

First order convergence of weak Wong–Zakai approximations of Lévy driven Marcus SDEs

Tetyana Kosenkova*, Alexei Kulik,^{†‡} and Ilya Pavlyukevich[§]

February 10, 2020

Abstract

For solutions $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ of Lévy-driven Marcus stochastic differential equations we study the Wong–Zakai type time discrete approximations $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_{kh})_{0 \leq k \leq T/h}$, $h > 0$, and establish the first order convergence $|\mathbf{E}f(X_T) - \mathbf{E}f(\bar{X}_T^h)| \leq Ch$ for $f \in C_b^4$.

Keywords: Lévy process; Marcus stochastic differential equation; Wong–Zakai approximation; first order convergence; Euler scheme

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Setting and the main result	2
3	Proof of Theorem 2.1	6
4	One-step estimates	7
4.1	Bounded jumps estimates	7
4.2	One-step estimate. Proof of Theorem 4.1	12
5	Main estimates and the proof of Theorem 2.4	14
6	C^4-smoothness of the Marcus semigroup. Proof of Theorem 2.2	15
A	Properties of $\phi^z(u; x)$ and its derivatives	19
B	Properties of $\psi(u; x; \tau, w, z)$ and its derivatives	21

1 Introduction

SDEs driven by Lévy processes belong nowadays to a standard toolbox of researches working in Physics, Finance, Engineering etc. Under standard assumptions, solutions X of SDEs are Markov (Feller) processes containing the continuous diffusive component as well as (infinitely many) jumps which model instant change of the observable in the phase space.

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Potsdam, Karl–Liebknecht–Strasse 24–25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany; kosenkova@math.uni-potsdam.de

[†]Wrocław University of Science and Technology; kulik.alex.m@gmail.com

[‡]A.Kulik was supported in part by the National Science Centre, Poland, grant no. 2019/33/B/ST1/02923 and by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation within the Research Group Linkage Programme between the Institute of Mathematics at the University of Potsdam and the Institute of Mathematics of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

[§]Institute of Mathematics, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Ernst–Abbe–Platz 2, 07743 Jena, Germany; ilya.pavlyukevich@uni-jena.de

From the point of view of applications, one often wants to determine the averaged quantities of the type $\mathbf{E}_x f(X_T)$ for a fixed deterministic time $T > 0$ and a regular test function f (which is equivalent to solving a certain integro-differential Poisson equation). This is actually the question of an effective approximation in the Monte-Carlo methods, which constitute a natural analogue for finite elements method for PIDE.

The approximation problem for the functionals $\mathbf{E}_x f(X_T)$ for diffusions is nowadays a classical topic (Kloeden and Platen (1995)). The numerical methods have originated in the paper by Maruyama (1955) who showed that for the Itô SDE $dX = a(X)dt + b(X)dW$ driven by the Brownian motion the Euler scheme $\bar{X}_{(k+1)h} = \bar{X}_{kh} + a(\bar{X}_{kh})h + b(\bar{X}_{kh})(W_{(k+1)h} - W_{kh})$ with the step size $h > 0$ converges to X_T in L^2 -sense for each $T \geq 0$. Milshtein (1979); Talay (1984) showed that the Euler scheme yields weak convergence of the order $\mathcal{O}(h)$. Higher order methods can be found in Mackevičius (1994); Talay (1984); Milshtein (1986); Kloeden and Platen (1995); Talay and Tubaro (1990); Bally and Talay (1996), see also Milstein (1994); Milstein and Tretyakov (2013)

Although the diffusion models are well established, the presence of jumps typically requires an additional justification.

In various application areas, jumps appear quite naturally: finance (jumps of stock prices), population biology (jump processes appear as limits of Markov chains). Some of these models are well described by Itô SDEs of the type $dX = F(X)dL$. The weak convergence of the Euler scheme for SDEs with a jump component of finite intensity was studied by Mikulevičius and Platen (1988); Kubilius and Platen (2002). Protter and Talay (1997) established the first order convergence of the Euler scheme in particular in case of C_b^4 coefficients, C_b^4 -function f , and tails of the Lévy measure having finite 8th moments (they also have results for increasing f ; then more moments are needed). Further analysis was performed by Jacod et al. (2005) (Remark 2.7, 12th moments needed). Liu and Li (2000) studied the SDE driven by a BM and a PRM (all moments of X are needed). Recently weak approximations for SDEs with Hölder-continuous coefficients were studied by Mikulevičius and Zhang (2011); Mikulevičius (2012); Mikulevičius and Zhang (2015).

There is however another, (mechanical) point of view on SDE, which originates in the supposition that both the Brownian motion and jump processes are convenient mathematical idealizations of smooth real-world processes (i.e. mechanical motions). This paradigm goes back to the Langevin who obtained a random motion of a heavy particle in a liquide as an integral of a correlated Gaussian velocity process.

It turns out that the idealized diffusion dynamics in such an approach is correctly described by the Stratonovich SDEs which can be seen as limit of random non-autonomous ODEs in which the Brownian motion by replaced by its (piece-wise) smooth approximations (Wong–Zakai approximations).

In the presence of jumps, the Marcus (canonical) SDEs are extensions of Stratonovich SDEs for diffusions. As Stratonovich equations, they have lot of useful (natural) properties such as the Newton–Leibniz chain rule. They are also limits of continuous random ODEs obtained by pathwise approximations of the driving Lévy process by smooth functions (the Wong–Zakai technique). For applications in Physics see e.g. Chechkin and Pavlyukevich (2014); Pavlyukevich et al. (2015).

Roughly speaking, jumps in the Marcus setting should be understood as idealizations of very fast motions along certain trajectories determined by the physical parameters of the system.

Despite of these usefulness, numerical methods for Marcus SDEs are not well-developed. Some partial results on the physical level of rigour can be found in Li et al. (2013, 2014).

The goal of this paper is to fill this gap and construct an Euler-Maruyama type numerical scheme \bar{X} on a discrete time grid of the size $h > 0$, and to establish the first order weak approximations $|\mathbf{E}f(X_T) - \mathbf{E}f(\bar{X}_T)| \leq Ch$ for a certain class of test functions f . The main difficulty will consist in the treatment of the Marcus jump term, which involves the analysis of a certain family of non-linear ODEs and makes the problem different to the Itô case.

2 Setting and the main result

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbf{P})$ satisfying the usual hypotheses consider an m -dimensional Brownian motion W and an independent m -dimensional pure jump Lévy process Z with a characteristic triplet $(0, 0, \nu)$,

$$Z(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\|z\| \leq 1} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz) + \int_0^t \int_{\|z\| > 1} z N(ds, dz). \quad (2.1)$$

For $d \geq 1$ consider vector-valued function

$$a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a^1(x), \\ \vdots \\ a^d(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

and matrix-valued functions

$$b(x) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1(x) & \cdots & b_m^1(x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^d(x) & \cdots & b_m^d(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad c(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1^1(x) & \cdots & c_m^1(x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_1^d(x) & \cdots & c_m^d(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

$c^i(x) = (c_1^i(x), \dots, c_m^i(x))$ is the i -th row of the matrix $c(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

We consider a Marcus (canonical) SDE

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(X_s) ds + \int_0^t b(X_s) \circ dW_s + \int_0^t c(X_s) \diamond dZ_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.4) \quad \boxed{\text{e:SDEM}}$$

It can be rewritten as an Itô SDE driven by a Brownian motion and a Poissonian random measure: in the coordinate form as

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^i &= x^i + \int_0^t a^i(X_{s-}) ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t b_j^i(X_s) dW_s^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} b_j^i(X_s) b_j^l(X_s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\|z\| \leq 1} \left(\phi^z(X_{s-}) - X_{s-} \right)^i \tilde{N}(dz, dr) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\|z\| \leq 1} \left(\phi^z(X_s) - X_s - c(X_s)z \right)^i \nu(dz) dr \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\|z\| > 1} \left(\phi^z(X_{s-}) - X_{s-} \right)^i N(dz, dr), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5) \quad \boxed{\text{e:SDEI}}$$

where $\phi^z(x)$ is a Marcus flow generated by the non-linear ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{du} \phi^z(u; x) = c(\phi^z(u; x))z \\ \phi^z(0; x) = x, \quad u \in [0, 1], \end{cases} \quad (2.6) \quad \boxed{\text{e:phi-eq}}$$

$\phi^z(x) := \phi^z(1; x)$.

For a complete account on Marcus SDEs see Marcus (1978, 1981); Kurtz et al. (1995); Kunita (2004); Applebaum (2009). Note that the Marcus integral $\int_0^t c(X_s) \diamond dZ_s$ cannot be represented a limit of Riemannian sums (opposite to the Stratonovich integral), so that the SDE (2.4) should be understood via its Itô representation (2.5).

For $f: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ we will use the uniform norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|. \quad (2.7)$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (and \mathbb{R}^m), we will work with the Euclidian norm

$$\|x\| = \left((x^1)^2 + \cdots + (x^d)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.8)$$

which has a unique global solution under assumptions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$. Let

$$\psi(x) = \psi(x; \tau, w, z) := \psi(1; x, \tau, w, z). \quad (2.18) \quad \boxed{\text{e:psi}}$$

The properties of ψ are studied in Appendix B.

For the time step $h > 0$, consider the Euler scheme

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_0 &= x, \\ \bar{X}_{(k+1)h} &= \psi(\bar{X}_{kh}; h, W_{(k+1)h} - W_{kh}, Z_{(k+1)h} - Z_{kh}), \quad k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19) \quad \boxed{\text{e:Euler}}$$

The goal of this paper is to establish the weak convergence rate of this numerical scheme. It is assumed that the increments of the Brownian motion and the pure jump process Z can be simulated exactly. We also do not take into account numerical errors which may arise in the solution of ODE (2.17).

Now we formulate the Assumptions and main results of this paper.

\mathbf{H}_ν : Assume that on the tails of the Lévy measure ν satisfy

$$\int_{\|z\|>1} \|z\|^3 \cdot e^{8\|Dc\|\cdot\|z\|} \nu(dz) < \infty. \quad (2.20)$$

In view of (2.13), Assumption \mathbf{H}_ν is granted by the following condition which is easier to verify in practice: \mathbf{H}'_ν :

$$\int_{\|z\|>1} \|z\|^3 \cdot e^{8d\sqrt{m}\cdot\|Dc\|_e\|z\|} \nu(dz) < \infty. \quad (2.21)$$

t:existence **Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that conditions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ and \mathbf{H}_ν hold true. Then for any $T > 0$ there is a constant C_T such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the following holds.*

1. *There is a unique strong solution $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{E}_x \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|^4 \leq C_T(1 + \|x\|^4). \quad (2.22)$$

2. *For any $h > 0$ the numeric scheme $\{\bar{X}_{kh}\}_{0 \leq kh \leq T}$ satisfies*

$$\mathbf{E}_x \sup_{0 \leq kh \leq T} \|\bar{X}_{kh}\|^4 \leq C_T(1 + \|x\|^4). \quad (2.23) \quad \boxed{\text{4thmoment}}$$

Proof. See Section 3. □

The following result is interesting on its own. Assume

$\mathbf{H}_{\nabla\phi, \nu}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|>1} \|\nabla_x \phi^z\|^4 \nu(dz) &< \infty, \\ \int_{|z|>1} \|\nabla_x^2 \phi^z\|^2 \nu(dz) &< \infty, \\ \int_{|z|>1} \|\nabla_x^3 \phi^z\|^{4/3} \nu(dz) &< \infty, \\ \int_{|z|>1} \|\nabla_x^4 \phi^z\| \nu(dz) &< \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

t:C4 **Theorem 2.2.** *Under assumptions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\nabla\phi, \nu}$, for any $f \in C_b^4$, any $T > 0$, there is $C > 0$ such that for each $t \in [0, T]$ and any multiindex α*

$$\|\partial^\alpha \mathbf{E}_x f(X_t)\| \leq C, \quad 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. See Section 6. □

Remark 2.3. Under assumptions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$, it follows from Lemma A.2 that \mathbf{H}_ν implies $\mathbf{H}_{\nabla\phi, \nu}$.

