

Recursive PGFs for BSTs and DSTs

STEVEN FINCH

February 7, 2020

ABSTRACT. We review fundamentals underlying binary search trees and digital search trees, with (atypical) emphasis on recursive formulas for associated probability generating functions. Other topics include higher moments of BST search costs and combinatorics for a certain finite-key analog of DSTs.

Let K denote the number of comparisons in a successful/unsuccessful search of a random tree. Exact expressions for probabilities/moments of K exist for both binary search trees and digital search trees [1, 2]. On the one hand, further exposition on such well-known algorithms seems unnecessary. On the other hand, our experience with recursively-defined probability generating functions in [3] illustrates the value of revisiting old topics with new insights. We gather previously-scattered results into one place, trusting that this work now will save some researchers the trouble later.

1. BINARY SEARCH TREES

Consider the R program:

```
f <- function(x,V,k)
{
  if(NROW(V)==0) k <- 0
  else {
    u <- V[1]
    if(x==u) k <- 1
    else if(x<u) c(x,V,k <- 1+f(x,V <- V[V<u],k))
    else c(x,V,k <- 1+f(x,V <- V[V>u],k))
  }
  k
}
```

where V is a random permutation on $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n - 1\}$ and k is initially 0. To model successful searches, let x be a random odd integer satisfying $1 \leq x \leq 2n - 1$. To model unsuccessful searches, let x be a random even integer satisfying $0 \leq x \leq 2n$. This scenario is exactly as described in [4]. It is assumed, of course, that x and V are drawn independently with uniform sampling. We begin with even x , because this case is simpler, followed by odd x .

⁰Copyright © 2020 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

1.1. Unsuccessful Search. The probability generating function for K_n , given n , obeys a recursion [5]

$$f_n(z) = \frac{2z + n - 1}{n + 1} f_{n-1}(z), \quad n \geq 2;$$

$$f_1(z) = z.$$

Note that $f_n(1) = 1$ always. Differentiating with respect to z :

$$f'_n(z) = \frac{2}{n + 1} f_{n-1}(z) + \frac{2z + n - 1}{n + 1} f'_{n-1}(z)$$

we have first moment

$$\mathbb{E}(K_n) = f'_n(1) = \frac{2}{n + 1} + f'_{n-1}(1)$$

that is,

$$g_n = \frac{2}{n + 1} + g_{n-1}$$

where $g_k = f'_k(1)$ and $g_1 = 1$. Clearly $g_2 = 5/3$ and $g_3 = 13/6$. Differentiating again:

$$f''_n(z) = \frac{4}{n + 1} f'_{n-1}(z) + \frac{2z + n - 1}{n + 1} f''_{n-1}(z)$$

we have second factorial moment

$$\mathbb{E}(K_n(K_n - 1)) = f''_n(1) = \frac{4}{n + 1} f'_{n-1}(1) + f''_{n-1}(1),$$

that is,

$$h_n = \frac{4}{n + 1} g_{n-1} + h_{n-1}$$

where $h_k = f''_k(1)$ and $h_1 = 0$. Clearly $h_2 = 4/3$ and $h_3 = 3$. Finally, we have variance

$$\mathbb{V}(K_n) = h_n - g_n^2 + g_n$$

which is $2/9$ when $n = 2$ and $17/36$ when $n = 3$. From (more typical) harmonic number-based exact expressions, it can be proved that [2, 6, 7]

$$\mathbb{E}(K_n) = 2 \ln(n) + 2(\gamma - 1) + \frac{3}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$\mathbb{V}(K_n) = 2 \ln(n) + 2\left(\gamma - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) + \frac{7}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1.2. Successful Search. The probability generating function for K_n , given n , obeys a recursion

$$n^2 f_n(z) = (n-1)(2z+n-1)f_{n-1}(z) + z, \quad n \geq 2;$$

$$f_1(z) = z.$$

Note that $f_n(1) = 1$ always. Differentiating with respect to z :

$$n^2 f'_n(z) = 2(n-1)f_{n-1}(z) + (n-1)(2z+n-1)f'_{n-1}(z) + 1$$

we have first moment

$$\mathbb{E}(K_n) = f'_n(1) = \frac{2(n-1) + (n-1)(n+1)f'_{n-1}(1) + 1}{n^2}$$

that is,

$$g_n = \frac{(2n-1) + (n^2-1)g_{n-1}}{n^2}$$

where $g_k = f'_k(1)$ and $g_1 = 1$. Clearly $g_2 = 3/2$ and $g_3 = 17/9$. Differentiating again:

