

# CLOSED $G_2$ -STRUCTURES WITH CONFORMALLY FLAT METRIC

GAVIN BALL

ABSTRACT. This article classifies closed  $G_2$ -structures such that the induced metric is conformally flat. It is shown that any closed  $G_2$ -structure with conformally flat metric is locally equivalent to one of three explicit examples. In particular, it follows from the classification that any closed  $G_2$ -structure inducing a metric that is both conformally flat and complete must be equivalent to the flat  $G_2$ -structure on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The group $G_2$	3
3.	Structure equations for closed $G_2$ -structures	5
4.	Closed $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat metric	9
5.	A related family of closed $G_2$ -structures	15
	References	18

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A  $G_2$ -structure consists of a 7-manifold  $M$  endowed with a differential 3-form  $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$  that is pointwise equivalent to the 3-form on  $\text{Im } \mathbb{O} \cong \mathbb{R}^7$  constructed from the multiplication table of the octonions. Such a 3-form induces, in a non-linear fashion, a Riemannian metric  $g_\varphi$  on  $M$ , and there is an interesting interplay between the geometry of  $\varphi$  and  $g_\varphi$ . For instance, a  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  which is both closed and coclosed is called *torsion-free*, and for torsion-free  $G_2$ -structures the metric  $g_\varphi$  is Ricci-flat and has Riemannian holonomy a subgroup of  $G_2$  [2]. Outside of the torsion-free setting, there are many other interesting examples of  $G_2$ -structures for which  $\varphi$  satisfies weaker first-order differential conditions.

Given a Riemannian metric  $g$ , it is generally a difficult question to decide if there is a  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  satisfying one of these weaker first-order conditions and such that  $g_\varphi = g$ . Particular focus has been paid in the literature to the case when  $g$  is an Einstein metric [3, 7, 10, 11, 20], especially in the homogeneous setting, and many open problems remain in this area.

One particularly interesting class of  $G_2$ -structures is the class satisfying the *closed* condition  $d\varphi = 0$ . Motivation for the study of closed  $G_2$ -structures comes from the construction of compact manifolds with holonomy  $G_2$ . All known methods for constructing such manifolds start with a compact manifold  $M$  with a closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  such that the 2-form  $d^*\varphi$  is ‘small’ in some suitable sense, and use a result of Joyce [13] to perturb  $\varphi$  to a torsion-free  $G_2$ -structure  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $M$ . Closed

$G_2$ -structures are also thought to be the suitable initial structures for which the *Laplacian flow* [3, 17–19] might be expected to produce torsion-free  $G_2$ -structures.

The purpose of this article is to classify closed  $G_2$ -structures such that the induced metric  $g_\varphi$  is conformally flat. The result of this classification is given in the following theorem, which is Theorem 4.5 of §4.

**Theorem.** *A closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  with (locally) conformally flat induced metric  $g_\varphi$  is, up to constant rescaling, locally equivalent to one of the three examples on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  presented in §4.2.*

Theorem 4.5 is a strong rigidity result. There is a vague analogy between closed  $G_2$ -structures and so-called *almost Kähler structures*, which consist of a symplectic manifold and a compatible metric. However, in contrast to Theorem 4.5, it is known that there are infinitely many almost Kähler structures with conformally flat metric [6].

Of the closed  $G_2$ -structures that feature in the classification, only the flat  $G_2$ -structure on  $\mathbb{R}^7$  has  $g_\varphi$  complete, and this has the following consequence, which is Corollary 4.6 of §4.

**Corollary.** *Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be a 7-manifold endowed with a closed  $G_2$ -structure such that  $g_\varphi$  is conformally flat and complete. Then  $\varphi$  is locally equivalent to the flat  $G_2$ -structure  $\phi$  on  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  and  $M$  is the quotient of  $V$  by a discrete group of  $G_2$ -automorphisms.*

Using the method of the moving frame, it is possible to rephrase the problem of finding a closed  $G_2$ -structure with conformally flat induced metric in terms of the existence of a coframing on a manifold satisfying a prescribed set of structure equations. Prescribed coframing problems are classical, and are the subject of powerful existence and uniqueness theorems going back to the work of Élie Cartan [4, 5]. Theorem 4.5 is proven by exhibiting enough examples to apply the uniqueness part of one of these theorems.