The main result of this paper is the first order weak convergence rate of the Euler scheme (2.19).

t:main

Theorem 2.4. *Let the assumptions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ and \mathbf{H}_ν hold true. Then for any $f \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and any $T > 0$ there is a constant $C = C(T, f)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h > 0$ such that $nh \leq T$*

$$|\mathbf{E}_x f(X_{nh}) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\bar{X}_{nh})| \leq C \cdot nh^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.26)$$

e:main

The proof of this theorem will be given in the following Sections.

Eventually we comment on conditions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ and \mathbf{H}_ν , and the applicability of the numerical scheme.

Remark 2.5. Assumptions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ are less restrictive than the assumptions in Protter and Talay (1997) and Jacod et al. (2005) where the coefficients are C_b^4 or smoother.

Remark 2.6. Assumption \mathbf{H}_ν (or \mathbf{H}'_ν) requires existence of exponential moments of the Lévy measure ν and looks more restrictive than the assumptions in Protter and Talay (1997) and Jacod et al. (2005) where existence of high absolute moments (up to 32-th and higher) is demanded. This occurs due to the non-linear nature of the ODE (2.6). Recall that the jump size of an Itô SDE $dX_t = c(X_{t-})dZ_t$ is $\Delta X_t = c(X_{t-})\Delta Z_t$ and hence is a linear function of ΔZ_t . On the contrary, the jump size of the Marcus SDE $dX_t = c(X_t) \diamond dZ_t$ equals to $\Delta X_t = \phi^{\Delta Z_t}(X_{t-}) - X_{t-}$ and is determined by a non-linear ODE (2.6). The best generic estimate for the size of this jump is given by the Gronwall inequality. Hence exponential moments in the Marcus case serve as a natural analog of the conventional moments in the Itô scheme. For instance, assumptions \mathbf{H}_ν and \mathbf{H}'_ν are always satisfied for a Lévy process Z with bounded jumps.

In particular cases one can find less restrictive assumptions on the moments of the Lévy measure. For instance one can show that in dimensions $d = m = 1$ for the equation $dX_t = a(X_t)dt + b(X_t) \circ dW_t + MX_t \diamond dZ_t$, with $a, b \in C_b^4$ and $M > 0$, convergence (2.26) holds for any spectrally negative Lévy process Z with $\nu((0, +\infty)) = 0$, and in particular for a spectrally negative stable Lévy process. However we were not able to find similar tractable sufficient conditions for convergence in general, especially in the multivariate case.

Remark 2.7. The scheme (2.19) employs realizations of the increments of the Lévy jump process Z . The list of infinitely divisible distributions which can be simulated explicitly is rather short and includes α -stable laws, Gamma and variance Gamma distributions, as well as inverse Gaussian etc. We refer the reader to (Protter and Talay, 1997, Section 3) and (Cont and Tankov, 2004, Section II.6) for more information on this subject and the description of the corresponding numerical algorithms.

For the reader's convenience, in the following Sections 3–6 as well as in the Appendices A and B we assume that $d = m = 1$. In the proof we will not use any of the geometrical advantages of the one-dimensional setting and make this assumption just in order to simplify the notation significantly. The technical difficulties lie not in the higher dimensions of the state space but in the analysis of the interplay of the terms dt , $\circ dW$ and $\diamond dZ$ with the corresponding terms in the approximation scheme (2.19). From this point of view, we are in a setting of a scalar equation driven by a three-dimensional Lévy process (t, W_t, Z_t) .

s:existence

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. 1. We denote

$$a^\diamond(x) = a(x) + \frac{1}{2}b'(x)b(x) + \int_{|z| \leq 1} (\phi^z(x) - x - c(x)z) \nu(dz) + \int_{|z| > 1} (\phi^z(x) - x) \nu(dz) \quad (3.1)$$

and write (2.5) in dimension 1 as

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a^\diamond(X_s) ds + \int_0^t b(X_s) dW_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi^z(X_{s-}) - X_{s-}) \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \quad (3.2)$$

e:X

Due to Lemmas A.1 and A.2, the drift a^\diamond is a Lipschitz continuous function, and since

$$|\phi^z(x) - x| \leq C(1 + |x|)|z| \mathbb{I}(|z| \leq 1) + |x|(1 + e^{\|c'\| \cdot |z|}) \mathbb{I}(|z| > 1) \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$|\phi^z(x) - x - \phi^z(y) + y| \leq C|x - y| \cdot |z| \cdot \mathbb{I}(|z| \leq 1) + |x - y|(1 + e^{\|c\| \cdot |z|})\mathbb{I}(|z| > 1), \quad (3.4)$$

existence and uniqueness of the strong solution X with a finite fourth moment follows, e.g. from (Kunita, 2004, Theorem 3.1).

2. The discrete time scheme $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_{kh})_{k \geq 0}$ can be transformed to a continuous time process $\bar{X}_t, t \geq 0$ by taking

$$\bar{X}_t = \psi(\bar{X}_{kh}; h, W_t - W_{kh}, Z_t - Z_{kh}), \quad t \in [kh, (k+1)h]. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, using the Itô formula on the time interval $[kh, (k+1)h]$ and taking into account condition \mathbf{H}_ν and the properties of the mapping ψ and its derivatives (see Lemma B.1), it is easy to show that

$$\mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_{(k+1)h})^4 - \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_{kh})^4 \leq Ch(1 + \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_{kh})^4), \quad k \geq 0$$

with some constant C which does not depend on k . This gives

$$1 + \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_{kh})^4 \leq (1 + Ch)^k(1 + \|x\|^4), \quad k \geq 0,$$

which proves (2.23). □

4 One-step estimates

s:onestep

t:onestep

Theorem 4.1. *For any $f \in C_b^4$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $h > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\left| \mathbf{E}_x f(X_h) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\bar{X}_h) \right| \leq Ch^2(1 + x^4) \quad (4.1)$$

The proof of this Theorem will be given in Section 4.2 after necessary preparations made in the next Section.

4.1 Bounded jumps estimates

Consider the pure jump Lévy process

$$\tilde{Z}_t = \int_0^t \int_{|z| \leq 1} z \tilde{N}(dz, ds), \quad (4.2)$$

which is a zero mean Lévy process with $|\Delta \tilde{Z}_t| \leq 1$. We denote by \tilde{X} the solution of the SDE

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_t &= x + \int_0^t a(\tilde{X}_s) ds + \int_0^t b(\tilde{X}_s) \circ dW_s + \int_0^t c(\tilde{X}_s) \diamond d\tilde{Z}_s \\ &= \int_0^t \tilde{a}(\tilde{X}_s) ds + \int_0^t b(\tilde{X}_s) dW_s + \int_0^t \int_{|z| \leq 1} (\phi^z(\tilde{X}_{s-}) - \tilde{X}_{s-}) \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where we denote the *effective drift* by

$$\tilde{a}(x) = a(x) + \frac{1}{2}b'(x)b(x) + \int_{|z| \leq 1} (\phi^z(x) - x - c(x)z) \nu(dz). \quad (4.4)$$

We also introduce for convenience the Stratonovich diffusion correction term

$$\hat{a}(x) = a(x) + \frac{1}{2}b'(x)b(x). \quad (4.5)$$

Note that due to Lemma A.1, $|\tilde{a}(x)|, |\hat{a}(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$ and $\tilde{a}', \hat{a}' \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that conditions $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ hold true. Then for any $T > 0$, any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a unique strong solution $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Moreover for each $p \geq 1$ and $T > 0$ there is a constant $K_{T,p} > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbf{E}_x \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\tilde{X}_t|^p \leq K_{T,p}(1 + |x|^p), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.6)$$

e:Euler_cont

e:hatX

:boundXtilde

Proof. The proof is the same as in Theorem 2.1 with no conditions on big jumps $|z| > 1$. \square

The process \tilde{X} is a strong Markov process with the generator

$$\tilde{L}f(x) = \mathring{a}(x)f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(x)f''(x) + \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(f(\phi^z(x)) - f(x) - f'(x)c(x)z \right) \nu(dz), \quad f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}). \quad (4.7) \quad \boxed{\text{e:L1}}$$

$\boxed{1:L}$ **Lemma 4.3.** *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with bounded first and second derivatives*

$$|\tilde{L}f(x)| \leq C(\|f'\| + \|f''\|)(1 + x^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Taking into account the linear growth condition for \mathring{a} and b we get for some $C > 0$

$$\left| \mathring{a}(x)f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(x)f''(x) \right| \leq C\|f'\|(1 + |x|) + C\|f''\|(1 + x^2). \quad (4.9)$$

To estimate the integral term in (4.7) we note that

$$f(\phi^z(x)) - f(x) - f'(x)c(x)z = z^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^s \left(f''c^2 + f'cc' \right) (\phi^z(u; x)) du ds \quad (4.10) \quad \boxed{\text{e:ch}}$$

and Lemma A.1 yields

$$\left| \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(f(\phi^z(x)) - f(x) - f'(x)c(x)z \right) \nu(dz) \right| \leq C(\|f''\| + \|f'\|)(1 + x^2). \quad (4.11)$$

\square

$\boxed{1:LL}$ **Lemma 4.4.** *Let $f \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$|\tilde{L}\tilde{L}f(x)| \leq C(1 + x^4). \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Denote $G(x) := \tilde{L}f(x)$.

Then

$$(\tilde{L}\tilde{L}f)(x) = (\tilde{L}G)(x) = \mathring{a}(x)G'(x) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(x)G''(x) + \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(G(\phi^z(x)) - G(x) - G'(x)c(x)z \right) \nu(dz). \quad (4.13)$$

We will show that $|G'(x)| \leq C(1 + x^2)$, $|G''(x)| \leq C(1 + x^2)$ and

$$\left| \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(G(\phi^z(x)) - G(x) - G'(x)c(x)z \right) \nu(dz) \right| \leq C(1 + x^4). \quad (4.14)$$

1. The first derivative G' . We have

$$\begin{aligned} G'(x) &= \mathring{a}'(x)f'(x) + \left(\mathring{a}(x) + bb'(x) \right) f''(x) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(x)f'''(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(f'(\phi^z(x))\phi_x^z(x) - f''(x)c(x)z - f'(x)c'(x)z - f'(x) \right) \nu(dz) \\ &= (\tilde{L}f')(x) + \mathring{a}'(x)f'(x) + bb'(x)f''(x) + \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(f'(\phi^z(x))(\phi_x^z(x) - 1) - f'(x)c'(x)z \right) \nu(dz). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15) \quad \boxed{\text{e:G1}}$$

The term $\tilde{L}f'$ is estimated by Lemma 4.3 by $C(1 + x^2)$, the term $\mathring{a}'(x)f'(x)$ by C and the term $bb'f''$ by $C(1 + |x|)$. To estimate the integral term, we use Lemma A.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\phi^z(x))(\phi_x^z(x) - 1) - f'(x)c'(x)z &= f'(\phi^z(x))(c'(x)z + \varphi_x(1; x, z)) - f'(x)c'(x)z \\ &= c'(x)z^2 \int_0^1 (f'c)(\phi^z(s; x)) ds + f'(\phi^z(x))\varphi_x(1; x, z) \end{aligned} \quad (4.16) \quad \boxed{\text{e:est1}}$$