$$n^2 f''_n(z) = 4(n-1)f'_{n-1}(z) + (n-1)(2z+n-1)f''_{n-1}(z)$$

we have second factorial moment

$$\mathbb{E}(K_n(K_n-1)) = f''_n(1) = \frac{4(n-1)f'_{n-1}(1) + (n-1)(n+1)f''_{n-1}(1)}{n^2},$$

that is,

$$h_n = \frac{4(n-1)g_{n-1} + (n^2-1)h_{n-1}}{n^2}$$

where $h_k = f''_k(1)$ and $h_1 = 0$. Clearly $h_2 = 1$ and $h_3 = 20/9$. Finally, we have variance $\mathbb{V}(K_n)$ which is $1/4$ when $n = 2$ and $44/81$ when $n = 3$.

It can be proved that [2, 5, 6, 8]

$$\mathbb{E}(K_n) = 2 \ln(n) + (2\gamma - 3) + \frac{2 \ln(n)}{n} + \frac{2\gamma + 1}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(K_n) &= 2 \ln(n) + 2 \left(\gamma - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 2 \right) - \frac{4 \ln(n)^2}{n} + \frac{2(5 - 4\gamma) \ln(n)}{n} \\ &\quad + \left(5 + 10\gamma - 4\gamma^2 - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right) \frac{1}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1.3. Total Path Length. The total (internal) path length L_n is the sum of $K_n - 1$ taken over all odd integers x from 1 to $2n - 1$. It is not surprising that calculations are more involved here than before. The probability generating function for L_n , given n , obeys a recursion [6]

$$f_n(z) = \frac{z^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z), \quad n \geq 1;$$

$$f_0(z) = 1.$$

Note that $f_n(1) = 1$ always. Differentiating with respect to z :

$$f'_n(z) = \frac{(n-1)z^{n-2}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z) + \frac{z^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [f'_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z) + f_k(z) f'_{n-1-k}(z)]$$

we have first moment

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(L_n) &= f'_n(1) = \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [f'_k(1) + f'_{n-1-k}(1)] \\ &= n-1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f'_k(1), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$g_n = n-1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k$$

where $g_k = f'_k(1)$ and $g_0 = 0$. Clearly $g_1 = 0$, $g_2 = 1$, $g_3 = 8/3$ and $g_4 = 29/6$. Differentiating again:

$$\begin{aligned} f''_n(z) &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)z^{n-3}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z) + \frac{2(n-1)z^{n-2}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [f'_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z) + f_k(z) f'_{n-1-k}(z)] \\ &\quad + \frac{z^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [f''_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z) + 2f'_k(z) f'_{n-1-k}(z) + f_k(z) f''_{n-1-k}(z)] \end{aligned}$$

we have second factorial moment

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(L_n(L_n - 1)) &= f''_n(1) \\ &= (n-1)(n-2) + 2(n-1) [f'_n(1) - n + 1] + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f'_k(1) f'_{n-1-k}(1) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f''_k(1), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$h_n = -(n-1)n + 2(n-1)g_n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k g_{n-1-k} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k$$

where $h_k = f_k''(1)$ and $h_0 = 0$. Clearly $h_1 = 0$, $h_2 = 0$, $h_3 = 14/3$ and $h_4 = 58/3$. Finally, we have variance $\mathbb{V}(L_n)$ which is $2/9$ when $n = 3$ and $29/36$ when $n = 4$.

It can be proved that [2, 5, 9]

$$\mathbb{E}(L_n) = 2n \ln(n) + 2(\gamma - 2)n + 2 \ln(n) + (2\gamma + 1) + o(1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(L_n) &= \left(7 - \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right) n^2 - 2n \ln(n) + \left(17 - 2\gamma - \frac{4\pi^2}{3}\right) n \\ &\quad - 2 \ln(n) + \left(5 - 2\gamma - \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1.4. Higher Moments. A third moment expression appears in [10] for successful search; analogous work for unsuccessful search remains undone. We focus on total (internal) path length L_n for BSTs. The cumulants $\kappa_2, \kappa_3, \dots, \kappa_8$ of L_n were exhaustively studied by Hennequin [11, 12]; these asymptotically satisfy

$$\kappa_s \sim [a_s + (-1)^{s+1} 2^s (s-1)! \zeta(s)] n^s$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\{a_s\}_{s=2}^8 = \left\{ 7, -19, \frac{937}{9}, -\frac{85981}{108}, \frac{21096517}{2700}, -\frac{7527245453}{81000}, \frac{19281922400989}{14883750} \right\}.$$