Let  $\varphi$  be a closed  $G_2$ -structure. The 2-form  $\tau = d^{*\varphi}\varphi$  is called the *torsion 2-form* of  $\varphi$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  be a constant. A closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  is called  $\lambda$ -quadratic [1, 3] if it satisfies the equation

$$(1.1) \quad d\tau = \frac{1}{7}|\tau|^2\varphi + \lambda\left(\frac{1}{7}|\tau|_\varphi^2 + *_\varphi(\tau \wedge \tau)\right).$$

For  $\lambda = 1/2$ , the  $\lambda$ -quadratic equation is equivalent to the Einstein equation for  $g_\varphi$ , and for  $\lambda = 1/6$  the  $\lambda$ -quadratic equation is equivalent to the *extremally Ricci-pinched* condition, which has been studied in several works [1, 3, 12, 14–16].

As a consequence of the vanishing of a certain  $G_2$ -irreducible component of the Weyl tensor (see equation (3.27c)), closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat metric are  $\lambda$ -quadratic for  $\lambda = -1/8$ . Thus, the examples of §4.2 show that  $\lambda$ -quadratic  $G_2$ -structures exist for  $\lambda = -1/8$ . The only other values of  $\lambda$  for which non-trivial solutions of (1.1) are currently known to exist are  $\lambda = -1, 1/6, 1/3, 2/5$ , and  $3/4$  [1]. Examples of  $\lambda$ -quadratic closed  $G_2$ -structures with  $\lambda = 2/5$  are constructed in the final section of this article via an ansatz motivated by the form of the conformally flat equations, see Theorem 5.3.

**1.1. Organisation.** Section 2 contains background information on the group  $G_2$  and its representation theory.

In Section 3, the structure equations for closed  $G_2$ -structures are developed up to second order, the space of second-order diffeomorphism invariants of a closed  $G_2$ -structure is described, and formulas (3.27) are derived for the irreducible components of the Riemannian curvature of  $g_\varphi$  in terms of these invariants.

Section 4 contains the main results of the article. In §4.1, equations for conformal flatness are recorded, the structure equations for closed  $G_2$ -structures with a conformally flat metric are written down, and some first consequences are derived. In §4.2, three examples are given of closed  $G_2$ -structures satisfying the conformal flatness condition. In §4.3, the existence and uniqueness Theorem 4.3 is stated and proved. Theorem 4.5 then follows by combining Theorem 4.3 with a detailed understanding of the examples presented in §4.2.

In Section 5, closed  $G_2$ -structures solving a set of equations (5.2) formally very similar to the equations for conformal flatness are studied. In particular, this set of equations implies the  $\lambda$ -quadratic condition with  $\lambda = 2/5$ . Due to the formal similarities with the equations for conformal flatness of  $g_\varphi$ , it is possible to classify all closed  $G_2$ -structures solving these equations using techniques analogous to those used in §4.

**1.2. Acknowledgments.** The work in this article forms part of my PhD thesis [1]. I thank my advisor Robert Bryant for his encouragement and for many helpful discussions. I would also like to thank the Simons Foundation for funding as a graduate student member of the Simons Collaboration on Special Holonomy in Geometry, Analysis and Physics.

## 2. THE GROUP $G_2$

This section collects background information on the group  $G_2$  and its representation theory that will be used throughout the rest of the article. The exposition is for the most part a distillation of that of Bryant [3], with the addition of extra material on representations of  $G_2$  that will be used in later sections.

