

Asymptotic normality of a generalized maximum mean discrepancy estimator

Armando Sosthene Kali BALOGOUN^a, Guy Martial NKIET^b and Carlos OGOUYANDJOU^a

^aInstitut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques, Porto Novo, Bénin.

^bUniversité des Sciences et Techniques de Masuku, Franceville, Gabon.

E-mail addresses : sosthene.balogoun@imsp-uac.org,
guymartial.nkiet@mathsinfo.univ-masuku.com, ogouyandjou@imsp-uac.org.

Abstract. In this paper, we propose an estimator of the generalized maximum mean discrepancy between several distributions, constructed by modifying a naive estimator. Asymptotic normality is obtained for this estimator both under equality of these distributions and under the alternative hypothesis.

AMS 1991 subject classifications: 62E20, 46E22.

Key words: Asymptotic normality; Kernel method; Generalized maximum mean discrepancy.

1 Introduction

When addressing the problem of testing whether two distributions are equal on the basis of samples drawn from each of them, Gretton et al. (2007, 2012) introduced the Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD) in reproducing kernel Hilbert space. The MMD is used as test statistic but its asymptotic null distribution is an infinite sum of distributions, and as such it is not easy to use for achieving the testing procedure. For overcoming such drawback, Makigusa and Naito (2020) adopted an approach proposed in Ahmad (1993) consisting in making an appropriate modification on the test statistic in order to yield asymptotic normality both under the null hypothesis and under the alternative. However, they only dealt with the problem of testing whether an unknown distribution is equal to a specified one. So, it may be of interest to extend their approach to testing for the equality of two or more unknown distributions. Recently, Balogoun et al. (2018) introduced the generalized

maximum mean discrepancy (GMMD) in reproducing kernel Hilbert space, that allows one to deal with more than two distributions, and to test whether these unknown distributions are equal. In this paper, we propose an estimator of the GMMD constructed by modifying a naive estimator, and we obtain asymptotic normality for this estimator both under equality of these distributions and under the alternative hypothesis. The GMMD is recalled in Section 2, and Section 3 is devoted to its estimation and to the main results. All the proofs are postponed in Section 4.

2 The generalized maximum mean discrepancy

Let us consider a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) \mathcal{H} of functions from a metric space \mathcal{X} to \mathbb{R} . Throughout this paper, we assume that K satisfies the following assumption:

$$(\mathcal{A}_1) : \|K\|_\infty := \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2} K(x,y) < +\infty.$$

For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ with $s \geq 2$, let X_ℓ be a random variable with values into \mathcal{X} and distribution denoted by \mathbb{P}_ℓ . From (\mathcal{A}_1) , $\mathbb{E}(\sqrt{K(X_\ell, X_\ell)}) < +\infty$, hence the kernel mean embedding m_ℓ of \mathbb{P}_ℓ exists; it is defined by $m_\ell = \mathbb{E}(K(X_\ell, \cdot))$. For the case of $s = 2$, Gretton et al (2007, 2012) defined the maximum mean discrepancy (MMD) as the distance between \mathbb{P}_1 and \mathbb{P}_2 given by:

$$\text{MMD}(\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2) := \|m_1 - m_2\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ denotes the norm induced by the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ of \mathcal{H} . A generalisation of this notion, that allows one to deal with the case of $s > 2$, was given in Balagoun et al. (2018) and is recalled below.

Definition 1. *The generalized maximum mean discrepancy (GMMD) of the distributions $\mathbb{P}_1, \dots, \mathbb{P}_s$, related to and $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s) \in]0, 1[^s$ with $\sum_{\ell=1}^s \eta_\ell = 1$, is:*

$$\text{GMMD}^2(\mathbb{P}_1, \dots, \mathbb{P}_s; \eta) = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \eta_\ell \text{MMD}^2(\mathbb{P}_j, \mathbb{P}_\ell) = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \eta_\ell \|m_j - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

This definition recovers that of MMD that appears to be a particular case obtained for $s = 2$. The hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_0 : \mathbb{P}_1 = \dots = \mathbb{P}_s$ can be characterized by means of the GMMD. Indeed, it is easy to check that this hypothesis is true if, and only if, $\text{GMMD}(\mathbb{P}_1, \dots, \mathbb{P}_s; \eta) = 0$ for any $\eta \in]0, 1[$.

