# Order-parameter critical exponent of absorbing phase transitions in one-dimensional systems with two symmetric absorbing states

Su-Chan Park (박수찬)

The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon 14662, Republic of Korea

(Dated: May 18, 2020)

Via extensive Monte Carlo simulations along with systematic analyses of corrections to scaling, we estimate the order parameter critical exponent  $\beta$  of absorbing phase transitions in systems with two symmetric absorbing states. The value of  $\beta$  was conjectured to be  $\frac{13}{14} \approx 0.93$  and Monte Carlo simulation studies in the literature have repeatedly reproduced values consistent with the conjecture. In this paper, we systematically estimate  $\beta$  by analyzing the effective exponent after finding how strong corrections to scaling are. We show that the widely accepted numerical value of  $\beta$  is not correct. Rather, we obtain  $\beta = 1.020(5)$  from different models with two symmetric absorbing states.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Absorbing phase transitions have been extensively studied and have played an important role in figuring out a theory as to what determines the universal critical behavior in nonequilibrium systems [1, 2]. To find critical exponents accurately is probably the most important starting point of formulating such a theory, unless an analytic method is available. In this regard, the controversy [3, 4] as to whether the pair contact process with diffusion [5] belongs to the directed percolation universality class or not is a vivid example of the importance of accurate values. Up to now, many universality classes have been found in absorbing phase transitions, but critical exponents with high accuracy are available only for a small fraction of the classes; a notable example is the directed percolation (DP) class in one dimension [6].

Based on numerical simulations, in the mean time, Jensen [7] conjectured exact rational numbers about the critical exponents of systems with two symmetric absorbing states, which would in turn suggest a solvability. We would like to mention in passing that the universality class with two symmetric absorbing states is referred to in several ways, such as parity conserving class [8, 9], directed Ising (DI) class [10],  $Z_2$ -symmetric directed percolation or DP2 [1] class, and generalized voter class [11]. In this paper, we will use the term DI class.

Recent extensive simulations [12], however, refuted the conjecture, though some exponents such as  $\beta/\nu_{\perp}$  and  $\eta$  still remain within the conjecture ( $\frac{1}{2}$  and 0, respectively). In particular, it remains an open question to understand why  $\eta$  seems to be exactly zero [13, 14].

Although most exponents of the DI class have been found with accuracy [12, 13], an unequivocal estimate of the order parameter exponent  $\beta$  still remains open. In Ref. [7],  $\beta$  was conjecture to be  $\frac{13}{14} \approx 0.93$ , which has been repeatedly reproduced within error bars in the literature [7, 15–20]. However, many numerical analyses are based on a (naive) power-law fitting of the form  $\Delta^{\beta}$ , where  $\Delta$  is the distance from the critical point. A power-law fitting is error-prone due to the presence of corrections to scaling, even if one happens to know the exact critical point. More systematic studies analyzing the effective exponent are actually available [18, 19], but corrections to scaling are not analyzed systematically in these studies.

Since the conjecture by and large turned out to be invalid, it is necessary to check if  $\beta$  remains within the conjecture just like  $\eta$  and  $\beta/\nu_{\perp}$ . In this paper, we would like to answer this question by extensive Monte Carlo simulations. To this end, we first introduce a systematic data-analysis method, including how to estimate corrections to scaling. Using the method, we find the order parameter exponent  $\beta$ .

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we explain the models and introduce quantities that we are interested in. In particular, we suggest a method to analyze corrections to scaling, which allows for a systematic estimate of  $\beta$ . Section III presents simulation results. Then we summarize the work in Sec. IV with a discussion about the implications of our conclusion.

## **II. MODEL AND METHODS**

In this paper, we study two kinds of one-dimensional models: the branching annihilating random walks with 2m offspring with positive integer m, which will be abbreviated as BAW(2m) [21] and the contact process with two-particle branching and annihilation, which will be called CP2 in short [22]. For the BAW(2m), we consider two different cases: m = 1 (two offspring) and m = 2 (four offspring). Note that BAW(2m) and CP2 in one dimension can be mapped to models with two symmetric absorbing states and are believed to belong to the DI class in one dimension.

For all the models, we consider a one-dimensional lattice, whose size will be denoted by L, with periodic boundary conditions. Each site can accommodate at most one particle. A site with (without) a particle will be denoted by  $A(\emptyset)$  and we will say that this site is occupied (vacant). The initial condition is always such that every site is occupied.

