

SOLID ERGODICITY AND ORBIT EQUIVALENCE RIGIDITY FOR COINDUCED ACTIONS

DANIEL DRIMBE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the solid ergodicity property is stable with respect to taking coinduction for a fairly large class of coinduced action. More precisely, assume that $\Sigma < \Gamma$ are countable groups such that $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is finite for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Then any measure preserving action $\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0$ gives rise to a solidly ergodic equivalence relation if and only if the equivalence relation of the associated coinduced action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is solidly ergodic. We also obtain orbit equivalence rigidity for such actions by showing that the orbit equivalence relation of a rigid or compact measure preserving action $\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0$ of a property (T) group is “remembered” by the orbit equivalence relation of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Any countable p.m.p. equivalence relation \mathcal{R} can be written as the *orbit equivalence relation* $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid \Gamma \cdot x_1 = \Gamma \cdot x_2\}$ associated to a p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of a countable group Γ on a standard probability space (X, μ) [FM75]. The study of countable equivalence relations is a central theme in orbit equivalence and measured group theory and many spectacular innovations have been made in the last two decades, see the surveys [Sh04, Fu09, Ga10]. The goal of the present work is to investigate the equivalence relations that are associated to coinduced actions. More precisely, if we let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups, then for any p.m.p. action $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ we can construct in a natural way a p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ called the associated *coinduced action*, see Definition 1.1. This paper is motivated by the following question: to what extent does $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ remember some information about $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0}$?

Our first main goal is to investigate the *solid ergodicity* property for coinduced actions. In Theorem A we show that solid ergodicity is stable with respect to taking coinduction for large classes of groups $\Sigma < \Gamma$. To put this into context, we recall that a major breakthrough in the classification problem of p.m.p. actions and their equivalence relations is the use of S. Popa’s deformation/rigidity theory [Po07] within the framework of von Neumann algebras. This has led to a remarkable progress in understanding the equivalence relations and von Neumann algebras arising from certain classes of actions, including Bernoulli actions, see the surveys [Va10, Io12a, Io17].

A notable discovery in this direction was made by I. Chifan and A. Ioana in [CI08] by showing that any orbit equivalence relation \mathcal{R} associated to a Bernoulli action is *solidly ergodic*¹, meaning: for every subequivalence relation $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$, there exists a measurable partition $X = \sqcup_{n \geq 0} X_n$ into \mathcal{S} -invariant subsets such that $\mathcal{S}|_{X_0}$ is amenable and \mathcal{S}_{X_n} is non-amenable and ergodic for any $n \geq 1$. A key aspect of their proof is Popa’s influential spectral gap rigidity principle used within the deformation/rigidity approach. As noticed in [CI08, Section 4.2], Chifan and Ioana’s solidity is strongly connected to the work of D. Gaboriau and R. Lyons on von Neumann’s problem [GL07], see also the survey [Ho11].

The solid ergodicity property for equivalence relations is the analogue of the solidity property for von Neumann algebras introduced by N. Ozawa [Oz03] (see the paragraph before Theorem C). By

The author was partially supported by PIMS fellowship.

¹The terminology is introduced by Gaboriau in [Ga10, Definition 5.4].

using a different method based on C^* -algebraic techniques [Oz03] and the topological amenability property [Oz08], Ozawa obtained, in particular, that the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2}$ is solidly ergodic. However, the approach of [CI08] has been successfully followed by R. Boutonnet to show that the orbit equivalence relation of any mixing Gaussian actions is solidly ergodic [Bo12] and by A. Marrakchi to obtain non-amenable solidly ergodic type III equivalence relations [Ma16].

Before stating our first result, we recall the definition of coinduced actions.

Definition 1.1. Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups and denote $I = \Gamma/\Sigma$. Let $\phi : I \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a section map and define the associated cocycle $c : \Gamma \times I \rightarrow \Sigma$ by the formula $c(g, i) = \phi^{-1}(gi)g\phi(i)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $i \in I$.

For any action $\Sigma \curvearrowright^{\sigma_0} (X_0, \mu_0)$ we define the *coinduced action* $\Gamma \curvearrowright^{\sigma} (X_0, \mu_0)^I$ of σ_0 by the formula

$$(\sigma_g(x))_{gi} = (\sigma_0)_{c(g,i)}(x_i), \text{ for all } g \in \Gamma, i \in I \text{ and } x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in X_0^I.$$

Remark that any coinduced action of a p.m.p. action is again p.m.p.

Theorem A. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups such that $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is amenable for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Let $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ be any p.m.p. action such that $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0}$ is solidly ergodic and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be the associated coinduced action.*

Then $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ is solidly ergodic.

Thus, roughly speaking, Theorem A asserts that the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ remembers whether $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0}$ is solidly ergodic or not. We notice that Theorem A generalizes the result of Ioana and Chifan [CI08], since if Σ is trivial, then the associated coinduced action is precisely a Bernoulli action. Note also that our result implies, in particular, that the orbit equivalence relation of any coinduced action from an amenable subgroup is solidly ergodic. We continue by providing more examples for which Theorem A applies.

Example 1.2. The following classes of countable groups $\Sigma < \Gamma$ satisfy that $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is amenable for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$.

- (i) Let $\Gamma = \Delta *_{\Sigma_0} \Sigma$ be a non-trivial amalgamated free product, where Σ_0 is an amenable group.
- (ii) Let $\Gamma = \Delta \wr_I \Sigma$ be a generalized wreath product such that $\Sigma \curvearrowright I$ has amenable stabilizers.
- (iii) Let $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times \Lambda$ be the diagonal embedding of a group Λ for which the centralizer $C_{\Lambda}(g)$ is amenable for any $g \in \Lambda \setminus \{e\}$. For instance, let Λ be any bi-exact torsion-free group [Oz03], or any countable subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see the first paragraph of the proof of [DHI16, Corollary B]). We take $\Sigma := d(\Lambda)$ and $\Gamma := \Lambda \times \Lambda$.
- (iv) Let Γ be a countable group and let Σ be a hyperbolically embedded subgroup of Γ in the sense of [DGO11] (e.g. Γ is a non-elementary group that is hyperbolic relative to Σ). Then $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is finite for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$ by [DGO11, Proposition 2.10]. Other examples of groups that admit hyperbolically embedded subgroups are $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}_n)$ and most mapping class groups. We refer the reader to [DGO11] for more details and more examples.

Remark that any non-amenable ergodic subequivalence relation of a solidly ergodic equivalence relation is *strongly ergodic* by [CI08, Proposition 6], see Section 2.1 for the definition. In the setting of Theorem A, although $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ is not solidly ergodic in general, we show in Proposition B that it is strongly ergodic. It is easy to see that strong ergodicity is a weaker property than solid ergodicity. Indeed, let \mathcal{R} be a countable ergodic p.m.p. equivalence relation on (X, μ) . By a result of Popa (see [Oz03, Proposition 7]), if \mathcal{R} is not strongly ergodic, then there exists a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset L^\infty(X)$ such that $Q' \cap L(\mathcal{R})$ is non-amenable, proving that \mathcal{R} is not solidly ergodic by Proposition 4.1.

Our next result shows that many subequivalence relations of $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ are strongly ergodic, where $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a coinduced action. First, we recall the notion of relative amenability for groups. Following [BV12, Section 2.3], for some $\Delta, \Sigma < \Gamma$ countable groups, we say that Δ is *amenable relative to Σ inside Γ* if the left translation action Δ on Γ/Σ admits an invariant mean. This is equivalently for $L(\Delta)$ to be amenable relative to $L(\Sigma)$ inside $L(\Gamma)$ in the sense of Ozawa and Popa [OP07] (see Section 2.3).

Proposition B. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups. Let $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ be any p.m.p. action and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be the associated coinduced action. Let $\Delta < \Gamma$ be a subgroup that is not amenable relative to Σ inside Γ .*

Then the restriction action $\Delta \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ has spectral gap. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{\Delta \curvearrowright X}$ is strongly ergodic.

Hence, if Σ is an amenable group, then Proposition B implies that $\Delta \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ has spectral gap for any non-amenable subgroup $\Delta < \Gamma$. See Section 2.1 for the definition of spectral gap and Proposition 4.3 for a variant of Proposition B that applies to von Neumann algebras.

We continue by showing a von Neumann algebraic version of Theorem A using Ozawa's solidity notion introduced in [Oz03]. Ozawa proved that any von Neumann algebra $L(\Gamma)$ arising from a bi-exact group Γ is *solid*, meaning: for every subalgebra $Q \subset L(\Gamma)$, there exists a sequence of projections $(q_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ with $\sum_n q_n = 1$ such that Qq_0 is amenable and Qq_n is a non-amenable factor for any $n \geq 1$ [Oz03]. Subsequently, other solid von Neumann algebras have been discovered. J. Peterson showed in [Pe06] that if a countable group Γ has a proper cocycle into a multiple of the left regular representation, then $L(\Gamma)$ is solid. I. Chifan and A. Ioana obtained in [CI08] that $L(\Delta \wr \Gamma)$ is solid whenever $L(\Gamma)$ is a solid II_1 factor and Δ is amenable. I. Chifan and C. Houdayer then proved a similar stability result for free products by showing that $L(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2)$ is solid if $L(\Gamma_1)$ and $L(\Gamma_2)$ are solid [CH08].

In our next result, we are essentially showing that the coinduction procedure preserves Ozawa's solidity for the associated von Neumann algebras.

Theorem C. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups such that $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is finite for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Let $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be the associated coinduced action.*

Assume that $L^\infty(X_0) \rtimes \Sigma$ and $L(\Gamma)$ are solid von Neumann algebras.

Then $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is solid.

Remark 1.3. If $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ is a p.m.p. action such that $L^\infty(X_0) \rtimes \Sigma$ is solid, then $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0}$ is solidly ergodic. By using [CS11, Theorem 3.2], note that the converse holds if we assume that Σ is a bi-exact group (see Lemma 2.5). In combination with the results that are mentioned above, Theorem C provides new examples of solid von Neumann algebras.

Next, we return to the framework of orbit equivalence relations and discuss about the classification of p.m.p. actions up to orbit equivalence. We recall that two free ergodic p.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are *orbit equivalent* if their associated orbit equivalence relations are isomorphic. The result of [OW80] (see also [Dy58, CFW81]) shows that the class of amenable groups manifests the following orbit equivalence *flexibility* property: any two free ergodic p.m.p. actions of countable infinite amenable groups are orbit equivalent.

In sharp contrast, R. Zimmer discovered in [Zi84] that lattices in higher rank simple Lie groups have orbit equivalence *rigidity* properties in the following sense: if two free ergodic p.m.p. actions $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are orbit equivalent, for $m, n \geq 3$, then $m = n$. S. Popa then showed that the more general class of property (T) groups also manifests rigidity properties in orbit equivalence. More precisely, Popa proved that any two Bernoulli actions of icc property

(T) groups that are orbit equivalent (or more generally, the actions have isomorphic von Neumann algebras) must be conjugate [Po03, Po04]. The most extreme case of rigidity in orbit equivalence is when an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is *orbit equivalent superrigid*, which roughly means that its associated orbit equivalence relation completely remembers the group Γ and the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. A. Furman showed that “most” ergodic p.m.p. actions of higher rank lattices, including $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^n$ for $n \geq 3$, are orbit equivalent superrigid [Fu99] and S. Popa proved then that any Bernoulli action of a non-amenable property (T) or product group is orbit equivalent superrigid [Po05, Po06]. Subsequently, several large classes of orbit equivalent superrigid actions were found, see the introduction of [DIP19].