**2.1. Definition and basic properties.** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ . The group  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  acts on  $\Lambda^3(V^*)$  with two open orbits,  $\Lambda_+^3$  and  $\Lambda_-^3$ . The stabiliser of an element in either of these orbits is a real form of the complex simple group  $G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ . The stabiliser of an element of  $\Lambda_+^3$  is a compact form, while the stabiliser of an element of  $\Lambda_-^3$  is a split form. Let  $e^1, \dots, e^7$  denote the canonical basis of  $V^*$ . Using the shorthand notation  $e^{ijk} = e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$  for wedge products, the element

$$\phi = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}$$

is an element of  $\Lambda_+^3$ , the *standard 3-form on  $V$* , and the group  $G_2$  is defined by

$$G_2 = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid A^*\phi = \phi\}.$$

The action of  $G_2$  on  $V$  preserves the metric

$$g_\phi = (e^1)^2 + (e^2)^2 + (e^3)^2 + (e^4)^2 + (e^5)^2 + (e^6)^2 + (e^7)^2$$

and volume form

$$\mathrm{vol}_\phi = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 \wedge e^5 \wedge e^6 \wedge e^7,$$

and it follows that  $G_2$  is a subgroup of  $SO(7)$ . The Hodge star operator determined by  $g_\phi$  and  $\mathrm{vol}_\phi$  is denoted by  $*_\phi$ . Note that  $G_2$  also fixes the 4-form

$$*_\phi\phi = e^{4567} + e^{2367} + e^{2345} + e^{1357} - e^{1346} - e^{1256} - e^{1247}.$$

2.1.1. *Bryant's  $\varepsilon$  symbol.* When working with the group  $G_2$  it is often very convenient to use an  $\varepsilon$ -notation introduced by Bryant [3]. Let  $\varepsilon$  denote the unique symbol that is skew-symmetric in three of four indices and satisfies

$$(2.1a) \quad \phi = \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{ijk}e^{ijk},$$

$$(2.1b) \quad *_\phi\phi = \frac{1}{24}\varepsilon_{ijkl}e^{ijkl}.$$

The  $\varepsilon$  symbol satisfies the useful identities

$$(2.2a) \quad \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ijl} = 6\delta_{kl},$$

$$(2.2b) \quad \varepsilon_{ijq}\varepsilon_{ijkl} = 4\varepsilon_{qkl},$$

$$(2.2c) \quad \varepsilon_{ipq}\varepsilon_{ijk} = \varepsilon_{pqjk} + \delta_{pj}\delta_{qk} - \delta_{pk}\delta_{qj},$$

$$(2.2d) \quad \varepsilon_{ipq}\varepsilon_{ijkl} = \delta_{pj}\varepsilon_{qkl} - \delta_{jq}\varepsilon_{pkl} + \delta_{pk}\varepsilon_{jql} - \delta_{kq}\varepsilon_{jpl} + \delta_{pl}\varepsilon_{jkq} - \delta_{lq}\varepsilon_{jkp}.$$

2.2. **Representation theory of  $G_2$ .** The group  $G_2$  is a compact simple Lie group of rank two. Thus, each irreducible representation of  $G_2$  is indexed by a pair of integers  $(p, q)$  corresponding to the highest weight of the representation with respect to a fixed maximal torus in  $G_2$  endowed with a fixed base for its root system. The irreducible representation associated to  $(p, q)$  is denoted by  $V_{p,q}$ . The representations that feature in this work are  $V_{p,0}$ ,  $V_{0,q}$  and  $V_{1,1}$ .

2.2.1. *The representations  $V_{p,0}$ .* The fundamental representation  $V_{1,0}$  is the standard representation  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  used to define the group  $G_2$ . The group  $G_2$  acts transitively on the unit sphere  $S^6 \subset V$ , with isotropy group  $SU(3)$ . The representation  $V_{p,0}$  for  $p \geq 0$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Sym}_0^p(V_{1,0})$ .

