

ITERATIVE ALGORITHM WITH STRUCTURED DIAGONAL HESSIAN APPROXIMATION FOR SOLVING NONLINEAR LEAST SQUARES PROBLEMS

ALIYU MUHAMMED AWWAL^{1,3}, POOM KUMAM^{1,2*}, AND HASSAN MOHAMMAD⁴

ABSTRACT. Nonlinear least squares problems are special class of unconstrained optimization problems in which their gradient and Hessian have special structures. In this paper, we exploit these structures and proposed a matrix free algorithm with diagonal Hessian approximation for solving nonlinear least squares problems. We devise appropriate safeguarding strategies to ensure the Hessian matrix is positive definite throughout the iteration process. The proposed algorithm generates descent direction and is globally convergent. Preliminary numerical experiments shows that the proposed method is competitive with a recent developed similar methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider nonlinear least squares problems, the special class of unconstrained optimization problems, of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x), \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|F(x)\|^2,$$

where $F(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x))^T$ and each residual $F_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ (usually $m \geq n$), is twice continuously differentiable function. Let $J(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ denotes Jacobian of the residual function $F(x)$ and $g(x)$, denote the gradient of the objective function f , $\nabla f(x_k)$, and $H(x)$ denote the Hessian of the objective function $\nabla^2 f(x)$. The gradient and Hessian of problem (1.1) have special structures and are respectively given by

$$(1.2) \quad g(x) := \sum_{i=1}^m F_i(x) \nabla F_i(x) = J(x)^T F(x)$$

$$(1.3) \quad H(x) := \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla F_i(x) \nabla F_i(x)^T + \sum_{i=1}^m F_i(x) \nabla^2 F_i(x) = J(x)^T J(x) + C(x),$$

where F_i is the i th component of F , $\nabla^2 F_i(x)$ is its Hessian, and $C(x)$ is a square matrix representing the second term of the Hessian.

Nonlinear least squares problems have been studied extensively, and many iterative algorithms for solving them have been proposed. These generally fall into

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 90C30; 65K05; 49M37.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear least-squares problems; Large-scale problems; Jacobian-free strategy; Global convergence.

two categories, namely, general unconstrained optimization algorithms that includes Newton's method and quasi-Newton methods; and special methods, that take the special structure of the problem into account, which constitute Gauss-Newton method, Levenberg-Marquardt method and Structured quasi-Newton methods (see [6,22,23,25,26]). For a brief survey of methods for addressing nonlinear least squares problems, interested reader may refer to the recent articles by Mohammad et al. [17] and Yuan [24].

The study of efficient algorithm for nonlinear least squares (NLS) problems is important because of its numerous areas of applications such as data fitting, optimal control, parameter estimation, experimental design, data assimilation, and imaging problems (see [1, 2, 7, 10, 13, 21]). For instance, it is often common to measure the discrepancy between a proposed parametrized model and the observed behavior of a given system. To select values for the parameters that best match the model to the data, it is usual to minimize the sum of the squares of the residuals F_i^s . The special structure of problem (1.1) can always be explored to devise efficient algorithms for obtaining its solution. For example Kobayashi et al. [11] exploits the structure of the nonlinear least squares by introducing a class of matrix-free structured methods that falls into the category of conjugate gradient algorithms with modified secant condition for solving nonlinear least squares problems. Motivated by their idea, Dehghani and Mahdavi-Amiri [3] proposed a modified secant relation specifically to get more information of the Hessian of the nonlinear least squares objective function. Furthermore, they proposed another class of conjugate gradient methods for addressing nonlinear least squares problems. In another attempt, but different approach, Mohammad and Waziri [16] proposed two structured Barzilai-Borwein step sizes for solving nonlinear least squares.

Recently, Mohammad and Sandra [15] proposed a diagonal Hessian approximation method for nonlinear least squares problems in which the diagonal approximation of the Hessian of the objective function is obtained using a structured secant condition that have some information of the exact Hessian. However, as a final remarks, the authors comment on the need for further research that investigate a better approximation of the Hessian matrix that involved its special structure.

We feel that approximating the first and second terms of the Hessian matrix (1.3) will lead to substantial lost of information about the Hessian. In this paper, we proposed a diagonal Hessian with better approximation by exploiting the special structure of problem (1.1) and obtained a matrix-free algorithm. The main difference between our method and the method in [15], is that, in building our diagonal matrix, we take the whole information of the first term of (1.3) and approximate its second term. By this, our diagonal matrix contains more information than the one proposed in [15]. Our proposed method generates descent directions and is globally convergent.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the proposed method and its algorithm. The convergence analysis is discussed in Section 3 and in Section 4, we give numerical experiments. Throughout this article, we use the following notations for the objective function $f(x_k) = f_k$, for the residual $F(x_k) = F_k$, for any matrix $A(x_k) = A_k$, and $\|\cdot\|$ for the Euclidean norm of vectors and the induced 2-norm of matrices.

2. PROPOSED METHOD

An important concept of a structured quasi-Newton method for nonlinear least squares is the structure principle [4]. Now, we provide the necessary elements to develop our proposed diagonal Hessian approximation, taking the special structures of the gradient and Hessian of the problem (1.1) into account.