3 Estimation of GMMD and asymptotic normality

For any $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, let $X_1^{(j)}, \dots, X_{n_j}^{(j)} \in \mathcal{X}$ be an i.i.d. sample drawn from \mathbb{P}_j . We assume that these samples are independent, i.e. $X_i^{(j)} \perp X_p^{(\ell)}$ for $j \neq \ell$ and any $(i, p) \in \{1, \dots, n_j\} \times \{1, \dots, n_\ell\}$, where \perp denotes stochastic independence. Putting $n = \sum_{j=1}^s n_j$ and $\pi_j = \frac{n_j}{n}$, we make the following assumption:

(\mathcal{A}_2) : For $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, there exists $\rho_j \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ \sqrt{n} (\pi_j - \rho_j) \right\} = 0.$$

This assumption implies that $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} (\pi_j) = \rho_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^s \rho_j = 1$. Note that it is always possible to take the previous samples so that (\mathcal{A}_2) holds. Indeed, for any $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_s) \in]0, 1[^s$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^s \rho_j = 1$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, it suffices to put $n_j = [n\rho_j]$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$, where $[a]$ denotes the integer part of a , and $n_s = n - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} n_j$.

Based on the previous samples, a naive consistent estimator $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n$ of the parameter $\mathcal{T} = \text{GMMD}^2(\mathbb{P}_1, \dots, \mathbb{P}_s; \rho)$ (with $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_s)$) is obtained by replacing each m_j by $\widehat{m}_j = n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot)$ and ρ_j by π_j , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \pi_\ell \|\widehat{m}_j - \widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \pi_\ell \left\{ \|\widehat{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

But, although asymptotic normality can be obtained for this estimator, we found that, under \mathcal{H}_0 , the asymptotic variance equals 0, so this statistic

cannot be used for testing for equality of the distributions. That is why, following an approach used in Ahmad (1993) and Makigusa and Naito (2020), we propose an estimator $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\gamma}$ obtained by applying weights $k_{i,n_j}(\gamma)$ to the cross-product terms of (1), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\gamma} &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \pi_\ell \left\{ \|\widehat{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \pi_\ell \left\{ \frac{1}{n_j^2} \sum_{i,p=1}^{n_j} K(X_i^{(j)}, X_p^{(j)}) + \frac{1}{n_\ell^2} \sum_{i,p=1}^{n_\ell} K(X_i^{(\ell)}, X_p^{(\ell)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2}{n_j n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \sum_{p=1}^{n_\ell} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) K(X_i^{(j)}, X_p^{(\ell)}) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

As in Makigusa and Naito (2020), the weights $(k_{i,r}(\gamma))_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ are positive real numbers depending on a parameter $\gamma \in]0, 1]$ and satisfying the following assumptions:

(\mathcal{A}_3) : There exists a strictly positive real number τ and an integer n_0 such that for all $r > n_0$:

$$r \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,r}(\gamma) - 1 \right| \leq \tau.$$

(\mathcal{A}_4) : There exists c_k such that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq r} k_{i,r}(\gamma) < c_k$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\gamma \in]0, 1]$.

(\mathcal{A}_5) : for any $\gamma \in]0, 1]$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r k_{i,r}^2(\gamma) = k^2(\gamma) > 1$.

A typical example is given by $k_{i,r}(\gamma) = 1 + (-1)^i \gamma$ (see Ahmad (1993)). Now, we are able to give asymptotic normality for this estimator. Putting $m = \sum_{j=1}^s \rho_j m_j$ and $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^s m_j$, and considering the functions \mathcal{U}_j and \mathcal{V}_j from \mathcal{X} to \mathbb{R} defined by $\mathcal{U}_j(x) = \langle K(x, \cdot) - m_j, (1 - 2\rho_j + s\rho_j)m_j + \rho_j(m_j - \mu) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{V}_j(x) = \langle K(x, \cdot) - m_j, m - \rho_j m_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, we have:

Theorem 1 *Assume that (\mathcal{A}_1) to (\mathcal{A}_5) hold. Then as $\min_{1 \leq j \leq s} (n_j) \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\sqrt{n} \{ \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\gamma} - \mathcal{F} \} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\gamma^2)$, where $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes convergence in distribu-*

tion, and $\sigma_\gamma^2 = \sum_{j=1}^s 4\rho_j^{-1}\sigma_j^2(\gamma)$ with:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_j^2(\gamma) &= \text{Var}\left(\mathcal{U}_j(X_1^{(j)})\right) + k^2(\gamma)\text{Var}\left(\mathcal{V}_j(X_1^{(j)})\right) \\ &\quad - 2\text{Cov}\left(\mathcal{U}_j(X_1^{(j)}), \mathcal{V}_j(X_1^{(j)})\right).\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Remark 1. When $\mathbb{P}_1 = \dots = \mathbb{P}_s$, we have $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_k = m$. Thus $\mathcal{U}_j(x) = \mathcal{V}_j(x) = (1 - \rho_j) < K(x, \cdot) - m, m >_{\mathcal{H}}$, and

$$\sigma_\gamma^2 = 4(k^2(\gamma) - 1)\nu^2 \sum_{j=1}^s 4\rho_j^{-1}(1 - \rho_j)^2,$$

where $\nu^2 = \text{Var}\left(\langle K(X_1^{(1)}, \cdot) - m, m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right) = \text{Var}\left(\langle K(X_1^{(1)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)$.

