

# PARAMETER ESTIMATION FOR AN ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESS DRIVEN BY A GENERAL GAUSSIAN NOISE

YONG CHEN AND HONGJUAN ZHOU

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we consider an inference problem for an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by a general one-dimensional centered Gaussian process  $(G_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . The second order mixed partial derivative of the covariance function  $R(t, s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t G_s]$  can be decomposed into two parts, one of which coincides with that of fractional Brownian motion and the other is bounded by  $(ts)^{\beta-1}$  up to a constant factor. This condition is valid for a class of continuous Gaussian processes that fails to be self-similar or have stationary increments. Some examples include the subfractional Brownian motion and the bi-fractional Brownian motion. Under this assumption, we study the parameter estimation for drift parameter in the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by the Gaussian noise  $(G_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . For the least squares estimator and the second moment estimator constructed from the continuous observations, we prove the strong consistency and the asymptotic normality, and obtain the Berry-Esséen bounds. The proof is based on the inner product's representation of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  associated with the Gaussian noise  $(G_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and the estimation of the inner product based on the results of the Hilbert space associated with the fractional Brownian motion.

**Keywords:** Fourth Moment theorem; Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process; Gaussian process; Malliavin calculus.

**MSC 2000:** 60H07; 60F25; 62M09.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the statistical inference for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process defined by the following stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + \sigma dG_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where  $X_0 = 0$  and  $(G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a general one-dimensional centered Gaussian process. We note that the volatility parameter  $\sigma > 0$  can be estimated by power variation method (for example, see [1], [2]). Without loss of generality, we will assume that  $\sigma = 1$ . Suppose that only one trajectory  $(X_t, t \geq 0)$  can be obtained. We would like to construct a consistent estimator for the unknown drift parameter  $\theta > 0$  and study its asymptotic behavior.

When the Gaussian process is Brownian motion, the statistical inference problem about the parameter  $\theta$  has been intensively studied over the past decade (see [3], [4] and the references therein). In the fractional Brownian motion case, the consistency property for the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) method was obtained in [5], [6], and the central limit theorem was proved in [7], [8]. The least squares method was studied in [9] and its asymptotic behavior

was proved for  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ . Then in [10], these results were generalized for  $H \in (0, 1)$ . We would like to mention some work for the non-ergodic case as well, i.e.,  $\theta < 0$ . For the Brownian motion case, MLE was studied in [11], [12] and the limiting distribution is Cauchy. The least squares estimation in the case of fractional Brownian motion and other Gaussian processes was considered in [13], [14], [15] and the references therein. Recently, the MLE in the case of sub-fractional Brownian motion case was investigated in [16]. In this paper, we would like to discuss the case where  $\theta > 0$  and the noise is a general Gaussian process  $(G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  that fails to be self-similar or have stationary increments. We assume that the process  $G_t$  satisfies the following Hypothesis 1.1.

**HYPOTHESIS 1.1.** *For  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , the covariance function  $R(t, s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t G_s]$  for any  $t \neq s \in [0, \infty)$  satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} R(t, s) = C_\beta |t - s|^{2\beta-2} + \Psi(t, s),$$

with

$$|\Psi(t, s)| \leq C'_\beta |ts|^{\beta-1},$$

where the constants  $\beta, C_\beta > 0, C'_\beta \geq 0$  do not depend on  $T$ . Moreover, for any  $t \geq 0, R(0, t) = 0$ .

We will see that sub-fractional Brownian motion, bi-fractional Brownian motion and some other Gaussian processes are special examples to satisfy the Hypothesis 1.1. Recall that the idea to construct the least squares estimator (LSE) for the drift coefficient  $\theta$  is to minimize

$$\int_0^T |\dot{X}_t + \theta X_t|^2 dt$$

(see [9], [10]). In this way, we obtain the LSE defined by

$$\hat{\theta}_T = -\frac{\int_0^T X_t dX_t}{\int_0^T X_t^2 dt} = \theta - \frac{\int_0^T X_t dG_t}{\int_0^T X_t^2 dt}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the integral with respect to  $G$  is interpreted in the Skorohod sense (or say a divergence-type integral).

We will also study the second moment estimator that is given by

$$\tilde{\theta}_T = \left( \frac{1}{C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right)^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}}. \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we will prove the strong consistency and the central limit theorems for the two estimators. The Berry-Esséen bounds will be also obtained. These results are stated in the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.2.** *When Hypothesis 1.1 is satisfied, both the least squares estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  and the second moment estimator  $\tilde{\theta}_T$  are strongly consistent, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\theta}_T = \theta, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\theta}_T = \theta, \quad a.s..$$

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$  and Hypothesis 1.1 is satisfied. Then, both  $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta)$  and  $\sqrt{T}(\tilde{\theta}_T - \theta)$  are asymptotically normal as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Namely,

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, \theta\sigma_\beta^2), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\sqrt{T}(\tilde{\theta}_T - \theta) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, \theta\sigma_\beta^2/4\beta^2), \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\sigma_\beta^2 = (4\beta - 1) \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma(3 - 4\beta)\Gamma(4\beta - 1)}{\Gamma(2\beta)\Gamma(2 - 2\beta)}\right).$$

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $Z$  be a standard Gaussian random variable. Assume  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$  and Hypothesis 1.1 is satisfied. Then, there exists a constant  $C_{\theta,\beta} > 0$  such that when  $T$  is large enough,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\theta\sigma_\beta^2}}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \leq z\right) - P(Z \leq z) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\theta,\beta}}{T^\gamma}, \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\sqrt{\frac{4\beta^2 T}{\theta\sigma_\beta^2}}(\tilde{\theta}_T - \theta) \leq z\right) - P(Z \leq z) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\theta,\beta}}{T^{\frac{3-4\beta}{2}}}, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8}), \\ \frac{1}{2}-, & \text{if } \beta = \frac{5}{8}, \\ 3 - 4\beta, & \text{if } \beta \in (\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{4}). \end{cases}$$

Next, we give some well known processes that satisfy the Hypothesis 1.1.

**Example 1.5.** Clearly the fractional Brownian motion  $\{B^H(t), t \geq 0\}$  with covariance function

$$R(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}),$$

satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 when  $\beta := H > \frac{1}{2}$ . In this case, the upper Berry-Esséen bound (1.7) can be improved to  $\frac{C}{T^\gamma}$  from the proof of Theorem 1.4 and Remark 3.10 in this paper. This improved upper Berry-Esséen bound is sharper than the one given by Proposition 4.1 (ii) of [17].

**Example 1.6.** The subfractional Brownian motion  $\{S^H(t), t \geq 0\}$  with parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  has the covariance function

$$R(t, s) = s^{2H} + t^{2H} - \frac{1}{2}((s + t)^{2H} + |t - s|^{2H}),$$

which satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 when  $\beta := H > \frac{1}{2}$ .

This answers the unsolved problem in [18] where the strong consistency is unknown for subfractional Brownian motion.

**Example 1.7.** The bi-fractional Brownian motion  $\{B^{H,K}(t), t \geq 0\}$  with parameters  $H, K \in (0, 1)$  has the covariance function

$$R(t, s) = \frac{1}{2^K}((s^{2H} + t^{2H})^K - |t - s|^{2HK}),$$

which satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 when  $\beta := HK > \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Example 1.8.** The generalized sub-fractional Brownian motion  $S^{H,K}(t)$  with parameters  $H \in (0, 1)$ ,  $K \in [1, 2)$  and  $HK \in (0, 1)$  satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 when  $\beta := HK > \frac{1}{2}$ . The covariance function is

$$R(t, s) = (s^{2H} + t^{2H})^K - \frac{1}{2}[(t + s)^{2HK} + |t - s|^{2HK}]$$

(see [19]).