Consider the second term of the Hessian matrix (1.3). Suppose that at certain iteration $k - 1$, $k \geq 1$ the second term of the Hessian matrix (1.3) is

$$(2.1) \quad C(x_{k-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^m F_i(x_{k-1}) \nabla^2 F_i(x_{k-1}),$$

so that the updating matrix $C(x_k)$ which satisfies the secant equation

$$C(x_k) s_{k-1} = y_{k-1},$$

can be obtained as follows.

The Taylor's expansion of $\nabla F_i(x_{k-1})$ can be written as

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla F_i(x_{k-1}) = \nabla F_i(x_k) + \nabla^2 F_i(x_k)^T (x_{k-1} - x_k) + \mathbf{o}(\|x_{k-1} - x_k\|),$$

where $\mathbf{o} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{o}^i(\xi)}{\xi} = 0$.

Let $s_{k-1} = x_k - x_{k-1}$. Multiplying (2.2) by $F_i(x_k)$ and rearranging, we have

$$(2.3) \quad F_i(x_k) \nabla^2 F_i(x_k)^T s_{k-1} = F_i(x_k) \nabla F_i(x_k) - F_i(x_k) \nabla F_i(x_{k-1}) + F_i(x_k) \mathbf{o}(\|s_{k-1}\|),$$

By summing both sides of (2.3) for $i = 1, \dots, m$ we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad C(x_k) s_{k-1} = J(x_k)^T F(x_k) - J(x_{k-1})^T F(x_k) + (F_k^T \mathbf{1}_m) \mathbf{o}(\|s_{k-1}\|).$$

Putting (2.4) into (1.3) implies

$$(2.5) \quad H_k s_{k-1} = J_k^T J_k s_{k-1} + (J_k - J_{k-1})^T F(x_k) + (F_k^T \mathbf{1}_m) \mathbf{o}(\|s_{k-1}\|).$$

Let $D_k \approx H_k$ such that D_k is a diagonal matrix approximately satisfying the secant equation

$$(2.6) \quad D_k s_{k-1} \approx y_{k-1},$$

where $y_{k-1} = J_k^T J_k s_{k-1} + (J_k - J_{k-1})^T F(x_k)$. For convenience, we denote the first and second terms of y_{k-1} as

$$(2.7) \quad \hat{y}_{k-1} = J_k^T J_k s_{k-1} \text{ and } \bar{y}_{k-1} = (J_k - J_{k-1})^T F(x_k).$$

The following Lemma comes from [15] and will be useful in defining the entries of the diagonal matrix D_k in view of the secant equation (2.6).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D = \text{diag}(d)$ be a diagonal matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let c and s be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Then, the solution of the constrained linear least-squares problem with simple bounds:*

$$(2.8) \quad \min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|\text{diag}(d)s - c\|^2$$

subject to $-d \leq 0$

is given by

$$(2.9) \quad d^i = \begin{cases} \frac{c^i}{s^i}, & \text{if } \frac{c^i}{s^i} > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{c^i}{s^i} \leq 0, \text{ or } s^i = 0, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let the diagonal matrix D_k be decompose into two diagonal matrices i.e. $D_k = \text{diag}(a_k) + \text{diag}(b_k)$, where a_k, b_k are vectors representing the first and second terms of y_{k-1} respectively. For the diagonal matrix to be positive definite, all the diagonal entries a_k^i and b_k^i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, must be strictly positive. Now, applying Lemma 2.1 to the secant equation (2.6) for $i = 1, \dots, n$, Equation (2.9) becomes

$$(2.10) \quad a_k^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\hat{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i}, & \text{if } \frac{\hat{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{\hat{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} \leq 0, \text{ or } s^i = 0, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad b_k^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i}, & \text{if } \frac{\bar{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{\bar{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} \leq 0, \text{ or } s^i = 0, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From the above Lemma 2.1, the diagonal matrix has nonnegative diagonal entries which means it is positive semidefinite matrix. In order to ensure the diagonal matrix is positive definite, in the next subsection we provide a safeguard strategy similar to the one given in [15], that guarantee each diagonal entries a_k^i and b_k^i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, is strictly positive.

2.1. Safeguarding strategy. We consider the situation in which $\hat{y}_{k-1}^i, s_{k-1}^i$ and $\bar{y}_{k-1}^i, s_{k-1}^i$ have different signs with $s_{k-1}^i \neq 0$. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be a shrinking parameter and $\rho > 0$ be a tolerance for ensuring strictly values.

Case (a) Suppose $s_{k-1}^i > 0$.

(ai) If $\hat{y}_{k-1}^i \leq 0$, i.e., $(J_k^T J_k s_{k-1})^i \leq 0$. Then redefine \hat{y}_{k-1}^i as

$$(2.12) \quad \hat{y}_{k-1}^i = \gamma \max\{|(J_k^T J_k s_{k-1})^i|, \rho\}$$

so that $a_k^i = \frac{\hat{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} > 0$.

(aii) If $\bar{y}_{k-1}^i \leq 0$, i.e., $(J_k^T F_k)^i \leq (J_{k-1}^T F_k)^i$. Then redefine \bar{y}_{k-1}^i as

$$(2.13) \quad \bar{y}_{k-1}^i = \gamma \left\{ \max \left\{ \max |(J_k^T F_k)^i|, |(J_{k-1}^T F_k)^i| \right\}, \rho \right\}$$

so that $b_k^i = \frac{\bar{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} > 0$.

