

Chernoff approximations of Feller semigroups in Riemannian manifolds

Sonia Mazzucchi,¹ Valter Moretti,¹ Ivan Remizov,² Oleg Smolyanov^{3,4}

November 2020

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Trento and TIFPA-INFN, via Sommarive 14, I-38123 Povo (Trento) Italy

² National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation

³ Lomonosov Moscow State University, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Chair of Real and Functional Analysis, Laboratory of Infinite-Dimensional Analysis and Mathematical Physics

⁴ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Emails: sonia.mazzucchi@unitn.it; valter.moretti@unitn.it; ivremizov@yandex.ru; smolyanov@yandex.ru

Abstract

Chernoff approximations of Feller semigroups and the associated diffusion processes in Riemannian manifolds are studied. The manifolds are assumed to be of bounded geometry, thus including all compact manifolds and also a wide range of non-compact manifolds. Sufficient conditions are established for a class of second order elliptic operators to generate a Feller semigroup on a (generally non-compact) manifold of bounded geometry. A construction of Chernoff approximations is presented for these Feller semigroups in terms of shift operators. This provides approximations of solutions to initial value problems for parabolic equations with variable coefficients on the manifold. It also yields weak convergence of a sequence of random walks on the manifolds to the diffusion processes associated with the elliptic generator. For parallelizable manifolds this result is applied in particular to the representation of Brownian motion on the manifolds as limits of the corresponding random walks.

Keywords: one-parameter operator semigroups, Feynman formulas, Feynman-Kac formulas, Feller semigroups, Chernoff product formula, diffusion processes, evolution equations

MSC2010: primary 47D06 secondary 58J65 also related to 58A05, 35K15, 35C99, 41A99

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Analytic and Geometric Preliminaries	4
2.1	C_0 -semigroups and evolution equations	4
2.2	Feller semigroups and random processes	4
2.3	Chernoff approximations for C_0 -semigroups	6
2.4	Structures on Riemannian manifolds	7
2.5	Manifolds of bounded geometry	8
2.6	Completeness of vector fields	9
3	Feller semigroups and Chernoff approximations for diffusions on Riemannian manifolds	10
3.1	Relevant operators and subspaces of $C_0(M)$	10
3.2	Generators of Feller semigroups on Riemannian manifolds	11
3.3	Chernoff functions for the Feller semigroup	14
4	A probabilistic interpretation of Chernoff construction	16
4.1	A jump process on M	17
4.2	A piecewise geodesic random walk	17
4.3	A different interpolation scheme	18
4.3.1	A technical interlude	19
4.3.2	Weak convergence of the sequence Z_n to X	23
5	Heat equation and Brownian motion on parallelizable manifolds	24
5.1	An approximation in terms of random walk with piecewise geodesic paths	24
5.2	An approximation in terms of random walk with steps along integral curves of the parallelizing vector fields	26
6	Acknowledgments	26

1 Introduction

The relations between, on the one hand, the evolution equation and semigroup theory and, on the other hand, functional integration and the theory of stochastic processes is an extensively studied topic [3, 21, 28, 32, 34, 49] with a long history. Its roots can be traced back to the pioneering papers by Richard Feynman [22, 23], who proposed an heuristic representation of the solution to the Schrödinger equation in terms of limits of integrals over finite Cartesian powers of some spaces. Feynman's ideas inspired Marc Kac [31], who rigorously proved a representation of the solution of the heat equation in terms of an integral on the space of continuous paths with respect to the Wiener measure. This formula, which is nowadays known as the celebrated "Feynman-Kac formula", is the first and most famous example of the connections between parabolic equations associated with second order elliptic operators and stochastic processes. Remarkably, Feynman heuristically presented two mathematical constructions which are now associated with names of Trotter [58] and Chernoff [14], who rigorously proved them much later. Trotter and Chernoff formulas provide approximations of evolution (semigroups) that, in several cases, pave the way for the proof of representation formulas of Feynman-Kac type.

In the present paper, new Chernoff approximations are established for a particular class of Feller semigroups on a type of generally non-compact Riemannian manifolds. In addition, these formulas are also proved to have a nice probabilistic interpretation on the said class of manifolds, since they allow the proof of the weak convergence of a sequence of random walks on the manifold to the diffusion process associated with the elliptic operator generating the said Feller semigroups.

Literature on the subject. From a general perspective, this work refers to the theory of some strongly continuous semigroups of linear operators $(V(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ on the Banach space $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ of continuous real-valued functions vanishing at ∞ on a locally compact metric space \mathcal{M} . Such semigroups are called *Feller semigroups*. They are naturally associated with strong Markov stochastic processes $(X^x(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ with values in the one-point compactification of \mathcal{M} in such a way that the action of the operators $V(t)$ on a function $f \in C_0(\mathcal{M})$ can be represented in terms of the following formula

$$(V(t)f)(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X^x(t))], \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

\mathbb{E} is the expected value. This paper considers the concrete case where \mathcal{M} is a smooth Riemannian manifold M and the generator of the Feller semigroup when restricted to the space $C_c^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions with compact support is given by the second-order differential operator

$$(L_0f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r (A_k A_k f)(x) + A_0 f(x), \quad x \in M, \quad (1)$$

where A_k , $k = 0, \dots, r$ are smooth vector fields. The stochastic processes associated with this particular kind of Feller semigroups are named *Feller-Dynkin diffusions*. They have continuous paths and can be constructed in terms of the (martingale) solution of stochastic differential equations of the form [19, 27, 28, 60]

$$dX(t) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_j(X(t)) \circ dB^j(t) + A_0(X(t))dt. \quad (2)$$

This work in particular is devoted to the application of the Chernoff theorem (see theorem 6 below) to the construction of an approximation formula for, on the one hand, the Feller semigroup and, on the other hand, the associated diffusion process and solutions to the evolution equation. This technique has been extensively implemented, e.g. in the study of Chernoff approximations of Feller semigroups (and corresponding Feller processes) [8, 9, 10, 11], in the construction of solutions to evolution equations [4, 7, 12], and in the construction of the Wiener measure on compact manifolds [2, 54] (see for overviews [13, 55, 56]). Most of the results presented in literature are restricted to the case where either $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ or M is compact. More general classes of C^k (with $k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ depending on the case) Riemannian manifolds were studied in [29, 42, 37] (see also [38] for an introductory overview of Brownian motion and diffusion processes on manifolds). In those papers, generally speaking, conditions are assumed about (a) the existence of a specific cover of open sets with both uniform metric properties and uniform bounds on the vector fields $\{A_k\}_{k=0, \dots, r}$ associated to the dynamical system (2) and (b) the validity of specific bounds on some curvatures. Under these conditions it is possible to prove the existence of Feller semigroups associated to the differential operator (1) as well as the non explosion property of the associated process [37]. In [29, 42] similar conditions allow proving the convergence of geodesic random walks to the Brownian motion on the manifold. A recent remarkable book on semigroups on $L^2(M)$ (instead of $C_0(M)$) for generally non-compact manifolds M and the special case of Schrödinger-like operators is [25].

There, heat kernels are extensively studied for Schrödinger-like operators on Hermitian bundles on generally non-compact base manifolds, extending many known results valid in \mathbb{R}^n to these geometric structures.

Results of this work. In contrast to the quoted literature, the present work focuses on continuous semigroups on $C_0(M)$ with generators of the form (1) for the case of a generic smooth Riemannian manifolds (M, g) of *bounded geometry*, also requiring uniform boundedness properties of the involved vector fields for general elliptic operators (1). Manifolds of bounded geometry are for instance \mathbb{R}^d , compact manifolds, and a wide class of *non-compact* manifolds that are also relevant in applications, like Lie groups and homogeneous manifolds. The main results of this work follow.

(a) As the first result, in Section 3 we show that if the vector fields $\{A_k\}_{k=0,\dots,r}$ enjoy a property known as C^∞ -boundedness [57], then an extension of the differential operator L_0 in (1) is the generator of a Feller-Dynkin semigroup on $C_0(M)$, and we provide a family of operator cores. This result paves the way for the proof of theorem 29, the second result of this paper, where a Chernoff approximation formula (Eq. (34)) for the Feller semigroup in terms of a family of rather simple shift operators is presented. The idea of using shift operators instead of integral operators on \mathbb{R}^d goes back to [45, 46, 59, 47] and is now applied to manifolds for the first time. We also extend the described results to more general operators $L_0 + c$, where $c \leq 0$ is a bounded continuous scalar potential.

(b) The probabilistic interpretation of the approximation formulas (32) and (34) in the case of $c = 0$ is discussed in Section 4. There, as the third main result, we show that it allows us to construct the diffusion process associated to the Feller semigroup in terms of a weak limit of a sequence of random walks on M . Several interesting convergence results for diffusion processes on manifolds can be found in literature, see e.g. [39, 15, 29, 42, 37]. It is worth mentioning the approximation schemes for the Wiener measure proposed in [1, 2], the proof of convergence of random walks to Brownian motion on sub-Riemannian manifolds [24] and the recent application of the notion of controlled rough path to Riemannian manifolds [18]. In contrast to the above mentioned results, in particular [29, 42, 37], where only geodesic paths are used in M so that the 2nd order ODE are relevant, in this paper we provide three different approximation schemes associated to *1st order* differential equations of curves in M . These equations are the ones of integral lines of the aforementioned vector fields $\{A_k\}_{k=0,\dots,r}$. Indeed, the first approximation scheme involves a sequence of jump processes with random jumps along integral curves of the vector fields $\{A_k\}_{k=0,\dots,r}$. Notice that more than one vector field is necessary to change the direction of the random walk when dealing with vector fields in M instead of geodesics. The second approximation scheme is a sequence of random walks with continuous piecewise geodesic paths. Finally, the third approximation scheme involves a sequence of random walks with continuous paths where the single steps are integral curves of the vector fields $\{A_k\}_{k=0,\dots,r}$.

(c) These techniques are eventually applied in section 5 to the Chernoff approximation of the specific case of the heat semigroup and the Brownian motion on *parallelizable* Riemannian manifolds. In this context we achieve the final results presented in this work. As noted above, besides the traditional approximation of Brownian motion in terms of the weak limit of a sequence of random walks with piecewise geodesic paths (theorem 42), we provide a new approximation result in terms of the limit of random walks with paths along the integral curves of a family of parallelizing vector fields (theorem 43).

Structure, notations, and conventions. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some basic definitions and results on Feller semigroups, Chernoff approximations and Riemannian geometry notions that are used throughout the paper. Section 3 presents the construction of the Feller semigroup and its Chernoff approximation. Section 4 is devoted to the probabilistic interpretation of the Chernoff approximation formula and to the construction of three different sequences of random walks on M converging weakly to the diffusion process associated to the Feller semigroup. Finally, section 5 extends these results to the study of approximations of the heat semigroup and the Brownian motion on parallelizable manifolds of bounded geometry. The appendix contains the proofs of several technical propositions used in the main text.

From now on the notation $A \subset B$ includes the case $A = B$ and, referring to a universe set \mathcal{M} , if $A \subset \mathcal{M}$, then $A^c := \mathcal{M} \setminus A$. Throughout the paper we adopt the definition $\mathbb{R}^+ := [0, +\infty)$. If M is a smooth manifold the symbol $C_c^\infty(M)$ denotes the complex space of smooth *compactly supported* complex-valued functions on M .

An operator A is always understood as a *linear* operator and its domain, denoted by $D(A)$, is always assumed to be a *linear subspace*. The symbol \mathcal{B} denotes a Banach space over the field \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators in $A : D(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $D(A) = \mathcal{B}$.

If $A : D(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $B : D(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are operators with $D(A), D(B) \subset \mathcal{B}$, then (i) the domain of $A + B$ is defined as $D(A + B) := D(A) \cap D(B)$, (ii) the domain of AB is defined as $D(AB) := \{x \in D(B) \mid Bx \in D(A)\}$, (iii) the domain of aA , with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , is $D(aA) := D(A)$ except for $a = 0$, where $D(0A) = \mathcal{B}$; finally, $A \subset B$ means $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and $B|_{D(A)} = A$.

2 Analytic and Geometric Preliminaries

We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of C_0 -semigroups and we recall here just some basic definitions and results in order to fix the notation and the used terminology. We also recall some basic facts about the connection of the theory of C_0 -semigroups and the theory of random processes with particular emphasis on Feller semigroups and Feller processes. Generally speaking, we shall focus attention only on the notions and the results which are strictly necessary to state and prove the results in the work. Details appear in the classical monographs [20, 34, 21, 5, 49, 28] and references therein. Section 2.3 contains some basic notions about *Chernoff-functions* [14] which will be used in this work. In sections 2.4 and 2.6 we shall remind the reader some basic notions of Riemannian geometry used throughout. Classical reference texts are [36, 16, 41, 33]. Section 2.5 introduces the basic notions and results on *manifolds of bounded geometry*. A recent review on the subject is [17].

2.1 C_0 -semigroups and evolution equations

Definition 1. A mapping $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$, is called a C_0 -semigroup, or a **strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup (of bounded operators)** if it satisfies the following conditions,

- (1) $V(0) = I$ the identity operator on \mathcal{B} ,
- (2) $V(t+s) = V(t)V(s)$ if $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (**semigroup law**),
- (3) $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto V(t)x$ is continuous for every $x \in \mathcal{B}$, i.e., V is continuous in the *strong operator topology*. ■

As is well known [20], if $(V(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a C_0 -semigroup in Banach space \mathcal{B} , then the set

$$D(L) := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{B} \mid \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \frac{V(t)\varphi - \varphi}{t} \right\} \quad (3)$$

is a dense linear subspace of \mathcal{B} invariant under the action of each $V(t)$, $t \geq 0$. The operator $L : D(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$

$$L\varphi = \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \frac{V(t)\varphi - \varphi}{t}, \quad \varphi \in D(L)$$

is called the (**infinitesimal**) **generator** of the C_0 -semigroup V . The generator turns out to be a closed linear operator that defines V uniquely which, in turn, is denoted¹ as $V(t) = e^{tL}$.

If $L : D(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $D(L) \subset \mathcal{B}$ is an operator, the problem of finding a function $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u(t) = Lu(t); & t \geq 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

is called the **abstract Cauchy problem** (for the evolution equation) associated to L . A function $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a **classical solution** to abstract Cauchy problem (4) if, for every $t \geq 0$, the function u has a continuous derivative (in the topology of \mathcal{B}) $u' : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, it holds $u(t) \in D(L)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and (4) holds. The following fact can be found as Proposition 6.2 in [20], p. 145.

Proposition 2. *Let the operator $L : D(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(V(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in the Banach space \mathcal{B} . Then, for every $u_0 \in D(L)$ there is a unique classical solution to abstract Cauchy problem (4), which is given by the formula $u(t) = V(t)u_0$.*

2.2 Feller semigroups and random processes

C_0 -semigroups are of particular interest because of their strong interplay with the theory of evolution equations, on the one hand, and with probability theory, on the other hand; from the probabilistic point of view the so-called *Feller semigroups* [34, 21] are particularly important.

Let \mathcal{M} be a locally-compact metric space. With the symbol $C(\mathcal{M})$ we denote the space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. With $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ we shall denote the Banach space of continuous functions **vanishing at ∞** , i.e.

$$C_0(\mathcal{M}) := \{f \in C(\mathcal{M}) \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ compact } |f(x)| < \varepsilon \forall x \in K^c\},$$

endowed with the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm. If \mathcal{M} is compact, it is natural to define $C_0(\mathcal{M}) := C(\mathcal{M})$.

A linear operator $U : C_0(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be **positive** if $(Uf)(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$ whenever $f \in C_0(\mathcal{M})$ and $f(x) \geq 0$ if $x \in \mathcal{M}$. U is said to be a **contraction** if $\|Uf\| \leq \|f\|$ for $f \in C_0(\mathcal{M})$.

¹As is well known, this notation is only formal in the general case even if in some situations it has a rigorous meaning in terms of norm-converging series if L is bounded respectively spectral functional calculus in Hilbert spaces when L is normal.

Definition 3. If \mathcal{M} is a locally-compact metric space, a strongly continuous semigroup made of positive contractions on $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ is called a **Feller semigroup**. ■

A crucial result is the following one (theorem 2.2 Ch.4 in [21]):

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{M} be a locally compact metric space and $L_1: D \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{M})$ an operator with domain $D \subset C_0(\mathcal{M})$ subspace. L_1 is closable and its closure $L := \overline{L_1}$ is the generator of Feller semigroup if the following conditions are valid.

- (a) D is dense in $C_0(\mathcal{M})$,
- (b) L_1 satisfies the **positive maximum principle**:

$$\text{for each } f \in D: \text{ if } \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}} f(x) = f(x_0) \geq 0 \text{ for } x_0 \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ then } (L_1 f)(x_0) \leq 0, \quad (5)$$

- (c) $\text{Ran}(L_1 - \lambda I)$ is dense in $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

Remark 5.

(1) Given a closed operator $L: D(L) \subset \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ on a Banach space \mathcal{B} , a dense subspace $D \subset D(L)$ is called a **core** for L if $L|_D$ is closable and $\overline{L|_D} = L$.

Theorem 4 in fact yields the existence of the semigroup as well as a core for its generator.

(2) In this paper, \mathcal{M} is a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . We will introduce and use three types of operators: L_0 is always a differential operator defined on the whole $C^\infty(M)$, L_1 is its restriction to a suitable subspace D_k satisfying the theorem above, $L = \overline{L_1}$ is the generator of the Feller semigroup. ■

By the *Riesz-Markov theorem*, it is possible to associate to any Feller semigroup V a family $(p_t(x))_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{M}}$ of positive Borel measures on \mathcal{M} such that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(V(t)f)(x) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y) p_t(x, dy), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}$$

and, for all $f \in C_0(\mathcal{M})$,

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow x} \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y) p_t(x_n, dy) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y) p_t(x, dy).$$

Moreover $p_t(x, \mathcal{M}) \leq 1$.