**Remark 1.9.** If the SDE is driven by a linear combination of independent centered Gaussian processes, the results are still valid as long as each Gaussian process satisfies Hypothesis 1.1. In this case, the mixed Gaussian process fails to be self-similar.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

Denote  $G = \{G_t, t \in [0, T]\}$  as a continuous centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}(G_t G_s) = R(s, t), \quad s, t \in [0, T],$$

defined on a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . The filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by the Gaussian family  $G$ . Suppose in addition that the covariance function  $R$  is continuous. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the space of all real valued step functions on  $[0, T]$ . The Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  is defined as the closure of  $\mathcal{E}$  endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \mathbb{1}_{[a,b]}, \mathbb{1}_{[c,d]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathbb{E}((G_b - G_a)(G_d - G_c)).$$

We denote  $G = \{G(h), h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$  as the isonormal Gaussian process on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , indexed by the elements in the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . In other words,  $G$  is a Gaussian family of random variables such that

$$\mathbb{E}(G) = \mathbb{E}(G(h)) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}(G(g)G(h)) = \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}},$$

for any  $g, h \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

The following proposition is an extension of Theorem 2.3 of [20], which gives the inner product's representation of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Denote  $\mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}$  as the set of bounded variation functions on  $[0, T]$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}$  and we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_{[0,T]^2} R(t, s) \nu_f(dt) \nu_g(ds), \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\nu_g$  is the Lebesgue-Stieljes signed measure associated with  $g^0$  defined as

$$g^0(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } x \in [0, T]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if the covariance function  $R(t, s)$  satisfies Hypothesis 1.1, then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_{[0,T]^2} f(t)g(s) \frac{\partial^2 R(t, s)}{\partial t \partial s} dt ds, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{V}_{[0,T]}. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* The first claim and the identity (2.1) are rephrased from Theorem 2.3 of [20]. The identity (2.2) can be shown by the routine approximation.

In fact, Hypothesis 1.1 implies that

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_{[0, T]^2} f(t)g(s) \frac{\partial^2 R(t, s)}{\partial t \partial s} dt ds, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (2.3)$$

Next, given  $f \in \mathcal{V}_{[0, T]}$  and a sequence of partitions  $\pi_n = \{0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_{k_n}^n = T\}$  such that  $\pi_n \subset \pi_{n+1}$  and  $|\pi_n| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we consider

$$f_n = \sum_{j=0}^{k_n-1} f(t_j^n) \mathbb{1}_{[t_j^n, t_{j+1}^n)} \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Then (A3) and (A4) of [20] imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, f_n \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, T]^2} f_n(t) f_n(s) \frac{\partial^2 R(t, s)}{\partial t \partial s} dt ds \\ &= \int_{[0, T]^2} f(t) f(s) \frac{\partial^2 R(t, s)}{\partial t \partial s} dt ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Finally, using the polarization identity, we obtain the desired (2.2).  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** We define the space of measurable functions by

$$|\mathfrak{H}| = \left\{ f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \int_0^T \int_0^T |f(t)f(s)| \frac{\partial^2 R(t, s)}{\partial t \partial s} dt ds < \infty \right\}.$$

If Hypothesis 1.1 is satisfied, we understand that the space  $|\mathfrak{H}|$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_{[0, T]^2} f(t)g(s) \frac{\partial^2 R(t, s)}{\partial t \partial s} dt ds, \quad \forall f, g \in |\mathfrak{H}|$$

is not complete and it is isometric to a proper subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$  (see the fractional Brownian motion case in [21] and the references therein). However, Proposition 2.1 is good enough to prove the main results of this paper.

Denote  $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$  as the  $p$ th tensor product and the  $p$ th symmetric tensor product of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_p$  be the  $p$ th Wiener chaos with respect to  $G$ . It is defined as the closed linear subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)$  generated by the random variables  $\{H_p(G(h)) : h \in \mathfrak{H}, \|h\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1\}$ , where  $H_p$  is the  $p$ th Hermite polynomial defined by

$$H_p(x) = \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{d^p}{dx^p} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

and  $H_0(x) = 1$ . We have the identity  $I_p(h^{\otimes p}) = H_p(G(h))$  for any  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$  where  $I_p(\cdot)$  is the generalized Wiener-Itô stochastic integral. Then the map  $I_p$  provides a linear isometry between  $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$  (equipped with the norm  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p!}} \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}}$ ) and  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Here  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{R}$  and  $I_0(x) = x$  by convention.

We choose  $\{e_k, k \geq 1\}$  to be a complete orthonormal system in the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Given  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot m}, g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$ , the  $q$ -th contraction between  $f$  and  $g$  is an element in  $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(m+n-2q)}$  that is defined by

$$f \otimes_q g = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_q} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q}} \otimes \langle g, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_q} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q}},$$

for  $q = 1, \dots, m \wedge n$ .

For  $g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot q}$ , we have the following product formula for the multiple integrals,

$$I_p(g)I_q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{p \wedge q} r! \binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{r} I_{p+q-2r}(g \tilde{\otimes}_r h), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $g \tilde{\otimes}_r h$  is the symmetrization of  $g \otimes_r h$  (see [22]).

The following Theorem 2.3, known as the fourth moment theorem, provides necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of a sequence of random variables to a normal distribution (see [23]).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed integer. Consider a collection of elements  $\{f_T, T > 0\}$  such that  $f_T \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$  for every  $T > 0$ . Assume further that*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[I_n(f_T)^2] = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} n! \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes n}}^2 = \sigma^2.$$

*Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[I_n(f_T)^4] = 3\sigma^4$ .
- (2) For every  $q = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|f_T \otimes_q f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(n-q)}} = 0$ .
- (3) As  $T$  tends to infinity, the  $n$ -th multiple integrals  $\{I_n(f_T), T \geq 0\}$  converge in distribution to a Gaussian random variable  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

The following theorem provides an estimate of the Kolmogorov distance between a nonlinear Gaussian functional and the standard normal random variable (see Corollary 1 of [24]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\varphi_T(t, s)$  and  $\psi_T(t, s)$  are two functions on  $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}$ . Let  $b_T$  be a positive function of  $T$  such that  $I_2(\psi_T) + b_T > 0$  a.s.. Denote the functions  $\Psi_i(T)$  as follows,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(T) &= \frac{1}{b_T^2} \sqrt{[b_T^2 - 2 \|\varphi_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2]^2 + 8 \|\varphi_T \otimes_1 \varphi_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2}, \\ \Psi_2(T) &= \frac{2}{b_T^2} \sqrt{2 \|\varphi_T \otimes_1 \psi_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 + \langle \varphi_T, \psi_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Psi_3(T) = \frac{2}{b_T^2} \sqrt{\|\psi_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^4 + 2 \|\psi_T \otimes_1 \psi_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2}.$$

*Let  $Z$  be a standard normal random variable. If  $\Psi_i(T) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a constant  $c$  such that for  $T$  large enough,*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\frac{I_2(\varphi_T)}{I_2(\psi_T) + b_T} \leq z\right) - P(Z \leq z) \right| \leq c \times \max_{i=1,2,3} \Psi_i(T). \quad (2.5)$$

## 3. STRONG CONSISTENCY: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We first define some important functions that will be used in the proof. Denote

$$f_T(t, s) = e^{-\theta|t-s|} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq s, t \leq T\}}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$h_T(t, s) = e^{-\theta(T-t)-\theta(T-s)} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq s, t \leq T\}}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$g_T(t, s) = \frac{1}{2\theta T} (f_T - h_T). \quad (3.3)$$

The solution to the SDE (1.1) with  $\sigma = 1$  is

$$X_t = \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dG_s = I_1(f_T(t, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)).$$

We apply the product formula of multiple integrals (2.4) and stochastic Fubini theorem to obtain

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt = I_2(g_T) + b_T, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$b_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left\| e^{-\theta(t-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 dt. \quad (3.5)$$

From the equation (1.2), we can write

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) = -\frac{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}} I_2(f_T)}{I_2(g_T) + b_T}. \quad (3.6)$$

In the remaining part of this paper,  $C$  will be a generic positive constant independent of  $T$  whose value may differ from line to line.