This shows that $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{n,\gamma}$ has asymptotic normality both under \mathcal{H}_0 and under the alternative hypothesis and, cosequently, that it can be used as a test statistic for testing for \mathcal{H}_0 .

In the case of $\mathbb{P}_1 = \dots = \mathbb{P}_s$, we can obtain a consistent estimator of σ_γ^2 . Indeed, putting $\widehat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_j \widehat{m}_j$,

$$\widehat{\nu}_j^2 = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)^2$$

and $\widehat{\nu}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_j \widehat{\nu}_j^2$, we have:

Proposition 2 *Assume that (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2) hold. Then, as $\min_{1 \leq j \leq s} (n_j) \rightarrow +\infty$, the estimator $\widehat{\sigma}_\gamma^2 = 4(k^2(\gamma) - 1)\widehat{\nu}^2 \sum_{j=1}^s 4\pi_j^{-1}(1 - \pi_j)^2$ is consistent for σ_γ^2 under $\mathbb{P}_1 = \dots = \mathbb{P}_s$.*

4 Proofs

4.1 Preliminary result

Putting

$$A_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s (\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \|\widehat{m}_j - \widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (3)$$

$$B_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s (\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \left\{ \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

$$C_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell < \widehat{m}_j - m_j, \widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (5)$$

$$D_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \frac{\rho_\ell}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) \left\{ < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} + < m_j, m_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\},$$

we have:

Lemma 1 *Assume that (\mathcal{A}_1) to (\mathcal{A}_5) hold. Then A_n, B_n, C_n and D_n converge in probability to 0 as $\min_{1 \leq j \leq k} (n_j) \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. First, for any $(j, \ell) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^2$, we have $\|\widehat{m}_j - \widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|m_j - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|m_\ell - \widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$\|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} = O_P(n_j^{-1/2}). \quad (7)$$

Since, from assumption (\mathcal{A}_2) , $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{n}(\pi_j - \rho_j) = 0$ and since $n_j^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that $\sqrt{n}(\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \|\widehat{m}_j - \widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = o_P(1)$ and, therefore, $A_n = o_P(1)$. Secondly, putting

$$B_{j,\ell,n} = \sqrt{n}(\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \left\{ \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\},$$

we obtain by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$|B_{j,\ell,n}| \leq |\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell| \left| \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) \right| \left\| K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} (\|\widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

On the one hand,

$$\left\| K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{\langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}} = \sqrt{K(X_i^{(j)}, X_i^{(j)})} \leq \|K\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

and, on the other hand, using the assumption (\mathcal{A}_3) , we have

$$\left| \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) \right| = \frac{\pi_j^{-1}}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ n_j \left| \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1 \right| \right\} \leq \frac{\pi_j^{-1}}{\sqrt{n}} \tau.$$

Then, since $\lim_{n_\ell \rightarrow +\infty} (\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) = 0$ and $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_j^{-1} = \rho_j^{-1}$, we deduce from (7) and the preceding inequalities that $B_{j,\ell,n} = o_P(1)$. Hence, from the equality $B_n = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^k B_{j,\ell,n}$, we deduce that $B_n = o_P(1)$. Thirdly, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain:

$$|C_n| \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \sqrt{n} \|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}; \quad (9)$$

From (7), it follows that $\sqrt{n} \|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} = O_P(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n_j \sqrt{n_\ell}}})$, and since

$$\lim_{n_j, n_\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n_j \sqrt{n_\ell}}} = \lim_{n_j, n_\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n_j}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_\ell}} = \rho_j^{-1/2} \lim_{n_\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_\ell}} = 0,$$

we obtain: $\sqrt{n} \|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o_P(1)$. Then, (9) allows us to conclude that $C_n = o_P(1)$. Fourth, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and assumption (\mathcal{A}_3) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_n| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \frac{\pi_j^{-1}}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ n_j \left| \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1 \right| \right\} \left\{ \left\| K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \frac{\pi_j^{-1}}{\sqrt{n}} \tau \rho_\ell \left\{ \left\| K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality, together with (7), (8) and the fact that $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_j^{-1} = \rho_j^{-1}$, allows us to conclude that $D_n = o_P(1)$.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1