2.2.2. *The representations  $V_{0,q}$ .* The other fundamental representation of  $G_2$ ,  $V_{0,1}$ , is isomorphic to the adjoint representation  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  may be defined using the  $\varepsilon$  symbol as

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 = \{a_{ij}e_i \otimes e^j \mid a_{ij} = -a_{ji}, \varepsilon_{ijk}a_{jk} = 0\}.$$

A maximal torus for  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  is

$$(2.4) \quad \mathfrak{t} = \{\mu_1 E_{23} + \mu_2 E_{45} + \mu_3 E_{67} \mid \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 0\},$$

where  $E_{ij}$  denotes the element  $e_i \otimes e^j - e_j \otimes e^i$ . By Cartan's Theorem on maximal tori, every element of  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  is conjugate to an element of  $\mathfrak{t}$ . The Weyl group of  $G_2$  is the dihedral group  $D_6$  of order 12, and a fundamental Weyl chamber in  $\mathfrak{t}$  is given by  $0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ .

The representations  $V_{0,q}$  for  $q \geq 0$  are the irreducible constituents of  $\text{Sym}^q(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  of highest weight. In particular, for  $q = 2$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad V_{0,2} = \left\{ s_{ijkl}e_i \otimes e^j \otimes e_k \otimes e^l \left| \begin{array}{l} s_{ijkl} = -s_{jikl}, s_{ijkl} = s_{klij}, \\ \varepsilon_{ijk}s_{jklm} = 0, s_{ijik} = 0, s_{ijjk} = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

and there is an irreducible decomposition

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}_2) \cong V_{0,2} \oplus V_{2,0} \oplus V_{0,0}.$$

2.2.3. *The representation  $V_{1,1}$ .* The representation  $V_{1,1}$  has dimension 64. It is the highest weight constituent of the tensor product  $V_{1,0} \otimes V_{0,1}$ . Explicitly,

$$(2.7) \quad V_{1,1} = \{c_{ijk}e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e^k \mid c_{ijk} = -c_{ikj}, \varepsilon_{mjk}c_{ijk} = 0, \varepsilon_{mij}c_{ijk} = 0\},$$

and there is a decomposition

$$(2.8) \quad V \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2 \cong V_{1,1} \oplus V_{2,0} \oplus V.$$

2.2.4.  *$G_2$ -decomposition of exterior forms.* Of fundamental importance to the study of  $G_2$ -structures on manifolds is the irreducible decomposition of the exterior powers of the standard representation. This decomposition is given by

$$(2.9a) \quad \Lambda^2(V^*) = \Lambda_7^2 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2,$$

$$(2.9b) \quad \Lambda^3(V^*) = \Lambda_1^3 \oplus \Lambda_7^3 \oplus \Lambda_{27}^3,$$

$$(2.9c) \quad \Lambda^4(V^*) = \Lambda_1^4 \oplus \Lambda_7^4 \oplus \Lambda_{27}^4,$$

$$(2.9d) \quad \Lambda^5(V^*) = \Lambda_7^5 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2,$$

where

$$(2.10a) \quad \Lambda_7^2 = \{*\phi(\alpha \wedge *\phi) \mid \alpha \in \Lambda^1(V^*)\} \cong V \cong V_{1,0}$$

$$(2.10b) \quad \Lambda_{14}^2 = \{\beta \in \Lambda^2(V^*) \mid \beta \wedge \phi = 2*\phi\beta\} \cong \mathfrak{g}_2 \cong V_{0,1},$$

$$(2.10c) \quad \Lambda_1^3 = \{r\phi \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{R} \cong V_{0,0}$$

$$(2.10d) \quad \Lambda_7^3 = \{*\phi(\alpha \wedge \phi) \mid \alpha \in \Lambda^1(V^*)\} \cong V \cong V_{1,0},$$

$$(2.10e) \quad \Lambda_{27}^3 = \{\gamma \in \Lambda^3(V^*) \mid \gamma \wedge \phi = 0, \gamma \wedge *\phi = 0\} \cong \text{Sym}_0^2(V) \cong V_{2,0},$$

and the Hodge star gives an isomorphism  $\Lambda_j^p \cong \Lambda_j^{7-p}$ .