**Notation 1.** For a function  $\phi(r) \in \mathcal{V}_{[0, T]}$ , we define two norms as

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 = C_\beta \int_{[0, T]^2} \phi(r_1) \phi(r_2) |r_1 - r_2|^{2\beta-2} dr_1 dr_2, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2 = C'_\beta \int_{[0, T]^2} |\phi(r_1) \phi(r_2)| (r_1 r_2)^{\beta-1} dr_1 dr_2. \quad (3.8)$$

For a function  $\varphi(r, s)$  in  $[0, T]^2$ , define an operator from  $(\mathcal{V}_{[0, T]})^{\otimes 2}$  to  $\mathcal{V}_{[0, T]}$  as follows,

$$(\mathbf{K}\varphi)(r) = \int_0^T |\varphi(r, u)| u^{\beta-1} du. \quad (3.9)$$

**Remark 3.1.** If  $C'_\beta > 0$ , the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}$  is equivalent to the standard norm in  $L^1([0, T], \nu)$  with  $\nu(dx) = x^{\beta-1} dx$ .

The following proposition is a consequence of the identity (2.2).

**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose that Hypothesis 1.1 holds. Then for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_{[0, T]}$ ,

$$\left| \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 - \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \right| \leq \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2, \quad (3.10)$$

and for any  $\varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{V}_{[0, T]})^{\odot 2}$ ,

$$\left| \|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 + 2C'_\beta \|\mathbf{K}\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\left| \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} - \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}} \right| \leq \left| \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}} \right| + 2C'_\beta |\langle \mathbf{K}\varphi, \mathbf{K}\psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1}|. \quad (3.12)$$

For any  $t \in [0, T]$ , denote the Wiener-Itô stochastic integral of  $f_T(r, s) \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r, s \leq t\}}$  as

$$F_t := I_2(f_T(r, s) \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r, s \leq t\}}). \quad (3.13)$$

The next two propositions are about the asymptotic behaviors of the second moment of  $F_T$  and the increment  $F_t - F_s$  with  $0 \leq t, s \leq T$  respectively. First, we need a technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $s \in [0, \infty)$ ,*

$$e^{-\theta s} \int_0^s e^{\theta r} r^{\beta-1} dr \leq C(1 \wedge s^{\beta-1}). \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof.* It is easy to check that the following function

$$A(s) = e^{-\theta s} \int_0^s e^{\theta r} r^{\beta-1} dr, \quad s \in [0, \infty)$$

is continuous and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} A(s) = 0$ . Then  $A(s)$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ . In addition, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(s)}{s^{\beta-1}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^s e^{\theta r} r^{\beta-1} dr}{e^{\theta s} s^{\beta-1}} = \frac{1}{\theta},$$

from L'Hôpital's rule, and clearly

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(s)}{s^{\beta-1}} = 0,$$

so  $|A(s)| \leq Cs^{\beta-1}$ . Hence we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *When  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ ,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4\theta\sigma_\beta^2 T} \mathbb{E}[|F_T|^2] = (C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta})^2. \quad (3.15)$$

*When  $\beta = \frac{3}{4}$ ,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T \log T} \mathbb{E}[|F_T|^2] = 4C_\beta^2 \theta^{-2}. \quad (3.16)$$

*When  $\beta \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ ,*

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^{4\beta-2}} \mathbb{E}[|F_T|^2] < \infty. \quad (3.17)$$

*Proof.* By Itô's isometry, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|F_T|^2] = 2 \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2.$$

The inequality (3.11) implies that

$$\left| \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 - \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right| \leq \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 + 2C'_\beta \|\mathbf{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2. \quad (3.18)$$

First, Lemma 5.3 in [9] implies that when  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\theta\sigma_\beta^2 T} \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 = (C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta})^2. \quad (3.19)$$



Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-\theta|r-s|} (rs)^{\beta-1} dr ds &= 2 \int_{0 < s < r \leq T} e^{-\theta(r-s)} (rs)^{\beta-1} dr ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^T s^{2(\beta-1)} \left( \int_s^T e^{-\theta(r-s)} dr \right) ds \\ &\leq CT^{2\beta-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

so

$$\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 = \left| C'_\beta \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-\theta|r-s|} (rs)^{\beta-1} dr ds \right|^2 \leq CT^{4\beta-2}. \quad (3.21)$$

Meanwhile, we have

$$\mathbb{K}f_T(r) = \left[ \int_0^r e^{-\theta(r-u)} u^{\beta-1} du + \int_r^T e^{-\theta(u-r)} u^{\beta-1} du \right] \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r \leq T\}}.$$

Lemma 3.3 and making change of variable  $v = u - r$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}f_T(r) &\leq \left[ Cr^{\beta-1} + \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta v} (v+r)^{\beta-1} dv \right] \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r \leq T\}} \\ &\leq Cr^{\beta-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r \leq T\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Then

$$\|\mathbb{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \leq C \int_{0 < v < u < T} (u-v)^{2\beta-2} (uv)^{\beta-1} du dv = CT^{4\beta-2}. \quad (3.23)$$

Combining the above inequalities with (3.18), we obtain that when  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\theta\sigma_\beta^2 T} \left| \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 - \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right| = 0,$$

which together with (3.19) and Itô's isometry implies the desired (3.15). In the same way, we can obtain (3.16) and (3.17) from Lemma 17 of [10].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *If Hypothesis 1.1 is satisfied, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $T$  such that for all  $s, t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|F_t - F_s|^2] \leq C[|t-s|^{4\beta} + |t-s|^{2\beta} + |t-s|^{2\beta-1}]. \quad (3.24)$$

**Remark 3.6.** *Although the inner product of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  is related to  $T$ , the constant  $C$  in the above proposition does not depend on  $T$ . This fact is crucial to the proof of Proposition 3.8.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ . Itô's isometry implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[|F_t - F_s|^2] = 2 \|f_t - f_s\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq 4(\|\phi_1\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 + \|\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2), \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(r_1, r_2) &= e^{-\theta|r_1-r_2|} \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq r_1, r_2 \leq t\}}, \\ \phi_2(r_1, r_2) &= e^{-\theta|r_1-r_2|} (\mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r_1 \leq s, s \leq r_2 \leq t\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r_2 \leq s, s \leq r_1 \leq t\}}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_1\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 &= C_\beta^2 \int_{[s,t]^4} e^{-\theta|r_1-r_2|} e^{-\theta|u_1-u_2|} |r_1-u_1|^{2\beta-2} |r_2-u_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{u}d\vec{r} \\
&\leq C_\beta^2 \int_{[s,t]^4} |r_1-u_1|^{2\beta-2} |r_2-u_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{u}d\vec{r} \\
&= \frac{C_\beta^2}{((2\beta-1)\beta)^2} |t-s|^{4\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_1\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 &= C_\beta'^2 \int_{[s,t]^4} e^{-\theta|r_1-r_2|} e^{-\theta|u_1-u_2|} (r_1 u_1 r_2 u_2)^{\beta-1} d\vec{u}d\vec{r} \\
&\leq C_\beta'^2 \left( \int_s^t r^{\beta-1} dr \right)^4 = \frac{C_\beta'^2}{\beta^4} (t^\beta - s^\beta)^4 = \frac{C_\beta'^2}{\beta^4} t^{4\beta} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{s}{t} \right)^\beta \right)^4 \\
&\leq \frac{C_\beta'^2}{\beta^4} t^{4\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^{4\beta} = \frac{C_\beta'^2}{\beta^4} |t-s|^{4\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact  $1-x^\beta \leq (1-x)^\beta$  for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Similarly, we have

$$K\phi_1(r) = \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq r \leq t\}} \int_s^t e^{-\theta|r-u|} u^{\beta-1} du \leq \frac{1}{\beta} (t-s)^\beta \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq r \leq t\}},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K\phi_1\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\beta^2} (t-s)^{2\beta} \|\mathbb{1}_{[s,t]}(\cdot)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\beta^2} (t-s)^{2\beta} \cdot C_\beta \int_{[s,t]^2} |u-v|^{2\beta-2} dudv \\
&\leq \frac{C_\beta}{2\beta^3(2\beta-1)} |t-s|^{4\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Hence, by (3.26), (3.27), (3.28), it follows from the inequality (3.11) that

$$\|\phi_1\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq \left( \frac{C_\beta^2}{(2\beta-1)^2} + \frac{C_\beta'^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{C_\beta}{\beta(2\beta-1)} \right) \frac{|t-s|^{4\beta}}{\beta^2}. \tag{3.29}$$