Our next result provides orbit equivalence rigidity for a large class of coinduced actions of free product groups.

Theorem D. *Let $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ and $\Sigma_2 \curvearrowright (X_2, \mu_2)$ be some free ergodic p.m.p. actions of countable non-amenable groups. Let Δ be any countable group and denote $\Gamma_1 = \Sigma_1 * \Delta$ and $\Gamma_2 = \Sigma_2 * \Delta$. Assume that for any $1 \leq i \leq 2$ one of the following holds:*

- $\Sigma_i \curvearrowright (X_i, \mu_i)$ is a rigid or compact action; Σ_i has property (T) or it is measure equivalent to a product of two non-amenable groups.
- $\Sigma_i \curvearrowright (X_i, \mu_i)$ is conjugate to a product action of two infinite groups.

Then $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ and $\Sigma_2 \curvearrowright (X_2, \mu_2)$ are orbit equivalent if and only if their associated coinduced actions $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)^{\Gamma_1/\Sigma_1}$ and $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright (X_2, \mu_2)^{\Gamma_2/\Sigma_2}$ are orbit equivalent.

The “only if” part follows from a well-known result of L. Bowen [Bo10], see the last paragraph of the introduction for more details. See also Theorem 5.2 for a more general statement that applies, for instance, to coinduced actions of wreath product groups.

In our result, we used Gromov’s notion of measure equivalence [Gr91] (see Definition 3.6) and Popa’s notion of rigidity for actions [Po01]. Recall that a p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is *rigid* if the inclusion $L^\infty(X) \subset M := L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has the *relative property (T)*, i.e. if any sequence of unital tracial completely positive maps $\Phi_n : M \rightarrow M$ converging to the identity pointwise in $\|\cdot\|_2$, must converge uniformly on the unit ball of $L^\infty(X)$ [Po01, Section 4]. See also [Io09] for an ergodic theoretic characterization of rigidity.

Example 1.4. Any action of the form $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/\Lambda, m)$ is rigid, whenever G is a simple Lie group, $\Gamma < G$ is any Zariski dense countable subgroup, $\Lambda < G$ is a lattice and m is the unique G -invariant probability measure on G/Λ [IS10]. In particular, our result applies if we consider $n \geq 2$, $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\Lambda = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Gamma = SL_n(R)$, where $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$, for a square free integer $d \geq 2$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$, for a finite non-empty set of primes S . It also applies if we consider $n \geq 3$, $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, and $\Gamma, \Lambda < G$ any two lattices (e.g. $\Gamma = \Lambda = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$).

See [IS10, Theorem D] for a more general statement regarding Example 1.4. In addition to these concrete classes of rigid actions, note that any free product group $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$, with $|\Gamma_1| \geq 2$ and $|\Gamma_2| \geq 3$, admits uncountably many non-orbit equivalent free ergodic p.m.p. rigid actions [Ga08].

Remark 1.5. The conclusion of Theorem D can be strengthened if we consider profinite (or more generally, compact) actions by using orbit equivalence superrigidity theorems for profinite actions. More precisely, if $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ is an ergodic profinite action of a property (T) group or $\Sigma_1 = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}])$, for a finite non-empty set of primes S , then $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ is “virtually” conjugate to $\Sigma_2 \curvearrowright (X_2, \mu_2)$ by using [Io08b] and [DIP19], respectively. The same result also holds for separately ergodic profinite actions of product groups, see [GITD16] for a precise statement.

To put Theorem D into a better perspective, note that any free ergodic action of a non-amenable free group \mathbb{F}_n is orbit equivalent to actions of uncountably many non-isomorphic groups [MS02, Theorem 2.27]. In particular, the actions of free groups are “far away” from being orbit equivalent superrigid. However, our result is essentially showing that if $\Sigma * \Delta \curvearrowright X$ is the coinduced action of a rigid action $\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0$ of a property (T) group, then the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0}$ can be recovered from the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma * \Delta \curvearrowright X}$. We can also contrast Theorem D with the main result of [Dr15] which asserts that the coinduced actions of property (T) and product groups are actually orbit equivalent superrigid.

Moreover, Theorem D provides a converse of a result of L. Bowen [Bo10, Theorem 1.3]. To put this into context, recall that S. Popa proved in [Po05] orbit equivalence superrigidity for Bernoulli actions of property (T) groups and the question whether any two orbit equivalent Bernoulli actions over a non-amenable free group are necessarily conjugate was left open. Bowen answered this question by showing the following orbit equivalence *flexibility* result: any two Bernoulli actions of a free group are orbit equivalent [Bo10]. Actually, he obtained the following more general result. If $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright X_1$ and $\Sigma_2 \curvearrowright X_2$ are some free p.m.p. orbit equivalent actions and Δ is any countable group, then the associated coinduced actions $\Sigma_1 * \Delta \curvearrowright X_1^{(\Sigma_1 * \Delta) / \Sigma_1}$ and $\Sigma_2 * \Delta \curvearrowright X_2^{(\Sigma_2 * \Delta) / \Sigma_2}$ are orbit equivalent.

Organization of the paper. Besides the introduction this paper has five other sections. In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries and prove a few useful lemmas needed in the remainder of the paper. In Section 3, we prove some rigidity results for von Neumann algebras associated to coinduced actions by building upon [Po03, Io06a, IPV10]. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the proofs of our main results.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to Adrian Ioana for asking if one can prove a converse to [Bo10, Theorem 1.3] and for his helpful suggestions. I would also like to thank the anonymous referees for their many comments that greatly improved the exposition of the paper. In particular, I would like to thank them for pointing out an error in a previous draft and for showing me that Proposition B holds under the current generality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Terminology. In this paper we consider *tracial von Neumann algebras* (M, τ) , i.e. von Neumann algebras M equipped with a faithful normal tracial state $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This induces a norm on M by the formula $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$, for all $x \in M$. We will always assume that M is a *separable* von Neumann algebra, i.e. the $\|\cdot\|_2$ -completion of M denoted by $L^2(M)$ is separable as a Hilbert space. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ the *center* of M , by $\mathcal{U}(M)$ the *unitary group* of M and by $(M)_1$ its unit ball. All inclusions $P \subset M$ of von Neumann algebras are assumed unital. We denote by $e_P : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(P)$ the orthogonal projection onto $L^2(P)$ and by $E_P : M \rightarrow P$ the unique τ -preserving *conditional expectation* from M onto P .

For a von Neumann subalgebra $P \subset M$, we denote by $P' \cap M = \{x \in M \mid xy = yx, \text{ for all } y \in P\}$ the *relative commutant* of P in M and by $\mathcal{N}_M(P) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(M) \mid uPu^* = P\}$ the *normalizer* of P in M . The *quasi-normalizer* of P inside M is the weak closure of $\{x \in M \mid \text{there exist } x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \text{ such that } xP \subset \sum_{i=1}^n Px_i \text{ and } Px \subset \sum_{i=1}^n y_i P\}$. We say that P is *regular* in M if the von Neumann algebra generated by $\mathcal{N}_M(P)$ equals M and $P \subset M$ is *Cartan* if it is a maximal abelian regular subalgebra. For two von Neumann subalgebras $P, Q \subset M$, we denote by $P \vee Q$ the von Neumann algebra generated by P and Q . *Jones' basic construction* of the inclusion $P \subset M$ is defined as the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$ generated by M and e_P , and is denoted by $\langle M, e_P \rangle$.

Let \mathcal{R} be a countable p.m.p. equivalence relation on (X, μ) . We say that \mathcal{R} is *ergodic* if for every measurable set $A \subset X$ satisfying $\mu(\alpha(A)\Delta A) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [\mathcal{R}]$, we have $\mu(A) \in \{0, 1\}$. We say that \mathcal{R} is *strongly ergodic* if for every sequence of measurable sets $A_n \subset X$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\alpha(A_n)\Delta A_n) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [\mathcal{R}]$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)(1 - \mu(A_n)) = 0$. Note that a p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is ergodic (or, strongly ergodic) if and only if $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ is. We say that $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ has spectral gap if the Koopman representation of Γ on $L^2(X, \mu) \ominus \mathbb{C}1$ has no invariant vectors and notice that spectral gap implies strong ergodicity.

Finally, if $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ is an action on a non-empty set I and $F \subset I$ is a subset, we denote by $\text{Stab}(F) = \{g \in \Gamma \mid gi = i, \text{ for all } i \in F\}$ the stabilizer and by $\text{Norm}(F) := \{g \in \Gamma \mid gF = F\}$ the normalizer of F . Note that if F is finite, then $\text{Stab}(F)$ is a finite index subgroup of $\text{Norm}(F)$.

2.2. Intertwining-by-bimodules. We next recall from [Po03, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3] the powerful *intertwining-by-bimodules* technique of S. Popa.

Theorem 2.1 ([Po03]). *Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and $P \subset pMp, Q \subset qMq$ be von Neumann subalgebras. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{U}(P)$ be a subgroup such that $\mathcal{G}'' = P$.*

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) *There exist projections $p_0 \in P, q_0 \in Q$, a $*$ -homomorphism $\theta : p_0 P p_0 \rightarrow q_0 Q q_0$ and a non-zero partial isometry $v \in q_0 M p_0$ such that $\theta(x)v = vx$, for all $x \in p_0 P p_0$.*
- (2) *There is no sequence $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $\|E_Q(xu_n y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for all $x, y \in M$.*

If one of these conditions holds true, then we write $P \prec_M Q$, and say that *a corner of P embeds into Q inside M* . If $Pp' \prec_M Q$ for every non-zero projection $p' \in P' \cap pMp$, then we write $P \prec_M^s Q$.

We continue with some elementary lemmas. Recall first that a von Neumann algebra M is called *properly non-amenable* if pMp is non-amenable for any non-zero projection $p \in M$ (see also the next subsection).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $Q \subset qMq$ be tracial von Neumann algebras such that Q is properly non-amenable and has diffuse center.*

If $N \subset M$ is a von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q \prec_M N$, then N is not solid.

