

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR THE σ -ANTITHETIC MULTILEVEL MONTE CARLO METHOD

MOHAMED BEN ALAYA, AHMED KEBAIER, AND THI BAO TRAM NGO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the σ -antithetic multilevel Monte Carlo (MLMC) estimator for a multi-dimensional diffusion which is an extended version of the original antithetic MLMC one introduced by Giles and Szpruch [13]. Our aim is to study the asymptotic behavior of the weak errors involved in this new algorithm. Among the obtained results, we prove that the error between on the one hand the average of the Milstein scheme without Lévy area and its σ -antithetic version build on the finer grid and on the other hand the coarse approximation stably converges in distribution with a rate of order 1. We also prove that the error between the Milstein scheme without Lévy area and its σ -antithetic version stably converges in distribution with a rate of order 1/2. More precisely, we have a functional limit theorem on the asymptotic behavior of the joined distribution of these errors based on a triangular array approach (see e.g. Jacod [18]). Thanks to this result, we establish a central limit theorem of Lindeberg-Feller type for the σ -antithetic MLMC estimator. The time complexity of the algorithm is carried out.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the multilevel Monte Carlo (MLMC) algorithm, used to approximate $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)]$ for a given functional φ and a stochastic process $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, have become a hot topic. This method introduced by Giles [11], that may be seen as an extension of the works of Heinrich [15] and Kebaier [22], is well known for reducing significantly the approximation time complexity compared to a classical Monte Carlo method. Many authors have since been interested in the study of a central limit theorem associated to the MLMC estimator that can be found in the recent works by Ben Alaya and Kebaier [3, 4], Dereich and Li [9], Giorgi et al. [14], Höel and Krumscheid [16] and Kebaier and Lelong [23]. Like for the classical Monte Carlo method, obtaining a central limit theorem is important for the practical implementation of the MLMC method (see e.g. Höel et al. [17]). More recently, Giles and Szpruch [13] introduced an antithetic version of the Milstein MLMC estimator without Lévy area that achieves the optimal complexity $O(\Delta_n^{-2})$ for a given precision Δ_n as for an unbiased Monte Carlo estimator. The efficiency of the antithetic MLMC estimator was validated through a broad array of applications that can be found in [10, 12]. Since then, many new studies were interested on several types of use of the antithetic MLMC estimator (see e.g. Debrabant and Rössler [8], Debrabant et al. [7], Al Gerbi et al. [1, 2]). However, the problem of studying

Date: February 21, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60F05; 62F12; 65C05; 60H35.

Key words and phrases. Multilevel Monte Carlo methods; Functional limit theorems; Milstein scheme.

This research is supported by Laboratory of Excellence MME-DII, Grant no. ANR11LBX-0023-01 (<http://labex-mme-dii.u-cergy.fr/>). Ahmed Kebaier benefited from the support of the chair Risques Financiers, Fondation du Risque.

the validity of the central limit theorem for the antithetic MLMC algorithm has not been addressed in previous research. In the present paper, we first introduce an extended version of this antithetic MLMC method that we call σ -antithetic MLMC estimator which allows permutations between the finer m brownian increments associated to each coarse increment with $m \geq 2$. Let us emphasize that the original antithetic MLMC method introduced in [13] corresponds to $m = 2$. Then, we establish a central limit theorem on the σ -antithetic MLMC algorithm. This new result fills the gap in the literature for MLMC methods and yields new insights on the practical implementation of the antithetic MLMC algorithm. In order to establish this result, we prove a functional limit theorem for the normalized error on two consecutive levels for the joined distribution of the couple

$$(\sqrt{n}(X^{nm} - X^{\sigma,nm}), n((X^{nm} + X^{\sigma,nm})/2 - X^n)), \quad (1.1)$$

where X^{nm} denotes the Milstein scheme with time step T/mn without Lévy area and $X^{\sigma,nm}$ is its σ -antithetic version. This result extends the stable convergence limit theorem obtained by Ben Alaya and Kebaier [4] for the normalized error on two consecutive levels $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{X}^{mn} - \tilde{X}^n)$ where \tilde{X}^n denotes the Euler scheme with time step T/n . The proof of this result, written in a multidimensional setting, relies on combining the limit theorems on martingale triangular arrays in Jacod [18] with technics used in Jacod [19] and Jacod and Protter [20].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall from Giles and Szpruch [13] the Milstein scheme without Lévy area using our own notations and we introduce our assumptions. In Section 3, we introduce the σ -antithetic scheme (3.2) as well as the σ -antithetic MLMC estimator (3.4) and prove our main results namely Theorem 3.2 a functional limit theorem for the couple of normalized errors (1.1) and Theorem 3.3 the central limit theorem for the σ -antithetic MLMC estimator. Section 4 gives the details of the error expansion needed to prove Theorem 3.2 with specifying the main and rest terms. Based on these expansion, we study in Section 5 the asymptotic behaviors of the joined distribution of the main terms. The rest terms are treated in appendices A and B. Appendix C is dedicated to recall some theoretical tools that we use throughout the paper.

2. GENERAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. Milstein scheme without Lévy area. We consider the d -dimensional SDE driven by a q -dimensional Brownian motion $W = (W^1, \dots, W^q)^\top$, $q \geq 1$, solution to

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f(X_s) ds + \int_0^t g(X_s) dW_s, \text{ for } t \in [0, T], T > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d \times q})$. In what follows, we assume that g does not have a commutativity property (see assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$ below). Without loss of generality we will take the solution of (2.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ rather than $[0, T]$, $T > 0$. We will consider a time grid on $[0, 1]$ with a uniform time step $\Delta_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notations. Throughout this paper, we will use the following notations:

- For $g \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d \times q})$, we introduce the tensor function $\{h_{\ell jj'}, 1 \leq \ell \leq d, 1 \leq j, j' \leq q\}$ defined by

$$h_{\ell jj'}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(x) g_{\bullet j'}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell'=1}^d \frac{\partial g_{\ell j}}{\partial x_{\ell'}}(x) g_{\ell' j'}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with $\nabla g_{\ell j} = (\frac{\partial g_{\ell j}}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g_{\ell j}}{\partial x_d})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g_{\bullet j'} = (g_{1j'}, \dots, g_{dj'})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the j'^{th} -column of g and analogously we also introduce the ℓ^{th} -row of g given by $g_{\ell \bullet} = (g_{\ell 1}, \dots, g_{\ell q})$. The notation A^\top stands for the transpose of the given matrix A .

- For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, we denote the $q \times q$ -matrix $h_{\ell \bullet \bullet} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\ell 11} & \dots & h_{\ell 1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{\ell q1} & \dots & h_{\ell qq} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$.

- For more convenience, we set $\mathbb{H} = (h_{1 \bullet \bullet}, \dots, h_{d \bullet \bullet})^\top$.

- For any function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote $\nabla^2 \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_d \partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_d \partial x_d} \end{pmatrix}$ the Hessian $d \times d$ matrix of ψ .

- For any d -dimensional function f , we denote its Jacobian matrix as $\nabla f = (\nabla f_1, \dots, \nabla f_d)^\top$.

- Let \diamond denotes the Frobenius inner products that is for any matrices A and $B \in M_{p \times q}(\mathbb{R})$

$$A \diamond B = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{j'=1}^q A_{jj'} B_{jj'} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, we introduce the operator \blacklozenge defined by: for any $A_{\ell \ell'} \in M_{p \times q}(\mathbb{R})$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\ell' \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & A_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{r1} & \dots & A_{rs} \end{pmatrix} \blacklozenge B = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \diamond B & \dots & A_{1s} \diamond B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{r1} \diamond B & \dots & A_{rs} \diamond B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times s}.$$

- We have the following property for any matrices U and A respectively in $M_{p \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $M_{p \times p}(\mathbb{R})$

$$U^\top A U = A \blacklozenge (U U^\top). \quad (2.2)$$

- We denote $\eta_m(t) = \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor}{n}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we denote $\Delta W_i = W_{\frac{i}{n}} - W_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$ and $\delta W_{ik} = W_{\frac{m(i-1)+k}{nm}} - W_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}$.
- \mathcal{S}_m stands for the set of all permutations of order m .
- For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_m$ we denote the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k, \tilde{\sigma}} = \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \vee \sigma(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} : 1 \leq k' \leq k)$, where $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ denotes the natural filtration of the brownian motion W .

- For $p > 0$, let $(\Gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of processes in L^p . By $\Gamma^n \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ (resp. $\Gamma^n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$) as n tends to infinity, we mean that $\sup_{s \leq t} |\Gamma_s^n| \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ (resp. $\sup_{s \leq t} |\Gamma_s^n| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$) for all $t \in [0, 1]$ as n tends to infinity.
- For any block matrix $A = (A_{ij})$, the notation $|A|$ stands for the L^1 -matrix norm, that satisfies $|A| = \sum_{ij} |A_{ij}|$.

Thanks to the above notations, the original Milstein scheme introduced in [25] starting at x_0 can be rewritten in a compact form given by the following induction on the integer $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{\text{Mil},n} = X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\text{Mil},n} + f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\text{Mil},n})\Delta_n + g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\text{Mil},n})\Delta W_i + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\text{Mil},n}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n - \mathcal{A}_i),$$

where $\Delta W_i = W_{\frac{i}{n}} - W_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$ is the increment on the coarser partition, $I_q = (\delta_{jj'})_{1 \leq j, j' \leq q}$ is the correlation matrix for the driving Brownian paths and $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ is the Lévy area defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{ijj'} = \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} (W_s^j - W_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^j) dW_s^{j'} - \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} (W_s^{j'} - W_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{j'}) dW_s^j, \quad j, j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

In many applications, the simulation of Lévy areas are very complicated. Recently, Giles and Szpruch [13] proposed to build a suitable antithetic MLMC estimator based on the Milstein scheme without the Lévy area that achieves the optimal complexity $O(\Delta_n^{-2})$ for a given precision Δ_n as for an unbiased Monte Carlo estimator. Therefore, let us introduce the so called truncated Milstein scheme starting at x_0 defined by induction on the integer $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n = X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n + f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)\Delta_n + g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)\Delta W_i + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n). \quad (2.3)$$

2.2. Settings and some standard results. In what follows we introduce our assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$ on coefficients f and g in the spirit of Giles and Szpruch [13]. Our condition is stricter than the one in [13] as we aim to prove functional limit theorems for this method. We also recall some standard results on the moment properties of (2.3) (see Lemma 4.2, Corollary 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 of [13]).

Assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d \times q})$. We assume that

- there exists a positive constant L such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial x^\alpha} \right| \leq L, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} g}{\partial x^\alpha} \right| \leq L, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} h}{\partial x^\beta} \right| \leq L$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)^\top$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)^\top$ are two multi-indices such that $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \leq 3$, $|\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i \leq 2$.

- the diffusion coefficient g does not have a commutativity property which gives $h_{\ell jj'} = h_{\ell j' j}$ for all $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$.

Lemma 2.1. Under $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, for $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant C_p , independent of n , such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n|^p \right) \leq C_p, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n - X_{\frac{i}{n}}|^p \right) \leq C_p \Delta_n^{p/2}.$$

Corollary 2.2. Under $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, for $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant C_p , independent of n , such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |f_\ell(X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n)|^p \right) \leq C_p, \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n)|^p \right) \leq C_p,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |h_{\ell j j'}(X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n)|^p \right) \leq C_p$$

for all $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ and $1 \leq j, j' \leq q$.

Lemma 2.3. Under $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, for $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant C_p , independent of n , such that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left(|X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n|^p \right) \leq C_p \Delta_n^{p/2}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

The σ -antithetic scheme. In view of running a MLMC method, we consider two types of schemes, a coarser one and a finer one. The antithetic MLMC estimator was introduced in [13] for $m = 2$. For each level, the main idea consists in switching the two finer Brownian increments to obtain an antithetic version of the approximation scheme. In order to extend this idea for a general $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1\}$, we consider $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m \setminus \{\text{Id}\}$ and for each level $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, we introduce the σ -antithetic scheme $X^{m^\ell, \sigma}$ obtained by permuting the m finer Brownian increments lying in each of the coarse intervals with length $1/m^{\ell-1}$. Based on this, we will also introduce the σ -antithetic MLMC estimator. To do so, we set the scheme given by the equation (2.3) as the coarser approximation with time step $1/m^{\ell-1}$. The finer scheme with time step $1/m^\ell$ can be rewritten as follows : for $i \in \{1, \dots, m^{\ell-1}\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell} &= X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell} + f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell}) \frac{\Delta_{m^{\ell-1}}}{m} + g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell}) \delta W_{ik} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell}) \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_{m^{\ell-1}}}{m}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\delta W_{ik} = W_{\frac{m(i-1)+k}{m^\ell}} - W_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}} \in \mathbb{R}^q$. Now, for a given $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m \setminus \{\text{Id}\}$ our σ -antithetic scheme is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell, \sigma} &= X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell, \sigma} + f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell, \sigma}) \frac{\Delta_{m^{\ell-1}}}{m} + g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell, \sigma}) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell, \sigma}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_{m^{\ell-1}}}{m}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

When $\sigma = \text{Id}$, we clearly have $X^{m^\ell, \text{Id}} = X^{m^\ell}$. Throughout the paper we take $\sigma(k) = m - k + 1$. The reason for fixing σ in this way is explained in Remark 4.10. Since the increments $(\delta W_{ik})_{1 \leq i \leq m^{\ell-1}, 1 \leq k \leq m}$ are independent and identically distributed, it is obvious that $X^{m^\ell, \sigma} \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} X^{m^\ell}$ and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m^{\ell-1}\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k}{m^\ell}}^{m^\ell, \sigma}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{m^{\ell-1}}}^{k, \sigma}$ -measurable.

