generic section Σ_q of the projection π' , which is a genus 2 surface intersecting transversally all V_i , $3 \leq i \leq 8$, in two points, V_1 in 5 points, and V_2 in 3 points. This surface Σ_q has fundamental group generated by four loops a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 that are pushed to $a, b \in \pi_1(C)$ and contracted in W° . Therefore, using Σ_q , we get the relation

$$\Upsilon^8\gamma_1^5\gamma_2^3 = 1 \implies \Upsilon^{-8} = 1.$$

□

Now we move to $Z = Z' \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ and to

$$Z^\circ = Z - \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{16} V_i \right).$$

For this, we take the extra surfaces $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 \subset Z'$, and blow-up at the intersections points. All the homotopies performed in Lemma 44 can be done without touching $U_1 \cup U_2$ and $U_3 \cup U_4$. Therefore the result of Lemma 44 still holds in Z° . After blowing up, we have surfaces $V_{11} = U_1, V_{12} = U_2, V_{13} = U_3, V_{14} = U_4$ and two genus 2 surfaces V_{15}, V_{16} . The first four surfaces are tori, each of them has a S^1 -factor which is either a_1 or b_1 , and the other S^1 -factor is either α or β . The first one can be contracted. The second one can be pushed vertically to any fiber

T_p . Note also that $V_i^2 = \pm 1$, for $9 \leq i \leq 14$. Therefore the loop around V_i can be written as commutators of the base, and by the contraction of a_1, b_1 , they are trivial.

Proposition 45. *For p odd, and isotropy coefficients $m_i = p^i$, we have that $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 44, we have that $\gamma_i^8 = 1$. Imposing $\gamma_i^{m_i} = 1$ in $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z)$, we get that $\gamma_i = 1$ in $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z)$. By Lemma 44 again, this implies that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$. Now $[\alpha, \beta] = \gamma_i = 1$ and (17) and (18) implies that $x_1 y_1 z_1 = x_1^2 = y_1^2 = z_1^2 = 1$ and $x_2 y_2 z_2 = x_2^2 = y_2^2 = z_2^2 = 1$. That is $z_j = x_j y_j$ and $(x_j y_j)^2 = x_j^2 = y_j^2 = 1$. So the group $\langle x_j, y_j \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Finally, take the special fibers T_{q_i} , $i = 2, 3, 4$, to get that $x_1 = x_2$, $y_1 = y_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$. Therefore $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X)$ is generated by x_1, y_1 . In particular it is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. \square

Corollary 46. *For p odd, the 5-manifold M of Corollary 40 is simply connected.*

Proof. First, let us see that $\pi_1(M)$ is abelian. As M is a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow Z$, we have an extension $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z) \rightarrow 0$. If the first map is zero, $\pi_1(M)$ is automatically abelian. If it is not, then we have an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Proposition 45, $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z) = 0$ then $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ is abelian. If $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $\pi_1(M)$ is abelian, because the class λ generated by \mathbb{Z} is the class of a generic fiber of the Seifert fibration, which is central. Finally, if $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(Z) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, then take $x, y \in \pi_1(M)$ going to the generators of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in the quotient. Then $xy = \lambda^k yx$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Noting that λ is central, we have $xyx = \lambda^k yxx$ and $xyx = \lambda^{-k} xxy$. But $x^2, y^2 \in \langle \lambda \rangle$ are central, so $\lambda^k yx^2 = \lambda^{-k} x^2 y \implies k = 0$. So x, y commute and $\pi_1(M)$ is abelian.

In Corollary 40, we have chosen the Seifert bundle to have $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, hence $\pi_1(M) = 0$ and M is simply connected. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BARDEN, *Simply connected five-manifolds*, Ann. Math. 82 (1965) 365-385.
- [2] G. BAZZONI, I. BISWAS, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, A. TRALLE, *Homotopic properties of Kähler orbifolds*, In: Special Metrics and Group Actions in Geometry. Springer INdAM Series 23. Springer, 23-57.
- [3] I. BISWAS, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, A. TRALLE, *On formality of orbifolds and Sasakian manifolds*, J. Topology 9 (2016) 161-180.
- [4] J. BORZELLINO, V. BRUNSDEN, *On the notions of suborbifold and orbifold embedding*, Algebraic & Geom. Topology 15 (2015) 2789-2803.
- [5] C. BOYER, K. GALICKI, *Sasakian Geometry*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2007.
- [6] A. CAÑAS, V. MUÑOZ, J. ROJO, A. VIRUEL, *A K-contact simply connected 5-manifold without Sasakian structure*, arxiv:1911.08901
- [7] B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO, A. DE NICOLA, I. YUDIN, *Hard Lefschetz theorem for Sasakian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 101 (2015) 47-66.

- [8] B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO, A. DE NICOLA, J.C. MARRERO, I. YUDIN, *Examples of compact K-contact manifolds with no Sasakian metric*, Internat. Jour. Geom. Methods in Modern Physics 11 (2014) 1460028.
- [9] R. GOMPF, *A new construction of symplectic manifolds*, Ann. Math. (2) 142 (1995) 537-696.
- [10] B. HAJDUK, A. TRALLE, *On simply connected compact K-contact non-Sasakian manifolds*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 16 (2014) 229-241.
- [11] J. KOLLÁR, *Circle actions on simply connected 5-manifolds*, Topology, 45 (2006) 643-672.
- [12] V. MUÑOZ, J.A. ROJO, *Symplectic resolution of orbifolds with homogeneous isotropy*, Geometriae Dedicata 204 (2020) 339-363.
- [13] V. MUÑOZ, J.A. ROJO, A. TRALLE, *Homology Smale-Barden manifolds with K-contact and Sasakian structures*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rny205
- [14] V. MUÑOZ, A. TRALLE, *Simply connected K-contact and Sasakian manifolds of dimension 7*, Math. Z. 281 (2015) 457-470
- [15] V. MUÑOZ, A. TRALLE, *On the classification of Smale-Barden manifolds with Sasakian structures*, arXiv:2002.00457
- [16] J. OPREA, A. TRALLE, *Symplectic Manifolds with no Kaehler structure*, 1997, Springer.
- [17] P. RUKIMBIRA, *Chern-Hamilton conjecture and K-contactness*, Houston J. Math. 21 (1995) 709-718.
- [18] S. SMALE, *On the structure of 5-manifolds*, Ann. Math. 75 (1962) 38-46.
- [19] M. WEILANDT, *Suborbifolds, quotients and transversality*, Topology and its Appl. 222 (2017) 293-306.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA,
CAMPUS DE TEATINOS, s/n, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN

E-mail address: vicente.munoz@uma.es