Taking into account the bounds from Lemma A.1 we conclude that the integral term is estimated by $C(1 + |x|)$ and eventually

$$|G'(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2). \quad (4.17) \quad \boxed{\text{e:G11}}$$

2. The second derivative G'' . Straightforward differentiation yields

$$G''(x) = \mathring{a}''(x)f'(x) + \left(2\mathring{a}'(x) + (b(x)b'(x))'\right)f''(x) + \left(\mathring{a}(x) + 2b(x)b'(x)\right)f'''(x) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(x)f''''(x) \\ + \int_{|z|\leq 1} \left(f''(\phi^z(x))(\phi_x^z(x))^2 + f'(\phi^z(x))\phi_{xx}^z(x) - zf'(x)c''(x) - f''(x)(1 + 2zc'(x)) - zf'''(x)c(x)\right) \nu(dz). \quad (4.18)$$

Recalling that

$$(\tilde{L}f'')(x) = \mathring{a}(x)f'''(x) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(x)f^{(4)}(x) + \int_{|z|\leq 1} \left(f''(\phi(x, z)) - f''(x) - f'''(x)c(x)z\right) \nu(dz) \quad (4.19)$$

we can rewrite

$$G''(x) = (\tilde{L}f'')(x) + \mathring{a}''(x)f'(x) + \left(2\mathring{a}'(x) + (b(x)b'(x))'\right)f''(x) + 2b(x)b'(x)f'''(x) \\ + \int_{|z|\leq 1} \left(f''(\phi^z(x))\left(\phi_x^z(x)^2 - 1\right) + f'(\phi^z(x))\phi_{xx}^z(x) - zf'(x)c''(x) - 2zf''(x)c'(x)\right) \nu(dz). \quad (4.20) \quad \boxed{\text{e:G2}}$$

The first line of the previous formula is bounded by $C(1 + x^2)$. We estimate the integrand in its second line similarly to (4.16) with the help of Lemma A.1. Denote for brevity $\varphi_x = \varphi_x(1; x, z)$, $\varphi_{xx} = \varphi_{xx}(1; x, z)$.

$$f''(\phi^z(x))\left(\phi_x^z(x)^2 - 1\right) + f'(\phi^z(x))\phi_{xx}^z(x) - zf'(x)c''(x) - 2zf''(x)c'(x) \\ = f''(\phi^z(x))\left(c'(x)^2z^2 + \varphi_x^2 + 2c'(x)z + 2c'(x)z\varphi_x + 2\varphi_x\right) \\ + f'(\phi^z(x))\left(c''(x)z + \varphi_{xx}\right) - zf'(x)c''(x) - 2zf''(x)c'(x) \\ = 2zc'(x)\left(f''(\phi^z(x)) - f''(x)\right) + zc''(x)\left(f'(\phi^z(x)) - f'(x)\right) \\ + f''(\phi^z(x))\left(c'(x)^2z^2 + \varphi_x^2 + 2c'(x)z\varphi_x + 2\varphi_x\right) + f'(\phi^z(x))\varphi_{xx} \\ = 2z^2c'(x) \int_0^1 (f'''c)(\phi^z(s; x)) ds + z^2c''(x) \int_0^1 (f'c)(\phi^z(s; x)) ds \\ + f''(\phi^z(x))\left(c'(x)^2z^2 + \varphi_x^2 + 2c'(x)z\varphi_x + 2\varphi_x\right) + f'(\phi^z(x))\varphi_{xx}, \quad (4.21)$$

and hence the integral term in (4.20) is bounded by $C(1 + |x|)$. Eventually

$$|G''(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2). \quad (4.22) \quad \boxed{\text{e:G22}}$$

3. The integral term of the generator. For $G(x) := \tilde{L}f(x)$ we recall (4.10), (4.17), (4.22), and the estimate $\sup_{|z|\leq 1} |\phi^z(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$, to get

$$\left| \int_{|z|\leq 1} \left(G(\phi^z(x)) - G(x) - G'(x)c(x)z\right) \nu(dz) \right| \leq C(1 + x^4). \quad (4.23)$$

□

For the function $\psi = \psi(x; \tau, w, z)$ defined in (2.17) and (2.18), we introduce the process

$$Y_t = \psi(x; t, W_t, \tilde{Z}_t), \quad t \in [0, h]. \quad (4.24)$$

Since $\psi(\cdot; \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R})$, the Itô formula implies that Y is an Itô process and

$$\mathbf{E}_x f(Y_t) = f(x) + \int_0^t \mathbf{E} Qf(\psi(x; s, W_s, \tilde{Z}_s)) ds, \quad f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad (4.25)$$

with the generator

$$Qg(\tau, w, z) = g_\tau(\tau, w, z) + \frac{1}{2}g_{ww}(\tau, w, z) + \int_{|\xi|\leq 1} \left(g(\tau, w, z + \xi) - g(\tau, w, z) - g_z(\tau, w, z) \cdot \xi\right) \nu(d\xi), \quad (4.26) \quad \boxed{\text{e:Q}}$$

defined on smooth real-valued functions $g(\tau, w, z)$.

1:L=Q

Lemma 4.5. *Let $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\tilde{L}f(x) = Qf(\psi(x; 0, 0, 0)). \quad (4.27)$$

e:L=Q

Proof. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, applying (4.26) to $g(\tau, w, z) := f \circ \psi(x; \tau, w, z)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} Qf(\psi(x; \tau, w, z)) &= f'(\psi(x; \tau, w, z))\psi_\tau(x; \tau, w, z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}f''(\psi(x; \tau, w, z)) \cdot (\psi_w(x; \tau, w, z))^2 + \frac{1}{2}f'(\psi(x; \tau, w, z))\psi_{ww}(x; \tau, w, z)) \\ &+ \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \left(f(\psi(x; \tau, w, z + \xi)) - f(\psi(x; \tau, w, z)) - f'(\psi(x; \tau, w, z))\psi_z(x; \tau, w, z) \cdot \xi \right) \nu(d\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

e:Qf

Recalling that $\psi(x; 0, 0, z) = \phi^z(x)$ and $\psi(x; 0, 0, 0) = x$, and taking into account the formulae from Lemma B.1 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\tau(x; 0, 0, 0) &= a(x), \\ \psi_w(x; 0, 0, 0) &= b(x), \\ \psi_{ww}(x; 0, 0, 0) &= bb'(x), \\ \psi_z(x; 0, 0, 0) &= c(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

and hence we get (4.27). \square

1:QQ

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\tau \geq 0$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$|QQf(\psi(x; \tau, w, z))| \leq C(1 + x^4) \cdot e^{C(\tau + |w| + |z|)}. \quad (4.30)$$

Proof. Denoting for brevity where it is possible $\psi = \psi(x; \tau, w, z) = \psi(\tau, w, z)$ or adopting when necessary the notation $\psi(z) := \psi(x; \tau, w, z)$, we apply the formula (4.28) for a C_b^4 -function f to get

$$\begin{aligned} Qf(\psi(\tau, w, z)) &= f'(\psi)\psi_\tau + \frac{1}{2}f''(\psi) \cdot \psi_w^2 + \frac{1}{2}f'(\psi)\psi_{ww} \\ &+ \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \left(f(\psi(z + \xi)) - f(\psi(z)) - f'(\psi(z))\psi_z(z) \cdot \xi \right) \nu(d\xi) \\ &= f'(\psi)\psi_\tau + \frac{1}{2}f''(\psi) \cdot \psi_w^2 + \frac{1}{2}f'(\psi)\psi_{ww} + \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^2 \int_0^1 \partial_{zz}f(\psi(z + \xi\theta))(1 - \theta) d\theta \nu(d\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

With the help of (4.26) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2f(\psi(\tau, w, z)) &= \partial_\tau Qf(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{ww}^2 Qf(\psi) + \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \left(Qf(\psi(z + \xi)) - Qf(\psi(z)) - \partial_z Qf(\psi(z)) \cdot \xi \right) \nu(d\xi) \\ &= \partial_\tau Qf(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{ww}^2 Qf(\psi) + \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^2 \int_0^1 \partial_{zz}Qf(\psi(z + \theta\xi))(1 - \theta) d\theta \nu(d\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

e:QQ

We estimate the summands in (4.32).

1. $\partial_\tau Qf$. First, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau Qf(\psi(\tau, w, z)) &= f''(\psi)\psi_\tau^2 + f'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(f'''(\psi) \cdot \psi_\tau \cdot (\psi_w)^2 + 2f''(\psi) \cdot \psi_w \cdot \psi_{\tau w} + f''(\psi) \cdot \psi_\tau \cdot \psi_{ww} + f'(\psi) \cdot \psi_{\tau ww} \right) \\ &+ \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^2 \int_0^1 \partial_{\tau zz}f(\psi(z + \xi\theta))(1 - \theta) d\theta \nu(d\xi) \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where for the integral term we get

$$\partial_{\tau zz}f(\psi(\tau, w, z)) = f'''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_z^2 + f''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{zz} + 2f''(\psi)\psi_{\tau z}\psi_\tau + f'(\psi)\psi_{\tau zz}. \quad (4.34)$$

and hence in view of Lemma B.1

$$|\partial_\tau Qf(\psi(\tau, w, z))| \leq C(1 + |x|^3) \cdot (1 + \tau + |w| + |z|)^2 \cdot e^{C(\tau + |w| + |z|)}. \quad (4.35)$$

2. $\partial_{ww}Qf$. Analogously

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ww}Qf(\psi) &= f'''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_w^2 + 2f''(\psi)\psi_{\tau w}\psi_w + f''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{ww} \\ &\quad + f'(\psi)\psi_{\tau ww} + \frac{1}{2}f^{(4)}(\psi)\psi_w^4 + 3f'''(\psi)\psi_w^2\psi_{ww} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}f''(\psi)\psi_{ww}^2 + 2f''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_{www} + \frac{1}{2}f'(\psi)\psi_{wwww} \\ &\quad + \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^2 \int_0^1 \partial_{wwzz}f(\psi(z + \xi\theta))(1 - \theta) d\theta \nu(d\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

where for the integral term we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{wwzz}f(\psi) &= f^{(4)}(\psi)\psi_w^2\psi_z^2 \\ &\quad + f'''(\psi)\psi_{ww}\psi_z^2 + 4f'''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_{wz}\psi_z + f'''(\psi)\psi_w^2\psi_{zz} \\ &\quad + 2f''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_{wzz} + 2f''(\psi)\psi_{wz}^2 + (f'(\psi) + f''(\psi))\psi_{ww}\psi_{zz} + 2f''(\psi)\psi_{wwz}\psi_z, \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

which yields

$$|\partial_{ww}Qf(\psi(\tau, w, z))| \leq C(1 + x^4) \cdot (1 + \tau + |w| + |z|)^2 \cdot e^{C(\tau + |w| + |z|)}. \quad (4.38)$$

3. $\partial_{zz}Qf$. We determine the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{zz} \left(f'(\psi)\psi_\tau + \frac{1}{2}f''(\psi) \cdot \psi_w^2 + \frac{1}{2}f'(\psi)\psi_{ww} \right) \\ &= f'(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{zz} + f''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_z^2 + (f'(\psi) + f''(\psi))\psi_{\tau z}\psi_z + f'(\psi)\psi_{\tau zz} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f^{(4)}(\psi)\psi_w^2\psi_z^2 + 2f'''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_z\psi_{wz} + \frac{1}{2}f'''(\psi)\psi_w^2\psi_{zz} + f''(\psi)\psi_{wz}^2 + f''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_{wzz} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f'''(\psi)\psi_z^2\psi_{ww} + f''(\psi)\psi_z\psi_{wwz} + \frac{1}{2}\psi''(\psi)\psi_{ww}\psi_{zz} + \frac{1}{2}f'(\psi)\psi_{wwzz}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

and

$$\partial_{zzzz}f(\psi) = f^{(4)}(\psi)\psi_z^4 + 6f'''(\psi)\psi_z^2\psi_{zz} + 3f''(\psi)\psi_{zz}^2 + 4f''(\psi)\psi_z\psi_{zzz} + f'(\psi)\psi_{zzzz} \quad (4.40)$$

and apply Lemma B.1 to get

$$|\partial_{zz}Qf(\psi(x; t, w, z))| \leq C(1 + x^4) \cdot (1 + \tau + |w| + |z|)^3 \cdot e^{C(\tau + |w| + |z|)}. \quad (4.41)$$