Next, by the symmetry of the function  $\phi_2$  we have

$$\|\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 = 16C_\beta^2 \int_{0 \leq r_1 \leq u_1 \leq s \leq r_2 \leq u_2 \leq t} e^{-\theta|r_1-r_2|} e^{-\theta|u_1-u_2|} |r_1-u_1|^{2\beta-2} |r_2-u_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{u}d\vec{r}.$$

Making the change of variables  $a = u_1 - r_1$ ,  $b = s - u_1$ ,  $c = r_2 - s$ ,  $p = u_2 - r_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0 \leq r_1 \leq u_1 \leq s \leq r_2 \leq u_2 \leq t} e^{-\theta|r_1-r_2|} e^{-\theta|u_1-u_2|} |r_1-u_1|^{2\beta-2} |r_2-u_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{u}d\vec{r} \\
&= \int_0^s e^{-\theta a} a^{2\beta-2} da \int_0^{s-a} e^{-2\theta b} db \int_0^{t-s} e^{-\theta p} p^{2\beta-2} dp \int_0^{t-s-p} e^{-2\theta c} dc \\
&\leq \frac{\Gamma(2\beta-1)}{4\theta^{2\beta+1}} \int_0^{t-s} p^{2\beta-2} dp = \frac{\Gamma(2\beta-1)}{4\theta^{2\beta+1}(2\beta-1)} |t-s|^{2\beta-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq \frac{4C_\beta^2 \Gamma(2\beta-1)}{(2\beta-1)\theta^{2\beta+1}} |t-s|^{2\beta-1}. \tag{3.30}$$

The symmetry also implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 &= 2 \left\| e^{-\theta|r_1-r_2|} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r_1 \leq s, s \leq r_2 \leq t\}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 \\ &= 2 \left( C'_\beta \int_{0 \leq r_1 \leq s} e^{\theta r_1} r_1^{\beta-1} dr_1 \int_s^t e^{-\theta r_2} r_2^{\beta-1} dr_2 \right)^2.\end{aligned}\quad (3.31)$$

Making the change of variables  $r_2 = u + s$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^t e^{-\theta r_2} r_2^{\beta-1} dr_2 &= \int_0^{t-s} e^{-\theta(u+s)} (u+s)^{\beta-1} du \\ &\leq e^{-\theta s} \int_0^{t-s} u^{\beta-1} du = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\theta s} (t-s)^\beta.\end{aligned}\quad (3.32)$$

Substituting (3.32) into the identity (3.31) and then using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\|\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq 2 \left( \frac{C C'_\beta}{\beta} \right)^2 |t-s|^{2\beta}.\quad (3.33)$$

Using Lemma 3.3 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{K}\phi_2(r) &= \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r) \int_s^t e^{-\theta(u-r)} u^{\beta-1} du + \mathbb{1}_{[s,t]}(r) \int_0^s e^{-\theta(r-u)} u^{\beta-1} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} (t-s)^\beta e^{-\theta(s-r)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r) + C e^{-\theta(r-s)} \mathbb{1}_{[s,t]}(r).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathsf{K}\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\beta^2} (t-s)^{2\beta} \left\| e^{-\theta(s-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 + C^2 \left\| e^{-\theta(\cdot-s)} \mathbb{1}_{[s,t]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 =: I_1 + I_2.$$

For the term  $I_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \frac{C_\beta}{\beta^2} (t-s)^{2\beta} \int_{[0,s]^2} e^{-\theta(s-r_1)-\theta(s-r_2)} |r_1-r_2|^{2\beta-2} dr_1 dr_2 \\ &= \frac{2C_\beta}{\beta^2} (t-s)^{2\beta} \int_{[0,s]^2, r_1 \leq r_2} e^{-\theta(r_1+r_2)} |r_2-r_1|^{2\beta-2} dr_1 dr_2 \\ &= \frac{2C_\beta}{\beta^2} (t-s)^{2\beta} \int_{[0,s]^2, 0 \leq a+b \leq s} e^{-\theta(a+2b)} a^{2\beta-2} da db \leq \frac{C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta-1) (t-s)^{2\beta}}{\theta^{2\beta} \beta^2},\end{aligned}$$

where we have made the change of variables,  $a = r_2 - r_1$  and  $b = r_1$ . Similarly, for the term  $I_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &= C^2 C_\beta \int_{[s,t]^2} e^{-\theta(r_1-s)-\theta(r_2-s)} |r_1-r_2|^{2\beta-2} dr_1 dr_2 \\ &= 2C^2 C_\beta \int_{[0,t-s]^2, r_1 \leq r_2} e^{-\theta(r_1+r_2)} |r_2-r_1|^{2\beta-2} dr_1 dr_2 \\ &= 2C^2 C_\beta \int_{[0,t-s]^2, 0 \leq a+b \leq t-s} e^{-\theta(a+2b)} a^{2\beta-2} da db \leq \frac{2C^2 C_\beta (t-s)^{2\beta-1}}{2\beta-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|\mathsf{K}\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \leq C_\beta \left( \frac{\Gamma(2\beta-1)}{\theta^{2\beta} \beta^2} |t-s|^{2\beta} + \frac{2C^2}{2\beta-1} |t-s|^{2\beta-1} \right).\quad (3.34)$$

By the inequalities (3.11), (3.30), (3.33), (3.34), there exists a constant  $C' > 0$  independent of  $T$  such that

$$\|\phi_2\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq C' [ |t-s|^{2\beta} + |t-s|^{2\beta-1} ].$$

Combining it with (3.25) and (3.29), we obtain the desired (3.24).  $\square$

For any  $t \in [0, T]$ , we denote

$$H_t = I_2(h_T(r, u) \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq r, u \leq t\}}), \quad (3.35)$$

and we apply the similar computations as above to obtain the following results about asymptotic behavior of  $H_T$  and the increment  $H_t - H_s$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *If Hypothesis 1.1 is satisfied, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $T$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[|H_t|^2] < C,$$

and there exist two constants  $C' > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  independent of  $T$  such that for any  $|t - s| \leq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|H_t - H_s|^2] \leq C' |t - s|^\alpha.$$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $F_T$  and  $H_T$  be given in (3.13) and (3.35) respectively. If Hypothesis 1.1 is satisfied, we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_T}{T} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_T}{T} = 0, \quad a.s.. \quad (3.36)$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar as [25]. We will only show  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_T}{T} = 0$ , and the other is similar. First, when  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$ , Chebyshev's inequality, the hypercontractivity of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals and Proposition 3.4 imply that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\frac{F_n}{n} > \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}F_n^4}{n^4\epsilon^4} \leq \frac{C(\mathbb{E}(F_n^2))^2}{n^4\epsilon^4} \leq Cn^{-2} \log n.$$

When  $\beta \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ , we take an integer  $p > \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)}$ . Then we apply Chebyshev's inequality to obtain that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\frac{F_n}{n} > \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}F_n^p}{n^p\epsilon^p} \leq \frac{C(\mathbb{E}(F_n^2))^{p/2}}{n^p\epsilon^p} \leq \frac{C}{n^{2p(1-\beta)}}.$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies for  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_n}{n} = 0, \quad a.s..$$

Second, Propositions 3.5 implies that there exist two constants  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$  independent of  $T$  such that for any  $|t - s| \leq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|F_t - F_s|^2] \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} |t - s|^\alpha.$$

Then the Garsia-Rodemich-Rumsey inequality implies that for any real number  $p > \frac{4}{\alpha}$ ,  $q > 1$  and integer  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$|F_t - F_s| \leq R_{p, q} n^{q/p}, \quad \forall t, s \in [n, n+1],$$

where  $R_{p,q}$  is a random constant independent of  $n$  (see Proposition 3.4 of [25]).