Proof. The assumption implies that there exist projections $q_1 \in Q, p \in N$, a non-zero partial isometry $v \in pMq_1$ and a one-to-one $*$ -homomorphism such that $\theta(x)v = vx$ for any $x \in q_1 Q q_1$. Since Q is properly non-amenable, it follows that $P := \theta(q_1 Q q_1) \subset pNp$ is non-amenable and it has diffuse center $R := \theta(\mathcal{Z}(Q)q_1)$. Remark that $\tilde{R} := R \oplus pNp$ is a diffuse subalgebra of N for which its relative commutant $\tilde{R}' \cap N$ is non-amenable. Hence, N is not solid. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.3. *Let $N \subset M$ be tracial von Neumann algebras. Let $P \subset pNp$ and $Q \subset qNq$ be von Neumann subalgebras such that $Q \subset qMq$ is regular. If $P \prec_M Q$, then $P \prec_N Q$.*

Proof. If the contrary holds, then there exists a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}(P)$ such that $\|E_Q(xu_n y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for any $x, y \in N$. Thus, $\|E_Q(u_n y)\|_2 = \|E_Q(u_n E_N(y))\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for any $y \in M$. Since Q is regular in qMq , we obtain that $\|E_Q(xu_n y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for any $x, y \in M$, contradiction. \blacksquare

2.3. Relative amenability. A tracial von Neumann algebra (M, τ) is *amenable* if there exists a positive linear functional $\Phi : \mathbb{B}(L^2(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi|_M = \tau$ and Φ is *M -central*, meaning $\Phi(xT) = \Phi(Tx)$, for all $x \in M$ and $T \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$. By Connes' striking classification of amenable factors [Co76], M is amenable if and only if M is approximately finite dimensional.

We continue by recalling the notion of relative amenability introduced by Ozawa and Popa in [OP07]. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra. Let $p \in M$ be a projection and $P \subset pMp, Q \subset M$ be von Neumann subalgebras. Following [OP07, Definition 2.2], we say that P is *amenable relative to Q inside M* if there exists a positive linear functional $\Phi : p\langle M, e_Q \rangle p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi|_{pMp} = \tau$ and Φ is P -central. Note that P is amenable relative to \mathbb{C} inside M if and only if P is amenable.

We say that P is *strongly non-amenable relative to Q* if Pp' is non-amenable relative to Q for any non-zero projection $p' \in P' \cap pMp$. Note that if $P \subset pMp$ is strongly non-amenable (relative to \mathbb{C}), then P is properly non-amenable by [DHI16, Lemma 2.6].

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright B$ be some trace preserving actions of a countable group Γ . Let $Q \subset qAq$ be a von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q' \cap q(A \rtimes \Gamma)q$ is amenable relative to A .*

Then $Q' \cap q((A \bar{\otimes} B) \rtimes \Gamma)q$ is amenable relative to $A \bar{\otimes} B$.

Proof. The proof is inspired by the proof of [PV12, Proposition 3.2]. Denote $\mathcal{M} = (A \bar{\otimes} B) \rtimes \Gamma$, $M = A \rtimes \Gamma$ and $N = B \rtimes \Gamma$. Define the $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} N$, by letting $\Delta((a \otimes b)u_g) = au_g \otimes bu_g$, for all $a \in A, b \in B$ and $g \in \Gamma$. Remark that the assumption implies that $\Delta(Q' \cap qMq) \subset (Q' \cap qMq) \bar{\otimes} N$ is amenable relative to $A \otimes N$ inside $M \bar{\otimes} N$. Therefore, there exists a $\Delta(Q' \cap qMq)$ -central positive linear functional $\Phi : \Delta(q)\langle M \bar{\otimes} N, e_{A \otimes N} \rangle \Delta(q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the restriction of Φ to $\Delta(Q' \cap qMq)$ equals the trace on $\Delta(q)(M \bar{\otimes} N)\Delta(q)$.

Since $E_{A \otimes N} \circ \Delta(x) = \Delta \circ E_{A \bar{\otimes} B}(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, notice that we can define a one-to-one $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta_1 : \langle \mathcal{M}, e_{A \bar{\otimes} B} \rangle \rightarrow \langle M \bar{\otimes} N, e_{A \otimes N} \rangle$ by letting $\Delta_1(e_{A \bar{\otimes} B}) = e_{A \otimes N}$ and $\Delta_1(x) = \Delta(x)$, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Define the positive linear functional $\Psi : q\langle \mathcal{M}, e_{A \bar{\otimes} B} \rangle q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\Psi(x) = \Phi(\Delta_1(x))$, for every $x \in q\langle \mathcal{M}, e_{A \bar{\otimes} B} \rangle q$. Remark that Ψ is $Q' \cap qMq$ -central and the restriction of Ψ to qMq equals the trace on qMq . This shows that $Q' \cap qMq$ is amenable relative to $A \bar{\otimes} B$ inside \mathcal{M} . ■

Although later on we will only use the particular case of Proposition 2.4 where A and B are amenable, we note that the proof handles this general statement.

As a consequence, we obtain the following lemma that will be needed in the proof of Theorem C.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ be a diagonal product p.m.p. action and denote $M = L^\infty(X \times Y) \rtimes \Gamma$. Let $Q \subset qMq$ be a properly non-amenable von Neumann subalgebra such that there exists a diffuse subalgebra $Q_0 \subset \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ satisfying $Q'_0 \cap qMq = Q$ and $Q_0 \prec_M L^\infty(X)$.*

Then $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ is not solidly ergodic.

Proof. Since $Q_0 \prec_M L^\infty(X)$, there exist projections $q_0 \in Q_0, r \in L^\infty(X)$, a non-zero partial isometry $w \in rMq_0$ and a $*$ -isomorphism $\theta : Q_0q_0 \rightarrow R \subset L^\infty(X)r$ such that $\theta(x)w = wx$, for any $x \in Q_0q_0$. Note that $\text{Ad}(w)$ is an isomorphism from w^*wMw^*w to ww^*Mww^* that sends $w^*wq_0(Q'_0 \cap qMq)q_0w^*w$ onto $ww^*(R' \cap rMr)ww^*$. Since $Q'_0 \cap qMq$ is properly non-amenable, it follows that $R' \cap rMr$ is non-amenable. By applying Proposition 2.4, we get that $R' \cap r(L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma)r$ is non-amenable, hence $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}$ is not solidly ergodic. ■

2.4. Coinduced actions. Coinduced actions for trace preserving actions are defined as in Definition 1.1 for p.m.p. actions.

Definition 2.6. Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups and denote $I = \Gamma/\Sigma$. Let $\phi : I \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a section map and define the associated cocycle $c : \Gamma \times I \rightarrow \Sigma$ by the formula $c(g, i) = \phi^{-1}(gi)g\phi(i)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $i \in I$.

Let $\Sigma \overset{\sigma_0}{\curvearrowright} (A_0, \tau_0)$ be a trace preserving action and denote $(A, \tau) = (A_0, \tau_0)^I$. For each $i \in I$, we denote by $\pi_i : A_0 \rightarrow A$ the embedding of A_0 as the i 'th tensor factor. We define the *coinduced action* $\Gamma \overset{\sigma}{\curvearrowright} (A, \tau)$ by the formula

$$\sigma_g(\pi_i(a)) = (\sigma_0)_{c(g,i)}(\pi_{gi}(a)), \text{ for all } g \in \Gamma, i \in I \text{ and } a \in A_0.$$

Throughout this section, we assume the setting of Definition 2.6 and denote $M = A \rtimes \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $B \subset A$ be a von Neumann subalgebra such that $B \prec_M A_0^{gF}$ for some subset $F \subset I$.*

Then there exists $g \in \Gamma$ such that $B \prec_A A_0^{gF}$.

Proof. Assume that $B \not\prec_A A_0^{gF}$ for any $g \in \Gamma$. By applying an idea of the proof of [IPP05, Theorem 4.3], we obtain that there exists a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}(B)$ such that

$$\|E_{A_0^{gF}}(xu_ny)\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in A \text{ and } g \in \Gamma.$$

We want to show that $\|E_{A_0^F}(xu_ny)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ for all $x, y \in M$. By Kaplansky's density theorem it is enough to assume that $x = u_{g^{-1}}a$ and $y = a'u_h$ for some $a, a' \in A$ and $g, h \in \Gamma$. Thus, $\|E_{A_0^F}(xu_ny)\|_2 = \delta_{g,h} \|E_{A_0^{gF}}(au_n a')\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. This shows that $B \not\prec_M A_0^F$, contradiction. ■

We continue with the following useful lemma extracted from [IPV10, Theorem 4.2].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $F \subset I$ be a subset and let $Q \subset qMq$ be a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q \prec_M A_0^F$ and $Q \not\prec_M A_0^G$, for any proper subset $G \subset F$. Denote by P the quasi-normalizer of Q inside qMq .*

Then $P \prec_M A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F)$.

Proof. Since $Q \prec_M A_0^F$, there exist some projections $q_0 \in Q$, $p \in A_0^F$, a non-zero partial isometry $v \in pMq_0$ and a one-to-one $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : q_0Qq_0 \rightarrow pA_0^Fp$ such that $\phi(x)v = vx$, for any $x \in q_0Qq_0$. Moreover, we can assume that the support of $E_{A_0^F}(vv^*)$ equals p . The assumption on F implies

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(q_0Qq_0) \not\prec_{A_0^F} A_0^G, \text{ for any proper subset } G \subset F.$$

Indeed, by assuming the contrary, there exist a proper subset $G \subset F$, some projections $q_1 \in q_0Qq_0$, $r \in A_0^G$, a non-zero partial isometry $w \in rA_0^G\phi(q_1)$ and a $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : \phi(q_1Qq_1) \rightarrow rA_0^Gr$ such that $\psi(x)w = wx$, for any $x \in \phi(q_1Qq_1)$. Therefore, $\psi \circ \phi : q_1Qq_1 \rightarrow rA_0^Gr$ satisfies $(\psi \circ \phi)(x)wv = wv$. Note that $wv \neq 0$, since $wE_{A_0^F}(vv^*) \neq 0$. By replacing wv by the partial isometry from its polar decomposition, we deduce that $Q \prec_M A_0^G$, contradiction.

Note that (2.1) allows us to apply [IPV10, Lemma 4.1.2] and derive that $vPv^* \subset A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F)$, and therefore $P \prec_M A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F)$. ■

We will also need the following lemma which is in the spirit of [Va08, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 2.9. *Let $Q \subset qA_0^Fq$ be a von Neumann subalgebra for a subset $F \subset I$. Let $q' \in Q' \cap qAq$ be a non-zero projection such that $Qq' \not\prec_A A_0^G$ for any proper subset $G \subset F$.*

Then $q'(Q' \cap qMq)q' \subset q'(A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F))q'$.

In particular, if $Q \subset qA_0q$ is a diffuse subalgebra, then $Q' \cap qMq \subset q(A \rtimes \Sigma)q$.

