

---

# AN INVERSE OLYMPIC MEDAL TALLY TRANSFORMATION FOR OPTIMAL LANE-LEVEL ROAD NETWORK PATH TRAVERSAL

---

**Dennis Luxen**  
 Frankfurt, Germany  
 ich@dennisluxen.de

## ABSTRACT

Lane-level traversal of (almost) arbitrary input paths is a common problem in the mapping industry. This paper considers the problem of generating *feasible* and maximally *convenient* lane-level path traversals. The presented approach exploits a graph transformation of an input path which is subsequently explored by a multi-criteria search algorithm. This approach is able to yield paths traversals that are guaranteed to obey lane crossing rules whenever possible, and minimize the number of legal, yet inconvenient lane crossings along any given input path.

## 1 Introduction

Services and devices that provide route planning and navigation functionality have become ubiquitous in daily life over the past two decades. The purpose of route guidance is obviously to help a driver navigate a route, while lane-level guidance is a more recent improvement to navigation products. The literature on how to generate lane-level guidance with certain quality guarantees is unfortunately scarce. Anecdotal knowledge has it that lane-level guidance in existing systems is computed greedily by independently inspecting individual intersections enhanced by some additional special-case handling heuristics. Lane-level traversals through paths in a road network are an important ingredient into generating subsequent lane-level guidance information. The method outlined in this paper is exact and gives quality guarantees. Moreover, it also exhibits the same runtime asymptotics as widely used, yet inexact heuristics in industry.

## 2 Related Work and Notation

This paper follows the *enhanced map (Emap)* model of Bétaille and Toledo-Moreo [2] for representing lane-level connectivity in digital map data, which was later refined by Zhang et. al [20]. The authors investigate how to model and store map data sets that approximate vehicle trajectories across the lanes of road segments. It is used here as follows: The topology of the road network is modeled as a directed graph  $G := (V, E)$  with  $\ell : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  being the *length (or cost) function* of the graph. The graph is said to have  $n := |V|$  nodes (or vertices) and  $m := |E|$  edges. Lane-level information augments the segment information in this model. Nodes reflect intersections while edges resemble road segments as the connections in between. Each edge  $e \in E$  maps to a tuple  $(L_e, Q_e)$ ,  $e \in E$  where  $L_e$  is the set of lane information and  $Q_e$  denotes the lane-independent, i.e. common, properties of the road segment. E.g. this includes road class, center line geometry, street name, etc. In turn, each  $\lambda \in L_e$  is a tuple of lane-specific information such as lane shape, lateral lane sequence numbers, source/target intersections, among others. As assumed by Chen et al. [4] the maximum number of lanes along a segment is bounded by a small constant. This paper makes three assumptions about this lane-level annotation. First, given a turn of two connected and directed segments, the annotated information specifies which *outgoing lanes* of a source edge connect to which *incoming lanes* of the adjacent target edge. Second, these relationships can be manifold, i.e. it may be possible to turn from one given source lane to more than one target lane. And not all outgoing lanes must connect. They could also just end at a segment. Third, it is assumed that no map data set is perfect. This is especially true for ever-changing data sets like OpenStreetMap [16, 8]. It's a popular and crowd-sourced data set with many concurrent streams of changes that may (or may not) introduce new (or fix any existing) inconsistencies. In summary, a certain number of mapping data errors and inconsistencies are plainly unavoidable from a practical point of view in any such data set. Any method using such data must arguably be able to gracefully handle errors.

A path  $P := \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$  is denoted by a sequence of edges of the road network graph. Turn restrictions, i.e. invalid (or illegal) turns and turn sequences, are specified as *forbidden* paths of two or more directed and linearly connected edges. A lane-level traversal of a given path  $P$  is a one to one association of road segment to one of the lanes it is augmented with. It is called a *feasible* traversal, if it does not include any forbidden lane-level turns and it's also called *minimal* when it contains a minimal number of unwanted, yet legal lane-level turns only. Unwanted turns are also referred to as *inconvenient*. Obviously, traversals can be pareto-optimal [9], i.e. not all traversals may be legal, or some may contain more unwanted maneuvers than others.