### 3. STRUCTURE EQUATIONS FOR CLOSED $G_2$ -STRUCTURES

In this section, the structure equations for closed  $G_2$ -structures are derived up to second order. The space of second-order diffeomorphism invariants for closed  $G_2$ -structures is described and formulas (3.27) are given for the Riemannian curvature of the induced metric in terms of the first and second order invariants of the  $G_2$ -structure.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $M$  be an oriented 7-manifold. A  $G_2$ -structure on  $M$  is a differential 3-form  $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$  such that  $\varphi|_x \in \Lambda_+^3(T_x^*M)$  at each  $x \in M$ . That is, at each  $x \in M$  there exists a coframe  $u: T_xM \rightarrow V = \mathbb{R}^7$  for which  $\varphi|_x = u^*(\phi)$ , where  $\phi$  is the standard 3-form on  $V$ .

A  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  is called *closed* if  $d\varphi = 0$ .

A 7-manifold  $M$  admits a  $G_2$ -structure if and only if it is orientable and spin [3]. It is an interesting open problem, about which next to nothing is known, to determine which orientable, spin 7-manifolds admit closed  $G_2$ -structures.

A  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  defines a  $G_2$ -structure on  $M$  in the sense of  $G$ -structures as follows. Let  $\pi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$  denote the  $V$ -coframe bundle of  $M$ , i.e. the principal right  $\text{GL}(V)$ -bundle over  $M$  whose fibre over  $x \in M$  consists of  $V$ -coframes  $u: T_xM \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ . The  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  defines a  $G_2$ -subbundle  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$  via

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{B} = \left\{ u: T_xM \xrightarrow{\sim} V \mid x \in M, u^*\phi = \varphi_x \right\}.$$

Every  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  on  $M$  induces a Riemannian metric  $g_\varphi$  and an orientation form  $\text{vol}_\varphi$  on  $M$  via the inclusion  $G_2 < \text{SO}(7)$ . In general, the assignment  $\varphi \mapsto g_\varphi$  is not injective. However, it is the case that two closed  $G_2$ -structures inducing isometric metrics must be equivalent.

On a manifold endowed with a  $G_2$ -structure the  $G_2$ -type decomposition of the exterior powers  $\Lambda^p V^*$  extends to a decomposition of the bundles  $\Lambda^p T^*M$  and their spaces of sections  $\Omega^p(M)$ .

**3.1. The first structure equation.** Let  $\varphi$  be a closed  $G_2$ -structure and let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the induced  $G_2$ -coframe bundle. Denote by  $\omega$  the  $V$ -valued tautological 1-form on  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e. the  $V$ -valued 1-form on  $\mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \omega(v) = u(\pi_*(v)) \quad \text{for all } v \in T_u\mathcal{B}.$$

In components,  $\omega$  may be written  $\omega = \omega_i e^i$ , where  $e^i$  is the canonical basis of  $V^* = \mathbb{R}^7$ . The form  $\omega$  is  $\pi$ -semibasic and has the reproducing property  $\eta^*(\omega) = \eta$  for any local section  $\eta$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Of course,  $\omega$  is the pullback of the tautological form on the coframe bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  via the inclusion  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

The pullbacks of the 3-form  $\varphi$  and the 4-form  $*_\varphi\varphi$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  may be written in terms of the components of  $\omega$  as

$$(3.3) \quad \pi^*\varphi = \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{ijk}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_k,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \pi^* *_\varphi\varphi = \frac{1}{24}\varepsilon_{ijkl}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_k \wedge \omega_l.$$

Similarly, the metric  $g_\varphi$  and volume form  $\text{vol}_\varphi$  pull back to  $\mathcal{B}$  as

$$(3.5) \quad \pi^*g_\varphi = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 + \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2 + \omega_7^2,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \pi^*\text{vol}_\varphi = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 \wedge \omega_5 \wedge \omega_6 \wedge \omega_7.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{SO}(7)}$  denote the oriented orthonormal coframe bundle of the metric  $g_\varphi$ . There is an inclusion  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{SO}(7)}$ . By the Fundamental Lemma of Riemannian Geometry, there exists a unique  $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ -valued 1-form  $\psi = \psi_{ij}e_i \otimes e^j$ , the Levi-Civita connection form of  $g_\varphi$ , so that the equation

$$(3.7) \quad d\omega_i = -\psi_{ij} \wedge \omega_j$$

holds on  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{SO}(7)}$ .