Hoffman & Kuba [13] obtained a complicated recurrence for an associated sequence of rationals [14, 15]:

$$\{c_s\}_{s=2}^8 = \left\{ 7, -19, \frac{2260}{9}, -\frac{229621}{108}, \frac{74250517}{2700}, -\frac{30532750703}{81000}, \frac{90558126238639}{14883750} \right\}$$

using what they called *tiered binomial coefficients*. While they utilized notation $(n, m)_i$, we adopt $T(i, n, m)$. It suffices to say that $T(0, n, m) = \binom{n+m}{n}$ and a rich theory about $T(i, n, m)$ for $i \geq 1$ awaits discovery. We give Mathematica code for

generating c_s :

```
f[i_,x_,y_] := (1/(i+1-x-y)) (Binomial[i-x,i]/Binomial[i-x-y,i])
```

```
T[i_,n_,m_] := If[n+m > 0, Coefficient[Normal[
  Series[f[i,x,y], {x,0,n}, {y,0,m}]], x^n y^m], 1/(1+i)]
```

```
c[s_] := c[s] = ((s+1)/(s-1)) *
  Sum[Sum[Sum[If[k1+k2+k3 == s, Multinomial[k1,k2,k3] c[k1] c[k2] *
    Sum[Sum[Sum[If[n+m+p == k3,
      Sum[Multinomial[n,m,p] Binomial[m+k2,j] (-1)^j (-2)^(n+m) n! m! T[n+k1+j,n,m],
        {j,0,m+k2}], 0],
        {p,0,k3}], {m,0,k3}], {n,0,k3}], 0],
    {k3,0,s}], {k2,0,s-1}], {k1,0,s-1}]
```

```
c[0] = 1;
c[1] = 0;
```

and code for generating a_s , given c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s :

```
Sum[(-1)^(j-1) (j-1)! BellY[s, j, Table[c[i], {i,1,s-j+1}]], {j,1,s}]
```

This final line employs a well-known expression for cumulants in terms of partial (or incomplete) Bell polynomials of central moments.

2. DIGITAL SEARCH TREES

Consider the R program:

```
f <- function(x,M,p,k)
{
  q <- NCOL(M)
  if(NROW(M)==0) k <- 0
  else {
    if(all(x==matrix(M[1,],ncol=q))) k <- 1
    else {
      M <- matrix(M[-1,],ncol=q)
      M <- matrix(M[M[,p]==x[p],],ncol=q)
      k <- 1+f(x,M,p <- p+1,k)
    }
  }
  k
}
```

where M is a random binary $n \times \ell$ matrix with n distinct rows, p is initially 1 and k is initially 0. It is usually assumed [2, 16] that $\ell = \infty$, from which the row-distinctness requirement follows almost surely (imagining the rows as binary expansions of n independent Uniform $[0, 1]$ numbers). If instead $\ell = n$, as exploratively specified in [17], then the matrix M would need to be generated carefully to avoid duplicate keys. To model successful searches, let x be a random row of M . To model unsuccessful searches, let x be a random binary ℓ -vector that is not a row of M .

2.1. Unsuccessful Search. The probability generating function for K_n , given n , is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{4}z + \frac{5}{8}z^2 + \frac{1}{8}z^3 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \frac{1}{8}z + \frac{19}{32}z^2 + \frac{17}{64}z^3 + \frac{1}{64}z^4 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{1}{16}z + \frac{8}{128}z^2 + \frac{195}{512}z^3 + \frac{49}{1024}z^4 + \frac{1}{1024}z^5 & \text{if } n = 5 \end{array} \right.$$

for $\ell = \infty$ and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{2}{3}z + \frac{1}{3}z^2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{2}{7}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2 + \frac{1}{21}z^3 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \frac{8}{65}z + \frac{302}{455}z^2 + \frac{22}{105}z^3 + \frac{1}{273}z^4 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{52}{899}z + \frac{7384}{13485}z^2 + \frac{34502}{94395}z^3 + \frac{26}{899}z^4 + \frac{1}{6293}z^5 & \text{if } n = 5 \end{array} \right.$$

for $\ell = n$. A closed-form expression exists [2] for $f_n(z)$ when $\ell = \infty$, but a corresponding simple recursive formula does not evidently materialize. Section 3 contains verification of these polynomial expressions.