If all the measures of the family $(p_t(x))_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{M}}$ are *probability measures*, then the Feller semigroup is said **conservative**. In this case, from the semigroup law, the family of probability measures satisfies the *Chapman-Kolmogorov* equation:

$$p_{t+s}(x, A) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} p_t(y, A) p_s(x, dy), \quad \text{for every Borel set } A \subset \mathcal{M}. \quad (6)$$

As a consequence, given an arbitrary probability measure μ on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{M} , it is possible to construct a Markov process $(X_t^\mu)_{t \geq 0}$ with values in \mathcal{M} with finite dimensional distributions

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_1}^\mu \in A_1, \dots, X_{t_n}^\mu \in A_n) = \int 1_{A_1}(x_1) \cdots 1_{A_n}(x_n) p_{t_n - t_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}, dx_n) \cdots p_{t_1}(x_0, dx_1) d\mu(x_0), \quad (7)$$

for $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ and $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$. The existence of the process is guaranteed by the *Kolmogorov existence theorem* [5], the family of measures (7) being consistent due to the Chapman-Kolmogorov identity (6). In the general case, it is still possible to define the associated Markov process $(X_t^\mu)_{t \geq 0}$ with values in the 1-point compactification $\mathcal{M}' := \mathcal{M} \cup \partial$ of \mathcal{M} and the process enjoys the *strong Markov property* [49]. If $X_s = \partial \forall s \geq t$ whenever either $X_{t-} = \partial$ or $X_t = \partial$, then these processes are called *Feller-Dynkin* (FD-) processes. The random variable

$$\xi := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ | X_t = \partial\}$$

is called *lifetime* or *explosion time* of the process. In fact, if the Feller semigroup is conservative then $\xi = +\infty$ almost surely, hence the FD-process can be thought as a stochastic process with values in \mathcal{M} instead of \mathcal{M}' and it is called conservative.

By (7) the action of the semigroup admits the following probabilistic representation

$$(V(t)f)(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x)], \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (8)$$

where X_t^x is the aforementioned Markov process with initial distribution $\mu = \delta_x$, the Dirac measure concentrated at $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

An important class of FD-processes are the *diffusions*, also called Feller-Dynkin diffusions [28, 49]. They are defined as FD-processes with continuous paths up to the explosion time. The generator L of the associated semigroup is a local operator with a domain that includes the set of smooth functions with compact support and L satisfies the maximum principle (5) there. If $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and (X_t^x) is the diffusion process starting at x , then its law P^x is a probability measure on the metric space $C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{M})$ of continuous paths on \mathcal{M} or, more generally in the case of explosion, on $C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{M}')$. The family $\{P^x\}_{x \in \mathcal{M}}$ is called a *system of diffusion measures*. In the case where the state space \mathcal{M} of the Feller-Dynkin diffusion is \mathbb{R}^d , it is well known (see e.g. [49, 34]) that the restriction of L to $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a second-order elliptic operator of the form

$$(L_0 f)(x) = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(x) + \sum_j b^j(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}(x) + c(x)f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (9)$$

where $a^{ij}, b^j, c, i, j = 1, \dots, d$, are real-valued continuous functions, $c \leq 0$ and the matrix of coefficients $a^{ij}(x)$ is symmetric and non-negative definite. The corresponding semigroup V provides a classical solution of the Cauchy problem (in the above semigroup sense) for $u_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{cases} u'_t(t, x) = Lu(t, x) \text{ for } t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Actually, by formula (8), the function $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ admits the probabilistic representation formula $u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[u_0(X_t^x)]$.

Conversely, given globally Lipschitz maps $\sigma_k^i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b^i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and setting $a^{ij} = \sum_k \sigma_k^i \sigma_k^j$, it is possible to prove that there exists a Feller semigroup whose generator restricted to $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has the form (9) with $c = 0$. The associated diffusion process is constructed in terms of the so called martingale solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t^i = \sum_{k=1}^d \sigma_k^i(X_t) dB_t^k + b^i(X_t) dt, \quad (11)$$

where $(B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$, is a d -dimensional Brownian motion. For an extended discussion of this topic see, e.g. [49, 28].

2.3 Chernoff approximations for C_0 -semigroups

Here we recall *Chernoff's theorem* [14, 20, 6] which provides approximation method for C_0 -semigroups on Banach space in terms of suitable operator valued functions.

Theorem 6 (The Chernoff theorem). *Let $(e^{tL})_{t \geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on a Banach space \mathcal{B} with generator $L : D(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and let $S : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ be a map satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *There exists $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|S(t)\| \leq e^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$;*
2. *The function S is continuous in the strong topology in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$;*
3. *$S(0) = I$, i.e., $S(0)f = f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{B}$;*
4. *There exists a linear subspace $\mathcal{D} \subset D(L)$ that is a core for the operator $L : D(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (S(t)f - f - tLf)/t = 0$ for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Then the following holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|S(t/n)^n f - e^{tL} f\| = 0, \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and every } T > 0, \quad (12)$$

where $S(t/n)^n$ is a composition of n copies of the linear bounded operator $S(t/n)$.

Remark 7. Let $(e^{tL})_{t \geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on a Banach space \mathcal{B} with generator $L : D(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and let $S : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ be a map satisfying formula (12) then:

- (a) S is called a **Chernoff function** for operator L or **Chernoff-equivalent** to C_0 -semigroup $(e^{tL})_{t \geq 0}$ [51].
- (b) The expression $S(t/n)^n f$ is called a **Chernoff approximation expression** for $e^{tL} f$.
- (c) The \mathcal{B} -valued function

$$U(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(t/n)^n u_0 = e^{tL} u_0$$

is the classical solution of the Cauchy problem (4) due to Proposition 2 and Theorem 6 if $u_0 \in D(L)$, so Chernoff approximation expressions become approximations to the solution with respect to norm in \mathcal{B} .

A definition of Chernoff equivalence and Chernoff function was suggested in 2002 [51] and developed in [52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 48]. New wording was proposed in [44, 46]. Every C_0 -semigroup $S(t) = e^{tL}$ is a Chernoff function for its generator L , actually it is the only one Chernoff function which has a semigroup composition property. Also there are other statements known as Chernoff-type theorems and they produce different notions of Chernoff function. Here we will not give an overview of this topic. We just fix one version of the Chernoff theorem, one definition of Chernoff function and work with it.

2.4 Structures on Riemannian manifolds

In this section we recall some general notions of Riemannian geometry. For more details we refer to [36, 16, 41, 33]. Let (M, g) be a smooth (i.e., C^∞) Riemannian manifold, which we will always assume to be connected, Hausdorff, and 2nd countable. The **Riemannian distance** of $p, q \in M$ is defined as

$$d_{(M,g)}(p, q) = \inf_{\gamma \in C_{p,q}} L_g(\gamma). \quad (13)$$

Above, $C_{p,q}$ is the set of the smooth curves $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(a) = p$ and $\gamma(b) = q$ ($a < b$ depend on γ) and

$$L_g(\gamma) := \int_a^b \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_g dt,$$

– where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the tangent vector to γ and $\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_g = \sqrt{g_{\gamma(t)}(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))}$ its standard g -norm (see below) – is the **length** of the curve γ computed with respect to g . The Riemannian distance makes M a metrical space whose metrical topology coincides with the original topology of M as topological manifold.

If $p \in M$ and $U_p \subset T_p M$ is a sufficiently small open neighborhood of the origin $0 \in T_p M$, the **exponential map** at p , denoted by $\exp_p : U_p \rightarrow M$, is the map associating $v \in U_p$ with $\sigma(1, p, v)$, where $[0, 1] \ni s \mapsto \sigma(s, p, v) \in M$ is the restriction to $[0, 1]$ of the maximal g -geodesic in M starting from p , at $s = 0$, with initial tangent vector v . It is known that if U_p is sufficiently small, \exp_p is a diffeomorphism from $U_p \subset T_p M$ onto the open neighborhood $V_p := \exp_p(U_p) \subset M$ of p . Furthermore, such V_p can be chosen to be an *open* $d_{(M,g)}$ -metric ball $V_p = B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$ of sufficiently small radius $r > 0$ (in this case U_p will be the open ball in $T_p M$ with radius r).

With the said choice of $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$, if $N := \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ is a g -orthonormal basis of $T_p M$, we can construct a bijective map denoted by $\exp_{p,N}^{-1} : B_r^{(M,g)}(p) \rightarrow B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ as:

$$\exp_{p,N}^{-1} : B_r^{(M,g)}(p) \ni q \mapsto (y^1(q), \dots, y^d(q)) \in B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{where } \sum_{j=1}^d y^j(q)e_j = \exp_p^{-1}(q).$$

This map is smooth with its inverse and its image (i.e., the coordinate representation of the open neighborhood of the origin of $T_p M$ previously denoted by U_p) is a standard ball $B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ centered at the origin with the same radius r as $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$. The pair $(B_r^{(M,g)}(p), \exp_{p,N}^{-1})$ is called a (local) **normal Riemannian chart centered on p** and the coordinates y^1, \dots, y^d , **Riemannian coordinates centered on p** .

It turns out that, referring to this coordinate patch,

- (a) the components at $y \in B_r(0)$ of the metric and its inverse respectively satisfy $g_{ab}(0) = \delta_{ab}$ and $g^{ab}(0) = \delta^{ab}$ for $a, b = 1, \dots, d$;
- (b) the **Levi-Civita connection coefficients** (see (18) below) $\Gamma_{ab}^c(y)$ associated to metric satisfy $\Gamma_{ab}^c(0) = 0$ and it also holds $\frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial y^c}|_0 = \frac{\partial g^{ab}}{\partial y^c}|_0 = 0$ for $a, b, c = 1, \dots, d$;
- (c) the \mathbb{R}^d -Euclidean norm in $B_r(0)$ coincides with the distance from p in the following sense:

$$\|y\| = d_{(M,g)} \left(\exp_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^d y^j(q)e_j \right), p \right); \quad (14)$$

- (d) there is a unique geodesic segment γ joining p and $q \in B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$ and completely included in $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$. In Riemannian coordinates centered on p , it coincides with the \mathbb{R}^d segment joining the origin to $(y^1(q), \dots, y^d(q))$. The length $L_g(\gamma)$ is $d_{(M,g)}(p, q)$.

(M, g) is said to be **geodesically complete** if all geodesics are defined for all values of their affine parameter in \mathbb{R} . Another way to say the same is that the exponential map \exp_x , for every given $x \in M$, is defined on the whole $T_x M$ (even if this does not imply that it defines a diffeomorphism on the whole $T_x M$). The celebrated *Hopf-Rinow theorem* proves that geodesical completeness is equivalent to the fact that M is complete as a metric

space with respect to $d_{(M,g)}$. In turn this is equivalent to the fact that closed bounded (with respect to the geodesical distance) subsets of M are compact. Finally for geodesically complete manifolds, every pair $p, q \in M$ admits a (not necessarily unique) geodesic joining them and the length of this geodesic segment coincides with $d_{(M,g)}(p, q)$, since the said geodesic minimizes the length of the curves joining the points.

The **injectivity radius at** $p \in M$, denoted by $I_{(M,g)}(p) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, is the supremum of the set of radii r of the open ball $B_r^{(M,g)}(p) \subset M$ such that $(B_r^{(M,g)}(p), \exp_{p,N}^{-1})$ is a normal Riemannian chart centered at p for an orthonormal basis N of $T_p M$ (it does not depend on N). The **injectivity radius** of (M, g) is

$$I_{(M,g)} := \inf_{p \in M} I_{(M,g)}(p).$$

Remark 8. Compact smooth Riemannian manifolds in particular have always strictly positive injectivity radius as the reader easily proves. ■

Strictly positivity of the injectivity radius has several important consequences, the following one in particular.

Lemma 9. *If (M, g) is a connected smooth manifold with strictly positive injectivity radius, then (M, g) is geodesically complete and all closed bounded sets are compact.*

Proof. See the appendix. □

2.5 Manifolds of bounded geometry

For future use, we introduce the definition of manifold (M, g) of *bounded geometry*. This is a class of Riemannian manifolds where, in particular, the thesis of Lemma 9 is valid. See [17] for a recent extended review and [35, 57] for a summary of notions and results used in this paper. Roughly speaking (see remark 12 below), bounded geometry means that, on the one hand, every point $p \in M$ on the manifold there is a geodesical ball $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$ covered by Riemannian coordinates centered on p of radius $r > 0$ independent of p . On the other hand, there are uniform bounds on all derivatives of the component of the metric in the said Riemannian coordinates in $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$ independent of p . Here is the formal definition.

Definition 10. A connected smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said of **bounded geometry** if (M, g) has strictly positive injectivity radius and for some constants $c_k < +\infty$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\| \|\nabla^{(g)k} R\|_g \|_\infty \leq c_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots .$$

Above and henceforth, $\nabla^{(g)}$ indicates the *covariant derivative* of the *Levi-Civita connection* associated to g , R indicates the *Riemannian curvature tensor* and $\|\cdot\|_g$ denotes the natural point-wise norm associated to the metric g acting on smooth tensor fields of a given order (order $(1, 3+k)$ concerning $\nabla^{(g)k} R$). For instance, if T is a smooth tensor field of order (n, m) , so that their components at $q \in M$ in coordinates y^1, \dots, y^d around q are $T^{a_1 \dots a_n}_{b_1 \dots b_m}(y(q))$, we have

$$\|T(q)\|_g^2 = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_m} g_{a_1 c_1}(y(q)) \cdots g_{a_n c_n}(y(q)) g^{b_1 d_1}(y(q)) \cdots g^{b_m d_m}(y(q)) T^{a_1 \dots a_n}_{b_1 \dots b_m}(y(q)) T^{c_1 \dots c_n}_{d_1 \dots d_m}(y(q)). \quad (15)$$

Example 11. From the definition above, the following manifolds in particular are of bounded geometry (Example 2.1 in [17, 57]):

- (i) every smooth compact Riemannian manifold;
- (ii) \mathbb{R}^m equipped with its natural metric;
- (iii) every smooth Riemannian locally flat manifold with strictly positive injectivity radius;
- (iv) some classical manifolds as the m -dimensional hyperbolic space (the unit ball $B_1(0)$ in \mathbb{R}^m equipped with the *Poincaré disk metric*);
- (v) Homogeneous manifolds with invariant metric;
- (vi) covering manifolds of compact manifolds with a Riemannian metric which is lifted from the base manifold.

■

Another crucial feature of a smooth Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry is the one that follows [17]. For every given $r \in (0, I_{(M,g)}]$, there is a sequence of finite constants $C_k^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and a constant $c^{(r)} > 0$ such that

$$\det[g_{ab}(y)] \geq c^{(r)}, \text{ if } y \in B_r(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial_y^\alpha g_{ab}(y)\|_\infty^{(B_r(0))} \leq C_k^{(r)}, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, d \quad (16)$$

where y^1, \dots, y^n are the coordinates of every normal Riemannian chart with domain $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$ centered at $p \in M$ and $g_{ab}(y)$ are the components of the metric in that local coordinate system. We stress that the constant C_k do *not* depend on p and *all domains have the same geodesical radius* r .

From (16) taking advantage of the Kramer rule to compute the element $g^{ab}(y)$ of the inverse of the matrix of the coefficients $g_{ab}(y)$, as well as recursively using the identity

$$\frac{\partial g^{ab}}{\partial y^i} = - \sum_{c,d} g^{ac} g^{bd} \frac{\partial g_{cd}}{\partial y^i},$$

it easily arises the existence of another sequence of finite constants $H_k^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$\max_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial_y^\alpha g^{ab}(y)\|_\infty^{(B_r(0))} \leq H_k^{(r)}, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, d \quad (17)$$

where, as above, y^1, \dots, y^n are the coordinates of every normal Riemannian chart with domain $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$ centered at $p \in M$ of radius $r \in (0, I_{(M,g)})$.

Finally, referring to Levi-Civita's connection coefficients

$$\Gamma_{bc}^a(y) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_d g^{ad}(y) (\partial_{y^c} g_{bd} + \partial_{y^b} g_{dc} - \partial_{y^d} g_{bc}), \quad (18)$$

from the above pair of results, we obtain the existence of another sequence of finite constants $J_k^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$\max_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial_y^\alpha \Gamma_{bc}^a(y)\|_\infty^{(B_r(0))} \leq J_k^{(r)}, \quad a, b, c = 1, \dots, d \quad (19)$$

valid in every normal Riemannian chart around every $p \in M$ as before defined on a metric ball of radius $r \in (0, I_{(M,g)})$ with center p .

Remark 12. We observe *en passant* that if (M, g) has strictly positive injectivity radius and satisfies (16) for a given $r \in (0, I_{(M,g)})$ – so that it also satisfies (17) and (19) – it is necessarily of bounded geometry, just in view of the polynomial expression in components of the Riemann tensor in terms of Γ_{ab}^c and their first derivatives. ■

2.6 Completeness of vector fields

Let M be a general smooth manifold. As a vector field A on M is a map $A : M \rightarrow TM$, we use the notation $A(p) \in T_p M$.

Assuming that A is smooth, let us consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}(s) = A(\gamma(s)) \\ \gamma(t_0) = x \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

A solution $\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow M$ of (20) is called **maximal** if it is not the proper restriction of any other solution of (20). By the uniqueness of local solution of the Cauchy problem [41] there exists only one maximal solution γ of (20) and any other solution is one of its restrictions. γ is called the **maximal integral curve of A starting at x** . A smooth vector field A on the smooth manifold M is said to be **complete** [41], p. 51] if each of its maximal integral curves is defined on the entire real line. We finally quote an elementary but crucial technical results whose proof is included for completeness in the appendix.

Lemma 13. *Let (M, g) be a connected geodesically complete Riemannian manifold. Let A be a smooth vector field such that*

$$\| \|A\|_g \|_\infty < +\infty. \quad (21)$$

Then the maximal solutions of

$$\frac{d}{dt} \gamma(t) = A(\gamma(t)) \quad (22)$$

are complete.

Remark 14. The thesis of the lemma is automatically satisfied for a smooth field in the case of compact manifolds (for instance as consequence of remark 8 and lemma 9, but the result is elementary and valid also in absence of metric g). Yet, assuming that A is C^∞ -bounded (see definition 21 below), the remaining hypotheses are true for manifolds of bounded geometry, as a consequence of lemma 9. Hence the thesis of lemma 13 is valid also in this case. ■

3 Feller semigroups and Chernoff approximations for diffusions on Riemannian manifolds

This section is devoted to the study of diffusions on Riemannian manifolds (M, g) of bounded geometry. We consider second-order elliptic operators $L_0 : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ of the form (23) proving that they admit an extension $L : D(L) \subset C_0(M) \rightarrow C_0(M)$ that generates a Feller semigroup $(e^{tL})_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ on $C_0(M)$. We also provide a family of operator-cores for L . This result is finally applied in section 3.3 to the construction of Chernoff approximations for the semigroup $(e^{tL})_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ in terms of a family of shift operators.

3.1 Relevant operators and subspaces of $C_0(M)$

Let (M, g) be a d -dimensional C^∞ connected Riemannian manifold which we also assume to be geodesically complete. Let $\{A_k\}_{k=0,1,\dots,r}$ be a family of C^∞ vector fields on M . We start by considering the second order differential operator $L_0 : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$

$$(L_0 f)(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r A_k(A_k f)(x) + (A_0 f)(x), \quad x \in M, \quad f \in C^\infty(M) \quad (23)$$

In every local coordinate neighbourhood U containing x , if $\sigma_k^i(x)$ are the components of the vector A_k , the operator L_0 can be represented by the differential operator

$$(L_0 f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} f(x) + \sum_i b^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f(x), \quad x \in U, \quad (24)$$

with $b^i(x) = \sigma_0^i(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \sigma_k^j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \sigma_k^i(x)$ and $a^{ij}(x) = \sum_k \sigma_k^i(x) \sigma_k^j(x)$ are the entries of a positive semidefinite matrix.