The σ -antithetic MLMC method. Recall that the idea of the original multilevel Monte Carlo method (MLMC) is based on writing $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^{m^L}))$ using the following telescoping summation

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^{m^L})) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^1)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^{m^\ell}) - \varphi(X_1^{m^{\ell-1}})). \quad (3.3)$$

As $X^{m^\ell, \sigma} \stackrel{law}{=} X^{m^\ell}$, we rewrite the above telescoping sum as follows

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^{m^L})) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^1)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\varphi(X_1^{m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_1^{m^{\ell, \sigma}})}{2} - \varphi(X_1^{m^{\ell-1}}) \right).$$

Then we estimate independently each expectation using an empirical mean. Thus, the σ -antithetic MLMC estimator \hat{Q} approximates $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^{m^L}))$ by

$$\begin{cases} \hat{Q} = \hat{Q}_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \hat{Q}_\ell, \text{ with} \\ \hat{Q}_0 = \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} \varphi(X_{1,k}^1) \text{ and } \hat{Q}_\ell = \frac{1}{N_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\ell} \left(\frac{\varphi(X_{1,k}^{m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_{1,k}^{m^{\ell, \sigma}})}{2} - \varphi(X_{1,k}^{m^{\ell-1}}) \right) \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where for each level $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, $(X_{1,k}^{m^\ell}, X_{1,k}^{m^{\ell, \sigma}}, X_{1,k}^{m^{\ell-1}})_{1 \leq k \leq N_\ell}$ are independent copies of $(X_1^{m^\ell}, X_1^{m^{\ell, \sigma}}, X_1^{m^{\ell-1}})$ whose components are simulated using the same Brownian path and $(X_{1,k}^1)_{1 \leq k \leq N_0}$ are independent copies of X_1^1 . In order to study the error of the σ -antithetic MLMC method, we assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and introduce $\bar{X}_1^{m^\ell, \sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(X_1^{m^\ell, \sigma} + X_1^{m^\ell})$, for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ and use a Taylor expansion to write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(X_1^{m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_1^{m^{\ell, \sigma}})) - \varphi(X_1^{m^{\ell-1}}) &= \nabla \varphi^\top(\xi_1)(\bar{X}_1^{m^\ell, \sigma} - X_1^{m^{\ell-1}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}(X_1^{m^\ell} - X_1^{m^{\ell, \sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 \varphi(\xi_2)(X_1^{m^\ell} - X_1^{m^{\ell, \sigma}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where ξ_1 is a point lying between $\bar{X}_1^{m^\ell, \sigma}$ and $X_1^{m^{\ell-1}}$, ξ_2 is a point lying between $X_1^{m^\ell, \sigma}$ and $X_1^{m^\ell}$ and $\nabla^2 \varphi$ denotes the Hessian matrix of φ . More generally, if we consider the σ -antithetic MLMC method on the coarse time grid we have to introduce the error process

$$\mathcal{E}_t^{m^{\ell-1}, m^\ell} = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^{\ell, \sigma}})) - \varphi(X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^{\ell-1}}), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

The work of Giles and Szpruch in [13] corresponds to $m = 2$ and in this case they proved the L^p boundedness of the process $m^\ell \mathcal{E}^{m^{\ell-1}, m^\ell}$. In this paper, we establish this result for a general setting with $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and we further study its asymptotic distribution behavior. To do so and in view of the decomposition (3.5), we study the couple of two errors $\bar{X}_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^\ell} - X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^{\ell-1}}$ and $X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^\ell} - X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^{\ell, \sigma}}$, where $\bar{X}_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^\ell, \sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^{\ell, \sigma}} + X_{\eta_{m^{\ell-1}}(t)}^{m^\ell})$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

At first we reduce the problem to the study of the error given by the process $(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^n, X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma})_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$, where $\bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} + X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm})$ and X^{nm} , $X^{nm, \sigma}$ and X^n respectively stand for the finer approximation scheme with time step $1/nm$, its antithetic version and the coarser approximation scheme with time step $1/n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. All these approximation schemes are constructed using the same Brownian path. Second, we extend Theorem 4.10. and Lemma 4.6. in [13] to get.

Lemma 3.1. *Under $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, for $p \geq 2$, $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_m$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$, independent of the time step, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) &\leq C_p, \quad \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) \leq C_p \Delta_n^{p/2} \text{ and} \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \mathbb{E}(|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) &\leq C_p \Delta_n^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first and the third inequalities are straightforward consequences of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. Next, we prove the second inequality following similar arguments as in Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.10 in [13]. As $X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n$, by Jensen inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) &\leq C_p (\mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n|^p) + \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n|^p)) \\ &\leq C_p \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n|^p) \\ &\leq C_p (\mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n|^p) + \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n|^p)) \leq C_p \Delta_n^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_p is a generic positive constant. \square

3.1. Functional limit theorem for the errors. As we have the uniform L^p -boundedness of $(\sqrt{n}(X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma}))_{t \in [0,1]}$ (see Lemma 3.1) and $(n(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^n))_{t \in [0,1]}$ (see Corollary 4.9), we get the tightness of these quantities (see e.g. [24]). Then, it is natural to study the weak convergence of the couple $(\sqrt{n}(X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma}), n(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^n))_{t \in [0,1]}$. The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 3.2. *Under the assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, let us denote $U_t^n = X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma}$ and $V_t^n = \bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^n$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then we have*

$$(\sqrt{n}U^n, nV^n) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (U, V), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

with U and V are solutions to

$$U_t = \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t \dot{F}_s^j U_s dY_s^j + \mathcal{M}_{1,t}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$V_t = \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t \dot{F}_s^j V_s dY_s^j + \mathcal{M}_{2,t}, \quad (3.8)$$

where for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the ℓ -th component of $\mathcal{M}_{1,t}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2,t}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{1,t}^\ell &= -2 \int_0^t h_{\ell \bullet \bullet}(X_s) \diamond dZ_{2,s} \\ \mathcal{M}_{2,t}^\ell &= \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t \left[\frac{m-1}{2m} \left(\nabla f_\ell(X_s)^\top g_{\bullet j}(X_s) \mathbf{1}_{j \neq 0} + \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_s)^\top f(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} g(X_s)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_s) g(X_s) \right) \diamond I_q^j \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{8} U_s^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_s) U_s \Big] dY_s^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^t \left[\nabla g_{\ell_j}(X_s)^\top \mathbb{H}(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} g(X_s)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_s) g(X_s) \right. \\
& + \left. \dot{h}_{\ell_{\bullet\bullet}}^s \diamond g_{\bullet j}(X_s) \right] \diamond dZ_{1,s}^{\bullet\bullet j} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\dot{h}_{\ell_{\bullet\bullet}}^s \diamond U_s) \diamond dZ_{2,s} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,j'=1}^q \int_0^t \nabla g_{\ell_j}(X_s)^\top [\dot{g}^s \diamond g_{\bullet j''}(X_s)] dZ_{3,s}^{j \bullet j'} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^t [g(X_s)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_s) g(X_s)] \diamond dZ_{3,s}^{\bullet\bullet},
\end{aligned}$$

with $Y_t := (t, W_t^1, \dots, W_t^q)^\top$, $I_q^0 = \mathbf{1}_{q \times q}$ is the $\mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ matrix with all its elements equal to 1, $\dot{F}^0 = \nabla f$ and for $j \neq 0$, $I_q^j = I_q$ and $\dot{F}^j = \nabla g_{\bullet j}$, $\dot{g}^s \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ is a block matrix such that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the ℓj -th block is given by $(\dot{g}^s)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell_j}(X_s)$, $s \in [0, t]$ and the $\dot{h}_{\ell_{\bullet\bullet}}^s \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{q \times q}$ is a block matrix such that for j and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the $j j'$ -th block is given by $(\dot{h}_{\ell_{\bullet\bullet}}^s)_{j j'} = \nabla h_{\ell j j'}(X_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$, $s \in [0, t]$. Here, Z_1, Z_3 are \mathbb{R}^{q^3} -dimensional processes and Z_2 is a $\mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ -dimensional process given by: for $j, j', j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$,

$$Z_{1,t}^{j j' j''} = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{m} B_{1,t}^{j j' j''} & , j > j' \\ \frac{\sqrt{2(m-1)}}{m} B_{1,t}^{j j j''} & , j = j' \\ \frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{m} B_{1,t}^{j' j j''} & , j < j' \end{cases}, \quad Z_{2,t}^{j j'} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} B_{2,t}^{j j'} & , j > j' \\ 0 & , j = j' \\ -\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} B_{2,t}^{j' j} & , j < j' \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } Z_{3,t}^{j j' j''} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2}} B_{3,t}^{j j' j''} & , j > j'' \\ \sqrt{\frac{2(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2}} B_{3,t}^{j j' j''} & , j = j'' \\ \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2}} B_{3,t}^{j'' j' j} & , j < j'' \end{cases}$$

with $(B_1^{j j' j''})_{\substack{1 \leq j, j', j'' \leq q \\ j \geq j'}}$ and $(B_3^{j j' j''})_{\substack{1 \leq j, j', j'' \leq q \\ j \geq j''}}$ are two standard $q^2(q+1)/2$ -dimensional Brownian motions and $(B_2^{j j'})_{1 \leq j' < j \leq q}$ is a standard $q(q-1)/2$ -dimensional Brownian motion. Moreover, we have B_1, B_2 and B_3 are independent of the original q -dimensional Brownian motion W and also independent of each other. These processes are defined on an extension $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ of the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. Here we use that for $r \in \{1, 3\}$ we have

$$Z_{r,s}^{\bullet\bullet j} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{r,s}^{11j} & \dots & Z_{r,s}^{1qj} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Z_{r,s}^{q1j} & \dots & Z_{r,s}^{qqj} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{r,t}^{j \bullet j''} = (Z_{r,t}^{j1j''}, \dots, Z_{r,t}^{jqj''})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times 1}.$$

Proof. From section 4, equations (4.37) and (4.38), we can rewrite U^n and V^n as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
U_t^n &= \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t (\dot{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} \diamond U_{\eta_n(s)}^n) \mathbb{1}_{s \leq \eta_n(t)} dY_s^j + J_t^{n,1}, \\
V_t^n &= \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t (\bar{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} \diamond V_{\eta_n(s)}^n) \mathbb{1}_{s \leq \eta_n(t)} dY_s^j + J_t^{n,2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y_t := (t, W_t^1, \dots, W_t^q)^\top$, $J_t^{n,1} = \mathcal{M}_t^{n,1} + \mathcal{R}_t^{n,1}$, $J_t^{n,2} = \mathcal{M}_t^{n,2} + \mathcal{R}_t^{n,2}$ and for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote

$$\dot{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,j} = \begin{cases} \dot{f}_i^n, & j = 0 \\ (\dot{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j}, & j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \end{cases}, \quad \text{where } (\dot{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j} = ((\dot{g}_i^n)_{1j}, \dots, (\dot{g}_i^n)_{dj})^\top, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\bar{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,j} = \begin{cases} \bar{f}_i^n, & j = 0 \\ (\bar{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j}, & j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \end{cases}, \quad \text{where } (\bar{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j} = ((\bar{g}_i^n)_{1j}, \dots, (\bar{g}_i^n)_{dj})^\top, \quad (3.10)$$

with $\dot{f}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times 1}$ and $\dot{g}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ are block matrices such that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ -th block of \dot{f}_i^n is given by $(\dot{f}_i^n)_\ell = \nabla f_\ell(\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{1,n})$ and for $\ell, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓj -th block of \dot{g}_i^n is given by $(\dot{g}_i^n)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{2,n})$ with $\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{1,n}$ and $\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{2,n}$ are some vector points lying between $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}$. In the same way, $\bar{f}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times 1}$ and $\bar{g}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ are block matrices such that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ -th block of \bar{f}_i^n is given by $(\bar{f}_i^n)_\ell = \nabla f_\ell(\bar{\xi}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{1,n})$ and $\ell, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓj -th block of \bar{g}_i^n is given by $(\bar{g}_i^n)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(\bar{\xi}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{2,n})$ with $\bar{\xi}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{1,n}$ and $\bar{\xi}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{2,n}$ are some vector points lying between $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n$ and $\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}$. The aim now is to use Theorem C.6 to get the joined convergence of our couple of errors. To do so, let us introduce the processes $Z_t^n = \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t \dot{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} \diamond \mathbf{1}_d \mathbf{1}_{s \leq \eta_n(t)}(s) dY_s^j$, $\bar{Z}_t^n = \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t \bar{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} \diamond \mathbf{1}_d \mathbf{1}_{s \leq \eta_n(t)}(s) dY_s^j$ and $Z_t = \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^t \dot{F}_s^j \diamond \mathbf{1}_d dY_s^j$, where $\mathbf{1}_d = (1, \dots, 1)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$. Thanks to Lemma 2.1 and assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequality with $p \geq 2$, there is a generic constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Z_t^n - Z_t|^p) &\leq C_p \mathbb{E}(|\sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^1 (\dot{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} - \dot{F}_s^j)^2 \diamond \mathbf{1}_d ds|^{p/2}) \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{\ell=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbb{E}(|\int_0^1 (\nabla g_{\ell j}(\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{2,n}) - \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \diamond \mathbf{1}_d ds|^{p/2}) \\ &\leq C_p \Delta_n^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\bar{Z}_t^n - Z_t|^p)$ is also bounded by $C_p \Delta_n^{p/2}$. Therefore, we have $Z^n - Z \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ and $\bar{Z}^n - Z \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.12 and Proposition 5.5, we deduce that $(\sqrt{n}J^{n,1}, nJ^{n,2}) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the limit processes are defined on an extension $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ of the original space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. By Lemma C.4, we get that $(Y, \sqrt{n}J^{n,1}, nJ^{n,2}, Z^n)$ stably converges to the limit $(Y, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, Z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, by Theorem C.6, we have $(Y, \sqrt{n}J^{n,1}, nJ^{n,2}, Z^n, \sqrt{n}U^n, nV^n)$ stably converges to the limit $(Y, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, Z, U, V)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where U and V respectively satisfy (3.7) and (3.8). \square

3.2. Central limit theorem. The σ -antithetic Multilevel Monte Carlo method uses information from a sequence of computations with increasing step sizes and approximates the

quantity of interest $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1))$ by

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n = \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} \varphi(X_{1,k}^1) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{N_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\ell} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\varphi(X_{1,k}^{\ell, m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_{1,k}^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma})) - \varphi(X_{1,k}^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) \right],$$

$m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and $L = \frac{\log n}{\log m}$. We denote the weak error $\epsilon_n = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^n)) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1))$. In the spirit of Kebaier [22], we assume that ϵ_n is of order $1/n^\alpha$, for any $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$. Taking advantage from Theorem C.7, we are now able to establish a central limit theorem of Lindeberg Feller type on the error $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n - \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1))$. To do so, we introduce a real sequence $(a_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive weights such that

$$\lim_{L \uparrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell = \infty, \text{ for } p > 2, \text{ and } \lim_{L \uparrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell \right)^{p/2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell^{p/2} = 0 \quad (\mathbf{W})$$

and we choose the same form of N_ℓ as in [4], namely

$$N_\ell = \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{m^{2(\ell-1)} a_\ell} \sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell, \quad \ell \in \{0, \dots, L\} \text{ and } L = \frac{\log n}{\log m}. \quad (3.11)$$

This generic form for the sample size allows us a straightforward use of Theorem 3.2 to prove a central limit theorem for the σ -antithetic MLMC estimator. In the sequel, we denote by \tilde{E} and $\tilde{\text{Var}}$ the expectation and the variance respectively defined on the probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ introduced in Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that f and g satisfy assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p + |y|^p)|x - y|, \text{ for some constant } C \text{ and } p > 0 \quad (\mathbf{H}_\varphi)$$

with bounded second derivatives.