In the BAW(2m), each particle can hop to one of its nearest neighbors with rate p. The hopping is unbiased. That is, one of its nearest neighbors is chosen with equal

probability in the hopping events. With rate 1 - p, each particle can branch 2m offspring: m offspring will be placed at m consecutive sites on the right (left) hand side of the branching particle. If two particles are to occupy a same site by any attempt, the attempt is ignored with probability 1 - q and with probability q two particles at the same site are removed immediately  $(A + A \rightarrow \emptyset)$ , which makes all sites accommodate at most a single particle at all time.

The rules of the CP2 can be represented as

$$AA \to \varnothing \varnothing \text{ with rate } 1,$$
  
$$\varnothing \varnothing A \text{ or } A \varnothing \varnothing \to AAA \text{ with rate } \lambda. \tag{1}$$

We note that the CP2 with the fully occupied initial condition is identical to the one-dimensional interacting monomers model with two symmetric absorbing states [23], though they are different in higher dimensions [24].

In this paper, we are interested in the behavior of the density  $\rho(t)$  of occupied sites at time t and its steady state value  $\rho_s$ , defined as

$$\rho(t) \equiv \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \langle \sigma_i(t) \rangle, \quad \rho_s \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} \rho(t), \tag{2}$$

where  $\sigma_i(t) = 1(0)$  if site *i* is occupied (vacant) at time *t*,  $\langle \ldots \rangle$  stands for average over ensemble, and we implicitly assume the infinite *L* limit for the definition of  $\rho(t)$ .

Close to the critical point, scaling behaviors of these quantities are expected to be

$$\rho(t) = t^{-\delta} F\left(\Delta t^{1/\nu_{\parallel}}\right),$$
  

$$\rho_s(\Delta) = A\Delta^{\beta} \left[1 + B\Delta^{\chi} + o(\Delta^{\chi})\right],$$
(3)

where  $\Delta$  is the distance from the critical point;  $\beta$ ,  $\nu_{\parallel}$ ,  $\delta$ are critical exponents with the relation  $\delta = \beta/\nu_{\parallel}$ ; F is a universal scaling function; the exponent  $\chi$  dictates the strength of the leading corrections to scaling; o(x) stands for all terms that decay faster than x as  $x \to 0$ ; and A, B are constants. We choose  $\Delta$  to be positive when  $\rho_s$  is nonzero, that is, when the system is in an active phase.

The efficient and systematic way of finding critical point as well as the critical exponent  $\delta$  is to analyze the effective exponent  $-\delta_{e}$  defined as

$$-\delta_{\rm e}(t;b) = \frac{\ln[\rho(t)] - \ln[\rho(t/b)]}{\ln b},$$
 (4)

where b is a constant larger than 1. For ease of analysis, *i*-th measurement of the density is done at (integer time)  $T_i$  defined as

$$T_{i} = \begin{cases} i, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 40, \\ \lfloor 40 \times 2^{(i-40)/15} \rfloor, & \text{for } 41 \leq i \leq 55, \\ 2T_{i-15}, & \text{for } i \geq 56, \end{cases}$$
(5)

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the floor function (greatest integer that is not larger than x). Note that the time span corresponding to 50 measurements for t > 40 is roughly one decade on a logarithmic scale. With this choice of measurement timing, the effective exponent can be easily calculated if we set  $b = 2^k$  (k = 1, 2, ...). To find the critical point as well as the critical exponent  $\delta$ , we need information about corrections to scaling, which can be obtained by a method suggested in Refs. [4, 12].

After having the critical point, we estimate  $\beta$  by analyzing the effective exponent  $\beta_{e}$ , defined as

$$\beta_{\rm e}(\Delta; b) \equiv \frac{\ln[\rho_s(b\Delta)/\rho_s(\Delta)]}{\ln b} = \beta + B\Delta^{\chi} \frac{b^{\chi} - 1}{\ln b} + o(\Delta^{\chi}), \qquad (6)$$

where b is a constant. The effective exponent can be studied systematically if we get the stationary state density at regular values of  $\Delta$  on a logarithmic scale. In practice, we simulated systems at  $\Delta = \Delta_n$ , where

$$\Delta_n = 2^{n/2} \times 10^{-3}.$$
 (7)

With this choice, we will set  $b = 2^{k/2}$  (k = 1, 2, ...).