$(L_0 + c) : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C(M)$ with L_0 taking the form (24) in every coordinate patch, and $c \in C(M)$ used as a multiplicative operator, is said to be **elliptic at** $x \in M$ if the matrix of coefficients $a^{ij}(x)$ is positive semidefinite and *non-singular* in every local coordinate system of M around x . If this condition holds for every $x \in M$, then $L_0 + c$ is said to be **elliptic**. It is easy to see that $L_0 + c$ is elliptic if the matrices of coefficients a^{ij} are positive semidefinite and non-singular in every chart of an atlas of M .

Remark 15. If A_k , $k = 0, \dots, r$, are smooth vector fields on the smooth manifold M , then the 2nd order operator $L_0 + c := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r A_i A_i + A_0 + c$ is elliptic at $p \in M$ if and only if the vector fields A_k , with $k = 1, \dots, r$, define a set of generators of $T_p M$. (In particular, ellipticity requires $r \geq d := \dim M$ necessarily). In order to prove this fact, it is sufficient to notice that $a^{ij}(p) = \sum_k \sigma_k^i(p) \sigma_k^j(p)$ is automatically positive semidefinite, hence ellipticity at p is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \langle \sigma_k(p), \omega \rangle \sigma_k(p) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \omega = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \omega \in T_p^* M, \quad (25)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard pairing on $T_p M \times T_p^* M$ and (25) holds iff $\{A_j(p)\}_{j=1,\dots,d}$ generates $T_p M$. ■

$L_0 + c$ is said **uniformly elliptic** (with respect to the metric g) if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq C \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \quad \text{for every} \quad \xi_k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k = 1, \dots, d, \quad \text{and every coordinate patch over } M.$$

It is easy to see that if the condition above is true for the local charts of an atlas of M and a given $C > 0$, then it is true for all local charts of M for the same C .

Remark 16. It is elementary to prove that, if $L_0 + c$ is elliptic and M is compact, then $L_0 + c$ is uniformly elliptic. ■

In general, the space $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense in $C_0(M)$.

Proposition 17. *If M is a smooth manifold, then $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense in $C_0(M)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.*

Proof. See the appendix. □

3.2 Generators of Feller semigroups on Riemannian manifolds

This section is devoted to the construction of generators of Feller semigroup on $C_0(M)$ as well as to the description of their cores. In the following we shall always assume that (M, g) is a smooth manifold of bounded geometry. We start by giving the definition of some relevant subspaces of smooth functions.

Definition 18. Let (M, g) be a manifold of bounded geometry. A function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said **C^k -bounded** if $f \in C^k(M)$ and if for every $r_0 \in (0, I_{(M,g)})$ and every multiindex α , with $|\alpha| \leq k$ there is a constant $C_\alpha < \infty$ such that $|\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \leq C_\alpha < +\infty$ in every local Riemannian chart $(B_{r_0}^{(M,g)}, \exp_{p,N}^{-1})$ centered at every $p \in M$.

A function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said **C^∞ -bounded** if f is C^k -bounded for any $k \geq 0$.

The space of C^k -bounded functions on M is denoted with the symbol $C_b^k(M)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$. ■

Remark 19. It is easy to prove [57] that $f \in C^k(M)$ is C^k -bounded iff there exists a constant $C < +\infty$ such that the covariant derivative $\|\nabla^k f\|_\infty < C$.

Let us consider the operator L_0 (23) and define L_1 as its restriction to one of the linear subspaces $D_k \subset C_0(M)$

$$D_k := \{f \in C_0(M) \cap C^\infty(M) \cap C_b^k(M) \mid L_0 f \in C_0(M)\} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty. \quad (26)$$

Each D_k is non-trivial and dense in $C_0(M)$ since $C_c^\infty(M) \subset D_k$ and by proposition 17. Actually, for every given k , L_1 satisfies hypotheses (a) and (b) of theorem 4, the latter can be trivially proved by direct inspection. If we are able to prove that also hypothesis (c) of theorem 4 is fulfilled (there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\text{Ran}(L_1 - \lambda I)$ is dense in $C_0(M)$), then theorem 4 proves that $L := \overline{L_1}$ is the generator of a Feller semigroup $(V(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0(M)$.

Remark 20. In the case $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ and the coefficients a^{ij}, b^j of the differential operator (9) are bounded and globally Lipschitz (their smoothness is guaranteed by the assumptions that the vector fields A_k are smooth), probabilistic arguments [49] provide the existence of a Feller semigroup. The associated diffusion process is constructed in terms of the martingale solution of the stochastic PDE (11). In this case the representation formula (8) allows to prove that the generator restricted on the space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is actually given by the second order operator (9).

Analogous results can be obtained in the case where the manifold M is compact, extensively studied, e.g., in [28]. If $A_j, j = 0, \dots, r$ are smooth vector fields, it is possible to construct a diffusion process $X = (X(t))$ solution of the stochastic PDE

$$dX(t) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_j(X(t)) \circ dB^j(t) + A_0(X(t))dt$$

where \circ denotes the Stratonovich stochastic integral. The action of the Feller semigroup $V(t) : C(M) \rightarrow C(M)$ given by $V(t)f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[f(X(t))]$ and the generator extends the operator (23) (see [28, 27] for details).

However, we stress that this technique does not directly provide a core for the generator.

This section presents some sufficient conditions for the validity of the hypothesis (c) in Riemannian manifolds different from \mathbb{R}^d .

Definition 21. [57] Let (M, g) a manifold of bounded geometry. A differential operator of order n , $P : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$, in local coordinates,

$$(Pf)(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} P_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha f$$

is said to be **C^∞ -bounded** if, for every $r_0 \in (0, I_{(M,g)})$ and every pair of multiindices α, β there is a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta} \geq 0$ such that $|\partial_x^\beta P_\alpha(x)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}$ in every local Riemannian chart $(B_{r_0}^{(M,g)}, \exp_{p,N}^{-1})$ centered at every $p \in M$.

Remark 22.

(1) It is possible to prove [57] that a C^∞ -bounded vector field A on M fulfills the following conditions

$$\|\|\nabla^{(g)k} A\|_g\|_\infty \leq a_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

for some constants $a_k < +\infty, k = 0, 1, \dots$

(2) It is possible to prove [57] that if a vector field A on M is **C^∞ -bounded**, then every differential operator given by the p -th power A^p is C^∞ -bounded. Obviously, linear combinations of C^∞ -bounded operators are C^∞ -bounded operators. Therefore the operator L_0 (23) is C^∞ -bounded if (M, g) is of bounded geometry and the smooth vector fields A_j are C^∞ -bounded for $j = 0, \dots, r$.

(3) Every C^∞ vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold is automatically C^∞ -bounded. Analogously, the operator L_0 (23) is C^∞ -bounded in the case the smooth Riemannian manifold M is *compact* and the fields $\{A_j\}_{j=0, \dots, r}$ are smooth. ■

From now on $\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A$ denotes the scalar field called **covariant divergence** of A completely defined in local coordinates around $p \in M$ as

$$\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A := \sum_{j=1}^d (\nabla_j^{(g)} A)^j = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\partial_j A^j|_p + A^j \partial_j \log \sqrt{|g|} \right).$$

Let us move on to state and prove the pivotal technical result of this section which we will use to prove that its closure $L = \overline{L_1}$ generates a Feller semigroup. Everything relies upon the following technical result proved in the appendix and based on fundamental achievements by Shubin (Theorem 2.2 in [57]), some of them already established in [35] where analytic semigroups in L^p -spaces are in particular studied in manifolds of bounded geometry.

Proposition 23. *Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry and consider a uniformly elliptic 2nd order differential operator $L_0 : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ be of the form (23), where the $r \geq d$ real smooth vector fields A_i are C^∞ -bounded and A_0 is defined as*

$$A_0 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A_i) A_i. \quad (27)$$

Then,

- (i) $L := \overline{L_1}$ – with $L_1 := L_0|_{D_k}$ and D_k defined in (26) – is the generator of a Feller semigroup in $C_0(M)$ for every fixed $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$.
- (ii) Both the generator L and the generated semigroup are independent of k .

Proof. (i) What we have to prove is nothing but that the three hypotheses of theorem 4 are satisfied for $L_1 : D_k \rightarrow C_0(M)$. Condition (a) has been established in proposition 17. Condition (b) immediately arises from the form of L_0 and the ellipticity property it satisfies. Regarding (c), the pivotal result appears in the following lemma proved in the appendix.

Lemma 24. *With (M, g) and A_j ($j = 0, \dots, r$) and L_0 as in the hypothesis – in particular A_0 as in (27) – for every $h \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and $\lambda > 0$ there exists $f \in C_0(M) \cap C_b^\infty(M)$ fulfilling*

$$L_0 f - \lambda f = h. \quad (28)$$

Proof. See the appendix. □

Now observe that, due to lemma 24, if $\lambda > 0$ and $h \in C_c^\infty(M)$, there is $f \in C_0(M) \cap C_b^\infty(M)$ (hence $f \in D_k$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$) such that $L_0 f = \lambda f + h$. This fact can be rephrased to $(L_1 - \lambda I)f = h$. Since $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense in $C_0(M)$ due to proposition 17, we have proved that $\text{Ran}(L_1 - \lambda I)$ is dense in $C_0(M)$ for $\lambda > 0$, demonstrating that also the hypothesis (c) in theorem 4 is satisfied. Let us finally prove (ii). This is consequence of the following general lemma.

Lemma 25. *Let $M : D(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $N : D(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be two closed densely defined operators in the Banach space \mathcal{B} which are generators of corresponding strongly continuous semigroups. If $M \subset N$, then $M = N$.*

Proof. See the appendix. □

The proof ends observing that $L_0|_{D_{k+1}} \subset L_0|_{D_k}$ so that $\overline{L_0|_{D_{k+1}}} \subset \overline{L_0|_{D_k}}$ and both operators are generators of strongly-continuous semigroups on $C_0(M)$. The case D_∞ is encompassed since, e.g., $D_\infty \subset D_1$. □

We can finally prove the main result of this section, by relaxing the requirement on the form of A_0 .

Theorem 26. *Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry and consider a uniformly elliptic 2nd order differential operator $L_0 : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ of the form (23), where A_0 and the $r \geq d$ vector fields A_i are real, smooth and C^∞ -bounded. Then,*

- (i) $L := \overline{L_1}$ – with $L_1 := L_0|_{D_k}$ and D_k defined in (26) – is the generator of a Feller semigroup in $C_0(M)$ for every fixed $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$.
- (ii) Both the generator L and the generated semigroups are independent of k .

Proof. (ii) has the same proof as that of (ii) in proposition 23. The proof of (i) is based on the following technical result.

Lemma 27. *With (M, g) and A_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) and L_0 as in the hypothesis assume that*

$$A_0 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A_i) A_i + B, \quad (29)$$

for a real C^∞ -bounded vector field B . If there exists $c > 0$ independent of the used local chart around $x \in M$ such that

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^d B^a(x) B^b(x) \xi_a \xi_b \leq c \sum_{a,b=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^r A_i^a(x) A_i^b(x) \xi_a \xi_b \quad \text{for every } \xi_k \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and every } x \in M \quad (30)$$

then $L := \overline{L_1} -$ with $L_1 := L_0|_{D_k}$ and D_k defined in (26) is the generator of a Feller semigroup in $C_0(M)$.

Proof. See the appendix □

In view of lemma 27, to prove (i), it is sufficient to prove that (30) is always satisfied however we choose the real smooth C^∞ -bounded vector field B . If we think of the numbers ξ_k as the components of a form $\xi \in T_x^* M$, dividing both sides for $\|\xi\|_g^2 \neq 0$, the inequality can be rephrased to, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard pairing on $T_x M \times T_x^* M$,

$$\frac{|\langle B(x), \xi(x) \rangle|^2}{\|\xi\|_g^2} \leq c \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r |\langle A_i(x), \xi(x) \rangle|^2}{\|\xi\|_g^2}.$$

The left-hand side above satisfies

$$\frac{|\langle B(x), \xi(x) \rangle|^2}{\|\xi\|_g^2} \leq \frac{\|B(x)\|_g^2 \|\xi\|_g^2}{\|\xi\|_g^2} \leq \| \|B\|_g \|_\infty^2 < +\infty$$

whereas the right-hand side fulfils

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^r |\langle A_i(x), \xi(x) \rangle|^2}{\|\xi\|_g^2} \geq C \frac{\|\xi\|_g^2}{\|\xi\|_g^2} = C > 0$$

just in view of the uniformly ellipticity condition. Choosing $c := \| \|B\|_g \|_\infty^2 / C$, which is necessarily finite, (30) is satisfied. □

To conclude, we prove that we can modify L_0 by adding a zero-order term in a certain class of continuous functions preserving the results above.

Theorem 28. *Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry and consider a uniformly elliptic 2nd order differential operator $L_{0c} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C(M)$ of the form*

$$L_{0c} := L_0 + c, \quad (31)$$

where L_0 is the operator defined in theorem 26 and $c \in C_b^0(M)$ being bounded and continuous, defines a multiplicative operator $c \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(M))$. Then,

- (i) *Assuming additionally that $c(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in M$ we obtain that $L := \overline{L_{1c}} -$ with $L_{1c} := L_{0c}|_{D_k}$ and D_k defined in (26) – is the generator of a Feller semigroup in $C_0(M)$ for every fixed $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$.*
- (ii) *Under condition $c(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in M$ both the generator L and the generated semigroups are independent of k .*
- (iii) *If condition $c(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in M$ does not hold, then L as in (i) is still the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup in $C_0(M)$ for every fixed $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$, and (ii) is still valid.*

Proof. (i) Let us start the proof by establishing that the multiplicative operator $-c$ is accretive ([43] Definition on p. 240). In fact, if $f \in C_0(M)$, let $p \in M$ be such that $|f(p)| = \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|$. Let us construct a normalized functional $\lambda \in C_0(M)'$ tangent to $f \in C_0(M)$ as

$$\lambda(h) := \overline{f(p)} h(p), \quad h \in C_0(M),$$

It holds trivially $\|\lambda\| = \|f\|$ and $\lambda(f) = \|f\|^2$ so that λ is normalized and tangent to f , and also $\lambda((-c)f) \geq 0$ (notice that $c \leq 0$), so that $-c$ is accretive. At this juncture we can apply the lemma on p. 244 of [43] with $0 \leq a < 1/2$, $b := \sup_M |c|$, $A := -\overline{L_0}|_{D_k}$, and² $B := -c \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(M))$. Since $\overline{L_0}|_{D_k}$ generates a Feller

²Notice that in [43] semigroups are represented as e^{-tA} whereas for us they are represented as e^{tL} this explains the sign minus in front of the operators.

semigroup which is a contraction semigroup by definition, we conclude from the above lemma that $\overline{L_0|_{D_k}} + c$ is the generator of a contraction semigroup. Since $c \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(M))$, we also have $\overline{L_0|_{D_k}} + c = (\overline{L_0 + c})|_{D_k} = \overline{L_0 c}|_{D_k}$. According to definition 3 of Feller semigroup, the proof of (i) ends by proving that the generated semigroup of contractions is made of positive operators. This fact immediately arises from the Trotter product formula

$$e^{-t\overline{A+B}} f = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(e^{-tA/n} e^{-tB/n} \right)^n f,$$

i.e., Theorem X.51 in [43], with $A = -\overline{L_0 c}|_{D_k}$ and $B = -c$, which is valid because $A + B$ generates a contraction semigroup as established above. Now observe that $e^{-tA/n}$ is positive, since it is an element of a Feller semigroup, and $e^{-tB/n}$ is positive as well just because, by direct inspection, it is nothing but the multiplicative operator with a positive function $e^{tc(x)}$. Since the limit in the Trotter formula here is computed with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, we find $e^{-t\overline{A+B}} f \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$, so that the semigroup generated by L is made of positive elements and the proof of (i) ends.

The proof of (ii) is identical to that of (ii) in theorem 26.

To prove (iii) it is sufficient to write $c(x) = \tilde{c}(x) + \sup_x c(x)$ with $\tilde{c} = c - \sup_x c(x)$ and apply items (i) and (ii) to $L_0 + \tilde{c}$, noting that the added constant $\sup_x c(x)$ does not affect domains and closures. The resulting semigroup $V_c(t)$ has the form $V_c(t) = e^{t\sup_x c(x)} V_{\tilde{c}}(t)$, where $V_{\tilde{c}}(t)$ is the Feller semigroup generated by $\overline{L_0 \tilde{c}}|_{D_k}$. \square

3.3 Chernoff functions for the Feller semigroup

In this section we discuss how the Feller semigroup $V(t)$ generated by L can be obtained by a suitable Chernoff function S again constructed out of the vector fields A_j .

In the following we shall assume that the smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) is of bounded geometry. In particular this implies that (M, g) is geodesically complete (see definition 10 and lemma 9).

Theorem 29. *Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry and consider a uniformly elliptic 2nd order differential operator $L_0 : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ of the form (23), where A_0 and the $r \geq d$ vector fields A_i are real, smooth and C^∞ -bounded. Let $c \in C_b^0(M)$ and let $L_{0c} := L_0 + c$ and $L := \overline{L_{1c}} -$ with $L_{1c} := \overline{L_0 c}|_{D_k}$ and D_k defined in (26) for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$.*

For any $x \in M$, $t \geq 0$ and $f \in C_0(M)$ let us define

$$(S(t)f)(x) = \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{j=1}^r \left(f \left(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\sqrt{2rt}) \right) + f \left(\gamma_{x, -A_j}(\sqrt{2rt}) \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} f(\gamma_{x, A_0}(2t)) + tc(x)f(x). \quad (32)$$

where $\gamma_{x, A_j} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow M$ is the integral curve of the vector field A_j starting at time $t = 0$ at the point $x \in M$, namely the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \gamma_{x, A_j}(t) = A_j(\gamma_{x, A_j}(t)), \\ \gamma_{x, A_j}(0) = x. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Then the following holds.

1. For all $t \geq 0$ $S(t)(C_0(M)) \subset C_0(M)$.
2. If $(V(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is the strongly continuous semigroup on $C_0(M)$ generated by L (according to theorems 26 and 28) then for any $f \in C_0(M)$ and $T > 0$ the following holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|S(t/n)^n f - V(t)f\| = 0. \quad (34)$$

Proof. We remark that the right hand side of (32) is well defined for all $t \geq 0$ since by lemma (13) the maximal solution of the Cauchy problem (33) is defined for all $t \geq 0$, the manifold (M, g) being geodesically complete by the assumption of bounded geometry. Let us first assume $c = 0$.

