

SOLVING THE OCTIC BY ITERATION IN SIX DIMENSIONS

SCOTT CRASS

ABSTRACT. The requirement for solving a polynomial is a means of breaking its symmetry, which in the case of the octic, is that of the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_8 . Its eight-dimensional linear permutation representation restricts to a six-dimensional projective action. A mapping of complex projective 6-space with this \mathcal{S}_8 symmetry can provide the requisite symmetry-breaking tool.

The article describes some of the \mathcal{S}_8 geometry in \mathbf{CP}^6 as well as a special \mathcal{S}_8 -symmetric rational map in degree four. Several basins-of-attraction plots illustrate the map's geometric and dynamical properties. The work culminates with an explicit algorithm that uses this map to solve a general octic. A concluding discussion treats the generality of this approach to equations in higher degree.

1. OVERVIEW

In [Crass 2001], I develop a solution to the quintic that relies on a single iteration in three dimensions. Given almost any quintic p , there is a map on complex projective 3-space \mathbf{CP}^3 whose dynamics provides for a root of p . This approach is geometric: the map has the \mathcal{S}_5 symmetry of the general quintic.

The present paper extends this work to the eighth-degree equation. At its core is an \mathcal{S}_8 -symmetric map on \mathbf{CP}^6 whose geometric behavior is connected to a special configuration of lines. Motivating this general project is a desire to develop solutions to equations that utilize symmetrical and geometrically elegant dynamical systems. I do not consider the numerical aspects of the algorithm. However, since the map converges very rapidly, numerical considerations might well be of interest. Indeed, the geometry associated with the map accounts for the rapid convergence.

In addition, the work establishes the existence of a method for all degrees greater than four that is analogous to the eighth-degree case. This involves showing that there is an infinite family of maps—one for each dimension greater than two—with special geometric properties.

Finally, these maps add to the examples of complex dynamics in several dimensions. This recently-active and difficult field seems to be in need of examples that are not concocted for purposes of illustration.

The work unfolds in four stages: 1) some background geometry, 2) a special map with \mathcal{S}_8 symmetry, 3) a solution to the octic based on the preceding stages, 4) a consideration of whether the octic algorithm generalizes to higher degree equations.

Section 2: \mathcal{S}_8 geometry. The setting here is \mathbf{CP}^6 upon which the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_8 acts. Finding a map with special \mathcal{S}_8 geometry requires some familiarity with this action. We will consider some features associated with the map that emerges in the second stage.

Date: March 4, 2020.

Key words and phrases. complex dynamics, equivariant maps, polynomial equations, symmetric group.

Indeed, the discovery of this map derives from an awareness of the algebraic and geometric surroundings:

- coordinate systems on \mathbf{CP}^6
- the structure of certain special orbits of points, lines, planes, and hyperplanes
- the system of \mathcal{S}_8 -invariant polynomials—the building-blocks for maps that are \mathcal{S}_8 -symmetric.

Section 3: Maps with \mathcal{S}_8 symmetry. At this stage, we exploit our geometric understanding to discover empirically a map associated with the complete graph on eight vertices—an 8-point \mathcal{S}_8 orbit. The discussion turns to its geometric and dynamical behavior—empirical testing suggests that the 8-point orbit is the only attractor. However, whether it possesses this or another desired global dynamical property is not known. In light of substantial experimental and graphical evidence, I attribute these properties to the map in conjectures.

Section 4: Dynamical solution to the octic. A special family of octics corresponds to a *rigid* family \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{S}_8 -symmetric maps on \mathbf{CP}^6 . ‘Rigidity’ means that each member of \mathcal{E} is conjugate to a single reference map f . Thus, associated with an octic p is a map $g_p = \phi_p f \phi_p^{-1}$ that we iterate. Using \mathcal{S}_8 tools, the dynamical output—conjecturally, a single \mathcal{S}_8 orbit—provides for an approximate solution to $p = 0$. Since almost any octic p transforms into the special family, the solution is general.

Note: Up to this point, the exposition follows that of [Crass 2001] which the reader can consult for details.

Section 5: Generalization: Solving the n th-degree equation by iteration in $n - 2$ dimensions. The geometric and dynamical description of the octic-solving map has an analogue for each permutation-based \mathcal{S}_n action on \mathbf{CP}^{n-2} with $n \geq 5$. Here, we can show that there is always a map with the desired special properties. Given such a map for which the n -point orbit is the attractor, the solution algorithm for the octic generalizes to one for the n th degree equation.

2. \mathcal{S}_8 ACTS ON \mathbf{CP}^6

The search for a special \mathcal{S}_8 -symmetric map begins with a faithful action of \mathcal{S}_8 . Klein’s approach to the n th degree equation was to look for the lowest dimensional faithful action of \mathcal{S}_n or the alternating group \mathcal{A}_n . For $n < 8$, there are special actions of either \mathcal{S}_n or \mathcal{A}_n ; that is, there are faithful representations that do not derive directly from permutations on \mathbf{C}^n . However, special geometry—at least for linear actions—ends at $n = 7$. When $n > 7$, the space of least dimension on which \mathcal{S}_n or \mathcal{A}_n acts faithfully is \mathbf{CP}^{n-2} . [Wiman 1899]

The permutation action of the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_8 on \mathbf{C}^8 preserves the hyperplane

$$\mathcal{H}_x = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^8 x_k = 0 \right\} \simeq \mathbf{C}^7$$

and, thereby, restricts to a faithful seven-dimensional irreducible representation. This \mathbf{C}^7 action projects one-to-one to a group $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ on \mathbf{CP}^6 .

2.1. Coordinates. For many purposes, the most perspicuous geometric description of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ employs eight coordinates that sum to zero. One advantage is the simple expression of the \mathcal{S}_8 -duality between points and hyperplanes. In general, for a finite action \mathcal{G} whose matrix

representatives are unitary, a point a is \mathcal{G} -dual to the hyperplane

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\bar{a} \cdot x = 0\}.$$

Consequently, a and \mathcal{L} have the same stabilizer in \mathcal{G} . Since the action of \mathcal{S}_8 on \mathbf{C}^7 is orthogonal, a point

$$a = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8]_{\sum a_k=0} \in \mathbf{CP}^6$$

corresponds to the hyperplane

$$\{a \cdot x = 0\} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^8 a_k x_k = 0 \right\}.$$

(Square brackets indicate homogeneous coordinates.)

A system of seven *hyperplane coordinates* describes the hyperplane \mathcal{H}_u . It arises from the “hermitian” change of variable

$$u = Hx \quad x = \overline{H^T}u \quad H = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega & i & \omega^3 & -1 & \omega^5 & -i & \omega^7 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i & 1 & i & -1 & -i \\ 1 & \omega^3 & -i & \omega & -1 & \omega^7 & i & \omega^5 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & \omega^5 & i & \omega^7 & -1 & \omega & -i & \omega^3 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i & 1 & -i & -1 & i \\ 1 & \omega^7 & -i & \omega^5 & -1 & \omega^3 & i & \omega \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\omega = e^{\pi i/4}$ and the choice of scalar factor gives

$$(2.1) \quad H \overline{H^T} = I_7 \quad \overline{H^T} H = (a_{ij}) \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\frac{7}{8} & i = j \\ \frac{1}{8} & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 8.$$

2.2. Invariant polynomials. According to the fundamental result on symmetric functions the n elementary symmetric functions of degrees one through n generate the ring of \mathcal{S}_n -invariant polynomials. Since the \mathcal{S}_8 action on \mathbf{CP}^6 occurs where the degree-1 symmetric polynomial vanishes, there are seven generating $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ -invariants. By Newton’s identities, the power sums

$$F_k(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^8 x_\ell^k \quad k = 2, \dots, 8$$

also generate the $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ invariants. In hyperplane coordinates, the *forms* in degrees two and three are

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2(u) &= F_2(\overline{H^T}u) = u_4^2 + 2u_3u_5 + 2u_2u_6 + 2u_1u_7 \\ \Phi_3(u) &= \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} (u_2u_3^2 + u_2^2u_4 + 2u_1u_3u_4 + 2u_1u_2u_5 + u_1^2u_6 + u_5^2u_6 + u_4u_6^2 \\ &\quad + 2u_4u_5u_7 + 2u_3u_6u_7 + u_2u_7^2) \end{aligned}$$

The remaining five generating invariants $\Phi_k(u)$ arise algebraically from these two. Classical techniques show that a *relative invariant*—invariant up to a multiplicative character—results from taking the determinant of the *bordered hessian*

$$BH(F, G, J) = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1} \\ & H(F) & & \vdots \\ & & & \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of three $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ invariants F , G , and J . The $n \times n$ matrix $H(F)$ is the hessian of F .

Proposition 2.1. *Given $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $B(x) = \det(BH(F(x), G(x), J(x)))$ for invariants F, G, J ,*

$$B(Tx) = (\det T)^{-2}B(x).$$

For the permutation action of \mathcal{S}_8 , this results in an *absolute invariant* that is expressible in terms of the generators Φ_k . The following result will serve a subsequent computational purpose. (Many of this work’s results arise from *Mathematica* computations.)

Proposition 2.2. *With*

$$\begin{aligned} G_4 &= \det(BH(\Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_3)) & G_5 &= \det(BH(\Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4)) \\ G_6 &= \det(BH(\Phi_2, \Phi_4, \Phi_4)) & G_7 &= \det(BH(\Phi_2, \Phi_4, \Phi_5)) \\ G_8 &= \det(BH(\Phi_2, \Phi_5, \Phi_5)), \end{aligned}$$

the “power-sum” invariants are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_4 &= \frac{1}{576}(72\Phi_2^2 + G_4) & \Phi_5 &= \frac{1}{768}(96\Phi_2\Phi_3 + G_5) \\ \Phi_6 &= \frac{1}{960}(120\Phi_2\Phi_4 + G_6) & \Phi_7 &= \frac{1}{1280}(160\Phi_3\Phi_4 + G_7) \\ \Phi_8 &= \frac{1}{1600}(200\Phi_4^2 + G_8). \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Special orbits. The 6-dimensional \mathcal{S}_8 action comes in both real and complex versions. This means that, in the standard x coordinates, $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ acts on \mathcal{R} —the \mathbf{RP}^6 of points with real components. TABLE 2.1 enumerates some special orbits contained in \mathcal{R} . For ease of expression, I will refer to special points (or lines, planes, etc.) in terms of the orbit size: “8-points” (28-lines, 56-planes, 28-hyperplanes). Also, these points receive a symbolic description in reference to orbit size (superscript) and coordinate expression (subscript).