Assume that for some $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$ we have

$$\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} n^\alpha \epsilon_n = C_\varphi(\alpha). \quad (\mathbf{H}_{\epsilon_n})$$

Then, for the choice of N_ℓ , $\ell \in \{0, \dots, L\}$ given by the equation (3.11), we have

$$n^\alpha (\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n - \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1))) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(C_\varphi(\alpha), \mathcal{V}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

with $\mathcal{V} = \tilde{\text{Var}}(\nabla \varphi^\top(X_1) V_1 + \frac{1}{8} U_1^\top \nabla^2 \varphi(X_1) U_1)$, where the limit processes U and V are explicitly given in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. To simplify our notation, we give the proof for $\alpha = 1$, the case $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$ is straightforward by similar arguments. At first, we rewrite the error term as follows

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n - \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1)) = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n^1 + \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n^2 + \epsilon_n, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n^1 = \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} (\varphi(X_{1,k}^1) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^1))),$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n^2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{N_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\ell} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\varphi(X_{1,k}^{\ell, m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_{1,k}^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma})) - \varphi(X_{1,k}^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell}) - \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}})) \right].$$

For $N_0 = \frac{n^2}{a_0} \sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell$ we simply apply the classical central limit theorem to get

$$n\hat{Q}_n^1 = \sqrt{\frac{a_0}{\sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell}} \sqrt{N_0} \hat{Q}_n^1 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally, we only need to study the convergence of $n\hat{Q}_n^2$ and the proof is completed by assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{\epsilon_n})$. To do so, we use Theorem C.7 and set

$$X_{n,\ell} := \frac{n}{N_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\ell} Z_{1,k}^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}}, \quad \text{where } (Z_{1,k}^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}})_{1 \leq k \leq N_\ell} \text{ are independent copies of}$$

$$Z_1^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}} := \frac{1}{2} (\varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma})) - \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell}) - \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}})).$$

First, we check the limit variance of $n\hat{Q}_n^2$. We have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^L \mathbb{E}(X_{n,\ell})^2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{n^2}{N_\ell} \text{Var}(Z_1^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{\sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell} a_\ell m^{2(\ell-1)} \text{Var}(Z_1^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}}). \quad (3.12)$$

Besides, since $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, applying Taylor expansion twice we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma})) - \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) \\ &= \nabla \varphi^\top(\xi_1) (\bar{X}_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma} - X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) + \frac{1}{8} (X_1^{\ell, m^\ell} - X_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma})^\top \nabla^2 \varphi(\xi_2) (X_1^{\ell, m^\ell} - X_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

for some ξ_1 a vector point lying between X_1^{ℓ, m^ℓ} and $X_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma}$ and ξ_2 a vector point lying between $\bar{X}_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma}$ and $X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}$. Thus, under assumption (\mathbf{H}_φ) , thanks to Theorem 3.2 we get as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$

$$n \left[\frac{1}{2} (\varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma})) - \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) \right] \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} \nabla \varphi^\top(X_1) V_1 + \frac{1}{8} U_1^\top \nabla^2 \varphi(X_1) U_1.$$

From the uniform integrability obtained by combining (\mathbf{H}_φ) and Lemma 3.1, we get for $k \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(n \left[\frac{1}{2} (\varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell}) + \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^\ell, \sigma})) - \varphi(X_1^{\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) \right] \right)^k \xrightarrow{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left(\nabla \varphi^\top(X_1) V_1 + \frac{1}{8} U_1^\top \nabla^2 \varphi(X_1) U_1 \right)^k.$$

Consequently, $m^{2(\ell-1)} \text{Var}(Z_{1,1}^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by (3.12) and Toeplitz lemma we get $\lim_{L \uparrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \mathbb{E}(X_{n,\ell})^2 = \mathcal{V}$. Finally, we only need to check the Lyapunov condition. By Burkholder's inequality and Jensen's inequality, we get for $p > 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{n,\ell}|^p = \frac{n^p}{N_\ell^{p/2}} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^L Z_1^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}} \right|^p \leq C_p \frac{n^p}{N_\ell^p} \mathbb{E}|Z_1^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}}|^p,$$

where C_p is a generic positive constant depending on p . Besides, Lemma 3.1 ensures that there is a constant $K_p > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}|Z_1^{m^\ell, m^{\ell-1}}|^p \leq \frac{K_p}{m^{p(\ell-1)}}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^L \mathbb{E}|X_{n,\ell}|^p \leq C_p \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{n^p}{N_\ell^{p/2} m^{p(\ell-1)}} \leq C_p \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell\right)^{p/2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^L a_\ell^{p/2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

which completes the proof. \square

3.3. The time complexity. The time complexity in the σ -antithetic MLMC method is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\sigma\text{-MLMC}} &= C \times \sum_{\ell=1}^L N_{\ell}(2m^{\ell} + m^{\ell-1}) \text{ with } C > 0 \\ &= C \times \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{n^2}{m^{2(\ell-1)}a_{\ell}}(2m^{\ell} + m^{\ell-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^L a_{\ell} \\ &= C \times n^2(2m^2 + m) \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{m^{\ell}a_{\ell}} \sum_{\ell=1}^L a_{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

This analysis is online with the one obtained by Ben Alaya and Kebaier [3] in the context of pricing Asian options using numerical schemes with a strong convergence order equal to 1. The optimal choice corresponding to $a_{\ell}^* = m^{-\ell/2}$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ leads to the optimal time complexity $C_{\sigma\text{-MLMC}}^* = O(n^2)$ the same one as for an unbiased Monte Carlo method having the same precision. However, this optimal weight a_{ℓ}^* does not satisfy **(W)** which ensures Theorem 3.3. In what follows, we recall from [3], three examples of weights $(a_{\ell})_{1 \leq \ell \leq L}$ satisfying **(W)** and for which the time complexity gets closer and closer to $C_{\sigma\text{-MLMC}}^*$:

- i) The choice $a_{\ell} = 1$, corresponding to $N_{\ell} = \frac{n^2}{m^{2(\ell-1)}}L$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ leads to the complexity $C_{\sigma\text{-MLMC}} = O(n^2 \log n)$.
- ii) The choice $a_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\ell}$, corresponding to $N_{\ell} = \frac{n^2 \ell}{m^{2(\ell-1)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{\ell}$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ leads to the complexity $C_{\sigma\text{-MLMC}} = O(n^2 \log \log n)$.
- iii) The choice $a_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\ell \log \ell}$, corresponding to $N_{\ell} = \frac{n^2 \ell \log \ell}{m^{2(\ell-1)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{\ell \log \ell}$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ leads to the complexity $C_{\sigma\text{-MLMC}} = O(n^2 \log \log \log n)$.

4. EXPANDING ANALYSIS OF σ -ANTITHETIC SCHEME

In this section, we have two main purposes. Firstly, for $\tilde{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}$, we give the expansion of two error terms $X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$ and $\bar{X}_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} - \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}$ together with some related L^p estimates. Secondly, we give the expansions of the errors U^n and V^n with specifying the main and the rest terms. From now on we assume that assumption **(H_{f,g})** is satisfied.

4.1. Expansion of the error $X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$, with $\tilde{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}$. By (3.1) and (3.2), we have for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} &= \sum_{k'=1}^k f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \sum_{k'=1}^k g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k'=1}^k \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^{\top} - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}). \quad (4.1) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} &= \sum_{k=1}^m f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \sum_{k=1}^m g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}). \quad (4.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

This last equation can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} &= f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \Delta_n + g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \Delta W_i + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \left[f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \sum_{k=1}^m \left[g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n). \quad (4.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us start dealing with the last four terms in the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of the above equality. By a Taylor expansion, we have for any fixed index component $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_\ell(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) &= \nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(\xi_{ik}^{1,n}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}), \quad (4.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

for some vector point $\xi_{ik}^{1,n}$ lying between $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$. Then, using (4.1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \left[f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \frac{\Delta_n}{m} =: M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 1} + N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}, \quad (4.5)$$

where for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ^{th} -component of $N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$ and $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 1}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} &= \sum_{k=2}^m \nabla f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left[f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right] \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^m (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(\xi_{ik}^{1,n}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \\
 M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 1} &= \sum_{k=2}^m \nabla f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \frac{\Delta_n}{m}. \quad (4.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

For the last two terms in the r.h.s. of (4.3) by $\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top = \sum_{k, k'=1}^m \delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top$, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=2}^m \left[\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.3) and (4.8), if we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2} &= \sum_{k=2}^m \left[g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} - 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top, \\
M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 3} &= \sum_{k=2}^m \left[\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$M^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} = M^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 1} + M^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2} + M^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 3}. \tag{4.9}$$

Then combining (4.3), (4.5) and (4.9) we obtain the first assertion of the following lemma. The proof of the remaining results are postponed to appendix B

Lemma 4.1. *The difference equation for $X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} &= f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \Delta_n + g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \Delta W_i + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \\
&\quad - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top) + M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} + N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}, \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{E}(M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$, and for any integer $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant K_p such that

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) \leq K_p \Delta_n^{3p/2}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) \leq K_p \Delta_n^{2p}. \tag{4.12}$$

In what follows, we give further expansion studies for the terms $N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$ and $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 1}$, $M^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2}$ and $M^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 3}$ defined above.

• **The term $N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$:** Starting from relation (4.6) we replace the increment $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$ using (4.1) and we only freeze the coefficients of the contributing terms in the asymptotic behavior of the error at the limit point $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$. Then thanks to (2.2) and using that

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top,$$

we get the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ^{th} -component of $N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$ has the following expansion*

$$N_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} = \frac{(m-1)}{2m} \nabla f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \Delta_n^2 +$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \blacklozenge \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^\top \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0) + \tilde{R}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0), \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0) = \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} \nabla f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{nm} + \frac{k'-1}{nm}}) \blacklozenge (\delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \\ + \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \blacklozenge \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k'')}^\top \frac{\Delta_n}{m}$$

satisfies $\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. Moreover, for any integer $p \geq 2$ there exists

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0)|^p) = o\left(\Delta_n^{3p/2}\right), \quad (4.14)$$

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|\tilde{R}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0)|^p) = o\left(\Delta_n^{2p}\right). \quad (4.15)$$

The proof of the above lemma is postponed to appendix B.

• **The term $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 1}$:** For this term we only need to freeze the coefficients in relation (4.7) at the limit point $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$. Then using

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')}^j = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^j,$$

we get the following result.

Lemma 4.3. For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ^{th} -component of the term $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 1}$ has the following expansion

$$M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 1} = \left[\nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(1), \quad (4.16)$$

with $\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(1) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. Moreover, for any integer $p \geq 2$ there exists

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(1)|^p) = o\left(\Delta_n^{3p/2}\right). \quad (4.17)$$

The proof of the above lemma is postponed to the appendix B.

• **The term $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 2}$:** For this term we first proceed similarly as in (4.5) and we use a Taylor expansion to write for $\ell, j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$

$$g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{nm} + \frac{k-1}{nm}}) - g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top (X_{\frac{i-1}{nm} + \frac{k-1}{nm}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \\ + \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{i-1}{nm} + \frac{k-1}{nm}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) (X_{\frac{i-1}{nm} + \frac{k-1}{nm}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}), \quad (4.18)$$

for some vector point $\xi_{ik}^{2,n}$ lying between $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}$. Once again by (4.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) - g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) &= \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}})^\top \left[\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma},2} &= \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \left[\nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}})^\top \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) - 2 \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j'=1}^q h_{\ell j j'}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^{j'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $h_{\ell j j'} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top g_{\bullet j'}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma},2} &= \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \left[\nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}})^\top \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j. \end{aligned}$$

Again by applying Taylor expansion for each component of the matrix function g , we get $g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) = \dot{g}_{ik'}^n \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times q}$, where $\dot{g}_{ik'}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ is a block matrix such that for $\ell' \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the $\ell' j'$ -th block is given by $(\dot{g}_{ik'}^n)_{\ell' j'} = \nabla g_{\ell' j'}(\xi_{ik'}^{2,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ where $\xi_{ik'}^{2,n}$ is a vector point lying between $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma},2} &= \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \left[\nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[\dot{g}_{ik'}^n \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j. \quad (4.19)$$

Now, we replace the increment $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}$ using (4.1) and we only freeze the coefficients of the contributing terms in the asymptotic behavior of the error at the limit point $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$.

Lemma 4.4. *For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ^{th} -component of the term $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2}$ has the following expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2} = & \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} \left(f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \left[\dot{g}_i^n \diamond \left(g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')} \right) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j + R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

with $\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$ and $\dot{g}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ is a block matrix such that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the ℓj -th block is given by $(\dot{g}_i^n)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})$. Moreover, for any integer $p \geq 2$

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2)|^p) = o\left(\Delta_n^{3p/2}\right). \quad (4.21)$$

The proof of the above lemma is postponed to the appendix B.

Remark 4.5. The ℓ -th component of $M^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2}$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2} = & \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} \left[f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \left[\dot{g}_i^n \diamond \left(g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')} \right) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{k=2}^m (k-1) I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^q \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k'')} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k'')}^\top \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^j + R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(2). \quad (4.22)$$

• **The term $M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 3}$:** Considering each component of $M^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 3}$, for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ we can also consider a Taylor expansion for the components of the matrix $h_{\ell \bullet \bullet} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ to get

$$M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 3} = \sum_{k=2}^m \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} \diamond \left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}} \right) \right] \diamond \left(\delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right), \quad (4.23)$$

where the $\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{q \times q}$ is a random block matrix such that for j and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the jj' -th block is given by $(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik})_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(\xi_{ik}^{3, n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ and $\xi_{ik}^{3, n}$ is a vector point lying between $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}$.

Remark 4.6. Concerning $M^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 3}$, the last formula can be written differently. In fact, as $\mathbb{H} \in (\mathbb{R}^{q \times q})^{d \times 1} = \mathbb{R}^{dq \times q}$, we proceed similarly as above using a Taylor expansion to get the existence of a random block matrix $\dot{\mathbb{H}}_{ik}^n$ such that

$$M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 3} = \sum_{k=2}^m \left[\dot{\mathbb{H}}_{ik}^n \diamond \left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}} \right) \right] \diamond \left(\delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right).$$

More precisely, we have $\dot{\mathbb{H}}_{ik}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{dq \times q}$ where for $\ell' \in \{1, \dots, dq\}$ and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the $\ell'j'$ -th block is given by $(\dot{\mathbb{H}}_{ik}^n)_{\ell'j'} = \nabla h_{\ell'j'}(\xi_{ik}^{3, n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ where $\ell' = q(\ell - 1) + j$ and $\xi_{ik}^{3, n}$ is a vector point lying between $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}$.

Now, we replace the increment $X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}$ using (4.1) and we only freeze the coefficients of the contributing terms in the asymptotic behavior of the error at the limit point $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$.

Lemma 4.7. *For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ^{th} component of the term $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 3}$ has the following expansion*

$$M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}, 3} = \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i} \diamond \left(g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')} \right) \right] \diamond \left(\delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) + R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(3) \quad (4.24)$$

with $\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(3) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$ and $\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i} \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{q \times q}$ is a block matrix such that for j and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the jj' -th block is given by $(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i})_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})$. Moreover, for any integer $p \geq 2$ there exists

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(3)|^p) = o\left(\Delta_n^{3p/2}\right). \quad (4.25)$$

The proof of the above lemma is postponed to the appendix B .

4.2. **Expansion of the error** $\bar{X}_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} - \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}$. We remind that $\bar{X}^{nm,\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(X^{nm} + X^{nm,\sigma})$.

By (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} - \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[f\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) + f\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \right] \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\mathbb{H}\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) + \mathbb{H}\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \diamond (\delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[g\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) \delta W_{ik} + g\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we rewrite it as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} - \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} &= f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta_n + g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta W_i + \mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \\ &+ A_{\frac{i-1}{n}} + B_{\frac{i-1}{n}} + C_{\frac{i-1}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\frac{i-1}{n}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[f\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) + f\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \right] \frac{\Delta_n}{m} - f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta_n, \\ B_{\frac{i-1}{n}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[g\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) \delta W_{i,k} + g\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \right] - g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta W_i, \\ C_{\frac{i-1}{n}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\mathbb{H}\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) + \mathbb{H}\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \diamond (\delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right] \\ &- \mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now considering $A_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$, we use (4.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\frac{i-1}{n}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[f\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) - f\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}\right) \right] \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[f\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) - f\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \right] \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(f\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}\right) + f\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \right) \Delta_n - f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta_n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \text{Id}, 1} + M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma, 1} + N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \text{Id}} + N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) + \tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} = \frac{1}{2} (f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) + f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \Delta_n - f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta_n$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\frac{i-1}{n}} + C_{\frac{i-1}{n}} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(g\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}\right) + g\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \right) - g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right] \Delta W_i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[g\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) - g\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}\right) \right] \delta W_{ik} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[g\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) - g\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \right] \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\mathbb{H}\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm}\right) \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) - \mathbb{H}\left(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}\right) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \right] \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right) - \mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right] \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by (4.8) and the expressions of $M^{nm,\bar{\sigma},2}$ and $M^{nm,\bar{\sigma},3}$ given above relation (4.9) we rearrange our terms to get

$$B_{\frac{i-1}{n}} + C_{\frac{i-1}{n}} = \frac{1}{2} (M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id},2} + M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma,2} + M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id},3} + M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma,3}) + \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,1} + \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,3},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,1} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) + g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right) - g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right] \Delta W_i, \\
\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right) - \mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right] \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n), \\
\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,3} &= \sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}} \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\bar{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')}^\top - \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^\top)
\end{aligned}$$

Now recalling that $\sigma(k) = m - k + 1$, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we get

$$\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,3} = \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} \left[\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \right] \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top).$$

In what follows, by (4.9) we introduce for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\bar{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} = \frac{1}{2} (N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} + N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) + \tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\bar{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} = \frac{1}{2} (M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} + M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) + \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,1} + \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,3}. \quad (4.27)$$

The proof of the following lemma is postponed to the appendix B.