Unless  $\Delta$  is sufficiently small,  $\beta_{\rm e}$  is significantly affected by corrections to scaling that are characterized by the exponent  $\chi$ . Accordingly, an accurate estimate of  $\beta$  from  $\beta_{\rm e}$  requires information about  $\chi$ . Using an idea similar to that in Ref. [4, 12], we introduce the corrections-toscaling function Q defined as

$$Q(\Delta; b, \chi) = \frac{\ln \rho_s(\Delta) + \ln \rho_s(b^2 \Delta) - 2\ln \rho_s(b\Delta)}{(b^{\chi} - 1)^2}.$$
 (8)

If we have the correct value of  $\chi$ , Q behaves in the asymptotic regime  $(\Delta \to 0)$  as  $Q \approx B\Delta^{\chi}$  without dependence on b. This fact will be used for a consistency check of the  $\chi$  estimate.

With the value of  $\chi$ , we analyze  $\beta_{\rm e}$  as a function of  $\Delta^{\chi}$ , which should be a straight line for the small  $\Delta$  regime. Hence, we can find  $\beta$  by a linear extrapolation.

## III. RESULTS

In this section, we present simulation results. Let us begin with the BAW(4) with q = 1. We first find the critical point by studying the effective exponent  $-\delta_{\rm e}$ . We simulated a system of size  $L = 2^{23}$  up to time  $t = T_{309} \approx$  $10^7$  and the density is averaged over 800 (for p = 0.7219) or 1000 (for other cases) independent runs.

In Fig. 1, we depict  $-\delta_e$  with b = 16 against  $t^{-0.5}$  for p = 0.7219, 0.72194, and 0.72198. We would like to mention in passing that the leading behavior of corrections to scaling was found to be  $t^{-0.5}$  by the method in Ref. [4] (details not shown here). The curve for p = 0.7219 (0.72198) clearly veers up (down), indicating that the system is in the active (absorbing) phase. Accordingly, we find  $p_c = 0.72194(4)$  and  $\delta \approx 0.2872$ . This critical point should be compared with that in Ref. [7], which is 0.7215. Notice that the numerical value of  $\delta$  estimated in Fig. 1 is consistent with the result in Ref. [12].



FIG. 1. Plots of  $-\delta_e$  vs  $t^{-0.5}$  for p = 0.7219, 0.721 94, and 0.721 98 (top to bottom) for the BAW(4). Here, *b* is set to 16.  $\delta_e$  for p = 0.721 94 approaches 0.2872, the value of the DI class [12], while the other curves deviate from the straight line behavior as they approach the ordinate. Hence we conclude  $p_c = 0.721$  94(4), where the number in parentheses indicates the uncertainty of the last digit.

Having the critical point  $p_c$ , we found the steady state density at  $\Delta \equiv p_c - p = \Delta_n$ . We simulated systems of size  $2^{20}$  or  $2^{21}$ , which are large enough for the finite size effect not to affect the steady state value significantly. For better statistics, we take the average over 1000 ( $n \geq$ 8) or 2000 ( $n \leq 7$ ) independent realizations. In Fig. 2, we present the resulting  $\rho(t)$  for various n's.

When we calculated the steady state density  $\rho_s$ , we took an average over the last 25 measurement points, which correspond to a half-decade on a logarithmic scale. This averaging procedure to find the steady state density is also applied to other models, to be studied soon. We obtained  $\rho_s$  with error of size ~ 10<sup>-5</sup> (the relative error



FIG. 2. Double logarithmic plots of  $\rho$  vs t at  $p = p_c - \Delta_n$ with  $n = 5, 6, \ldots, 15$  (bottom to top) for the BAW(4).  $\Delta_n$  is defined in Eq. (7).



FIG. 3. (a) Plots of -Q vs  $\Delta$  for  $b = 2^{n/2}$  with n = 1, 2, 3, 4 (top to bottom) on a double logarithmic scale for the BAW(4). The line segment with slope 0.7 is to guide to the eyes. (b) Plot of  $\beta_{\rm e}$  vs  $\Delta^{0.7}$  with b = 2 for the BAW(4). The horizontal line indicates the value  $\frac{13}{14} \approx 0.93$ . The extrapolation gives  $\beta = 1.020(5)$ . (c) Plot of  $\beta_{\rm e}$  vs  $\Delta^{0.6}$  with b = 2 for the BAW(2). The extrapolation gives a result consistent with the BAW(4).

for n = 5 is about  $10^{-3}$ , which is the largest in our data).