Case(b) Suppose $s_{k-1}^i < 0$.

(bi) If $\hat{y}_{k-1}^i \geq 0$, i.e., $(J_k^T J_k s_{k-1})^i \geq 0$. Then redefine \hat{y}_{k-1}^i as

$$(2.14) \quad \hat{y}_{k-1}^i = -\gamma \max\{(J_k^T J_k s_{k-1})^i, \rho\}$$

so that $a_k^i = \frac{\hat{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} > 0$.

(bii) If $\bar{y}_{k-1}^i \geq 0$, i.e., $(J_k^T F_k)^i \geq (J_{k-1}^T F_k)^i$. Then redefine \bar{y}_{k-1}^i as

$$(2.15) \quad \bar{y}_{k-1}^i = -\gamma \left\{ \max \left\{ \max |(J_k^T F_k)^i|, |(J_{k-1}^T F_k)^i| \right\}, \rho \right\}$$

$$\text{so that } b_k^i = \frac{\bar{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} > 0.$$

In a situation where $s_{k-1}^i = 0$, then a_{k-1}^i and b_{k-1}^i will assume any suitable non-negative safeguarding value.

2.2. Algorithm. In this subsection, we present the proposed algorithm. Let d_k and g_k denote the search direction and the gradient of the objective function (1.1) respectively. The search direction d_k , is obtained by solving the linear systems

$$H_k d_k = -g_k,$$

where

$$(2.16) \quad H_k = \begin{cases} I, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \text{diag}(a_k + b_k), & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

is a diagonal matrix whose entries are computed by

$$(2.17) \quad a_k^i + b_k^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\hat{y}_{k-1}^i + \bar{y}_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} & \text{if } s_{k-1}^i \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } s_{k-1}^i = 0, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The vectors \hat{y}_{k-1} and \bar{y}_{k-1} are defined by (2.7) with some of their components possibly redefined by (2.12)–(2.15). Furthermore, we safeguard the diagonal entries of the diagonal Hessian H_k from assuming extremely small and extremely large values by means of projecting them into a given scalar interval $[l, u]$, such that $0 < l \leq 1 \leq u \ll +\infty$. Hence, the i th diagonal entry of our Hessian matrix H_k in which $\bar{y}_{k-1}^i = \hat{y}_{k-1}^i + \bar{y}_{k-1}^i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is given by

$$(2.18) \quad h_k^i = \begin{cases} \frac{y_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i}, & \text{if } l \leq \frac{y_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} \leq u, \\ l, & \text{if } \frac{y_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} < l, \\ u, & \text{if } \frac{y_{k-1}^i}{s_{k-1}^i} > u, \\ 1, & \text{if } s_{k-1}^i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Given a starting point x_0 , we compute the next iterate via

$$(2.19) \quad x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Here the search direction is given by

$$(2.20) \quad d_k = H_k^{-1} g_k,$$

H_k is a structured diagonal Hessian with diagonal entries h_k^i , the gradient $g_k = J_k^T F_k$ and J_k and F_k are the Jacobian matrix and function evaluation at x_k respectively. We adopt the non-monotone line search proposed by Zhang and Hager [27] to determine the step length α_k . Let the search direction d_k defined by (2.20) be a

descent direction, then the step length $\alpha_k > 0$ in (2.19) should satisfy the following non-monotone Armijo-type line search technique

$$(2.21) \quad f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \leq P_k + \theta \alpha_k g_k^T d_k,$$

where

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{cases} P_0 = f(x_0) \\ P_{k+1} = \frac{\eta_k Q_k P_k + f(x_{k+1})}{Q_{k+1}} \\ Q_0 = 1, \\ Q_{k+1} = \eta_k Q_k + 1, \end{cases}$$

and $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $\eta_k \in [0, 1]$.

Next we give the following remarks:

Remark A

- (i) Note that the P_{k+1} in the above line search technique is a convex combination of P_k and $f(x_{k+1})$. Since $P_0 = f(x_0)$, it follows that the sequence $\{P_k\}$ is a convex combination of the function values $f(x_i)$, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$.
- (ii) The parameter η_k controls the degree of monotonicity. If for each k , $\eta_k = 0$, then the line search (2.21) is the usual monotone (Armijo-type); otherwise, it is non-monotone.
- (iii) If for each k , $\eta_k = 1$, then $P_k = \psi_k$ where

$$(2.23) \quad \psi_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i)$$

We now formally state the steps of our proposed iterative algorithm with structured diagonal Hessian.

Algorithm 1: Algorithm with Structured Diagonal Hessian (ASDH)

Step 0. Given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma, \theta \in (0, 1)$, $0 \leq \eta_{\min} \leq \eta_{\max} \leq 1$, $0 < l \leq 1 \leq u$, $\rho, \epsilon > 0$, and $k_{\max} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 1. Set $k = 0$, $H_k = I$, $Q_k = 1$. Compute F_k and g_k , and set $P_k = f_k$.