□

1:small

Lemma 4.7. For any $f \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $h \geq 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\psi(x; h, W_h, \tilde{Z}_h))| \leq C(1 + x^4)h^2. \quad (4.42)$$

Proof. Applying the Itô formula twice we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\psi(x; h, W_h, \tilde{Z}_h)) &= \int_0^h \mathbf{E}_x \tilde{L}f(\tilde{X}_s) ds - \int_0^h \mathbf{E}_x Qf(\psi(x; s, W_s, \tilde{Z}_s)) ds \\ &= h\tilde{L}f(x) - hQf(\psi(x; 0, 0, 0)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^h \int_0^s \mathbf{E}_x \tilde{L}\tilde{L}f(\tilde{X}_r) dr ds - \int_0^h \int_0^s \mathbf{E}QQf(\psi(x; r, W_r, \tilde{Z}_r)) dr ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

and hence by Lemma 4.5 and Hölder's inequality for any $p > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\psi(x; h, W_h, \tilde{Z}_h)) \right| &\leq h^2 \sup_{r \in [0, h]} \mathbf{E}_x |\tilde{L}\tilde{L}f(\tilde{X}_r)| + h^2 \sup_{r \in [0, h]} \mathbf{E} |QQf(\psi(x; r, W_r, \tilde{Z}_r))| \\
&\leq Ch^2 \left(1 + \sup_{r \in [0, h]} \mathbf{E}_x |\tilde{X}_r|^4 \right) + Ch^2 \sup_{r \in [0, h]} \mathbf{E}_x (1 + |\tilde{X}_r|^4) e^{C(r + |W_r| + 1)} \\
&\leq Ch^2 (1 + |x|^4) + Ch^2 \sup_{r \in [0, h]} \left(\mathbf{E}_x (1 + |\tilde{X}_r|^4)^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\mathbf{E} e^{\frac{pC}{p-1}(r + |W_r| + 1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
&\leq Ch^2 (1 + |x|^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

□

proof-1-step

4.2 One-step estimate. Proof of Theorem 4.1

Proof. Decompose the jump process Z into a sum

$$Z_t = \tilde{Z}_t + \sum_{k=0}^{N_t} J_k. \tag{4.45}$$

Assume from the very beginning that $\lambda = \nu(|z| > 1) > 0$. Denote $\sigma := \sigma_1$, the first jump time of $t \mapsto \int_0^t \int_{|z| > 1} N(dz, ds)$, $J = J_1$ the size of the first large jump. First note, that $\mathbf{P}(\tau \leq t | N_h = 1) = t/h$, $t \in [0, h]$, and $\mathbf{P}(J \in A | N_h = 1) = \nu(A \cap \{|z| > 1\}) / \nu(|z| > 1)$.

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathbf{E}_x f(X_h) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\bar{X}_h)| \\
&\leq |\mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\psi(x; h, W_h, \tilde{Z}_h))| + \mathbf{E}_x \left[|f(X_h) - f(\bar{X}_h)| \mathbf{1}_{N_h = 1} \right] \mathbf{P}(N_h = 1) + 2\|f\| \mathbf{P}(N_h \geq 2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

The first summand is estimated by Lemma 4.7 by $C(1 + x^4)h^2$, the third has the order h^2 . Let us estimate the second summand.

First note that $\mathbf{P}(N_h = 1) \leq Ch$. Then, on the event $\{N_h = 1\}$, the solution X_h can be represented as a composition

$$X_h(x) = \tilde{X}_{\sigma, h} \circ \phi^J \circ \tilde{X}_{0, \sigma^-}(x) \tag{4.47}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\bar{X}_h(x)) - f(X_h(x)) &= f(\phi^J(x)) - f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma, h} \circ \phi^J(x)) \\
&\quad + f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma, h} \circ \phi^J(x)) - f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma, h} \circ \phi^J \circ \tilde{X}_{0, \sigma^-}(x)) \\
&\quad + f(\bar{X}_h(x)) - f(\phi^J(x)).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

Step 1. Desintegrating the laws of σ , J and \tilde{Z} we obtain from the Itô formula, Lemma 4.3 and Assumption \mathbf{H}_ν

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathbf{E} f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma, h} \circ \phi^J(x)) - \mathbf{E} f(\phi^J(x))| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda h} \int_0^h \int_{|z| > 1} |\mathbf{E} f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}(\phi^z(x))) - f(\phi^z(x))| \nu(dz) ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda h} \int_0^h \int_{|z| > 1} \int_0^{h-s} \mathbf{E}_{\phi^z(x)} |\tilde{L}f(\tilde{X}_r)| dr \nu(dz) ds \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\lambda h} \int_0^h \int_{|z| > 1} \int_0^{h-s} \mathbf{E}_{\phi^z(x)} (1 + |\tilde{X}_r|^2) dr \nu(dz) ds \\
&\leq \frac{C_1}{\lambda h} \int_0^h \int_{|z| > 1} h (1 + |\phi^z(x)|^2) \nu(dz) ds \\
&\leq C_2 h \cdot \left(1 + \int_{|z| > 1} |\phi^z(x)|^2 \nu(dz) \right) \\
&\leq C_3 h (1 + x^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

Step 2. Acting similarly we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J(x)) - \mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J \circ \tilde{X}_{\sigma-}(x))| \\
& \leq \mathbf{E} \left[\left| \mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J(x)) - \mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J \circ \tilde{X}_{\sigma-}(x)) \right| \middle| \mathcal{F}_\sigma \right] \\
& \leq \mathbf{E}_x \left| \mathbf{E}_{\phi^J(x)} f(\tilde{X}_{h-\sigma}) - \mathbf{E}_{\phi^J(\tilde{X}_{\sigma-})} f(\tilde{X}_{h-\sigma}) \right| \\
& = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \mathbf{E}_x \left| \mathbf{E}_{\phi^J(x)} f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}) - \mathbf{E}_{\phi^J(\tilde{X}_{s-})} f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}) \right| ds \\
& = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{|z|>1} \mathbf{E}_x \left| \mathbf{E}_{\phi^z(x)} f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}) - \mathbf{E}_{\phi^z(\tilde{X}_{s-})} f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}) \right| \nu(dz) ds \\
& = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{|z|>1} \mathbf{E}_x \left| \tilde{f}^{h-s}(\phi^z(x)) - \tilde{f}^{h-s}(\phi^z(\tilde{X}_{s-})) \right| \nu(dz) ds
\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J(x)) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J \circ \tilde{X}_{\sigma-}) \\
& \leq \mathbf{E} \left[\left| \mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J(x)) - \mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J \circ \tilde{X}_{\sigma-}(x)) \right| \middle| \mathcal{F}_\sigma \right] \\
& \leq \mathbf{E}_x \left[\left| \mathbf{E}_{\phi^J(x)} f(\tilde{X}_{h-\sigma}) - \mathbf{E}_{\phi^J(\tilde{X}_{\sigma-})} f(\tilde{X}_{h-\sigma}) \right| \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{|z|>1} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\left| \mathbf{E}_{\phi^z(x)} f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}) - \mathbf{E}_{\phi^z(\tilde{X}_{s-})} f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}) \right| \right] \nu(dz) ds
\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

Denote

$$\tilde{f}^{h-s}(x) = \mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_{h-s}). \tag{4.52}$$

Since by Theorem 2.2

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \left(\|\tilde{f}_x^t\| + \|\tilde{f}_{xx}^t\| \right) < C \tag{4.53}$$

we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x \tilde{f}^t(\phi^z(x))\| \leq C \cdot \|\phi_x^z\|, \\
& \|\partial_{xx} \tilde{f}^t(\phi^z(x))\| \leq C \left(\|\phi_x^z\|^2 + \|\phi_{xx}^z\| \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

Then for each $s \in [0, h]$ the Itô formula and Lemma 4.3 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbf{E}_x \tilde{f}^{h-s}(\phi^z(\tilde{X}_{s-})) - \tilde{f}^{h-s}(\phi^z(x)) \right| \leq \int_0^s \mathbf{E}_x |\tilde{L} \tilde{f}^{h-s}(\phi^z(\tilde{X}_r))| dr \\
& \leq C \cdot h \cdot \left(\|\phi_x^z\|^2 + \|\phi_{xx}^z\| \right) \cdot \left(1 + \sup_{r \in [0, h]} \mathbf{E}_x |\tilde{X}_r|^2 \right) \\
& \leq C \cdot h \cdot \left(\|\phi_x^z\|^2 + \|\phi_{xx}^z\| \right) \cdot (1 + x^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

Hence Assumption \mathbf{H}_ν yields

$$|\mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J(x)) - \mathbf{E}f(\tilde{X}_{\sigma,h} \circ \phi^J \circ \tilde{X}_{\sigma-}(x))| \leq Ch(1 + x^2). \tag{4.56}$$

Step 3. Recall that $\bar{X}_h(x) = \psi(x; h, W_h, J + \tilde{Z}_h)$. The Taylor expansion of $\psi = \psi(x; \tau, w, J + \xi)$ for a fixed x at $(0, 0, J)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\psi(x, h, w, J + \xi)) = f(\psi(x, 0, 0, J)) \\
& \quad + f'(\psi(x, 0, 0, J)) \left(\psi_\tau(x; 0, 0, J)h + \psi_w(x; 0, 0, J)w + \psi_z(x; 0, 0, J)\xi \right) \\
& \quad + R(x; h, w, J + \xi),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.57} \quad \boxed{\text{e:2nd}}$$

with the remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} R(x; h, w, J + \xi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 f''(\psi(\theta)) \left(\psi_{\tau\tau}(\theta) h^2 + 2\psi_{\tau w}(\theta) h w + 2\psi_{\tau z}(\theta) h \xi + \psi_{ww}(\theta) w^2 + 2\psi_{wz}(\theta) w \xi + \psi_{zz}(\theta) \xi^2 \right) d\theta \\ &= R_1 + \dots + R_6. \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

where we write $\psi(\theta) := \psi(x; \theta h, \theta w, \theta \xi + J)$.

Due to the independence of \tilde{Z} , J and W , $\mathbf{E}W_h = \mathbf{E}\tilde{Z}_h = 0$ we get that the mean value of the second line in (4.57) vanishes.