Corresponding to each special point a is the hyperplane $\{a \cdot x = 0\}$. In the case of the 28-points

$$[1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \dots, [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1],$$

there are the 28-hyperplanes

$$\mathcal{L}_{28_{12}}^5 = \{x_1 = x_2\}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{28_{78}}^5 = \{x_7 = x_8\}.$$

The involutions

$$x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2, \dots, x_7 \leftrightarrow x_8$$

pointwise fix the respective hyperplanes. These 28 transpositions generate $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ so that it acts as both a *real* and *complex reflection group*. (See [Shephard and Todd 1954].)

Various special planes and lines appear as intersections of the 28-hyperplanes. TABLE 2.2 and TABLE 2.3 summarize the situation. Of particular dynamical significance is the collection of 28-lines $\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^1$. This configuration forms the complete graph on the 8-points. (See Figure 1 for two views.)




FIGURE 1. Configuration of 28-lines and 8-points

TABLE 2.1. Three special orbits

Size	Representative	Descriptor	Stabilizer
8	$[-7, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]$	p_1^8	\mathcal{S}_7
28	$[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1]$	p_{78}^{28}	$\mathcal{S}_6 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$
28	$[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -3, -3]$	q_{78}^{28}	$\mathcal{S}_6 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$

3. EQUIVARIANT MAPS

The primary tool to be used in solving the general octic is a rational map f with \mathcal{S}_8 symmetry. In algebraic terms, this means that

$$f \circ T = T f \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{G}_{8!}$$

while the geometric upshot is that f sends $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ -orbits to $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ -orbits. Furthermore, the map should have *reliable dynamics*: its attractor

- 1) is a *single* $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ orbit
- 2) has a corresponding basin with full measure in \mathbf{CP}^6 (*strongly* reliable)
- 2') alternatively, has a corresponding basin that is dense in \mathbf{CP}^6 (*weakly* reliable).

TABLE 2.2. Some fundamental \mathbf{CP}^2 orbits

Geometric definition	Descriptor	Set-wise stabilizer	Point-wise stabilizer	Restricted action
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{ik}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{il}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{im}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{56}^2	$\mathcal{S}_5 \times \mathcal{S}_3$	\mathcal{S}_5	\mathcal{S}_3
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kl}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{mn}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{pq}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{105}^2	$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^4$	\mathbf{Z}_2^4	\mathcal{S}_4
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{ik}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{\ell m}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{\ell n}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{280}^2	$\mathcal{S}_3^2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^2$	\mathcal{S}_3^2	\mathbf{Z}_2^2
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kl}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{km}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kn}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{420}^2	$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^2$	$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$	\mathbf{Z}_2
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kl}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{mn}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{mp}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{840}^2	$\mathcal{S}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^3$	$\mathcal{S}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^2$	\mathbf{Z}_2

TABLE 2.3. Special \mathbf{CP}^1 orbits

Geometric definition	Descriptor	Set-wise stabilizer	Point-wise stabilizer	Restricted action
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{ik}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{il}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{im}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{in}}^5$	$\mathcal{L}_{28_{pq}}^1$ $p, q \neq i, \dots, n$	$\mathcal{S}_6 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$	\mathcal{S}_6	\mathbf{Z}_2
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kl}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{km}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kn}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kp}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{168}^1	$\mathcal{S}_5 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$	$\mathcal{S}_5 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$	\mathbf{Z}_1
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kl}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{mn}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{mp}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{mq}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{210}^1	$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^3$	$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^2$	\mathbf{Z}_2
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{kl}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{km}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{np}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{nq}}^5$	\mathcal{L}_{280}^1	$\mathcal{S}_3^2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^2$	$\mathcal{S}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$	\mathbf{Z}_2
$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{ik}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{\ell m}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{\ell n}}^5 \cap \mathcal{L}_{28_{\ell p}}^5$	\mathcal{M}_{280}^1	$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{S}_3$	$\mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{S}_3$	\mathbf{Z}_1

3.1. Basic maps. A finite group action \mathcal{G} on \mathbf{C}^n induces an action on the associated exterior algebra. Moreover, \mathcal{G} -invariant $(n-1)$ -forms correspond to \mathcal{G} -equivariant maps. (See [Crass 1999].)

For a reflection group, the number of generating 0-forms (that is, polynomials) is the dimension of the action. [Shephard and Todd 1954, p. 282] From a result in complex reflection groups, this is also the number of generating 1-forms and $(n-1)$ -forms. [Orlik and Terao 1992, p. 232] Indeed, the generating 1-forms are exterior derivatives of the 0-forms while the generating $(n-1)$ -forms are wedge products of 1-forms.

Proposition 3.1. *With $X_i^k = -7x_i^k + \sum_{j \neq i} x_j^k$, the seven maps*

$$f_k(x) = [X_1^k, X_2^k, X_3^k, X_4^k, X_5^k, X_6^k, X_7^k, X_8^k] \quad k = 1, \dots, 7$$

generate the module of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ equivariants over the ring of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ invariants.

These maps are projections onto the hyperplane \mathcal{H}_x along $[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]$ of the power maps

$$[x_1^k, x_2^k, x_3^k, x_4^k, x_5^k, x_6^k, x_7^k, x_8^k].$$

Proposition 3.2. *Under an orthogonal action an invariant $F(x)$ gives rise to an equivariant $f(x)$ by means of a formal gradient*

$$f(x) = \nabla_x F(x) = \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}(x) \right].$$

Proof. See [Crass 2001]. □

Note that the $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ -equivariant $f_k(x)$ is *not* equal to $\nabla_x F_{k+1}(x)$, but is a multiple of

$$\nabla_x F_{k+1}(x)|_{x_i^k \rightarrow X_i^k}.$$

While this may be a source of confusion, it does not cause problems since we are working on the hyperplane \mathcal{H}_x . When using hyperplane coordinates on \mathcal{H}_u , the discrepancy manifests itself in the appearance of a constant $-\frac{8}{k+1}$ for each map $\phi_k(u)$. (See below.)

Recalling the change of coordinates from \mathcal{H}_x to \mathcal{H}_u , a map on \mathcal{H}_x expresses itself as a map

$$\phi(u) = H f(\overline{H^T u})$$

on \mathcal{H}_u . Having these maps in terms of the basic u -invariants $\Phi_k(u)$ will be useful.

Definition 3.1. Let

$$R = (r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & i + j = 8 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u^r F(u) = R \nabla_u F(u)$$

represent the *reversed identity* and *reversed gradient*.

Proposition 3.3. *In \mathcal{H}_u coordinates, the map $\phi(u) = H f(\overline{H^T u})$ is given by*

$$\phi(u) = \nabla_u^r \Phi(u)$$

where $\Phi(u) = F(\overline{H^T u}) = F(x)$ and $f(x) = \nabla_x F(x)$.

Proof. [Crass 2001]. □

Thus, the generating equivariants $\phi_k(u) = H f_k(\overline{H^T u})$ are

$$(3.2) \quad \phi_k(u) = -\frac{8}{k+1} \nabla_u^r \Phi_{k+1}(u).$$

Although the factors $-\frac{8}{k+1}$ have no projective effect on the maps individually, they do play a role when forming combinations of maps from parametrized families that do not have a common degree. (See Section 4.6.) Explicit expressions for the maps of degrees one and two are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(u) &= -8[u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7] \\ \phi_2(u) &= -2\sqrt{2} [2(u_4 u_5 + u_3 u_6 + u_2 u_7), u_1^2 + u_5^2 + 2u_4 u_6 + 2u_3 u_7, \\ &\quad 2(u_1 u_2 + u_5 u_6 + u_4 u_7), u_2^2 + 2u_1 u_3 + u_6^2 + 2u_5 u_7, 2(u_2 u_3 + u_1 u_4 + u_6 u_7), \\ &\quad u_3^2 + 2u_2 u_4 + 2u_1 u_5 + u_7^2, 2(u_3 u_4 + u_2 u_5 + u_1 u_6)]. \end{aligned}$$

The lengthy results for the remaining maps are available at [Crass 2000].

3.2. A fixed point property. For a $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ -equivariant f and a point a that an element $T \in \mathcal{G}_{8!}$ fixes,

$$T f(a) = f(Ta) = f(a).$$

Hence, equivariants preserve fixed points of a group element.

Being pointwise fixed by the involution

$$x_i \longleftrightarrow x_j,$$

a 28-hyperplane

$$\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5 = \{x_i - x_j = 0\}$$

either maps to itself or collapses to its companion 28-point

$$p_{ij}^{28} = [\dots 0 \dots, \overbrace{1}^i, \dots 0 \dots, \overbrace{-1}^j, \dots 0 \dots] \notin \mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5.$$

In the former generic case, the map preserves the planes and lines that are intersections of 28-hyperplanes. (See Tables 2.2 and 2.3.)

3.3. Families of equivariants. The $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ equivariants form a degree-graded module over the $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ invariants. This means that for an invariant F_ℓ and equivariant g_m of degrees ℓ and m , the product

$$F_\ell \cdot g_m$$

is an equivariant of degree $\ell + m$. When looking for a map in a certain degree k with special geometric or dynamical properties, my approach is to express the entire family of “ k -maps” and by manipulation of parameters, locate a subfamily with the desired behavior.

3.4. A special map in degree four. In the configuration of 28-lines $\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^1$ each 8-point lies at the intersection of seven lines while each $\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^1$ contains the points p_i^8 and p_j^8 . (See Section 2.3.) Moreover, these are the only intersections of 28-lines. We can attempt to exploit this structure by looking for a map with superattracting “pipes” along the 28-lines: this means that, at each point on the line, the map is critical in all five “off-line” directions. Under such a map, the 8-points would be superattracting in all directions. In addition, we want the map’s degree to be as small as possible. Degrees two and three avail us of too few parameters.