Finally, since

$$\left| \frac{F_T}{T} \right| \leq \frac{1}{T} |F_T - F_n| + \frac{n}{T} \frac{|F_n|}{n},$$

where  $n = [T]$  is the biggest integer less than or equal to a real number  $T$ , we have  $\frac{F_T}{T}$  converges to 0 almost surely as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3.8 implies  $I_2(g_T) = \frac{F_T - H_T}{2\theta T} \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  almost surely. Next we study the term  $b_T$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $b_T$  be given by (3.5). Suppose that Hypothesis 1.1 holds. We have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} b_T = C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta} > 0. \quad (3.37)$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-\theta(t-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2 &= C'_\beta \left( \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-u)} u^{\beta-1} du \right)^2 \\ &\leq C'_\beta C^2 t^{2(\beta-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

which together with Hypothesis 1.1 stating  $|\Psi(r, s)| \leq C'_\beta |rs|^{\beta-1}$ , implies

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-\theta(T-r)-\theta(T-s)} \Psi(r, s) dr ds \right| \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_0^T e^{-\theta(T-r)} r^{\beta-1} dr \right|^2 = 0.$$

Then the identity (2.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} b_T &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-\theta(T-r)-\theta(T-s)} \Psi(r, s) dr ds \right| \\ &= C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is from [9] or [26].  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** *The upper bound (3.38) implies that as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , the speed of convergence*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \int_{[0,t]^2} e^{-\theta(t-r)-\theta(t-s)} \Psi(r, s) dr ds \rightarrow 0$$

*is at least  $\frac{1}{T^{2(1-\beta)}}$ . Lemma 3.2 of [26] implies that the speed of convergence*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left\| e^{-\theta(t-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 dt \rightarrow C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta}$$

*is at least  $\frac{1}{T}$ . By the identity (2.2), there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that when  $T$  is large enough,*

$$|b_T - C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta}| \leq \frac{C}{T^{2(1-\beta)}}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* From (3.3), (3.4), (3.13), and (3.27),

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt = I_2(g_T) + b_T = \frac{1}{2\theta} \left[ \frac{F_T}{T} - \frac{H_T}{T} \right] + b_T.$$

Proposition 3.8 and 3.9 imply that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt = C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta}, \text{ a.s.},$$

which implies that the second moment estimator  $\tilde{\theta}_T$  is strongly consistent.

Since

$$\hat{\theta}_T - \theta = \frac{-\frac{1}{2T} F_T}{I_2(g_T) + b_T},$$

Proposition 3.8 and 3.9 imply that the least squares estimator  $\hat{\theta}_T$  is also strongly consistent.  $\square$

#### 4. THE ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\gamma$  be given as in Theorem 1.4. When  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\theta, \beta} > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \|f_T \otimes_1 f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \leq \frac{C_{\theta, \beta}}{T^\gamma}. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume  $C_\beta = C'_\beta = 1$ . Recall that

$$(f_T \otimes_1 f_T)(u_1, u_2) := \int_{[0, T]^2} f_T(u_1, v_1) f_T(u_2, v_2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_1 \partial v_2} R(v_1, v_2) dv_1 dv_2.$$

Denote

$$(f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T)(u_1, u_2) := \int_{[0, T]^2} f_T(u_1, v_1) f_T(u_2, v_2) |v_1 - v_2|^{2\beta-2} dv_1 dv_2.$$

Clearly, the functions  $f_T$ ,  $f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T$  and  $\mathsf{K}f_T \otimes \mathsf{K}f_T$  are positive on  $[0, T]^2$ , and

$$|f_T \otimes_1 f_T| \leq C_\beta |f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T| + C'_\beta |\mathsf{K}f_T \otimes \mathsf{K}f_T|.$$

This implies

$$\|f_T \otimes_1 f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq 2C [\|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 + \|\mathsf{K}f_T \otimes \mathsf{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2]. \quad (4.2)$$

We first deal with the first item on the right hand side of (4.2). The inequality (3.11) implies that

$$\left| \|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 - \|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right| \leq \|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 + 2C'_\beta \|\mathsf{K}(f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2. \quad (4.3)$$

By Theorem 5 in [10] and its proof, and Lemma 5.4 of [9] (see the archive version), we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}} \leq \frac{C_{\theta, \beta}}{T^\gamma}. \quad (4.4)$$

Lemma 3.6 of [25] also implies

$$(f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T)(u, v) \leq C |u - v|^{2\beta-2} \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]^2}(u, v).$$

As a result,

$$\|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq C \left( \int_{[0, T]^2} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} (uv)^{\beta-1} du dv \right)^2 = CT^{2(4\beta-2)}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{K}(f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T)(u) &\leq C \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(u) \int_0^T |u - v|^{2\beta-2} v^{\beta-1} dv \\
&= C \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(u) \left[ \int_0^u (u - v)^{2\beta-2} v^{\beta-1} dv + \int_u^T (v - u)^{2\beta-2} v^{\beta-1} dv \right] \\
&\leq C \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(u) \left[ u^{3\beta-2} + u^{\beta-1} \int_u^T (v - u)^{2\beta-2} dv \right] \\
&\leq C \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(u) T^{2\beta-1} u^{\beta-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\mathbb{K}(f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \leq CT^{2(2\beta-1)} \int_0^T u^{\beta-1} du \int_0^T v^{\beta-1} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} dv = CT^{4(2\beta-1)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, when  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} [\|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 + \|\mathbb{K}(f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2] = 0.$$

By (4.3)-(4.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \|f_T \otimes_{1'} f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}} \leq \max \left( \frac{C_{\theta, \beta}}{T^\gamma}, T^{4\beta-3} \right) = \frac{C_{\theta, \beta}}{T^\gamma}. \quad (4.7)$$

Next, we deal with the second item on the right hand side of (4.2). The inequality (3.22) implies that

$$\|\mathbb{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2 \leq \int_0^T r^{\beta-1} u^{\beta-1} |ru|^{\beta-1} dr du = CT^{2(2\beta-1)},$$

which together with the inequalities (3.23) and (3.10) implies that

$$\|\mathbb{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq CT^{2(2\beta-1)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|\mathbb{K}f_T \otimes \mathbb{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 = \|\mathbb{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4 \leq CT^{4(2\beta-1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \|\mathbb{K}f_T \otimes \mathbb{K}f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq CT^{4(2\beta-3)},$$

which together with (4.7) and (4.2) implies the desired (4.1). □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Denote a constant that depends on  $\theta$  and  $\beta$  as

$$a := C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta}.$$

First, Proposition 3.4, Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 2.3 imply that as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}} F_T \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, \theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2). \quad (4.8)$$

Second, we rewrite the identity (3.6) as

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) = \frac{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}}F_T}{I_2(g_T) + b_T}.$$

The Slutsky's theorem, Proposition 3.9 and the convergence result (4.8) imply that the asymptotic normality (1.4) holds. Third, we can show the asymptotic normality of

$$\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_s^2 ds - a\right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, a^2\sigma_\beta^2/\theta). \quad (4.9)$$

In fact, we have

$$\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_s^2 ds - a\right) = \frac{1}{2\theta}\left[\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}} - \frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right] + \sqrt{T}(b_T - a). \quad (4.10)$$

Remark 3.10 implies that the speed of convergence  $b_T \rightarrow a$  is at least  $\frac{1}{T^{2(1-\beta)}}$ . Hence, when  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ ,  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{T}(b_T - a) = 0$ . Proposition 3.7 and the proof of Proposition 3.8 imply that  $\frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}} \rightarrow 0$  a.s. as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, the Slutsky's theorem implies that (4.9) holds. Finally, since

$$\tilde{\theta}_T = \left(\frac{1}{C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1)T} \int_0^T X_s^2 ds\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}},$$

the delta method (see [27, Example 27.2]) implies that the asymptotic normality (1.5) holds.  $\square$

## 5. THE BERRY-ESSÉEN BOUND

We need several lemmas before the proof of Theorem 1.4. Without loss of generality, we assume  $C_\beta = C'_\beta = 1$ . The following lemma is a result of Lemma 3.5 in [26] and the inequalities (3.11), (3.21)-(3.23).