2.2. Successful Search. The probability generating function for K_n , given n , is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \frac{1}{4}z + \frac{7}{16}z^2 + \frac{9}{32}z^3 + \frac{1}{32}z^4 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{1}{5}z + \frac{3}{8}z^2 + \frac{11}{32}z^3 + \frac{5}{64}z^4 + \frac{1}{320}z^5 & \text{if } n = 5 \end{array} \right.$$

for $\ell = \infty$ and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{11}{21}z^2 + \frac{1}{7}z^3 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \frac{1}{4}z + \frac{9}{20}z^2 + \frac{39}{140}z^3 + \frac{3}{140}z^4 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{1}{5}z + \frac{1707}{4495}z^2 + \frac{23561}{67425}z^3 + \frac{4657}{67425}z^4 + \frac{39}{22475}z^5 & \text{if } n = 5 \end{array} \right.$$

for $\ell = n$. A closed-form expression exists [1, 2] for $f_n(z)$ when $\ell = \infty$, but a corresponding simple recursive formula again does not materialize. Means and variances for $\ell = \infty$ and those for $\ell = n$ unsurprisingly become closer as n increases.

2.3. Total Path Length. The total (internal) path length L_n is the sum of $K_n - 1$ taken over all rows m of M . It is not surprising that calculations are more involved here than before. Assume that $\ell = \infty$. The probability generating function for L_n , given n , obeys a recursion [18]

$$f_n(z) = z^{n-1} 2^{1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} f_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z), \quad n \geq 1;$$

$$f_0(z) = 1.$$

Note that $f_n(1) = 1$ always. Differentiating with respect to z :

$$\begin{aligned} f'_n(z) &= (n-1)z^{n-2} 2^{1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} f_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z) \\ &+ z^{n-1} 2^{1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} [f'_k(z) f_{n-1-k}(z) + f_k(z) f'_{n-1-k}(z)] \end{aligned}$$

we have first moment

$$\mathbb{E}(L_n) = f'_n(1) = n-1 + 2^{2-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} f'_k(1)$$

that is,

$$g_n = n-1 + 2^{2-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} g_k$$

where $g_k = f'_k(1)$ and $g_0 = 0$. Clearly $g_1 = 0$, $g_2 = 1$, $g_3 = 5/2$ and $g_4 = 35/8$. Differentiating again:

$$\begin{aligned} f''_n(z) &= (n-1)(n-2)z^{n-3}2^{1-n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}f_k(z)f_{n-1-k}(z) \\ &+ (n-1)z^{n-2}2^{2-n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}[f'_k(z)f_{n-1-k}(z) + f_k(z)f'_{n-1-k}(z)] \\ &+ z^{n-1}2^{1-n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}[f''_k(z)f_{n-1-k}(z) + 2f'_k(z)f'_{n-1-k}(z) + f_k(z)f''_{n-1-k}(z)] \end{aligned}$$

we have second factorial moment

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(L_n(L_n - 1)) &= f''_n(1) \\ &= (n-1)(n-2) + 2(n-1)[f'_n(1) - n + 1] \\ &+ 2^{2-n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}f'_k(1)f'_{n-1-k}(1) + 2^{2-n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}f''_k(1), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$h_n = -(n-1)n + 2(n-1)g_n + 2^{2-n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}g_k g_{n-1-k} + 2^{2-n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}h_k$$

where $h_k = f''_k(1)$ and $h_0 = 0$. Clearly $h_1 = 0$, $h_2 = 0$, $h_3 = 4$ and $h_4 = 61/4$. Finally, we have variance $\mathbb{V}(L_n)$ which is $1/4$ when $n = 3$ and $31/64$ when $n = 4$.