The family of 4-maps has (homogeneous) dimension three:

$$\alpha_1 \Phi_3 \phi_1 + \alpha_2 \Phi_2 \phi_2 + \alpha_3 \phi_4.$$

Of course, choosing two parameter values determines a map on projective space. Obtaining a map g_4 for which the 28-lines are critical in the off-line directions requires two parameters. With the third parameter, we choose a lift of the map to \mathbf{C}^7 that fixes the 8-points. The result is

$$(3.3) \quad g_4 = -2 \Phi_3 \phi_1 - 9 \Phi_2 \phi_2 + 84 \phi_4.$$

The central dynamical role played by the 8-points suggests that a good choice of coordinates for this map places these points at

$$[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \dots, [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1], [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1].$$

Using $[v_1, \dots, v_7]$ for this system, the map takes the form

$$g_4(v) = [v_1 T_1(v), \dots, v_7 T_7(v)]$$

where

$$T_k(v) = 7v_k^3 - 4v_k^2 S_1(\widehat{v}_k) + 2v_k S_2(\widehat{v}_k) - S_3(\widehat{v}_k),$$

S_k is the degree- k elementary symmetric function in six variables, and

$$\widehat{v}_k = (\dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots).$$

In the v -coordinates, the equations

$$v_i = x, \quad v_j = y, \quad v_k = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq i, j$$

determine 21 of the 28-lines $\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^1$. For all k , each term in $S_2(\widehat{v}_k)$ and $S_3(\widehat{v}_k)$ contains at least one v_ℓ ($\ell \neq i, j$). Thus,

$$S_2(\widehat{v}_k)|_{\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_3(\widehat{v}_k)|_{\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^1} = 0$$

so that in the inhomogeneous coordinate $z = \frac{x}{y}$ on the line the map restricts to

$$z \longrightarrow -z^3 \frac{7z - 4}{4z - 7}$$

while the pair of 8-points appear at 0 and ∞ .

Proposition 3.4. *The 8-points p_i^8, p_j^8 are the attractor for the restriction $g = g_4|_{\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^1}$. Furthermore, in the coordinates used above, the Julia set J_g is the unit circle.*

Proof. Since the mobius transformation

$$\frac{7z - 4}{4z - 7}$$

preserves the unit disk,

$$|g(z)| < |z|^3$$

for $|z| < 1$. If $|z| < 1$, iteration yields

$$|g^n(z)| < |g^{n-1}(z)|^3 < \dots < |g(z)|^{3^{n-1}} < |z|^{3^n}.$$

Thus, every point in the disk belongs to the basin of the superattracting fixed point 0. Since g is symmetric under

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{1}{z},$$

the basin of ∞ is $\{|z| > 1\}$.

The complete invariance of $\{|z| = 1\}$ implies that it contains and, indeed, is the map's Julia set. □

Thus, the basins of the 8-points contain the 28-lines excepting the \mathbf{RP}^1 equator—the unit circle in the coordinates above. Along this circle C , g_4 has periodic, preperiodic, and what we might call chaotic saddle points. Attached to each point z on C is a 5-dimensional stable manifold W_z consisting of points attracted to C , that is, to the trajectory of z . Locally, the stable manifolds are mutually disjoint and, collectively over the circle, give a stable manifold W_C of C whose real-dimension is eleven and that belongs to the basin boundaries of the pair of 8-points. We can see (real) 2-dimensional slices of this stable manifold by plotting basins of attraction on spaces that are g_4 -invariant and intersect W_C . (See APPENDIX 6.)

By construction, g_4 self-maps each \mathcal{S}_6 -symmetric 28-hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_{28_{ij}}^5$ and hence, preserves each \mathbf{CP}^1 and \mathbf{CP}^2 intersection of hyperplanes. Denote these 1- and 2-dimensional spaces by \mathcal{L}_m^1 and \mathcal{L}_n^2 . Furthermore, g_4 is equivariant under the antiholomorphic transformation

$$x \longrightarrow \bar{x}$$

and, thereby, preserves \mathcal{R} —the \mathcal{S}_8 -symmetric \mathbf{RP}^6 . We can get a picture of the map's *restricted dynamics* by plotting basins of attraction on \mathcal{L}_m^1 —a \mathbf{CP}^1 —and on the \mathbf{RP}^2 intersections

$$\mathcal{L}_n^2 \cap \mathcal{R}.$$

The basin portraits appear in APPENDIX 6. Graphical and experimental evidence support a claim of reliability for g_4 .

Conjecture 3.1. *The attractor for g_4 is the 8-point orbit.*

Conjecture 3.2. *Under g_4 , the basins of the 8-points fill up \mathbf{CP}^6 in measure.*

Finally, since g_4 has real coefficients (see (3.3)), it preserves the \mathbf{RP}^6 whose points have real u coordinates. This is *not* the \mathcal{S}_8 -symmetric \mathcal{R} . Rather it has the \mathcal{S}_7 symmetry of p_1^8 which is $[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]$ in the u space. Accordingly, there are eight spaces of this type.

4. SOLVING THE OCTIC

To compute a root of a polynomial, one must overcome its symmetry. For a general equation of degree n the obstacle is \mathcal{S}_n . Klein described a means to this end: given values for an independent set of \mathcal{S}_n -invariant homogeneous polynomials

$$a_1 = G_1(x) \dots a_m = G_m(x),$$

find the \mathcal{S}_n orbits of solutions x to these equations. [Klein 1913, pp. 69ff] This task of inverting the G_k is the *form problem on \mathcal{S}_n* . It also has an inhomogeneous manifestation: for $m - 1$ given values, invert $m - 1$ invariant rational functions of degree zero.

By iterating a reliable \mathcal{S}_n equivariant we can break the obstructing symmetry. In effect, the dynamics provides a mechanism for solving the form problem and, hence, the n th degree equation.

4.1. Parameters. The $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ rational form problem is to solve

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \frac{\Phi_3(u)^2}{\Phi_2(u)^3} & K_2 &= \frac{\Phi_4(u)}{\Phi_2(u)^2} & K_3 &= \frac{\Phi_5(u)}{\Phi_2(u) \Phi_3(u)} \\ K_4 &= \frac{\Phi_6(u)}{\Phi_2(u)^3} & K_5 &= \frac{\Phi_7(u)}{\Phi_2(u) \Phi_5(u)} & K_6 &= \frac{\Phi_8(u)}{\Phi_2(u)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

As functions, the K_i define the $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ quotient map

$$\begin{aligned} [K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4, K_5, K_6, 1] &= \\ [\Phi_2 \Phi_3^3 \Phi_5, \Phi_2^2 \Phi_3 \Phi_4 \Phi_5, \Phi_2^3 \Phi_5^2, \Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_5 \Phi_6, \Phi_2^3 \Phi_3 \Phi_7, \Phi_3 \Phi_5 \Phi_8, \Phi_2^4 \Phi_3 \Phi_5] & \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathbf{CP}^6 \setminus \{\Phi_2 = \Phi_3 = \Phi_5 = 0\}$. The generic fiber over points in \mathbf{CP}^6 is a $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ orbit given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Phi_3^2 = K_1 \Phi_2^3\} \cap \{\Phi_4 = K_2 \Phi_2^2\} \cap \{\Phi_5 = K_3 \Phi_2 \Phi_3\} \\ \cap \{\Phi_6 = K_4 \Phi_2^3\} \cap \{\Phi_7 = K_5 \Phi_2 \Phi_5\} \cap \{\Phi_8 = K_6 \Phi_2^4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Exceptional locations are

$$[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]$$

where the respective fibers are the hypersurfaces $\{\Phi_3 = 0\}$, $\{\Phi_5 = 0\}$, and $\{\Phi_2 = 0\}$. The parameters K_i forge a link between octic equations and $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ actions. The connection consists in K -parametrizations of each regime.

4.2. A family of \mathcal{S}_8 quintics. Let \mathcal{G}_v be a version of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ that acts on a v -coordinated \mathbf{CP}_v^6 . This will be a parameter space—the coordinate v merely stands in for u . The linear polynomials

$$(4.4) \quad X_k(x) = -7x_k + \sum_{i \neq k} x_i$$

form an orbit of size eight. Let

$$L_k(u) = X_k(\overline{H^T} u).$$

Then the rational functions

$$\sigma_k(v) = \frac{\Phi_2(v) L_k(v)}{\Phi_3(v)}$$

also give an 8-orbit. Taking the σ_k as roots of a polynomial

$$R_v(s) = \prod_{k=1}^8 (s - \sigma_k(v)) = \sum_{k=0}^8 C_k(v) s^{8-k}$$

creates a family of octics whose members generically have \mathcal{S}_8 symmetry. Since \mathcal{G}_v permutes the $\sigma_k(v)$, each coefficient $C_k(v)$ is \mathcal{G}_v -invariant and hence, expressible in the basic forms $\Phi_k(v)$. Ultimately, we can express each C_k in terms of the K_i . By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= 1 \\ C_1 &= 0 \\ C_2 &= -\frac{32}{K_1} \\ C_3 &= \frac{512}{3 K_1^2} \\ C_4 &= \frac{512(1 - 2 K_2)}{8 K_1^2} \\ C_5 &= \frac{16384(-5 + 6 K_3)}{15 K_1^2} \\ C_6 &= \frac{-16384(-3 + 8 K_1 + 18 K_2 - 24 K_4)}{9 K_1^3} \\ C_7 &= \frac{262144(35 - 70 K_2 - 84 K_3 - 120 K_3 K_5)}{105 K_1^3} \\ C_8 &= \frac{131072(15 - 160 K_1 + 180 K_2 + 180 K_2^2 + 384 K_1 K_3 + 480 K_4 - 720 K_6)}{45 K_1^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Members of the family of octic *resolvents*

$$R_K(s) = \sum_{n=0}^8 C_n s^{8-n}$$

parametrized by $K = (K_1, \dots, K_6)$ are particularly well-suited for an iterative solution that employs g_4 . For chosen values of the K_i , a solution to the resulting form problem yields a root of R_K . We can use $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ symmetry to find such a solution without explicitly inverting the K_i equations. Our attention will turn to this issue after we connect the general octic to the special family R_K .