Proof. Let $x \in q'(Q' \cap qMq)q'$ and consider $x = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} x_g u_g$ its Fourier decomposition. Take $g \in \Gamma \setminus \text{Norm}(F)$ and let us show that $x_g = 0$. Note that $Qq' \not\prec_A A_0^{gF}$. Indeed, by assuming the contrary, we can apply [DHI16, Lemma 2.4(3)] and obtain a non-zero projection $q'_1 \in Q' \cap qMq$

with $q'_1 \leq q'$ such that $Qq'_1 \prec_A^s A_0^{gF}$. Since $Qq'_1 \prec_A^s A_0^F$ and A_0^F and A_0^{gF} are in a commuting square position inside A , we can use [DHI16, Lemma 2.8(2)] to derive that $Qq' \not\prec_A A_0^{gF \cap F}$. This is a contradiction since $gF \cap F$ is a proper subset of F . Since $Qq' \not\prec_A A_0^{gF}$, there exists a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}(Q)$ such that $\|E_{A_0^{gF}}(xq'u_n)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in A$. Since $x \in Q' \cap qMq$, we deduce that $u_n x_g = x_g \sigma_g(u_n)$, for any $n \geq 1$. Using that $\sigma_g(u_n) \in A_0^{gF}$ and $x_g = x_g \sigma_g(q) = x_g q'$, we derive that

$$\|E_{A_0^{gF}}(x_g^* x_g)\|_2 = \|E_{A_0^{gF}}(x_g^* x_g \sigma_g(u_n))\|_2 = \|E_{A_0^{gF}}(x_g^* u_n x_g)\|_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

as n goes to infinity. This shows that $E_{A_0^{gF}}(x_g^* x_g) = 0$, hence $x_g = 0$. This proves the lemma. \blacksquare

2.5. Weak mixing techniques. Following [PV06, Lemma 2.4] we say that an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ of a group Γ on a set I is *weakly mixing* if for every $A, B \subset I$ finite, there exists $g \in \Gamma$ such that $gA \cap B = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups satisfying $[\Sigma : g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma] = \infty$, for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Denote $I = \Gamma/\Sigma$.*

Then the translation action $\Sigma \curvearrowright I \setminus \{\Sigma\}$ is weakly mixing.

Proof. By using [PV06, Lemma 2.4], it is enough to show that every orbit of $\Sigma \curvearrowright I \setminus \{\Sigma\}$ is infinite. Let $g\Sigma \in I$ with $g \notin \Sigma$ and note that the stabilizer of $g\Sigma$ equals $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$. Since $[\Sigma : g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma] = \infty$, it follows that the orbit of $g\Sigma$ is infinite, hence proving the lemma. \blacksquare

A trace preserving action $\Gamma \overset{\sigma}{\curvearrowright} (A, \tau)$ is called *weakly mixing* if for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in \Gamma$ such that $|\tau(a_i \sigma_g(a_j)) - \tau(a_i) \tau(a_j)| < \epsilon$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

The proof of the following lemma is standard and we leave it to the reader.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups. Let $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0$ be a trace preserving action and denote by $\Gamma \overset{\sigma}{\curvearrowright} A_0^I$ the associated coinduced action. Let $I_0 = I \setminus \{\Sigma\}$ and note that $\sigma|_{\Sigma}$ is isomorphic to the diagonal product action $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0 \bar{\otimes} A_0^{I_0}$. Assume the translation action $\Sigma \curvearrowright I_0$ is weakly mixing.*

Then the restricted action $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0^{I_0}$ is weakly mixing.

For a p.m.p. weakly mixing action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, the quasi-normalizer of $L(\Gamma)$ inside $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ equals $L(\Gamma)$ [Io08a, Proposition 6.10]. The following lemma extends this result to diagonal product actions and its proof is contained in the proof of [Po03, Theorem 3.1].

Lemma 2.12 ([Po03]). *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright B$ be trace preserving actions and denote $M = (A \bar{\otimes} B) \rtimes \Gamma$ and $N = A \rtimes \Gamma$. Assume $\Gamma \curvearrowright B$ is weakly mixing.*

If there exist elements $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ such that $Nx \subset \sum_{i=1}^n x_i N$, then $x \in N$. In particular, the quasi-normalizer of N inside M equals N .

3. RIGIDITY RESULTS FOR COINDUCED ACTIONS

We start this section by recalling the free product deformation for coinduced actions which was originally introduced for general Bernoulli actions by A. Ioana [Io06a].

Definition 3.1. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A_0^I$ be the coinduced action of a trace preserving action $\Sigma \overset{\sigma_0}{\curvearrowright} A_0$ and let $M = A_0^I \rtimes \Gamma$. Consider the free product $\tilde{A}_0 := A_0 * L(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the natural traces and extend canonically $\Sigma \overset{\sigma_0}{\curvearrowright} A_0$ to an action on \tilde{A}_0 , still denoted by $\tilde{\sigma}_0$. More precisely, $(\tilde{\sigma}_0)_g(a_1 b_1 \dots a_n b_n) =$

$(\sigma_0)_g(a_1)b_1 \dots (\sigma_0)_g(a_n)b_n$, for all $g \in \Sigma$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A_0$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in L(\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $\Gamma \curvearrowright \tilde{A}_0^I$ the coinduced action associated to $\Sigma_0 \curvearrowright \tilde{A}_0$ and let $\tilde{M} = \tilde{A}_0^I \rtimes \Gamma$.

Define the self-adjoint $h \in L(\mathbb{Z})$ with spectrum $[-\pi, \pi]$ such that $\exp(ih)$ equals the canonical generating unitary $v \in L(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $v_t = \exp(iht)$ and denote by $\alpha_t^0 \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{A}_0)$ the inner automorphism given by $\alpha_t^0(x) = v_t x v_t^*$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \tilde{A}_0$. Denote by $\alpha_t \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{A}_0^I)$ the automorphism given by $\alpha_t = \otimes_{i \in I} \alpha_t^0$. Since α_t is Γ -equivariant, we can extend it in a natural way to an automorphism of \tilde{M} by letting $\alpha_t(u_g) = u_g$, for any $g \in \Gamma$.

For the next theorem, we assume the setting of Definition 3.1. The result goes back to Popa's spectral gap argument [Po06, Lemma 5.1] and it is similar to [IPV10, Corollary 4.3] and [BV12, Theorem 3.1]. We omit its proof since it is identical to the proof of [Dr17, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 3.2. *Assume A_0 is amenable and $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is amenable for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Let N be a tracial von Neumann algebra and let $Q \subset q(M \bar{\otimes} N)q$ be a von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q' \cap qMq$ is strongly non-amenable relative to $1 \otimes N$.*

Then $\alpha_t \otimes id \rightarrow id$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$ on $(Q)_1$.

We also need the following variant of [IPV10, Theorem 4.2] adapted to restricted coinduced actions. The result goes back to [Po03, Theorem 4.1] and [Io06a, Theorem 3.6], and since our proof is similar, we are rather brief.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups. Let $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0$ be a trace preserving action and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A := A_0^I$ be the associated coinduced action. Let $F_0 \subset I$ be a finite, possibly empty, subset and denote $M = A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$.*

Let $Q \subset qMq$ be a von Neumann subalgebra such that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$ on $(Q)_1$ and denote by P the quasi-normalizer of Q inside qMq . Then one of the following holds:

- $P \prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0, i)$ for some $i \in I \setminus F_0$.
- $Q \prec_M A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(F)$ for some finite subset $F_0 \subset F \subset I$.

Moreover, if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Stab}(F)$ is finite for any subset $F_0 \subset F \subset I$ with $|F| \geq k$, then of the following holds:

- $Q \prec_M A_0^{F_0}$.
- $P \prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0, i)$, for some $i \in I \setminus F_0$.
- *There exists a non-zero partial isometry $v \in Mq$ with $v^*v \in P$ and $vPv^* \subset A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$. In this case, we can assume that $v^*v = q$, provided $A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$ is a II_1 factor.*

Before proceeding to the proof, we clarify the notation we used and then make a remark. We denoted by α_t the free product deformation associated to the coinduced action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ as in Definition 3.1. For $i \in I$, we denoted by $\text{Stab}(F_0, i)$ the stabilizer of $F_0 \cup \{i\}$ with respect to the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$. We also used the convention that $\text{Norm}(\emptyset) := \Gamma$.

Remark 3.4. We highlight here how Theorem 3.3 will be used for proving our main results.

- Theorem 3.3 will be applied in the cases $F_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $F_0 = \{\Sigma\}$. Note that these cases correspond to the classification of all ‘‘rigid’’ subalgebras of $A \rtimes \Gamma$ and $A \rtimes \Sigma$, respectively.
- In both of these two cases, the assumption of the moreover part is satisfied by taking $k = 2$ if we assume that $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is finite for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Indeed, note that if $F = \{g\Sigma, h\Sigma\}$ for some $g, h \in \Gamma$ such that $h^{-1}g \notin \Sigma$, then $\text{Stab}(F) = g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap h\Sigma h^{-1}$ is finite.

- If $F_0 = \{\emptyset\}$, the moreover part of Theorem 3.3 is showing that if Q is diffuse, then $P \prec_{A \rtimes \Gamma} A \rtimes \Sigma$ or $P \prec_{A \rtimes \Gamma} L(\Gamma)$. On the other hand, if $F_0 = \{\Sigma\}$, then the moreover part is showing that $Q \prec_{A \rtimes \Sigma} A_0$ or $P \prec_{A \rtimes \Sigma} A$ or $P \prec_{A \rtimes \Sigma} A_0 \rtimes \Sigma$.

Proof. We define a free product deformation on M as follows. Denote $I_0 = I \setminus F_0$. Consider the free product $B_0 = A_0 * L(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the natural traces. Note that the action $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0$ extends naturally (as in Definition 3.1) to a trace preserving action $\Sigma \curvearrowright B_0$ and denote by $\Gamma \curvearrowright B_0^I$ the associated coinduced action. It is clear that $A_0^{I_0} \subset B_0^{I_0}$ and that $\Sigma \curvearrowright B_0^{I_0}$ extends $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0^{I_0}$, hence we have the natural inclusions $M \subset \tilde{M} := (A_0^{F_0} \bar{\otimes} B_0^{I_0}) \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0) \subset B_0^I \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$.

Define the self-adjoint $h \in L(\mathbb{Z})$ with spectrum $[-\pi, \pi]$ such that $\exp(ih)$ equals the canonical generating unitary $v \in L(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $v_t = \text{ext}(ith)$ and denote by $\alpha_t^0 \in \text{Aut}(B_0)$ the inner automorphism given by $\alpha_t^0(x) = v_t x v_t^*$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in B_0$. Denote by $\alpha_t^1 \in \text{Aut}(B_0^{I_0})$ the automorphism given by $\alpha_t^1 = \otimes_{i \in I_0} \alpha_t^0$. Note that we can extend in a natural way α_t^1 to an automorphism of \tilde{M} by letting $\alpha_t^1(x) = x$, for any $x \in A_0^{F_0}$ and $\alpha_t^1(u_g) = u_g$, for any $g \in \text{Norm}(F_0)$.