**Lemma 5.1.** *The speed of convergence*

$$\frac{\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2}{2\theta\sigma_\beta^2 T} \rightarrow (C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1)\theta^{-2\beta})^2$$

is  $\frac{1}{T^{3-4\beta}}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $f_T, h_T$  be given in (3.1) and (3.2). There exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $T$  such that*

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}h_T\right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{T},$$

and

$$\left|\left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}f_T, \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}h_T \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}\right| \leq \frac{C}{T}(1 + T^{3\beta-2}).$$

*Proof.* It is equivalent to show there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $T$  such that

$$\|h_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq C, \quad (5.1)$$

$$|\langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}| \leq C(1 + T^{3\beta-2}). \quad (5.2)$$



First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|h_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}^2 &= \left\| e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^4 \\
&= \left( \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-\theta[(T-t_1)+(T-t_2)]} |t_1 - t_2|^{2\beta-2} dt_1 dt_2 \right)^2 \\
&= 4 \left( \int_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} e^{-\theta[(T-t_1)+(T-t_2)]} (t_2 - t_1)^{2\beta-2} dt_1 dt_2 \right)^2 \\
&= 4 \left( \int_{[0,T]^2, 0 < a+b < T} e^{-\theta(2a+b)} b^{2\beta-2} da db \right)^2 \\
&\leq (\Gamma(2\beta-1)\theta^{-2\beta})^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where we have made the change of variables  $T - t_2 \rightarrow a$ ,  $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow b$  in the fourth step. We also have

$$\|h_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}^2 = \left\| e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^4 = \left( \int_0^T e^{-\theta(T-r)} r^{\beta-1} dr \right)^4 \leq C,$$

where for the inequality we have used Lemma 3.3. In addition, Lemma 3.3 also implies

$$\mathbf{K}h_T(\cdot) = e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(\cdot) \int_0^T e^{-\theta(T-s)} s^{\beta-1} ds \leq C e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(\cdot), \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{K}h_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \leq C \left\| e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(\cdot) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 \leq CC_\beta \Gamma(2\beta-1) \theta^{-2\beta},$$

where the last step is from the proof of Proposition 3.9. By the inequality (3.11), we have the desired (5.1).

Next, the inequality (3.12) implies that

$$|\langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}| \leq \left| \langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}} \right| + \left| \langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}} \right| + 2C'_\beta |\langle \mathbf{K}f_T, \mathbf{K}h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1}|. \tag{5.5}$$

Denote  $\vec{t} = (t_1, t_2)$ ,  $\vec{s} = (s_1, s_2)$ . The symmetry of the functions  $f_T$  and  $h_T$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}} &= \int_{[0,T]^4} e^{-(T-t_1+T-s_1+|t_2-s_2|)\theta} |t_1 - t_2|^{2\beta-2} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{t} d\vec{s} \\
&= 2 \int_{0 \leq s_1, t_2, s_2 \leq t_1 \leq T} e^{-(T-t_1+T-s_1+|t_2-s_2|)\theta} |t_1 - t_2|^{2\beta-2} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{t} d\vec{s} \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{0 \leq s_1, t_1, s_2 \leq t_2 \leq T} e^{-(T-t_1+T-s_1+|t_2-s_2|)\theta} |t_1 - t_2|^{2\beta-2} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{t} d\vec{s}.
\end{aligned}$$

The L'Hôpital's rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0 \leq s_1, t_2, s_2 \leq t_1 \leq T} e^{-(T-t_1+T-s_1+|t_2-s_2|)\theta} |t_1 - t_2|^{2\beta-2} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{t} d\vec{s} \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\theta} \int_{[0,T]^3} e^{-(T-s_1+|t_2-s_2|)\theta} (T-t_2)^{2\beta-2} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} dt_2 d\vec{s} \\
&= \frac{4\beta-1}{4\theta^{4\beta}} \Gamma^2(2\beta-1) \left( 1 + \frac{\Gamma(4\beta-1)\Gamma(3-4\beta)}{\Gamma(2-2\beta)\Gamma(2\beta)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last limit is from [9]. The L'Hôpital's rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0 \leq s_1, t_1, s_2 \leq t_2 \leq T} e^{-(T-t_1+T-s_1+|t_2-s_2|)\theta} |t_1 - t_2|^{2\beta-2} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{t} d\vec{s} \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\theta} \int_{[0, T]^3} e^{-(T-t_1+T-s_1+T-s_2)\theta} (T-t_1)^{2\beta-2} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} dt_1 d\vec{s} \\
&= C \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, T]^2} e^{-(T-s_1+T-s_2)\theta} |s_1 - s_2|^{2\beta-2} d\vec{s} \\
&= C \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{-\theta(T-\cdot)} \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(\cdot)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1} \leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.3) in the last step. Hence we have  $|\langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}| \leq C$ .

The symmetry and Lemma 3.3 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}} &= \int_{[0, T]^4} e^{-(T-t_1+T-s_1+|t_2-s_2|)\theta} (t_1 t_2 s_1 s_2)^{\beta-1} d\vec{t} d\vec{s} \\
&= \left( \int_0^T e^{-(T-t_1)\theta} t_1^{\beta-1} dt_1 \right)^2 \int_{[0, T]^2} e^{-\theta|t_2-s_2|} (t_2 s_2)^{\beta-1} dt_2 ds_2 \\
&\leq CT^{2(\beta-1)} \int_{[0, T]^2} e^{-\theta|t_2-s_2|} (t_2 s_2)^{\beta-1} dt_2 ds_2 \\
&\leq CT^{4\beta-3},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line is from the inequality (3.20).

The inequalities (3.22) and (5.4) imply that

$$\langle \mathbb{K}f_T, \mathbb{K}h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1} \leq C \int_{[0, T]^2} u^{\beta-1} e^{-\theta(T-v)} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} du dv.$$

We consider the right hand side on two subregions of  $[0, T]^2$ . On  $\{0 \leq u \leq v \leq T\}$ , we make the change of variable  $a = v - u$  and apply the proof of Lemma 3.3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{0 \leq u \leq v \leq T} u^{\beta-1} e^{-\theta(T-v)} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} du dv &= \int_{0 \leq a \leq v \leq T} (v - a)^{\beta-1} e^{-\theta(T-v)} a^{2\beta-2} da dv \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\beta-1} x^{2\beta-2} dx \cdot \int_0^T e^{-\theta(T-v)} v^{3\beta-2} dv \\
&\leq CT^{3\beta-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

On  $\{0 \leq v \leq u \leq T\}$ , we make the change of variable  $a = u - v$  and apply Lemma 3.3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{0 \leq v \leq u \leq T} u^{\beta-1} e^{-\theta(T-v)} |u - v|^{2\beta-2} du dv &= \int_{0 \leq a \leq u \leq T} u^{\beta-1} e^{-\theta(T-(u-a))} a^{2\beta-2} da du \\
&\leq \frac{\Gamma(2\beta-1)}{\theta^{2\beta-1}} \int_0^T u^{\beta-1} e^{-\theta(T-u)} du \\
&\leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $\langle \mathbb{K}f_T, \mathbb{K}h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1} \leq C(1 + T^{3\beta-2})$ . Substituting the upper bounds of

$$\langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1^{\otimes 2}}, \langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_2^{\otimes 2}}, \langle \mathbb{K}f_T, \mathbb{K}h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1}$$

into the inequality (5.5), we have the desired (5.2).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** Denote  $a := C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta}$  and

$$Q_T := \frac{F_T - H_T}{\sqrt{T}}$$

where  $F_T$  and  $H_T$  are given in (3.13) and (3.35) respectively. The constant  $\gamma$  that depends on  $\beta$  is defined in Theorem 1.4. Then there exists a constant  $C_{\theta, \beta}$  such that when  $T$  is large enough,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} Q_T \leq z\right) - P(Z \leq z) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\theta, \beta}}{T^\gamma}. \quad (5.6)$$

*Proof.* It follows from (4.9)-(4.10) that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} Q_T \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