4.3. Reduction of the general octic to the $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ resolvent. By means of a linear *Tschirnhaus* transformation

$$x \longrightarrow y - \frac{a_1}{8}$$

the general octic

$$p(x) = x^8 + a_1 x^7 + a_2 x^6 + a_3 x^5 + a_4 x^4 + a_5 x^3 + a_6 x^2 + a_7 x + a_8$$

becomes the *standard 7-parameter resolvent*

$$q(y) = y^8 + b_2 y^6 + b_3 y^5 + b_4 y^4 + b_5 y^3 + b_6 y^2 + b_7 y + b_8$$

where

$$b_2 = \frac{-7 a_1^2 + 16 a_2}{16}$$

$$b_3 = \frac{7 a_1^3 - 24 a_1 a_2 + 32 a_3}{32}$$

$$b_4 = \frac{-105 a_1^4 + 480 a_1^2 a_2 - 1280 a_1 a_3 + 2048 a_4}{2048}$$

$$b_5 = \frac{7 a_1^5 - 40 a_1^3 a_2 + 160 a_1^2 a_3 - 512 a_1 a_4 + 1024 a_5}{1024}$$

$$b_6 = \frac{-35 a_1^6 + 240 a_1^4 a_2 - 1280 a_1^3 a_3 + 6144 a_1^2 a_4 - 24576 a_1 a_5 + 65536 a_6}{65536}$$

$$b_7 = \frac{3 a_1^7 - 24 a_1^5 a_2 + 160 a_1^4 a_3 - 1024 a_1^3 a_4 + 6144 a_1^2 a_5 - 32768 a_1 a_6 + 131072 a_7}{131072}$$

$$b_8 = \frac{-7}{16777216} (a_1^8 + 64 a_1^6 a_2 - 512 a_1^5 a_3 + 4096 a_1^4 a_4 - 32768 a_1^3 a_5 + 262144 a_1^2 a_6 - 2097152 a_1 a_7 + 16777216 a_8).$$

Application of another linear *Tschirnhaus* transformation

$$s \longrightarrow \frac{y}{\lambda}$$

converts the 6-parameter family $R_K(s)$ into a $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ resolvent

$$\Sigma_{K,\lambda}(y) = \lambda^8 R_K\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^8 \lambda^n C_n y^{8-n}$$

in the seven parameters K_1, \dots, K_6 , and the *auxiliary* λ .

The functions

$$b_n = \lambda^n C_n(K)$$

relate the coefficients of q and $\Sigma_{K,\lambda}$. The b_n invert to

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \frac{-9b_3^2}{8b_2^3} \\ K_2 &= \frac{b_2^2 - 2b_4}{2b_2^2} \\ K_3 &= \frac{5(b_2b_3 - b_5)}{6b_2b_3} \\ K_4 &= \frac{2b_2^3 - 3b_3^2 - 6b_2b_4 + 6b_6}{8b_2^3} \\ K_5 &= \frac{7(b_2^2b_3 - b_3b_4 - b_2b_5 + b_7)}{10b_2(b_2b_3 - b_5)} \\ K_6 &= \frac{b_2^4 - 4b_2b_3^2 - 4b_2^2b_4 + 2b_4^2 + 4b_3b_5 + 4b_2b_6 - 4b_8}{8b_2^4} \\ \lambda &= \frac{-3b_3}{16b_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, almost any octic descends to a member of R_K . The reduction fails when

$$-7a_1^2 + 16a_2 = 16b_2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 7a_1^3 - 24a_1a_2 + 32a_3 = 32b_3 = 0$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} &-105a_1^5 + 600a_1^3a_2 - 768a_1a_2^2 - 608a_1^2a_3 + 1024a_2a_3 + 512a_1a_4 - 1024a_5 \\ &= 1024(b_2b_3 - b_5) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

A solution to the special resolvent R_K then ascends to a solution to the general quintic.

4.4. A family of \mathcal{G}_8 actions. With the basic \mathcal{G}_v forms and maps, we can define the *parametrized change of coordinates*

$$u = \tau_v w = \sum_{k=1}^7 (\Phi_{9-k}(v) \phi_k(v)) w_k.$$

For a choice of parameter v ,

$$\tau_v : \mathbf{CP}_w^6 \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}_u^6$$

is linear in w and provides a parametrized family of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ groups

$$\mathcal{G}_w^v = \tau_v^{-1} \mathcal{G}_u \tau_v.$$

A matrix form results from taking the $\phi_k(v)$ as column vectors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_8(v) \phi_1(v) & \dots & \Phi_2(v) \phi_7(v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The setup here is as follows.

- \mathcal{G}_u is a version of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ that acts on a *reference space* \mathbf{CP}_u^6 .
- \mathcal{G}_v is a version of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ that acts on a *parameter space* \mathbf{CP}_v^6 .
- \mathcal{G}_u and \mathcal{G}_v have identical expressions in their respective coordinates.

- \mathcal{G}_w^v are versions of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ that act on v -parametrized spaces \mathbf{CP}_w^6 .
- The iteration that solves octics R_K takes place in \mathbf{CP}_w^6 .

Each \mathcal{G}_w^v has its system of invariants and equivariants. From this point of view we can see, in the resolvents R_v and \mathcal{G}_w^v equivariants, a connection between octics and dynamical systems. Furthermore, each \mathcal{G}_w^v invariant and equivariant is expressible in the K_i . This circumstance connects a resolvent R_K with \mathcal{G}_w^v -symmetric maps.

By construction, $\tau_v w$ possesses an equivariance property:

$$\tau_{Av} w = A \tau_v w \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{G}_v, \mathcal{G}_u.$$

The determinant of τ_v will enter into upcoming calculations and so, demands some attention. Since

$$\det \tau_{Av} = \det A \det \tau_v,$$

$\det \tau_v$ is invariant under the \mathcal{A}_8 subgroup $\mathcal{G}_{8!/2}$ of \mathcal{G}_v but only relatively invariant under the full \mathcal{S}_8 group $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$. (The ‘‘even transformations’’ have determinant 1 while the odd elements have determinant -1 .) Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det \tau_v &= \left(\prod_{k=2}^8 \Phi_k(v) \right) \det(\phi_1(v) \cdots \phi_7(v)) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=2}^8 \Phi_k(v) \right) \Psi_{28}(v) \end{aligned}$$

where Ψ_{28} is, according to a basic result in reflection group theory, a scalar multiple of the product of the 28 linear forms associated with the 28 hyperplanes that are fixed by the reflections that generate \mathcal{G}_v . Furthermore, Ψ_{28} is invariant under the group $\mathcal{G}_{8!/2}$ (isomorphic to the alternating group \mathcal{A}_8) but is relatively invariant under $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$. Consequently, the degree-126 square of $\det \tau_v$ is $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ -invariant with K -expression

$$(\det \tau_v)^2 = \Phi_2^{63}(v) t_K.$$

The explicit form of t_K appears at [Crass 2000].

4.5. A family of \mathcal{S}_8 invariants. The equivariance in v of $\tau_v w$ implies that $\Phi_2(\tau_v w)$ is \mathcal{G}_v -invariant. Thus, each w coefficient of $\Phi_2(\tau_v w)$ inherits the same invariance. Since

$$\deg_v \Phi_2(\tau_v w) = \deg_u \Phi_2(u) \cdot \deg_v \tau_v w = 2 \cdot 9 = 18,$$

the rational function

$$\frac{\Phi_2(u)}{\Phi_2(v)^9} = \frac{\Phi_2(\tau_v w)}{\Phi_2(v)^9}$$

is degree zero in v and thereby, expressible in K . For each w monomial, we can solve a system of linear equations whose dimension is that of the degree-18 \mathcal{G}_v invariants. The result is an explicit expression in K for each w -coefficient of $\Phi_2(\tau_v w)$. Let

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi_2(v)^9 \Phi_{2K}(w) = \Phi_2(u)$$

define the basic degree-2 \mathcal{G}_w^v invariant $\Phi_{2K}(w)$. Similar considerations apply in degree three where

$$(4.6) \quad \Phi_2(v)^{12} \Phi_3(v) \Phi_{3K}(w) = \Phi_3(u).$$

The results appear at [Crass 2000].

By Proposition 2.2, the degree-4 and degree-5 invariants derive from those in degrees two and three. The chain rule determines a transformation formula for the bordered hessian. Let $|\cdot|$ represent the determinant and A^T the transpose of A .

Proposition 4.1. *For $y = Ax$,*

$$BH_x(F(y), G(y), J(y)) = \begin{pmatrix} A^T & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} BH_y(F(y), G(y), J(y)) \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the subscript indicates the variable of differentiation. Thus,

$$|BH_x(F(y), G(y), J(y))| = |A|^2 |BH_y(F(y), G(y), J(y))|.$$

Applied to the parametrized change of variable $w = \tau_v^{-1}u$, this formula along with (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} G_4(u) &= |BH_u(\Phi_2(u), \Phi_3(u), \Phi_3(u))| \\ &= \left| BH_u(\Phi_2(v)^9 \Phi_{2_K}(w), \Phi_2(v)^{12} \Phi_3(v) \Phi_{3_K}(w), \Phi_2(v)^{12} \Phi_3(v) \Phi_{3_K}(w)) \right| \\ &= \frac{\Phi_2(v)^{6 \cdot 9 + 2 \cdot 12} \Phi_3(v)^2}{|\tau_v|^2} \left| BH_w(\Phi_{2_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w)) \right| \\ &= \frac{\Phi_2(v)^{78} \Phi_3(v)^2}{\Phi_2(v)^{63} t_K} \left| BH_w(\Phi_{2_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w)) \right| \\ &= \frac{\Phi_2(v)^{15} \Phi_3(v)^2}{t_K} \left| BH_w(\Phi_{2_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w)) \right| \\ &= \frac{\Phi_2(v)^{18}}{t_K} \frac{\Phi_3(v)^2}{\Phi_2(v)^3} \left| BH_w(\Phi_{2_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w)) \right| \\ &= \Phi_2(v)^{18} \frac{K_1}{t_K} \left| BH_w(\Phi_{2_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w), \Phi_{3_K}(w)) \right| \\ &= \Phi_2(v)^{18} G_{4_K}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_4(u) &= \frac{1}{576} (72 \Phi_2(v)^{18} \Phi_{2_K}(w)^2 + \Phi_2(v)^{18} G_{4_K}(w)) \\ &= \Phi_2(v)^{18} \Phi_{4_K}(w) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} G_5(u) &= |BH_u(\Phi_2(u), \Phi_3(u), \Phi_4(u))| \\ &= \left| BH_u(\Phi_2(v)^9 \Phi_{2_K}(w), \Phi_2(v)^{12} \Phi_3(v) \Phi_{3_K}(w), \Phi_2(v)^{18} \Phi_{4_K}(w)) \right| \\ &= \Phi_2(v)^{21} \Phi_3(v) G_{5_K}(w) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_5(u) &= \frac{1}{768} (96 \Phi_2(v)^9 \Phi_{2_K}(w) \Phi_2(v)^{12} \Phi_3(v) \Phi_{3_K}(w) + \Phi_2(v)^{21} \Phi_3(v) G_{5_K}(w)) \\ &= \Phi_2(v)^{21} \Phi_3(v) \Phi_{5_K}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Employed here are the obvious definitions

$$\begin{aligned} G_{4K}(w) &= \frac{K_1}{t_K} \left| BH_w(\Phi_{2K}(w), \Phi_{3K}(w), \Phi_{3K}(w)) \right| \\ G_{5K}(w) &= \frac{1}{t_K} \left| BH_w(\Phi_{2K}(w), \Phi_{3K}(w), \Phi_{4K}(w)) \right| \end{aligned}$$

as well as natural definitions for $\Phi_{4K}(w)$ and $\Phi_{5K}(w)$.