1. The continuity of the functions $x \mapsto f(\gamma_{x, A_0}(2t))$ and $x \mapsto f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\sqrt{2rt}))$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, follows from the continuity of the maps $x \mapsto \gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau)$ for all $j = 0, \dots, r$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Moreover, if $f \in C_0(M)$, then for any $x \in M$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k = 0, \dots, r$, the map $x \mapsto f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau))$ belongs to $C_0(M)$ proving 1 in th thesis. Indeed, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K_ε such that $|f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for $y \in K_\varepsilon^c$. Set $\sup_{x \in M} \|A_j(x)\| := c_j < \infty$ and consider the set $K_{\varepsilon, \tau}$ defined as the closure of the set of points $y \in M$ whose distance from K_ε is less then $c_j \tau$:

$$K_{\varepsilon, \tau} := \overline{\{y \in M \mid d(y, K_\varepsilon) \leq c_j \tau\}}, \quad (35)$$

where $d(y, K_\varepsilon) := \inf_{x \in K_\varepsilon} d(y, x)$. Since K_ε is compact, it is bounded, namely it is contained in some closed geodesical ball of finite radius R centered on some $x_0 \in M$. Therefore, the closed set $K_{\varepsilon, \tau}$ is bounded as well since it is enclosed in a closed ball of radius $R + c_j \tau$ centered on x_0 and it is therefore compact by the Hopf-Rinow theorem because (M, g) is complete. If $x \in K_{\varepsilon, \tau}^c$ then $\gamma_x(\tau) \in K_\varepsilon^c$, hence $|f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau))| < \varepsilon$. Indeed if this was not true, i.e. if $\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau) \in K_\varepsilon$, then:

$$d(x, K_\varepsilon) \leq d(x, \gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau)) \leq \int_0^\tau \|\dot{\gamma}_{x, A_j}(s)\| ds = \int_0^\tau \|A_j(\gamma_{x, A_j}(s))\| ds < c_j \tau.$$

2. (a) First of all we prove that if $f \in C_0(M)$ then $\sup_{x \in M} |(S(t)f)(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|$.
Indeed, for all $x \in M$ we use the fact that function f is bounded and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(S(t)f)(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{k=1}^r \left(\left| f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\sqrt{2rt})) \right| + \left| f(\gamma_{x, -A_j}(\sqrt{2rt})) \right| \right) + \frac{1}{2} |f(\gamma_{x, A_0}(2t))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{k=1}^r \left(2 \sup_{z \in M} |f(z)| \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{z \in M} |f(z)| = \sup_{z \in M} |f(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) The mapping $\mathbb{R}^+ \ni t \mapsto S(t)f \in C_0(M)$ is continuous.

It is sufficient to show that for any $k = 0, \dots, r$ the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \ni \tau \mapsto S_j(\tau)f \in C_0(M)$ given by $S_j(\tau)f(x) := f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau))$ is continuous in the sup-norm.

Let $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f \in C_0(M)$, there exists a compact set K_ε such that $|f(y)| < \varepsilon/2$ for $y \in K_\varepsilon^c$. If $c_j := \sup_{x \in M} \|A_j(x)\|$ and considering the compact set $K_{\varepsilon, \tau}$ defined in (35) with $\tau = \tau_0 + 1$, we have that if $t \in [0, \tau_0 + 1]$ then $\gamma_{x, A_j}(t) \in K_\varepsilon^c$ for any $x \in K_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}^c$, hence

$$|f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau)) - f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau_0))| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in K_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}^c.$$

If $x \in K_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}$, then for $t \in [0, \tau_0 + 1]$ we have $\gamma_{x, A_j}(t) \in K'_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}$, where $K'_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}$ is the compact set defined as

$$K'_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1} = \overline{\{y \in M \mid d(y, K_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}) \leq c_j(\tau_0 + 1)\}}.$$

Since f is continuous on M , it is uniformly continuous on the compact set $K'_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for $x, y \in K'_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$. If $x \in K_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}$ and $|\tau - \tau_0| < \min\{1, \delta/c_j\}$, then $\gamma_x(\tau), \gamma_x(\tau_0) \in K'_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}$ and $|\gamma_x(\tau) - \gamma_x(\tau_0)| < \delta$, hence:

$$|f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau)) - f(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\tau_0))| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in K_{\varepsilon, \tau_0+1}.$$

- (c) If φ belongs to the core D_k of L with $k \geq 3$ we have

$$S(t)\varphi = \varphi + tL_1\varphi + o(t) \quad \text{as } \mathbb{R}^+ \ni t \rightarrow 0 \text{ in the uniform norm}$$

– where D_k is defined in (26) and $L_1 := L_0|_{D_k}$ with L_0 defined in (23).

For fixed $x \in M$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ let us consider the map $t \mapsto \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_j}(t))$ which is smooth by the stated assumptions on $\varphi \in D_k$ and A_j . By Taylor expansion we have for $t \downarrow 0$:

$$\varphi(\gamma_{x, A_j}(t)) = \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_j}(0)) + t \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_j}(t)) + \frac{t^2}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_j}(t)) + R_j(x, t) \quad (36)$$

$$= \varphi(x) + t(A_j\varphi)(x) + \frac{t^2}{2}(A_j A_j \varphi)(x) + R_j(x, t), \quad (37)$$

$$(38)$$

where

$$R_j(x, t) = \frac{t^3}{3!} (A_j A_j A_j \varphi)(u),$$

with $u = \gamma_{x, A_j}(\xi)$, $\xi \in [0, t]$. Analogously for $j = 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_0}(t)) &= \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_0}(0)) + t \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_0}(t)) + R_0(x, t) \\ &= \varphi(x) + t(A_0\varphi)(x) + R_0(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

with $R_0(x, t) = \frac{t^2}{2!} (A_0 A_0 \varphi)(u)$, with $u = \gamma_{x, A_0}(\xi)$, $\xi \in [0, t]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)\varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{j=1}^r \left(\varphi \left(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\sqrt{2rt}) \right) + \varphi \left(\gamma_{x, -A_j}(\sqrt{2rt}) \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi(\gamma_{x, A_0}(2t)) \\ &= \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{j=1}^r 2rt (A_j A_j \varphi)(x) + t (A_0 \varphi)(x) + t^{3/2} \tilde{R}(t, x) \\ &= \varphi(x) + t L_1 \varphi(x) + t^{3/2} \tilde{R}(t, x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{R}(t, x) = \sqrt{t} (A_0 A_0 \varphi)(u_0) + \frac{\sqrt{2r}}{12} \sum_{j=1}^r \left((A_j A_j A_j \varphi)(u_j) + (A_j A_j A_j \varphi)(u'_j) \right),$$

for suitable $u_0, u_j, u'_j \in M$, $j = 1, \dots, r$. The proof concludes by proving that $\sup_{t \in [0, 1], x \in M} |\tilde{R}(t, x)| < \infty$. This fact arises from the bounds

$$\|(A_0 A_0 \varphi)\|_\infty, \quad \|(A_j A_j A_j \varphi)\|_\infty, \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

due to the very definition (26) of D_k as well as on the assumption that the vector fields $\{A_j\}_{j=0, \dots, r}$ are C^∞ -bounded and $\varphi \in D_k$ with $k \geq 3$.

This concludes the proof of (2) since the conditions (1)-(4) in theorem 6 assuring the validity of (2) are valid in view of the results above ((3) is trivially true).

The case $c \neq 0$ has now an easy proof. Let S_0 denote the Chernoff function of L with $c = 0$ and let S denote the analog for the case $c \neq 0$. If $f \in C_0(M)$ then $S(t)f = S_0(t)f + tcf \in C_0(M)$ because $S_0(t)f \in C_0(M)$, $f \in C_0(M)$ and c is continuous and bounded. Hence (1) is true. Regarding (2), the estimate $\|S(t)f\| = \|S_0(t)f + tcf\| \leq \|S_0(t)\| \|f\| + t \|c\| \|f\| = (1 + t \sup_{x \in M} |c(x)|) \|f\| \leq e^{t \|c\|} \|f\|$ proves that condition (1) in theorem 6 is valid. Requirement (2) is valid because $S = S(t)$ is the sum of two continuous $\mathcal{L}(C_0(M))$ -valued functions of t . (3) is trivially true. Condition (4) is satisfied because if $\varphi \in D_k$ with $k \geq 3$, exploiting condition (c) in (2) above, and where L_1 is referred to the case $c = 0$,

$$S(t)\varphi = S_0(t)\varphi + t c \varphi = \varphi + t L_1 \varphi + o(t) + t c \varphi = \varphi + t(L_1 + c)\varphi + o(t) = \varphi + t L_{1c} \varphi + o(t).$$

Hence theorem 6 implies that (2) is valid. \square

Theorem 30. *Under assumptions of theorem 29, the following facts hold.*

- (1) *For the operator L defined in theorem 28 and $S(t)$ defined in (32), we have that the classical solution³ u of the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = Lu(t, x) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$$

is given for $u_0 \in D(L)$ by

$$u(t, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S(t/n)^n u_0)(x). \quad (39)$$

- (2) *In the case $A_0 = 0$ and $c = 0$, then an alternative equivalent form for the operator $S(t) : C_0(M) \rightarrow C_0(M)$, $t \geq 0$, is:*

$$(S(t)f)(x) = \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{j=1}^r \left(f \left(\gamma_{x, A_j}(\sqrt{2rt}) \right) + f \left(\gamma_{x, -A_j}(\sqrt{2rt}) \right) \right), \quad f \in C_0(M) \quad (40)$$

Proof. Result (1) immediately arises from (34), which is valid for all $f \in C_0(M)$, for all $x \in M$, and all $t \geq 0$. (2) It can be proved with a proof strictly analogous to that of the corresponding statement in the theorem 29. \square

4 A probabilistic interpretation of Chernoff construction

The convergence result stated by Chernoff construction can be equivalently formulated (see [20] Th 5.2 Ch. III) in the following way for all $t \geq 0$ and $f \in C_0(M)$:

$$V(t)f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S(1/n)^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} f).$$

Assuming that the function $c = 0$, the latter formula admits a probabilistic interpretation in terms of the limit of expectations with respect to a sequence of random walks on the manifold M . Actually, in the following sections we shall set $c = 0$ and provide three different constructions.

³In sense of Proposition 2.

4.1 A jump process on M

Let $\{X_n(t)\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of jump processes on M defined as

$$\begin{cases} X_n(0) \equiv x, \\ X_n(t) := X_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor / n) = Y_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor) \quad t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

the jump chain $\{Y_n(m)\}_{m \geq 1}$ is a Markov chain with transition probabilities given (for each Borel set $B \subset M$) by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y_n(m) \in B | Y_n(m-1) = y) = \\ = \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{j=1}^r \left(\delta_{\gamma_{y, A_j}(\sqrt{2r/n})}(B) + \delta_{\gamma_{y, -A_j}(\sqrt{2r/n})}(B) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\gamma_{y, A_0}(2/n)}(B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(M). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Actually $(X_n(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a random walk on M with steps given by integral curves of the vector fields A_k , $k = 0, \dots, r$. Now equation (39) can be written in the following form:

$$u(t, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S(1/n)^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} u_0)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[u_0(X_n(t))] \quad (43)$$

Actually, the sequence of jump processes $\{X_n\}$ converges weakly to the diffusion process $(X(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ on M associated to the Feller semigroup $V(t)$, as we are going to show.

Let $D_M[0, +\infty)$ denote the space of càdlàg M -valued functions over the interval $[0, +\infty)$, i.e the functions which are right-continuous and admit left hand limits. It is possible to define a distance function (i.e. metric) on $D_M[0, +\infty)$ under which it becomes a separable metric space. The topology induced by the metric is called *Skorohod topology* [5, 21]. In the following, with the symbol \mathcal{S}_M we shall denote the corresponding Borel σ -algebra on $D_M[0, +\infty)$. In fact \mathcal{S}_M coincides with the σ -algebra generated by the projection maps $\pi_t : D_M[0, +\infty) \rightarrow M$

$$\mathcal{S}_M = \sigma(\pi_t, t \geq 0) \quad (44)$$

where

$$\pi_t(\gamma) := \gamma(t), \quad \gamma \in D_M[0, +\infty). \quad (45)$$

As a consequence, a stochastic process $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, (X(t)), \mathbb{P})$ with trajectories in $D_M[0, +\infty)$ can be looked at as a $D_M[0, +\infty)$ -valued random variable, i.e. as a map $X : \Omega \rightarrow D_M[0, +\infty)$ defined as:

$$X(\omega) := \gamma_\omega, \quad \gamma_\omega(t) := X(t)(\omega), \quad t \in [0, +\infty), \omega \in \Omega.$$

The measurability of the map X from (Ω, \mathcal{F}) to $(D_M[0, +\infty), \mathcal{S}_M)$ follows from (44). We shall denote with μ_X the probability measure on \mathcal{S}_M obtained as the pushforward of \mathbb{P} under X , defined for any Borel set $I \in \mathcal{S}_M$ as $\mu_X(I) = \mathbb{P}(X(\omega) \in I)$.

Considered the sequence of jump processes (X_n) defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ by (41), let μ_{X_n} be the corresponding distribution on $(D_M[0, +\infty), \mathcal{S}_M)$. Further, let μ_X be the analogous distribution corresponding to the Feller process X .

Theorem 31. *Under the assumptions of theorem 29, the sequence of processes X_n converges weakly in $D_M[0, +\infty)$ and its weak limit is the Feller process X .*

Proof. The proof is a direct application of (43) and of theorem 2.6 Ch 4 of [21], see also theorem 19.25 in [32]. \square

4.2 A piecewise geodesic random walk

For any $T > 0$, let us consider the space $C_M[0, T]$ of continuous functions $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence. The corresponding Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_M is generated by the coordinate projections π_t , $t \in [0, T]$ defined as above (see Eq. (45)) [3].

The stochastic process X associated to the Feller semigroup $V(t)$ is a diffusion process, hence it has continuous trajectories.

Let us consider the sequence of processes $(Z_n)_n$ with sample paths in $C_M[0, T]$, obtained by continuous interpolation of the paths of $(X_n)_n$ by means of geodesic arcs. More precisely, the process $(Z_n(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is defined as

$$\begin{cases} Z_n(0) \equiv x, \\ Z_n(m/n) \equiv X_n(m/n), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ Z_n(t) = \gamma_{X_n(m/n), X_n((m+1)/n)}(t - m/n), \quad t \in [m/n, (m+1)/n] \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

, where $\gamma_{x,y}(t)$ denotes an arbitrary shortest geodesics in M such that $\gamma_{x,y}(0) = x$ and $\gamma_{x,y}(1/n) = y$.

Let us denote with μ_n , resp. μ , the Borel measure over the space $C_M[0, T]$ induced by the process Z_n , resp. X . The following holds.

Theorem 32. *Under the assumptions above, Z_n converges to X on $C_M[0, T]$.*

In other words, theorem 32 states that the sequence of measures $\{\mu_n\}$ converges weakly to μ . Before proving theorem 32 we recall some preliminary results.

Definition 33. Let (M, d) be a separable metric space. The *modulus of continuity* of a function $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$ is defined for any $\delta > 0$ as:

$$w(\gamma, \delta) := \sup\{d(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)), s, t \in [0, T], |t - s| < \delta\}.$$

Lemma 34. *let ν_n be a sequence of probability measures on $D_M[0, T]$ converging weakly to a finite measure ν which is concentrated on $C_M[0, T]$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_n(\{\gamma \in D_M[0, T] : w(\gamma, \delta) > \varepsilon\}) = 0 \quad (47)$$

For a proof see [54].

Proof of theorem 32. Let us consider the trajectories γ_ω of the process Z_n , defined as $\gamma_\omega(t) := Z_n(t)(\omega)$. Fix $\delta > 0$ and take n sufficiently large in such a way that $1/n < \delta$. Consider $s, t \in [0, T]$, $s < t$, $|t - s| < \delta$. We will have $s \in [m/n, (m+1)/n]$ and $t \in [m'/n, (m'+1)/n]$, with $m \leq m'$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\gamma_\omega(s), \gamma_\omega(t)) \\ & \leq d(\gamma_\omega(s), \gamma_\omega((m+1)/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega((m+1)/n), \gamma_\omega(m'/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega(m'/n), \gamma_\omega(t)) \\ & \leq d(\gamma_\omega(m/n), \gamma_\omega((m+1)/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega((m+1)/n), \gamma_\omega(m'/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega(m'/n), \gamma_\omega((m'+1)/n)) \\ & \leq 3 \max\{d(\gamma_\omega(m/n), \gamma_\omega(m'/n)), |m/n - m'/n| < \delta\} \end{aligned}$$

We can then estimate the probability that the modulus of continuity of the trajectories of Z_n exceeds a given $\varepsilon > 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_n(\{\gamma \in C_M[0, T] : w(\gamma, \delta) > \varepsilon\}) \\ & \leq \mu_n\left(\{\gamma \in C_M[0, T] : \max_m\{d(\gamma(m/n), \gamma((m+1)/n))\} > \varepsilon/3\}\right) \\ & = \mu_{X_n}(\{\gamma \in D_M[0, T] : w(\gamma, \delta) > \varepsilon/3\}) \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 31 and lemma 34, we get for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_n \mu_n(\{\gamma \in C_M[0, T] : w(\gamma, \delta) > \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

Since $Z_n(0) = x$ for any n , the sequence of probability measures $\{\mu_n\}$ is tight [5] and the measure μ , i.e. the law of X is the only possible limit point.

4.3 A different interpolation scheme

Let us consider the sequence of processes $(\tilde{Z}_n)_n$ with sample paths in $C_M[0, T]$, obtained by continuous interpolation of the paths of $(X_n)_n$ by means of integral curves of the vector fields A_k , $k = 0, \dots, r$. More precisely, introduced a sequence of i.i.d. discrete random variables ξ_j with distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_j = 0) = 1/2, \quad \mathbb{P}(\xi_j = k) = \frac{1}{2r}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2r,$$

and the map $\tau : \{0, \dots, 2r\} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tau(k, t) = \begin{cases} 2t & k = 0 \\ \sqrt{2rt} & k = 1, \dots, 2r \end{cases}$$

the process $(\tilde{Z}_n(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ can be defined as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{Z}_n(0) \equiv x, \\ \tilde{Z}_n(t) = \gamma_{\tilde{Z}_n(m/n), (-1)^{\xi_m} A_{\xi_m/2}}(\tau(\xi_m, t - m/n)) & t \in [m/n, (m+1)/n], \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

where for $ax \in M$ and a smooth vector field A on M , $\gamma_{x,A}$ denotes the maximal solution of the Cauchy problem (20). In particular the following holds:

$$\tilde{Z}_n(m/n) = X_n(m/n), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

Analogously to the case of geodesic interpolation studied in the previous section, it is possible to prove the weak convergence of \tilde{Z}_n to X on $C_M[0, T]$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_n$ (resp. μ) be the Borel probability measure on $C_M[0, T]$ induced by the process \tilde{Z}_n (resp. X).