Lemma 4.8. *The error $\bar{X}_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} - \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ can be expressed as follows*

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{X}_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} - \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} &= f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta_n + g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \Delta W_i \\
&\quad + \mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) + \bar{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} + \bar{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}, \quad (4.28)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{E}(\bar{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$ and for any integer $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant K_p such that

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|\bar{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p) \leq K_p \Delta_n^{3p/2}, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}(|\bar{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p) \leq K_p \Delta_n^{2p}. \quad (4.30)$$

Corollary 4.9. *We have*

$$\mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{X}_{\frac{i}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} - X_{\frac{i}{n}}^n|^p) \leq C_p \Delta_n^p.$$

Proof. Let us define $S_k = \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\bar{X}_{\frac{k'}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\frac{k'}{n}}^n|^p)$, for any $0 \leq k \leq n$. For a fixed k , by summing (4.28) over the first k' timesteps, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_{\frac{k'}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\frac{k'}{n}}^n &= \sum_{i=1}^{k'} (f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} (g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta W_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \bar{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \bar{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}, \end{aligned}$$

Then there is a generic constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\bar{X}_{\frac{k'}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\frac{k'}{n}}^n|^p) &\leq C_p \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} (f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta_n|^p) \\ &\quad + C_p \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} (g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta W_i|^p) \\ &\quad + C_p \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n)|^p) \\ &\quad + C_p \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \bar{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p) + C_p \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \bar{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p), \end{aligned}$$

By Jensen's inequality and $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} (f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta_n|^p) &\leq C_p \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} k^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^k |(f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta_n|^p) \\ &\leq C_p n^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(|(f(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta_n|^p) \leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq i-1} |\bar{X}_{\frac{k'}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\frac{k'}{n}}^n|^p) \Delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Jensen's inequality, the independence between ΔW_i and $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$ and the assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, $\mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n)|^p)$ has an upper bound $C_p \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq j \leq i-1} |\bar{X}_{\frac{j}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\frac{j}{n}}^n|^p) \Delta_n$. Now, by Jensen's inequality and Lemma 4.8, $\mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \bar{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p)$ has an upper bound $C_p \Delta_n^p$. Finally, by the discrete BDG inequality in [6] combined with Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} (g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \Delta W_i|^p) &\leq C_p \mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^k |g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)|^2 \Delta W_i)^{p/2} \\ &\leq C_p n^{p/2-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(|g(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)|^p) \mathbb{E}(|\Delta W_i|^p) \leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq i-1} |\bar{X}_{\frac{k'}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\frac{k'}{n}}^n|^p) \Delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, thanks to Lemma 4.8, $\mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k' \leq k} |\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \bar{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p)$ has an upper bound $C_p \Delta_n^p$. Thus, it follows that

$$S_k \leq C_p(\Delta_n^p + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} S_i \Delta_n), \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

By the discrete Grönwal inequality, we have

$$S_n \leq C_p \Delta_n^p + C \Delta_n^{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \exp\{(n-1-i)\Delta_n\} \leq C_p \Delta_n^p + C_p \Delta_n^{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e \leq C_p \Delta_n^p.$$

□

In what follows we give further expansions for the terms $\tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$, $\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,1}$, $\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2}$ and $\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,3}$ defined above. These expansions will be useful later on. To do so, we apply twice the Taylor expansion until the second order, for each $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, we get

$$\tilde{N}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} = \frac{1}{16} (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma})^\top \left(\nabla^2 f_\ell(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,1}) + \nabla^2 f_\ell(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,2}) \right) (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) \Delta_n, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,1} = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{j'=1}^q (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma})^\top \left(\nabla^2 g_{\ell j'}(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,3}) + \nabla^2 g_{\ell j'}(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,4}) \right) (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) \Delta W_i^{j'}. \quad (4.32)$$

Then using twice the Taylor expansion until the first order we get

$$\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,1} \diamond (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) \right] \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i - I_q \Delta_n) \quad (4.33)$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,3} = \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,2} \diamond (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) \right] \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top), \quad (4.34)$$

where for j and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the jj' -th elements of the block matrices $\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,1}$ and $\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,2}$ are respectively given by $(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,1})_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,5}) - \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,6}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ and $(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,2})_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,7}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$; for some vector point $\xi_i^{7,n}$ lying between $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$, some vector points $\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,1}, \zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,3}, \zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,5}$ lying between $\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ and some vector points $\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,2}, \zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,4}, \zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,6}$ lying between $\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}$.

Remark 4.10. In order to get the good rate of convergence, we need to assume that our σ is strictly decreasing which leads us to take the unique choice defined by $\sigma(k) = m - k + 1$. Otherwise, it is easy to check that the term $n \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,3}$ appearing in the decomposition of the normalized error $n(\bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^n)$ is not tight.

4.3. **Errors analysis of U^n and V^n .** For $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\eta_m(t)}^{nm,\sigma} = & x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^m f\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^m g\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{H}\left(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm,\sigma}\right) \diamond (\delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Error analysis of U^n . At first, we consider the error $U_t^n = (U_t^{n,1}, \dots, U_t^{n,d})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$ between the finer and the σ -antithetic Milstein approximations given by $U_t^n = X_{\eta_m(t)}^{nm} - X_{\eta_m(t)}^{nm,\sigma}$. Then by (4.10), the expansion of U^n takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} U_t^n = & \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \Delta W_i \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{\substack{k,k'=1 \\ k < k'}}^m (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \text{Id}} - M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \text{Id}} - N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor's expansion, we rewrite U^n as follows

$$\begin{aligned} U_t^n = & \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \dot{f}_i^n \diamond U_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\dot{g}_i^n \diamond U_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n) \Delta W_i + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \text{Id}} - M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \text{Id}} - N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

where $\dot{f}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times 1}$ and $\dot{g}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ are block matrices such that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ -th block of \dot{f}_i^n is given by $(\dot{f}_i^n)_\ell = \nabla f_\ell(\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{1,n})$, for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ the ℓj -th block of \dot{g}_i^n is given by $(\dot{g}_i^n)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{2,n})$ with $\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{1,n}$ and $\xi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{2,n}$ are some vector points lying between $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}$. Now, the equation (4.36) can be rewritten as

$$U_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \dot{f}_i^n \diamond U_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\dot{g}_i^n \diamond U_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n) \Delta W_i + \mathcal{M}_t^{n,1} + \mathcal{R}_t^{n,1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \bar{f}_i^n \diamond U_{i-1}^n \Delta_n + \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left((\bar{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j} \diamond U_{i-1}^n \right) \Delta W_i^j + \mathcal{M}_t^{n,1} + \mathcal{R}_t^{n,1}, \quad (4.37)$$

with $(\bar{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j} = ((\bar{g}_i^n)_{1j}, \dots, (\bar{g}_i^n)_{dj})^\top$, $\mathcal{M}^{n,1}$ is the main term and $\mathcal{R}^{n,1}$ is the rest term given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_t^{n,1} &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k < k'}}^m (\mathbb{H}(X_{i-1}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma})) \diamond \left(\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top \right), \\ \mathcal{R}_t^{n,1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (\mathbb{H}(X_{i-1}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma})) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (M_{i-1}^{nm, \text{Id}} - M_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (N_{i-1}^{nm, \text{Id}} - N_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following lemma is postponed to appendix A.

Lemma 4.11. *Under the assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, we have $\sqrt{n} \mathcal{R}^{n,1} \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Error analysis of V^n . Now, we consider the error $V_t^n = (V_t^{n,1}, \dots, V_t^{n,d})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$ between the average of the finer and the coarser σ -antithetic Milstein approximations given by $V_t^n = \bar{X}_{\eta_n(t)}^{nm, \sigma} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^n$. Similarly, by (4.28) and (2.3), we rewrite V^n as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^n &= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (f(\bar{X}_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma}) - f(X_{i-1}^n)) \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (g(\bar{X}_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma}) - g(X_{i-1}^n)) \Delta W_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{i-1}^n)) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \bar{M}_{i-1}^{nm} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \bar{N}_{i-1}^{nm}, \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{N}_{i-1}^{nm} and \bar{M}_{i-1}^{nm} are respectively given by (4.26) and (4.27). By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^n &= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \bar{f}_i^n \diamond V_{i-1}^n \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left(\bar{g}_i^n \diamond V_{i-1}^n \right) \Delta W_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{i-1}^n)) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \bar{M}_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \bar{N}_{i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{f}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times 1}$ and $\bar{g}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ are block matrices such that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the ℓ -th block of \bar{f}_i^n is given by $(\bar{f}_i^n)_\ell = \nabla f_\ell(\bar{\xi}_{i-1}^{1,n})$, for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ the ℓj -th block of \bar{g}_i^n is given by $(\bar{g}_i^n)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(\bar{\xi}_{i-1}^{2,n})$ with $\bar{\xi}_{i-1}^{1,n}$ and $\bar{\xi}_{i-1}^{2,n}$ are some vector points lying between X_{i-1}^n and $\bar{X}_{i-1}^{nm, \sigma}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.7,

the above equation rewrites as follows

$$V_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \bar{f}_i^n \diamond V_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left(\bar{g}_i^n \diamond V_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n \right) \Delta W_i + \mathcal{M}_t^{n,2} + \mathcal{R}_t^{n,2}, \quad (4.38)$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{n,2}$ stands for the main contributing term of the above error expansion and $\mathcal{R}^{n,2}$ is the rest term, for $t \in [0, 1]$ they are given by

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{n,2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}} \sum_{r=1}^4 \Gamma_t^{n, \bar{\sigma}}(r) + \tilde{N}_t^{nm} + \tilde{M}_t^{nm,1} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}_t^{nm,3}, \quad (4.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_t^{n,2} = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left(\tilde{R}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0) + \sum_{r=0}^3 R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(r) \right) + \tilde{M}_t^{nm,2} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n), \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

where for $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\tilde{M}_t^{nm,r} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,r}$, $\tilde{N}_t^{nm} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ with $(\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,r})_{1 \leq r \leq 3}$, $\tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ are respectively given by (4.31), (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34) and the rest terms $R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0)$ and $\tilde{R}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(0)$ are implicitly defined in (4.13) and $(R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \bar{\sigma}}(r))_{1 \leq r \leq 3}$ are respectively implicitly defined in (4.16), (4.20) and (4.24). Now, we introduce the d -dimensional processes $(\Gamma_t^{n, \bar{\sigma}}(i), 1 \leq i \leq 4, t \in [0, 1])$ whose ℓ^{th} components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell, t}^{n, \bar{\sigma}}(1) = & \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left[\frac{(m-1)}{2m} \nabla f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \Delta_n^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^\top \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell, t}^{n, \bar{\sigma}}(2) = & \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \left[\left[\nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)} \right. \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^j \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{2} g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \diamond I_q \sum_{k=2}^m (k-1) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^j \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell, t}^{n, \bar{\sigma}}(3) = & \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{j=1}^q \left[\nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} (\delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^j \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} (\delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{i\bar{\sigma}(k)}^j \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i} \diamond g_{\bullet j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k' < k \leq m} (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^j, \quad (4.43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell,t}^{n,\tilde{\sigma}}(4) &= \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left[\sum_{j,j'=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \left[\dot{g}_i^n \diamond g_{\bullet j'}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}^{j'} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^q \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}^\top \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \right]. \quad (4.44) \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following lemma is also postponed to appendix A.

Lemma 4.12. *We have $n\mathcal{R}^{n,2} \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Remark 4.13. These processes $(\Gamma_t^{n,\tilde{\sigma}}(r), 1 \leq r \leq 4, t \in [0, 1])$ are obtained by gathering together the main terms in (4.13), (4.16), (4.20) and (4.24) with taking into account their noise types and with neglecting the rest terms $(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(r))_{0 \leq r \leq 3}$ and $\tilde{R}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0)$, for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

5. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE MAIN TERMS

According to expansion (4.37) and (4.38) appearing in the decompositions of U^n and V^n we need to focus on the main terms $(\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, \mathcal{M}^{n,2})$, where we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_t^{n,1} &= - \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k, k' \leq m \\ k < k'}}^m (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma})) \diamond \left(\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top \right), \quad (5.1) \\ \mathcal{M}_t^{n,2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}} \sum_{r=1}^4 \Gamma_t^{n, \tilde{\sigma}}(r) + \tilde{N}_t^{nm} + \tilde{M}_t^{nm,1} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}_t^{nm,3} \end{aligned}$$

with \tilde{N}_t^{nm} respectively $\tilde{M}_t^{nm,1}$ and $\tilde{M}_t^{nm,3}$ are given by relation (4.31) respectively (4.32) and (4.34), $(\Gamma_t^{n, \tilde{\sigma}}(r), 1 \leq r \leq 4, t \in [0, 1])$ are defined as above in (4.41), (4.42), (4.43) and (4.44). Unlike the first main term $\mathcal{M}^{n,1}$, that has explicit form of the noise, the second main term $\mathcal{M}^{n,2}$ needs further development in order to identify its noise parts. To do so, we need the following lemma that will be proven in appendix A.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\bar{\Gamma}_t^n(r) = \frac{\Gamma_t^{n, \text{Id}}(r) + \Gamma_t^{n, \sigma}(r)}{2} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for $r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then we rewrite $\bar{\Gamma}^n(r)$ as follows, for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}^n(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \frac{(m-1)\Delta_n}{2m} \left[\nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \Delta_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \diamond \sum_{k=1}^m \delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}^n(2) = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{(m-1)\Delta_n}{2m} \left[\nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top g_{\bullet j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \Delta W_i^j + \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \Delta W_i^j \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{2} g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \blacklozenge I_q \Delta W_i^j \Big], \\
 \bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}^n(3) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{j=1}^q \left[\nabla g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \blacklozenge \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k, k' \leq m \\ k' \neq k}} \left(\delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik}^j \right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \blacklozenge \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k, k' \leq m \\ k' \neq k}} \left(\delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik}^j \\
 & \left. + \left[\dot{h}_{\ell, \bullet\bullet}^{n,i} \blacklozenge g_{\bullet j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \blacklozenge \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k, k' \leq m \\ k' \neq k}} \left(\delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik}^j \right], \\
 \bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}^n(4) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left[\sum_{j,j'=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \left[\dot{g}_i^n \blacklozenge g_{\bullet j'}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{ik'} (\delta W_{ik''}^{j'} \delta W_{ik}^j + \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j'}) \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^q \left[g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right] \blacklozenge \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{ik'} (\delta W_{ik''}^\top \delta W_{ik}^j + \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik}^\top) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Concerning $\bar{\Gamma}^n(1)$, according to the definition of σ , we only use

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (m-k) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^\top = \sum_{k=1}^m (k-1) \delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top.$$

Similarly, we obtain $\bar{\Gamma}^n(2)$ using

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (m-k) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^m (k-1) \delta W_{ik} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^m (k-1) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta W_{ik}.$$

To get $\bar{\Gamma}^n(3)$, we use

$$\sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(\delta W_{i\sigma(k')} \delta W_{i\sigma(k')}^\top - \Omega \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^j = \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k < k'}}^m \left(\delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \Omega \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik}^j.$$

Finally, we obtain $\bar{\Gamma}^n(4)$ using

$$\sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} \delta W_{i\sigma(k'')}^j \delta W_{i\sigma(k')}^{j'} \delta W_{i\sigma(k)}^{j''} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \sum_{k'=k+1}^{m-1} \sum_{k''=k'+1}^m \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} \delta W_{ik}^{j''}.$$

□

Now, thanks to the above lemma, (4.38) can be rewritten in a better way as follows

$$V_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \bar{f}_i^n \blacklozenge V_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n \Delta_n + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left(\bar{g}_i^n \blacklozenge V_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n \right) \Delta W_i + \mathcal{M}_t^{n,2} + \mathcal{R}_t^{n,2},$$

where for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{n,2} = \sum_{r=1}^4 \bar{\Gamma}_t^n(r) + \tilde{N}_t^{nm} + \tilde{M}_t^{nm,1} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}_t^{nm,3}, \quad (5.2)$$

here we recall that for $r \in \{1, 3\}$, $\tilde{M}_t^{nm,r} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,r}$, $\tilde{N}_t^{nm} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ with $\tilde{N}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ and $(\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,r})_{r \in \{1,3\}}$ are respectively given by (4.31), (4.32) and (4.34).