4.6. A family of \mathcal{S}_8 equivariants. Emerging from each \mathcal{G}_w^v action is a version $\tau_v^{-1} g_4(\tau_v w)$ of $g_4(u)$. Being \mathcal{G}_v -invariant, these maps also admit parametrization by K . In this way, each octic R_K enters into association with a dynamical system g_K on \mathbf{CP}_w^6 .

The reversed identity R and gradient $\nabla^r = R \nabla$ appeared in the context of a change from eight x coordinates to seven u coordinates. (See Definition 3.1.) In the present setting, a *reversed transpose* arises.

Definition 4.1. The *repose* A^r of an $n \times n$ matrix A is its reflection through the *reversed diagonal*—the entries whose subscripts sum to $n + 1$. Alternatively,

$$A^r = R A^T R.$$

Proposition 4.2. For a coordinate change $x = Ay$ and a function $F(y) = \tilde{F}(x)$, the *reversed gradient map* transforms by

$$\nabla_y^r F(y) = A^r \nabla_x^r \tilde{F}(x).$$

Proof. See [Crass 2001], Proposition 4.2, but note that the coordinate change there should be $w = Au$. □

Using (3.2), the degree-1 $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ map is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(u) &= -\frac{8}{2} \nabla_u^r \Phi_2(v)^9 \Phi_{2K}(w) \\ &= -4 \Phi_2(v)^9 (\tau_v^{-1})^r \nabla_w^r \Phi_{2K}(w) \\ &= -4 \Phi_2(v)^9 \tau_v \tau_v^{-1} (\tau_v^{-1})^r \nabla_w^r \Phi_{2K}(w) \\ &= -4 \tau_v \Phi_2(v)^9 (\tau_v^r \tau_v)^{-1} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{2K}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\tau_v^{-1} \phi_1(\tau_v w) = -4 \Phi_2(v)^9 (\tau_v^r \tau_v)^{-1} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{3K}(w).$$

Using the description on the left-hand side, a straightforward calculation reveals this map to be invariant in v so that the matrix $\tau_v^r \tau_v$ has entries that are degree-18 \mathcal{G}_v invariants. Hence, the matrix product has the K -expression

$$(4.7) \quad \tau_v^r \tau_v = \Phi_2(v)^9 T_K \quad \text{or} \quad (\tau_v^r \tau_v)^{-1} = \frac{T_K^{-1}}{\Phi_2(v)^9}.$$

(See [Crass 2000] for the explicit form.) Also, note that

$$\det T_K = \frac{\det(\tau_v^r \tau_v)}{\Phi_2(v)^{9 \cdot 7}} = \frac{(\det \tau_v)^2}{\Phi_2(v)^{63}} = t_K.$$

Making use of (4.7) to express the transformation of basic equivariants yields

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(u) &= -4 \Phi_2(v)^9 \tau_v \frac{T_K^{-1}}{\Phi_2(v)^9} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{2K}(w) \\ &= -4 \tau_v T_K^{-1} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{2K}(w).\end{aligned}$$

As for the other relevant maps, similar calculations give

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2(u) &= -\frac{8}{3} \Phi_2(v)^{12} \Phi_3(v) \tau_v \frac{T_K^{-1}}{\Phi_2(v)^9} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{3K}(w) \\ &= -\frac{8}{3} \Phi_2(v)^3 \Phi_3(v) \tau_v T_K^{-1} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{3K}(w) \\ \phi_4(u) &= -\frac{8}{4} \Phi_2(v)^{21} \Phi_3(v) \tau_v \frac{T_K^{-1}}{\Phi_2(v)^9} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{5K}(w) \\ &= -2 \Phi_2(v)^{12} \Phi_3(v) \tau_v T_K^{-1} \nabla_w^r \Phi_{5K}(w).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can identify a K -parametrized 4-map $g_K(w)$ that is conjugate to $g_4(u)$. The map's expression in basic terms appears after substitution into the formula found in Section 3.4:

$$\begin{aligned}g_4(u) &= 84 \phi_4(u) - 9 \Phi_2(u) \phi_2(u) - 2 \Phi_3(u) \phi_1(u) \\ &= -8 \Phi_2^{12}(v) \Phi_3(v) \tau_v T_K^{-1} \left(21 \nabla_w^r \Phi_{5K}(w) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3 \Phi_{2K}(w) \nabla_w^r \Phi_{3K}(w) - \Phi_{3K}(w) \nabla_w^r \Phi_{2K}(w) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a K -parametrized family of 4-maps on \mathbf{CP}_w^6 :

$$g_K(w) = T_K^{-1} \left(21 \nabla_w^r \Phi_{5K}(w) - 3 \Phi_{2K}(w) \nabla_w^r \Phi_{3K}(w) - \Phi_{3K}(w) \nabla_w^r \Phi_{2K}(w) \right)$$

whose relation to the reference 4-map is

$$g_4(u) = -8 \Phi_2^{12}(v) \Phi_3(v) \tau_v g_K(w).$$

4.7. Root selection. Being conjugate to $g_4(u)$ each $g_K(w)$ shares the former's conjectured reliable dynamics. Accordingly, the attractor for each choice of K is the 8-point orbit in the corresponding \mathbf{CP}_w^6 so that for almost every $w_0 \in \mathbf{CP}_w^6$,

$$g_K^n(w_0) \longrightarrow \tau_v^{-1} p_\ell^8 \quad \text{for some 8-point } p_\ell^8 \in \mathbf{CP}_u^6.$$

To solve the resolvent R_K , the output of the iteration must link with the roots of R_K . From here, we see that solving R_K amounts to inverting τ_v —the form problem in another guise. This is effectively what the dynamics of g_K accomplishes with the assistance of a $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ tool that I now describe.

The quadratic \mathcal{S}_7 -invariants

$$X_k^2(x) = -7x_k^2 + \sum_{i \neq k} x_i^2$$

form a $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ orbit of size eight. Recall that

$$L_k(u) = X_k(\overline{H^T} u)$$

and let

$$Q_k(u) = X_k^2(\overline{H^T} u)$$

be the \mathcal{H}_u expression for X_k^2 . Furthermore, each of the eight forms

$$G_k(u) = Q_k(u) - \frac{3}{4} L_k(u)^2 \quad k = 1, \dots, 8$$

vanish at the 8-points p_ℓ^8 with $\ell \neq k$ but not at p_k^8 .

Now, consider the rational function

$$J_v(w) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^8 \frac{G_k(\tau_v w)}{\Phi_2(\tau_v w)} \frac{\Phi_2(v) L_k(v)}{\Phi_3(v)} = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^8 \frac{G_k(\tau_v w)}{\Phi_2(\tau_v w)} \sigma_k(v)$$

where α is a constant to be determined. Since the v -degree of the numerator and denominator is $21 = 2 \cdot 9 + 3$ while the w -degree is 2, the function is rationally degree zero in both variables. At an 8-point $\tau_v^{-1} p_\ell^8$ in \mathbf{CP}_w^6 seven of the eight terms in J_v vanish; this leaves

$$\alpha \frac{G_\ell(p_\ell^8)}{\Phi_2(p_\ell^8)} \sigma_\ell(v).$$

Choosing

$$\alpha = \frac{\Phi_2(p_k^8)}{G_k(p_k^8)} = \frac{1}{48} \quad (k = 1, \dots, 8)$$

“selects” the root $\sigma_\ell(v)$ of $R_K(s)$. Since the iterative “output” of $g_K(w)$ is a single 8-point in \mathbf{CP}_w^6 , the dynamics produces one root.

To obtain a usable form of the root-selector $J_v(w)$, let

$$\Gamma_v(w) = \sum_{k=1}^8 G_k(\tau_v w) L_k(v).$$

Since \mathcal{G}_v permutes its terms, Γ_v is invariant under the action and hence, expressible in K :

$$\Gamma_v(w) = \Phi_2(v)^8 \Phi_3(v) \Gamma_K(w).$$

(The explicit form of Γ_K appears at [Crass 2000].) Application of (4.5) yields

$$J_v(w) = \frac{\Phi_2(v) \Gamma_v(w)}{48 \Phi_3(v) \Phi_2(\tau_v w)}$$

$$J_K(w) = \frac{\Gamma_K(w)}{48 \Phi_{2K}(w)}.$$

4.8. The procedure summarized. At [Crass 2000], there are *Mathematica* data files and a notebook that implement the iterative solution to the octic.

- (1) Select a general 8-parameter octic $p(x)$.
- (2) Tschirnhaus transform $p(x)$ into a member $R_K(s)$ of the 6-parameter family of $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ octics—this determines values for K_1, \dots, K_6 as well as the auxiliary parameter λ .
- (3) For the selected K values, compute the matrix T_K , the invariants $\Phi_{2K}(w)$ and $\Phi_{3K}(w)$, the 4-map $g_K(w)$, the form $\Gamma_K(w)$, and the root-selector $J_K(w)$.
- (4) From an arbitrary initial point w_0 iterate g_K until convergence:

$$g_K^n(w_0) \longrightarrow w_\infty.$$

Conjecturally, the output w_∞ is an 8-point in \mathbf{CP}_w^6 .

- (5) Compute a root $\sigma = J_K(w_\infty)$ of R_K .
- (6) Transform σ into a root of $p(x)$.

5. BEYOND THE OCTIC

5.1. **The general case.** In the following discussion the \mathcal{S}_n actions under consideration derive from permutation of coordinates on the \mathcal{S}_n -invariant hyperplanes

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n x_k = 0 \right\}.$$

These irreducible representations of \mathcal{S}_n project to actions $\mathcal{G}_{n!}$ on $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \simeq \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$.

For equations of degree $n \neq 8$, does the analogue of the octic-solving algorithm exist? Evidently, the reduction of the n th degree polynomial to an $(n-2)$ -parameter family of $\mathcal{G}_{n!}$ resolvents is general.