To find  $\beta$ , we first analyze Q, defined in Eq. (8). First of all, we would like to mention that Q is found negative, which indicates that B in Eq. (3) is negative. Actually, we found  $B \approx -2$ . Accordingly,  $\beta_e$  should approach the ordinate from below; see Eq. (6). In Fig. 3(a), we depict -Q as a function of  $\Delta$  for  $b = \sqrt{2}, 2, 2\sqrt{2}$ , and 4. In this plot,  $\chi$  is set to be 0.7, which results in the asymptotic behavior of Q being independent of b. Also, this choice of  $\chi$  is consistent with the asymptotic behavior of Q for small  $\Delta$ ; see the line segment with slope 0.7 in Fig. 3(a).

Since we have found  $\chi$ , we can now analyze the effective exponent  $\beta_{\rm e}$  efficiently. In Fig. 3(b), we plot  $\beta_{\rm e}$  with b = 2against  $\Delta^{0.7}$ , which shows a nice straight line behavior for small  $\Delta$ . As anticipated,  $\beta_{\rm e}$  indeed decreases with  $\Delta$ . By a linear extrapolation, we find  $\beta \simeq 1.020(5)$ , where the number in parentheses indicates uncertainty of the last digit. For comparison, we also draw the conjectured value  $\frac{13}{14}$  in Fig. 3(b) as a horizontal line. Obviously, the effective exponent goes beyond 0.93, which shows that the conjecture about  $\beta$  cannot be correct. We would like to mention that other choices of b give a consistent



FIG. 4. (a) Plots of  $-\delta_e$  vs  $t^{-0.45}$  for the CP2 with b = 16. The values of  $\lambda$  are  $\lambda = 0.8928$ , 0.892 87, and 0.892 95 (bottom to top).  $-\delta_e$  for  $\lambda = 0.892$  87 exhibits a linear behavior, while  $-\delta_e$  for  $\lambda = 0.892$  95 (0.8928) veers up (down) for large t, which gives  $\lambda_c \approx 0.892$  87. (b) Plot of  $\beta_e$  vs  $\Delta^{0.7}$  for the CP2 with b = 2. The result of a linear extrapolation for small  $\Delta$ is drawn as a straight line, which gives  $\beta \approx 1.02$ .

conclusion (details not shown here).

We also studied the behavior of the steady state density for the BAW(2) with q = 0.5. The critical point of this case is available from Ref. [12], which is  $p_c \simeq$ 0.494 675(25). We use this value for the following analysis. We simulated the BAW(2) at  $p = p_c - \Delta_n$  for  $n = 10, 11, \ldots, 17$  with system size  $L = 2^{20}$ . The number of independent runs ranges from 1000 to 2000.

We first analyzed Q to obtain  $\chi = 0.6$  (details not shown here). Since the exponent of the leading behavior of corrections to scaling is not universal, it is not surprising that  $\chi$  of the BAW(2) is different from that of the BAW(4). With this estimate of  $\chi$ , we also analyzed  $\beta_{\rm e}$ , which is shown in Fig. 3(c). A linear extrapolation gives an estimate  $\beta \approx 1.02$ , which is consistent with the estimate for the BAW(4).

Now we move on to the CP2. As we have already noted, the CP2 is identical to the interacting monomers model [23]. The critical point  $\lambda_c$  is available from Ref. [23], but this value is less accurate because the analysis was based on the conjectured value of  $\delta$ . So we will find the more accurate critical point by analyzing  $-\delta_e$ .

The simulated system size is  $2^{23}$  and the longest observation time (for  $\lambda = 0.892$  87) is  $T_{309}$ .  $\rho(t)$  is obtained by averaging over 1000 independent realizations. We first found the exponent of corrections to scaling to be 0.45 (details not shown here). Using this value, we plot the effective exponent  $-\delta_{\rm e}$  as a function of  $t^{-0.45}$  in Fig. 4(a). From this analysis, we conclude that the critical point is  $\lambda_c = 0.892$  87(8), which is indeed different from that found in Ref. [23]. The critical exponent  $\delta$  is found to be around 0.2872.

To obtain  $\rho_s$ , we simulated a system of size  $L = 2^{20}$  at  $\Delta \equiv \lambda - \lambda_c = \Delta_n$  with  $n = 9, 10, \ldots, 18$ . For each case, 1000 independent runs are averaged. We first estimated  $\chi$  to be 0.7 (details not shown here), which is used to analyze  $\beta_e$ . In Fig. 4(b), we depict  $\beta_e$  against  $\Delta^{0.7}$ . Again by a linear extrapolation, we obtain  $\beta \approx 1.02$ .