4.3.1 A technical interlude

In this subsection we introduce some results that will be applied to the proof of theorem 38.

In this section, if $t = \sum_{i=1}^d t^i e_i$ and $s = \sum_{i=1}^d s^i e_i$, where $(e_j)_{j=1, \dots, d}$ is the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\|t\| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (t^i)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle t, s \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^d t^i s^i$$

respectively denote the standard Euclidean norm and the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^d . Furthermore, $d_{\mathbb{R}^d}(p, q) := \|p - q\| \in [0, +\infty)$ denotes the usual Euclidean distance of $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let us start by considering the case where $M = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proposition 35. *Let $A: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth vector field such that, for some $M_1, M_2 \in (0, +\infty)$,*

1. $\|A(x)\| \leq M_1$ if $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
2. the components $A^i: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\|\nabla A^i(x)\| \leq M_2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ if $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Consider the unique maximal and complete (for 1) smooth solution $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}(t) = A(\gamma(t)) \\ \gamma(0) = \gamma_0 \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

for every $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and define $d_{\gamma_0}: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$d_{\gamma_0}(t) := d_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)).$$

Then there exists $T > 0$ independent of γ_0 such that the function d_{γ_0} is non-decreasing in $[0, T]$. Even more, d_{γ_0} is strictly increasing in $[0, T]$ if $A(\gamma_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. First of all let us remark that if $A(\gamma(0)) = 0$ then $d_{\gamma_0}(t) = d_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) = 0$ and the result holds trivially for any $T > 0$. Let us therefore restrict ourselves to the case $A(\gamma(0)) \neq 0$ where, by the local uniqueness of the solutions of the Cauchy problem (49), we have that $A(\gamma(t)) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. Let $f_{\gamma_0}: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the smooth map $f_{\gamma_0}(t) = d_{\gamma_0}(t)^2 = \|\gamma(t) - \gamma(0)\|^2$. To prove the thesis it is enough to demonstrate that

$$\text{if } A(\gamma_0) \neq 0, \text{ then there exists } T > 0 \text{ independent of } \gamma_0 \text{ such that } f'_{\gamma_0}(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in (0, T]. \quad (50)$$

To prove (50), we start by noticing that linearity and symmetry of the inner product in \mathbb{R}^d and the trivial identity arising from (49)

$$\gamma(t) - \gamma(u) = \int_u^t A(\gamma(s)) ds \quad (51)$$

yield

$$f_{\gamma_0}(t) = \left\langle \int_0^t A(\gamma(s)) ds, \int_0^t A(\gamma(u)) du \right\rangle = 2 \int_0^t \int_0^s \langle A(\gamma(s)), A(\gamma(u)) \rangle duds.$$

The derivative $f'_{\gamma_0}(t)$ appearing in (50) therefore admits the explicit form

$$f'_{\gamma_0}(t) = 2 \int_0^t \langle A(\gamma(t)), A(\gamma(u)) \rangle du. \quad (52)$$

The components $A^i(\gamma(u))$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$) of the vector field $A(\gamma(u))$ can be expanded as

$$A^i(\gamma(u)) = A^i(\gamma(t)) + \langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{i,u,t}), \gamma(u) - \gamma(t) \rangle \quad (53)$$

where, according to the Lagrange for of the remainder of the \mathbb{R}^d Taylor expansion,

$$\xi_{i,u,t} = \gamma(t) + \theta_i(\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)) \quad \text{with } \theta_i \in [0, 1]. \quad (54)$$

Plugging (53) in the right-hand side of (52), a trivial computation leads to

$$f'_{\gamma_0}(t) = 2 \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^d \left(|A^i(\gamma(t))|^2 + A^i(\gamma(t)) \langle \nabla A_i(\xi_{i,u,t}), \gamma(u) - \gamma(t) \rangle \right) du. \quad (55)$$

The proof the theorem ends proving that there exists $T > 0$ such that, if $0 \leq t \leq T$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |A^i(\gamma(t)) \langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{u,t}), \gamma(u) - \gamma(t) \rangle| \stackrel{\text{wish to prove}}{<} \sum_{i=1}^d |A^i(\gamma(t))|^2 = \|A(\gamma(t))\|^2. \quad (56)$$

Indeed, (56) entails that the integrand in (55) – that is the one in (52) – is strictly positive so that (50) is valid because the integrand of (52) is also u -continuous. To prove (56), let us focus on its left-hand side. It is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d |A^i(\gamma(t)) \langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{u,t}), \gamma(u) - \gamma(t) \rangle| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d |A_i(\gamma(t))| |\langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{u,t}), \gamma(u) - \gamma(t) \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \|A(\gamma(t))\| \|\nabla A^i(\xi_{u,t})\| \|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| \leq dM_2 \|A(\gamma(t))\| \|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

The bound (57) can be further improved estimating $\|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\|$ with the following argument where we use the notation $\gamma(t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma^i(t) e_i$ and we exploit again (51) and (53)-(54).

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i(u) - \gamma_i(t) &= \int_t^u A_i(\gamma(s)) ds = \int_t^u A^i(\gamma(t)) ds + \int_t^u \langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{i,s,t}), \gamma(s) - \gamma(t) \rangle ds \\ &= A^i(\gamma(t))(u - t) + \int_t^u \langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{i,s,t}), \gamma(s) - \gamma(t) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\nabla A_i(x)\| \leq M_2$ due to condition 2, we therefore have

$$|\gamma_i(u) - \gamma_i(t)| \leq \|A(\gamma(t))\| (t - u) + \int_u^t M_2 \|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)\| ds,$$

so that

$$\|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| \leq \sqrt{d} \left(\|A(\gamma(t))\| (t - u) + \int_u^t M_2 \|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)\| ds \right). \quad (58)$$

Let us iterate this inequality for $\|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\|$ finding an improved estimate in terms of $\|A(\gamma(t))\|$ and $t - u$, hence in terms of T because $0 \leq u \leq t \leq T$. Let us start by applying inequality (58) to the term $\|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)\|$ on the integrand in the right-hand side of (58):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| &\leq \sqrt{d} \|A(\gamma(t))\| \left((t - u) + M_2 \sqrt{d} \int_u^t (t - s_1) ds_1 \right) \\ &\quad + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^2 \int_u^t \int_{s_1}^t \|\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(t)\| ds_2 ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (58) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| &\leq \sqrt{d} \|A(\gamma(t))\| \left((t - u) + M_2 \sqrt{d} \int_u^t (t - s_1) ds_1 + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^2 \int_u^t \int_{s_1}^t (t - s_2) ds_2 ds_1 \right) \\ &\quad + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^3 \int_u^t \int_{s_1}^t \int_{s_2}^t \|\gamma(s_3) - \gamma(t)\| ds_3 ds_2 ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

To state the general estimate, let us introduce the n -dimensional orthogonal simplex,

$$\Delta_n := \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in [u, t]^n : u \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_n \leq t\}$$

which is the a corner of n -dimensional cube $[u, t]^n$ with length of the corner-touching edges $t - u$. The n -dimensional Lebesgue measure of Δ_n equals $|\Delta_n| = (t - u)^n/n!$ and a direct calculation shows that $|\Delta_{n+1}| = \int_{\Delta_n} (t - s_n) ds_n \dots ds_1$. With this notation, the last inequality reads

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| &\leq \sqrt{d} \|A(\gamma(t))\| \left(|\Delta_1| + M_2 \sqrt{d} |\Delta_2| + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^2 |\Delta_3| \right) \\ &\quad + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^3 \int_{\Delta_3} \|\gamma(s_3) - \gamma(t)\| ds_3 ds_2 ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (58) to this inequality as many times as we need for each $n \geq 1$, and recalling that $M_2 \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| &\leq \sqrt{d} \|A(\gamma(t))\| \frac{1}{M_2 \sqrt{d}} \left(M_2 \sqrt{d} |\Delta_1| + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^2 |\Delta_2| + \dots + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^n |\Delta_n| \right) \\ &\quad + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^{n+1} \int_{\Delta_n} \|\gamma(s_n) - \gamma(t)\| ds_n \dots ds_1, \end{aligned}$$

which, after exploiting $|\Delta_n| = (t - u)^n/n!$, becomes

$$\|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| \leq \frac{\|A(\gamma(t))\|}{M_2} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{(M_2 \sqrt{d}(t - u))^m}{m!} + (M_2 \sqrt{d})^{n+1} \int_{\Delta_n} \|\gamma(s_n) - \gamma(t)\| ds_n \dots ds_1. \quad (59)$$

An estimate of the remainder in this formula arises from the trivial bound

$$\|\gamma(t) - \gamma(u)\| = \left\| \int_u^t A(\gamma(s)) ds \right\| \leq \int_u^t \|A(\gamma(s))\| ds \leq M_1(t - u) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq t \quad (60)$$

which specializes to $\|\gamma(s_n) - \gamma(t)\| \leq M_1(t - s_n)$ in (59), yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (M_2 \sqrt{d})^{n+1} \int_{\Delta_n} \|\gamma(s_n) - \gamma(t)\| ds_n \dots ds_1 \right| &\leq (M_2 \sqrt{d})^{n+1} \int_{\Delta_n} M_1(t - s_n) ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &= M_1 (M_2 \sqrt{d})^{n+1} |\Delta_{n+1}| = \frac{M_1 (M_2 \sqrt{d}(t - u))^{n+1}}{(n + 1)!} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (59), we finally obtain

$$\|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| \leq \frac{\|A(\gamma(t))\|}{M_2} \left(e^{M_2 \sqrt{d}(t-u)} - 1 \right). \quad (61)$$

Combining (61) with (57) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d |A^i(\gamma(t)) \langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{u,t}), \gamma(u) - \gamma(t) \rangle| &\leq d M_2 \|A(\gamma(t))\| \|\gamma(u) - \gamma(t)\| \\ &\leq d M_2 \|A(\gamma(t))\| \frac{\|A(\gamma(t))\|}{M_2} \left(e^{M_2 \sqrt{d}(t-u)} - 1 \right) = d \|A(\gamma(t))\|^2 \left(e^{M_2 \sqrt{d}(t-u)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq d \|A(\gamma(t))\|^2 \left(e^{M_2 \sqrt{d}T} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where at the last step we used the fact that that $\mathbb{R} \ni y \mapsto e^y$ is monotonically increasing and that $t - u \leq T$ because $0 \leq u \leq t \leq T$. In summary, we have established that, for all $T > 0$, if $0 \leq u \leq t \leq T$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |A^i(\gamma(t)) \langle \nabla A^i(\xi_{u,t}), \gamma(u) - \gamma(t) \rangle| \leq d \|A(\gamma(t))\|^2 \left(e^{M_2 \sqrt{d}T} - 1 \right). \quad (62)$$

This inequality is sufficient to prove (56) concluding the proof, just choosing $T > 0$ such that

$$d \|A(\gamma(t))\|^2 \left(e^{M_2 \sqrt{d}T} - 1 \right) < \|A(\gamma(t))\|^2 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (63)$$

This is always feasible because, as observed at the beginning of the proof, $A(\gamma(t)) \neq 0$ if $A(\gamma(0)) \neq 0$ as we supposed in (50). We can therefore divide both sides of (63) for $\|A(\gamma(t))\| \neq 0$, and the resulting inequality is solved as (taking the constraint $T > 0$ into account),

$$0 < T < \frac{1}{M_2 \sqrt{d}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{d} \right) \quad (64)$$

Notice that this T can be chosen independent of $\gamma_0 = \gamma(0)$. \square

This result can be extended to Riemannian manifold (M, g) of bounded geometry. Indeed, in this case the following result allows to prove a bound for the euclidean norm of the components of a vector field A and of its covariant derivative ∇A in local normal charts in terms of their Riemannian norm $\|A\|_g$ and $\|\nabla A\|_g$.

Proposition 36. *Let (M, g) be a d -dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry. If $r_0 \in (0, I_{(M, g)})$ is sufficiently small, then there exist four constants $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \in [0, +\infty)$ such that for every local normal Riemannian chart centered at every $p \in M$ $(B_{r_0}^{(M, g)}(p), \exp_{p, N}^{-1})$ with coordinates y^1, \dots, y^n and every smooth vector field A on M , the following uniform bounds hold:*

$$(a) \quad \|A(y(q))\|^2 \leq k_1 \|A(q)\|_g^2, \\ (b) \quad \|\nabla A(y(q))\|^2 \leq k_2 \|\nabla^{(g)} A(q)\|_g^2 + k_3 \|A(q)\|_g^2 + k_4 \|\nabla^{(g)} A(q)\|_g \|A(q)\|_g,$$

when $q \in B_{r_0}^{(M, g)}(p)$ (i.e. $y(q) \in B_{r_0}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$).

Above ∇ denotes the standard gradient in \mathbb{R}^d and $\|\cdot\|$ indicates the standard pointwise Euclidean norm of vectors and \mathbb{R}^d - $(1, 1)$ tensors referring to their components in Cartesian coordinates y^1, \dots, y^d :

$$\|A(y)\|^2 = \sum_{a=1}^d |A^a(y)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T(y)\|^2 := \sum_{a, b=1}^d |T_b^a(y)|^2,$$

whereas $\|\cdot\|_g$ denotes the previously defined natural point-wise norm associated to the metric g acting on vector fields and tensor fields of order $(1, 1)$ and $\nabla^{(g)}$ is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative associated to the metric.

Proof. See the appendix □

We are now in a position to state the final result which extends proposition 35 to Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry.

Proposition 37. *Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry (thus geodesically complete) and A a smooth vector field on M such that, for some $c_1, c_2 \in (0, +\infty)$,*

1. $\sup_{x \in M} \|A(x)\|_g \leq c_1,$
2. $\sup_{x \in M} \|\nabla^{(g)} A\|_g \leq c_2.$

Consider the unique maximal and complete (for 1) smooth solution $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}(t) = A(\gamma(t)) \\ \gamma(0) = \gamma_0 \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

for every $\gamma_0 \in M$ and define $d_{\gamma_0}: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$d_{\gamma_0}(t) := d_{(M, g)}(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)).$$

Then, there exists $T > 0$ independent of γ_0 such that the function d_{γ_0} is non-decreasing in $[0, T]$. Even more, d_{γ_0} is strictly increasing in $[0, T]$ if $A(\gamma_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. First of all, exactly as for the case $M = \mathbb{R}^d$, we remark that if $A(\gamma(0)) = 0$ then $d_{\gamma_0}(t) = d_{(M, g)}(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) = 0$ and the result holds trivially for any $T > 0$. Let us therefore restrict ourselves to the case $A(\gamma(0)) \neq 0$ where, by the local uniqueness of the solutions of the Cauchy problem (65), we have that $A(\gamma(t)) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. Let $f_{\gamma_0}: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the smooth map $f_{\gamma_0}(t) = d_{\gamma_0}(t)^2$. To prove the thesis it is enough to demonstrate that

$$\text{if } A(\gamma_0) \neq 0, \text{ then there exists } T > 0 \text{ independent of } \gamma_0 \text{ such that } f'_{\gamma_0}(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in (0, T]. \quad (66)$$

Statement (66) will be demonstrated by reducing to the analogous proof in \mathbb{R}^d here performed in a suitably Riemannian coordinate patch centered on $\gamma(0)$. To this end it is fundamental to prove that the solution $\gamma(t)$ cannot exit such a Riemannian coordinate domain. For a given $\gamma(0) \in M$ take $r \in (0, I_{(M, g)})$ and consider the geodesical ball $B_r^{(M, g)}(\gamma(0))$. We prove that there is $T' > 0$, independent of $\gamma(0)$, such that $\gamma(t) \in B_r^{(M, g)}(\gamma(0))$ for $t \in [0, T']$. From the definition (13) of $d_{(M, g)}$ we have that

$$d_{(M, g)}(\gamma(T'), \gamma(0)) \leq \int_0^{T'} \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| dt = \int_0^{T'} \|A(\gamma(t))\| dt \leq \int_0^{T'} c_1 dt = T' c_1.$$

We conclude that, defining $T' := r/c_1$, we have that $\gamma(t) \in B_r^{(M, g)}(\gamma(0))$ for $t \in [0, T']$ as wanted. We henceforth restrict our attention to the ball $B_r^{(M, g)}(\gamma(0))$, since the curve cannot exit it if $t \in [0, T']$, looking

for $T \in (0, T')$ satisfying (66). We can describe the curve γ in Riemannian coordinates y^1, \dots, y^d centered on $\gamma(0)$ inside the ball $B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, taking advantage of the results already proved in \mathbb{R}^d in proposition 35. Now, the crucial observation is that, due to (14) and noticing that $\gamma(0)$ coincides to the origin 0 of \mathbb{R}^d when describing it in Riemannian coordinates y^1, \dots, y^d , we have that

$$d_{\gamma(0)}(t) = \|\gamma(t) - \gamma(0)\|,$$

where the norm is the Euclidean one in \mathbb{R}^n when describing the curve γ in coordinates $\gamma(t) \equiv (y^1(t), \dots, y^d(t))$. From now on the proof of (66) is identical to that of (50), using the fact that, in the said coordinate patch, conditions 1 and 2 in proposition 35 are true for $x \in B_r(0)$ if choosing the initial $r = r_0$ sufficiently small that proposition 36 is valid (observe that this choice is independent of $\gamma(0)$). As a matter of fact, with the said r_0 , taking advantage of (a) and (b) in proposition 36, we can choose

$$M_1 \geq \sqrt{k_1}c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 \geq \sqrt{k_2c_1^2 + k_3c_2^2 + k_4c_1c_2}.$$

With the proof of proposition 35 and M_1, M_2 as above (taking $M_2 > 0$ as in the proof of proposition 35), the wanted T is every $T \in (0, T')$ which also satisfies (64). It is clear from the procedure that T can be chosen independent of $\gamma(0)$. \square

4.3.2 Weak convergence of the sequence Z_n to X

Coming back to the sequence \tilde{Z}_n of random walks defined in (48), the results of proposition 37 allow to prove that for any $T > 0$ the sequence of measures $\tilde{\mu}_n$ on $(C([0, t], M), \mathcal{B}(C([0, t], M)))$ induced by \tilde{Z}_n converges weakly to the measure μ induced by the diffusion process X .