Assume now that $P \not\prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0, i)$, for any $i \in I_0$. Since the deformation α_t equals to $(\otimes_{F_0} \alpha_t^0) \otimes \alpha_t^1$, by using the triangle inequality we derive that $\alpha_t^1 \rightarrow id$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$ on $(Q)_1$. Indeed, note first that for any $x \in Q$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \|\alpha_t^1(x) - x\|_2 \leq \|((\otimes_{F_0} \alpha_t^0) \otimes id)(\alpha_t^1(x)) - \alpha_t^1(x)\|_2 + \|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2$$

We have that $\alpha_t^0 \rightarrow id$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$ on $(A_0 * L(\mathbb{Z}))_1$ by using, for instance, [Io06b, Lemma 3.6]. Since F_0 is a finite set, we derive that $(\otimes_{F_0} \alpha_t^0) \otimes id \rightarrow id$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$ on $(\tilde{M})_1$. Therefore, the inequality (3.1) implies that $\alpha_t^1 \rightarrow id$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$ on $(Q)_1$. Hence, we can find $t = 2^{-n}$, for some positive integer n and a non-zero partial isometry $v_t \in \tilde{M}$ such that $xv_t = v_t \alpha_t^1(x)$, for all $x \in Q$. This proves that v_t is a Q - $\alpha_t^1(Q)$ -finite element. Here, we say that an element $x \in \mathcal{M}$ is Q_1 - Q_2 -finite for some given von Neumann algebras $Q_1, Q_2 \subset \mathcal{M}$ if there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $xQ_2 \subset \sum_{i=1}^n Q_1 x_i$ and $Q_1 x \subset \sum_{i=1}^n y_i Q_2$.

We now show that there exists a non-zero Q - $\alpha_{2t}^1(Q)$ -finite element $v_{2t} \in \tilde{M}$. Denote by \mathcal{D} the set of all Q - Q -finite elements in $q\tilde{M}q$ and note that $\alpha_t^1(\beta(v_t^*)dv_t)$ is a Q - $\alpha_{2t}^1(Q)$ -finite element in $q\tilde{M}q$, for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore, we just need to find $d \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfying $\beta(v_t^*)dv_t \neq 0$. Suppose that $\beta(v_t^*)dv_t = 0$, for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$. We denote by $q_1 \in q\tilde{M}q$ the unique projection onto the $\|\cdot\|_2$ -closed linear span of $\mathcal{D}v_t\tilde{M}$. Hence, $q_1 \in P' \cap q\tilde{M}q$ and $\beta(q_1)q_1 = 0$. Lemma 3.5(1) below shows that $q_1 \in M$ and therefore $\beta(q_1) = q_1$. This implies that $q_1 = 0$, contradiction. Thus, there exists a non-zero Q - $\alpha_{2t}^1(Q)$ -finite element $v_{2t} \in \tilde{M}$. Continuing inductively, we find a non-zero Q - $\alpha_1^1(Q)$ -finite element $v_1 \in \tilde{M}$, which shows that $\alpha_1(Q) \prec_{\tilde{M}} M$.

We continue by showing that there exists a finite subset $F_0 \subset F \subset I$ such that $Q \prec_M A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(F)$. We assume the contrary. Then, by applying an idea that goes back to [IPP05, proof of Theorem 4.3], we obtain that there exists a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}(Q)$ such that $\|E_{A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(F)}(x u_n y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for all $x, y \in M$ and finite subsets $F_0 \subset F \subset I$. By proceeding as in the proof of [IPV10, Theorem 4.2], it follows that $\|E_M(x \alpha_1(v_n) y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for all $x, y \in M$, proving that $\alpha_1(Q) \not\prec_{\tilde{M}} M$, contradiction.

For proving the moreover part, we assume that $Q \not\prec_M A_0^{F_0}$. Since $P \not\prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0, i)$, for any $i \in I_0$, we need to show that $P \prec_M A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$. Note that the previous paragraph shows that there exists a finite subset $F_0 \subset F \subset I$ such that $Q \prec_M A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(F)$. We can assume that F is minimal with this property. Therefore, we notice that $Q \not\prec_M A_0^F$. Indeed, if $Q \prec_M A_0^F$, note that we can apply Lemma 2.8 and deduce that $P \prec A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F)$. Since F is finite, we have that $\text{Stab}(F)$ is a finite index subgroup of $\text{Norm}(F)$, which implies that $P \prec A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F)$. Since $Q \not\prec_M A_0^{F_0}$, we

have that F_0 is strictly contained in F . Hence, there exists $i \in I_0$ such that $P \prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0, i)$, contradiction. Hence, $Q \not\prec_M A_0^F$.

Note that there exists a finite subset $G \supset F$ such that $Q \prec_M A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(G)$ and $Q \not\prec_M A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(G')$, for any G' strictly larger than G . Therefore, there exist some projections $q_0 \in Q, r \in A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(G)$, a non-zero partial isometry $v \in rMq_0$ and a $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : q_0Qq_0 \rightarrow r(A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(G))r$ such that $\phi(x)v = vx$, for any $x \in q_0Qq_0$ and such that

$$(3.2) \quad \phi(q_0Qq_0) \not\prec_{A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(G)} A_0^F \rtimes \text{Stab}(G'), \text{ for any } G' \text{ strictly larger than } G.$$

Next, we show that $G = F_0$. Assume this is not the case. Relation (3.2) implies that

$$\phi(q_0Qq_0) \not\prec_{A \rtimes \text{Stab}(G)} A \rtimes \text{Stab}(G'), \text{ for any } G' \text{ strictly larger than } G.$$

We can use Lemma 3.5(2) bellow and deduce that $P \prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(G)$. Since $F_0 \subsetneq G$, we derive that there exists $i \in I_0$ such that $P \prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0, i)$, contradiction. Therefore, $G = F_0$, and hence, $F = F_0$.

Finally, note that $\phi(q_0Qq_0) \subset r(A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0))r$. Since v^*v commutes with q_0Qq_0 , it belongs to P . By applying Lemma 3.5(1) and using (3.2) we obtain that $vPv^* \subset A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$. Assume now that $A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$ is a II_1 factor. Take partial isometries $v_1, \dots, v_k \in P$ such that $v_i^*v_i \leq v^*v$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k v_i v_i^*$ is a central projection in P . Since $A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$ is a II_1 factor, there exist partial isometries $w_1, \dots, w_k \in A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$ such that $w_i w_i^* = v v_i^* v_i v^*$ and $w_i w_j^* = 0$, for all $i \neq j$. Define $w = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i v^* w_i \in qM$ and note that w is a partial isometry satisfying $ww^* \in \mathcal{Z}(P)$ and $w^*Pw \subset A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$. Since $A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$ is II_1 factor, a standard maximality argument (see, for instance, the second paragraph of the proof of [IPV10, Corollary 4.3]) allows us to construct a partial isometry $v_0 \in Mq$ with $v_0^*v_0 = q$ that satisfies $v_0Pv_0^* \subset A_0^{F_0} \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$ \blacksquare

We continue with the following lemma which was needed in the proof of Theorem 3.3. We omit the proof since it is proved exactly in the same way as [IPV10, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups. Let $A_0, B_0 \subset C_0$ be tracial von Neumann algebras and let $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0$ and $\Sigma \curvearrowright C_0$ be trace preserving actions such that B_0 is Σ -invariant. Denote by $\Gamma \curvearrowright A_0^I, \Gamma \curvearrowright B_0^I$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright C_0^I$ the coinduced actions associated to $\Sigma \curvearrowright A_0, \Sigma \curvearrowright B_0$ and $\Sigma \curvearrowright C_0$, respectively.*

Let $F_0 \subset I$ be a finite, possibly empty, subset and let $I_0 = I \setminus F_0$. We consider the diagonal product actions $\text{Norm}(F_0) \curvearrowright A_0^{F_0} \bar{\otimes} B_0^{I_0}$ and $\text{Norm}(F_0) \curvearrowright A_0^{F_0} \bar{\otimes} C_0^{I_0}$ and denote by $M = (A_0^{F_0} \bar{\otimes} B_0^{I_0}) \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$ and $\tilde{M} = (A_0^{F_0} \bar{\otimes} C_0^{I_0}) \rtimes \text{Norm}(F_0)$. Note that $M \subset \tilde{M}$ and let $A = A_0^{F_0} \bar{\otimes} B_0^{I_0}$.

- (1) *If $P \subset pMp$ is a von Neumann subalgebra such that $P \not\prec_M A \rtimes \text{Stab}(F_0, i)$ for any $i \in I_0$, then the quasi-normalizer of P inside $p\tilde{M}p$ is contained in pMp .*
- (2) *If $G \supset F_0$ is a finite set and $Q \subset q(A \rtimes \text{Stab}(G))q$ is a von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q \not\prec_{A \rtimes \text{Stab}(G)} A \rtimes \text{Stab}(G')$, for any strictly larger subset $G' \supset G$, then the quasi-normalizer of Q inside qMq is contained in $q(A \rtimes \text{Norm}(G))q$.*

Finally, we prove the following lemma that explores the rigidity assumption of non-amenable groups which are measure equivalent in the sense of Gromov [Gr91] to products of infinite groups. Since the proof is standard, we will only provide a sketch. We will use the following characterization of measure equivalency due to Furman [Fu99].

Definition 3.6. Two countable groups are *measure equivalent* if they admit free ergodic stable orbit equivalent p.m.p. actions. Natural examples of measure equivalent groups are provided by pairs of lattices in an unimodular locally compact second countable group.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups such that $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is amenable for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Let $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be the corresponding coinduced action. Denote $M = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ and let α_t be the associated free product deformation as in Definition 3.1. Let $Q \subset qMq$ be a von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q = L(\Lambda)$, where Λ is a group that is measure equivalent to a product of two non-amenable groups.*

Then $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(Q)_1$

Proof. Assume for simplicity that there exist free ergodic p.m.p. actions of Λ and $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$, with Λ_1 and Λ_2 non-amenable groups, on a measurable space (Y, ν) whose orbits are equal, almost everywhere. Denote $N = L^\infty(Y) \rtimes \Lambda = L^\infty(Y) \rtimes (\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ and let $\Delta : N \rightarrow N \bar{\otimes} L(\Lambda)$ be the *-homomorphism defined by $\Delta(bv_\lambda) = bv_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda$, for all $b \in L^\infty(Y)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Note that $\Delta(L(\Lambda_i))$ is strongly non-amenable relative to $N \otimes 1$ by [IPV10, Lemma 10.2(5)] for any $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Using Theorem 3.2, we derive that $id \otimes \alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(\Delta(L(\Lambda_i)))_1$, for any $1 \leq i \leq 2$, and hence, on $(\Delta(N))_1$. This clearly implies that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(Q)_1$. ■

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM A, PROPOSITION B AND THEOREM C

The proofs of Theorem A and Theorem C need the following useful characterizations for the two notions of solidity [CI08, Proposition 6].