To estimate the remainder term we have to estimate six terms with the help of (B.3). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|R_1| &\leq h^2 \|f''\| \int_0^1 \mathbf{E}|\psi_{\tau\tau}(x; \theta h, \theta W_h, \theta \tilde{Z}_h + J)| d\theta \\ &\leq h^2 \|f''\| C(1 + x^2) \mathbf{E}(2 + h + |W_h| + |J|) e^{5(\|a'\|h + \|b'\|W_h + \|c'\|(|J|+1))} \\ &\leq Ch^2(1 + x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

Analogously, the terms R_2 and R_3 are bounded by $Ch(1 + x^2)$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|R_4| &\leq \|f''\| \int_0^1 \mathbf{E}|\psi_{wz}(x; \theta h, \theta W_h, \theta \tilde{Z}_h + J)| \cdot W_h^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \|f''\| C(1 + x^2) \mathbf{E}\left[W_h^2(2 + h + |W_h| + |J|) e^{5(\|a'\|h + \|b'\|W_h + \|c'\|(|J|+1))}\right] \\ &\leq Ch(1 + x^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

where the factor h essentially comes from the term W_h^2 . The R_2 and R_3 are bounded by $Ch(1 + x^2)$ in a similar way. \square

5 Main estimates and the proof of Theorem 2.4

According to Markov property of X , for each $t \in [0, T]$ and any bounded measurable f

$$\mathbf{E}_x f(X_T) = \mathbf{E}_x \mathbf{E}_{X_{T-t}} f(X_t) = \mathbf{E}_x f^t(X_{T-t}), \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$f^t(x) := \mathbf{E}_x f(X_t). \quad (5.2)$$

Let $0 \leq nh \leq T$. Denote

$$u_k(x) := \mathbf{E}_x f^{kh}(\tilde{X}_{T-kh}). \quad (5.3)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_x f(X_T) &= u_n, \\ \mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_T) &= u_0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

and we have the following chaining representation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_x f(X_{nh}) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_{nh}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (u_k - u_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\mathbf{E}_x f^{kh}(\tilde{X}_{nh-kh}) - \mathbf{E}_x f^{(k-1)h}(\tilde{X}_{nh-kh+h}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5) \quad \boxed{\text{chain}}$$

Observe that

$$\mathbf{E}_x f^{(k-1)h}(\tilde{X}_{(n-k+1)h}) = \mathbf{E}_x \mathbf{E}_{\tilde{X}_{(n-k)h}} f^{(k-1)h}(\tilde{X}_h), \quad (5.6) \quad \boxed{\text{fk-1h}}$$

and, using the property

$$f^{kh}(y) = \mathbf{E}_y f^{(k-1)h}(X_h), \quad (5.7)$$

we have that

$$\mathbf{E}_x f^{kh}(\bar{X}_{(n-k)h}) = \mathbf{E}_x \mathbf{E}_{\bar{X}_{(n-k)h}} f^{(k-1)h}(X_h). \quad (5.8) \quad \boxed{\text{fkh}}$$

Combining (5.5), (5.6) and (5.8), we finally have

$$\mathbf{E}_x f(X_{nh}) - \mathbf{E}_x f(\bar{X}_{nh}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_x \left(\mathbf{E}_{\bar{X}_{(n-k)h}} f^{(k-1)h}(X_h) - \mathbf{E}_{\bar{X}_{(n-k)h}} f^{(k-1)h}(\bar{X}_h) \right). \quad (5.9) \quad \boxed{\text{chain_fin}}$$

By Theorem 4.1 and the 4th moment bound (2.23) from Theorem 2.1,

$$\mathbf{E}_x \left| \mathbf{E}_{\bar{X}_{(n-k)h}} f^{(k-1)h}(X_h) - \mathbf{E}_{\bar{X}_{(n-k)h}} f^{(k-1)h}(\bar{X}_h) \right| \leq C_1 h^2 (1 + \mathbf{E}_x |\bar{X}_{(n-k)h}|^4) \leq C_2 h^2. \quad (5.10)$$

s:C4

6 C^4 -smoothness of the Marcus semigroup. Proof of Theorem 2.2

We separate the proof in two parts. First, we prove the required statement in the case $\nu(|z| > 1) = 0$; that is, for $X = \tilde{X}$. We consider all the derivatives of f^t till the order 4:

$$\partial_x f^t(x) = \mathbf{E}_x \left(f'(X_t) \partial_x X_t \right), \quad (6.1) \quad \boxed{\text{e:f1}}$$

$$\partial_{xx} f^t(x) = \mathbf{E}_x \left(f''(X_t) (\partial_x X_t)^2 \right) + \mathbf{E}_x \left(f'(X_t) \partial_{xx} X_t \right), \quad (6.2) \quad \boxed{\text{e:f2}}$$

$$\partial_{xxx} f^t(x) = \mathbf{E}_x \left(f'''(X_t) (\partial_x X_t)^3 \right) + 3 \mathbf{E}_x \left(f''(X_t) (\partial_x X_t) (\partial_{xx} X_t) \right) + \mathbf{E}_x \left(f'(X_t) \partial_{xxx} X_t \right), \quad (6.3) \quad \boxed{\text{e:f3}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{xxxx} f^t(x) &= \mathbf{E}_x \left(f^{(4)}(X_t) (\partial_x X_t)^4 \right) + 6 \mathbf{E}_x \left(f'''(X_t) (\partial_x X_t)^2 (\partial_{xx} X_t) \right) \\ &\quad + 3 \mathbf{E}_x \left(f''(X_t) (\partial_{xx} X_t)^2 \right) + 7 \mathbf{E}_x \left(f''(X_t) (\partial_x X_t) (\partial_{xxx} X_t) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{E}_x \left(f'(X_t) \partial_{xxxx} X_t \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4) \quad \boxed{\text{f4}}$$

Then the required statement follows from

pPT

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\nu(|z| > 1) = 0$ and $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ holds. Then for any $p > 1$, $T < \infty$*

$$\sup_{t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbf{E} |\partial_x^k X_t(x)|^p < \infty, \quad k = 1, \dots, 4. \quad (6.5) \quad \boxed{\text{p-bound}}$$

Proposition 6.1 has the same spirit with (Protter and Talay, 1997, Lemma 4.2). However, the above result is not applicable here directly, because the Itô form of the Marcus SDE

$$dX_t = \tilde{a}(X_t) dt + b(X_t) dW_t + \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(\phi^z(X_{t-}) - X_{t-} \right) \tilde{N}(dt, dz), \quad (6.6) \quad \boxed{\text{e:SDEtrun}}$$

contains the intergal w.r.t. the compensated Poisson random measure, while Protter and Talay (1997) deal with the Itô-SDEs w.r.t. dZ_t with a Lévy process Z . Because of that, we outline the proof, mainly in order to make it visible how the non-linear structure of the jump part effects on the assumptions required.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume $p \geq 2$, which will allow us to apply the Itô formula with the C^2 -function $|x|^p$.

1. The first derivative. Denote $X'_t := \partial_x X_t$, then

$$dX'_t = \tilde{a}'(X_t) X'_t dt + b'(X_t) X'_t dW_t + \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(\phi_x^z(X_{t-}) - 1 \right) X'_{t-} \tilde{N}(dt, dz), \quad (6.7)$$

and the Itô formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} |X'_t|^p &= 1 + p \int_0^t |X'_s|^{p-1} \tilde{a}'(X_s) ds + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t |X'_s|^{p-2} b'(X_s)^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(|\phi_x^z(X_s)|^p - 1 - p(\phi_x^z(X_s) - 1) \right) |X'_s|^{p-1} \nu(dz) ds \\ &\quad + p \int_0^t |X'_s|^{p-1} b'(X_s) dW_s + \int_0^t \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left(|\phi_x^z(X_{s-})|^p - 1 \right) |X'_{s-}|^{p-1} \tilde{N}(dt, dz), \end{aligned} \quad (6.8) \quad \boxed{\text{1-Itô}}$$

where the last two terms are local martingales. Then the standard argument, based on the martingale localization and the Fatou lemma, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|X'_t|^p &\leq 1 + p \int_0^t \mathbf{E}|X'_s|^p |\tilde{a}'(X_s)| ds + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t \mathbf{E}|X'_s|^p b'(X_s)^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|z| \leq 1} \mathbf{E} \left(|\phi_x^z(X_s)|^p - 1 - p(\phi_x^z(X_s) - 1) \right) |X'_s|^p \nu(dz) ds \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

We have the following elementary inequality: for any $p \geq 2$ there exists C_p such that for $a, \delta \in \mathfrak{R}$

$$|a + \delta|^p \leq |a|^p + p|a|^{p-1}(\text{sgn } a)\delta + C_p \left(|a|^{p-2}\delta^2 + |\delta|^p \right). \quad (6.10) \quad \boxed{\text{p-Taylor}}$$

In addition, we have \tilde{a}', b' bounded and, by Lemma A.1,

$$|\phi_x^z(x) - 1| \leq C|z|, \quad |z| \leq 1. \quad (6.11) \quad \boxed{\text{pr1}}$$

Then, applying (6.10) with $a = 1, \delta = \phi_x^z(x) - 1$ we get from (6.8)

$$\mathbf{E}_x |X'_t|^p \leq 1 + C_{p,T} \int_0^t \mathbf{E}_x |X'_s|^p ds, \quad t \leq T, \quad (6.12)$$

which yields (6.5) for $k = 1$ by the Gronwall lemma.

2. The second derivative. Denote $X_t'' := \partial_{xx} X_t = \partial_x X'_t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t'' &= \left(\tilde{a}''(X_t)(X'_t)^2 + a'(X_t)X_t'' \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(b''(X_t)(X'_t)^2 + b'(X_t)X_t'' \right) dW_t \\ &\quad + \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left[\phi_{xx}^z(X_{t-})(X'_{t-})^2 + \left(\phi_x^z(X_{t-}) - 1 \right) X''_{t-} \right] \tilde{N}(dt, dz), \quad X_0'' = 0, . \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

By the Itô formula, localization, and the Fatou lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|X_t''|^p &\leq p \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|\tilde{a}''(X_s)|(X'_s)^2 + |\tilde{a}'(X_s)||X_s''| \right) |X_s''|^{p-1} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|b''(X_s)||X'_s|^2 + |b'(X_s)||X_s''| \right)^2 |X_s''|^{p-2} ds \\ &\quad + \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left[|\phi_{xx}^z(X_s)(X'_s)^2 + \phi_x^z(X_s)X_s''|^p - |X_s''|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p|X_s''|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(X_s'') \left(\phi_{xx}^z(X_s)(X'_s)^2 + \left(\phi_x^z(X_s) - 1 \right) X_s'' \right) \right] \nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

We apply (6.10) with $a = A(X'') = X'', \delta = \delta(X, X', X'', z) = \phi_{xx}^z(X)(X')^2 + \left(\phi_x^z(X) - 1 \right) X''$. By Lemma A.1, we have for $|z| \leq 1$

$$|\phi_{xx}^z(x)| \leq C|z|, \quad (6.15) \quad \boxed{\text{pr2}}$$

which together with (6.11) gives

$$|\delta(X, X', X'', z)|^2 \leq C(|X'|^4 + |X''|^2)|z|^2, \quad |\delta(X, X', X'', z)|^p \leq C(|X'|^{2p} + |X''|^p)|z|^p.$$

Since $\tilde{a}', \tilde{a}'', b', b''$ are bounded and $|z|^p \leq |z|^2$ for $|z| \leq 1$, this yields inequality

$$\mathbf{E}|X_t''|^p \leq C \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|X_s''|^p + |X_s''|^{p-1}|X'_s|^2 + |X_s''|^{p-2}|X'_s|^4 + |X'_s|^{2p} \right) ds. \quad (6.16) \quad \boxed{\text{2nd0}}$$

By the Young inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{a^{p'}}{p'} + \frac{b^{q'}}{q'}, \quad a, b \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1,$$

we have

$$|X_s''|^{p-1}|X_s'|^2 \leq \frac{1}{p}|X_s'|^{2p} + \frac{p-1}{p}|X_s''|^p, \quad |X_s''|^{p-2}|X_s'|^4 \leq \frac{2}{p}|X_s'|^{2p} + \frac{p-2}{p}|X_s''|^p$$

Then (6.5) with $2p$ and $k = 1$, (6.16), and the Gronwall inequality yield (6.5) with p and $k = 2$.

