Artificial Intelligence Forecasting of Covid-19 in China

Zixin Hu¹, Qiyang Ge², Li Jin¹ and Momiao Xiong^{3,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering and Innovation Center of Genetics and Development, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

² The school of Mathematic Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

³Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, School of Public Health, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

Running Title: Real Time Forecasting of Covid-19 in China

Keywords: Cov-19, artificial intelligence, transmission dynamics, forecasting, time series, autoencoder.

*Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Momiao Xiong, Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, School of Public Health, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, P.O. Box 20186, Houston, Texas 77225, (Phone): 713-500-9894, (Fax): 713-500-0900, E-mail: <u>Momiao.Xiong@uth.tmc.edu</u>.

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Alternative to epidemiological models for transmission dynamics of Covid-19 in China, we propose the artificial intelligence (AI)-inspired methods for real-time forecasting of Covid-19 across China to estimate the size, lengths and ending time of Covid-19 across China.

METHODS

We developed modified stacked auto-encoder for modeling the transmission dynamics of the epidemics. We applied it to real-time forecasting the lab confirmed cases of Covid-19 across China. The data were collected from January 19 to February 16, 2020 by WHO. We used the latent variables in the auto-encoder and clustering algorithms to group the provinces/cities for investigating the transmission structure.

RESULTS

We forecasted curves of cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 across China from January 20, 2020 to April 30, 2020. We used the multiple-step forecasting to estimate that the accuracies of one-step, 2-step, 3-step, 4-step and 5-step forecasting were -0.48%, 0.18%, 0.46%, 0.22% and 1.55%, respectively. We predicted that the time points of the provinces/cities entering the plateau of the forecasted transmission dynamic curves varied, ranging from February 10 to April 20, 2020. We grouped 34 provinces/cities into 9 clusters.

CONCLUSIONS

The accuracy of the AI-based methods for forecasting the trajectory of Covid-19 was high. We predicted that the epidemics of Covid-19 will be over by the end of April. If the data are reliable and there are no second transmission, we can accurately forecast the transmission dynamics of the Covid-19 across the provinces/cities in China. The AI-inspired methods are a powerful tool for helping public health planning and policymaking.

Introduction

In the beginning of December, 2019, Covid-19 virus that slipped from animal to human in the Wuhan city, China caused outbreak of respiratory illness. A number of the statistical, dynamic and mathematical models of the Covid-19 outbreak including SEIR model have been developed to analyze its transmission dynamics^{1,2,3,4,5}. Although these epidemiological models are useful for estimating the dynamics of transmission, targeting resources and evaluating the impact of intervention strategies, the models require parameters and depend on many assumptions. Unlike system identification in engineering where the parameters in the models are estimated using real data, at the outbreak, estimated parameters using real time data are not readily available^{6,7} The most analyses used hypothesized parameters and hence do not fit the data very well. The accuracy of the forecasting the future cases of Covid-19 using these models may not be very high. Timely interventions are needed to control the serious impacts of Covid-19 on health.

To overcome limitations of epidemiological model approach, and help public health planning and policy making, we develop an AI based method for real time forecasting the cumulative lab confirmed, new confirmed cases of Covid-19 in total and provinces/ cities across the China. We also forecast the possible trend and plateau of Covid-19 transmission in several months in China and cluster the province/city according the dynamic patterns of Covid-19 transmission. The analysis is based on the

surveillance data of confirmed and suspected 2019-nCoV patients in China up to February 13, 2020.

Methods

Data Sources

Data on lab confirmed cases of Covid-19 from January 11, 2020 to January 20, 2020, and from January 21, 2020 to February 5, 2020, were from Surging News Network (<u>https://www.thepaper.cn/</u>) and WHO (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports), respectively. Data included the total number cases in whole China and the number of cases across 31 Province/Cities in mainland China and other three regions (Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan) in China. The data were organized in a matrix with

the row representing the whole China and province/city and column representing new lab confirmed cases of each day.