Proposition 4.1 ([CI08]). *(i) A measure preserving equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on a probability space (X, μ) is solidly ergodic if and only if $Q' \cap L(\mathcal{R})$ is amenable for any diffuse subalgebra $Q \subset L^\infty(X)$. (ii) A tracial von Neumann algebra M is solid if and only if $Q' \cap M$ is amenable for any diffuse subalgebra $Q \subset M$.*

4.1. Proof of Theorem A. Let $I = \Gamma/\Sigma$ and define the von Neumann algebras $A_0 = L^\infty(X_0)$, $A = L^\infty(X)$ and $M = A \rtimes \Gamma$. Let $Q \subset A$ be a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra. By Proposition 4.1, we only have to show that $Q' \cap M$ is amenable. Assume by contradiction that $Q' \cap M$ is non-amenable. A maximality argument combined with [DHI16, Lemma 2.6] implies that there exists a non-zero projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)$ such that $(Q' \cap M)z$ is strongly non-amenable. By applying Theorem 3.2, we obtain that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$ on $(Qz)_1$. Let $a \in A$ be the support projection of $E_A(z)$ and remark that $a_1 z \neq 0$, for any non-zero projection $a_1 \in Aa$. Therefore, since $(Q' \cap M)z$ is properly non-amenable and $z \in A' \cap M$, it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad a_1(Q' \cap M)a_1 \text{ is non-amenable for any non-zero projection } a_1 \in Aa.$$

By applying Theorem 3.3, it follows that there exists a finite set $F \subset I$ such that $Qz \prec_M A_0^F \rtimes \text{Norm}(F)$. Hence, by [DHI16, Lemma 2.4(3)] there exists a non-zero projection $z_1 \in (Q' \cap M)z$ such that $Qz_1 \prec_M^s A_0^F \rtimes \text{Norm}(F)$. Since $Q \subset A$, [DHI16, Remark 2.2] implies that $Qz \prec_M^s A$. Since A is regular in M and A and $A_0^F \rtimes \text{Norm}(F)$ are in a commuting square position, we can apply [DHI16, Lemma 2.8(2)] and derive that $Qz_1 \prec_M^s A_0^F$. Hence, $Qa \prec_M A_0^F$ since $z_1 \leq a$. We can apply Lemma 2.7 and deduce that $Qa \prec_A A_0^F$ by replacing, eventually, F by another finite set. Moreover, we can assume that $F \subset I$ is a minimal subset with the property that there exists a non-zero projection $a_0 \in A$ such that $Qa_0 \prec_A A_0^F$.

Since A is abelian and $Qa \prec_A A_0^F$, there exist non-zero projections $a_1 \in Qa, r \in A_0^F, a_2 \in Aa_1 r$ and a one-to-one *-homomorphism $\varphi : Qa_1 \rightarrow A_0^F r$ such that $\varphi(x)a_2 = xa_2$, for every $x \in Qa_1$. Note that $R := \varphi(Qa_1) \subset A_0^F r$ satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad Ra_2 = Qa_2 \text{ and } a_2(R' \cap rMr)a_2 = a_2(Q' \cap M)a_2.$$

We may assume that $Ra_2 \not\prec_A A_0^G$ for any proper subset $G \subset F$. Indeed, if there exists such a finite set G , then we would have that $Qa_2 \prec_A A_0^G$, which contradicts the minimality of F . Hence, by applying Lemma 2.9, we deduce that $a_2(R' \cap rMr)a_2 = a_2(R' \cap r(A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F))r)a_2$.

Next, we use relations (4.1) and (4.2) to derive that $a_2(R' \cap rMr)a_2$ is non-amenable, and hence, $R' \cap r(A \rtimes \text{Norm}(F))r$ is non-amenable. Since $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is amenable for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$, we obtain that F has only one element. Let $F = \{g\Sigma\}$ for some $g \in \Gamma$. Note that $\text{Norm}(F) = g\Sigma g^{-1}$ and $R \subset A_0^{g\Sigma}r$. It follows that $R' \cap r(A \rtimes g\Sigma g^{-1})r$ is non-amenable. Since the action $g\Sigma g^{-1} \curvearrowright I$ is fixing the element $g\Sigma$, we have that $g\Sigma g^{-1} \curvearrowright A$ is isomorphic to the diagonal action $g\Sigma g^{-1} \curvearrowright A_0^{g\Sigma} \bar{\otimes} A_0^{I \setminus \{g\Sigma\}}$. Therefore, we can apply Proposition 2.4 and conclude that $R' \cap r(A_0^{g\Sigma} \rtimes g\Sigma g^{-1})r$ is non-amenable. Finally, note that $\tilde{R} := R \oplus A_0^{g\Sigma}(1-r)$ is a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra of $A_0^{g\Sigma}$ for which its relative commutant $\tilde{R}' \cap (A_0^{g\Sigma} \rtimes g\Sigma g^{-1})$ is non-amenable. This contradicts the solid ergodicity of $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0}$, which proves the theorem. \blacksquare

Remark 4.2. In the proof of Theorem A, there is no need to consider the support projection of $E_A(z)$ if the coinduced action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is free since $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M) \subset A' \cap M = A$ in this case. Note that the freeness condition is guaranteed if $\cap_{g \in \Gamma} g\Sigma g^{-1}$ is trivial or if $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ is free, see [Io06c, Lemma 2.1] and [Dr15, Lemma 5.3].

4.2. Proof of Proposition B. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2(X_0)$, with $e_0 = 1$. Thus $\{\otimes_{i \in F} e_i \mid F \subset \Gamma/\Sigma \text{ finite subset}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(X)$. Let S be the countable family of finite non-empty subsets of Γ/Σ . Therefore, any $\xi \in L^2(X) \ominus \mathbb{C}1$ admits a unique canonical decomposition $\xi = \sum_{F \in S} \xi_F$, where $\xi_F \in \otimes_F (L^2(X_0) \ominus \mathbb{C}1)$. We define the map $\theta : L^2(X) \ominus \mathbb{C}1 \rightarrow \ell^2(S)_+$ by letting $\theta(\xi) = \sum_{F \in S} \|\xi_F\|_2 \delta_F$, for any $\xi \in L^2(X) \ominus \mathbb{C}1$, and notice that $\|\theta(\xi)\|_2 = \|\xi\|_2$.

We assume by contradiction that $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ does not have spectral gap. Thus, there exists a sequence of unit vectors $(\xi_n)_n \subset L^2(X) \ominus \mathbb{C}1$ satisfying $\|\sigma_g(\xi_n) - \xi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for any $g \in \Delta$. Consider the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright S$ and note that the sequence $\theta(\xi_n)$ is a sequence of unit vectors that satisfies $\|\rho_g(\theta(\xi_n)) - \theta(\xi_n)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for any $g \in \Delta$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that

$$\|\rho_g(\theta(\xi_n)) - \theta(\xi_n)\|_2^2 = \sum_{F \in S} \left| \|\xi_{n,F}\|_2 - \|\xi_{n,gF}\|_2 \right|^2 \leq \sum_{F \in S} \|\sigma_g(\xi_{n,F}) - \xi_{n,gF}\|_2^2 = \|\sigma_g(\xi_n) - \xi_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

for any $g \in \Delta$. We remark that there is a natural Γ -equivariant identification of $S \cup \{\emptyset\}$ with the infinite direct sum $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(\Gamma/\Sigma)}$. This identification maps $\{\emptyset\}$ to the neutral element in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(\Gamma/\Sigma)}$. Therefore, we can conclude that the generalized Bernoulli action $\Delta \curvearrowright (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(\Gamma/\Sigma)}$ does not have spectral gap. By applying [KT08, Theorem 1.2], it follows that Δ is amenable relative to Σ inside Γ , which is a contradiction. \blacksquare

We continue by showing a von Neumann algebraic version of Proposition B.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups satisfying $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is finite for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Let $\Sigma \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)$ be any p.m.p. action and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be the associated coinduced action. Denote by $M = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ and let $Q \subset qMq$ be a von Neumann subalgebra with property Gamma.*

If Q is not amenable relative to $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Sigma$ inside M , then $Q \prec_M L(\Gamma)$.

In particular, if $\Delta < \Gamma$ is a subgroup that is not amenable relative to Σ , then $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Delta$ does not have property Gamma.

Proof. Let $I = \Gamma/\Sigma$ and define the von Neumann algebras $A = L^\infty(X)$ and $M = A \rtimes \Gamma$. Let α_t be the free product deformation associated to the coinduced action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ as in Definition 3.1. Since Q has property Gamma, [HU15, Theorem 3.1] implies that there exists a decreasing sequence of

diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebras A_n of Q such that $Q = \vee_{n \geq 1} (A'_n \cap Q)$. We assume that Q is not amenable relative to $A \rtimes \Sigma$ and prove that $Q \prec_M L(\Gamma)$.

Since relative amenability is closed under inductive limits [DHI16, Lemma 2.7], it follows that there exists an n such that $A'_n \cap Q$ is not amenable relative to $A \rtimes \Sigma$ inside M . By using [DHI16, Lemma 2.6] combined with a maximality argument, we can find a non-zero projection $z \in \mathcal{N}_M(A'_n \cap Q)' \cap M$ such that $(A'_n \cap Q)z$ is strongly non-amenable relative to $A \rtimes \Sigma$. In particular, we can apply Theorem 3.2 and derive that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(A_n z)_1$. By applying Theorem 3.3 in the case $F_0 = \{\Sigma\}$, we have (1) $(A'_n \cap Q)z \prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$ or (2) there exists a partial isometry $v \in Mz$ such that $v^*v \in (A'_n \cap Q)z$ and $v(A'_n \cap Q)zv^* \subset L(\Gamma)$.

Assume (1) holds. By [DHI16, Lemma 2.4(3)], there exists a non-zero projection $z_1 \in (A'_n \cap Q)' \cap M$ with $z_1 \leq z$ such that $(A'_n \cap Q)z_1 \prec_M^s A \rtimes \Sigma$. By [DHI16, Lemma 2.6(3)] we derive that $(A'_n \cap Q)z_1$ is amenable relative to $A \rtimes \Sigma$, which is a contradiction.

Hence, (2) holds. This means that there exists a partial isometry $v \in Mz$ such that $v^*v \in (A'_n \cap Q)z$ and $v(A'_n \cap Q)zv^* \subset L(\Gamma)$. Let $m \geq n$ and note that $z \in A'_n \cap Q \subset A'_m \cap Q$. Assume by contradiction that $v(A_m z)v^* \prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$. Note that $v(A_m z)v^* \not\prec_M A$ since $v(A_m z)v^* \subset L(\Gamma)$. By using Lemma 5.1(2), we get that $vz(A'_m \cap Q)zv^* \prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$. By applying [DHI16, Lemma 2.6(3)] as before, we derive again a contradiction. Hence, $v(A_m z)v^* \not\prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$, which implies that $v(A_m z)v^* \not\prec_M L(\Sigma)$. Now we apply [Dr17, Corollary 3.6(1)] and derive that $vz(A'_m \cap Q)zv^* \subset L(\Gamma)$, for any $m \geq n$. This shows that $zQz \prec_M L(\Gamma)$, which proves the main result.

The last part of the proposition follows by applying [BV12, Lemma 2.5]. ■

4.3. Proof of Theorem C. Let $I = \Gamma/\Sigma$ and define $A_0 = L^\infty(X_0)$, $A = L^\infty(X)$ and $M = A \rtimes \Gamma$. Define the free product deformation associated to the coinduced action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ as in Definition 3.1. In light of Proposition 4.1, note that M is solid if and only if $Q' \cap M$ is amenable for any diffuse abelian subalgebra $Q \subset M$. This follows from the fact that any diffuse von Neumann algebra contains a diffuse abelian subalgebra.