Theorem 38. *Under the assumptions of theorem 29, the sequence of measures $\tilde{\mu}_n$ on $(C([0, t], M), \mathcal{B}(C([0, t], M)))$ induced by the random walks \tilde{Z}_n defined by (48) converges weakly to the measure μ on $(C([0, t], M), \mathcal{B}(C([0, t], M)))$ induced by the diffusion process X associated with the elliptic operator L .*

Proof. Since by assumptions (M, g) is of bounded geometry and the vector fields $\{A_k\}_{k=0, \dots, r}$ are C^∞ -bounded, they satisfy the assumptions of proposition 37. In particular there exists two constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $k = 0, \dots, r$

$$\sup_{x \in M} \|A_k(x)\|_g \leq c_1, \quad \sup_{x \in M} \|\nabla^{(g)} A_k\|_g \leq c_2,$$

and there exists a $T > 0$ such that for all $k = 0, \dots, r$ and $x \in M$ the functions $d^k : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $d^k(t) := d(x, \gamma_{x, \pm A_k}(t))$ in non-decreasing for $t \in [0, T]$, with $\gamma_{x, A}$ denoting the maximal solution of the Cauchy problem (20).

The main argument is now completely similar to the one in the proof of theorem 32. Let us consider the trajectories γ_ω of the process \tilde{Z}_n , defined as $\gamma_\omega(t) := \tilde{Z}_n(t)(\omega)$. By proposition 37 there exists $T > 0$ such that for any $x \in M$ we have $d(x, \gamma_x(t)) \leq d(x, \gamma_x(t'))$ for all $0 \leq t \leq t' \leq T$, with $\gamma_x : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow M$ is the maximal solution of the Cauchy problem (65). Fix $\delta > 0$ and take n sufficiently large in such a way that $1/n < \min(\delta, T)$ and \cdot . Consider $s, t \in [0, T]$, $s < t$, $|t - s| < \delta$. We will have $s \in [m/n, (m+1)/n]$ and $t \in [m'/n, (m'+1)/n]$, with $m \leq m'$, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\gamma_\omega(s), \gamma_\omega(t)) \\ & \leq d(\gamma_\omega(s), \gamma_\omega((m+1)/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega((m+1)/n), \gamma_\omega(m'/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega(m'/n), \gamma_\omega(t)) \\ & \leq d(\gamma_\omega(m/n), \gamma_\omega((m+1)/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega((m+1)/n), \gamma_\omega(m'/n)) + d(\gamma_\omega(m'/n), \gamma_\omega((m'+1)/n)) \\ & \leq 3 \max\{d(\gamma_\omega(m/n), \gamma_\omega(m'/n)), |m/n - m'/n| < \delta\} \end{aligned}$$

The probability that the modulus of continuity of the trajectories of \tilde{Z}_n exceeds a given $\varepsilon > 0$ can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_n(\{\gamma \in C_M[0, T]: w(\gamma, \delta) > \varepsilon\}) \\ & \leq \mu_n\left(\{\gamma \in C_M[0, T]: \max_m\{d(\gamma(m/n), \gamma((m+1)/n))\} > \varepsilon/3\}\right) \\ & = \mu_{X_n}(\{\gamma \in D_M[0, T]: w(\gamma, \delta) > \varepsilon/3\}) \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 31 and lemma 34, we get for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_n \mu_n(\{\gamma \in C_M[0, T]: w(\gamma, \delta) > \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

Since $\tilde{Z}_n(0) = x$ for any n , the sequence of probability measures $\{\mu_n\}$ is tight [5] and the measure μ , i.e. the law of X is the only possible limit point. \square

5 Heat equation and Brownian motion on parallelizable manifolds

The results of the previous sections can be also applied to the construction on the Brownian motion on M . Here we shall assume that the manifold M is **parallelizable** i.e. that there exist smooth vector fields $\{e_k\}_{k=1,\dots,d}$ such that for any $x \in M$ the vectors $\{e_k\}_{k=1,\dots,d}$ provide a linear basis of $T_x M$. Examples of such manifolds are e.g. the spheres S^1 , S^3 , S^7 and Lie groups as well as orientable 3-manifolds. Without loss of generality, we can take $\{e_k\}_{k=1,\dots,d}$ in such a way that for any $x \in M$ the vectors $\{e_k\}_{k=1,\dots,d}$ are orthonormal with respect to the metric tensor g . Further, given a local neighborhood U , the components e_k^i the vectors e_k with respect to the local basis $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ satisfy the following equality:

$$\sum_{k=1}^d e_k^i(x) e_k^j(x) = g^{ij}(x)$$

Let us consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator $L_0 := \Delta_{LB}$ on M defined in local coordinates on the smooth maps $u \in C^\infty(M)$ as:

$$\Delta_{LB} u = \sum_{i,j=1}^d g^{ij} \nabla_i^{(g)} \nabla_j^{(g)} u,$$

or, more explicitly

$$(\Delta_{LB} u)(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d g^{ij}(x) \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(x) - \sum_{k=1}^d \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}(x) \right).$$

Under suitable hypotheses, the results of previous sections can be applied to Δ_{LB} providing on the one hand the existence of an associated Feller semigroup - the *heat semigroup* - in $C_0(M)$ and, on the other hand, a Chernoff approximation in terms of translation operators of the form (32) or (67). From the probabilistic point of view, these results yield also an approximation for the Brownian motion on M , i.e. the diffusion process associated to the heat semigroup, in terms of the weak limit of sequences of different types of random walks on M .

More precisely we have the following result.

Theorem 39. *Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry. Then the closure in $C_0(M)$ of $\Delta_{LB}|_{D_k}$ where D_k is defined in (26) with $L_0 := \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{LB}$ is the generator of a (unique) Feller semigroup on $C_0(M)$. Both the generator and the semigroup are independent of $k = 0, 1, \dots$*

Proof. Since (M, g) is of bounded geometry $-\Delta_{LB}$ is C^∞ -bounded, furthermore $\Delta_{LB}|_{C^\infty}$ is symmetric and $-\Delta_{LB}|_{C^\infty} \geq 0$. Finally $-\Delta_{LB}$ is automatically uniformly elliptic since the matrix defining its principal symbol is nothing but the metric g . Hence Δ_{LB} enjoys exactly the same properties as those of the operator L_0 we used in the proof of lemma 24 and proposition 23. The proof for Δ_{LB} is therefore identical. \square

5.1 An approximation in terms of random walk with piecewise geodesic paths

Lemma 40. *Let (M, g) be a smooth parallelizable Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry.*

For each $x \in M$, $t \geq 0$, $f \in C_0(M)$ set

$$(S(t)f)(x) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(f \left(\gamma_{x, \sqrt{d}e_k}(\sqrt{t}) \right) + f \left(\gamma_{x, -\sqrt{d}e_k}(\sqrt{t}) \right) \right) \quad (67)$$

where $\gamma_{x,v}$ denotes the geodesics starting at time 0 at the point $x \in M$ with initial velocity $v \in T_x M$. Further let $L_0 : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ be the differential operator $L_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{LB}$ and let $L_1 := L_0|_D$, where D is given by (26). Then, with respect to the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|$, the following holds:

- (I) for each $t \geq 0$ and $f \in C_0(M)$ we have $S(t)f \in C_0(M)$ and $\|S(t)f\| \leq \|f\|$.
- (II) for each $f \in D_k$, with $k \geq 3$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \|S(t)f - f - tL_1 f\|/t = 0$.
- (III) if $t \rightarrow t_0$, $t_n \geq 0$ and $f \in C_0(M)$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \|S(t)f - S(t_0)f\| = 0$ for each $t_0 \geq 0$.

Proof. First of all we remark that under the stated assumptions the manifold is geodesically complete. Indeed, this follows from the bounded geometry assumption and lemma 9.

The proof of I) and III) is completely analogous to the proof of points 2., 3a. and 3b. of theorem 29. We can restrict ourselves to prove point II).

for $t \downarrow 0$, we have

$$f(\gamma_{x,v}(t)) = f(x) + v f(x) t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} f(\gamma_{x,v}(s))|_{s=0} t^2 + \frac{t^3}{3!} R(t, x),$$

with $R(t, x) = \frac{d^3}{ds^3} f(\gamma_{x,v}(s))|_{s=u}$, $u \in [0, t]$. In particular, by the geodesic equation

$$\ddot{\gamma}_{x,v}^k(t) = -\Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^i(t) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^j(t), \quad (68)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\gamma_{x,v}(t)) &= \sum_{i,j} \partial_{ij}^2 f(\gamma_{x,v}(t)) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^i(t) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^j(t) + \sum_i \partial_i f(\gamma_{x,v}(t)) \ddot{\gamma}_{x,v}^i(t), \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \partial_{ij}^2 f(\gamma_{x,v}(t)) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^i(t) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^j(t) - \sum_{i,j,k} \partial_k f(\gamma_{x,v}(t)) \Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^i(t) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^j(t). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} f(\gamma_{x,v}(t)) = ((2\Gamma_{mj}^i \Gamma_{lk}^m - \partial_l \Gamma_{kj}^i) \partial_i f + \partial_{lkj} f + 3\Gamma_{kl}^i \partial_{ij} f) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^l(t) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^k(t) \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^j(t), \quad (69)$$

(where, for notational simplicity, we have used the convention on the sum over repeated indices). Hence, by using the identity $\sum_k e_k^i e_k^j = g(x)^{ij}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)f(x) &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1} \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_{ij}^2 f(x) e_k^i e_k^j - \sum_{k,i,j} \partial_k f(x) \Gamma_{ij}^k e_k^i e_k^j \right) t + t^3/2R(t, x) \\ &= f(x) + L_1 f(x) + t^3/2R(t, x), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$R(t, x) = \frac{1}{12d} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3} f(\gamma_{x, \sqrt{d}e_k}(t))|_{t=u_k} + \frac{d^3}{dt^3} f(\gamma_{x, -\sqrt{d}e_k}(t))|_{t=u'_k} \right)$$

with $u_k, u'_k \in [0, \sqrt{d}]$, $k = 1, \dots, d$, and $\frac{d^3}{dt^3} f(\gamma_{x, \sqrt{d}e_k}(t))$ is given by (69).

Let us take an $r_0 \in (0, I_{(M,g)})$ sufficiently small in such a way that the thesis of proposition 36 holds and consider an atlas made of local normal Riemannian charts $(B_{r_0}^{(M,g)}(p), \exp_{p,N}^{-1})$. By the assumption that (M, g) is of bounded geometry, estimate (19), the bound

$$|\dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^i(t)| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d |\dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^i(t)|^2} \leq k_1 \|v\|_g$$

resulting from statement (a) of proposition 36 and by the geodesic equation (68), and the condition $f \in D_k$ with $k \geq 3$, we obtain:

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1], x \in M} |R(t, x)| < \infty,$$

which yields II. \square

Corollary 41. *Under the assumptions of lemma 40 the closure in $C_0(M)$ of L_1 is the generator of a Feller semigroup V and for any $f \in C_0(M)$ and $T > 0$:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|S(t/n)^n f - V(t)f\| = 0. \quad (70)$$

The heat semigroup V provides a solution of the heat equation on M

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{LB} u(t, x) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (71)$$

in the sense that if $u_0 \in D(L)$ then $u(t) := V(t)u_0 \in D(L)$ and $\frac{d}{dt} u(t) = Lu(t)$ in the strong sense.

Analogously to the case of diffusion processes on manifolds, the approximation result stated in corollary 41 admits a probabilistic interpretation. Indeed, we can still define a sequence of random walks on M with steps given by geodesic arcs according to the following construction.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let X_n be a jump process defined as

$$X_n(0) = x, \quad X_n(t) := X_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor / n) = Y_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor),$$

where $\{Y_n(m)\}_m$ is a Markov chain with transition probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n(m) \in I | Y_n(m-1) = y) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\delta_{\gamma_{y, \sqrt{d}e_k(y)}(\sqrt{1/n})}(I) + \delta_{\gamma_{y, -\sqrt{d}e_k(y)}(\sqrt{1/n})}(I) \right), \quad I \in \mathcal{B}(M). \quad (72)$$

Analogously, let (Z_n) the sequence of processes with continuous paths obtained by X_n as geodesic interpolation, namely:

$$Z_n(0) = x, \quad Z_n(m/n) = X_n(m/n), \quad Z_n(t) = \gamma_{X_n(m/n), X_n((m+1)/n)(t-m/n)}, \quad t \in [m/n, (m+1)/n]$$

where $\gamma_{x,y}$ is the geodesic such that $\gamma_{x,y}(0) = x$ and $\gamma_{x,y}(1/n) = y$.

Denoted with X the diffusion process on M associated to the semigroup generated by the operator $L = \bar{L}_1$ we have the following result

Theorem 42. *Under the assumption of corollary 41, for any $T > 0$, X_n converges weakly to X in $D_M[0, T]$ and Z_n converges weakly to X in $C_M[0, T]$*

The proof is completely similar to the proofs of theorems 31 and 32.

5.2 An approximation in terms of random walk with steps along integral curves of the parallelizing vector fields

In the case where the parallelizing vector fields e_1, \dots, e_d of the manifold (M, g) (simultaneously of bounded geometry and parallelizable) are C^∞ -bounded, we can view Δ_{LB} as a subcase of the operator L_0 discussed in Section 3 and recast all the discussion therein using the paths constructed out of the integral lines of the fields e_k instead of the geodesics. In fact, since $\sum_{i=1}^d e_i^a(x) e_i^b(x) = g^{ab}(x)$ and using the fact that $\nabla_k^{(g)} g^{ab} = 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{LB} &= \sum_{a,b=1}^d g^{ij} \nabla_a^{(g)} \nabla_b^{(g)} = \sum_{a,b=1}^d \nabla_a^{(g)} g^{ab} \nabla_b^{(g)} = \sum_{a,b=1}^d \nabla_a^{(g)} \sum_{i=1}^d e_i^a e_i^b \nabla_b^{(g)} = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{a,b=1}^d \nabla_a^{(g)} e_i^a e_i^b \nabla_b^{(g)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{a,b=1}^d e_i^a \nabla_a^{(g)} e_i^b \nabla_b^{(g)} + \sum_{i=1}^d (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot e_i) e_i \end{aligned}$$

In other words Δ_{LB} is the operator L_0 in (23) generated by the vector fields e_1, \dots, e_d , with a suitable choice for e_0 since, if $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$(\Delta_{LB} f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d e_i(e_i f)(x) + (e_0 f)(x) \quad \text{where} \quad e_0 := \sum_{i=1}^d (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot e_i) e_i.$$

In this case theorem 38 holds yielding the Brownian motion on M , i.e. the diffusion process associated with the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{LB} , as the weak limit of a sequence of random walks $\{\tilde{Z}_n\}$ of the form (48), with steps constructed out of integral curve of the vector fields $\{e_k\}_{k=1, \dots, d}$. This result can be rephrased in following form.

Theorem 43. *Let (M, g) be a smooth parallelizable manifold of bounded geometry admitting a set of parallelizing vector fields e_1, \dots, e_d which are C^∞ -bounded. Then the Wiener measure μ on $(C([0, t], M), \mathcal{B}(C([0, t], M)))$, i.e. the law of the diffusion process associated to the Laplace Beltrami operator Δ_{LB} is the weak limit of the sequence of probability measures $\tilde{\mu}_n$ on $(C([0, t], M), \mathcal{B}(C([0, t], M)))$ induced by the random walks \tilde{Z}_n defined by (48) with $A_k = e_k$.*

6 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Sergio Albeverio, Fernanda Florido-Calvo, Christian Gérard, Simone Murro, and Andrea Pugliese for useful discussions, suggestions, and for having pointed out relevant references to us. The financial support of CIRM (Centro Internazionale per la Ricerca Matematica) - FBK (Fondazione Bruno Kessler), is gratefully acknowledged. Ivan Remizov's work is partially supported by Laboratory of Dynamical Systems and Applications NRU HSE, of the Ministry of science and higher education of the RF grant ag. No 075-15-2019-1931. O.G. Smolyanov acknowledges the financial support of the grant "Fundamental problems of mechanics and mathematics" of Lomonosov Moscow State University and also the financial support of Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, within the state program to support the leading Russian Universities.

7 Proof of some technical propositions

Proof of Lemma 9. Suppose there is a maximal geodesic $\gamma : I \ni t \rightarrow \gamma(t) \in M$, where t is the a length parameter along γ used as its affine parameter, such that $\sup I = \omega < +\infty$ (the case $-\infty < \inf I$ is analogous). Let $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$ be an increasing sequence such that $t_n \rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Consider an element t_n . If there were an open ball $B_n \subset T_{\gamma(t_n)}M$ centered at the origin and of radius $r > \omega - t_n$ where the exponential map $\exp_{\gamma(t_n)} T_{\gamma(t_n)}B_n \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, then B_n would include in particular the tangent vector of γ at $\gamma(t_n)$ and also a longer parallel vector. As a consequence γ could be extended to a longer geodesics. Since this is not possible, we conclude that $I_{(M,g)}(\gamma(t_n)) < \omega - t_n$. In turn, it would imply $0 \leq I_{(M,g)} \leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{(M,g)}(\gamma(t_n)) = 0$, whereas $I_{(M,g)} > 0$ by hypothesis. Hence all maximal geodesics must be complete. The last statement immediately arises from Hopf-Rinow's theorem. \square

Proof of Lemma 22. Let $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ be a maximal solution of (22) and let us assume *ab absurdum* that $b < +\infty$. Consider a $t_0 \in (a, b)$ and let $f : (t_0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous function defined as

$$f(t) := d(\gamma(t), \gamma(t_0)),$$

where $d := d_{(M,g)}$ is the above defined distance induced by the Riemannian metric. Since we have assumed that $b < \infty$, the function f cannot be bounded on $[t_0, b)$. Indeed, f were bounded, then there would exist an $R > 0$ such that $\gamma(t) \in B_R(\gamma(t_0))$ for all $t \in [t_0, b)$, where $B_R(\gamma(t_0))$ denotes the closed ball with radius R and center $\gamma(t_0)$. On the other hand, under the stated assumptions on M , Hopf-Rinow theorem assures the compactness of the closed metric balls. By a classical result (see, e.g., lemma 56, Ch. 1 in [41]), if there exists a compact set K such that the maximal solution $\gamma : [t_0, b) \rightarrow M$ satisfies the condition $\gamma([t_0, b)) \subset K$, then $b = +\infty$. Hence, since f cannot be bounded, there exists a monotonically increasing sequence $t_n \rightarrow b$ such that $d(\gamma(t_n), \gamma(t_0)) \rightarrow \infty$. Let $s : [t_0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the curvilinear abscissa along the curve γ , namely:

$$s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{g(A(\gamma(u)), A(\gamma(u)))} du. \quad (73)$$

Clearly, for any $n \geq 1$, the following holds

$$\frac{d(\gamma(t_n), \gamma(t_0))}{t_n - t_0} \leq \frac{s(t_n) - s(t_0)}{t_n - t_0}.$$

the latter inequality, the boundedness of the sequence $\{t_n - t_0\}$ and the fact that $\{d(\gamma(t_n), \gamma(t_0))\}$ is unbounded and strictly positive gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(t_n) - s(t_0)}{t_n - t_0} = +\infty$$

On the other hand, by Lagrange's theorem applied to the (known to be differentiable) function $s : [t_0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in (73), for any n there exist a $u_n \in (t_0, t_n)$ such that

$$\sqrt{g(A(\gamma(u_n)), A(\gamma(u_n)))} = \frac{ds}{dt}(u_n) = \frac{s(t_n) - s(t_0)}{t_n - t_0}.$$

The left hand side of the above equality is bounded by the assumptions on A , while the right hand side is unbounded by the discussion above and we have obtained a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition 17. Let us start with the following lemma.