Since the numerical estimation of critical exponents is influenced by the accuracy of the critical point, we have to check how much the effective exponent  $\beta_e$  is affected by the error of the critical point. Let  $\pi_c$  be the "exact" critical point and  $p_c$  be the numerically found critical point. When p is close to  $\pi_c$  in the active phase, the steady state density is well approximated by

$$\rho_s(p) \simeq A |\pi_c - p|^{\beta} \left( 1 + B |\pi_c - p|^{\chi} \right),$$
(9)

where we have kept terms only up to the leading correction to scaling. If we expand  $\rho_s(p)$  around  $p_c$  (rather than the exact  $\pi_c$ ), we get

$$\rho_s(p) \approx A |\Delta + D_c|^{\beta} \left[ 1 + B |\Delta + D_c|^{\chi} \right]$$
$$\approx A \Delta^{\beta} \left[ 1 + B \Delta^{\chi} + \beta \frac{D_c}{\Delta} \right], \tag{10}$$

where  $D_c \equiv |\pi_c - p_c|, \Delta \equiv |p_c - p|$ , and we have kept terms up to  $D_c/\Delta$ . Notice that  $D_c$  is smaller than  $\Delta$ in our simulations. As in Sec. III, we find the effective exponent

$$\beta_{\rm e}(\Delta; b) \approx \beta + B\Delta^{\chi} \frac{b^{\chi} - 1}{\ln b} - \frac{b - 1}{b \ln b} \frac{D_c}{\Delta}.$$
 (11)

For b = 2, the largest error (for smallest  $\Delta_{\min}$  in simulations) due to the inaccuracy of the critical point is

$$\frac{2-1}{2\ln 2} \frac{|D_c|}{\Delta_{\min}} = \begin{cases} 5 \times 10^{-3}, & \text{BAW}(4), \\ 6 \times 10^{-4}, & \text{BAW}(2), \\ 3 \times 10^{-3}, & \text{CP}(2), \end{cases}$$
(12)

which is of size similar to the statistical error. Hence, the inaccuracy of the critical point in our simulations hardly changes the estimate.

We have studied three different cases and we consistently obtain  $\beta = 1.020(5)$ . The estimated  $\beta$  in this paper along with results in Ref. [12] also gives  $\nu_{\perp} = 2.04(1)$  and  $\nu_{\parallel} = 3.55(2)$ .

### IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

To summarize, we extensively studied a few models that belong to the directed Ising (DI) universality class, focusing on estimating the order parameter exponent

TABLE I. Critical exponents of the DI class in one dimension. Numbers in parentheses indicate uncertainty of the last digits.

Exponent	Conjecture [7]	Numerical values
$eta /  u_{\parallel}$	$\frac{2}{7} \approx 0.2857$	0.2872(2)
$eta/ u_{\perp}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.5000(6)
z	$\frac{7}{4} = 1.75$	1.7415(5)
$\eta$	0	0.0000(2)
$\beta$	$\frac{13}{14}$	1.020(5)
$ u_{\perp}$	$\frac{13}{7} \approx 1.86$	2.04(1)
$ u_{\parallel}$	$\frac{13}{4} \approx 3.25$	3.55(2)

 $\beta$ . We first analyzed the corrections-to-scaling function Q defined in Eq. (8). After finding the asymptotic behavior of the corrections-to-scaling function for each model, we analyzed the effective exponent  $\beta_{\rm e}$ , to arrive at  $\beta = 1.020(5)$ , which is clearly different from the widely acknowledged value  $\approx 0.93$ . Using the estimated value  $\beta$ along with the estimates of other exponents in Ref. [12], we also arrived at  $\nu_{\perp} = 2.04(1)$  and  $\nu_{\parallel} = 3.55(2)$ , which are larger than the conjectured values in Ref. [7]. Critical exponents are summarized in Table I with comparison to the conjecture.

Previous Monte Carlo simulations have repeatedly found that  $\beta$  is around 0.93 (though its value is somewhat scattered). As we have shown in this paper, however, corrections to scaling are not negligible for the BAW(2m) and the CP2. Thus, without taking them into account, one can be easily misled by Monte Carlo simulations. Interestingly, our result is consistent with the analysis of series expansions [22, 25]. It is also interesting that nonperturbative renormalization group analysis gives  $\nu_{\perp} = 2 \pm 0.1$  [26], which is comparable to our estimate  $\nu_{\perp} = 2.04(1)$ .