Clearly, $\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{n,\gamma} - \mathcal{T} \right) = \delta_n + U_n$, where $\delta_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s (\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \widehat{\Gamma}_{j,\ell}^{(n)}(\gamma)$ and $U_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \left(\widehat{\Gamma}_{j,\ell}^{(n)}(\gamma) - \Gamma_{j,\ell} \right)$, with

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{j,\ell}^{(n)}(\gamma) = \|\widehat{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and $\Gamma_{j,\ell} = \|m_j - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n &= \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s (\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \left\{ \|\widehat{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s (\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \left\{ \|\widehat{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

since we have $2n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 2 \langle \widehat{m}_j, \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, putting $\widehat{\Gamma}_{j,\ell}^n = \|\widehat{m}_j - \widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n &= \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s (\pi_\ell - \rho_\ell) \left\{ \widehat{\Gamma}_{j,\ell}^n - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= A_n - 2B_n, \end{aligned}$$

where A_n and B_n are the random variables given in (3) and (4). Then, from Lemma 1, we deduce that $\delta_n = o_P(1)$; thus $\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{n,\gamma} - \mathcal{T} \right) = U_n + o_P(1)$.

Therefore, it remains to get the asymptotic distribution of U_n . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \left\{ \|\widehat{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\widehat{m}_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) - 1) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right. \\
- 2 \langle \widehat{m}_j, \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
\left. - \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \|m_j - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{m}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 2 \langle \widehat{m}_j, m_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \|m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&= \|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \|m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \widehat{m}_j, \widehat{m}_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \widehat{m}_j - m_j, \widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} \langle K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot), m_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle m_j, m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $U_n = -2C_n - 2D_n + E_n + F_n$, where C_n and D_n are the random variables given in (5) and (6), $E_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \left\{ \|\widehat{m}_j - m_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\widehat{m}_\ell - m_\ell\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \left\{ \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, m_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right. \\
+ \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} \langle K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
- \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} \langle K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, m_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \left. \right\} \\
- \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, m_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \left. \right\}. \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

From (7) and the equality $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n_j}{n} = \rho_j$, we deduce that $E_n = o_P(1)$. This result and Lemma 1 imply that $U_n = F_n + o_P(1)$. Then, U_n has the same limiting distribution than F_n and it remains to derive this latter. Since $\sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell = 1 - \rho_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} &< K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, m_j >_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, (1 - \rho_j)m_j >_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell &\left\{ \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} < K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, m_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^s \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \ell}}^s \rho_\ell \left\{ \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} < K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, m_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^s \rho_\ell \left\{ \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} < K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, (s-1)m_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, (s-1)\rho_j m_j >_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell &\left\{ \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} < K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, m_j >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^s \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \ell}}^s \rho_\ell \left\{ \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} < K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, m_j >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^s \frac{2}{n_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} < K(X_i^{(\ell)}, \cdot) - m_\ell, \rho_\ell(\mu - m_\ell) >_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, \rho_j(\mu - m_j) >_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and, since $\sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell m_\ell = m - \rho_j m_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^s \rho_\ell \left\{ \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, m_\ell >_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) < K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot) - m_j, m - \rho_j m_j >_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Then, using (10), (11), (12), (13), (14) and the equality $\sqrt{n} n_j^{-1} = \pi_j^{-1/2} n_j^{-1/2}$, we obtain

$$F_n = \sum_{j=1}^s 2\pi_j^{-1/2} Y_{n,j,\gamma}, \quad (15)$$

where $Y_{n,j,\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \left\{ \mathcal{U}_j(X_i^{(j)}) - k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \mathcal{V}_j(X_i^{(j)}) \right\}$. Let us put $s_{n,j,\gamma}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \text{Var} \left(\mathcal{W}_{n,i,j}(X_i^{(j)}) \right)$, where $\mathcal{W}_{n,i,j}(X_i^{(j)}) = \mathcal{U}_j(X_i^{(j)}) - k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \mathcal{V}_j(X_i^{(j)})$. By similar arguments than in the proof of Theorem 1 in Makigusa and Naito (2020) we obtain that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$s_{n,j,\gamma}^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \int_{\{x: |\mathcal{U}_j(x) - k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \mathcal{V}_j(x)| > \varepsilon s_{n,j,\gamma}\}} \left(\mathcal{U}_j(x) - k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \mathcal{V}_j(x) \right)^2 d\mathbb{P}_j(x)$$