The lab confirmed cases of each province/city was a time series. Let t_{ij} be the number of the lab confirmed cases of the j^{th} day within the i^{th} province/city. Let Z be a 34 × m dimensional matrix. The element Z_{ij} be the number of the lab confirmed new cases of Covid-19 on the j^{th} day, staring with January 11, 2020 in the i^{th} city.

Modified Stacked Auto-encoder for Modeling Time Series

Modified tacked auto-encoders⁸ were used to forecast the number of the accumulative lab confirmed cases of Covid-19. Unlike the classical stacked auto-encoder where the number of nodes in the layers decreases, the numbers of the nodes in the input, the first latent layer, the second latent layer and output layers in modified stacked auto-encoder were 8, 32, 4 and 1, respectively (Figure 4). We view a segment of time series with 8 days as a sample of data and take 128 segments of time series as the training samples. We randomly select one element from the data matrix *Z* as a start day of the segment and select its 7 successive days as the other days to form a segment of time series. Let *i* be the index of the segment time series can be resented as $\{Z_{j_i}, Z_{j_i+1}, \dots, Z_{j_i+7}\}$. Data were normalized to $X_{j_i+k} = \frac{Z_{j_i+k}}{S}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 7$, where $S = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{k=0}^{7} Z_{j_i+k}$. Let $Y_i = \frac{Z_{j_i+8}}{S}$ be the normalized number of cases to be forecasted . If S = 0, then set $Y_i = 0$. The loss function was defined as

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{128} W_i (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 \, .$$

where Y_i was the observed number of cases in forecasting day of the *i*th segment time series and \hat{Y}_i was its forecasted number of cases by the neural network, W_i were weights. If j_i was in the interval [1, 6], then $W_i = 1$, If j_i was in the interval [7, 12], then $W_i = 2$, etc. The backpropagation algorithm was used to estimate the weights and bias in the network. Repeat training process 5 times. The average forecasting \hat{Y}_i , i = 1, ..., 34 will be as a final forecasted the number of accumulated lab confirmed case for each province/city.

Forecasting Procedures

The trained staked auto-encoder was used for forecasting the future number of confirmed cases of Covid-19 for each province/city. Consider the *i*th province/city. Assume that the number of new lab confirmed cases of Covid-19 on the *j*th day needs to be forecasted is x_{ij} . Let *H* be a $34 \times P$ dimensional matrix and $h_{il} = x_{ij-9+l}, i = 1, ..., 34, l = 1, ..., P$. Let $g_i = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=1}^{8} h_{il}$ be the average of the *i*th row of the matrix *H* and $G = [g_1, ..., g_8]^T$. Let *U* be the normalized matrix of *H* where $u_{il} = \frac{h_{il}}{g_l}, i = 1, ..., 34, l = 1, ..., 8$. The output of the staked auto-encoder is the forecasted number of the new lab confirmed cases and is denoted as

 $\hat{v}_i = f(u_{i1}, \dots, u_{il}, \theta), i = 1, \dots, 34$, where θ represented the estimated parameters in the trained staked auto-encoder. The one-step forecasting the number of new confirmed cases of Covid-19 for each city is given by $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{v}_i g_i, i = 1, \dots, 34$.

The recursive multiple-step forecasting involves using a one-step model multiple times where the prediction for the prior time step is used as an input for making a prediction on the following time step. For example, for forecasting the number of the new lab confirmed cases for the one more next day, the predicted the number of new cases in one-step forecasting would be used as an observation input in order to predict day 2. Repeat the above process to obtain the two-step forecasting. The summation of the final forecasted number of cases for each province/city is taken as the prediction of the total number of the new lab confirmed cases of Covid-19 in China.