3. The third derivative. Denote $X_t''' := \partial_{xxx}X_t = \partial_{xx}X_t' = \partial_x X_t''$, then

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t''' &= \left(\tilde{a}'''(X_t)(X_t')^3 + 3\tilde{a}''(X_t)X_t'X_t'' + \tilde{a}'(X_t)X_t''' \right) dt \\ &+ \left(b'''(X_t)(X_t')^3 + 3b''(X_t)X_t'X_t'' + b'(X_t)X_t''' \right) dW_t \\ &+ \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left[\phi_{xxx}^z(X_{t-})(X_{t-}')^3 + 3\phi_{xx}^z(X_{t-})X_{t-}'X_{t-}'' + \left(\phi_x^z(X_{t-}) - 1 \right) X_{t-}''' \right] \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

By the Itô formula, localization, and the Fatou lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|X_t'''|^p &\leq p\mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|\tilde{a}'''(X_s)||X_s'|^3 + 3|\tilde{a}''(X_s)||X_s'|X_s''| + |\tilde{a}'(X_s)||X_s'''| \right) |X_s''|^{p-1} ds \\ &+ \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|b'''(X_s)||X_s'|^3 + 3|b''(X_s)||X_s'|X_s''| + |b'(X_s)||X_s'''| \right)^2 |X_s''|^{p-2} ds \\ &+ \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left[|X_s''' + \phi_{xxx}^z(X_s)(X_s')^3 + 3\phi_{xx}^z(X_s)X_s'X_s'' + \left(\phi_x^z(X_s) - 1 \right) X_s''|^p - |X_s''|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p|X_s''|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(X_s''') \left(\phi_{xxx}^z(X_s)(X_s')^3 + 3\phi_{xx}^z(X_s)X_s'X_s'' + \left(\phi_x^z(X_s) - 1 \right) X_s'' \right) \right] \nu(dz) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

We apply (6.10) with $a = X''$, $\delta = \delta(X, X', X'', X''', z) = \phi_{xxx}^z(X)(X')^3 + 3\phi_{xx}^z(X)X'X'' + \left(\phi_x^z(X) - 1 \right)$.

We have for $|z| \leq 1$ by Lemma A.1

$$|\phi_{xxx}^z(x)| \leq C|z|, \quad (6.19) \quad \boxed{\text{pr3}}$$

which together with (6.11), (6.15) and the Young inequality gives

$$|\delta(X, X', X'', X''', z)|^2 \leq C(|X'|^6 + |X''|^4 + |X'''|^2)|z|^2, \quad |\delta(X, X', X'', X''', z)|^p \leq C(|X'|^{3p} + |X''|^{2p} + |X'''|^p)|z|^p.$$

Since the derivatives of \tilde{a}, b are bounded and $|X'|X''| \leq C(|X'|^3 + |X''|^{3/2})$ by the Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|X_t'''|^p &\leq C\mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|X_s''|^p + |X_s''|^{p-1}|X_s'|^3 + |X_s''|^{p-1}|X_s''|^{3/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |X_s''|^{p-2}|X_s'|^6 + |X_s''|^{p-2}|X_s''|^3 + |X_s'|^{3p} + |X_s''|^{3p/2} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20) \quad \boxed{\text{3rd0}}$$

Then (6.5) for $k = 3$ with given p follows from the same bounds with $k = 1, 3p$ and $k = 2, 3p/2$, the Young inequality, and the Gronwall inequality.

4. The fourth derivative. Denote $X_t'''' := \partial_{xxxx}X_t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t'''' &= \left(a'(X_t)X_t'''' + 4\tilde{a}''(X_t)X_t'X_t''' + 6\tilde{a}'''(X_t)(X_t')^2X_t'' + 3\tilde{a}''(X_t)(X_t'')^2 + \tilde{a}''''(X_t)(X_t')^4 \right) dt \\ &+ \left(3b''(X_t)(X_t'')^2 + 6(X_t')^2X_t''b'''(X_t) + 4X_t'b''(X_t)X_t''' + (X_t')^4b''''(X_t) + b'(X_t)X_t'''' \right) dW_t \\ &+ \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left[3(X_{t-}'')^2\phi_{xx}^z(X_{t-}) + 4X_{t-}'\phi_{xx}^z(X_{t-})X_{t-}'' + 6(X_{t-}')^2X_{t-}''\phi_{xxx}^z(X_{t-}) + \left(\phi_x^z(X_{t-}) - 1 \right) X_{t-}'''' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (X_{t-}')^4\phi_{xxxx}^z(X_{t-}) \right] \tilde{N}(dt, dz). \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

By the Itô formula, localization, and the Fatou lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}|X_t''''|^p &\leq p\mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|a'(X_s)||X_s''''| + 4|\tilde{a}''(X_s)||X_s''||X_s''''| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 6|\tilde{a}'''(X_s)||X_s'|^2|X_s''| + 3|\tilde{a}''(X_s)||X_s''|^2 + |\tilde{a}''''(X_s)||X_s'|^4 \right) |X_s''''|^{p-1} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(3|b''(X_s)||X_s''|^2 + 6|X_s'|^2|X_s''||b'''(X_s)| + 4|X_s'|b''(X_s)||X_s''''| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |X_s'|^4|b''''(X_s)| + |b'(X_s)||X_s''''| \right)^2 |X_t''''|^{p-2} ds \\
&\quad + \mathbf{E} \int_{|z|\leq 1} \left[\left(3(X_s'')^2\phi_{xx}^z(X_s) + 4X_s'\phi_{xx}^z(X_s)X_s'''' + 6(X_s')^2X_s''\phi_{xxx}^z(X_s) + \phi_x^z(X_s)X_s'''' \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (X_s')^4\phi_{xxxx}^z(X_s) \right)^2 - (X_s''''')^2 - p \left(3(X_s'')^2\phi_{xx}^z(X_s) + 4X_s'\phi_{xx}^z(X_s)X_s'''' + 6(X_s')^2X_s''\phi_{xxx}^z(X_s) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (\phi_x^z(X_s) - 1)X_s'''' + (X_s')^4\phi_{xxxx}^z(X_s) \right) (X_s''''')^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(X_s''''') \right] \nu(dz) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

We apply (6.10) with $a = X''''$,

$$\delta = \delta(X, X', X'', X''', X''''', z) = 3(X'')^2\phi_{xx}^z(X) + 4X'\phi_{xx}^z(X)X'''' + 6(X')^2X''\phi_{xxx}^z(X) + (\phi_x^z(X) - 1)X'''' + (X')^4\phi_{xxxx}^z(X).$$

We have for $|z| \leq 1$ by Lemma A.1

$$|\phi_{xxxz}^z(x)| \leq C|z|, \tag{6.23} \quad \boxed{\text{pr4}}$$

which together with (6.11), (6.15), (6.19) and the Young inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta(X, X', X'', X''', z)|^2 &\leq C(|X'|^8 + |X''|^4 + |X''''|^{8/3} + |X''''')^2)|z|^2, \\
|\delta(X, X', X'', X''', z)|^p &\leq C(|X'|^{4p} + |X''|^{2p} + |X''''|^{4p/3} + |X''''')^p)|z|^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Since the derivatives of \tilde{a}, b are bounded, applying the Young inequality once again we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}|X_t''''|^p &\leq C\mathbf{E} \int_0^t \left(|X_s''''|^p + |X_s''''|^{p-1}|X_s'|^4 + |X_s''''|^{p-1}|X_s''|^2 + |X_s''''|^{p-1}|X_s''''|^{4/3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |X_s''''|^{p-2}|X_s'|^8 + |X_s''''|^{p-2}|X_s''|^4 + |X_s''''|^{p-2}|X_s''''|^{8/3} + |X_s'|^{4p} + |X_s''|^{2p} + |X_s''''|^{4p/3} \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25} \quad \boxed{\text{e:3p}}$$

Then (6.5) for $k = 4$ with given p follows from the Young inequality, the Gronwall inequality, and the bounds (6.5) with $k = 1, 2, 3$ and p' equal $4p, 2p, 4p/3$, respectively. \square

Now, let us consider the general case of non-trivial large jump part. The semigroup P_t of the solution to (2.4) admits the following representation. Consider the SDE (6.6), which corresponds to the driving noise with large jumps (i.e. $|z| > 1$) truncated away. Denote the corresponding semigroup $\tilde{P}_t, t \geq 0$. Denote by \mathcal{Q} the operator which corresponds to a single large jump of the driving noise:

$$\mathcal{Q}f(x) = \int_{|z|>1} \left(f(\phi^z(x)) - f(x) \right) \nu(dz). \tag{6.26} \quad \boxed{\mathcal{Q}}$$

Then we have

$$P_t = e^{-\lambda t} \tilde{P}_t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq t} \tilde{P}_{t-s_k} \mathcal{Q} \tilde{P}_{s_k-s_{k-1}} \mathcal{Q} \dots \mathcal{Q} P_{s_1} ds_1 \dots ds_k, \tag{6.27}$$

where $\lambda = \nu(|z| > 1)$ is the intensity of large jumps. The above representation follows easily by independence of the processes

$$\tilde{Z}_t = \int_0^t \int_{|z|\leq 1} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz), \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t - \tilde{Z}_t = \int_0^t \int_{|z|>1} z N(ds, dz) \tag{6.28}$$

and the compound Poisson structure of $Z - \tilde{Z}$.

We have shown in the first part of the proof that

$$\|\tilde{P}_t\|_{C^4 \rightarrow C^4} \leq C_T, \quad t \leq T. \quad (6.29)$$

On the other hand, for the function $\mathcal{Q}f$ given by the integral formula (6.26) its derivatives of the orders $1, \dots, 4$ admit integral representations similar to (6.1)–(6.4), and then it is a direct calculation to see that

$$\|\mathcal{Q}\|_{C^4 \rightarrow C^4} \leq C_{\mathcal{Q}}. \quad (6.30)$$

Then for the semigroup P_t we have for $t \leq T$

$$\|P_t\|_{C^4 \rightarrow C^4} \leq e^{-\lambda t} C_T + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{t^k}{k!} (C_T)^{k+1} (C_{\mathcal{Q}})^k = C_T e^{t(C_{\mathcal{Q}} C_T - \lambda)} \leq C_T e^{T(C_{\mathcal{Q}} C_T - \lambda)_+}, \quad (6.31)$$

which completes the proof.

a:phi

A Properties of $\phi^z(u; x)$ and its derivatives

l:phi

Lemma A.1. *Let $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ holds true and let*

$$\varphi(u; x, z) = \phi^z(u; x) - x - c(x)zu, \quad u \in [0, 1]. \quad (A.1)$$

Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $|z| \leq 1$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(u; x, z)| &\leq C \cdot z^2 \cdot |c(x)|, \\ |\nabla_x^k \varphi(u; x, z)| &\leq C \cdot z^2, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 4. \end{aligned} \quad (A.2)$$

In particular, the effective drift $\tilde{a} \in C^4(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\|\nabla^k \tilde{a}\| < \infty$, $k = 1, \dots, 4$, and for $|z| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi^z(u; x) - x| &\leq C(1 + |x|), \\ |\phi_x^z(u; x) - 1| &\leq C|z|, \\ |\nabla_x^k \phi^z(u; x)| &\leq C|z|, \quad k = 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned} \quad (A.3)$$

Proof. Estimate the integral term.