Therefore, take a diffuse abelian subalgebra $Q \subset M$ and assume by contradiction that $Q' \cap M$ is non-amenable. Let $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)$ be a non-zero projection such that $(Q' \cap M)z$ is strongly non-amenable. By applying Theorem 3.2, it follows that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(Qz)_1$. By using the moreover part of Theorem 3.3, we obtain that $(Q' \cap M)z \prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$ or $(Q' \cap M)z \prec_M L(\Gamma)$. By applying Lemma 2.2, the second possibility implies that $L(\Gamma)$ is not solid, contradiction.

Hence, $(Q' \cap M)z \prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$. Thus, there exist projections $z_1 \in Q' \cap M$, $p \in A \rtimes \Sigma$, with $z_1 \leq z$, a non-zero partial isometry $v \in pMz_1$ and a one-to-one $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : z_1(Q' \cap M)z_1 \rightarrow p(A \rtimes \Sigma)p$ satisfying $\phi(x)v = vx$ for any $x \in z_1(Q' \cap M)z_1$. Moreover, we may assume that there exists a positive c such that $E_{A \rtimes \Sigma}(vv^*) \geq cp$.

We continue by showing that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(\phi(Qz_1))_1$. Since $E_{\tilde{A} \rtimes \Sigma}(vv^*) = E_{A \rtimes \Sigma}(vv^*)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_t(\phi(x))E_{A \rtimes \Sigma}(vv^*) - \phi(x)E_{A \rtimes \Sigma}(vv^*)\|_2 &\leq \|\alpha_t(\phi(x))v - \phi(x)v\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|\alpha_t(v) - v\|_2 + \|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in (Qz_1)_1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $E_{A \rtimes \Sigma}(vv^*) \geq cp$, there exists $x_0 \in A \rtimes \Sigma$ such that $E_{A \rtimes \Sigma}(vv^*)x_0 = p$. Thus, we obtain that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(\phi(Qz_1))_1$.

Denote $M_0 = A \rtimes \Sigma$. Since $\phi(z_1(Q' \cap M)z_1) \subset pM_0p$ is non-amenable, we have that $P := \phi(Qz_1)' \cap pM_0p$ is non-amenable. By applying [DHI16, Lemma 2.6], there exists a non-zero projection $p' \in \mathcal{N}_{pM_0p}(P)' \cap pM_0p$ such that Pp' is strongly non-amenable in M_0 . Since $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(\phi(Qz_1))_1$, we apply Theorem 3.3 in the case $F_0 = \{\Sigma\}$ and obtain that $\phi(Qz_1)p' \prec_{M_0} A_0$ or $Pp' \prec_{M_0} A$ or $Pp' \prec_{M_0} A_0 \rtimes \Sigma$. If any of the last two possibilities holds, we can apply Lemma

2.2 and obtain that A or $A_0 \rtimes \Sigma$ is not solid, since Pp' is properly non-amenable. Therefore, $\phi(Qz_1)p' \prec_{M_0} A_0$. Finally, Lemma 2.5 implies that $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma \curvearrowright X_0}$ is not solidly ergodic since Pp' is properly non-amenable. This is a contradiction. \blacksquare

5. PROOF OF THEOREM D

We will need the following result for the proof of Theorem D, which is, for instance, a corollary of [Bo14, Appendix A].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\Sigma < \Gamma$ be countable groups satisfying $g\Sigma g^{-1} \cap \Sigma$ is finite for any $g \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be a trace preserving action and denote $M = A \rtimes \Gamma$. Let $Q \subset pMp$ be a von Neumann subalgebra such that $Q \not\prec_M A$ and denote by P the quasi-normalizer of Q in pMp . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If $Q \subset A \rtimes \Sigma$, then $P \subset A \rtimes \Sigma$.*
- (2) *If $Q \prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$, then $P \prec_M A \rtimes \Sigma$. Moreover, if $A \rtimes \Sigma$ is a factor and $Q \prec_M^s A \rtimes \Sigma$, then there exists a unitary $u \in M$ such that $uPu^* \subset A \rtimes \Sigma$.*

5.1. Proof of Theorem D. The following result implies in particular Theorem D.

Theorem 5.2. *For any $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $\Sigma_i < \Gamma_i$ be countable non-amenable groups such that $g\Sigma_i g^{-1} \cap \Sigma_i$ is finite for any $g \in \Gamma_i \setminus \Sigma_i$. For any $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $\Sigma_i \curvearrowright (X_i, \mu_i)$ be a free ergodic p.m.p. action that belongs to one of the following classes:*

- $\Sigma_i \curvearrowright (X_i, \mu_i)$ is a rigid or compact action; Σ_i has property (T) or it is measure equivalent to a product of two non-amenable groups.
- $\Sigma_i \curvearrowright (X_i, \mu_i)$ is conjugate to a product action of two infinite groups.

If the coinduced actions $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)^{\Gamma_1/\Sigma_1}$ and $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright (X_2, \mu_2)^{\Gamma_2/\Sigma_2}$ are orbit equivalent, then $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ and $\Sigma_2 \curvearrowright (X_2, \mu_2)$ are orbit equivalent.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Denote $Y_1 = X_1^{\Gamma_1/\Sigma_1}$ and $Y_2 = X_2^{\Gamma_2/\Sigma_2}$. The assumption implies by [Si55, FM75] that there exists an isomorphism of von Neumann algebras between $L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Gamma_1$ and $L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Gamma_2$ which sends $L^\infty(Y_1)$ onto $L^\infty(Y_2)$. For ease of notation, assume that $M := L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Gamma_1 = L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Gamma_2$ and $L^\infty(Y_1) = L^\infty(Y_2)$ and let us show the following claim.

Claim 1. We have $L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ and $L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2 \prec_M L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$.

Proof of Claim 1. We will prove only the first intertwining relation. Let α_t be the free product deformation as in Definition 3.1 associated to the coinduced action $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright Y_2$.

Assume first that Σ_1 has property (T) or it is measure equivalent to a product of two non-amenable groups. Then by using Lemma 3.7, we derive that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(L(\Sigma_1))_1$. On one hand, if $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ is rigid, then $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)_1$. By applying Theorem 3.3 in the case $F_0 = \{\emptyset\}$, we obtain that $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ or $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L(\Gamma_2)$. The second option does not hold since $L^\infty(X_1)$ is diffuse. Hence, we obtain in particular that $L(\Sigma_1) \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. By using [BV12, Lemma 2.3], we obtain that $L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. On the other hand, if $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ is compact, we can apply [Io08a, Theorem 6.9] and derive that the quasi-normalizer of $L(\Sigma_1)$ contains $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$. Once again, we use Theorem 3.3 and obtain that $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$.

Assume now that $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright X_1$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_1^1 \times \Sigma_1^2 \curvearrowright X_1^1 \times X_1^2$, with Σ_1^1 non-amenable. [DHI16, Lemma 2.6(2)] combined with a maximality argument imply that we can find a non-zero projection $z \in (L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)' \cap M$ such that $z((L^\infty(X_1^2) \rtimes \Sigma_1^2)' \cap M)z$ is strongly non-amenable. By applying

Theorem 3.2 we have that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $((L^\infty(X_1^2) \rtimes \Sigma_1^2)z)_1$. By applying the moreover part of Theorem 3.3, we obtain that $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ or $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L(\Gamma)$. The second option leads to the contradiction that $L^\infty(X_1)$ is not diffuse. Hence, $L(\Sigma_1) \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. By applying, for instance, [BV12, Lemma 2.3] we derive that $L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ since Σ_1 is normalizing $L^\infty(Y_1)$. \square

Note that Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.11 imply that $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright Y_1$ and $\Sigma_2 \curvearrowright Y_2$ are ergodic actions. Note also that the action $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright Y_1$ is free by [Io06c, Lemma 2.1]. Hence, the relative commutant $(L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)' \cap M = \mathbb{C}1$. Therefore, Claim 1 implies that $L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_M^s L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. By applying Lemma 5.1(2), we derive that there exists a unitary $w_1 \in M$ such that $w_1(L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)w_1^* \subset L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. In a similar way, we can show that there exists a unitary $w_2 \in M$ such that $w_2(L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2)w_2^* \subset L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$. Hence, $w_2w_1(L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)w_1^*w_2^* \subset L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$. Next, note that the quasi-normalizer of $L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$ inside M equals itself by Lemma 5.1(1). Hence, $w_2w_1 \in L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$, which shows that $M_0 := L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 = w_2^*(L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2)w_2$.

Next, we note that $L^\infty(Y_1)$ is unitarily conjugate to $w_1^*L^\infty(Y_2)w_1$ inside M_0 . Indeed, by applying Lemma 2.3 we deduce that $L^\infty(Y_1) \prec_{M_0} w_1^*L^\infty(Y_2)w_1$ and the claim follows by [Po01, Theorem A.1]. Hence, there exists a $*$ -isomorphism Ψ of M that sends $L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$ onto $L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ and $L^\infty(Y_1)$ onto $L^\infty(Y_2)$.

Suppressing Ψ from the notation, we can assume that $M_0 = L^\infty(Y_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 = L^\infty(Y_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ such that $L^\infty(Y_1) = L^\infty(Y_2)$. We continue with the following claim.

Claim 2. There exist two unitaries $u_1, u_2 \in M_0$ such that $u_1(L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)u_1^* \subset L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ and $u_2(L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2)u_2^* \subset L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$.

Proof of Claim 2. We will show only the first part. Let α_t be the free product deformation as in Definition 3.1 associated to the coinduced action $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright Y_2$.

Assume first that Σ_1 has property (T) or it is measure equivalent to a product of two non-amenable groups. As before, we derive that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(L(\Sigma_1))_1$ by Lemma 3.7. If $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ is rigid, then $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $(L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)_1$. By applying the moreover part of Theorem 3.3 in the case $F_0 = \{\Sigma_1\}$, we derive that there exists a unitary $u_1 \in M_0$ such that $u_1(L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)u_1^* \subset L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ or $L(\Sigma_1) \prec_{M_0} L^\infty(Y_2)$. Note that the second possibility can not hold, since Σ_1 is infinite. If $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright (X_1, \mu_1)$ is compact, we apply again [Io08a, Theorem 6.9] and Theorem 3.3 in the case $F_0 = \{\Sigma_1\}$ and obtain that the claim holds.