For the sake of this work, there are no assumptions on how input paths are generated. They could be user-provided or computed by some method, or perhaps come from observations such as GPS trajectories. The interested reader is referred to the excellent survey of Bast et al. [1] for an overview on algorithmic results on how to generate optimal paths in a road network according to some travel mode specific metric. Likewise, see Luxen and Vetter [14] for publicly and freely available implementations of some of these methods. In principle, any generic shortest path methods should work with any network model having a sufficiently detailed modeling of possible turns, e.g. [7, 19].

Anecdotal knowledge and industry experience shows that most if not all routing services don't route on a explicit road network model associating each lane with its own edge in the road network graph. The reasons for this are likely twofold. First, the blowup in computation and space is regarded as unwanted. Second, it is also deemed as unnecessary: The additional edges in the graph wouldn't model any connectivity in the road network that isn't already available and apparent from the model that associates a single edge with each road segment, and from sufficiently detailed turn restriction data. It also explains why lane-level traversals are an ideal candidate for post-processing of any route-generating service – given it is done in an exact way as the following shows.

An *Olympic Medal Tally* is a table of the medal counts of national teams which serves the purposes of identifying how well individual teams scored during a given years Olympic Games (amongst other potential reasons, e.g. [18]). Such a ranking sorts national teams lexicographically first by the number of gold medals. Next, the number of silver medals for each team is considered. And after that the number of bronze medals. In other words, if the number of gold medals is the same, the number of silver medals serves as tie-breaker, which naturally extends to bronze. Note that there are also other ways to rank teams. The interested reader is referred to the relevant literature, e.g. [15].

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 3 analyses the worst case complexity of computing maximally feasible lane-level traversals of paths in a road network. Section 4 introduces *Lane-level Graph (LGGs)* transformations as a practical data structure to compute traversal of lane-level turns along a given path efficiently and with quality guarantees. Section 5 introduces an application of lane-level graphs to generate lane-based navigational guidance for drivers. Section 6 summarizes the results and shows avenues of potential future work.

### 3 Lane-Level Decision Complexity

The layman's approach to generating lane-level path traversals is to inspect intersections independently, followed by a phase to greedily stitch them together with no or only a limited lookahead window. It is arguably a crude, yet somewhat intuitive approach. Unfortunately, this fails to generate feasible or maximally convenient lane-level path traversals even for simple examples. We introduce *lane decision dependency*. It describes that the decisions which lanes are legal to use may *depend* on a possibly arbitrarily long chain of preceding turns. The example shown in Figure 1 visualizes this dependency on the right hand side: Not all lanes  $\lambda_e^i \in Q_e, i \in \{1, 2\}$  going into intersection  $B$  connect to lanes following along the segment-level path. The ability to follow along the path at intersection  $B$  depends




Figure 1: Left: Input road network (black) and route (blue); Right: detailed representation on the lane level.

on the choice of lanes at the preceding intersection  $A$ . This argument can be extended to length at most the number of segments in the entire path and it generalizes to convenient turns as well. This is formalized in the following:

**Corollary 1.** *The complexity of lane-level decisions of a given path  $P$  is linear in the number of segments in  $P$ .*

In other words, one cannot derive any quality guarantees from an approach that conducts independent inspections of intersections and greedily accumulates the results.

## 4 Lane-level Graph Transformation

This Section gives the transformation of a path  $P$  in  $G$  into a *lane-level graph*  $G'_P$ . The resulting graph can be used to compute maximally feasible lane-level traversals that minimize unwanted maneuvers as much as possible.

At a high level,  $G'_P$  resembles an augmented subset of  $G$  in the vicinity of  $P$  modeling lane-level connectivity plus some additional *Pods* to model whether a lane change is feasible (or not), and whether a turn from a lane of a source segment to a lane of a target segment is convenient (or not). Note that the constructed graph has multi-criteria costs on its edges, i.e. costs are expressed as a tuple rather than a single numeric value. The construction rules for a given path  $P$  in  $G$  are as follows:

0. Create an empty graph  $G'_P$  to be filled in subsequent steps.
1. Add unconnected **new edges**  $e_p$  in  $G'_P$  for each of the lanes of segments  $p \in P$  with cost  $(0, 0, 0)$ . Note that these edges do not share any vertices.
2. Connect all **feasible** turns from the out lanes of segment  $\lambda_i^j$  to the in lanes  $\lambda_{i+1}^j$  with a new edge  $q$  and cost  $(0, 0, 0)$ .
3. Connect the edges  $e$  corresponding to **unwanted, yet feasible maneuvers** with a new edge  $q$  with cost  $(0, 1, 0)$  at their beginning.
4. Connect all **infeasible, i.e. forbidden** turns from the out lanes of segment  $p_i$  to the in lanes  $p_{i+1}$  with cost vector  $(1, 0, 0)$ .
5. Connect lateral lane change maneuvers from the beginning of  $\lambda_p^i$  to the beginning of  $\lambda_p^{i\pm 1}$  with cost  $(0, 0, 1)$ .