Many studies used either a power-law fitting [7, 17, 20] or a scaling collapse [15]. To illustrate what we could have concluded if we had not taken corrections to scaling into account, we present a scaling collapse for the BAW(4) in Fig. 5 using two sets of exponents. As one can see, the conjectured exponents give a better-looking scaling collapse than the more accurate values (see the inset of Fig. 5). Since the conjectured values give an "impressive" scaling collapse, a naive power-law fitting to our data would have supported the conjecture.

The reason why a scaling collapse does not look perfect with the accurate values of  $\beta$  and  $\nu_{\parallel}$  can be explained by the effective exponent in Fig. 3(b). The largest value of  $\beta_{\rm e}$  we have is still somewhat away from the extrapolated value. On this account, setting  $\rho_s \simeq A\Delta^{1.02}$  for our data is an inaccurate approximation. Using  $B \approx -2$ and  $\chi \approx 0.7$  for the BAW(4) obtained in Sec. III, we find that  $\beta_{\rm e}$  becomes larger than 1 for  $\Delta < 0.0016$ . For the system with  $\Delta = 0.0016$ , the steady state will be attained after  $t \approx 10^{10}$ . Thus, it would be very difficult to have a nice-looking scaling collapse with the correct exponents for models belonging to the DI class.



FIG. 5. Scaling collapse plot of  $\rho \Delta^{-\beta}$  vs  $t \Delta^{\nu_{\parallel}}$  for the BAW(4). Here  $\Delta = \Delta_n$  with  $n = 5, 6, \ldots, 11$  and we use  $\beta = 0.93$  and  $\nu_{\parallel} = 3.25$ . Inset: Same scaling collapse plot with  $\beta = 1.02$  and  $\nu_{\parallel} = 3.55$ . Even though the exponents are more accurate, the scaling collapse looks worse, which should be attributed to the corrections to scaling not to the value of the exponents.

This discussion adds a caveat to numerical analyses of critical phenomena. A nice-looking scaling collapse does not mean that the critical exponents are accurately found and, by the same token, a less impressive scaling collapse should not be a reason to reject the possibility of there being critical exponents. A scaling collapse can at best be used to check consistency; whether a scaling collapse is nice looking or not is too subjective. A scaling collapse is reliable only when corrections to scaling are negligibly small, which one cannot be sure of *a priori*. In the same context, a naive power-law fitting is a misleading practice when it comes to determining the critical exponents.

The effective exponent was actually studied in Refs. [18, 19], which predicted  $\beta = 0.95(2)$ . However, the numerical error of the effective exponent in Refs. [18, 19] is quite large. Besides,  $\chi$  was set to 1 without any numerical support in the analyses of the effective exponent in Refs. [18, 19]. On these accounts, we claim that our estimate is more accurate than that in the former studies [18, 19].

It might look strange that our estimate of  $\beta$  is larger than the order parameter exponent of the mean-field theory, which is 1. However, this observation is not completely inconsistent with the field theory [27, 28]. The renormalization-group calculation up to one loop order suggests that  $\beta \to \infty$  as dimension d approaches  $d'_c = \frac{4}{3}$ from above [28, see page 20]. In this context, it is not impossible that  $\beta$  in lower dimensions is larger than the corresponding value in the mean field theory. Nonetheless, it is hard to rule out that  $\beta$  is actually 1 and, accordingly,  $\nu_{\perp} = 2$ . If this is indeed the case, the DI class seems to have (at least) three integer critical exponents,  $\eta = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$ , and  $\nu_{\perp} = 2$ . Since  $\beta$  and  $\nu_{\perp}$  describe steady-state behavior, solvability of the DI class in one dimension, probably only for the steady state, might be still open (notice that  $\beta$  and  $\nu_{\perp}$  of the two-dimensional Ising model are fractional numbers, whereas dynamics exponent z is presumably not; for a recent accurate estimate of z of the two-dimensional Ising model with Metropolis algorithm, see Ref. [29]). It would be an intriguing future project to come up with a theory that explains whether  $\beta$  is exactly 1 or not.