Now, in order to prove the convergence in law of the couple $(\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, \mathcal{M}^{n,2})$, we first need to study the asymptotic behavior of the distribution of the noises vector $(Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n, Z_3^n)$, where $Z_0^n = (Z_0^{n,jj'})_{j,j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}}$, $Z_2^n = (Z_2^{n,jj'})_{j,j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}}$ are q^2 -matrices

$$Z_{0,t}^{n,jj'} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^m \delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j'},$$

$$Z_{2,t}^{n,jj'} = \sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} (\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik'}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j'}),$$

and $Z_1^n = (Z_1^{n,jj'j''})_{j,j',j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}}$ and $Z_3^n = (Z_3^{n,jj'j''})_{j,j',j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}}$ are q^3 -matrices

$$Z_{1,t}^{n,jj'j''} = n \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{\substack{k' \neq k \\ 1 \leq k, k' \leq m}} (\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{ik}^{j''},$$

$$Z_{3,t}^{n,jj'j''} = n \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik''}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j''}).$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let us consider the triangular arrays given by*

$$Z_{0,t}^n = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^m \delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top, \quad \text{with } t \in [0, 1].$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $Z_0^n - Z_0 \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$, where $Z_{0,t} = tI_q$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. For any fixed $t \in [0, 1]$, we rewrite Z_0^n as follows

$$Z_{0,t}^n = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^m (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} I_q) + [nt] \Delta_n I_q.$$

As $\mathbb{E}(\sum_{k=1}^m (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} I_q) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$ the by the discrete BDG inequality and Jensen's inequality, there is a generic positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^m (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} I_q) \right|^p \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq \ell \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^m (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} I_q) \right|^p \right) \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} I_q \right|^2 \right)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Cn^{p/2-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E} |\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} I_q|^p \leq C \Delta_n^{p/2}.$$

Then it follows that $\max_{0 \leq \ell \leq [nt]} \sum_{i=1}^\ell \sum_{k=1}^m (\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik}^\top - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} I_q) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$. Thus, we get the convergence of Z^n using that $[nt] \Delta_n I_q \rightarrow t I_q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let us consider the scalar components of the triangular array triplet (Z_1^n, Z_2^n, Z_3^n) given by*

$$\forall j, j', j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\},$$

$$Z_{1,t}^{n,jj'j''} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} \text{ where } \zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} = n \sum_{\substack{k \neq k' \\ 1 \leq k, k' \leq m}} \left(\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik}^{j''},$$

$$\forall j, j' \in \{1, \dots, q\},$$

$$Z_{2,t}^{n,jj'} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'} \text{ where } \zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'} = \sqrt{n} \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} \left(\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik'}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j'} \right),$$

$$\forall j, j', j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\},$$

$$Z_{3,t}^{n,jj'j''} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''} \text{ where } \zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''} = n \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik''}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j''}),$$

with $t \in [0, 1]$ and $Z_{1,t}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{q^3}$, $Z_{2,t}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{q^2}$ and $Z_{3,t}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{q^3}$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(W, Z_1^n, Z_2^n, Z_3^n) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (W, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3),$$

$$\text{where } Z_{1,t}^{jj'j''} = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{m} B_{1,t}^{jj'j''} & , j > j' \\ \frac{\sqrt{2(m-1)}}{m} B_{1,t}^{jjj''} & , j = j' \\ \frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{m} B_{1,t}^{j'j''j} & , j < j' \end{cases}, \quad Z_{2,t}^{jj'} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} B_{2,t}^{jj'} & , j > j' \\ 0 & , j = j' \\ -\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} B_{2,t}^{j'j} & , j < j' \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } Z_{3,t}^{jj'j''} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2}} B_{3,t}^{jj'j''} & , j > j'' \\ \sqrt{\frac{2(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2}} B_{3,t}^{jj'j''} & , j = j'' \\ \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2}} B_{3,t}^{j''j'j} & , j < j'' \end{cases}$$

with $(B_1^{jj'j''})_{\substack{1 \leq j, j', j'' \leq q \\ j \geq j'}}$ and $(B_3^{jj'j''})_{\substack{1 \leq j, j', j'' \leq q \\ j \geq j''}}$ are two standard $q^2(q+1)/2$ -dimensional Brownian motions and $(B_2^{jj'})_{1 \leq j' < j \leq q}$ is a standard $q(q-1)/2$ -dimensional Brownian motion.

Moreover, we have B_1 , B_2 and B_3 are independent of W and also independent of each other. Furthermore, we have the (UT) of Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n and Z_3^n .

Remark 5.4. It is worth noticing that when $m = 2$ the noise term Z_3^n vanishes at the limit.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. We aim to use Theorem 3.2 of Jacod in [18] (see in appendix Theorem C.2) combined with some useful technical tools in the proof of Theorem 5.1 of Jacod and Protter (1998) [20]. We split our proof into four main steps to check the four conditions of theorem C.2.

Step 1. For all $j, j', j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, we have $\mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'j''} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0$. Then the first condition (a) of theorem C.2 is satisfied.

Step 2. For this step, we need to check the validity of condition (b) of theorem C.2 for our three triangular arrays.

First triangular array. Using the symmetric structure of $\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''}$ it is sufficient to consider only the case $j \geq j'$. Now thanks to the independence between the increments, we have for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}((\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) &= \sum_{\substack{k \neq k' \\ 1 \leq k, k' \leq m}} n^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right)^2 (\delta W_{ik}^{j''})^2 \right) + \\ &\sum_{\substack{k_1 \neq k'_1, k_2 \neq k'_2 \\ 1 \leq k'_1, k'_2, k_1, k_2 \leq m \\ (k'_1, k_1) \neq (k'_2, k_2)}} n^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{i,k'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_1}^{j''} \left(\delta W_{ik'_2}^j \delta W_{ik'_2}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Actually, the generic term of the above second sum is equal to zero. To check that we consider the three following subcases.

- If $k_1 \neq k_2$ and $k'_1 = k'_2$, then we can deduce that $k_1 \notin \{k'_1, k'_2, k_2\}$ and therefore the generic term is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik_1}^{j''}) \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{i,k'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \left(\delta W_{ik'_2}^j \delta W_{ik'_2}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''} \right) = 0.$$

- If $k_1 = k_2$ and $k'_1 \neq k'_2$, then we can deduce that $k'_1 \notin \{k_1, k'_2, k_2\}$. Therefore, the generic term is equal to

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{i,k'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik_1}^{j''} \left(\delta W_{ik'_2}^j \delta W_{ik'_2}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''} \right) = 0.$$

- If $k_1 \neq k_2$ and $k'_1 \neq k'_2$, then we have two subsubcases:

- If $k_1 = k'_2$, we have $k_1 \notin \{k'_1, k_2\}$. Then, the generic term is equal to

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{i,k'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''} \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik_1}^{j''} \left(\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \right) = 0.$$

- If $k_1 \neq k'_2$, we have $k_1 \notin \{k'_1, k'_2, k_2\}$. Then, the generic term is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik_1}^{j''}) \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{i,k'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \left(\delta W_{ik'_2}^j \delta W_{ik'_2}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''} \right) = 0.$$

It is worth noticing that the above arguments rely only on the independence between the increments without using the independence between the components of the Brownian vector. Concerning the generic term of the first sum, if $j = j'$ then it is equal to

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'}^j \right)^2 - \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right)^2 \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik}^{j''})^2 = \frac{2}{n^3 m^3}$$

and for $j > j'$ it is equal to $\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik'}^j)^2 \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik'}^{j'})^2 \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik}^{j''})^2 = \frac{1}{n^3 m^3}$. Thus, we get as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}((\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{m-1}{m^2} t, & j > j' \\ \frac{2(m-1)}{m^2} t & j = j'. \end{cases}$$

Now, it remains to check that for any $q \geq j \geq j' \geq 1$, $q \geq \bar{j} \geq \bar{j}' \geq 1$ and $j'', \bar{j}'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ s.t. $(j, j', j'') \neq (\bar{j}, \bar{j}', \bar{j}'')$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,1}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'\bar{j}''} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. To do so, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,1}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'\bar{j}''} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k'_1, k_2, k'_2 \leq m \\ k_1 \neq k'_1, k_2 \neq k'_2}} n^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_1}^{j''} \left(\delta W_{ik'_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik'_2}^{\bar{j}'} - \delta_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \delta W_{ik_2}^{\bar{j}''} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the arguments given above to prove that this term vanishes remain valid for the particular case $(k_1, k'_1) \neq (k_2, k'_2)$ and this, as noticed above is independent of the choice of (j, j', j'') and $(\bar{j}, \bar{j}', \bar{j}'')$. Thus, we only need to consider the case $(k_1, k'_1) = (k_2, k'_2)$. Therefore, by the independence between the increments we rewrite the generic term as follows

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik'_1}^{\bar{j}'} - \delta_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right) \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik_1}^{j''} \delta W_{ik_1}^{\bar{j}''} \right). \quad (5.3)$$

Then, it is obvious that when $j'' \neq \bar{j}''$ this generic term vanishes. Now when $j'' = \bar{j}''$, thanks to its symmetric structure it is sufficient to consider only the case $j \neq \bar{j}$. For this we have three subcases.

- If $j > j'$ and $\bar{j} > \bar{j}'$ then $\delta_{jj'} = \delta_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'} = 0$ and we have two possibilities: either $j \neq \bar{j}$ then the generic term rewrites $\mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^j \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} \delta W_{ik'_1}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik'_1}^{\bar{j}'} \right) = 0$ or $j = \bar{j}$ and then as $\bar{j} > \bar{j}' = j > j'$ the generic term rewrites $\mathbb{E} \left((\delta W_{ik'_1}^j)^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} \delta W_{ik'_1}^{\bar{j}} \right) = 0$.
- If $j = j'$ and $\bar{j} > \bar{j}'$ or $j > j'$ and $\bar{j} = \bar{j}'$, by the symmetry we can consider only the first case and as $\bar{j} \notin \{j, j', \bar{j}'\}$ for which the generic term is equal to zero.
- If $j = j'$ and $\bar{j} = \bar{j}'$ then the generic term rewrites $\mathbb{E} \left(((\delta W_{ik'_1}^j)^2 - \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) ((\delta W_{ik'_1}^{\bar{j}})^2 - \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) = 0$.

Second triangular array. Using the anti-symmetric structure of $\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'}$ it is also sufficient to consider only the case $j > j'$ as $\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'} = 0$. Then, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}((\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m} n \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik'}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j'} \right)^2 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1 < k'_1 \leq m \\ 1 \leq k_2 < k'_2 \leq m \\ (k_1, k'_1) \neq (k_2, k'_2)}} n \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik'_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} \right) \left(\delta W_{ik_2}^j \delta W_{ik'_2}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik'_2}^j \delta W_{ik_2}^{j'} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as the first triangular array, the generic term of the above second sum is also equal to zero. This follows easily by expanding this generic term and using the independence

structure between the increments under conditions $(k_1, k'_1) \neq (k_2, k'_2)$, $k_1 < k'_1$ and $k_2 < k'_2$. Now, concerning the generic term of the first sum, as $j > j'$ it is easy to check that $\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik'}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik'}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j'}\right)^2\right) = \frac{2}{n^2 m^2}$. Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}((\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \rightarrow \frac{m-1}{m} t$. Now, it remains to check that for any $q \geq j > j' \geq 1$, $q \geq \bar{j} > \bar{j}' \geq 1$ s.t. $(j, j') \neq (\bar{j}, \bar{j}')$, $\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'} \zeta_{i,2}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'} \zeta_{i,2}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1 < k'_1 \leq m \\ 1 \leq k_2 < k'_2 \leq m}} n \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{i,k_1}^j \delta W_{i,k'_1}^{j'} - \delta W_{i,k'_1}^j \delta W_{i,k_1}^{j'}) (\delta W_{i,k_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{i,k'_2}^{\bar{j}'} - \delta W_{i,k'_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{i,k_2}^{\bar{j}'})). \end{aligned}$$

When $(k_1, k'_1) \neq (k_2, k'_2)$ by similar arguments as for the first triangular array the generic term of the above sum is equal to zero thanks to the independence between the increments. When $(k_1, k'_1) = (k_2, k'_2)$ $(j, j') \neq (\bar{j}, \bar{j}')$, we need to treat two cases.

- If $j = \bar{j}$ and $j' \neq \bar{j}'$ as $j > j'$ we have $j' \notin \{j, \bar{j}, \bar{j}'\}$ and consequently the generic term is equal to zero. If $j \neq \bar{j}$ and $j' = \bar{j}'$ we use similar arguments to prove that the generic term is zero.
- If $j \neq \bar{j}$, $j' \neq \bar{j}'$ we have two possibilities: either $j \neq \bar{j}'$ then $j \notin \{j', \bar{j}, \bar{j}'\}$ or $\bar{j} > \bar{j}' = j > j'$ and in both cases it is obvious that the generic term also vanishes.