Step 2. If $\|g_k\| \leq \epsilon$ and $k \geq k_{\max}$, stop. Else compute d_k using (2.20).

Step 3. Perform nonmonotone line search

Step 3.1. Set $\alpha = 1$,

Step 3.2. if the following inequality

$$f(x_k + \alpha d_k) \leq C_k + \alpha \theta g_k^T d_k.$$

holds, then proceed to **Step 4**.

Else, set $\alpha_k = \alpha/2$ and repeat **Step 3.2**

Step 4. Set $\alpha_k = \alpha$ and compute the next iterate using (2.19).

Step 5. Set $s_k = \alpha_k d_k$ and compute \hat{y}_k and \bar{y}_k using (2.7).

Step 6. Safeguard \hat{y}_k and \bar{y}_k using (2.12)–(2.15) in case any of them has different sign with s_k^i .

Step 7. Update the diagonal Hessian H_{k+1} using (2.18).

Step 8. Choose $\eta_k \in [\eta_{\min}, \eta_{\max}]$ and compute Q_{k+1} and P_{k+1} using (2.22).

Step 9. Set $k = k + 1$ and go to **step 2**.

Remark B

- (i) Though the vectors g_k , \hat{y}_k and \bar{y}_k are in the form of matrix-vector products, these products were obtained by writing a MATLAB code that computes them directly without forming or storing the Jacobian matrix.
- (ii) Since the Hessian H_k is a diagonal matrix, its inverse H_k^{-1} is obtained by taking the reciprocal of each h_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) using Equation (2.18) taking into account our safeguarding rule. Therefore, the product $H_k^{-1}g_k$ is simply component-wise vector multiplications.

From the discussions in *Remark B* above, we can see that our proposed method is matrix-free and therefore suitable for large-scale problems.

3. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

In this section, we discuss the global convergence of our proposed method. We begin by stating the following assumptions which will be useful in our analysis.

A1. The level set $\mathcal{D} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}$ is bounded, i.e. there exists a positive constant ω such that $\|x\| \leq \omega$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$.

A2. There exist constants L_1 and L_2 such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \|J(x) - J(y)\| \leq L_1 \|x - y\|$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq L_2 \|x - y\|$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we can obtain the followings

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq l \|x - y\|,$$

$$\|F(x)\| \leq \omega_1, \quad \|J(x)\| \leq \omega_2, \quad \|g(x)\| \leq \omega_3,$$

where l , ω_1 , ω_2 and ω_3 are positive constants.

Lemma 3.1. *Let the sequence of search directions $\{d_k\}$ be generated by the ASDH algorithm, then there exist m_1, m_2 positive constants such that for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the following relations hold*

$$(3.3) \quad g_k^T d_k \leq -m_1 \|g_k\|^2,$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \|d_k\| \leq m_2 \|g_k\|.$$

Proof. From the definition of H_k^{-1} , the diagonal entries defined by (2.18) is bounded for each i and for all k , i.e. $l \leq h_k^i \leq u$.

Now, by the Equation (2.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_k^T d_k &= -g_k^T H_k^{-1} g_k \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n (g_k^i)^2 / h_k^i \\ &\leq -(1/u) \sum_{i=1}^n (g_k^i)^2 \\ &= -(1/u) \|g_k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $m_1 = 1/u$, then (3.3) holds.

In a similar way, since the diagonal matrix is always symmetric, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_k\|^2 &= g_k^T H_k^{-2} g_k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (g_k^i / h_k^i)^2 \\ &\leq (1/l^2) \sum_{i=1}^n (g_k^i)^2 \\ &= (1/l^2) \|g_k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $m_2 = 1/l$, we obtain (3.4) and the proof is complete. \square

Equations (3.3), (3.4) and the Proposition 1 in [15] imply that the ASDH Algorithm is well-defined. Next, we state the following result which comes from Lemma 1.1 in [27].

Lemma 3.2. *The iterates generated by the ASDH Algorithm satisfy $f(x_k) \leq P_k \leq \psi_k$, for all $k \geq 0$, where P_k and ψ_k are defined by (2.22) and (2.23) respectively.*

Lemma 3.3. *The sequence of iterates $\{x_k\}$ generated by the ASDH Algorithm satisfy $f(x_k) \leq f(x_0)$, for each $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. By substituting $\eta_k Q_k = Q_{k+1} - 1$, in P_{k+1} defined in Equation (2.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k+1} &= \frac{(Q_{k+1} - 1)P_k + f(x_{k+1})}{Q_{k+1}}, \\ &\leq \frac{Q_{k+1}P_k - P_k + P_k + \alpha_k \theta m_1 g_k^T d_k}{Q_{k+1}}, \\ &\leq \frac{Q_{k+1}P_k - \alpha_k \theta m_1 \|g_k\|^2}{Q_{k+1}}, \\ &= P_k - \frac{\alpha_k \theta m_1 \|g_k\|^2}{Q_{k+1}} \\ &\leq P_k. \end{aligned}$$

The first two inequalities respectively come from (2.21) and (3.3). Now, since $f_k \leq P_k$, (Lemma 3.2), we obtain

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq P_{k+1} \leq P_k \leq P_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq P_0 = f(x_0),$$

so that $\{x_k\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f(x)$ be defined by problem (1.1) and suppose assumptions A1 and A2 hold. Then, the sequence of iterates $\{x_k\}$ generated by the ASDH Algorithm is contained in the level set \mathcal{D} and*

$$(3.5) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g_k\| = 0.$$

Moreover, if $\eta_{\max} < 1$ then

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g_k\| = 0.$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows directly from [27]. \square

4. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we turn our attention to numerical experiments to assess the performance of our proposed ASDH method compared to SDHAM method proposed in [15]. The SDHAM method is also a matrix-free algorithm for nonlinear least squares problems which exploits the special structure of the Hessian of the objective function; and generates its search direction using diagonal Hessian approximation similar to our proposed ASDH algorithm.