Figure 2 gives a visual example of the transformation: The lane segments connect to *Pods* (dashed rectangles) that correspond to the turns from one segment to the next. Allowed lane-level turns are represented by solid arcs connecting lane edges within a pod from left to right. Lateral lane change maneuvers connect lanes at the end of a segment (orange). Maneuvers that are feasible, yet unwanted are given in blue. Infeasible maneuvers (dashed) are added to make sure that there always exists a path from sources to sinks to guarantee connectedness.<sup>1</sup>

The number of pods is linear in the number of segments in  $P$ , while the number of lanes define the internal size of a pod. From a practical point of view the maximum number of lanes is bounded by a small constant as most road

<sup>1</sup>Note that the described graph transformation is a bijective function, because collapsing pods into a single vertex and removing any resulting duplicate edges yields the original input path  $P$  again.




Figure 2: Constructed lane-level graph with pods (dashed rectangles), feasible turns (black), feasible yet unwanted connections (blue), as well as lane change maneuvers (orange), infeasible maneuvers (dashed lines) for an example path input path  $P := (\dots, e_{i-1}, e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots)$  in  $G$ .

segments only have a single lane per direction. The widest road in the world is believed to be the the Katy Freeway, or Interstate 10 close to Houston, Texas, USA with 26 lanes<sup>2</sup>. The internal size of a pod is bounded by

$$k^2 + 2 \cdot (k - 1) = \mathcal{O}(n^2) \quad (1)$$

connections it contains. The quadratic term in Equation 1 reflects the maximum number of edges in bipartite graphs, while the other term corresponds to maximum number of lane change maneuvers. As mentioned above it is assumed that in reality  $k$  is bounded by a small constant. Hence, the size of a pod is assumed to be bounded by a constant and as a result the size of a lane-level graph is also assumed to be linear in the size of the input path for real-world data.

A path in  $G'_P$  from the source pod to the target pod corresponds to a lane-level traversal. Note again that costs in the graph are expressed as a tuple. A best path that is feasible, and contains neither any unwanted maneuvers nor requires any lateral lane changes obviously has cost  $(0, 0, 0)$ , when costs are accumulated component-wise. The first component gives the number of infeasible turns, and the second one gives the number of unwanted, yet feasible turns. The third component gives the number of lateral lane changes. Also, note that the cost tuples of paths relate to the olympic medal tally with its counts of medals of different kinds. A path with the most number of “gold“ medals contains the most number of infeasible lane-based turns. Likewise, the path with the most number of “silver“ medals contains the most number of unwanted – yet legal – turns, etc. In that sense, the goal of finding a maximum feasible lane-level path traversal with a minimal number of unwanted lane maneuvers corresponds to the top contender on an *inverse* Olympic Medal Tally of all possible lane-level paths corresponding to input path  $P$ .

It is easy to see that a lane-level graph allows to generate an exact lane-level traversal with quality guarantees in polynomial time by computing a multi-criteria least-cost path from the sources of  $G'_P$  to the sinks. It suffices to zero-initialize cost tuples of source lanes in the priority queue that guides the search. This gives the following corollary:

**Corollary 2** (Correctness and Exactness). *Running a queue-initialized multi-source, multi-criteria least-cost path computation with a lexicographic comparison function generates a maximally feasible lane-level path traversal of  $P$  having a minimal number of unwanted maneuvers and lateral lane changes.*

The worst-case (time and space) complexity of generating correct lane-level guidance is obviously determined by the running time of a shortest path query on  $G' := (V', E')$ . This is known to be  $O(|E'| \log |E'|)$  using Dijkstra’s seminal algorithm [6] as  $V \in O(|E'| \cdot k)$ . The logarithmic factor is due to the fact that efficient implementations of Dijkstra’s method use a min-priority queue to generate the order in which the explored vertices of  $G'_P$  are settled. While this may already be efficient enough from a practical point of view, the theoretical runtime bound can be improved upon by getting rid of this logarithmic factor. First, we give the runtime theorem, and then sketch its proof:

**Theorem 1** (Runtime). *Optimal lane-level traversals of paths  $P$  can be computed in time  $O(|G'_P|)$ , i.e. in time linear in the size of its corresponding lane-level graph.*

Linear runtime becomes possible by removing the need to determine the order in which nodes in  $G'_P$  are settled by the search – which directly follows from the construction of  $G'_P$ . Note that the following is an inductive argument.