By the Fourth moment Berry-Esséen bound (see, for example, Corollary 5.2.10 of [22]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} Q_T \leq z\right) - P(Z \leq z) \right| \\ & \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[Q_T^4] - 3\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2]^2}{3\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2]^2}} + \frac{|\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2] - 4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2|}{\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2] \vee (4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 imply that the second term is bounded by  $\frac{C}{T^\gamma}$ . For the first term, we have  $\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2] \rightarrow 4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2$ , so we only need to show when  $T$  is large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}[Q_T^4] - 3\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2]^2 \leq \frac{C}{T^{2\gamma}}. \quad (5.7)$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[Q_T^4] - 3(\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2])^2 \\ & = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^4\right] - 3\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^2\right]^2 - 3\left\{\left[\mathbb{E}[Q_T^2]\right]^2 - \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^2\right]^2\right\} \\ & + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^4\right] + 6\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^2\right] - 4\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)\right] - 4\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \left(\frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^3\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Proposition 4.1 and Eq. (5.2.5) of [22] imply that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^4\right] - 3\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^2\right]^2 \leq C \left(\frac{1}{T} \|f_T \otimes_1 f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}\right)^2 \leq \frac{C}{T^{2\gamma}}.$$

Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}[Q_T^2]^2 - \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^2\right]^2 \right| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[Q_T^2 + \left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^2\right] \mathbb{E}\left|\frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\frac{H_T - 2F_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right)\right| \\ & \leq \frac{C}{T} (1 + T^{3\beta-2}) \leq \frac{C}{T^{2\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Hypercontractivity of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, the other three terms containing squared, cubic, and quartic  $\frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}}$  in (5.8) are all bounded by  $\frac{C}{T}$ .

Finally, we deal with the term  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right]$ . We apply the product formula of (2.4) for the term  $F_T^3$  and use the orthogonality of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [F_T^3 H_T] &= 16 \mathbb{E} [I_2((f_T \tilde{\otimes}_1 f_T) \tilde{\otimes}_1 f_T) H_T] + 12 \mathbb{E} [I_2((f_T \tilde{\otimes} f_T) \tilde{\otimes}_2 f_T) H_T] \\ &\quad + 2 \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \mathbb{E} [F_T H_T]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

We will deal with the three items on the right-hand side of the above equation (5.9). First, we apply Itô's isometry and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E} [I_2((f_T \tilde{\otimes}_1 f_T) \tilde{\otimes}_1 f_T) H_T]| &= 2 |\langle (f_T \tilde{\otimes}_1 f_T) \tilde{\otimes}_1 f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}| \\ &\leq \|f_T \tilde{\otimes}_1 f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \cdot \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \cdot \|h_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \\ &\leq CT^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is from Proposition 4.1, Lemma 5.1 and the inequality (5.1).

Second, we apply Lemma 5.1 and the inequality (5.2) to obtain

$$\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \mathbb{E} [F_T H_T] = \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \cdot |\langle f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}| \leq C(T + T^{3\beta-1}). \quad (5.10)$$

Third, the symmetry of  $f_T$  implies that on  $[0, T]^4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_T \tilde{\otimes} f_T(u, v, x, y) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[ e^{-\theta(|u-v|+|x-y|)} + e^{-\theta(|u-x|+|v-y|)} + e^{-\theta(|u-y|+|v-x|)} \right], \\ (f_T \tilde{\otimes} f_T) \tilde{\otimes}_2 f_T(u, v) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[ \|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \cdot f_T(u, v) + 2 \langle e^{-\theta(|u-x|+|v-y|)}, f_T(x, y) \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

We apply Fubini's theorem, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Proposition 4.1 to obtain

$$\left| \left\langle e^{-\theta(|u-x|+|v-y|)}, f_T(x, y) \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}, h_T(u, v) \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \right| = |\langle (f_T \otimes_1 f_T) \otimes_1 f_T, h_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}| \leq CT^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}. \quad (5.12)$$

Hence, by (5.11), (5.12), (5.10), and Itô isometry,

$$\mathbb{E} [I_2((f_T \tilde{\otimes} f_T) \tilde{\otimes}_2 f_T) H_T] \leq C(T^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma} + T + T^{3\beta-1}).$$

By the above arguments, we obtain

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{F_T}{\sqrt{T}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{H_T}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \right| \leq C(T^{-\frac{1}{2}-\gamma} + T^{-1} + T^{3(\beta-1)}) \leq \frac{C}{T^{2\gamma}}.$$

Therefore, the desired inequality (5.7) holds. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* It follows from the equations (3.5)-(3.6), Theorem 2.4, and Proposition 3.9 that there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $T$  such that for  $T$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left( \sqrt{\frac{T}{\theta \sigma_\beta^2}} (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \leq z \right) - P(Z \leq z) \right| \\ &\leq C \times \max \left( \left| b_T^2 - \frac{\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2}{2\theta \sigma_\beta^2 T} \right|, \frac{1}{T} \|f_T \otimes_1 f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \|f_T \otimes_1 g_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \langle f_T, g_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}, \|g_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2, \|g_T \otimes_1 g_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}, \quad (5.13)$$

where  $g_T$  is given by (3.3). Denote  $a = C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1) \theta^{-2\beta}$ . Then Remark 3.10 and Lemma 5.1 imply that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for  $T$  large enough,

$$\left| b_T^2 - \frac{\|f_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2}{2\theta\sigma_\beta^2 T} \right| \leq |b_T^2 - a^2| + \left| \frac{\|f_T\|^2}{2\theta\sigma_\beta^2 T} - a^2 \right| \leq \frac{C}{T^{3-4\beta}}.$$

Since  $g_T = (f_T - h_T)/2\theta T$ , we apply Minkowski's inequality, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 to conclude that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for  $T$  large enough,

$$\|g_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}} \left[ \left\| \frac{f_T}{\sqrt{T}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} + \left\| \frac{h_T}{\sqrt{T}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \right] \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}},$$

which, together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemmas 5.1, implies

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \|f_T \otimes_1 g_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |\langle f_T, g_T \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \|g_T \otimes_1 g_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \leq \frac{C}{T}, \quad \|g_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}.$$

Substituting (4.1) and the above inequalities into (5.13), we obtain the desired Berry-Esséen bound (1.6).

The Berry-Esséen bound (1.7) can be obtained by the similar arguments of Theorem 3.2 in [17]. Denote

$$A := P\left(\sqrt{\frac{4\beta^2 T}{\theta\sigma_\beta^2}}(\tilde{\theta}_T - \theta) \leq z\right) - P(Z \leq z).$$

Since  $\tilde{\theta}_T > 0$ , we shall suppose  $z > -\sqrt{\frac{4\beta^2 T}{\theta\sigma_\beta^2}}\theta$ . Otherwise, the standard estimate for a normal random variable  $P(|Z| \geq t) \leq \frac{1}{t}$ ,  $\forall t > 0$  yields

$$|A| = P(Z \leq z) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

Now by (1.3) for the formula of  $\tilde{\theta}_T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= P\left(\tilde{\theta}_T - \theta \leq \sqrt{\frac{\theta\sigma_\beta^2}{4\beta^2 T}} z\right) - P(Z \leq z) \\ &= P\left(\frac{1}{C_\beta \Gamma(2\beta - 1)T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \geq \left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta\sigma_\beta^2}{4\beta^2 T}} z + \theta\right)^{-2\beta}\right) - P(Z \leq z) \\ &= P\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - a \geq \Gamma(2\beta - 1)C_\beta \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta\sigma_\beta^2}{4\beta^2 T}} z + \theta\right)^{-2\beta} - \theta^{-2\beta}\right]\right) - P(Z \leq z) \\ &= P\left(\frac{Q_T}{\sqrt{T}} + 2\theta(b_T - a) \geq 2a\theta \left[1 + \frac{z\sigma_\beta}{2\beta\sqrt{\theta T}}\right]^{-2\beta} - 1\right) - P(Z \leq z), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used (4.10) and the term  $Q_T = \frac{F_T - H_T}{\sqrt{T}}$  as given in Proposition 5.3.