- H. Hinrichsen, Non-equilibrium critical phenomena and phase transitions into absorbing states, Adv. Phys. 49, 815 (2000).
- [2] G. Ódor, Universality classes in nonequilibrium lattice systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 663 (2004).
- [3] F. Smallenburg and G. T. Barkema, Universality class of the pair contact process with diffusion, Phys. Rev. E 78, 031129 (2008).
- [4] S.-C. Park, Critical decay exponent of the pair contact process with diffusion, Phys. Rev. E 90, 052115 (2014).
- [5] M. Henkel and H. Hinrichsen, The non-equilibrium phase transition of the pair-contact process with diffusion, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37, R117 (2004).
- [6] I. Jensen, Low-density series expansions for directed percolation: I. a new efficient algorithm with applications to the square lattice, J. Phys. A 32, 5233 (1999).
- [7] I. Jensen, Critical exponents for branching annihilating random walks with an even number of offspring, Phys. Rev. E 50, 3623 (1994).
- [8] P. Grassberger, F. Krause, and T. von der Twer, A new type of kinetic critical phenomenon, J. Phys. A 17, L105 (1984).
- [9] I. Jensen, Conservation Laws and Universality in Branching Annihilating Random Walks, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26, 3921 (1993).
- [10] W. M. Hwang, S. Kwon, H. Park, and H. Park, Critical phenomena of nonequilibrium dynamical systems with two absorbing states, Phys. Rev. E 57, 6438 (1998).
- [11] O. Al Hammal, H. Chaté, I. Dornic, and M. A. Muñoz, Langevin description of critical phenomena with two symmetric absorbing states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 230601 (2005).
- [12] S.-C. Park, High-precision estimate of the critical exponents for the directed Ising universality class, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 62, 469 (2013).
- [13] P. Grassberger, On the continuum time limit of reactiondiffusion systems, EPL 103, 50009 (2013).
- [14] N. Araújo, P. Grassberger, B. Kahng, K. J. Schrenk, and R. M. Ziff, Recent advances and open challenges in percolation, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **223**, 2307 (2014).
- [15] M. H. Kim and H. Park, Critical behavior of an interacting monomer-dimer model,

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (Grant No. 2017R1D1A1B03034878), and by the Catholic University of Korea, research fund 2018. The author furthermore thanks the Regional Computing Center of the University of Cologne (RRZK) for providing computing time on the DFG-funded High Performance Computing (HPC) system CHEOPS.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2579 (1994).

- [16] N. Menyhárd, One-dimensional non-equilibrium kinetic Ising models with branching annihilating random walk, J. Phys. A 27, 6139 (1994).
- [17] D. Zhong and D. ben Avraham, Universality class of twooffspring branching annihilating random walks, Phys. Lett. 209, 333 (1995).
- [18] H. Hinrichsen and G. Ódor, Critical behavior of roughening transitions in parity-conserving growth processes, Phys. Rev. E 60, 3842 (1999).
- [19] N. Menyhárd and G. Ódor, Nonequilibrium kinetic Ising models: Phase transitions and universality classes in one dimension, Braz. J. Phys. **30**, 113 (2000).
- [20] C. Argolo, One-dimensional absorbing phase transition in the fermionic parity-conserving particle process with second neighbors branching, Physica A 537, 122731 (2020).
- [21] H. Takayasu and A. Y. Tretyakov, Extinction, survival, and dynamical phase transition of branching annihilating random walk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3060 (1992).
- [22] N. Inui and A. Y. Tretyakov, Critical behavior of the contact process with parity conservation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5148 (1998).
- [23] S.-C. Park and H. Park, Three different routes from the directed ising to the directed percolation class, Phys. Rev. E 78, 041128 (2008).
- [24] S.-C. Park, Order-disorder transition in a model with two symmetric absorbing states, Phys. Rev. E 85, 041140 (2012).
- [25] I. Jensen, Series expansions for the percolation probability of a generalized Domany-Kinzel cellular automaton, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30**, 8471 (1997).
- [26] L. Canet, H. Chaté, B. Delamotte, I. Dornic, and M. A. Muñoz, Nonperturbative fixed point in a nonequilibrium phase transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 100601 (2005).
- [27] J. Cardy and U. C. Täuber, Theory of branching and annihilating random walks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4780 (1996).
- [28] J. L. Cardy and U. C. Täuber, Field theory of branching and annihilating random walks, J. Stat. Phys. 90, 1 (1998).
- [29] S.-C. Park, Order-disorder transition in the twodimensional interacting monomer-dimer model: Ising criticality, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. (2015), P10009.