*Proof.* The weights at vertices of the first pod  $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$  are obviously zero-initialized and thus minimal by definition. Once weights for  $\mathcal{V}_i$  are set, weights for  $\mathcal{V}_{i+1}$  can be determined. All vertices  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{i+1}$  can be settled after vertices  $\mathcal{V}_i$  are settled by scanning the directed edges in  $G'_P$  from  $\mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i+1}$  (plus potentially pod-internal links of  $\mathcal{V}_{i+1}$ ) and noting minima weights at all  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{i+1}$ .  $\square$

The lane-level graph can be traversed pod by pod and could even be generated on the fly. This can also be seen in Figure 2: Directed edges exist only between the (dashed rectangle) pods in the same directions. The order in which to settle internal nodes of a pod can be computed in sorting time  $O(k \cdot \log k)$ . Again,  $k$  is a small constant and for practical purposes, the order could be computed by a fixed-size sorting network. In other words, the weights for nodes in a pod corresponding to a vertex  $v_i \in P$  can be found sequentially by scanning and relaxing outgoing edges from the predecessor vertex  $v_{i-1}$ . Note the similarity of this kind of graph exploration to that of a linear sweep across the input path  $P$ .

## 5 Application: Lane-level Guidance

This Section highlights a natural application of the above method in practice along with some pointers into the related literature. The idea of providing guidance to motorists along their routes by electronic means dates back several decades already as outlined by Rosen et al [17]: The aim of providing guidance is to give „the maneuvers necessary

<sup>2</sup>cf. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Interstate\\_10\\_in\\_Texas](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Interstate_10_in_Texas)

the driver should make at the upcoming intersection or interchange to reach his destination optimally“. Lovelace et al. [11] describe that higher guidance quality is correlated with mentioning more details such as more deliberate segment as well as turn descriptions. Lane-level guidance must navigate a driver through complex situations in the road network that depend on being in the right lane at the right time. Generally speaking, the advantages are obvious and can have a positive social impact: Guidance not only eases the uncertainty of drivers at decision points along a route, it also avoids excessive travel in the road network.

The *guidance*  $G_P$  of a path  $P$  describes additional attributes that are attached to a subset  $V_{P_G} \subseteq P_G$  of a path's vertices. At times these vertices are called decision points and represent the locations along the route where guidance shall be issued to a driver. The literature on the algorithmic generation of guidance for a path in a road network is scarce, unfortunately. For segment-based guidance Luxen [12] introduced the concept of *turn instruction graphs (TIGs)* to identify decision points in a generic way that allows applying so-called one-size-fits-all rules as well as user-specific ones. Guidance is generated by performing a graph transformation and by subsequently contracting edges of the resulting directed, acyclic graph repeatedly until the generated overlay is *minimal* according to the aforementioned set of rules.

Lane-level guidance is said to be *feasible* if none of the generated instructions on a source lane lead a target lane from which the next turn is impossible. The subject of lane-level guidance has only seen a few related results – mostly focusing on presentation of already-generated guidance. For example, Lee et al. [10] investigate an augmented reality system with lane-level information display. Cao et al. [3] describe a navigation system that relies on lane-precise localization and guidance generation.

The main observation here is that the foundational task of lane-level guidance is to find a valid lane-level traversal of a given input path. Constructing a lane-level graph and running a least-cost path query as explained in prior sections from the sources of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  to the sinks of  $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}$  yields a sequence of lateral lane numbers. These are the lanes that have to be taken by a driver along the route. Routes that minimize the number of lane changes and also have best-possible feasibility are obviously superior to others.

## 6 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper presents a graph transformation followed by a multi-criteria least-cost path query to evaluate the lane-level traversal of given routes. It yields traversals on the lane-level that exhibit quality guarantees. Traversals are maximally feasible and likewise avoid inconvenient situations and even lateral lane changes as much as possible.