We take the short-hand notation  $\bar{\Phi}(z) = 1 - P(Z \leq z)$  and

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{\theta T}{\sigma_\beta^2}} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{z\sigma_\beta}{2\beta\sqrt{\theta T}}\right)^{-2\beta} - 1 \right].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|A| &= \left| P \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} (Q_T + 2\theta\sqrt{T}(b_T - a)) \geq \nu \right) - P(Z \leq z) \right| \\
&\leq \left| P \left( \frac{Q_T}{\sqrt{4\theta a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} \geq \nu - \frac{\sqrt{\theta T}(b_T - a)}{\sqrt{a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} \right) - \bar{\Phi} \left( \nu - \frac{\sqrt{\theta T}(b_T - a)}{\sqrt{a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} \right) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \bar{\Phi} \left( \nu - \frac{\sqrt{\theta T}(b_T - a)}{\sqrt{a^2 \sigma_\beta^2}} \right) - \bar{\Phi}(\nu) \right| + |\bar{\Phi}(\nu) - P(Z \leq z)|,
\end{aligned}$$

where the first term is bounded by  $\frac{C}{T^\gamma}$  from Proposition 5.3, the third term is bounded by  $\frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}$  from Lemma 5.4 below, and the second term is bound by  $\frac{C}{T^{\frac{3-4\beta}{2}}}$  from Remark 3.10 and the standard estimate for the tail of a normal random variable,  $|\bar{\Phi}(z_1) - \bar{\Phi}(z_2)| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $c > 0$  be a constant. Denote  $\nu(z) = \frac{c}{2\beta} \sqrt{T} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{z}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta} - 1 \right]$  when  $z > -c\sqrt{T}$  and  $\bar{\Phi}(z) = 1 - P(Z \leq z)$ . Then there exists some positive number  $C$  independent of  $T$  such that*

$$\sup_{z > -c\sqrt{T}} |\bar{\Phi}(\nu) - \Phi(z)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

*Proof.* We follow the line of the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [17]. By the mean value theorem, there exists some number  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\nu = -z \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{\Phi}(\nu) - \Phi(z)| &= \left| \Phi \left( \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1} \cdot z \right) - \Phi(z) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{z \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1}}^z e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $z \in (-c\sqrt{T}, -\frac{1}{2}c\sqrt{T}]$ , it is obvious that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{z \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1}}^z e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \leq \Phi(-\frac{1}{2}c\sqrt{T}) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

When  $z \in (-\frac{1}{2}c\sqrt{T}, 0)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{z \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1}}^z e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \leq |z| e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1} - 1 \right). \quad (5.14)$$

The mean value theorem implies that there exists some number  $\eta' \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1} - 1 = (-1 - 2\beta) \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta\eta'}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-2} \leq (1 + 2\beta) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2\beta-2} \frac{|z|}{c\sqrt{T}}.$$

Substituting the above inequality into (5.14), and since the function  $f(z) = z^2 e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}$  is uniformly bounded, we have that when  $z \in (-\frac{1}{2}c\sqrt{T}, 0)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{z(1+\frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}})^{-2\beta-1}}^z e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

When  $z \geq 0$ , using the mean value theorem and making the change of variable  $t = z^2 s$  together with the fact that  $f_2(s, z) = z^2 e^{-\frac{s^2 z^4}{2}}$  is also uniformly bounded, we conclude that there exists a number  $\eta' \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z(1+\frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}})^{-2\beta-1}}^z e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt &= \int_{\frac{1}{z}(1+\frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}})^{-2\beta-1}}^{\frac{1}{z}} z^2 e^{-\frac{s^2 z^4}{2}} ds \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{z} \left( 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-1} \right) \\ &= C(1+2\beta) \frac{1}{z} \left( 1 + \frac{z\eta\eta'}{c\sqrt{T}} \right)^{-2\beta-2} \frac{z\eta}{c\sqrt{T}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Acknowledgements:** Y. Chen is supported by NSFC (No.11871079, No.11961033, No.11961034).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Istas J, Lang G. Quadratic variations and estimation of the local Hölder index of a gaussian process. Ann Inst H Poincaré Probab Stat, 1997, **33**(4):407-436
- [2] Kubilius K, Mishura Y. The rate of convergence of Hurst index estimate for the stochastic differential equation. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 2012, **122**(11):3718-3739
- [3] Kutoyants Y A. Statistical Inference for Ergodic Diffusion Processes. Springer, 2004
- [4] Liptser R S, Shiryaev A N, Statistics of Random Processes: II Applications, second ed. In: Applications of Mathematics. Springer. 2001
- [5] Kleptsyna M L, Le Breton A. Statistical analysis of the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process. Stat Inference Stoch Process, 2002, **5**:229-248
- [6] Tudor C, Viens F. Statistical aspects of the fractional stochastic calculus. Ann. Statist, 2007, **35**(3):1183-1212
- [7] Bercu B, Coutin L, Savy N. Sharp large deviations for the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Theory Probab Appl, 2011, **55**(4):575-610
- [8] Brouste A, Kleptsyna M. Asymptotic properties of MLE for partially observed fractional diffusion system. Stat Inference Stoch Process, 2010, **13**(1):1-13
- [9] Hu Y, Nualart D. Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Stat Probab Lett, 2010, **80** (11-12):1030-1038
- [10] Hu Y, Nualart D, Zhou H. Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes of general Hurst parameter. Stat Inference Stoch Process, 2019, **22**:111-142
- [11] Basawa I V, Scott D J. Asymptotic Optimal Inference for Non-ergodic Models, Lecture Notes in Statistics 17. New York: Springer, 1983
- [12] Dietz H M, Kutoyants Y A. Parameter estimation for some non-recurrent solutions of SDE. Statistics and Decisions, 2003, **21**(1):29-46
- [13] Belfadli R, Es-Sebaï K, Ouknine Y. Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes: Non-ergodic case. Front Sci Eng Int J Hassan II Acad Sci Technol, 2011, **1**(1):1-16

- [14] El Machkouri M, Es-Sebaiy K, Ouknine Y. Least squares estimator for non-ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by Gaussian processes. J Korean Stat Soc, 2016, **45**:329-341
- [15] Mendy I. Parametric estimation for sub-fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, 2013. **143** (4):663-674
- [16] Diedhiou A, Manga C, Mendy I. Parametric estimation for SDEs with additive sub-fractional Brownian motion. Journal of Numerical Mathematics and Stochastics 2011, **3**(1):37-45
- [17] Sottinen T, Viitasaari L. Parameter estimation for the Langevin equation with stationary-increment Gaussian noise. Stat Inference Stoch Process, 2018, **21**(3):569-601
- [18] Cai C, Xiao W. Parameter estimation for mixed sub-fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. arXiv:1809.02038v2
- [19] Sghir A. The generalized sub-fractional Brownian motion. Communications on Stochastic Analysis, 2013, **7** (3): Article 2
- [20] Jolis M. On the Wiener integral with respect to the fractional Brownian motion on an interval. J Math Anal Appl, 2007, **330**:1115-1127
- [21] Nualart D. The Malliavin calculus and related topics, Second edition. Springer, 2006
- [22] Nourdin I, Peccati G. Normal approximations with Malliavin calculus: from Stein's method to universality (Vol. 192). Cambridge University Press. 2012
- [23] Nualart D, Peccati G. Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. Ann Probab, 2005, **33**(1):177-193
- [24] Kim Y T, Park H. S. Optimal Berry-Esséen bound for statistical estimations and its application to SPDE. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 2017, **155**: 284-304
- [25] Chen Y, Hu Y, Wang Z. Parameter Estimation of Complex Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes with Fractional Noise. ALEA Lat Am J Probab Math Stat, 2017, **14**:613-629
- [26] Chen Y, Kuang N H, Li Y. Berry-Esséen bound for the Parameter Estimation of Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes. Stoch Dyn, 2020, **20**(1):2050023(13 pages)
- [27] Billingsley P. Probability and measure. John Wiley & Sons, 2008

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, JIANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG, 330022, JIANGXI, CHINA

*E-mail address:* zhishi@pku.org.cn; chen Yong77@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, ARIZONA, USA.

*E-mail address:* Hongjuan.Zhou@asu.edu