Assume now that $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright X_1$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_1^1 \times \Sigma_1^2 \curvearrowright X_1^1 \times X_1^2$, with Σ_1^1 non-amenable. [DHI16, Lemma 2.6(2)] combined with a maximality argument imply that we can find a non-zero projection $z \in (L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)' \cap M$ such that $z((L^\infty(X_1^2) \rtimes \Sigma_1^2)' \cap M)z$ is strongly non-amenable in M . Hence, we can apply Theorem 3.2 and deduce that $\alpha_t \rightarrow id$ uniformly on $((L^\infty(X_1^2) \rtimes \Sigma_1^2)z)_1$. Lemma 2.9 shows that $z \in (L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)' \cap M_0$. By applying the moreover part of Theorem 3.3 in the case $F_0 = \{\Sigma_1\}$, we obtain that $L^\infty(X_1^2) \rtimes \Sigma_1^2 \prec_{M_0} L^\infty(X_2)$ or $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_{M_0} L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$ or $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_{M_0} L^\infty(Y_2)$. Since Σ_1^2 is an infinite group, it follows that $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1 \prec_{M_0} L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. In this case, the last part of Theorem 3.3 implies actually the conclusion of the claim. \square

Let $I_j = \Gamma_j/\Sigma_j$ and $Y_j^0 = X_j^{I_j \setminus \{\Sigma_j\}}$, for both $1 \leq j \leq 2$. Using these notations, we obtain that $L^\infty(X_1 \times Y_1^0) \rtimes \Sigma_1 = L^\infty(X_2 \times Y_2^0) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. Note that by combining Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.11 it follows that the action $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright Y_1^0$ is weakly mixing. Hence, we obtain by Lemma 2.12 that the quasi-normalizer of $L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$ inside M_0 equals itself. Next, we note that Claim 2 gives that $u_2u_1(L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)u_1^*u_2^* \subset L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$. Thus, $u_2u_1 \in L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1$ and therefore, $u_1(L^\infty(X_1) \rtimes \Sigma_1)u_1^* = L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. In particular, it follows that $u_1L^\infty(X_1)u_1^* \prec_{M_0} L^\infty(X_2)$. Since $L^\infty(X_2) \subset M_0$ is regular, we obtain by Lemma 2.9 that $u_1L^\infty(X_1)u_1^* \prec_{L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2} L^\infty(X_2)$. Finally,

we use [Po01, Theorem A.1] and obtain that $u_1 L^\infty(X_1) u_1^*$ and $L^\infty(X_2)$ are unitarily conjugate in $L^\infty(X_2) \rtimes \Sigma_2$. By [Si55, FM75] we get that $\Sigma_1 \curvearrowright X_1$ and $\Sigma_2 \curvearrowright X_2$ are orbit equivalent. ■

REFERENCES

- [Bo10] L. Bowen: *Orbit equivalence, coinduced actions and free products*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 5 (2011), no. 1, 115.
- [Bo12] R. Boutonnet: *On solid ergodicity for Gaussian actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), no. 4, 1040-1063.
- [Bo14] R. Boutonnet: *Plusieurs aspects de rigidité des algèbres de von Neumann*, PhD thesis (2014).
- [Bu91] M. Burger: *Kazhdan constants for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **413** (1991), 36-67.
- [BV12] M. Berbec, S. Vaes: *W^* -superrigidity for group von Neumann algebras of left-right wreath products*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **108** (2014), no. 5, 1116-1152.
- [CFW81] A. Connes, J. Feldman, B. Weiss: *An amenable equivalence relations is generated by a single transformation*, Ergodic Th. Dynam. Sys. **1**(1981), 431-450.
- [CH08] I. Chifan, C. Houdayer: *Bass-Serre rigidity results in von Neumann algebras*, Duke Math. J. **153** (2010), no. 1, 23-54.
- [CI08] I. Chifan, A. Ioana: *Ergodic subequivalence relations induced by a Bernoulli action*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 1, 53-67.
- [Co76] A. Connes, Classification of injective factors, Ann. Math. **104** (1976) 73-115.
- [CS11] I. Chifan, T. Sinclair: *On the structural theory of II_1 factors of negatively curved groups*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **46** (2013), no. 1, 1-33 (2013).
- [DGO11] F. Dahmani, V. Guirardel, D. Osin: *Hyperbolically embedded subgroups and rotating families in groups acting on hyperbolic spaces*, Memoirs AMS **245** (2017), no. 1156.
- [DHI16] D. Drimbe, D. Hoff, A. Ioana: *Prime II_1 factors arising from irreducible lattices in product of rank one simple Lie groups*, to appear in J. Reine. Angew. Math, arXiv: 1611.02209 (2016).
- [DIP19] D. Drimbe, A. Ioana, J. Peterson: *Cocycle superrigidity for profinite actions of irreducible lattices*, arXiv: 1910.08642 (2019).
- [Dr15] D. Drimbe: *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for coinduced actions*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **38** (2018), no. 7, 2644-2665.
- [Dr17] D. Drimbe: *W^* -superrigidity for coinduced actions*, International Journal of Math. **29** (2018), no. 04, 1850033, 25pp.
- [Dy58] H. Dye: *On groups of measure preserving transformation. I*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 119-159.
- [Ep07] I. Epstein: *Orbit inequivalent actions of non-amenable groups*, preprint arXiv:0707.4215.
- [FM75] J. Feldman, C. Moore: *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), 289-324, 325-359.
- [Fu99] A. Furman: *Orbit equivalence rigidity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 3, 1083-1108.
- [Fu09] A. Furman: *A survey of Measured Group Theory*, Geometry, Rigidity, and Group Actions, 296-374, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 2011.
- [Ga08] D. Gaboriau: *Relative Property (T) Actions and Trivial Outer Automorphism Groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 2, 414-427.
- [Ga10] D. Gaboriau: *Orbit equivalence and measured group theory*, In Proceedings of the ICM (Hyderabad, India, 2010), Vol. III. Hindustan Book Agency, 2010, pp. 1501-1527.
- [GITD16] D. Gaboriau, A. Ioana, R. Tucker-Drob: *Cocycle superrigidity for translation actions of product groups*, to appear in the American Journal of Mathematics.
- [GL07] D. Gaboriau, R. Lyons: *A Measurable Group Theoretic Solution to von Neumann's Problem*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), 533-540.
- [Gr91] M. Gromov: *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, Geometric group theory*, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1-295.
- [Ho11] C. Houdayer: *Invariant percolation and measured theory of non-amenable groups*, Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2010/2011, Asterisque No. **348** (2012), Exp. No. 1039, 339-374.
- [HU15] C. Houdayer, Y. Ueda: *Rigidity of free product von Neumann algebras*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016) 2461-2492.
- [Io06a] A. Ioana: *Rigidity results for wreath product of II_1 factors*, J. Funct. Anal. **252** (2007), 763-791.
- [Io06b] A. Ioana: *Non-orbit equivalent actions of \mathbb{F}_n* , Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. (4) **42** (2009), no. 4, 675-696.
- [Io06c] A. Ioana: *Orbit inequivalent actions for groups containing a copy of \mathbb{F}_2* , Invent. Math. **185** (2011), 55-73.
- [Io08a] A. Ioana: *Cocycle superrigidity for profinite actions of property (T) groups*, ArXiv preprint version, arXiv 0805.2998v1.
- [Io08b] A. Ioana: *Cocycle superrigidity for profinite actions of property (T) groups*, Duke Math J. **157**(2011), 337-367.

- [Io09] A. Ioana: *Relative property (T) for the subequivalence relations induced by the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{T}^2* , Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 1589-1617.
- [Io12a] A. Ioana: *Classification and rigidity for von Neumann algebras*, European Congress of Mathematics, EMS (2013), 601-625.
- [Io12b] A. Ioana: *Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product II_1 factors*, With an appendix by Adrian Ioana and Stefaan Vaes, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) **48** (2015), no. 1, 71-130.
- [Io13] A. Ioana: *Orbit equivalence and Borel reducibility rigidity for profinite actions with spectral gap*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **18** (2016), no. 12, 2733-2784.
- [Io17] A. Ioana: *Rigidity for von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Int. Cong. of Math. 2018 Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 2 (1635-1668).
- [IPP05] A. Ioana, J. Peterson, S. Popa: *Amalgamated free products of weakly rigid factors and calculation of their symmetry groups*. Acta Math. **200** (2008), no. 1, 85-153.
- [IPV10] A. Ioana, S. Popa, S. Vaes: *A class of superrigid group von Neumann algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **178** (2013), no. 1, 231-286.
- [IS10] A. Ioana, Y. Shalom: *Rigidity for equivalence relations on homogeneous spaces*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **7** (2013), 403-417.
- [Ki08] Y. Kida: *Classification of certain generalized Bernoulli actions of mapping class groups*, preprint <https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/kida/papers/ber.pdf>, 2008.
- [KT08] A. S. Kechris, T. Tsankov: *Amenable actions and almost invariant sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), 687-697.
- [Ma16] A. Marrkchi: *Solidity of type III Bernoulli crossed products*, Commun. Math. Phys. **350** (2017), no. 3, 897-916.
- [MS02] N. Monod, Y. Shalom: *Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology*, Ann. of Math. **164** (2006), 825-878.
- [Oz03] N. Ozawa: *Solid von Neumann algebras*, Acta Math. **192** (2004), no. 1, 111-117.
- [OP07] N. Ozawa, S. Popa: *On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 1, 713-749.
- [Oz08] N. Ozawa: *An example of a solid von Neumann algebra*, Hokkaido Math. J. Volume **38**, Number 3 (2009), 557-561.
- [OW80] D. Ornstein, B. Weiss: *Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 2(1):161-164, 1980.
- [Pe06] J. Peterson: *L^2 -rigidity in von Neumann algebras*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 417-433.
- [Po01] S. Popa: *On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants*, Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), 809-899.
- [Po03] S. Popa: *Strong rigidity of II_1 factors arising from malleable actions of w -rigid groups. I.*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), no. 2, 369-408.
- [Po04] S. Popa: *Strong rigidity of II_1 factors arising from malleable actions of w -rigid groups, II.* Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 409-452.
- [Po05] S. Popa: *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w -rigid groups*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 243-295.
- [Po06] S. Popa: *On the superrigidity of malleable actions with spectral gap*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), 981-1000.
- [Po07] S. Popa: *Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras*, In Proceedings of the ICM (Madrid, 2006), Vol. I, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2007, 445-477.
- [PV06] S. Popa, S. Vaes: *Strong rigidity of generalized Bernoulli actions and computations of their symmetry groups*. Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 833-872.
- [PV11] S. Popa, S. Vaes: *Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of free groups*, Acta Math. **212** (2014), no. 1, 141-198.
- [PV12] S. Popa, S. Vaes: *Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of hyperbolic groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **694** (2014), 215-239.
- [Sh04] Y. Shalom: *Measurable group theory*, European Congress of Mathematics, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2005, p. 391-423.
- [Si55] I. M. Singer: *Automorphism of finite factors*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 117-133.
- [Va08] S. Vaes: *Explicit computations of all finite index bimodules for a family of II_1 factors*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 5, 743-788.
- [Va10] S. Vaes: *Rigidity for von Neumann algebras and their invariants*, Proceedings of the ICM (Hyderabad, India, 2010), Vol. III, Hindustan Book Agency (2010), 1624-1650.
- [Zi84] R. Zimmer: *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Monographs in Mathematics, 81. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1984. x+209 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, 3737 WASCANA PKWY, REGINA, SK S4S 0A2, CANADA.

Email address: `daniel.drimbe@uregina.ca`