Lemma 44. *Let M be a smooth manifold and $f \in C_0(M)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\psi \in C^\infty(M) \cap C_0(M)$ such that $\|f - \psi\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. (There are different ways to prove this density result and this is just a possibility). It is sufficient to prove the thesis for real functions and, in turn, for $f \geq 0$. The general statement follows by decomposing $f = f_+ - f_-$ where $0 \leq f_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(|f| \pm f) \in C_0(M)$. Let us therefore prove the thesis for $0 \leq f \in C_0(M)$.

If $p \in M$, there is a local chart (U, ψ) such that $p \in U$. We can always restrict U to a smaller open neighborhood V of p , such that $\bar{V} \subset U$ is a compact set. Since there is such a local chart for every $p \in M$ and the topology of M is 2nd countable, we can extract a subcovering of M made of charts $\{V_j, \psi_j\}_{j \in J}$ where J is finite or countably infinite. Using paracompactness property of M , we can refine $\{V_j, \psi_j\}_{j \in J}$ to a locally finite covering (equipped with corresponding coordinate maps ψ_j , the restrictions of the original ones) still indicated with the same symbol $\{V_j, \psi_j\}_{j \in J}$. Finally, we can define a partition of the unit $\{\chi_j\}_{j \in J}$ subordered to the covering $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$. Therefore

- (i) $\chi_j \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

(ii) $0 \leq \chi_j \leq 1$,

(iii) $\text{supp}(\chi_j) \subset V_j$,

(iv) $\sum_{j \in J} \chi_j(x) = 1$ where, due to locally finiteness property, for every $x \in M$ there is an open set containing x whose intersection with the V_j is not empty only for a finite number of indices $j \in J$, hence the sum is always finite.

To go on we assume that $J = \mathbb{N}$ (the case of J finite is simpler). If $f \in C_0(M)$, the function $f|_{V_n} \geq 0$ represented in coordinates through the map ψ_n turns out to be the restriction of a continuous function defined on a compact $\psi_n(\overline{V_n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Using Stone-Weierstrass theorem we conclude that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a smooth function $p^{(n,\varepsilon)}$ defined on V that, in coordinates is the restriction to V of a polynomial defined in the compact set $\psi_n(\overline{V_n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that with obvious notation

$$\|f|_{V_n} - p^{(n,\varepsilon)}\|_{\infty}^{(V_n)} < \varepsilon. \quad (74)$$

It is always possible to choose

$$0 \leq p^{(n,\varepsilon)} \leq f|_{V_n}. \quad (75)$$

In fact, for $\mu > 0$ define $g_\mu := f + \mu$. Using the same argument as above, there is a smooth function $q^{(n,\mu)}$ (in coordinates the restriction to the compact $\psi_n(\overline{V_n})$ of a polynomial) such that the inequality holds $\|q^{(n,\mu)} - g_\mu\|_{\infty} < \mu/3$, that is if $x \in V_n$

$$-\mu/3 \leq q^{(n,\mu)}(x) - f(x) - \mu < \mu/3$$

which implies

$$2\mu/3 < q^{(n,\mu)}(x) - f(x) < 4\mu/3$$

so that

$$0 < f(x) + 2\mu/3 < q^{(n,\mu)}(x) < f(x) + 4\mu/3$$

Defining $\varepsilon := 4\mu/3$ and $p^{(n,\varepsilon)} := q^{(n,\mu)}$ we have that (74) and (75) are valid simultaneously. In view of the definition of the functions χ_n , (74) and (75) immediately imply

$$\|f \cdot \chi_n - p^{(n,\varepsilon)} \chi_n\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon. \quad (76)$$

and

$$0 \leq p^{(n,\varepsilon)} \cdot \chi_n \leq f \cdot \chi_n. \quad (77)$$

Notice that the functions $p^{(n,\varepsilon)} \cdot \chi_n$ and $f \cdot \chi_n$ are everywhere well defined on M and belong to $C_c^\infty(M)$. To conclude the proof, for $\varepsilon > 0$ define

$$\psi := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_n \cdot p^{(n,\varepsilon/2^{n+1})}$$

This function is well-defined belongs to $C^\infty(M)$. Furthermore

$$0 \leq \psi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_n \cdot p^{(n,\varepsilon/2^{n+1})} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_n \cdot f = f$$

so that $\psi \in C^\infty(M) \cap C_0(M)$. Finally

$$\|f - \psi\|_{\infty} = \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_n \cdot p^{(n,\varepsilon/2^{n+1})} - \chi_n \cdot f \right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\chi_n \cdot p^{(n,\varepsilon/2^{n+1})} - \chi_n \cdot f\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon 2^{n+1} = \varepsilon.$$

□

In view of the lemma, in turn, it is sufficient to prove that $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense in $C_0(M) \cap C^\infty(M)$. If $f \in C_0(M) \cap C^\infty(M)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is a compact $K \subset M$ such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ if $x \notin K$. Let $A \supset K$ be an open set whose closure is compact (It can be constructed as follows. Every $p \in K$ admits an open neighborhood which is relatively compact – just work in a coordinate patch– due compactness, K is therefore covered by a finite class of those relatively-compact open sets. The union of those sets is the wanted A .) Define $B := M \setminus A$. Since K and B are disjoint closed sets (K is closed because M is Hausdorff by hypothesis), from the *smooth Urysohn lemma*, there exists $\chi \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $|\chi(x)| \leq 1$ for $x \in M$ and $K \subset \chi^{-1}(\{1\})$, $B \subset \chi^{-1}(\{0\})$. Furthermore, from the construction, we see that $\text{supp}(\chi) \subset A \cup \partial A = \overline{A}$. We conclude that $\chi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. The function $\psi := \chi \cdot f$ belongs to $C_c^\infty(M)$ as well and furthermore

$$\|f - \psi\|_{\infty} \leq \|f|_K - \psi|_K\|_{\infty}^{(K)} + \|f|_{M \setminus K} - \psi|_{M \setminus K}\|_{\infty}^{(M \setminus K)}$$

$$= \|f|_K - f|_K\|^{(K)}_\infty + \|f \cdot (1 - \chi)|_{M \setminus K}\|^{(M \setminus K)}_\infty \leq 0 + \|f|_{M \setminus K}\|^{(M \setminus K)}_\infty = \varepsilon.$$

The proof is over since we have proved that if $f \in C_0(M) \cap C^\infty(M)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ such that $\|f - \psi\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. \square

Proof of Lemma 24. Noticing that $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$, let us first establish that $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is symmetric in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$ – where from now on μ_g is the volume form (a positive Borel measure) associated to the metric g . Furthermore we also prove that $-L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)} \geq 0$.

Lemma 45. *With the hypotheses of Lemma 24, (27) in particular, $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is symmetric and $-\langle h, L_0 h \rangle \geq 0$ if $h \in C_c^\infty(M)$.*

Proof. If A is a vector field viewed as differential operator, taking advantage of a partition of the unit, exploiting $Af = \nabla_A^{(g)} f = \sum_k A^j \nabla_j^{(g)} f$ and the fact that $\nabla_j^{(g)}|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is symmetric in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$, one immediately sees that, if $h, h' \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\langle h', Ah \rangle = -\langle Ah', h \rangle - \langle h', (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A)h \rangle,$$

where $\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A$ acts as multiplicative operator. Exploiting the fact that $C_c^\infty(M)$ is invariant under the action of A_0 and A_i we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_0 h', h \rangle &= \langle h', L_0 h \rangle - 2\langle h', A_0 h \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^r \langle h', (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A_i) A_i h \rangle - \langle h', \nabla^{(g)} \cdot A_0 h \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \langle h', (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot (\nabla^{(g)} \cdot A_i) A_i) h \rangle = \langle h', L_0 h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (27) in the last passage. We have proved that $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is symmetric because $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense and $\langle L_0 h', h \rangle = \langle h', L_0 h \rangle$ for all $h, h' \in C_c^\infty(M)$.

Regarding positivity, we have for $h \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle h, L_0 h \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \int_M \bar{h} A_i A_i h d\mu_g - \int_M \bar{h} A_0 h d\mu_g \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \langle A_i h, A_i h \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \int_M (\bar{h} \nabla^{(g)} \cdot A_i) A_i h d\mu_g - \int_M \bar{h} A_0 h d\mu_g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \langle A_i h, A_i h \rangle \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used again (27) in the last passage \square

Let us pass to prove that there is a solution $f \in C^\infty(M)$ of (28) when $h \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Since $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is symmetric (“formally selfadjoint” in Shubin’s terminology), uniformly elliptic, and C^∞ -bounded, Corollary 4.2 in [57] implies that $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is essentially selfadjoint in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$ and we will denote by L' the unique selfadjoint extension of $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ (i.e., the closure of the latter in the Hilbert space $L^2(M, \mu_g)$). Let us focus on the equation for the unknown $f \in D(L')$

$$L'f - \lambda f = h, \tag{78}$$

when $h \in C_c^\infty(M) \subset L^2(M, \mu_g)$ and $\lambda > 0$ are given. By multiplying both sides with a test function $h' \in C_0^\infty(M)$ and integrating the result, using the fact that L' is a selfadjoint extension of $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$, we find that an f satisfying (78), if any, must also satisfy (28) (where L_0 appears instead of L' !) in *distributional sense*, since $f \in D(L') \subset L^2(M, \mu_g) \subset \mathcal{D}'(M)$. Elliptic regularity (Theorem 8.3.1 and Corollary 8.3.2 in [26]) applied to the elliptic operator $A = L_0 - \lambda I$ implies that, if f exists, f has to belong to $C^\infty(M)$ and also satisfies (28) in classical sense. As a matter of fact, f solving (78) exists because every $\lambda > 0$ belongs to the resolvent set of L' . Indeed, $-L' \geq 0$ (that is true because $-L'$ is the Hilbert-space closure of $-L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ which is positive for the lemma above) entails $\sigma(-L') \subset [0, +\infty)$. A solution of (78) (which also solves (28) and is smooth) therefore exists:

$$f = R_\lambda(L')h \tag{79}$$

where $R_\lambda(L') : L^2(M, \mu_g) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mu_g)$ is the resolvent operator of L' .

Let us pass to prove that $f \in C_0(M) \cap C_b^\infty(M)$ when M is not compact (otherwise there is nothing to prove). We henceforth assume that M is non-compact. We can say much more about f in (79). First of all we observe that the map $\mathcal{D}(M) = C_c^\infty(M) \ni h \mapsto R_\lambda(L')f \in L^2(M; \mu_g) \subset \mathcal{D}'(M)$ is sequentially continuous with respect to the natural topologies [26] of $C_c^\infty(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ because $R_\lambda(L')$ is bounded in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$. Therefore we can

apply Schwartz' kernel theorem [26] that establishes that there exists a distribution $G \in \mathcal{D}'(M \times M)$ such that, for every pair $h, h' \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\int_M h'(x) (R_\lambda(L'h))(x) d\mu_g(x) = \int_{M \times M} G(x, y) h'(x) h(y) d\mu_g(x) \otimes d\mu_g(y). \quad (80)$$

The integral on the left-hand side is a standard integral, the one on the right-hand side is just a formal expression accounting for the action of a distribution. However, Theorem 2.2 in [57] (in the case $p = 2$) proves that

- (a) the distribution G is smooth outside the diagonal, i.e., $G \in C^\infty(M \times M \setminus \Delta)$, where $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in M\}$,
- (b) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$ and every pair of multiindices α, β , there exists $C_{\alpha, \beta, \delta} > 0$ with

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta G(x, y)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \delta} e^{-\eta d_g(x, y)} \quad \text{if } d_g(x, y) \geq \delta, \quad (81)$$

where d_g is the geodesical distance on (M, g) which is well defined since M is connected and the derivatives ∂_x and ∂_y are computed in a pair of Riemannian charts (possibly the same). Let us take $x_0 \notin \text{supp}(h)$ and consider an open neighborhood U of x_0 such that \bar{U} is compact and $\bar{U} \cap \text{supp}(h) = \emptyset$. Since $U \times \text{supp}(h) \ni (x, y) \notin \Delta$, if $h' \in C_c^\infty(M)$ is supported in U item (a) above permits us to interpret literally the integral on the right-hand side of (80). Taking advantage of the Fubini theorem, we can rearrange (80) to

$$\int_M h'(x) \left(f(x) - \int_M G(x, y) h(y) d\mu_g(y) \right) d\mu_g(x) = 0.$$

Since $C_c^\infty(U)$ is dense in $L^2(U, d\mu_g)$ and x_0 and U as above are arbitrary, we can conclude that

$$f(x) = \int_M G(x, y) h(y) d\mu_g(y) \quad \text{almost everywhere if } x \notin \text{supp}(h). \quad (82)$$

This result can be made even stronger observing that the function $\bar{U} \times \text{supp}(h) \ni (x, y) \mapsto G(x, y) h(y)$ is smooth due (a) and thus continuous and bounded. Hence, a direct use of dominated convergence theorem proves that

$$U \ni x \mapsto \int_M G(x, y) h(y) d\mu_g(y)$$

is continuous as well. Since the left-hand side of (82) is also continuous, we have proved that

$$f(x) = \int_M G(x, y) h(y) d\mu_g(y) \quad \text{if } x \in M \setminus \text{supp}(h). \quad (83)$$

Let us conclude the proof by establishing that f vanishes at infinity and $\|A_k f\|_\infty < +\infty$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$. Since $\text{supp}(h)$ is compact and the open geodesical balls are a basis of the topology of M , there is a finite covering $\{B_{r_n}(x_n)\}_{n=1, \dots, N}$ of $\text{supp}(h)$ made of closed geodesical balls with finite radius. As a consequence there exist a sufficiently large closed ball $B_R(x_0)$ including $\text{supp}(f)$. (It is sufficient to enlarge the radius r_0 of $B_{r_0}(x_0)$, to $R := D + P$ where $D := \max\{d_g(x_0, x_n) \mid n = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ and $P = \max\{r_n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$.) Notice that for every closed ball $B_R(x_0)$, with arbitrary large $R > 0$, it must hold $M \setminus B_R(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ necessarily, otherwise M would be compact due to Lemma 9 since M is of bounded geometry, and M is not compact by hypothesis. With $\eta > 0$ as in (b), choose $\delta > 0$ and define another closed ball $B_{R'}(x_0)$ with $R' > \delta + R$. If $y \in B_R(x_0)$ and $x \in M \setminus B_{R'}(x_0)$ we have $d_g(x, y) \geq d_g(x, x_0) - R > R' - R > \delta + R - R > \delta$ so that we can use the inequality (81) with $\alpha = \beta = 0$, finding

$$|f(x)| \leq \int_M |G(x, y)| |h(y)| d\mu_g(y) \leq \text{vol}_g(B_R(x_0)) C_\delta \|h\|_\infty e^{\eta R} e^{-\eta d_g(x, x_0)} \quad \text{if } x \in M \setminus B_{R'}(x_0) \quad (84)$$

where, for $x \in M \setminus B_{R'}(x_0)$ and $y \in B_R(x_0)$, we took advantage of

$$R + d_g(x, y) \geq d_g(x, x_0)$$

so that

$$-\eta d_g(x, y) \leq -\eta d_g(x, x_0) + \eta R$$

which implies (84) through (81). To conclude, with $h, x_0, \eta, \delta, R, R', C_\delta$ fixed as above and if $\|h\|_\infty > 0$ (otherwise there is nothing to prove since $f = 0$), for every $\varepsilon > 0$ define

$$R_\varepsilon := -\frac{1}{\eta} \log \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\text{vol}_g(B_R(x_0)) C_\delta \|h\|_\infty e^{\eta R}} \right).$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ (such small that $R_\varepsilon > R'$), consider the closed ball $B_{R_\varepsilon}(x_0)$ which is compact in view of Lemma 9. Here, (84) yields

$$|f(x)| \leq \text{vol}_g(B_{R_\varepsilon}(x_0)) C_\delta \|h\|_\infty e^{\eta R_\varepsilon} e^{-\eta R_\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \quad \text{if } x \in M \setminus B_{R_\varepsilon}(x_0). \quad (85)$$

We have proved that $f \in C_0(M)$. With a procedure similar to the we used to prove (83) based on Lagrange theorem and dominated convergence theorem proves that in every Riemannian coordinate patch,

$$\partial_x^\alpha f(x) = \int_M \partial_x^\alpha G(x, y) h(y) d\mu_g(y) \quad \text{if } x \in M \setminus \text{supp}(h). \quad (86)$$

Every $\partial_x^\alpha f$ is necessarily bounded on a finite covering of Riemannian charts of a compact ball B_{R_ε} including $\text{supp}(h)$. Outside B_{R_ε} , a procedure similar to that followed to prove (85) and relying on (81) for $\beta = 0$ proves that there is a constant $H_\alpha < +\infty$ such that, in every local Riemannian coordinate patch on M and for $i = 1, \dots, d$,

$$|\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| < H_\alpha. \quad (87)$$

We have established that $f \in C_b^\infty(M)$ concluding the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 25. Let us consider $u \in D(M)$ and the map $u(t) := e^{tM}u$ for $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Due to Proposition 2 (i.e. Proposition 6.2 in [20]) $u(t) \in D(M)$ and this map is the unique classical solution of the Cauchy problem associated to M with initial datum u . In particular it is continuously differentiable and satisfies $\frac{du}{dt} = Mu(t)$. Since $M \subset N$, it also satisfies $\frac{du}{dt} = Nu(t)$ and thus, again for Proposition 2, it is also the unique solution of the Cauchy problem associated to N with initial datum u . That is $u(t) = e^{tN}u$. We have in particular found that, if $u \in D(M)$, then $e^{tN}u \in D(M)$ for $t \in [0, +\infty)$, so that $D(M)$ is invariant under the semigroup generated by N . Proposition 6.2 in [20] implies that $D(M)$ is a core for N . Since $M \subset N$ and both operators are closed, then $M = N$. \square