In our experiment, we solved 30 test problems of which 22 are large scale and 8 are small scale (see Table 1). We vary the dimensions of the large scale problems as 1000, 5000; 10,000. All the test problems considered are properly cited. The parameters used in the experiment are as follows

- ASDH algorithm: $\gamma = 0.2$, $\eta_k = 0.75e^{-(k/45)^2} + 0.1$ with $\eta_{\min} = 0.1$, $\eta_{\max} = 0.85$, $l = 10^{-30}$, $u = 10^{30}$; and $\rho = 0.0001$
- SDHAM algorithm: All parameters are as presented in [15].

All codes were written in MATLAB R2017a and run on a PC with intel COREi5 processor with 4GB of RAM and CPU 2.3GHZ speed. The iteration is terminated whenever the inequality $\|g_k\| \leq 10^{-4}$ is satisfied. Failure, denoted by F, is recorded when the number of iterations exceeds 1,000 and the stopping criterion mentioned above has not been satisfied.

In Tables 2–5, we report the results of the following information: the number of iterations (NITER) needed by each solver to converge to an approximate solution, the number of function evaluation (NFVAL), the number of matrix-vector product (NMVP) the CPU time in seconds (TIME), and the objective function f value at the minimizer (FVALUE). The test problems are denoted by P_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 30$.

In the Figures 1–4, we adopt the popular performance profile by Dolan and Moré [5] to compare the performance of the ASDH method with that of SDHAM method based on the number of iterations, number of functions evaluation, number of matrix-vector product and CPU time. Though the two methods are competitive, it can be seen from the Figures 1–4 that all the curves with respect to our proposed ASDH method stay longer on the vertical axis which means it solves more problems with less NITER, NFVAL, NMVP and TIME compared to the SDHAM method. Specifically, it can be observed from Figures 1–3 that our method solves about 80% of the test problems with least NITER, NFVAL, NMVP. Also, from Figure 4 we can see that our method solves about 70% of the test problems with least CPU TIME. Moreover, from the information reported in Table 2–5, it can be seen that ASDH method solves all the test problems without any failure while the SDHAM recorded 3 failures. In the overall experiments, ASDH method needs less number of iterations, number of functions evaluation, number of matrix-vector product and CPU time to obtain the minimizer of most of the test problems compared to the SDHAM methods.

TABLE 1. List of test problems with references and starting points

Problems	Function name	Starting point
Large scale		
P1	Penalty function I [12]	$(1/3, 1/3, \dots, 1/3)^T$
P2	Trigonometric function [19]	$(1/n, \dots, 1/n)^T$
P3	Discrete boundary value [19]	$(\frac{1}{n+1}(\frac{1}{n+1} - 1), \dots, \frac{1}{n+1}(\frac{n}{n+1} - 1))^T$
P4	Linear function full rank [19]	$(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$
P5	Problem 202 [14]	$(2, 2, \dots, 2)^T$
P6	Problem 206 [14]	$(1/n, \dots, 1/n)^T$
P7	Problem 212 [14]	$(0.5, \dots, 0.5)^T$
P8	Strictly convex function I [20]	$(1/n, 2/n, \dots, 1)^T$
P9	Strictly convex function II [20]	$(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$
P10	Brown almost linear [19]	$(0.5, \dots, 0.5)^T$
P11	Exponential function I [12]	$(\frac{n}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n}{n-1})^T$
P12	Singular function [12]	$(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$
P13	Logarithmic function [12]	$(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$
P14	Extended Freudenstein and Roth [12]	$(6, 3, 6, 3, \dots, 6, 3)^T$
P15	Extended Powell singular [12]	$(1.5E - 4, \dots, 1.5E - 4)^T$
P16	Function21 [12]	$(-1, -1, \dots, -1)^T$
P17	Broyden tridiagonal function [19]	$(-1, -1, \dots, -1)^T$
P18	Generalized Broyden tridiagonal [14]	$(-1, -1, \dots, -1)^T$
P19	Extended Rosenbrock [19]	$(-1, 1, -1, 1, \dots, -1, 1)^T$
P20	Extended Himmelblau [18]	$(1, 1/n, 1, 1/n, \dots, 1, 1/n)^T$
P21	Function 27 [12]	$(100, 1/n^2, 1/n^2, \dots, 1/n^2)^T$
P22	Trigonometric logarithmic function [15]	$(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$
Small scale		
P23	Bard function [19]	$(-1000, -1000, -1000)^T$
P24	Brown badly scaled [19]	$(1, 1)^T$
P25	Jennrich and Sampson [19]	$(0.2, 0.2)^T$
P26	Box 3D function [19]	$(0, 0.1)^T$
P27	Rank deficient Jacobian [8]	$(-1, 1)^T$
P28	Rosenbrock function [19]	$(-1, 1)^T$
P29	Parameterized problem [9]	$(10, 10)^T$
P30	Freudenstein and Roth function [19]	$(0.5, -2)^T$