Clustering

The values of the latent variables in the second latent layer of the staked auto-encoder for each province/city were extracted. For each province/city, we formed a 26 × 4 dimensional latent matrix *A*. We obtain the largest singe value λ of the latent matrix *A* via single value decomposition. We performed five time training and obtained five the largest single values. For each province/city, we formed a feature vector that consisted of five largest singe values λs , the stating day and the furcated end day of the Covid-19 outbreak, the day of the number of new confirmed cases reaching the maximum, the largest number of the forecasted new lab conformed cases and the number of the forecasted accumulated lab confirmed cases of Covid-19

in the province/city. The k-means algorithms were performed on the 34 feature vectors to group provinces/cities into clusters.

Results

Figure 1 plotted the total number curves of reported and forecasted cumulative and new confirmed cases of Covid-19 in China as a function of days. The reported cases were from January 19, 2020 to February 13, 2020. A total number of 26 reported confirmed cases were available. We began to forecast on February 14, 2020. Figure 1 showed that the forecasting curve was close to the reported curve. We observed from Figure 1 that the curve of the new confirmed cases reached the 3,908 peak on February 5, 2020 and decreased to zero (10) on April 23 (forecasting). We also observed that the potential cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 in China would reach the plateau (70757) on June 3, 2020. Figure S1 plotted the national reported and fitted curves of the cumulative confirmed cases in China from January 19, 2020 to February 13, 2020 to February 13, 2020 to fit the autoencoder model. In Table 1, 1 day, 2 day, 3 day, 4 day and 5 day error represented the 1 day ahead, 2 day ahead, 3 day ahead, 4 day ahead and 5 day ahead forecasting errors, i.e., the errors of using the current reported cases to forecasting curve is than 0.5%. Forecasting accuracy was very high.

Figure 2 presented the forecasted curves of cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 across 31 Province/Cities in mainland China and other three regions (Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan) in China as a function of days from January 20, 2020 to April 30, 2020. We observed from Figure 2 that the times of different provinces/cities when they will enter the plateau will be different ranging from February 10. 2020 to April 20, 2020. Macao first entered the plateau on February 10, 20120, the Hubei province entered the plateau (52,730) around April 6, 2020, most provinces/cities will enter the plateau around the early April, 2020. Guangxi, Hong Kong and Taipei will last enter the plateau around April 20, 2020. We can also observe the different shapes of the curves among the provinces/cities, which imply different dynamics of the transmission of Covid-19 across the provinces/cities.

Many factors such as size of outflow population from Wuhan to each affected provinces/cities, interventions, geographic locations, economic and social activities, environmental heterogeneity

and healthcare facility affect disease dynamics across the country. Clustering its temporal dynamics will provide numerous insights on patterns of propagation of Covid-19. To further capture the dynamic pattern of Covid-19 spread across the provinces/cities, we presented Figure 3. Figure 3 showed that 34 provinces/cities formed nine clusters. Since the spread of Covid-19 are influenced by the pattern of contacts between individuals, clusters partially showed geographic structure. For example, Hubei province, a major source of Covid-18, formed a cluster. Followed by the cluster (Henan, Hunan, Anhui, Jiangxi, Zhejiang and Guangdong), which had the similar shape of the transmission curves (Figure 2) and are in areas surrounding pathogen sources. The cluster (Macao, Xizang and Qinghai) had the lowest cases and are in areas far away from the sources of Covid-19. In addition to the geographic locations that affected the transmission of Covid-19, the healthcare resources and economic and social activities may also affect the transmission dynamics of Covid-19. For example, Sichuan, Shandong, Chongqing, Heilongjiang, Guangxi and Jiangsu formed a cluster. These six provinces are not located in the same geographic area, but they may have similar economic relationships with Wuhan, healthcare resources and take similar interventions.