Third triangular array. Using the symmetric structure of $\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''}$ it is also sufficient to consider only the case $j \geq j''$. Then, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}((\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} n^2 \mathbb{E}\left(\delta W_{ik'}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik''}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j''})\right)^2 \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k''_1 < k'_1 < k_1 \leq m \\ 1 \leq k''_2 < k'_2 < k_2 \leq m \\ (k_1, k'_1, k''_1) \neq (k_2, k'_2, k''_2)}} n^2 \mathbb{E}\left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik''_1}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j''}) \delta W_{ik'_2}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik_2}^j \delta W_{ik''_2}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''_2}^j \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as for the first triangular array, we use the independence structure between the increments under conditions $(k_1, k'_1, k''_1) \neq (k_2, k'_2, k''_2)$, $1 \leq k''_1 < k'_1 < k_1 \leq m$ and $1 \leq k''_2 < k'_2 < k_2 \leq m$ to check that the generic term of the second sum is also equal to zero. Now, concerning the generic term of the first sum, if $j = j''$ then it is equal to

$$4\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik'}^{j'})^2 \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik}^j)^2 \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik''}^j)^2 = \frac{4}{n^3 m^3}$$

and for $j > j''$ it is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik'}^{j'})^2 (\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik}^j)^2 \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik''}^{j''})^2 + \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik''}^j)^2 \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik}^{j''})^2) = \frac{2}{n^3 m^3}.$$

Thus, we get as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}((\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2} t & j > j'' \\ \frac{2(m-1)(m-2)}{3m^2} t & j = j'' \end{cases}$$

Now, it remains to check that for any $q \geq j \geq j'' \geq 1$, $q \geq \bar{j} \geq \bar{j}'' \geq 1$ and $j', \bar{j}' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ s.t. $(j, j', j'') \neq (\bar{j}, \bar{j}', \bar{j}'')$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,3}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'\bar{j}''} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. To do so, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,3}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'\bar{j}''} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \\ &= n^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k''_1 < k'_1 < k_1 \leq m \\ 1 \leq k''_2 < k'_2 < k_2 \leq m}} \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik''_1}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j''}) \delta W_{ik'_2}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik_2}^j \delta W_{ik''_2}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''_2}^j \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

When $(k''_1, k'_1, k_1) \neq (k''_2, k'_2, k_2)$, by similar arguments as for the first triangular array it is easy to check that the generic term of the above sum is equal to zero. When $(k''_1, k'_1, k_1) = (k''_2, k'_2, k_2)$, with the condition $(j, j', j'') \neq (\bar{j}, \bar{j}', \bar{j}'')$, the generic term equals to

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} \delta W_{ik'_1}^{\bar{j}'}) \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{ik_1}^{j''} \delta W_{ik''_1}^j + \delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik''_1}^{j''})(\delta W_{ik_1}^{\bar{j}''} \delta W_{ik''_1}^{\bar{j}} + \delta W_{ik_1}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik''_1}^{\bar{j}''})).$$

By the same arguments used to treat (5.3) we easily deduce that the above generic term vanishes.

Covariance between of the different triangular arrays. For any j, j', j'' and \bar{j}, \bar{j}' in $\{1, \dots, q\}$ $j \geq j'$, $\bar{j} > \bar{j}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,2}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \\ &= n\sqrt{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k'_1, k_2, k'_2 \leq m \\ k_1 \neq k'_1 \\ k_2 < k'_2}} \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{ik'_1}^{j''} (\delta W_{ik_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik'_2}^{\bar{j}'} - \delta W_{ik'_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik_2}^{\bar{j}'})). \end{aligned}$$

For any j, j', j'' and $\bar{j}, \bar{j}', \bar{j}''$ in $\{1, \dots, q\}$ $j \geq j'$, $\bar{j} \geq \bar{j}''$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,3}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'\bar{j}''} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \\ &= n^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k'_1 \leq m \\ k_1 \neq k'_1 \\ 1 \leq k''_2 < k'_2 < k_2 \leq m}} \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{ik'_1}^{j''} \delta W_{ik'_2}^{\bar{j}'} (\delta W_{ik_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik''_2}^{\bar{j}''} + \delta W_{ik''_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik_2}^{\bar{j}''})). \end{aligned}$$

For any j, j', j'' and $\bar{j}, \bar{j}' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ $j \geq j''$, $\bar{j} > \bar{j}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,2}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \\ &= n\sqrt{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k''_1 < k'_1 < k_1 \leq m \\ 1 \leq k_2 < k'_2 \leq m}} \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik'_1}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik''_1}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik_1}^{j''} \delta W_{ik''_1}^j) (\delta W_{ik_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik'_2}^{\bar{j}'} - \delta W_{ik'_2}^{\bar{j}} \delta W_{ik_2}^{\bar{j}'})). \end{aligned}$$

When developing the above three generic terms, we notice that we always have a product of an odd number of increments of the Brownian motion. Then, combining this together with the independence structure between the increments, we easily get $\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,\alpha}^{n,jj'j''} \zeta_{i,\beta}^{n,\bar{j}\bar{j}'} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Step 3. Independence with respect to the original Brownian motion We check the condition (c) of theorem C.2.

The first triangular array. For any j, j', j'' and j_1 in $\{1, \dots, q\}$, $j \geq j'$, using the independence between the increments, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''} \Delta W_i^{j_1} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq m \\ k_1 \neq k_2}} n \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{ik_2}^{j''} (\delta W_{ik_1}^{j_1} + \delta W_{ik_2}^{j_1})) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq m \\ k_1 \neq k_2}} n \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \delta W_{ik_1}^{j_1}) \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik_2}^{j''}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq m \\ k_1 \neq k_2}} n \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \frac{\Delta_n}{m})) \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik_2}^{j''} \delta W_{ik_2}^{j_1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second triangular array. For any j, j' and j_1 in $\{1, \dots, q\}$, it is straight forward that

$$\mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'} \Delta W_i^{j_1} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq m \\ k_1 < k_2}} \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E}((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_2}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik_2}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'}) (\delta W_{ik_1}^{j_1} + \delta W_{ik_2}^{j_1})) = 0$$

since when developping the generic term of the above sum we always have the expectation of a product of an odd number of the Brownian increments.

The third triangular array. For any j, j', j'' , $j \geq j''$ and j_1 in $\{1, \dots, q\}$, using the independence between the different increments we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\zeta_{i,3}^{n,jj'j''} \Delta W_i^{j_1} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = n \sum_{1 \leq k'' < k' < k \leq m} \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik''}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik''}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j''})) (\delta W_{ik''}^{j_1} + \delta W_{ik}^{j_1} + \delta W_{ik''}^{j_1}) = 0.$$

Step 4. (Lyapunov's condition) Now we check condition (d) of theorem C.2.

First triangular array. For any $j, j', j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $j \geq j'$, we prove $\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(|\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''}|^4 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}})$ tends to 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, using the convexity property of the function $x \mapsto x^4$ we note first that there is a constant $C_q > 0$ depending only on q such that

$$\mathbb{E}(|\zeta_{i,1}^{n,jj'j''}|^4 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \leq C_q \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq q \\ k_1 \neq k_2}} n^4 \mathbb{E} \left((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \Delta_n / m)^4 (\delta W_{ik_2}^{j''})^4 \right).$$

Then by the scaling property of the Brownian motion it is easy to check that there is a constant $C_m > 0$ depending only on m such that for all $j, j', j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $j \geq j'$ and $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq q$ with $k_1 \neq k_2$, we have $\mathbb{E} \left((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} - \delta_{jj'} \Delta_n / m)^4 (\delta W_{ik_2}^{j''})^4 \right) \leq \frac{C_m}{n^6}$.

Second triangular array. Similarly, for any $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $j > j'$, there is a constant $C_q > 0$ depending only on q such that

$$\mathbb{E}(|\zeta_{i,2}^{n,jj'}|^4 | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \leq C_q \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq q \\ k_1 < k_2}} n^2 \mathbb{E} \left((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_2}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} \delta W_{ik_2}^j)^4 \right)$$

and we deduce the result using the estimate $\mathbb{E} \left((\delta W_{ik_1}^j \delta W_{ik_2}^{j'} - \delta W_{ik_1}^{j'} \delta W_{ik_2}^j)^4 \right) \leq \frac{C_m}{n^4}$ where C_m is a positive constant depending only on m .

Third triangular array. In the same way we have $\mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{ik'}^{j'} (\delta W_{ik}^j \delta W_{ik''}^{j''} + \delta W_{ik''}^j \delta W_{ik}^{j''}) \right)^4 \leq \frac{C_m}{n^8}$ for $C_m > 0$. \square

Now we are ready to prove the convergence in law of the couple of main terms $(\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, \mathcal{M}^{n,2})$ given by (5.1) and (5.2). The following proposition is the core of our main result Theorem 3.2.

Proposition 5.5. *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$(\sqrt{n}\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, n\mathcal{M}^{n,2}) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2), \quad (5.4)$$

where for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the ℓ^{th} components of \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 are given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{1,t}^\ell = -2 \int_0^t h_{\ell\bullet\bullet}(X_s) \diamond dZ_{2,s} \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_{2,t}^\ell = \sum_{r=1}^4 \bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}(r) + \tilde{N}_{\ell,t} + \tilde{M}_{\ell,t}^1 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}_{\ell,t}^3, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

with

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}(1) = \frac{m-1}{2m} \int_0^t \left(\nabla f_\ell(X_s)^\top f(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,j'=1}^q g_{\bullet j}(X_s)^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(X_s) g_{\bullet j'}(X_s) \right) ds$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}(2) = \frac{m-1}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^t \left(\nabla f_\ell(X_s)^\top g_{\bullet j}(X_s) + \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_s)^\top f(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} g(X_s)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_s) g(X_s) \diamond I_q \right) dW_s^j$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}(3) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^t \left[\nabla g_{\ell j}(X_s)^\top \mathbb{H}(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} g(X_s)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_s) g(X_s) + \dot{h}_{\ell\bullet\bullet}^s \diamond g_{\bullet j}(X_s) \right] \diamond dZ_{1,s}^{\bullet\bullet j}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell,t}(4) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,j'=1}^q \int_0^t \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_s)^\top [\dot{g}^s \diamond g_{\bullet j''}(X_s)] dZ_{3,s}^{j\bullet j'} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^t [g(X_s)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_s) g(X_s)] \diamond dZ_{3,s}^{j\bullet\bullet}$$

$$\tilde{N}_{\ell,t} = \int_0^t \frac{1}{8} U_s^\top \nabla^2 f_\ell(X_s) U_s ds$$

$$\tilde{M}_{\ell,t}^1 = \sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^t \frac{1}{8} U_s^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_s) U_s dW_s^j$$

$$\tilde{M}_{\ell,t}^3 = \int_0^t (\dot{h}_{\ell\bullet\bullet}^s \diamond U_s) \diamond dZ_{2,s},$$

where $\dot{g}^s \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ is a block matrix such that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the ℓj -th block is given by $(\dot{g}^s)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_s)$, $s \in [0, t]$ and $\dot{h}_{\ell\bullet\bullet}^s \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{q \times q}$ is a random block matrix such that for j and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the jj' -th block is given by $(\dot{h}_{\ell\bullet\bullet}^s)_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(X_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$, $s \in [0, t]$. Here, Z_1 , Z_2 and Z_3 are defined above in Theorem 5.3 and for any $j, j'' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, for $r \in \{1, 3\}$, we denote

$$Z_{r,s}^{\bullet\bullet j} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{r,s}^{11j} & \dots & Z_{r,s}^{1qj} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Z_{r,s}^{q1j} & \dots & Z_{r,s}^{qqj} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{r,t}^{j\bullet j''} = (Z_{r,t}^{j1j''}, \dots, Z_{r,t}^{jqj''})^\top.$$

Proof. At first, let us denote $\rho^n = (W, Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n, Z_3^n)$. From Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.3 combined with Lemma C.4, we deduce that $\rho^n \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} \rho$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $\rho = (W, Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)$. Besides, as the coefficients \dot{f}_i^n and \dot{g}_i^n are functions of vector points lying between $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}$ and $X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}$, the equation (4.37) can be rewritten into the following continuous form

$$\sqrt{n}U_t^n = \sum_{j=0}^q \int_0^{\eta_n(t)} \dot{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} \diamond \sqrt{n}U_{\eta_n(s)}^n dY_s^j - \int_0^{\eta_n(t)} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond dZ_{2,s}^n + \sqrt{n}\mathcal{R}_t^{n,1},$$

where

$$\dot{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,j} = \begin{cases} \dot{f}_i^n, & j = 0 \\ (\dot{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j}, & j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \end{cases}, \quad \text{where } (\dot{g}_i^n)_{\bullet j} = ((\dot{g}_i^n)_{1j}, \dots, (\dot{g}_i^n)_{dj})^\top.$$

Here we used that $\int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} dZ_{2,s}^n = Z_{2,\frac{i}{n}}^n - Z_{2,\frac{i-1}{n}}^n$ and $Y_t = (t, W_t^1, \dots, W_t^q)^\top$. Thanks to lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, under assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$ the process $(\mathbb{H}(X^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X^{nm,\sigma})) - (2\mathbb{H}(X)) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$. Then, since ρ^n is (\mathbf{UT}) (see Theorem 5.3) we deduce thanks to Theorem C.5 that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(\rho^n, \sqrt{n}\mathcal{M}^{n,1}) = (\rho^n, \int (\mathbb{H}(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{nm}) + \mathbb{H}(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond dZ_{2,s}^n) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (\rho, \int 2\mathbb{H}(X_s) \diamond dZ_{2,s}).$$

Moreover, under assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$ by lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, it is straightforward that for any $j \in \{0, \dots, q\}$, $\int \dot{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} \diamond \mathbb{1}_d dY_s^j - \int \dot{F}_s^j \diamond \mathbb{1}_d dY_s^j \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$, with $\mathbb{1}_d = (1, \dots, 1)^\top$. Thus, by Lemma C.4 we deduce that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(\rho^n, \sqrt{n}\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, \int \dot{F}_{\eta_n(s)}^{n,j} \diamond \mathbb{1}_d dY_s^j) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (\rho, \int 2\mathbb{H}(X_s) \diamond dZ_{2,s}, \int \dot{F}_s^j \diamond \mathbb{1}_d dY_s^j),$$

with $\dot{F}_s^0 = \nabla f(X_s)$ and for any $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $\dot{F}_s^j = \nabla g_{\bullet j}(X_s)$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.11 and Theorem C.6 we get that

$$(\rho^n, \sqrt{n}\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, \sqrt{n}U^n) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (\rho, J, U), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

Now let us recall that (4.31), (4.32) and (4.34), can be rewritten into a continuous form

$$\begin{aligned} n\tilde{N}_{\ell,t}^{nm} &= \int_0^{\eta_n(t)} \frac{1}{16} \sqrt{n}U_{\eta_n(t)}^n{}^\top \left(\nabla^2 f_\ell(\zeta_{\eta_n(t)}^{n,1}) + \nabla^2 f_\ell(\zeta_{\eta_n(t)}^{n,2}) \right) \sqrt{n}U_{\eta_n(t)}^n ds, \\ n\tilde{M}_{\ell,t}^{nm,1} &= \int_0^{\eta_n(t)} \frac{1}{16} \sum_{j'=1}^q \sqrt{n}U_{\eta_n(t)}^n{}^\top \left(\nabla^2 g_{\ell j'}(\zeta_{\eta_n(t)}^{n,3}) + \nabla^2 g_{\ell j'}(\zeta_{\eta_n(t)}^{n,4}) \right) \sqrt{n}U_{\eta_n(t)}^n dW_s^{j'}, \\ n\tilde{M}_{\ell,t}^{nm,3} &= \int_0^{\eta_n(t)} \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, n\eta_n(t)+1,2} \diamond \sqrt{n}U_{\eta_n(t)}^n \right] \diamond dZ_{2,s}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$ and thanks to lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 combined with (5.5), we deduce by Theorem C.5 that

$$(\rho^n, \sqrt{n}\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, n\tilde{N}^{nm}, n\tilde{M}^{nm,1}, n\tilde{M}^{nm,3}) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (\rho, J, \tilde{N}, \tilde{M}^1, \tilde{M}^3) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly, by rewriting $\bar{\Gamma}^n(r)$, $r \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ in continuous forms we deduce by Theorem C.5

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^n, \sqrt{n}\mathcal{M}^{n,1}, n\tilde{N}^{nm}, n\tilde{M}^{nm,1}, n\tilde{M}^{nm,3}, \bar{\Gamma}^n(1), \bar{\Gamma}^n(2), \bar{\Gamma}^n(3), \bar{\Gamma}^n(4)) \\ \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (\rho, J, \tilde{N}, \tilde{M}^1, \tilde{M}^3, \bar{\Gamma}(1), \bar{\Gamma}(2), \bar{\Gamma}(3), \bar{\Gamma}(4)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ the ℓ -th component of the process $\bar{\Gamma}(i)$ is given by the process $\bar{\Gamma}_\ell(i)$. This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOFS CONCERNING ANALYSIS OF U^n AND V^n

Proof of Lemma 4.11. By (4.37), we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_t^{n,1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

At first, it is obvious that $\mathbb{E}(\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. Then, by the discrete BDG inequality combined with Lemma 3.1 and assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, there is a generic positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} &n^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \right|^p \right) \\ &\leq C n^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})|^2 \|\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n\|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq C n^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})|^p \mathbb{E} \|\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n\|^p \leq C \Delta_n^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then the process $\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[n \cdot]} (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$. In the same way as above, we use the discrete BDG inequality and (4.11), there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma} \right|^p \right) \leq C n^{p/2-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} (|M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}|^p) \leq C \Delta_n^p.$$

Therefore, we obtain also the convergence of the process $\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[n \cdot]} (M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$.