Proof of Lemma 27. Let us denote by L'' the *Hilbert-space* closure $\overline{L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}}$. We remark that $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is closable since its adjoint has a dense domain, as one can easily prove by a integration-by-parts argument. We write L'' in place of L' , to stress that the differential operator L_0 whose L'' is the Hilbert space closure over the domain $C_c^\infty(M)$ now includes the perturbation B . The proof, except for a point, is identical to that of proposition 23 using Proposition 4.1 in place of its Corollary 4.2 in [57], observing that elliptic regularity works also for $-L''$ since this property depends only on the second order part of L_0 , and noticing that the properties of G established in Theorem 2.2 of [57], (81) in particular, are valid also if $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is not symmetric. The only new item to prove separately is that there is a $\lambda > 0$ in the resolvent set of $-L''$, which, differently from $-L'$, is no longer positive and selfadjoint due to the presence of the term B . With this result the proof of the thesis concludes. Let us prove the existence of such $\lambda > 0$ by establishing that L'' is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$ under the hypothesis (30): in this case, the standard spectral bound of generators of strongly continuous semigroups (Corollary 1.13 in [20]) implies that $\text{Re}(\sigma(L''))$ has finite upper bound so that $\rho(L'') \cap (0, +\infty) \neq \emptyset$ and the requested $\lambda > 0$ exists. In the rest of the proof $-L'$ will denote again the positive selfadjoint operator used in the proof of proposition 23, which is the Hilbert-space closure of $L_0|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$, where A_0 does *not* contain the perturbation B . As is known from Proposition 4.1 in [57], $D(L'') = D(L') = W_2^2(M)$ (see [57] for the definition of those Sobolev spaces on smooth Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry). The operator $B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is $L^2(M, \mu_g)$ -closable since its adjoint has dense domain (it including $C_c^\infty(M)$) and the closure of $B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ has domain that evidently includes $W_2^2(M)$ because $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense in $W_1^2(M) \supset W_2^2(M)$ [57]. We intend to prove that, defining $L' + \overline{B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}}$ on the domain $W_2^2(M)$ of the first addend, then $L' + \overline{B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}}$ is (i) closed and (ii) it is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup. Notice that, in this case $L' + \overline{B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}} = L''$ since $L'' \subset L' + \overline{B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}}$ by construction (L'' is the closure of $L_0|_{C_c^\infty}$ whereas the right-hand side is a closed extension of that) and the two sides of the inclusion have the same domain $W_2^2(M)$. Hence (i) and (ii) imply that L'' itself is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup as wanted. To conclude the proof, we prove that (i) and (ii) are true if (30) holds. Since $\sigma(L') \subset (-\infty, 0]$ and L' is selfadjoint, $\{e^{tL'}\}_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$ is an analytic semigroup in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$. To prove (i) and (ii), according to Theorem X.54 in [43], it is sufficient to demonstrate that for every $a > 0$, there is a corresponding $b > 0$ such that (the norm is that of $L_2(M, \mu_g)$)

$$\|\overline{B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}}\psi\| \leq a\|L'\psi\| + b\|\psi\| \quad \text{for all } \psi \in W_2^2(M).$$

Observe that, since $C_c^\infty(M)$ is a core for L' (it is essentially selfadjoint thereon) and $\overline{B|_{C_c^\infty(M)}}$ is closed, the condition above is equivalent to

$$\|B\psi\| \leq a\|L'\psi\| + b\|\psi\| \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_c^\infty(M).$$

In turn, according to the remark on the condition (iii) on p. 162 of [43], the condition above is equivalent to the next statement: For every $a > 0$ there is $b > 0$ such that

$$\|B\psi\|^2 \leq a\|L'\psi\|^2 + b\|\psi\|^2 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_c^\infty(M) \quad (88)$$

(where these a, b are generally different from those in the previous inequality). To conclude we prove that (88) is consequence of (30). From the latter, replacing ξ_k with $\nabla_k^{(g)}\psi$, if $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \overline{(B\psi)(x)}(B\psi)(x)d\mu_g(x) &\leq c \int_M \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{a,b=1}^d \overline{(A_i^a \nabla_a^{(g)}\psi)(x)}(A_i^b \nabla_b^{(g)}\psi)(x)d\mu_g(x) \\ &= -2c \int_M \overline{\psi(x)}(L'\psi)(x)d\mu_g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Namely, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product in $L^2(M, \mu_g)$, standard results of spectral theory [40, 50] yield

$$\|B\psi\|^2 \leq 2c\langle \psi, -L'\psi \rangle = 2c \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \lambda d\nu_\psi(\lambda)$$

where $\nu_\psi(E) = \langle \psi, P^{(-L')}(E)\psi \rangle$, with $P^{(-L')}$ being is the *spectral measure* of the selfadjoint positive operator $-L'$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ any Borel set. Here observe that, since $c > 0$, for every $a > 0$ there is $b > 0$ such that

$$2c\lambda \leq a\lambda^2 + b \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq 0.$$

It is in fact sufficient to use $b = c^2/a$. Therefore, again from standard results of spectral theory,

$$\|B\psi\|^2 \leq 2c \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \lambda d\nu_\psi(\lambda) \leq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \lambda^2 d\nu_\psi(\lambda) + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} 1 d\nu_\psi(\lambda) = a\| -L'\psi\|^2 + b\|\psi\|^2.$$

In summary, for every $a > 0$, there is $b > 0$ such that (88) holds

$$\|B\psi\|^2 \leq a\|L'\psi\|^2 + b\|\psi\|^2 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_c^\infty(M),$$

concluding the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 36.

(a) Let us start with a given $r \in (0, I_{(M,g)})$ and consider a Riemannian system of coordinates in the ball $B_r^{(M,g)}(p)$. Expanding $g_{ab}(y)$ around 0 up to the first order with the usual Taylor expansion, we have

$$g_{ab}(y) = \delta_{ab} + 0 + R_{ab}^{(2)}(y)$$

where, for some $\xi \in B_r(0)$,

$$R_{ab}^{(2)}(y) = \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 g_{ab}}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} |_{\xi} y^i y^j \quad y \in B_r(0), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Taking the second bound in (16) into account for $k = 2$ and using $|y^k| \leq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|A(y(q))\|^2 - \|A(y(q))\|_g^2 \right| &= \left| \sum_{a,b=1}^d A^a(y)g_{ab}(y)A^b(y) - A^a(y)\delta_{ab}A^b(y) \right| = \left| \sum_{a,b=1}^d A^a(y)A^b(y)R_{ab}^{(2)}(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{a,b=1}^d |A^a(y)||A^b(y)| \frac{1}{2} C_2^{(r)} d^2 r^2 \leq \frac{C_2^{(r)} d^2 r^2}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \|A(y)\| \|A(y)\| = \frac{C_2^{(r)} d^4 r^2}{2} \|A(y)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$\|A(y(q))\|^2 - \|A(y(q))\|_g^2 \leq \frac{C_2^{(r)} d^4 r^2}{2} \|A(y(q))\|^2$$

namely, if $\|y\| < r$, we have,

$$\left(1 - \frac{C_2^{(r)} d^4 r^2}{2} \right) \|A(y)\|^2 \leq \|A(y(q))\|_g^2.$$

Restricting r to $r_0 > 0$ such that⁴ $(1 - d^4 r_0^2 C_2^{(r_0)}/2) > 0$ and defining $k_1 := (1 - d^4 r_0^2 C_2^{(r_0)}/2)^{-1}$, we conclude that (a) is valid for $y \in B_{r_0}(0)$, i.e., $q \in B_{r_0}^{(M,g)}(p)$.

(b) Let us first show that, if $r_0 > 0$ is suitably small, then

$$\|T(y(q))\|^2 \leq k_2 \|T(q)\|_g^2, \quad \text{for all } q \in B_{r_0}^{(M,g)}(p) \quad (89)$$

for some $k_2 \geq 0$ independent of T and p , for every smooth tensor field T of order $(1, 1)$. The proof is strictly analogous to that of (a), observing that

$$\|T(y(q))\|^2 - \|T(y(q))\|_g^2 = \sum_{a,b,i,j=1}^d T_a^i(y) (\delta^{ab} \delta_{ij} - g^{ab}(y) g_{ij}(y)) T_b^j(y) \quad (90)$$

and

$$g^{ab}(y) g_{ij}(y) = \delta^{ab} \delta_{ij} + 0 + R_{ij}^{(2)ab}(y)$$

where, for some $\xi \in B_r(0)$,

$$R_{ij}^{(2)ab}(y) = \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 g^{ab} g_{ij}}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} |_{\xi} y^i y^j \quad y \in B_r(0), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d,$$

Using in (90) both the second bound in (16) and (17) for $k = 0, 1$ as we did in the proof (a) we obtain (89). To conclude the proof of (b), observe that, if $y \in B_{r_0}(0)$,

$$\partial_{y^a} A^i = (\nabla_a^{(g)} A)^i - \sum_{c=1}^d \Gamma_{ac}^i A^c$$

so that, using (19) together with rough estimates $|A^i| \leq \|A\|$, $|\nabla_a^{(g)} A^i| \leq \|\nabla^{(g)} A\|$, we have

$$\|\nabla A\|^2 \leq \|\nabla^{(g)} A\|^2 + 2d^3 J_0^{(r_0)} \|A\| \|\nabla^{(g)} A\| + d^4 (J_0^{(r_0)})^2 \|A\|^2.$$

Finally observe that (a) and (89) respectively imply

$$\|A\| \leq k_1 \|A\|_g \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla^{(g)} A\| \leq \sqrt{k_2} \|\nabla^{(g)} A\|_g$$

which, inserted in the previous inequality, yield

$$\|\nabla A(y(q))\|^2 \leq k_2 \|\nabla^{(g)} A(q)\|_g^2 + 2d^3 J_0^{(r_0)} k_1 \sqrt{k_2} \|A(q)\|_g \|\nabla^{(g)} A(q)\|_g + d^4 (J_0^{(r_0)})^2 k_1^2 \|A(q)\|_g^2$$

which must hold if $q \in B_{r_0}^{(M,g)}(p)$. By construction, the constants, k_1 , k_2 , $k_3 := d^4 (J_0^{(r_0)})^2 k_1^2$, and $k_4 := 2d^3 J_0^{(r_0)} k_1 \sqrt{k_2}$ do not depend on A and the estimate is valid for every $p \in M$ provided $q \in B_{r_0}^{(M,g)}(p)$. \square

References

- [1] L. Andersson, and B. K. Driver. Finite dimensional approximations to Wiener measure and path integral formulas on manifolds. *Journal of functional analysis*, 165(2), 430-498. (1999)
- [2] C. Bär, F. Pfäffle. Path integrals on manifolds by finite dimensional approximation. — *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 625 (2008), 29–57.
- [3] P. Baldi. Stochastic calculus. An introduction through theory and exercises. — Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2017
- [4] B. Baur, F. Conrad, M. Grothaus. Smooth contractive embeddings and application to Feynman formula for parabolic equations on smooth bounded domains. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 2011, 40.19-20: 3452-3464.
- [5] P. Billingsley. Convergence of probability measures. Second edition. — John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [6] V.I. Bogachev, O.G. Smolyanov. Real and functional analysis: university course. — Springer, 2020.

⁴It is always possible to find such r_0 since the functions $r \mapsto C_k^{(r)}$ are monotone not-decreasing.

- [7] L. A. Borisov, Y. N. Orlov, V. Zh. Sakbaev. Feynman averaging of semigroups generated by Schrödinger operators. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, 2018, 21.02: 1850010.
- [8] Ya. A. Butko, O. G. Smolyanov, and R. L. Schilling. Feynman formulae for Feller semigroups. — *Doklady Mathematics*. Vol. 82. No. 2. 2010.
- [9] Yana A. Butko, Rene L. Schilling, and Oleg G. Smolyanov. Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Feynman formulae for some Feller semigroups and their perturbations. — *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics* 15.03 (2012): 1250015.
- [10] Yana A. Butko. Chernoff approximation for semigroups generated by killed Feller processes and Feynman formulae for time-fractional Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equations. — *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 21.5 (2018): 1203-1237.
- [11] Yana A. Butko. Chernoff approximation of subordinate semigroups. — *Stochastics and Dynamics* Vol. 18, No. 03, 1850021 (2018)
- [12] Ya. A. Butko. Function integrals corresponding to a solution of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for the heat equation in a domain of a Riemannian manifold. — *J. Math. Sci.* 151, 2629–2638 (2008)
- [13] Ya.A.Butko. The method of Chernoff approximation. *Conference on Semigroups of Operators: Theory and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2018. pp 19-46.
- [14] Paul R. Chernoff. Note on product formulas for operator semigroups. — *J. Functional Analysis* 2:2 (1968), 238-242.
- [15] A. Debiard, B. Gaveau, E. Mazet. Théorèmes de comparaison en géométrie riemannienne. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 12 (1976/77), no. 2, 391-425.
- [16] M.P. do Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*. — Birkhäuser, (1992)
- [17] M. Disconzi, Y. Shao, G. Simonett: Some Remarks on Uniformly Regular Riemannian Manifolds. — *Mathematische Nachrichten*. 289.10.1002/mana.201400354)
- [18] B. Driver, J. Semko, Controlled rough paths on manifolds I. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 33 (2017), no. 3, 885?950.
- [19] D. Elworthy. *Stochastic differential equations on manifolds*. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [20] K.-J. Engel, R. Nagel. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. — Springer, 2000.
- [21] S. Ethier, T. Kurtz. *Markov processes. Characterization and convergence*. — John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986.
- [22] R.P. Feynman. Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics // *Reviews of modern physics*. 1948. Vol.20, N2, pp.367-387.
- [23] R.P. Feynman. An operator calculus having applications in quantum electrodynamics// *Physical Review*. 1951. Vol.84, N 1, pp. 108-128.
- [24] M. Gordina, T. Laetsch. A convergence to Brownian motion on sub-Riemannian manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 2017, 369.9: 6263-6278.
- [25] B. Güneysu. Covariant Schrödinger semigroups on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 2017, 264.
- [26] L. Hörmander. *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Second Edition. — Springer (1990)
- [27] E.P. Hsu, *Stochastic Analysis On Manifolds*. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [28] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*. Second edition. — North-Holland Mathematical Library, 24. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Kodansha, Ltd., Tokyo, 1989.
- [29] E. Jørgensen, *The Central Limit Problem for Geodesic Random Walks*. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* 32, 1-64 (1965)
- [30] J. Jost. *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*. Fifth Edition — Springer, 2008.
- [31] M. Kac, On distributions of certain Wiener functionals, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **65** (1949), 1–13.

- [32] O. Kallenberg, Foundations of Modern Probability (2nd ed.). — Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [33] S.Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol 1. — Wiley (2009).
- [34] V. N. Kolokoltsov, Markov Processes, Semigroups and Generators. — Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin/New York, 2011.
- [35] Yu A. Kordyukov, *L^p -Theory of Elliptic Differential Operators on Manifolds of Bounded Geometry*. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 23: 223-260, 1991.
- [36] J.M. Lee. Introduction to Riemannian Manifolds, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. — Springer ed. 2018.
- [37] Xue-Mei Li, *Properties at infinity of Diffusion Semigroups and Stochastic Flows via Weak UNiform Covers*, Potential Analysis **3**: 339-357 (1994)
- [38] J. H. Manton. *A Primer on Stochastic Differential Geometry for Signal Processing*. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 681-699, Aug. 2013
- [39] S. A. Molchanov. Diffusion processes and Riemannian geometry. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 1975, 30.1: 1.
- [40] V. Moretti. Spectral theory and quantum mechanics, 2nd ed., Springer 2018
- [41] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian Geometry. With Applications to Relativity. — Academic Press (1983).
- [42] M. A. Pinski. *Isotropic transport process on a Riemannian manifold*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 218 353-360 (1976)
- [43] M. Reed and B. Simon. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II, Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness. — Academic Press (1975)
- [44] I.D. Remizov. Quasi-Feynman formulas – a method of obtaining the evolution operator for the Schrödinger equation. — J. Funct. Anal. 270:12 (2016), 4540-4557.
- [45] I.D. Remizov. Solution-giving formula to Cauchy problem for multidimensional parabolic equation with variable coefficients. — Journal of Mathematical Physics. 2019. Vol. 60. No. 7. P. 1-8.
- [46] I.D. Remizov. Approximations to the solution of Cauchy problem for a linear evolution equation via the space shift operator (second-order equation example). — Applied Mathematics and Computaton 328 (2018), 243-246.
- [47] I.D.Remizov. Formulas that represent Cauchy problem solution for momentum and position Schrödinger equation. — Potential Analysis, 52 (2020), 339-370
- [48] I.D. Remizov. New Method for Constructing Chernoff Functions. — Differential Equations, 53:4 (2017), 566-570
- [49] L. C. G. Rogers and D. Williams. Diffusions, Markov Processes and Martingales. I, II. — John Wiley & sons, 1987.
- [50] K. Schmüdgen, Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space. — Springer Dordrecht 2012.
- [51] O.G. Smolyanov, A.G. Tokarev, A. Truman. Hamiltonian Feynman path integrals via the Chernoff formula. — J. Math. Phys. 43, 10 (2002) 5161-5171.
- [52] O.G. Smolyanov, Weizsäcker H.v., Wittich O. Diffusion on compact Riemannian manifolds, and surface measures. — Doklady Math. 2000. V. 61. P. 230-234.
- [53] O.G. Smolyanov, H.v. Weizsäcker, and O. Wittich. Brownian motion on a manifold as limit of stepwise conditioned standard Brownian motions. — Stochastic processes, Physics and Geometry: New Interplays. II: A Volume in Honour of S. Albeverio, volume 29 of Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc., pages 589-602. Am. Math. Soc., 2000.
- [54] O.G. Smolyanov, H. v. Weizsäcker, O. Wittich. Chernoff's Theorem and Discrete Time Approximations of Brownian Motion on Manifolds. — Potential Analysis, February 2007, Volume 26, Issue 1, pp 1-29.
- [55] O.G. Smolyanov. Feynman formulae for evolutionary equations. — Trends in Stochastic Analysis, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 353, 2009.

- [56] O.G.Smolyanov. Schrödinger type semigroups via Feynman formulae and all that. Proceedings of the Quantum Bio-Informatics V, Tokyo University of Science, Japan, 7 - 12 March 2011. — World Scientific, 2013.
- [57] M. A. Shubin. Spectral theory of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds. — Astérisque, tome 207 (1992), p. 35-108.
- [58] H.F. Trotter. Approximation of semigroups of operators. *Pacific J. Math.* **8** (1958), 887-920.
- [59] A. V. Vedenin, V. S. Voevodkin, V. D. Galkin, E. Yu. Karatetskaya, I. D. Remizov. Speed of Convergence of Chernoff Approximations to Solutions of Evolution Equation. — *Math. Notes*, 108:3 (2020), 451-456
- [60] Feng-Yu Wang. Analysis for diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds. World Scientific, 2014.