Query 5.1. Is there an \mathcal{S}_n -equivariant 4-map on $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ that, on a $\binom{n}{2}$ -line \mathcal{L}^1 (where all but two coordinates are equal):

- superattracts in the off-line directions
- restricts to a reliable map whose attractor consists of the pair of n -points on \mathcal{L}^1 ?

Query 5.2. If so, is the map expressible as

$$z \longrightarrow z^3 \frac{az+b}{bz+c} \quad a, b \in \mathbf{R} \quad \text{and} \quad |b| < |a|$$

when restricted to \mathcal{L}^1 ?

The affine space $\{x_n \neq 0\}$ parametrized by

$$\left[x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, -\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} x_k - 1, 1 \right]$$

is tangent to $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ at the affine part of \mathcal{L}^1 given by

$$[\zeta, \dots, \zeta, (2-n)\zeta - 1, 1].$$

We can identify these spaces respectively with

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})\} \simeq \mathbf{C}^{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \{(\zeta, \dots, \zeta)\} \simeq \mathbf{C}.$$

Definition 5.1. Abusing notation, let \mathcal{L}^1 be the affine part of an $\binom{n}{2}$ -line. Use $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ to denote the tangent space to $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ along \mathcal{L}^1 . Also,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\perp}^1 = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} x_k = 0 \right\} \simeq \mathbf{C}^{n-3}$$

is the (euclidean) orthogonal complement in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ to \mathcal{L}^1 .

The subgroup $S_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{n!}$ that stabilizes $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{S}_{n-2} and acts by permutations on \mathbf{C}^{n-2} . The action of $S_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ fixes $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ and \mathcal{L}_{\perp}^1 set-wise and fixes \mathcal{L}^1 in a point-wise manner.

Remark. In the treatment of \mathcal{L}^1 below, we need not worry about the point at infinity

$$[1, \dots, 1, 2-n, 0],$$

since this point is in the same $\mathcal{G}_{n!}$ -orbit as the affine point

$$[1, \dots, 1, 0, 2-n]$$

which we have identified with

$$\frac{1}{2-n}(1, \dots, 1).$$

For $n \geq 5$, the family of 4-maps on $\mathbf{P}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ is, in homogeneous parameters,

$$g_\alpha = \alpha_1 f_4 + \alpha_2 F_2 f_2 + \alpha_3 F_3 f_1$$

where definitions of F_k and f_k are obvious extensions from the $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ case. (For $n < 5$, the family of 4-maps is not 3-dimensional.) Do three parameters suffice to obtain a map g_α with jacobian matrix $g'_\alpha(z)$ at z such that

$$g'_\alpha(z) \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 = 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{L}^1?$$

First of all, symmetry demands that, for each g_α and all $z \in \mathcal{L}^1$, there is only one “off-line” eigenvalue of $g'_\alpha(z)$.

Lemma 5.1. *For an action \mathcal{G} on \mathbf{CP}^n and a \mathcal{G} -equivariant f that is holomorphic at a , the jacobian $f'(a)$ is equivariant on the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_a \simeq \mathbf{C}^n$ under the stabilizer \mathcal{S}_a of a .*

Proof. After treating several technical matters, the proof amounts to a simple calculation.

- (1) Take a to be $[0, \dots, 0, 1]$ and \mathcal{T}_a to be $\{x_{n+1} \neq 0\}$ and lift them to

$$\hat{a} = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_a = \{x_{n+1} = 1\}.$$

- (2) Strictly speaking, \mathcal{S}_a is a group of projective transformations. Here, we choose linear representatives T of \mathcal{S}_a that, as maps on \mathbf{C}^{n+1} , satisfy $Ta = a$. This group of linear transformations acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_a$.
- (3) For a homogeneous polynomial $G(x)$ of degree r ,

$$r G(x) = (\nabla_x G(x))^T x$$

is a familiar identity. Generalized to a rational map $g(x)$, the result is

$$r g(x) = g'(x) x.$$

Given $T \in \mathcal{G}$, let \hat{T} be a lift to \mathbf{C}^{n+1} . Then for all $x \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f'(Tx) T)x &= (f'(Tx))Tx \\ &= r f(Tx) \\ &= T(r f(x)) \\ &= T(f'(x) x) \\ &= (T f'(x))x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$f'(Tx) T = T f'(x).$$

In particular, when $x = a$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}_a$,

$$f'(a) T = f'(Ta) T = T f'(a).$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *A linear $S_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ -equivariant T preserves \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 .*

Proof. The transformation

$$A : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}^1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}^1}$$

that cyclically permutes coordinates according to $(12 \dots (n-3)(n-2))$ has $n-2$ eigenspaces, namely, the lines \mathcal{L}_k^1 given by

$$\mathcal{L}_k^1 = \left\{ t w_k \mid t \in \mathbf{C}, w_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \omega^k \\ \omega^{2k} \\ \vdots \\ \omega^{(n-3)k} \end{pmatrix}, \omega = e^{2\pi i/(n-2)} \right\} \quad k = 1, \dots, n-2.$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}^1 = \mathcal{L}_{n-2}^1$ and $\{w_k \mid k = 1, \dots, n-3\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 . Moreover, each line \mathcal{L}_k^1 has the eigenvalue $\omega^{(n-3)k}$.

Since $A \in S_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ and

$$A(Tw_k) = T(Aw_k) = \omega^{(n-3)k} Tw_k,$$

Tw_k is also an $\omega^{(n-3)k}$ -eigenvector of A . Thus, each \mathcal{L}_k^1 is an eigenspace of T so that \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 is T -invariant. □

Proposition 5.1. *For all $z \in \mathcal{L}^1$, the eigenvectors of the jacobian $g'_\alpha(z)$ span \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 . Moreover, all associated eigenvalues are equal, making \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 an eigenspace of $g'_\alpha(z)$.*

Proof. Recall that the stabilizer $S_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ is isomorphic to S_{n-2} . (The $n-2$ things that $S_{\mathcal{L}^1}$ permutes are the vectors w_k defined above.) By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, let $v \in \mathcal{L}_\perp^1$ be an eigenvector of $g'_\alpha(z)$ with eigenvalue λ . For $A \in S_{\mathcal{L}^1}$, Lemma 5.1 gives

$$g'_\alpha(z)Av = Ag'_\alpha(z)v = \lambda Av.$$

Hence, Av is also a λ -eigenvector. Clearly, $\{Av \mid A \in S_{\mathcal{L}^1}\}$ spans \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 . □

The question now is whether there is always some parameter-choice for which the eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_\perp^1 vanishes for all z .

Proposition 5.2. *For $n \geq 5$, there is a 4-map g whose critical set includes the $\binom{n}{2}$ -lines. Moreover, at each point on an $\binom{n}{2}$ -line, g is critical in every direction away from the line.*

Proof. To facilitate exposition, we work in the linear space \mathcal{H}^{n-1} . For an arbitrary member of the family g_α , select a lift \widehat{g}_α to \mathcal{H}^{n-1} . The line \mathcal{L}^1 lifts to the plane $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^1$ parametrized by

$$(5.8) \quad (x + y, \dots, x + y, (1-n)x + y, x + (1-n)y).$$

Furthermore, the orthogonal complement $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^1_\perp$ in \mathcal{H}^{n-1} is

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} x_k = 0, x_{n-1} = x_n = 0 \right\}.$$

By symmetry, we can consider a single line. Using the parametrization above, the pair of n -points on \mathcal{L}^1 correspond to the lines $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. Meanwhile, the line specified by

$$x + y = 0$$

determines an element in one of the special orbits of $\binom{n}{2}$ -points.

Proposition 5.1 implies that the characteristic polynomial for the jacobian of \widehat{g}_α , when restricted to $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^1$, has the form

$$\chi_{\widehat{g}_\alpha'}|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^1} = \det(tI_n - \widehat{g}_\alpha')|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^1} = (t - A(x, y))^{n-3}(t^2 - B(x, y)t + C(x, y))$$

Note that the factor

$$t^2 + Bt + C$$

is the characteristic polynomial of the jacobian of the map $\widehat{g}_\alpha|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^1}$. Hence,

$$B(x, y) = B_1 x^3 + B_2 x^2 y + B_3 x y^2 + B_4 y^3$$

where the B_i are linear in the parameters α_j . It follows that

$$A(x, y) = A_1 x^3 + A_2 x^2 y + A_3 x y^2 + A_4 y^3$$

where the A_i are linear in the α_j .

The polynomial A gives the eigenvalue in the off-line directions in \mathbf{PH}^{n-1} . The remaining factor corresponds to behavior along \mathcal{L}^1 . Our interest here is A . In particular, we want to force it to vanish identically in x and y .

Since $\chi_{\widehat{g}_\alpha'}$ is invariant under permutation of coordinates, its restriction to $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^1$ is invariant under the interchange $x \leftrightarrow y$ (which corresponds to the transposition $((n-1) n)$ in (5.8)). Accordingly, $A_1 = A_4$ and $A_2 = A_3$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A_1(x^3 + y^3) + A_2 xy(x + y) \\ (5.9) \quad &= (x + y)(A_1(x^2 - xy + y^2) + A_2 xy). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the $\binom{n}{2}$ -points are automatically critical away from \mathcal{L}^1 .

We want to solve the linear equations $A_1 = 0$ and $A_2 = 0$ in the three parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$. Such a system has non-trivial solutions that give $A = 0$ for all x, y . □

Remarks

- (1) The price of $A = 0$ is at most two parameters. With the third parameter, we can only normalize the map. In the discussion that follows, we will discover that the cost is two parameters so that the resulting map is unique.
- (2) Forcing $A_1 = 0$ is tantamount to making the pair of n -points on \mathcal{L}^1 critical. This “two-birds-with-one-stone” effect is what makes the procedure successful.
- (3) Ostensibly, this argument is consistent with our obtaining a map that blows up at the n -points. At an n -point such a map would be critical in the “radial” direction in which \mathcal{H}^{n-1} projects to \mathbf{PH}^{n-1} . Such a circumstance would force $C = 0$ when $xy = 0$. Can the maps be critical in other directions as well? The preceding results and proofs provide for explicit calculation of the special 4-map. As a consequence, we see that the map does not blow up at the n -points. Furthermore, we derive the form of the map on an $\binom{n}{2}$ -line.