1. We write

$$\phi^z(u; x) = x + c(x)zu + \varphi(u; x, z), \quad u \in [0, 1] \quad (A.4)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du} \phi^z(u; x) &= c(x)z + \dot{\varphi}(u; x, z) = c(x + c(x)zu + \varphi(u; x, z))z \\ &= c(x)z + c'(\xi) \left(c(x)zu + \varphi(u; x, z) \right) z, \quad \xi = \xi(u, x, z) \end{aligned} \quad (A.5)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\varphi}(u; x, z) &= c'(\xi)c(x)z^2u + \varphi(u; x, z)c'(\xi)z, \\ |\varphi(u; x, z)| &\leq \int_0^u \left(\|c'\| |c(x)| z^2 + \|c'\| |z| |\varphi(r; x, z)| \right) dr, \\ |\varphi(u; x, z)| &\leq z^2 \|c'\| |c(x)| \cdot e^{\|c'\|}. \end{aligned} \quad (A.6)$$

Hence

$$|\phi^z(x) - x - c(x)z| \leq z^2 \|c'\| |c(x)| \cdot e^{\|c'\|} \quad (A.7)$$

and \tilde{a} is of linear growth.

2. Analogously,

$$\phi_x^z(u; x) = 1 + c'(x)zu + \varphi_x(u; x, z), \quad u \in [0, 1] \quad (A.8)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{du}\phi_x^z(u; x) &= c'(x)z + \dot{\phi}_x(u; x, z) = c'(\phi^z(u; x))\phi_x^z(u; x)z \\ &= c'(\phi^z(u; x))\left(1 + c'(x)zu + \varphi_x(u; x, z)\right)z\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.9})$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\phi}_x(u; x, z) &= \left(c'(\phi^z(u; x)) - c'(x)\right)z + c'(\phi^z(u; x))c'(x)z^2u + c'(\phi^z(u; x))\varphi_x(u; x, z)z \\ &= z^2 \int_0^u c''(\phi^z(r; x))c(\phi^z(r; x)) dr + c'(\phi^z(u; x))c'(x)z^2u + c'(\phi^z(u; x))\varphi_x(u; x, z)z\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.10})$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|\varphi_x(u; x, z)| &\leq (\|c''c\| + \|c'\|^2)z^2 + \|c'\||z| \int_0^u |\varphi(r; x, z)| dr, \\ |\varphi_x(u; x, z)| &\leq z^2(\|c''c\| + \|c'\|^2) \cdot e^{\|c'\|}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.11})$$

3. Analogously,

$$\phi_{xx}^z(u; x) = c''(x)zu + \varphi_{xx}(u; x, z), \quad u \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{du}\phi_{xx}^z(u; x) &= c''(x)z + \dot{\phi}_{xx}(u; x, z) \\ &= c''(\phi^z(u; x))\left(1 + c'(x)zu + \varphi_x^z(u; x)\right)^2 z + c'(\phi^z(u; x))\left(c''(x)zu + \varphi_{xx}(u; x, z)\right)z \\ &= c''(\phi^z(u; x))\left(1 + 2(c'(x)zu + \varphi_x^z(u; x)) + (c'(x)zu + \varphi_x^z(u; x))^2\right)z \\ &\quad + c'(\phi^z(u; x))\left(c''(x)zu + \varphi_{xx}(u; x, z)\right)z\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.13})$$

Taking into account that $\|c'''c\| < \infty$ and

$$c''(\phi^z(u; x)) - c''(x) = z \int_0^u c'''(\phi^z(r; x))c(\phi^z(r; x)) dr \quad (\text{A.14})$$

we get that

$$|\varphi_x(u; x, z)| \leq z^2 \cdot C_2 \cdot e^{\|c'\|}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

4. The higher derivatives are checked analogously. \square

We have the following formulae for the derivatives of the Marcus flow $x \mapsto \phi^z(x)$. These derivatives are hence solutions of non-autonomous non-homogeneous linear differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{du}\phi_x^z &= zc'(\phi^z)\phi_x^z, \quad \phi^z(0; x) = 1, \\ \frac{d}{du}\phi_{xx}^z &= zc''(\phi^z)\phi_x^2 + zc'(\phi^z)\phi_{xx}^z, \\ \frac{d}{du}\phi_{xxx}^z &= z\left(c'''(\phi^z)\phi_x^3 + 3c''(\phi^z)\phi_x^z\phi_{xx}^z\right) + zc'(\phi^z)\phi_{xxx}^z, \\ \frac{d}{du}\phi_{xxxx}^z &= z\left(c''''(\phi^z)(\phi_x^z)^4 + 6c'''(\phi^z)\phi_x^z(\phi_{xx}^z)^2 + 3c''(\phi^z)(\phi_{xx}^z)^2 + 4c'(\phi^z)\phi_x^z(\phi_{xxx}^z)^3\right) + zc'(\phi^z)\phi_{xxxx}^z,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.16})$$

e: eqophi

1:Aa **Lemma A.2.** *Under assumption $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ we have for all $|z| > 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi_x^z(u; x)| &\leq e^{\|c'\||z|}, \\ |\phi_{xx}^z(u; x)| &\leq |z|e^{3\|c'\||z|}, \\ |\phi_{xxx}^z(u; x)| &\leq |z|^2e^{5\|c'\||z|}, \\ |\phi_{xxxx}^z(u; x)| &\leq |z|^3e^{8\|c'\||z|}, \quad u \in [0, 1].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.17})$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi^z(x) - x| &\leq |x|(1 + e^{\|c'\| |z|}), \\ |\phi_x^z(x) - 1| &\leq 1 + e^{\|c'\| |z|}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

Proof. Indeed, solving the linear equations (A.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x^z(u) &= e^{\int_0^u c'(\phi^z)z \, dr}, \\ \phi_{xx}^z(u) &= \int_0^u z c''(\phi^z) \phi_x^z \cdot e^{\int_s^u c'(\phi^z)z \, dr} \, ds, \\ \phi_{xxx}^z(u) &= \int_0^u z \left(c'''(\phi^z) \phi_x^z + 3c''(\phi^z) \phi_x^z \phi_{xx}^z \right) e^{\int_s^u c'(\phi^z)z \, dr} \, ds, \\ \phi_{xxxx}^z(u) &= \int_0^u z \left(c''''(\phi^z) (\phi_x^z)^4 + 6c'''(\phi^z) \phi_x^z (\phi_{xx}^z)^2 + 3c''(\phi^z) (\phi_{xx}^z)^2 + 4c''(\phi^z) \phi_x^z \phi_{xxx}^z \right) e^{\int_s^u c'(\phi^z)z \, dr} \, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

and hence the estimates follow.

By the Gronwall lemma, $|\phi^z(x)| \leq |x|e^{\|c'\| |z|}$, and

$$|\phi^z(x) - x| \leq 1 + e^{\|c'\| |z|}. \tag{A.20}$$

□

In the multidimensional setting, solutions should be written in terms of the fundamental solution of the linear differential equation with the matrix $Dc(\phi^z(u; x))z$ and the estimates (A.19) follow, for example from (Hartman, 1964, Section IV.4).

a:psi

B Properties of $\psi(u; x; \tau, w, z)$ and its derivatives

For the estimates of the Lemma 4.6 we need the following elementary inequalities.

l:psi

Lemma B.1. *Let $\mathbf{H}_{a,b,c}$ holds true. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\tau \geq 0$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |\psi(u; x; \tau, w, z)| \leq C(1 + |x|) \cdot e^{\|a'\| \tau + \|b'\| |w| + \|c'\| |z|}, \tag{B.1} \quad \text{e:psi0}$$

$$\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |\partial_i \psi(u; x; \tau, w, z)| \leq C(1 + |x|) \cdot e^{2(\|a'\| \tau + \|b'\| |w| + \|c'\| |z|)}, \quad i \in \{\tau, w, z\}, \tag{B.2} \quad \text{e:psi1}$$

$$\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |\partial_{ij} \psi(u; x; \tau, w, z)| \leq C(1 + x^2) \cdot (1 + \tau + |w| + |z|) e^{5(\|a'\| \tau + \|b'\| |w| + \|c'\| |z|)}, \quad i, j \in \{\tau, w, z\}, \tag{B.3} \quad \text{e:psi2}$$

$$\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |\psi_{ijk}(u; x; \tau, w, z)| \leq C(1 + |x|^3) \cdot (1 + \tau + |z| + |w|)^2 e^{8(\|a'\| \tau + \|b'\| |w| + \|c'\| |z|)}, \quad i, j, k \in \{\tau, w, z\}, \tag{B.4} \quad \text{e:psi3}$$

$$\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |\psi_{ijkl}(u; x; \tau, w, z)| \leq C(1 + |x|^4) \cdot (1 + \tau + |z| + |w|)^3 e^{11(\|a'\| \tau + \|b'\| |w| + \|c'\| |z|)}, \quad i, j, k, l \in \{\tau, w, z\}. \tag{B.5} \quad \text{e:psi4}$$

Proof. These estimates are obtained directly.

0. Estimate of ψ . For $\tau, w, z \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $\psi(u) = \psi(u; x; \tau, w, z)$ the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du} \psi(u) &= a(\psi(u))\tau + b(\psi(u))w + c(\psi(u))z, \\ \psi(0) &= x, \quad u \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

Since

$$|a(x)| \leq |a(0)| + \|a'\| |x|, \quad |b(x)| \leq |b(0)| + \|b'\| |x|, \quad |c(x)| \leq |c(0)| + \|c'\| |x|, \tag{B.7}$$

the Gronwall inequality yields (B.1) for some $C > 0$.

1. Estimates of $\psi_\tau, \psi_w, \psi_z$. The derivative w.r.t. τ satisfies the linear non-autonomous ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}\psi_\tau &= a(\psi) + (a'(\psi)\tau + b'(\psi)w + c'(\psi)z)\psi_\tau \\ \psi_\tau(0; x; \tau, w, z) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

which can be solved explicitly

$$\psi_\tau(u) = \int_0^u a(\psi(s)) e^{\int_s^u (\tau a'(\psi(r)) + w b'(\psi(r)) + z c'(\psi(r))) dr} ds, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Applying the estimate (B.1) we get (for a different constant $C > 0$)

$$\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |\psi_\tau(u; x; \tau, w, z)| \leq C(1 + |x|) \cdot e^{2(\|a'\|\tau + \|b'\|w + \|c'\|z)}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Due to the symmetry of the ODE for ψ w.r.t. τ, w , and z the same estimate holds for ψ_w and ψ_z .

2. Estimates of $\psi_{\tau\tau}, \psi_{\tau w}, \psi_{\tau z}, \psi_{ww}, \psi_{wz}, \psi_{zz}$. We consider derivatives $\psi_{\tau\tau}$ and $\psi_{\tau w}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau\tau} &= 2a'(\psi)\psi_\tau + \left(a''(\psi)\tau + b''(\psi)w + c''(\psi)z\right)\psi_\tau^2 + \left(a'(\psi)\tau + b'(\psi)w + c'(\psi)z\right)\psi_{\tau\tau}, \\ \psi_{\tau\tau}(0; x; t, w, z) &= 0, \\ \frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau w} &= a'(\psi)\psi_w + b'(\psi)\psi_\tau + \left(a''(\psi)\tau + b''(\psi)w + c''(\psi)z\right)\psi_\tau \cdot \psi_w + \left(a'(\psi)\tau + b'(\psi)w + c'(\psi)z\right)\psi_{\tau w}, \\ \psi_{\tau w}(0; x; \tau, w, z) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Writing down the solution explicitly and using the estimates from the previous steps yields the result.