converges to 0 as $n_j \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, by Section 1.9.3 in Serfling (1980) we obtain that $s_{n,j,\gamma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \mathcal{W}_{n,i,j}(X_i^{(j)}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, that is $\sqrt{n_j} s_{n,j,\gamma}^{-1} Y_{n,j,\gamma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{s_{n,j,\gamma}}{\sqrt{n_j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \left\{ \text{Var} \left(\mathcal{U}_j(X_i^{(j)}) \right) + k_{i,n_j}^2(\gamma) \text{Var} \left(\mathcal{V}_j(X_i^{(j)}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \text{Cov} \left(\mathcal{U}_j(X_i^{(j)}), \mathcal{V}_j(X_i^{(j)}) \right) \right\} \\ &= \text{Var} \left(\mathcal{U}_j(X_1^{(j)}) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}^2(\gamma) \right) \text{Var} \left(\mathcal{V}_j(X_1^{(j)}) \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} k_{i,n_j}(\gamma) \right) \text{Cov} \left(\mathcal{U}_j(X_1^{(j)}), \mathcal{V}_j(X_1^{(j)}) \right); \end{aligned}$$

then, using (\mathcal{A}_3) and (\mathcal{A}_5) , we get $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} (n_j^{-1} s_{n,j,\gamma}^2) = \sigma_j^2(\gamma)$, where $\sigma_j^2(\gamma)$ is defined in (2). Hence, $Y_{n,j,\gamma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_j^2(\gamma))$. Since $Y_{n,j,\gamma}$ and $Y_{n,\ell,\gamma}$ are independent when $j \neq \ell$, we deduce from (15) and the equality $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} (\pi_j) = \rho_j$ that $F_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\gamma^2)$, where $\sigma_\gamma^2 = \sum_{j=1}^s 4\rho_j^{-1} \sigma_j^2(\gamma)$.

4.3 Proof of Proposition 2

It suffices to prove that $\widehat{\nu}_j^2$ is consistent for ν^2 . First, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} - m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \|K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|\widehat{m} - m\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \|K\|_{\infty} \|\sqrt{n}(\widehat{m} - m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (7) and (\mathcal{A}_2) it is easy to check that $\|\sqrt{n}(\widehat{m} - m)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = O_P(1)$. Hence, from the previous inequality, $n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} - m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = o_P(1)$. Another use of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (8) gives the inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} - m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \leq \frac{\|m\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|K\|_{\infty}}{\sqrt{n}} \|\sqrt{n}(\widehat{m} - m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

that implies $n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} - m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = o_P(1)$. Thus, $n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = o_P(1) + n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, and using the law of large numbers we conclude that $n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ converges in probability, as $n_j \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\mathbb{E} \left(\langle K(X_1^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)$. On the other hand, Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (8) give the inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} - m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \leq \frac{\|K\|_{\infty}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \|\sqrt{n}(\widehat{m} - m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

that implies $n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} - m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = o_P(1)$. Therefore, we have $n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = o_P(1) + n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$; from the law of large numbers we deduce that $n_j^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \langle K(X_i^{(j)}, \cdot), \widehat{m} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ converges in probability, as $n_j \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\mathbb{E} \left(\langle K(X_1^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)$. The preceding convergences properties imply that $\widehat{\nu}_j^2$ converges in probability, as

$n_j \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\nu_j^2 := \mathbb{E} \left(\langle K(X_1^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\langle K(X_1^{(j)}, \cdot), m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)^2$.
Under the hypothesis $\mathbb{P}_1 = \dots = \mathbb{P}_s$, we have $\nu_j^2 = \nu^2$.

References

References

- [1] Ahmad, I.A., 1993. Modification of some goodness-of-fit statistics to yield asymptotic normal null distribution. *Biometrika* 80, 466–472.
- [2] Balogoun, A.K.S., Nkiet, G.M., Ogouyandjou, C., 2018. k -Sample problem based on generalized maximum mean discrepancy. arXiv: 1811.08958.
- [3] Gretton, A., Borgwardt, K.M., Rasch, M.J., Schölkopf, B., Smola, A.J., 2007. A kernel method for the two-sample problem. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Vol. 19. MIT Pres, Cambridge.
- [4] Gretton, A., Borgwardt, K.M., Rasch, M.J., Schölkopf, B., Smola, A.J., 2012. A kernel two-sample test. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* 13, 723–776.
- [5] Makigusa, N., Naito, K., 2020. Asymptotic normality of a consistent estimator of maximum mean discrepancy in Hilbert space. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 156, 108596.
- [6] Serfling, R.J., 1980. *Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics*. Wiley, New-York.