Define constants

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j - 1}, \quad \beta = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^j - 1)^2}, \quad Q = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right).$$

Let Q_l denote the l^{th} partial product of Q and

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - \ln(x) - 1}{(x-1)^2} & \text{if } x \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

It can be proved that [18, 19]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(L_n) &= \frac{n \ln(n)}{\ln(2)} + n \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{\ln(2)} + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \delta_1(n) \right) + \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(2)} \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\gamma - 1}{2 \ln(2)} + \frac{5}{2} - \alpha \right) + \delta_2(n) + O\left(\frac{\ln(n)}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{V}(L_n) = n(C + \delta_3(n)) + O\left(\frac{\ln(n)^2}{n}\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$C = \frac{Q}{\ln(2)} \sum_{j,k,l \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^j}{Q_j Q_k Q_l} 2^{-j(j+1)/2-k-l} \varphi(2^{-j-k} + 2^{-j-l}) = 0.2660036454\dots$$

This expression for C is, needless to say, a stunning result.

Assuming instead that $\ell = n$, all we currently possess are PGFs for small n :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} z & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{4}{7}z^2 + \frac{3}{7}z^3 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \frac{4}{5}z^4 + \frac{4}{35}z^5 + \frac{3}{35}z^6 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{8984}{13485}z^6 + \frac{3136}{13485}z^7 + \frac{364}{4495}z^8 + \frac{52}{4495}z^9 + \frac{39}{4495}z^{10} & \text{if } n = 5. \end{array} \right.$$

A deeper understanding of finite-key DSTs would be welcome.

2.4. Some Combinatorics. We focus on unsuccessful searches, for both infinite keys ($\ell = \infty$) and finite keys ($\ell = n$). Let us examine the coefficients of z^n and z for simplicity. The digital search trees appearing in Figure 1 for $n = 2$ proceed from matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} M \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & c \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. When $\ell = \infty$, the indicated keys are merely abbreviations (two leading bits in an infinite sequence); hence the keys are automatically distinct; thus

$$\mathbb{P}\{K_2 = 2\} = \frac{2 \cdot 2^4}{2^6} = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{K_2 = 1\} = \frac{2 \cdot 2^4}{2^6} = \frac{1}{2}$$

where $2^{(n+1)n}$ is the count of $(n+1) \times n$ binary matrices. When $\ell = n$, however, key-distinctness must be manually enforced. We obtain the condition

$$(a, b) \neq (1, c), \quad (a, b) \neq (1, d) \quad \& \quad (1, c) \neq (1, d)$$

which is equivalent to $a = 0 \quad \& \quad d = 1 - c$ and gives 4 possibilities; also the condition

$$(a, b) \neq (0, c), \quad (a, b) \neq (1, d) \quad \& \quad (0, c) \neq (1, d)$$




Figure 1: Two linear cases and two triangular cases for $n = 2$.

which is equivalent to $(1 - a)c + ad = 1 - b$ and gives 8 possibilities; therefore

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \tilde{K}_2 = 2 \right\} = \frac{2 \cdot 4}{4!/1!} = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \tilde{K}_2 = 1 \right\} = \frac{2 \cdot 8}{4!/1!} = \frac{2}{3}$$

where $(2^n)! / (2^n - n - 1)!$ is the count of permutations of 2^n objects, taken $n + 1$ at a time.

For $n = 3$ and $\ell = \infty$, using Figures 2 and 3, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \{ K_3 = 3 \} = \frac{4 \cdot 2^7}{2^{12}} = \frac{1}{8},$$

$$\mathbb{P} \{ K_3 = 1 \} = \frac{2 \cdot 2^9}{2^{12}} = \frac{1}{4}$$

but when $\ell = n$ instead, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \tilde{K}_3 = 3 \right\} = \frac{4 \cdot 20}{8!/4!} = \frac{1}{21},$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \tilde{K}_3 = 1 \right\} = \frac{2 \cdot 240}{8!/4!} = \frac{2}{7}.$$

For $n = 4$ and $\ell = \infty$, using Figures 4, 5 and 6, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \{ K_4 = 4 \} = \frac{8 \cdot 2^{11}}{2^{20}} = \frac{1}{64},$$




Figure 2: Four linear cases for $n = 3$; note that two are reflections of the others.




Figure 3: Two triangular cases for $n = 3$; note that one is a reflection of the other.