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed an iterative algorithm with structured diagonal Hessian approximation for solving nonlinear least square problems. The proposed algorithm neither forms nor stores matrices which make it suitable for large scale problems. We have devised appropriate safeguards to ensure the search directions generated by our proposed algorithm are descent. The proposed ASDH method was developed using a diagonal Hessian approximation that contains more information of

TABLE 2. Numerical results of our ASDH and SDHAM methods for large scale problems 1 – 22 with dimension $n = m = 1,000$

Problem	ASDH					SDHAM				
	NITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE	ITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE
P1	6	7	19	0.022139	2.36E-06	5	6	16	0.059823	1.23E-05
P2	193	354	580	0.26363	1.34E-07	5	12	16	0.011127	1.89E-15
P3	18	20	55	0.063171	2.52E-08	20	23	61	0.074233	2.33E-08
P4	2	3	7	0.02106	0.502	2	3	7	0.020876	0.502
P5	5	6	16	0.013713	7.22E-13	5	6	16	0.017462	1.39E-10
P6	43	49	130	0.099136	3E-08	44	57	133	0.03711	2.96E-08
P7	5	6	16	0.012173	9.06E-12	7	8	22	0.015851	3.32E-12
P8	5	6	16	0.007124	500	5	6	16	0.01575	500
P9	8	23	25	0.039868	1669168	8	23	25	0.012976	1669168
P10	2	23	7	0.005995	1.99E-13	F	F	F	F	F
P11	4	5	13	0.003988	9.44E-08	5	6	16	0.008688	4.53E-08
P12	22	41	67	0.061689	55.0298	25	44	76	0.081369	55.0298
P13	6	7	19	0.025038	8.45E-17	6	7	19	0.012527	1.57E-12
P14	19	27	58	0.038295	8.12E-12	21	30	64	0.018482	6.24E-14
P15	1	7	4	0.018509	1.21E-12	1	7	4	0.009089	1.21E-12
P16	85	116	256	0.13824	6.2E-10	75	101	226	0.21378	2.51E-10
P17	247	507	742	0.26142	0.35626	19	34	58	0.10981	1.63E-11
P18	72	125	217	0.1084	0.76757	103	148	310	0.13672	0.026797
P19	1	2	4	0.009725	0	1	2	4	0.017676	0
P20	17	22	52	0.018535	7.54E-13	30	34	91	0.088546	5.78E-11
P21	17	32	52	0.022589	6.37E-07	18	33	55	0.064815	2.16E-07
P22	6	7	19	0.02308	8.16E-17	6	9	19	0.29798	1.03E-14

TABLE 3. Numerical results of our ASDH and SDHAM methods for large scale problems 1 – 22 with dimension $n = m = 5,000$

Problem	ASDH					SDHAM				
	NITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE	ITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE
P1	15	16	46	0.066157	7.93E-05	15	16	46	0.17645	7.92E-05
P2	120	273	361	0.76396	7.91E-08	F	F	F	F	F
P3	3	5	10	0.044907	1.44E-09	3	5	10	0.16634	1.44E-09
P4	2	3	7	0.027567	0.5004	2	3	7	0.09278	0.5004
P5	5	6	16	0.029966	7.23E-13	5	6	16	0.052194	6.94E-10
P6	7	9	22	0.060726	2.32E-09	6	8	19	0.11743	2.83E-09
P7	5	6	16	0.018999	9.11E-12	7	8	22	0.1734	3.32E-12
P8	5	6	16	0.075254	2500	5	6	16	0.047758	2500
P9	8	27	25	0.071887	2.08E+08	9	28	28	0.13815	2.08E+08
P10	3	29	10	0.027006	5.17E-16	2	28	7	0.072295	NaN
P11	3	4	10	0.047036	9.54E-08	4	5	13	0.046785	3.62E-08
P12	27	50	82	0.25832	1386.256	29	52	88	0.26293	1386.255
P13	6	7	19	0.040228	3.93E-16	6	7	19	0.062598	7.6E-12
P14	21	29	64	0.062354	1.41E-13	21	30	64	0.1584	3.12E-13
P15	1	7	4	0.024886	6.03E-12	1	7	4	0.12042	6.03E-12
P16	69	96	208	0.36005	5.97E-10	83	113	250	0.62034	4.57E-10
P17	140	305	421	0.32061	2.26E-10	19	34	58	0.20367	1.63E-11
P18	58	98	175	0.21311	2.26E-10	97	132	292	0.3257	0.031054
P19	1	2	4	0.005155	0	1	2	4	0.088945	0
P20	40	50	121	0.21105	1.15E-10	30	35	91	0.1997	3.18E-11
P21	17	32	52	0.10225	6.37E-07	18	33	55	0.10118	2.16E-07
P22	6	7	19	0.048698	3.9E-16	6	9	19	0.56375	3.33E-12