At first, we use the parametrization

$$[x, \dots, x, y, (2 - n)x - y]$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 . Restricting the basic invariants to \mathcal{L}^1 gives

$$(5.10) \quad \widetilde{F}_k = F_k|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = (n-2)x^k + y^k + ((2-n)x - y)^k.$$

As for basic maps, note that

$$(5.11) \quad f_k = [(f_k)_1, \dots, (f_k)_n] \text{ with } (f_k)_\ell = F_k - n x_\ell^k.$$

Thus,

$$\widetilde{f}_k = f_k|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = \left[\widetilde{F}_k - n x^k, \dots, \widetilde{F}_k - n x^k, \widetilde{F}_k - n y^k, \widetilde{F}_k - n((2-n)x - y)^k \right]$$

and we can express the homogeneous map on \mathcal{L}^1 as

$$\phi_k : [x, y] \longrightarrow \left[\widetilde{F}_k - n x^k, \widetilde{F}_k - n y^k \right].$$

Restricting the family of 4-maps to \mathcal{L}^1 gives

$$\widetilde{g}_\alpha = \alpha_1 \widetilde{f}_4 + \alpha_2 \widetilde{F}_2 \widetilde{f}_2 + \alpha_3 \widetilde{F}_3 \widetilde{f}_1$$

which, as a map on the line, is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\alpha[x, y] = & \left[\alpha_1 (\widetilde{F}_4 - n x^4) + \alpha_2 \widetilde{F}_2 (\widetilde{F}_2 - n x^2) - n \alpha_3 x \widetilde{F}_3, \right. \\ & \left. \alpha_1 (\widetilde{F}_4 - n y^4) + \alpha_2 \widetilde{F}_2 (\widetilde{F}_2 - n y^2) - n \alpha_3 y \widetilde{F}_3 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We can now determine three linear conditions on the α_i that correspond to

- (1) normalizing the map so that the n -points are fixed in the affine sense—hence, they are not blown up
- (2) making the map critical in every direction at the n -points
- (3) making the map critical in every off-line direction along the $\binom{n}{2}$ -lines.

Consider the cases in turn.

- (1) Since $[x, y] = [1, 1]$ corresponds to an n -point, specify that

$$(5.12) \quad 1 = (\gamma_\alpha[1, 1])_1 = (n^2 - 2n + 2)\alpha_1 + (n-1)n\alpha_2 + (n-2)n((n-1)n\alpha_3).$$

- (2) For the dehomogenized map

$$f(z) = \frac{(\gamma_\alpha[z, 1])_1}{(\gamma_\alpha[z, 1])_2},$$

set

$$f'(1) = 0.$$

This requires

$$(5.13) \quad -4\alpha_1 - 2(n-1)n\alpha_2 + (n-2)(n-1)n\alpha_3 = 0$$

which amounts to $A_1 = 0$ in the proof of Proposition 5.2.

- (3) To arrive at $A_2 = 0$, evaluate the jacobian $\widehat{g}'_\alpha(x)$ at a point P on \mathcal{L}^1 other than an n -point or a $\binom{n}{2}$ -point—say, the point corresponding to $[x, y] = [1, 0]$. Now, apply $g'_\alpha(P)$ to the *eigenvector*

$$v = (1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}^1}_\perp$. Since v is an eigenvector, we can consider just the first component of $g'_\alpha(P)v$. This component is

$$g'_\alpha(P)_{1,1} - g'_\alpha(P)_{1,2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g'_\alpha(P)_{1,1} &= -4(n-1)x^3\alpha_1 - 2x(nx^2 + (n-2)\widetilde{F}_2(1,0))\alpha_2 - n(3x^3 + \widetilde{F}_3(1,0))\alpha_3 \\ g'_\alpha(P)_{1,2} &= 4x^3\alpha_1 - 2x(nx^2 - 2\widetilde{F}_2(1,0))\alpha_2 - 3nx^3\alpha_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the condition for $A_2 = 0$ is

$$(5.14) \quad n(-4\alpha_1 - 2(n-2)(n-1)\alpha_2 + (n-3)(n-2)(n-1)\alpha_3) = (g'_\alpha(P)v)_1 = 0.$$

Solving (5.12), (5.13), and (5.14) yields a unique 4-map $g = g_\alpha$ with

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{(n-4)n^3} \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{-6}{(n-4)(n-1)n^4} \\ \alpha_3 &= \frac{-8}{(n-4)(n-2)(n-1)n^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Natural coordinates in which to express g as a map on \mathcal{L}^1 are those of (5.8) where x and y are symmetrical. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &\longrightarrow [(\gamma_\alpha[x+y, (1-n)x+y])_1 - (\gamma_\alpha[x+y, (1-n)x+y])_2, \\ &\quad (n-1)(\gamma_\alpha[x+y, (1-n)x+y])_1 - (\gamma_\alpha[x+y, (1-n)x+y])_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inhomogeneous coordinate $z = \frac{x}{y}$ the map becomes

$$z \longrightarrow -\frac{(n-1)z - 4}{4z - (n-1)}$$

in agreement with Section 3.4. As for dynamics the respective pair of n -points are 0 and ∞ . Since $n-1 \geq 4$, the restricted map has 0 and ∞ as its only attractor. The respective basins are $\{|z| < 1\}$ and $\{|z| > 1\}$.

5.2. Another description. Using $n-1$ homogeneous coordinates, generalize to \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} the v coordinates that describe the $\mathcal{G}_{8!}$ -equivariant g_4 . These place the n -points at

$$p_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0], \dots, p_{n-1} = [0, \dots, 0, 1], p_n = [1, \dots, 1].$$

The coordinate change is given by

$$x = Pv \quad v = Qx$$

where

$$P = (p_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1-n & i=j \\ 1 & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$$

and Q is the “inverse” of P :

$$Q = (q_{ij}) = \begin{cases} -1 & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j, j < n \\ 1 & j=n \end{cases} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Using $[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]$ for this system, we define S_k to be the k th elementary symmetric function in $n-2$ variables and the coordinates

$$\widehat{v}_k = (\dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots)$$

complementary to v_k . The stabilizer $\mathcal{G}_{(n-1)!}$ of p_n is the \mathcal{S}_{n-1} group of permutations of the v_k . The order-2 transformation Z_n that exchanges p_1 and p_n while fixing the remaining p_k generates $\mathcal{G}_n!$ over $\mathcal{G}_{(n-1)!}$. Note that $\binom{n-1}{2}$ of the $\binom{n}{2}$ -lines consist of points for which all but two coordinates vanish, while the remaining n lines have points with all but one coordinate equal.

Let

$$g(x) = [g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)].$$

To compute the special 4-map

$$\gamma(v) = Q(g(Pv)) = [g_n(Pv) - g_1(Pv), \dots, g_n(Pv) - g_{n-1}(Pv)]$$

in v coordinates, we need to find only the first component of γ . Permutation symmetry in v tends to the remaining components. Note that

$$Pv = \begin{pmatrix} (1-n)v_1 + S_1(\widehat{v}_1) \\ v_1 + S_1(\widehat{v}_1) - nv_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_1 + S_1(\widehat{v}_1) - nv_{n-1} \\ v_1 + S_1(\widehat{v}_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 - nv_1 \\ u_1 - nv_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_1 - nv_{n-1} \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $u_1 = v_1 + S_1(\widehat{v}_1)$. Application of (5.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(v) &= g_n(Pv) - g_1(Pv) \\ &= \left(\alpha_1 (F_4(Pv) - nu_1^4) + \alpha_2 (F_2(Pv)^2 - nF_2(Pv)u_1^2) + n\alpha_3 F_3(Pv)u_1 \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\alpha_1 (F_4(Pv) - n(u_1 - nv_1)^4) + \alpha_2 (F_2(Pv)^2 - nF_2(Pv)(u_1 - nv_1)^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n\alpha_3 F_3(Pv)(u_1 - nv_1) \right) \\ &= n \left(\alpha_1 ((u_1 - nv_1)^4 - u_1^4) + \alpha_2 F_2(Pv)((u_1 - nv_1)^2 - u_1^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_3 F_3(Pv)((u_1 - nv_1) - u_1) \right) \\ &= -n^2 v_1 \left(\alpha_1 ((u_1 - nv_1)^3 + (u_1 - nv_1)^2 u_1 + (u_1 - nv_1)u_1^2 + u_1^3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_2 F_2(Pv)(2u_1 - nv_1) + \alpha_3 F_3(Pv) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(Pv) &= u_1^2 - nv_1^2 - nS_1(\widehat{v}_1)^2 + 2nS_2(\widehat{v}_1) \\ F_3(Pv) &= 2u_1^3 - 3nu_1v_1^2 + n^2v_1^3 - 3nu_1S_1(\widehat{v}_1)^2 + n^2S_1(\widehat{v}_1)^3 \\ &\quad + 6nu_1S_2(\widehat{v}_1) - 3n^2S_1(\widehat{v}_1)S_2(\widehat{v}_1) + 3n^2S_3(\widehat{v}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.15), the substitution for u_1 , and permutation in the v_i , the map takes the form

$$(5.16) \quad \gamma(v) = [v_1 T_1(v), \dots, v_{n-1} T_{n-1}(v)]$$

where

$$T_k(v) = v_k^3 - a_2 v_k^2 S_1(\widehat{v}_k) + a_3 v_k S_2(\widehat{v}_k) - a_4 S_3(\widehat{v}_k)$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{4}{n-1} \quad a_3 = \frac{12}{(n-1)(n-2)} \quad a_4 = \frac{24}{(n-1)(n-2)(n-4)}.$$

Evidently, the maps in (5.16) are $\mathcal{G}_{(n-1)!}$ -equivariant. They also satisfy

$$Z_n \circ g = g \circ Z_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 5.$$

5.3. Revisiting the quintic. In solving the quintic, [Crass 2001] harnesses the dynamics of a degree-6 map whose behavior is similar to the maps treated in the present paper. The $\mathcal{G}_{5!}$ equivariant 4-map is also a good candidate for inclusion in a quintic-solving algorithm. Having lower degree, its global dynamics might be more tractable. For instance, this map has a kind of *critical finiteness* that other $\mathcal{G}_n!$ 4-maps do not share. I plan to examine this map in more dynamical detail in an upcoming paper.

6. BASIN PORTRAITS

The plots that follow are productions of the program *Dynamics 2* running on a Dell Dimension XPS with a Pentium II processor. Its BA and BAS routines produced the pictures. (See the manual [Nusse and Yorke 1998].) Briefly, each procedure divides the screen into a grid of cells and then colors each cell according to which attracting point its trajectory approaches. If it finds no such attractor after a specified number of iterations—usually 60, the cell is black. The BA algorithm looks for an attractor whereas BAS requires the user to specify a candidate attracting set of points. Each portrait exhibits the highest resolution available—a 720×720 grid.