Now, by (4.12), we have $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma})|^p)$ is bounded by

$$n^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} (|N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}|^p) \leq n^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 2K_p \Delta_n^{2p} = 2K_p \Delta_n^p.$$

Thus, we get $\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[n \cdot]} (N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\text{Id}} - N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$. Finally, we get the (UT) of Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n and Z_3^n thanks to Lemma C.1. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.12. By (4.40), we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_t^{n,2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left(\tilde{R}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0) + \sum_{r=0}^3 R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(r) \right) + \tilde{M}_t^{nm,2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \blacklozenge (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n). \end{aligned}$$

At first, thanks to Jensen's inequality and (4.15), there is a positive constant C such that

$$n^p \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{R}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0) \right|^p \right) \leq C n^{2p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\tilde{R}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0)|^p = o(1).$$

Then we get $n \sum_{i=1}^{[n]} \tilde{R}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we consider $n \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(r)$ for any $r \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$. By the discrete BDG inequality, (4.14), (4.17), (4.21), (4.25) and Jensen's inequality, there is a generic constant $C > 0$ such that for all $r \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$ we have

$$n^p \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(r) \right|^p \right) \leq C n^p \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(r)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \leq C n^{3p/2-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(r)|^p = o(1).$$

Then we get $n \sum_{i=1}^{[n]} R_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(r) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any $r \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$. Next, we recall from (4.33) that for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the ℓ^{th} component of the generic term of the martingale triangular array $\tilde{M}_t^{nm,2}$ is given by

$$\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,1} \blacklozenge (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) \right] \blacklozenge (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n).$$

Similarly, we use the discrete BDG and Jensen inequalities to get $\mathbb{E}(n^p \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2}|^p)$ is bounded by $C n^{3p/2-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2}|^p$. Besides, according to (4.33), for j and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, the jj' -th block is given by $(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,1})_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,5}) - \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,6}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ where $\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,5} \in (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}, \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma})$ and $\zeta_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{n,6} \in (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}, \bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma})$. By using the independence between ΔW_i and $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Lemma 3.1, Corollary 4.9 and (H_{f,g}), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2}|^p &\leq C \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} |\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n,i,1}|^{2p} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}|^{2p} \right]^{1/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} |\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n|^p \\ &\leq C (\Delta_n^p \Delta_n^p)^{1/2} \Delta_n^p = C \Delta_n^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left(n^p \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{M}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,2} \right|^p \right) = O(\Delta_n^{p/2}).$$

Finally, similarly as above, since $\mathbb{E}((\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \blacklozenge (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$, by the discrete BDG and Jensen inequalities and as $\mathbb{E} |\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n|^p = O(\Delta_n^p)$, we get $n^p \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \blacklozenge (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \right|^p \right)$ is bounded up to a positive multiplicative constant by $n^{p/2-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)|^p$. Next, thanks to Corollary

4.9 and assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, we deduce that this upper bound is $O(\Delta_n^{p/2})$. Then we get $n \sum_{i=1}^{[n]} (\mathbb{H}(\bar{X}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm,\sigma}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^n)) \diamond (\Delta W_i \Delta W_i^\top - I_q \Delta_n) \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF ESSENTIAL LEMMAS

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By the tower property we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 1} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \sum_{k=2}^m \nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E} \left(g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k'-1, \tilde{\sigma}} \right) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right) \\ \mathbb{E}(M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbb{E} \left(\left[\nabla g_{\ell j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left[\dot{g}_{ik'}^n \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n})(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}}) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right) \\ \mathbb{E}(M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 3} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \sum_{k=2}^m \mathbb{E} \left(\left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^n \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \diamond \mathbb{E} \left(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}} \right) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) = 0$, we get $\mathbb{E}(M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. Now, it remains to have upper bounds for $\mathbb{E}(|M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}|^p)$ and $\mathbb{E}(|N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}|^p)$. Thanks to our assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$ it is easy to see the existence of $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 1}| &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(1 + \left| X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} \right| \right) \left| \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right| \\ |M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 2}| &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left[(1 + \left| X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} \right|) \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left| X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} \right| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left| X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} \right|^2 \right) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\ |M_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}, 3}| &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^m \left| X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} \right| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|, \end{aligned}$$

Here, the constant C is a generic positive constant whose values may vary from line to line. We obtain (4.11) using the independence between the above increments combined with Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}|^p = O(\Delta_n^{p/2})$ and $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p = O(\Delta_n^p)$. Similar arguments give us inequality (4.12). \square

the existence of $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0)| &\leq C\Delta_n \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m (1 + |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \\ &\quad + C\Delta_n (1 + |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^2) \sum_{\substack{k, k', k''=1 \\ k'' < k' < k}}^m |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{R}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0)| &\leq C\Delta_n^2 \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| + C\Delta_n^2 |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| (1 + |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|) \\ &\quad + C\Delta_n \sum_{k=2}^m |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^2 |\nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(\xi_{ik}^{1,n}) - \nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})| \\ &\quad + C\Delta_n \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m (1 + |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|) (\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|) |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}| \\ &\quad + C\Delta_n \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}| \\ &\quad + C\Delta_n \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| \right) \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|) (\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the constant C is a generic positive constant whose values may vary from line to line. Next, using the independence between the increments, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0)|^p &\leq C\Delta_n^p \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p \\ &\quad + C\Delta_n^p (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p}) \sum_{\substack{k, k', k''=1 \\ k'' < k' < k}}^m \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}|^p, \end{aligned}$$

and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality combined with the independence between the increments, we also get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{R}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0)|^p &\leq C\Delta_n^{2p} \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^p \\ &\quad + C\Delta_n^{2p} (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p})^{1/2} (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p})^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C\Delta_n^p \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{4p} \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} |\nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(\xi_{ik}^{1,n}) - \nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})|^{2p} \right)^{1/2} \\
& + C\Delta_n^p \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(\left(1 + \mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p} \right) (\Delta_n^{2p} + \mathbb{E} |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^{2p}) \right)^{1/2} \\
& \times \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p} \right)^{1/2} \\
& + C\Delta_n^p \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p} \mathbb{E} |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^{2p} \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p} \right)^{1/2} \\
& + C\Delta_n^p \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left[\left(1 + \mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p} \right) \mathbb{E} |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^{2p} \right]^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(1 + \mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p} \right) \Delta_n^{2p} + \right. \\
& \left. \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \left(1 + \mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p} \right) \mathbb{E} |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^{2p} \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p} \mathbb{E} |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^{2p} \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using Lemma 2.1 combined with Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $\mathbb{E} |\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}|^p = O(\Delta_n^{p/2})$, $\mathbb{E} |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p = O(\Delta_n^p)$, we obtain (4.14) and we have

$$\mathbb{E} |\tilde{R}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(0)|^p = O(\Delta_n^{5p/2}) + O(\Delta_n^{2p}) \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\mathbb{E} |\nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(\xi_{ik}^{1,n}) - \nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})|^{2p} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We recall from relation (4.4) section 4 that $\xi_{ik}^{1,n} \in (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}, X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})$. Then, by using Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.1 and the assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, we have $\mathbb{E} |\nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(\xi_{ik}^{1,n}) - \nabla^2 f_\ell^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})|^{2p} = O(\Delta_n^p)$, which yields us (4.15). \square

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Thanks to equation (4.7), we deduce from relation (4.16) the exact form of $R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(1)$ that is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(1) &= \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \\
&+ \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \left(\nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top - \nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top \right) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the tower property we have

$$\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(1) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} \nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right) \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k'-1, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta_n}{m} (\nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top - \nabla f_\ell(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})^\top) g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k'-1, \tilde{\sigma}} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}).$$

Since $\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}) = 0$ and then $\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(1) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. Now, thanks to our assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$ it is easy to see the existence of $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(1)| &\leq C \Delta_n \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| \\ &\quad + C \Delta_n |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| (1 + |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|) \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the constant C is a generic positive constant whose values may vary from line to line. Next, applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and using the independence between the increments, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(1)|^p &\leq C \Delta_n^p \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \\ &\quad + C \Delta_n^p (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p})^{1/2} (1 + (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p})^{1/2}) \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}|^p = O(\Delta_n^{p/2})$ and $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p = O(\Delta_n^p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(1)|^p &\leq C \Delta_n^{3p/2} \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^p \\ &\quad + C \Delta_n^{3p/2} (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p})^{1/2} (1 + (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p})). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (4.17) using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.1. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Thanks to equation (4.19) and (2.2), we deduce from relation (4.20) the exact form of $R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2) &= \sum_{j=1}^q \left[\nabla g_{\ell_j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left((f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (\mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^q \left[\left(\nabla g_{\ell_j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - \nabla g_{\ell_j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right) \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(f(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \right. \right. \\
& \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \left. \left. \right) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \right. \\
& + \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\nabla g_{\ell_j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - \nabla g_{\ell_j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right) \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[\dot{g}_{ik'}^n \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\
& + \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell_j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[(\dot{g}_{ik'}^n - \dot{g}_i^n) \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\
& + \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \nabla g_{\ell_j}^\top(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[\dot{g}_i^n \diamond \left(\sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k''-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} \right. \\
& + \sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k''-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \\
& \left. + \sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} (g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k''-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')} \right] \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{2} (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})^\top (\nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) - \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right)^\top \\
& \times \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left((g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \right)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \right)^\top \nabla^2 g_{\ell_j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \right. \\
& \left. \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \right) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j.
\end{aligned}$$

By the tower property, the independence of $\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and $\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}) = 0$, we deduce $\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. Moreover, thanks to our assumption **(H_{f,g})** it is easy to

see the existence of a generic positive constant C which does not depend on i s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2)| &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \right) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{j=1}^q |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m (1 + |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|) \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \right) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}| |\dot{g}_{ik'}^n - \dot{g}_i^n| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[\sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} (1 + |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k''-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|) \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k''-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}| \right] |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^2 |\nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) - \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m (1 + |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|) \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \right) |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j| \\
&+ C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + |X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| \right) \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|) \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| \right) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the values of the constant C may vary from line to line. Next, we apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and use the independence between the increments, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2)|^p &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^p \left(\frac{\Delta_n^p}{m^p} + \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p \right) \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p \\
&+ C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k' < k}}^m \left(\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p} (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p}) \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Delta_n^p}{m^p} + \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p \right) \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p})^{1/2} \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p \\
& + C \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|\dot{g}_{ik'}^n - \dot{g}_i^n|^{2p} \right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p \\
& + C \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[\sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k''-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) \left(\frac{\Delta_n^p}{m^p} + \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p \right) \right. \\
& + \sum_{k''=1}^{k'-1} \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k''-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k'')}|^p \left. \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p \right] \\
& + C \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{4p} \mathbb{E}|\nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) - \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})|^{2p} \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p \\
& + C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k, k'=1}^m \left((1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta_n^{2p}}{m^{2p}} + \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^{2p} \right) \right)^{1/2} \\
& \times (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p \\
& + C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k, k'=1}^m \left(\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \right)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p \\
& + C \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p}) \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta_n^{2p}}{m^{2p}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^{2p} \right) + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^j|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.1 combined with Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i, \tilde{\sigma}(k)}|^p = O(\Delta_n^{p/2})$, $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p = O(\Delta_n^p)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(2)|^p & = O(\Delta_n^{2p}) + O(\Delta_n^{3p/2}) \sum_{k=3}^m \sum_{k'=2}^{k-1} \left[\mathbb{E}|\dot{g}_{ik'}^n - \dot{g}_i^n|^{2p} \right]^{1/2} \\
& + O(\Delta_n^{3p/2}) \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\mathbb{E}|\nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) - \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})|^{2p} \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us recall that from relation (4.19) we have $\dot{g}_{ik'}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$ and $\dot{g}_i^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{d \times q}$, for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $(\dot{g}_{ik'}^n)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik'}^{2,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ and $(\dot{g}_i^n)_{\ell j} = \nabla g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})$ where $\xi_{ik'}^{2,n} \in (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}, X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})$. We also recall that from (4.19) $\xi_{ik}^{2,n} \in (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}, X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})$.