Figure 4: Eight linear cases for $n = 4$ (these four cases plus their reflections).

$$\mathbb{P}\{K_4 = 1\} = \frac{4 \cdot 2^{14} + 4 \cdot 2^{14}}{2^{20}} = \frac{1}{8}$$

but when $\ell = n$ instead, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tilde{K}_4 = 4\} = \frac{8 \cdot 240}{16!/11!} = \frac{1}{273},$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tilde{K}_4 = 1\} = \frac{4 \cdot 6912 + 4 \cdot 9216}{16!/11!} = \frac{8}{65}.$$

The emergence of bi-triangular cases at $n = 4$ complicates our study for $n \geq 5$. A similar argument for coefficients of z^2, \dots, z^{n-1} , as well as for successful searches, is possible.

Third and fourth moment expressions appear in [20] for unsuccessful search on infinite keys. The covariance between two random distinct successful search costs within the same tree is apparently $\sim D/n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where [18]

$$D = C - \frac{1}{12} - \frac{\pi^2}{6 \ln(2)^2} + \alpha + \beta = -0.4970105417\dots$$

Verifying this interesting result via simulation remains open. What can be said about the cost covariance for two distinct unsuccessful searches? What can be said about the cost covariance given a successful search and an unsuccessful search?




Figure 5: Four triangular cases for $n = 4$ (these two cases plus their reflections).




Figure 6: Four bi-triangular cases for $n = 4$ (these two cases plus their reflections).

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Markus Kuba and Sumit Kumar Jha for helpful discussions, and to David Penman for providing [12] (which at one time was available at <http://algo.inria.fr/>).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Louchard, Exact and asymptotic distributions in digital and binary search trees, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl.* 21 (1987) 479–495; MR0928772.
- [2] H. M. Mahmoud, *Evolution of Random Search Trees*, Wiley, 1992, pp. 71–91, 260–285; MR1140708.
- [3] S. R. Finch, Resolving conflicts and electing leaders, arXiv:1912.06545.
- [4] S. R. Finch, Binary search tree constants, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 349–354; MR2003519.
- [5] R. Sedgewick and P. Flajolet, *Introduction to the Analysis of Algorithms*, Addison-Wesley, 1996, pp. 142, 162–163, 246–250.
- [6] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, v. 3, *Sorting and Searching*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, 1998, pp. 430–431, 455, 709; MR3077154.
- [7] W. C. Lynch, More combinatorial properties of certain trees, *Computer J.*, v. 7 (1965) n. 4, 299–302; MR0172492.
- [8] G. D. Knott, Variance of C_n calculation, unpublished note (1973).
- [9] P. F. Windley, Trees, forests and rearranging, *Computer J.*, v. 3 (1960) n. 2, 84–88.
- [10] H. M. Mahmoud and R. Neininger, Distribution of distances in random binary search trees, *Annals Appl. Probab.* 13 (2003) 253–276; MR1951999.
- [11] P. Hennequin, Combinatorial analysis of quicksort algorithm, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl.* 23 (1989) 317–333; MR1020477.
- [12] P. Hennequin, *Analyse en moyenne d’algorithmes, tri rapide et arbres de recherche*, Ph.D. thesis, École Polytechnique Palaiseau, 1991; <http://www.mit.edu/~sfinch/Hennequin-thesis.pdf>.
- [13] M. E. Hoffman and M. Kuba, Logarithmic integrals, zeta values, and tiered binomial coefficients, arXiv:1906.08347.

- [14] M. Cramer, A note concerning the limit distribution of the quicksort algorithm, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl.* 30 (1996) 195–207; MR1415828.
- [15] S. B. Ekhad and D. Zeilberger, A detailed analysis of quicksort running time, arXiv:1903.03708; data output at <http://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/oQuickSortAnalysis3.txt>.
- [16] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, v. 3, *Sorting and Searching*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, 1998, pp. 500–505, 509, 726; MR3077154.
- [17] S. R. Finch, Digital search tree constants, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 354–361; MR2003519.
- [18] P. Kirschenhofer, H. Prodinger and W. Szpankowski, Digital search trees again revisited: the internal path length perspective, *SIAM J. Comput.* 23 (1994) 598–616; MR1274646 (95i:68034).
- [19] H.-K. Hwang, M. Fuchs and V. Zacharovas, Asymptotic variance of random symmetric digital search trees, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* 12 (2010) 103–165; MR2676668 (2012b:05232).
- [20] G. Louchard and H. Prodinger, Approximate counting with m counters: a probabilistic analysis, *J. Algebra Combin. Discrete Struct. Appl.* 2 (2015) 191–209; MR3400765.

Steven Finch
MIT Sloan School of Management
Cambridge, MA, USA
steven_finch@harvard.edu