Discussion

Alternative to epidemiologic transmission model, we used modified stacked auto-encoder to forecast the real-time trajectory of the transmission dynamics and generate the real-time forecasts of Covid-19 across the provinces/cities in China. The results showed that the accuracies of prediction and subsequently multiple-step forecasting were high. Our experience revealed that forecast length would reduce prediction error. However, we also found that forecasting improves when the training time was longer. We also estimated the potential time pointes of decreasing growth of the new conformed case curves across 34 provinces/cities, the lengths of the Cov-19 epidemics across the China, and the times when the number of accumulated lab confirmed cases of Covid-19 would reach the plateau of their accumulate case curves. If the data are reliable, the neural network models predicted that the Covid-19 outbreak in China may be over in April. In this study, we used cluster analysis to group 34 provinces/cities into 9 clusters and explore their geographic and healthcare resource structures.

The neural network models allow to input the interventions and investigate the impact of interventions on the size of the virus outbreak and over time of the virus outbreak. However, we

have not explored such functions of the neural networks due to lack of data. Similar to epidemiologic transmission dynamic models, the neural networks can also be used for simulations. The trained neural networks can well approximate many dynamic processes. Using hypothesized the initial sizes of the epidemic outbreak, we can use the trained neural networks with known parameters and architecture to estimate the sizes of outbreak in the future and simulate the impact of the interventions on the sizes and severity of the epidemics. Complimentary to model approach to transmission dynamics of virus outbreaks, the data driven neural networks provide real time forecasting tools for tracking, estimating the trajectory of epidemics, assessing their severity, predicting the lengths of epidemics and help government and health workers to make good decisions.

References

- 1. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. N Engl J Med. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.
- Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. The Lancet 2020;
- Zhao, Musa, Lin, et al. Estimating the Unreported Number of Novel Coronavirus (2019nCoV) Cases in China in the First Half of January 2020: A Data-Driven Modelling Analysis of the Early Outbreak. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2020;9(2):388.
- Kucharski A, Russell T, Diamond C, Liu Y, CMMID nCoV working group, Edmunds J, Funk S, Eggo R. (2020). Analysis and projections of transmission dynamics of nCoV in Wuhan. <u>https://cmmid.github.io/ncov/wuhan_early_dynamics/index.html</u>.
- Tuite AR, Fisman DN. Reporting, Epidemic Growth, and Reproduction Numbers for the 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Epidemic. Annals of Internal Medicine 2020;
- Funk S, Camacho A, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Edmunds WJ. Real-time forecasting of infectious disease dynamics with a stochastic semi-mechanistic model. Epidemics 2018;22:56–61.
- Correction for Johansson et al., An open challenge to advance probabilistic forecasting for dengue epidemics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2019;116(51):26087–8
- Charte D, Charte F, García S, Jesus MJD, Herrera F. A practical tutorial on autoencoders for nonlinear feature fusion: Taxonomy, models, software and guidelines. Information Fusion 2018;44:78–96.

Legend

	Reported	Forecasted	1 Day Error	2 Day Error	3 Day Error	4 Day Error	5 Day Error
2/8/2020	34598	34,664	0.19%				
2/9/2020	37251	37,636	1.03%				
2/10/2020	40235	39,965	-0.67%				
2/11/2020	42708	43,254	1.28%				
2/12/2020	44730	44,705	-0.05%				
2/13/2020	46550	46,328	-0.48%	0.18%	0.46%	0.22%	1.55%

Table 1. Errors of forecasting national cumulative confirmed cases in China.




Figure 1. The national reported and forecasted curves of cumulative and new confirmed cases of Covid-19 in China as a function of days from January 20, 2020 to May 4, 2020.




Figure 2. The forecasted curves of cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 across 34 Province/Cities in China as a function of days from January 20, 2020 to April 30, 2020.

Figure 3. The hidden space in the autoencoder mapped by the cumulative confirmed case time series of Covid-19 across 31 provinces/cities in main land China and thee regions in China formed 9 clusters.





Figure 4. Architecture of a staked autoencoder with a two variable encoding layer.



Supplementary Figure Legend

Figure S1. The national reported and fitted curves of the cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 in China from January 19, 2020 to February 10, 2020, where the red curve was the reported and green curve was the fitted