TABLE 4. Numerical results of our ASDH and SDHAM methods for large scale problems 1 – 22 with dimension $n = m = 10,000$

Problem	ASDH					SDHAM				
	NITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE	ITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE
P1	23	24	70	0.49096	0.000142	23	24	70	0.13661	0.000142
P2	81	192	244	0.67185	7.36E-08	F	F	F	F	F
P3	2	4	7	0.095771	1.58E-09	2	4	7	0.044607	1.58E-09
P4	2	3	7	0.055015	0.5002	2	3	7	0.030105	0.5002
P5	5	6	16	0.06105	7.25E-13	5	6	16	0.040306	1.39E-09
P6	3	5	10	0.020898	7.16E-10	3	5	10	0.025454	7.16E-10
P7	5	6	16	0.040219	9.17E-12	7	8	22	0.056896	3.32E-12
P8	5	6	16	0.1955	5000	5	6	16	0.051594	5000
P9	9	30	28	0.099793	1.67E+09	10	31	31	0.15435	1.67E+09
P10	3	4	10	0.019368	4.77E-08	3	4	10	0.021593	7.24E-08
P11	199	3849	598	4.6179	2.49E-15	2	19	7	0.025921	1.13E-13
P12	28	53	85	0.27666	5550.287	30	55	91	0.51292	5550.286
P13	6	7	19	0.066932	7.79E-16	6	7	19	0.03482	1.51E-11
P14	21	29	64	0.11086	2.83E-13	21	30	64	0.16018	6.24E-13
P15	1	7	4	0.011959	1.21E-11	1	7	4	0.014576	1.21E-11
P16	89	122	268	0.90064	5.94E-10	86	112	259	0.84402	8.47E-10
P17	286	620	859	1.5625	0.35626	19	34	58	0.20675	1.63E-11
P18	56	80	169	0.28569	3.2E-10	238	362	715	1.3739	0.010024
P19	1	2	4	0.016878	0	1	2	4	0.018502	0
P20	24	29	73	0.14056	6.17E-11	26	31	79	0.23926	4.71E-11
P21	17	32	52	0.11498	6.37E-07	18	33	55	0.17027	2.16E-07
P22	6	7	19	0.031825	7.77E-16	6	9	19	0.12546	8.61E-12

TABLE 5. Numerical results of our ASDH and SDHAM methods for small scale problems 23 – 30

Problem	DIM	ASDH					SDHAM				
		ITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE	ITER	NFEVAL	NMVP	TIME	FVALUE
P23	n=3, m=15	1	4	4	0.026898	8.7448	1	4	4	0.16203	8.7448
P24	n=2, m=3	9	12	28	0.010317	7.16E-14	10	12	31	0.060814	1.05E-27
P25	n=2, m=20	4	20	13	0.00827	62.1811	5	21	16	0.046634	62.1811
P26	n=3, m=10	47	64	142	0.063188	4.06E-09	38	50	115	0.12071	1.83E-10
P27	n=2, m=3	6	9	19	0.008934	1.2905	6	9	19	0.077812	1.2905
P28	n=m=2	1	2	4	0.005085	0	1	2	4	0.040352	0
P29	n=2, m=3	6	22	19	0.018706	0.49999	6	22	19	0.039052	0.49999
P30	n=m=2	81	113	244	0.036083	24.4921	93	133	280	0.10623	24.4921

the objective function than the one proposed by Mohammad and Santos [15]. We presented some preliminary numerical experiments to show the robustness of our ASDH method.

Acknowledgements: The first and second authors acknowledge the financial support provided by King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi through the ”KMUTT 55th Anniversary Commemorative Fund”. The first author was supported by the Petchra Pra Jom Klao Doctoral Scholarship Academic for Ph.D.




FIGURE 1. Performance profile with respect to number of iterations




FIGURE 2. Performance profile with respect to number of function evaluations

Program at KMUTT. This project was supported by the Theoretical and Computational Science (TaCS) Center under Computational and Applied Science for Smart Innovation Research Cluster (CLASSIC), Faculty of Science, KMUTT.




FIGURE 3. Performance profile with respect to τ number of matrix-vector product




FIGURE 4. Performance profile with respect to CPU time

REFERENCES

- [1] Tilman Barz, Stefan Körkel, Günter Wozny, et al. Nonlinear ill-posed problem analysis in model-based parameter estimation and experimental design. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 77:24–42, 2015.
- [2] Anastasia Cornelio. Regularized nonlinear least squares methods for hit position reconstruction in small gamma cameras. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 217(12):5589–5595, 2011.