The outlined methods lend itself to an extensive future experimental evaluation including comparative analysis of distinct map data sets with dozens of hundreds of millions of road segments and an even greater number of routes/paths. This should also encompass a comparison of the opportunistic solution with a fixed window size on real-world data sets.

An interesting avenue for future research could be to use a cost function with non-integer component values and to evaluate lane-level traversals in a fuzzy evaluation scheme similar to the one outlined by Delling et al. [5] to prune sets of pareto optimal transit journeys before presenting them to a user. The latter of which could be an interesting input into the ranking of alternate routes, e.g. [13], of routes in road networks.

## References

- [1] Hannah Bast, Daniel Delling, Andrew Goldberg, Matthias Müller-Hannemann, Thomas Pajor, Peter Sanders, Dorothea Wagner, and Renato F. Werneck. *Route Planning in Transportation Networks*, pages 19–80. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.
- [2] D. Bétaille and R. Toledo-Moreo. Creating enhanced maps for lane-level vehicle navigation. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 11(4):786–798, Dec 2010.
- [3] Chu Cao, Zhenjiang Li, Pengfei Zhou, and Mo Li. Amateur: Augmented reality based vehicle navigation system. *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.*, 2(4):155:1–155:24, December 2018.
- [4] A. Chen, A. Ramanandan, and J. A. Farrell. High-precision lane-level road map building for vehicle navigation. In *IEEE/ION Position, Location and Navigation Symposium*, pages 1035–1042, May 2010.
- [5] Daniel Delling, Julian Dibbelt, Thomas Pajor, Dorothea Wagner, and Renato F. Werneck. Computing multimodal journeys in practice. In *Experimental Algorithms*, pages 260–271, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [6] E. W. Dijkstra. A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. *Numer. Math.*, 1(1):269–271, December 1959.
- [7] Robert Geisberger and Christian Vetter. Efficient routing in road networks with turn costs. In Panos M. Pardalos and Steffen Rebennack, editors, *Experimental Algorithms*, pages 100–111, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [8] M. Haklay and P. Weber. OpenStreetMap: User-generated street maps. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 7(4):12–18, Oct 2008.
- [9] Pierre Hansen. Bicriterion path problems. In Günter Fandel and Tomas Gal, editors, *Multiple Criteria Decision Making Theory and Application*, pages 109–127, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1980. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [10] J. Lee, C. Yoon, J. Kang, B. Park, and K. Kim. Development of lane-level guidance service in vehicle augmented reality system. In *2015 17th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT)*, pages 263–266, July 2015.
- [11] Kristin L. Lovelace, Mary Hegarty, and Daniel R. Montello. Elements of good route directions in familiar and unfamiliar environments. In *Spatial Information Theory. Cognitive and Computational Foundations of Geographic Information Science*, pages 65–82. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.
- [12] Dennis Luxen. Flexible route guidance through turn instruction graphs. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on MapInteraction*, MapInteract ’13, pages 78–83, New York, NY, USA, 2013. ACM.
- [13] Dennis Luxen and Dennis Schieferdecker. Candidate sets for alternative routes in road networks. *J. Exp. Algorithmics*, 19:2.7:1–2.7:28, January 2015.
- [14] Dennis Luxen and Christian Vetter. Real-time routing with openstreetmap data. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, GIS ’11, pages 513–516, New York, NY, USA, 2011. ACM.
- [15] Heather Mitchell and Mark F. Stewart. A competitive index for international sport. *Applied Economics*, 39(5):587–603, 2007.
- [16] Frederik Ramm, Jochen Topf, and S Chilton. *Using and enhancing the Free Map of the World*. UIT, Cambridge, 2010.
- [17] D. A. Rosen, F. J. Mammano, and R. Favout. An electronic route-guidance system for highway vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 19(1):143–152, Feb 1970.
- [18] Ivo van Hilvoorde, Agnes Elling, and Ruud Stokvis. How to influence national pride? the olympic medal index as a unifying narrative. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 45(1):87–102, 2010.
- [19] Stephan Winter. Modeling costs of turns in route planning. *GeoInformatica*, 6(4):345–361, Dec 2002.
- [20] Tao Zhang, Stefano Arrigoni, Marco Garozzo, Dian ge Yang, and Federico Cheli. A lane-level road network model with global continuity. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 71:32 – 50, 2016.