All of the images show g_4 restricted to either a \mathbf{CP}^1 or \mathbf{RP}^2 that it preserves. Some restricted maps have attracting sites that are not the 8-points. However, none of the detected “restricted attractors” other than the 8-points themselves are *overall* attractors with 6-dimensional basins.

Figure 2. When restricted to the line \mathcal{L}_{168}^1 , g_4 has only trivial symmetry. Consequently, there is no natural choice of coordinates in which to express the restriction to the line. With an 8-point at 0 and a 28-point q_{ij}^{28} at ∞ , the map takes the form

$$z \longrightarrow -\frac{z^3(3z+4)}{4(z^2+8z+14)}$$

The two basins that appear are associated with the 8-point and 28-point. The latter is an “equatorial” saddle point on the 28-line $\mathcal{L}_{28,ij}^1$. The basin in \mathcal{L}_{168}^1 is a slice of its 5-dimensional stable manifold. The reflective symmetry that appears is due to the map’s anti-holomorphic equivariance. (See Section 3.4.)

Not pictured is the portrait for \mathcal{L}_{210}^1 on which the map takes the form

$$z \longrightarrow -z^2 \frac{3z+1}{z+3}.$$

As in the case of a 28-line, this map has two basins: $\{|z| < 1\}$ and $\{|z| > 1\}$. The attracting points are of the types

$$[1, 1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0] \quad \text{and} \quad [1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1].$$

Overall, these behave as saddles. In fact, at the latter point, g_4 blows up onto the associated hyperplane

$$\{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0\} \cap \{x_5 + x_6 + x_7 + x_8 = 0\}.$$

Figure 3. This shows g_4 on \mathcal{L}_{280}^1 in the form

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{8z^3}{5z^4 + 6z^2 - 3}.$$

The basin at 0 is due to a 28-point p_{ij}^{28} . This point belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{28,ij}^1$ and is repelling on that line. Thus, the basin pictured here is a 1-dimensional slice of the 5-dimensional stable manifold attached to the point. At ± 1 we see petals due to rationally indifferent points of type

$$[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, -5, -5, -5].$$

The indifferent local behavior at these points is evident in this and several subsequent images.

Figure 4. On \mathcal{M}_{280}^1 , g_4 is a polynomial map expressible by

$$z \longrightarrow -z(7z^2 - 5z + 1).$$

Here, an 8-point is at ∞ (the dark blue basin) and, once again, a rationally indifferent point of type

$$[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, -5, -5, -5]$$

appears at 0.

Figure 5. We see the \mathcal{S}_3 -symmetric restriction to the \mathbf{RP}^2 intersection of \mathcal{L}_{56}^2 and \mathcal{R} . The three basins result from a triple of 8-points arranged symmetrically on the unit circle. A line of reflective symmetry passes through each of the 8-points and $(0, 0)$ —which corresponds to a point of type

$$[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, -5, -5, -5].$$

These lines are \mathbf{RP}^1 intersections of an \mathcal{L}_{168}^1 with the \mathbf{RP}^2 . Each \mathbf{RP}^1 contains points in the basin of an 8-point and as well as points in the boundary between the basins of the other two 8-points. This interval lies in the basin of a 28-point q_{ij}^{28} as seen in Figure 2.

Figure 6. The image displays the basins of the \mathcal{S}_4 -symmetric map on the intersection of \mathcal{L}_{105}^2 and \mathcal{R} . Each \mathcal{L}_{105}^2 is canonically associated with a \mathbf{CP}^3 , \mathcal{L}_{105}^3 , whose points have coordinates that come in four mutually negative pairs:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_i = x_j\} \cap \{x_k = x_\ell\} &\longleftrightarrow \{x_i = -x_j\} \cap \{x_k = -x_\ell\} \\ \cap \{x_m = x_n\} \cap \{x_p = x_q\} &\qquad \cap \{x_m = -x_n\} \cap \{x_p = -x_q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Under g_4 , \mathcal{L}_{105}^3 blows down to \mathcal{L}_{105}^2 . Furthermore, each point in the orbit of

$$[1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1]$$

belongs to 24 of the \mathcal{L}_{105}^3 so that the blowing-down of each \mathbf{CP}^3 forces all coordinates of the image to be equal. Hence, they must all vanish.

To describe things explicitly, take the plane

$$\mathcal{L}_{105}^2 = \{x_1 = x_2\} \cap \{x_3 = x_4\} \cap \{x_5 = x_6\} \cap \{x_7 = x_8\}$$

parametrized by

$$[x, y, z] \longrightarrow [x+y+z, x+y+z, x-y-z, x-y-z, -x-y+z, -x-y+z, -x+y-z, -x+y-z].$$

There is a 3-point orbit at

$$[1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0], [0, 0, 1]$$

corresponding to the points

$$[1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1], [1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1], [1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, -1, -1]$$

and a 4-point orbit at $[\pm 1, \pm 1, 1]$ corresponding to the 28-points

$$q_{12}^{28} = [-3, -3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1], \quad q_{34}^{28} = [1, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1, 1, 1]$$

$$q_{56}^{28} = [1, 1, 1, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1], \quad q_{78}^{28} = [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -3, -3].$$

Associated with the 3-points are the lines

$$\{x = 0\}, \{y = 0\}, \{z = 0\}$$

corresponding to intersections with three of the \mathcal{L}_{105}^3 :

$$[u, u, -u, -u, v, v, -v, -v], [u, u, v, v, -v, -v, -u, -u], [u, u, v, v, -u, -u, -v, -v].$$

In these coordinates, the map has the expression

$$[x, y, z] \longrightarrow [yz(4x^2 + y^2 + z^2), xz(x^2 + 4y^2 + z^2), xy(x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2)].$$

The attractor here seems to consist of four 28-points q_{ij}^{28} at $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$. The points q_{ij}^{28} are on \mathcal{L}_{28ij}^1 and, thus, repel in directions away from the picture-plane. Here we see off-line directions relative to \mathcal{L}_{28ij}^1 . Each q_{ij}^{28} lies on 15 of the \mathcal{L}_{105}^2 and their “restricted” basins in the \mathbf{CP}^2 belong to their stable manifolds as well as to the *overall* Julia set of g_4 . Hence, these pieces of the Julia set have zero measure in \mathbf{CP}^6 . As for real dynamics, the basins appear to be the four quadrants

$$\{x > 0, y > 0\}, \{x < 0, y > 0\}, \{x < 0, y < 0\}, \{x > 0, y < 0\}$$

which are forward and, thus, totally invariant. Accordingly, the coordinate axes form the basin boundaries.



Finally, each coordinate axis blows down to its companion point while a 3-point blows up onto its associated axis.

Figure 7. For the \mathbf{Z}_2^2 map on the intersection of \mathcal{L}_{280}^2 and \mathcal{R} the two prominent basins belong to a pair of 8-points at $(\pm 1, 0)$. The other two attracted regions are associated with a pair of indifferent points (both eigenvalues are -1) of type

$$[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, -5, -5, -5].$$

Figure 8. On the \mathbf{Z}_2 -symmetric restriction to the intersection of \mathcal{L}_{420}^2 and \mathcal{R} , two 8-points at $(\pm 1, 0)$ and a q_{ij}^{28} at $(0, -1)$ account for the basins.

Figure 9. As for the behavior of the \mathbf{Z}_2 -symmetric map on the intersection of \mathcal{L}_{840}^2 and \mathcal{R} , there is an 8-point at $(0, 0)$ and a pair of 28-points q_{ij}^{28}, q_{kl}^{28} at $(\pm 1, 1)$. Trajectories starting in the thin vertical black strip near the bottom middle require too many iterations in order to converge to one of the three attractors for the BA routine to detect attraction. Experimental results suggest that this region is filled with rather small components of the three basins.

FIGURE 2. Dynamics of g_4 on \mathcal{L}_{168}^1 FIGURE 3. Dynamics of g_4 on \mathcal{L}_{280}^1




FIGURE 4. Dynamics of g_4 on \mathcal{M}_{280}^1






FIGURE 5. Dynamics of g_4 on $\mathcal{L}_{56}^2 \cap \mathcal{R}$

FIGURE 6. Dynamics of g_4 on $\mathcal{L}_{105}^2 \cap \mathcal{R}$ FIGURE 7. Dynamics of g_4 on $\mathcal{L}_{280}^2 \cap \mathcal{R}$




FIGURE 8. Dynamics of g_4 on $\mathcal{L}_{420}^2 \cap \mathcal{R}$




FIGURE 9. Dynamics of g_4 on $\mathcal{L}_{840}^2 \cap \mathcal{R}$

REFERENCES

- [Crass 1999] S. Crass. *Solving the sextic by iteration: A study in complex geometry and dynamics*. Experiment. Math. 8 (1999) No. 3, 209-240. Preprint at arxiv.org/abs/math.DS/9903111.
- [Crass 2001] S. Crass. *Solving the quintic by iteration in three dimensions*. Experiment. Math. 10 (2001) No.1, 1-24.
Preprint at arxiv.org/abs/math.DS/9903054.
- [Crass 2000] S. Crass. *Mathematica notebooks and supporting files for the solution of the octic*.
See csulb.edu/~scrass/math/octic/Solve.
- [Klein 1913] F. Klein. *Lectures on the Icosahedron and the Solutions of Equations of the Fifth Degree*, Kegan Paul, London, 1913. Reprint by Dover, New York. Translation by G. Morrice of *Vorlesungen über das Ikosaeder und die Auflösungen der Gleichungen vom fünften Grade*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1884.
- [Nusse and Yorke 1998] H. Nusse and J. Yorke. *Dynamics: Numerical Explorations, 2e* Springer-Verlag, 1998. Computer program *Dynamics 2* by B. Hunt and E. Kostelich.
- [Orlik and Terao 1992] P. Orlik and H. Terao. *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [Shephard and Todd 1954] G. C. Shephard and T. A. Todd. *Finite unitary reflection groups*. Canad. J. Math. 6 (1954), 274-304.
- [Wiman 1899] A. Wiman. *Ueber die Darstellung der symmetrischen und alternirenden Vertauschungsgruppen als Collineationsgruppen von möglichst geringer Dimensionzahl*. Mathematische Annalen 52 (1899), 243-270.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH, LONG BEACH, CA 90840,
E-mail address: scrass@csulb.edu