- [3] R Dehghani and N Mahdavi-Amiri. Scaled nonlinear conjugate gradient methods for nonlinear least squares problems. *Numerical Algorithms*, pages 1–20, 2018.
- [4] JE Dennis, Héctor J Martínez, and Richard A Tapia. Convergence theory for the structured bfgs secant method with an application to nonlinear least squares. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 61(2):161–178, 1989.
- [5] Elizabeth D Dolan and Jorge J Moré. Benchmarking optimization software with performance profiles. *Mathematical programming*, 91(2):201–213, 2002.
- [6] R Fletcher and C Xu. Hybrid methods for nonlinear least squares. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 7(3):371–389, 1987.
- [7] Gene Golub and Victor Pereyra. Separable nonlinear least squares: the variable projection method and its applications. *Inverse problems*, 19(2):R1, 2003.
- [8] Douglas S Gonçalves and Sandra A Santos. Local analysis of a spectral correction for the gauss-newton model applied to quadratic residual problems. *Numerical Algorithms*, 73(2):407–431, 2016.
- [9] Jürgen Huschens. On the use of product structure in secant methods for nonlinear least squares problems. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 4(1):108–129, 1994.
- [10] Seung-Jean Kim, Kwangmoo Koh, Michael Lustig, Stephen Boyd, and Dimitry Gorinevsky. An interior-point method for large-scale l_1 -regularized least squares. *IEEE journal of selected topics in signal processing*, 1(4):606–617, 2007.
- [11] Michiya Kobayashi, Yasushi Narushima, and Hiroshi Yabe. Nonlinear conjugate gradient methods with structured secant condition for nonlinear least squares problems. *Journal of computational and applied mathematics*, 234(2):375–397, 2010.
- [12] William La Cruz, José Mario Martínez, and Marcos Raydan. Spectral residual method without gradient information for solving large-scale nonlinear systems: theory and experiments. http://kuainasi.ciens.ucv.ve/mraydan/download_papers/TechRep.pdf, 2004.
- [13] Junhong Li, Feng Ding, and Guowei Yang. Maximum likelihood least squares identification method for input nonlinear finite impulse response moving average systems. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 55(3-4):442–450, 2012.
- [14] Ladislav Lukšan and Jan Vlcek. Test problems for unconstrained optimization. *Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Institute of Computer Science, Technical Report*, (897), 2003.
- [15] Hassan Mohammad and Sandra A Santos. A structured diagonal hessian approximation method with evaluation complexity analysis for nonlinear least squares. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 37(5):6619–6653, 2018.
- [16] Hassan Mohammad and Mohammed Yusuf Waziri. Structured two-point stepsize gradient methods for nonlinear least squares. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 181(1):298–317, 2019.
- [17] Hassan Mohammad, Mohammed Yusuf Waziri, and Sandra Augusta Santos. A brief survey of methods for solving nonlinear least-squares problems. *Numerical Algebra, Control & Optimization*, 9(1):1–13, 2019.
- [18] Jamil Momin and Yang Xin-She. A literature survey of benchmark functions for global optimization problems. *Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Optimisation*, 4(2):150–194, 2013.
- [19] Jorge J Moré, Burton S Garbow, and Kenneth E Hillstom. Testing unconstrained optimization software. Technical report, Argonne National Lab., IL (USA), 1978.
- [20] Marcos Raydan. The barzilai and borwein gradient method for the large scale unconstrained minimization problem. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 7(1):26–33, 1997.
- [21] Li Min Tang. A regularization homotopy iterative method for ill-posed nonlinear least squares problem and its application. In *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, volume 90, pages 3268–3273. Trans Tech Publ, 2011.
- [22] CX Xu. Hybrid method for nonlinear least-square problems without calculating derivatives. *Journal of optimization Theory and Applications*, 65(3):555–574, 1990.
- [23] Ya-Xiang Yuan. Subspace methods for large scale nonlinear equations and nonlinear least squares. *Optimization and Engineering*, 10(2):207–218, 2009.

- [24] Ya-Xiang Yuan. Recent advances in numerical methods for nonlinear equations and nonlinear least squares. *Numerical algebra, control and optimization*, 1(1):15–34, 2011.
- [25] Hongchao Zhang and Andrew R Conn. On the local convergence of a derivative-free algorithm for least-squares minimization. *Computational optimization and applications*, 51(2):481–507, 2012.
- [26] Hongchao Zhang, Andrew R Conn, and Katya Scheinberg. A derivative-free algorithm for least-squares minimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 20(6):3555–3576, 2010.
- [27] Hongchao Zhang and William W Hager. A nonmonotone line search technique and its application to unconstrained optimization. *SIAM journal on Optimization*, 14(4):1043–1056, 2004.

¹KMUTTFIXED POINT RESEARCH LABORATORY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROOM SCL 802 FIXED POINT LABORATORY, SCIENCE LABORATORY BUILDING, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THONBURI (KMUTT), 126 PRACHA-UTHIT ROAD, BANG MOD, THRUNG KHURU, BANGKOK 10140, THAILAND

²KMUTT-FIXED POINT THEORY AND APPLICATIONS RESEARCH GROUP, THEORETICAL AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE CENTER (TACS), SCIENCE LABORATORY BUILDING, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THONBURI (KMUTT), 126 PRACHA-UTHIT ROAD, BANG MOD, THRUNG KHURU, BANGKOK 10140, THAILAND

³DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, GOMBE STATE UNIVERSITY, GOMBE, NIGERIA

⁴DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES, BAYERO UNIVERSITY, KANO. KANO, NIGERIA

E-mail address, A.M. Awwal: aliyumagsu@gmail.com

E-mail address, P. Kumam: poom.kumam@mail.kmutt.ac.th

E-mail address, H. Mohammad: hmuhd.mth@buk.edu.ng