Then, by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.1 and assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g})$, we get $\mathbb{E}|\nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(\xi_{ik}^{2,n}) - \nabla^2 g_{\ell j}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})|^{2p} = \mathbb{E}|\dot{g}_{ik'}^n - \dot{g}_i^n|^{2p} = O(\Delta_n^p)$. Hence, we deduce (4.21). \square

Proof of Lemma 4.7. Thanks to equation (4.23), we deduce from relation (4.24) the exact form of $R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(3)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(3) &= \sum_{k=2}^m \left[(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} - \dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i}) \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^m \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i} \diamond \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \right) \right] \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}). \end{aligned}$$

By the tower property we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(3) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=2}^m \left[(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} - \dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i}) \diamond (X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right] \diamond \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}}) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^m \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i} \diamond \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} f(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \frac{\Delta_n}{m} + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{H}(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) \diamond (\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (g(X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}) - g(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})) \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \right) \right] \diamond \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}}) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{k-1, \tilde{\sigma}}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\mathbb{E}(\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}) = 0$, we get $\mathbb{E}(R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(3) | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$. Now, thanks to our assumption **(H_{f,g})** it is easy to see the existence of constant $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(3)| &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^m |\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} - \dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i}| |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \\ &+ C \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|) \left(\frac{\Delta_n}{m} + |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}| \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} |X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}| |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}| \right) |\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the constant C is a generic positive constant whose values may vary from line to line. Next, we apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and use the independence between the increments, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(3)|^p &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^m (\mathbb{E}|\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} - \dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i}|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^{2p})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p \\ &+ C \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|^p) \left(\frac{\Delta_n^p}{m^p} + \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k'-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k')}|^p \right) \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)} \delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i,\tilde{\sigma}(k)}|^p = O(\Delta_n^{p/2})$, $\mathbb{E}|\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}\delta W_{i\tilde{\sigma}(k)}^\top - I_q \frac{\Delta_n}{m}|^p = O(\Delta_n^p)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|R_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}(3)|^p = O(\Delta_n^{2p}) + O(\Delta_n^{3p/2}) \sum_{k=2}^m (\mathbb{E}|\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} - \dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i}|^{2p})^{1/2}.$$

We recall from relation (4.23) in Section 4 that $\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{q \times q}$ and $\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i} \in (\mathbb{R}^{d \times 1})^{q \times q}$, for j and $j' \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik})_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(\xi_{ik}^{3, n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ and $(\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i})_{jj'} = \nabla h_{\ell jj'}(X_{\frac{i-1}{n}})$ where $\xi_{ik}^{3, n} \in (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}, X_{\frac{m(i-1)+k-1}{nm}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}})$. Then, by using Lemma 3.1 and the assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f, g})$, we have $\mathbb{E}|\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, ik} - \dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i}|^{2p} = O(\Delta_n^p)$. Hence, we obtain (4.25). \square

Proof of Lemma 4.8. From (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34), we use similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 1} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{j'=1}^q (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma})^\top \left(\nabla^2 g_{\ell j'}(\zeta_i^{n, 3}) + \nabla^2 g_{\ell j'}(\zeta_i^{n, 4}) \right) (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma})^\top \mathbb{E}(\Delta W_i^{j'} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}), \\ \mathbb{E}(\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 2} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \frac{1}{4} \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i, 1} \diamond (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) \right] \diamond \mathbb{E}(\Delta W_i \Delta W_i - I_q \Delta_n | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}), \\ \mathbb{E}(\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 3} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) &= \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k < k'}}^m \left[\dot{h}_{\ell \bullet \bullet}^{n, i, 2} \diamond (X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}) \right] \diamond \mathbb{E}(\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now if we also consider (4.31), we get thanks to assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{f, g})$, the existence of a generic positive constant C s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{N}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p &\leq C \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}|^{2p} \Delta_n, \\ \mathbb{E}|\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 1}|^p &\leq C \sum_{j'=1}^q \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|\Delta W_i^{j'}|^p, \\ \mathbb{E}|\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 2}|^p &\leq C \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}|^p \mathbb{E}|\Delta W_i \Delta W_i - I_q \Delta_n|^p, \\ \mathbb{E}|\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 3}|^p &\leq C \sum_{\substack{k, k'=1 \\ k < k'}}^m \mathbb{E}|X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \sigma}|^p \mathbb{E}|\delta W_{ik} \delta W_{ik'}^\top - \delta W_{ik'} \delta W_{ik}^\top|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we easily deduce that $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 1} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 2} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 3} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) = 0$ and using Lemma 3.1 we get $\mathbb{E}|\tilde{N}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm}|^p = O(\Delta_n^{2p})$ and $\mathbb{E}|\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 1}|^p = \mathbb{E}|\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 2}|^p = \mathbb{E}|\tilde{M}_{\ell, \frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, 3}|^p = O(\Delta_n^{3p/2})$. Finally, combining the above estimates with the obtained bounds on $\mathbb{E}(|M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|)$ and $\mathbb{E}(|N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{nm, \tilde{\sigma}}|)$ for $\tilde{\sigma} \in \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}$ (see (4.11) and (4.12)), we easily get the required bounds for the moments of $M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$ and $N_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$. \square

APPENDIX C. THEORETICAL TOOLS

C.1. Uniform tightness. We first recall the uniform tightness property (UT) defined in Jakubowski, Mémin and Pagès [21]. Let $X^n = (X^{n,i})_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ be a sequence of \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous semimartingales with the decomposition

$$X_t^{n,i} = X_0^{n,i} + A_t^{n,i} + M_t^{n,i}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$, $A^{n,i}$ is a predictable process with finite variation, null at 0 and $M^{n,i}$ is a martingale null at 0. We say that X^n has (UT) if for each i

$$\langle M^{n,i} \rangle_T + \int_0^T |dA_s^{n,i}| \text{ is tight.} \tag{UT}$$

C.2. Stable convergence. Let (X_n) be a sequence of random variables with values in a Polish space E defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ be an extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and let X be an E -valued random variable on the extension. We say that (X_n) converges in law to X stably and write $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} X$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$\mathbb{E}(Uh(X_n)) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(Uh(X)), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $h : E \rightarrow R$ bounded continuous and all bounded random variable U on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . This convergence is obviously stronger than convergence in law that we will denote here by “ $\xrightarrow{\text{stably}}$ ”.

Now, we recall the Lemma 2.1 in [19] about the uniform tightness property. For this aim, we consider sums of triangular arrays of the form

$$\Gamma_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \zeta_i^n,$$

where for each n we have \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variables $(\zeta_i^n)_{i \geq 1}$ such that each ζ_i^n is $\mathcal{F}_{i/n}$ -measurable.

Lemma C.1. *If ζ_i^n are i.i.d. random variables and Γ_1^n converges in law to a limit U , then there is a Lévy process Γ such that $\Gamma_1 = U$. This process Γ is unique in law and Γ^n converges in law to Γ (for the Skorokhod topology). Further, the sequence (Γ^n) has (UT).*

Next, we recall the convergence theorem 3.2. of Jacod in [18] for an \mathbb{R}^d -semimartingale process without jumps of form

$$Z_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \chi_i^n,$$

where χ_i^n is $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i}{n}}$ -measurable.

Theorem C.2. *Assume that M is a square-integrable continuous martingale, and that each χ is square-integrable. Assume also that there are two continuous processes F and G and a continuous process b of bounded variation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}, \mathbb{P})$ such that*

$$\sup_t \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\chi_i^n | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) - b_t \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{a}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left(\mathbb{E}(\chi_i^n \chi_i^{n\top} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) - \mathbb{E}(\chi_i^n | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \mathbb{E}(\chi_i^{n\top} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} F_t, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad (\text{b})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\chi_i^n \Delta M_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^\top | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} G_t \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad (\text{c})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|\chi_i^n|^2 1_{|\chi_i^n| > \epsilon} | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad (\text{Lindeberg's condition}). \quad (\text{d})$$

Then assume further that $d\langle M^i, M^i \rangle_t \ll dt$ and $dF_t^{ii} \ll dt$, there are predictable processes u, v, w with values in $\mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$, $\mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M, M^\top \rangle_t &= \int_0^t u_s u_s^\top ds, & G_t &= \int_0^t v_s u_s u_s^\top ds, \\ F_t &= \int_0^t (v_s u_s u_s^\top v_s^\top + w_s w_s^\top) ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$Z^n \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} Z,$$

with the limit Z can be realized on the canonical d -dimensional Wiener extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}, \mathbb{P})$, with the canonical Wiener process B as

$$Z_t = b_t + \int_0^t u_s dM_s + \int_0^t w_s dB_s.$$

Remark C.3. If in the theorem above, every χ_i^n , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ have moments of order $p > 2$, then the Lindeberg's condition can be obtained by the Lyapunov condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|\chi_i^n|^p | \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i-1}{n}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

Now, according to Section 2 of Jacod [18] and Lemma 2.1 of Jacod and Protter [20], we have the following result

Lemma C.4. *Let V_n and V be defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) with values in another metric space E . If $V_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} V$, $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} X$ then $(V_n, X_n) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (V, X)$.*

Conversely, if $(V, X_n) \Rightarrow (V, X)$ and V generates the σ -field \mathcal{F} , we can realize this limit as (V, X) with X defined on an extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} X$.

Now, we recall a result on the convergence of stochastic integrals formulated from Theorem 2.3 in Jacod and Protter [20].

Theorem C.5. *Assume that the sequence (X^n) has (UT). Let H^n and H be a sequence of adapted, right-continuous and left-hand side limited processes all defined on the same filtered probability space. If $(H^n, X^n) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (H, X)$ then X is a semimartingale with respect to the filtration generated by the limit process (H, X) , and we have $(H^n, X^n, \int H^n dX^n) \xrightarrow{\text{stably}} (H, X, \int H dX)$.*

Now, we recall the Theorem 2.5c in [20].

Theorem C.6. *We consider a sequence of SDE's like*

$$X_t^n = J_t^n + \int_0^t X_{s-}^n H_s^n dY_s,$$

all defined on the same filtered probability space and with the same dimensions. Also let ρ^n be an auxiliary sequence of random variables with values in some Polish space E , all defined on the same space again.

Let $V_t^n = \int_0^t H_s^n dY_s$. Suppose the sequence $\sup_{t \leq 1} \|H_t^n\|$ is tight and the sequence (J^n, V^n, ρ^n) stably converges to the limit (J, V, ρ) defined on some extension of the space. Then V is a semimartingale on some extension and (J^n, V^n, X^n, ρ^n) stably converges to the limit (J, V, X, ρ) where X is a solution of

$$X_t = J_t + \int_0^t X_{s-} H_s dY_s.$$

C.3. Lindeberg-Feller central limit theorem. We recall also the following central limit theorem for triangular array (see, e.g., Theorem 7.2 and 7.3 in [5]).

Theorem C.7. *Let $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each n , let $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,k_n}$ be k_n independent random variables with finite variance such that $\mathbb{E}(X_{n,k}) = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, k_n\}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}|X_{n,k}|^2 = \vartheta, \vartheta > 0$.
- (2) *Lindeberg's condition: For all $\epsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}(|X_{n,k}|^2 \mathbb{1}_{|X_{n,k}| > \epsilon}) = 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} X_{n,k} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \vartheta), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, if the $X_{n,k}$ have moments of order $p > 2$, then the Lindeberg's condition can be obtained by the following one:

- (3) *Lyapunov's condition: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}|X_{n,k}|^p = 0$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. AL GERBI, B. JOURDAIN, AND E. CLÉMENT, *Ninomiya-Victoir scheme: strong convergence, antithetic version and application to multilevel estimators*, Monte Carlo Methods Appl., 22 (2016), pp. 197–228.
- [2] A. AL GERBI, B. JOURDAIN, AND E. CLÉMENT, *Asymptotics for the normalized error of the Ninomiya-Victoir scheme*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 128 (2018), pp. 1889–1928.
- [3] M. BEN ALAYA AND A. KEBAIER, *Multilevel Monte Carlo for Asian options and limit theorems*, Monte Carlo Methods Appl., 20 (2014), pp. 181–194.
- [4] ———, *Central limit theorem for the multilevel Monte Carlo Euler method*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 25 (2015), pp. 211–234.
- [5] P. BILLINGSLEY, *Convergence of probability measures*, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second ed., 1999. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [6] D. L. BURKHOLDER, B. J. DAVIS, AND R. F. GUNDY, *Integral inequalities for convex functions of operators on martingales*, in Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. II: Probability theory, 1972, pp. 223–240.
- [7] K. DEBRABANT, A. GHASEMIFARD, AND N. C. MATTSSON, *Weak antithetic mlmc estimation of sdes with the milstein scheme for low-dimensional wiener processes*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 91 (2019), p. 22–27.

- [8] K. DEBRABANT AND A. RÖSSLER, *On the acceleration of the multi-level monte carlo method*, Journal of Applied Probability, 52 (2015), p. 307–322.
- [9] S. DEREICH AND S. LI, *Multilevel Monte Carlo for Lévy-driven SDEs: central limit theorems for adaptive Euler schemes*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 26 (2016), pp. 136–185.
- [10] M. GILES AND L. SZPRUCH, *Multilevel Monte Carlo methods for applications in finance*, in Recent developments in computational finance, vol. 14 of Interdiscip. Math. Sci., World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2013, pp. 3–47.
- [11] M. B. GILES, *Multilevel Monte Carlo path simulation.*, Oper. Res., 56 (2008), pp. 607–617.
- [12] M. B. GILES AND L. SZPRUCH, *Antithetic multilevel Monte Carlo estimation for multidimensional SDEs*, in Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods 2012, vol. 65 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 367–384.
- [13] ———, *Antithetic multilevel Monte Carlo estimation for multi-dimensional SDEs without Lévy area simulation*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 24 (2014), pp. 1585–1620.
- [14] D. GIORGI, V. LEMAIRE, AND G. PAGÈS, *Limit theorems for weighted and regular multilevel estimators*, Monte Carlo Methods Appl., 23 (2017), pp. 43–70.
- [15] S. HEINRICH, *Multilevel Monte Carlo methods*, in Multigrid Methods, vol. 2179 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 2001, pp. 58–67.
- [16] H. HOEL AND S. KRUMSCHEID, *Central limit theorems for multilevel monte carlo methods*, Journal of Complexity, 54 (2019), p. 101407.
- [17] H. K. HOEL, E. VON SCHWERIN, A. SZEPESSY, AND R. TEMPONE, *Implementation and analysis of an adaptive multilevel Monte Carlo algorithm*, Monte Carlo Methods Appl., 20 (2014), pp. 1–41.
- [18] J. JACOD, *On continuous conditional Gaussian martingales and stable convergence in law*, in Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXI, vol. 1655 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 232–246.
- [19] J. JACOD, *The Euler scheme for Lévy driven stochastic differential equations: limit theorems.*, Ann. Probab., 32 (2004), pp. 1830–1872.
- [20] J. JACOD AND P. PROTTER, *Asymptotic error distributions for the Euler method for stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Probab., 26 (1998), pp. 267–307.
- [21] A. JAKUBOWSKI, J. MEMIN, AND G. PAGÈS, *Convergence en loi des suites d'intégrales stochastiques sur l'espace \mathbb{D}^1 de Skorokhod. (Convergence in law of sequences of stochastic integrals on the Skorokhod space \mathbb{D}^1).*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 81 (1989), pp. 111–137.
- [22] A. KEBAIER, *Statistical Romberg extrapolation: a new variance reduction method and applications to option pricing*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 15 (2005), pp. 2681–2705.
- [23] A. KEBAIER AND J. LELONG, *Coupling importance sampling and multilevel Monte Carlo using sample average approximation*, Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab., 20 (2018), pp. 611–641.
- [24] L. LESKELÄ AND M. VIHOLA, *Stochastic order characterization of uniform integrability and tightness*, Statist. Probab. Lett., 83 (2013), pp. 382–389.
- [25] G. N. MILSTEIN, *Numerical integration of stochastic differential equations*, vol. 313 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1995. Translated and revised from the 1988 Russian original.

MOHAMED BEN ALAYA, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES RAPHAËL SALEM, UMR 6085, UNIVERSITÉ DE ROUEN, AVENUE DE L'UNIVERSITÉ TECHNOPOLE DU MADRILLET, 76801 SAINT-ETIENNE-DU-ROUVRAY, FRANCE

E-mail address: mohamed.ben-alaya@univ-rouen.fr

AHMED, KEBAIER, UNIVERSITÉ SORBONNE PARIS NORD, LAGA, CNRS, UMR 7539, F-93430, VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

E-mail address: kebaier@math.univ-paris13.fr

THI BAO TRAM NGO, UNIVERSITÉ SORBONNE PARIS NORD, LAGA, CNRS, UMR 7539, F-93430, VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

E-mail address: ngo@math.univ-paris13.fr