3. Estimates of $\psi_{\tau\tau\tau}, \psi_{\tau\tau w}, \psi_{\tau\tau z}, \psi_{\tau w w}, \dots$ We consider derivatives $\psi_{\tau\tau\tau}$ and $\psi_{\tau\tau w}$, and $\psi_{\tau w z}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau\tau\tau} &= 3a''(\psi)\psi_\tau^2 + 3a'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau} + 3\left(a''(\psi)\tau + b''(\psi)w + c''(\psi)z\right)\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau\tau} \\ &\quad + \left(a'''(\psi)\tau + b'''(\psi)w + c'''(\psi)z\right)\psi_\tau^3 + \left(a'(\psi)\tau + b'(\psi)w + c'(\psi)z\right)\psi_{\tau\tau\tau}, \\ \psi_{\tau\tau\tau}(0; x; t, w, z) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau\tau w} &= b''(\psi)\psi_\tau^2 + b'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau} + 2a'(\psi)\psi_{\tau w} + 2\left(a''(\psi)(1 + \tau) + b''(\psi)w + c''(\psi)z\right)\psi_\tau\psi_w \\ &\quad + \left(a'''(\psi)\tau + b'''(\psi)w + c'''(\psi)z\right)\psi_\tau^2\psi_w + \left(a''(\psi)\tau + b''(\psi)w + c''(\psi)z\right)\psi_{\tau\tau}\psi_w \\ &\quad + \left(a'(\psi)\tau + b'(\psi)w + c'(\psi)z\right)\psi_{\tau\tau w}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau w z} &= c''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_w + b''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_z + a''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_z + c'(\psi)\psi_{\tau w} + b'(\psi)\psi_{\tau z} + a'(\psi)\psi_{wz} \\ &\quad + \left(a''(\psi)\tau + b''(\psi)w + c''(\psi)z\right)\left(\psi_\tau\psi_{wz} + \psi_{\tau w}\psi_z + \psi_{\tau z}\psi_w\right) \\ &\quad + \left(a'''(\psi)\tau + b'''(\psi)w + c'''(\psi)z\right)\psi_\tau\psi_w\psi_z + \left(a'(\psi)\tau + b'(\psi)w + c'(\psi)z\right)\psi_{\tau w z} \\ \psi_{\tau w z}(0; x; \tau, w, z) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

4. Estimates of $\psi_{\tau\tau\tau\tau}, \psi_{\tau\tau\tau w}, \psi_{\tau\tau\tau z}, \psi_{\tau\tau w w}, \dots$

We consider derivatives $\psi_{\tau\tau\tau\tau}$ and $\psi_{\tau\tau\tau w}$, and $\psi_{\tau\tau w w}$, and $\psi_{\tau\tau w z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau\tau\tau\tau} &= \left(\tau a^{(4)}(\psi) + w b^{(4)}(\psi) + z c^{(4)}(\psi)\right)\psi_\tau^4 + 6\left(\tau a'''(\psi) + w b'''(\psi) + z c'''(\psi)\right)\psi_\tau^2\psi_{\tau\tau} \\ &\quad + \left(\tau a''(\psi) + w b''(\psi) + z c''(\psi)\right)\left(3\psi_\tau^2 + 4\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau\tau}\right) \\ &\quad + 4\left(a'''(\psi)\psi_\tau^3 + 3a''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau\tau} + a'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau\tau}\right) + \left(\tau a'(\psi) + w b'(\psi) + z c'(\psi)\right)\psi_{\tau\tau\tau\tau} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau\tau\tau w} &= b'''(\psi)\psi_\tau^3 + b'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau\tau} + 3b''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau\tau} \\
&+ 3\left(a'''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_\tau^2 + 2a''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau w} + a''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_{\tau\tau} + a'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau w}\right) \\
&+ \left(\tau a^{(4)}(\psi) + wb^{(4)}(\psi) + zc^{(4)}(\psi)\right)\psi_\tau^3\psi_w \\
&+ 3\left(\tau a'''(\psi) + wb'''(\psi) + zc'''(\psi)\right)\left(\psi_\tau^2\psi_{\tau w} + \psi_w\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau\tau}\right) \\
&+ \left(\tau a''(\psi) + wb''(\psi) + zc''(\psi)\right)\left(3\psi_{\tau w}\psi_{\tau\tau} + 3\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau\tau w} + \psi_w\psi_{\tau\tau\tau}\right) \\
&+ \left(\tau a'\psi + wb'\psi + zc'\psi\right)\psi_{\tau\tau\tau w}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau\tau w w} &= \tau a^{(4)}(\psi)\psi_\tau^2\psi_w^2 + a'''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_w^2 + 4a''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_{\tau w} + 2a''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{w w} + 2a'(\psi)\psi_{\tau w w} \\
&+ 2b'''(\psi)\psi_\tau^2\psi_w + 4b''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau w} + 2b''(\psi)\psi_w\psi_{\tau\tau} + 2b'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau w} \\
&+ \left(\tau a^{(4)}(\psi) + wb^{(4)}(\psi) + zc^{(4)}(\psi)\right)\psi_\tau^2\psi_w^2 \\
&+ \left(\tau a'''(\psi) + wb'''(\psi) + zc'''(\psi)\right)\left(4\psi_w\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau w} + \psi_\tau^2\psi_{w w} + \psi_{\tau\tau}\psi_w^2\right) \\
&+ \left(\tau a''(\psi) + wb''(\psi) + zc''(\psi)\right)\left(2\psi_{\tau w}^2 + 2\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau w w} + 2\psi_w\psi_{\tau\tau w} + \psi_{\tau\tau}\psi_{w w}\right) \\
&+ \left(\tau a'(\psi) + wb'(\psi) + zc'(\psi)\right)\psi_{\tau\tau w w}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{du}\psi_{\tau\tau w z} &= 2a'(\psi)\psi_{\tau w z} + b'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau z} + c'(\psi)\psi_{\tau\tau w} \\
&+ 2a''(\psi)\left(\psi_{\tau z}\psi_w + \psi_{\tau w}\psi_z + \psi_\tau\psi_{w z}\right) + b''(\psi)\left(2\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau z} + \psi_{\tau\tau}\psi_z\right) + c''(\psi)\left(2\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau w} + \psi_{\tau\tau}\psi_w\right) \\
&+ 2a'''(\psi)\psi_\tau\psi_w\psi_z + b'''(\psi)\psi_\tau^2\psi_z + c'''(\psi)\psi_\tau^2\psi_w \\
&+ \left(\tau a^{(4)}(\psi) + wb^{(4)}(\psi) + zc^{(4)}(\psi)\right)\psi_\tau^2\psi_w\psi_z \\
&+ \left(\tau a'''(\psi) + wb'''(\psi) + zc'''(\psi)\right)\left(\psi_\tau^2\psi_{w z} + 2\psi_w\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau z} + 2\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau w}\psi_z + \psi_{\tau\tau}\psi_w\psi_z\right) \\
&+ \left(\tau a''(\psi) + wb''(\psi) + zc''(\psi)\right)\left(2\psi_{\tau w}\psi_{\tau z} + 2\psi_\tau\psi_{\tau w z} + \psi_{\tau\tau}\psi_{w z} + \psi_{\tau\tau z}\psi_w + \psi_{\tau\tau w}\psi_z\right) \\
&+ \left(\tau a'(\psi) + wb'(\psi) + zc'(\psi)\right)\psi_{\tau\tau w z}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

□

References

- Applebaum-09** D. Applebaum. *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, volume 116 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2009.
- bally1996law** V. Bally and D. Talay. The law of the Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 104(1):43–60, 1996.
- ChePav-14** A. V. Chechkin and I. Pavlyukevich. Marcus versus Stratonovich for systems with jump noise. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 47:342001, 2014.
- ContT-04** R. Cont and P. Tankov. *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.
- Hartman-64** P. Hartman. *Ordinary Differential Equations*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1964.

- JacodKMP-05 J. Jacod, T. G. Kurtz, S. Méléard, and P. Protter. The approximate Euler method for Lévy driven stochastic differential equations. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, section B*, 41:523–558, 2005.
- KloPla-95 P. E. Kloeden and E. Platen. *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- Lius2002rate K. Kubilius and E. Platen. Rate of weak convergence of the Euler approximation for diffusion processes with jumps. *Monte Carlo Methods and Applications*, 8(1):83–96, 2002.
- Kunita-95 H. Kunita. Some problems concerning Lévy processes on Lie groups. In M. C. Cranston and M. A. Pinsky, editors, *Stochastic Analysis*, volume 57 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 323–341. AMS, Providence, RI, 1995.
- Kunita-04 H. Kunita. Stochastic differential equations based on Lévy processes and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. In M. M. Rao, editor, *Real and Stochastic Analysis. New Perspectives*, Trends in Mathematics, pages 305–373. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- KurtzPP-95 T. G. Kurtz, É. Pardoux, and P. Protter. Stratonovich stochastic differential equations driven by general semimartingales. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, section B*, 31(2):351–357, 1995.
- LiMinWang-13 T. Li, B. Min, and Z. Wang. Marcus canonical integral for non-Gaussian processes and its computation: Pathwise simulation and tau-leaping algorithm. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 138:104118, 2013.
- LinWang-14err T. Li, B. Min, and Z. Wang. Erratum: Marcus canonical integral for non-Gaussian processes and its computation: Pathwise simulation and tau-leaping algorithm [J. Chem. Phys. 138, 104118 (2013)]. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 140(9):099902, 2014.
- liu2000weak X. Q. Liu and C. W. Li. Weak approximations and extrapolations of stochastic differential equations with jumps. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 37(6):1747–1767, 2000.
- us1994second V. Mackevičius. Second-order weak approximations for Stratonovich stochastic differential equations. *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*, 34(2):183–200, 1994.
- Marcus-78 S. I. Marcus. Modeling and analysis of stochastic differential equations driven by point processes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 24(2):164–172, 1978.
- Marcus-81 S. I. Marcus. Modeling and approximation of stochastic differential equations driven by semimartingales. *Stochastics*, 4(3):223–245, 1981.
- 55continuous G. Maruyama. Continuous Markov processes and stochastic equations. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 4(1):48–90, 1955.
- cius2012rate R. Mikulevičius. On the rate of convergence of simple and jump-adapted weak Euler schemes for Lévy driven SDEs. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(7):2730–2757, 2012.
- cius1988time R. Mikulevičius and E. Platen. Time discrete Taylor approximations for Itô processes with jump component. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 138(1):93–104, 1988.
- cius2011rate R. Mikulevičius and C. Zhang. On the rate of convergence of weak Euler approximation for nondegenerate SDEs driven by Lévy processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 121(8):1720–1748, 2011.
- cius2015weak R. Mikulevičius and C. Zhang. Weak Euler approximation for Itô diffusion and jump processes. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 33(3):549–571, 2015.
- il1979method G. N. Milstein. A method of second-order accuracy integration of stochastic differential equations. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 23(2):396–401, 1979.
- 994numerical G. N. Milstein. *Numerical Integration of Stochastic Differential Equations*, volume 313. Springer Science & Business Media, Dodrecht, 1994.
- ilsteinT2004 G. N. Milstein and M. V. Tretyakov. *Stochastic Numerics for Mathematical Physics*. Springer, Berlin, 2013.

- mil1986weak** G. N. Mil'shtein. Weak approximation of solutions of systems of stochastic differential equations. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 30(4):750–766, 1986.
- pavLiXuChe-15** I. Pavlyukevich, Y. Li, Y. Xu, and A. Chechkin. Directed transport induced by spatially modulated Lévy flights. 2015.
- ProTal-97** P. Protter and D. Talay. The Euler scheme for Lévy driven stochastic differential equations. *The Annals of Probability*, 25(1):393–423, 1997.
- 984efficient** D. Talay. Efficient numerical schemes for the approximation of expectations of functionals of the solution of a S.D.E., and applications. In *Filtering and Control of Random Processes*, volume 61 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, pages 294–313. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- 990expansion** D. Talay and L. Tubaro. Expansion of the global error for numerical schemes solving stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 8(4):483–509, 1990.