Restricted to  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{SO}(7)}$ , the Levi-Civita 1-form  $\psi$  is no longer a connection 1-form in general. Indeed, according to the splitting  $\mathfrak{so}(7) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus V$ , there is a decomposition

$$(3.8) \quad \psi_{ij} = \theta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}\gamma_k.$$

Here,  $\theta = \theta_{ij}e_i \otimes e^j$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ -valued connection form on  $\mathcal{B}$  (the *natural connection* on  $\mathcal{B}$  in the parlance of  $G$ -structures), and  $\gamma = \gamma_i e_i$  is a  $V$ -valued  $\pi$ -semibasic 1-form on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\gamma$  is  $\pi$ -semibasic,

$$(3.9) \quad \gamma_i = T_{ij}\omega^j$$

for some  $\text{End}(V)$ -valued function  $T = T_{ij}e_i \otimes e^j: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ . The 1-form  $\gamma$ , and hence the functions  $T_{ij}$ , encodes the *torsion* of the  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$ . From differentiating equation (3.3), closure of  $\varphi$ , i.e. the equation  $d\varphi = 0$ , is equivalent to  $T$  taking values in  $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \text{End}(V)$ , i.e. the equation  $\varepsilon_{ijk}T_{ij} = 0$ . Substitution of

equation (3.9) into equation (3.7) gives *Cartan's first structure equation* for closed  $G_2$ -structures,

$$(3.10) \quad d\omega_i = -\theta_{ij} \wedge \omega_j + \varepsilon_{ijk} T_{kl} \omega_j \wedge \omega_l,$$

where  $T_{ij}$  satisfies  $\varepsilon_{ijk} T_{ij} = 0$ . The function  $T$  is known as the *torsion tensor* of the closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$ . It follows from the general theory of equivalence that the function  $T$  is a complete first-order diffeomorphism invariant of  $\varphi$ .

3.1.1. *The torsion 2-form.* The 2-form  $\tilde{\tau}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{\tau} = 3T_{ij}\omega_i \wedge \omega_j$$

is invariant under the  $G_2$ -action on  $\mathcal{B}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{\tau}$  is the pullback to  $\mathcal{B}$  of a well-defined 2-form on  $M$ , which will be denoted by  $\tau$ . This 2-form  $\tau$  is an element of  $\Omega_{14}^2(M)$ , and is called the *torsion 2-form* of the closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$ . Differentiation of equation (3.4) using the first structure equation (3.10) yields that

$$(3.12) \quad d*_\varphi \varphi = \tau \wedge \varphi.$$

In fact, equation (3.12) is often taken to be the definition of  $\tau$ .

If the function  $T$ , or equivalently the 2-form  $\tau$ , vanishes, then the closed  $G_2$ -structure is said to be torsion-free. In this special case, the 3-form  $\varphi$  is parallel with respect to the metric  $g_\varphi$ , and the restricted holonomy group of the metric  $g_\varphi$  is a subgroup of  $G_2$  [2].

3.2. **The second structure equation.** Let  $\nabla$  denote the covariant derivative associated to the connection 1-form  $\theta$ . The exterior derivative of the  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ -valued function  $T$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  may be written

$$(3.13) \quad dT = \nabla T(\omega) + \theta \cdot T,$$

where  $\nabla T$  is a function on  $\mathcal{B}$  taking values in  $\mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes V^*$ , and the notation  $\theta \cdot T$  represents the action of the  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ -valued 1-form  $\theta$  on the  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ -valued function  $T$  via the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ .

There is an irreducible decomposition

$$(3.14) \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes V \cong \mathbb{V}_{1,1} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{2,0} \oplus V,$$

so the function  $\nabla T$  may be decomposed as  $\nabla T = C + H + X$ , where  $C, H$ , and  $X$  are functions on  $\mathcal{B}$  taking values in  $\mathbb{V}_{1,1}, \mathbb{V}_{2,0}$ , and  $V$  respectively. In components,

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla T)_{ijk} = & C_{kij} + \varepsilon_{ijl} H_{lk} + 3\varepsilon_{jkl} H_{li} + 3\varepsilon_{kil} H_{lj} \\ & + \varepsilon_{ijkl} X_l + 2\delta_{jk} X_i - 2\delta_{ki} X_j, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{kij}$  and  $H_{ij}$  satisfy the symmetries and trace conditions described in §2.2.

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$ , the function  $X$  defined by (3.15) vanishes identically.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the vanishing of the  $\Omega_7^6(M)$  component of the equation  $d^2(*_\varphi \varphi)$ . One may calculate using equations (3.10) and (3.13) that, on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,

$$(3.16) \quad 0 = \pi^* *_\varphi d^2(*_\varphi \varphi) = \pi^* *_\varphi (d\tau \wedge \varphi) = -72X_i \omega_i. \quad \square$$

Equation (3.13) may be written in components as

$$(3.17) \quad dT_{jk} = C_{ijk}\omega_i + (\varepsilon_{jkl}H_{li} + 3\varepsilon_{kil}H_{lj} + 3\varepsilon_{lij}H_{lk})\omega_i + T_{jl}\theta_{lk} - T_{kl}\theta_{lj}.$$

3.2.1. *The 3-form  $d\tau$ .* The function  $H$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  may be written in terms of the components of 3-form  $d\tau$ . By equation (3.17), the pullback of  $d\tau$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is

$$(3.18) \quad \pi^* d\tau = \varepsilon_{ikl} \left( 21H_{ij} - \frac{1}{7}T_{im}T_{mj} \right) \omega_j \wedge \omega_k \wedge \omega_l.$$

3.2.2. *Curvature decomposition and the first Bianchi identity.* The derivative of the  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ -valued natural connection form  $\theta$  takes the form

$$(3.19) \quad d\theta = -\theta \wedge \theta + K(\omega \wedge \omega),$$

or in components,

$$(3.20) \quad d\theta_{ij} = -\theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj} + K_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l$$

where  $K : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2(V), \mathfrak{g}_2)$  is the  $G_2$ -curvature function of  $\varphi$ . The space  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^2(V), \mathfrak{g}_2)$  decomposes into  $G_2$ -irreducible representations as

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2(V), \mathfrak{g}_2) &\cong (\mathbb{V}_{0,1} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{1,0}) \otimes \mathbb{V}_{0,1} \\ &\cong (\mathbb{V}_{0,2} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{2,0} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{0,1} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{0,0}) \oplus (\mathbb{V}_{1,1} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{2,0} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{1,0}), \end{aligned}$$

so the function  $K$  may be decomposed analogously as

$$(3.22) \quad K_{ijkl} = S_{ijkl} + J_{ijkl} + U_{ijkl} + r_{ijkl} + \varepsilon_{mkl} (B_{ijm} + L_{ijm} + W_{ijm}),$$

where

$$(3.23a) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{ijkl} &= (2\varepsilon_{pij}\varepsilon_{qkl} - 3\varepsilon_{pik}\varepsilon_{qjl} - 3\varepsilon_{pil}\varepsilon_{qjk}) J_{pq} \\ &\quad + 3(\delta_{ik}J_{jl} - \delta_{il}J_{jk} - \delta_{jk}J_{il} + \delta_{jl}J_{ik}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.23b) \quad U_{ijkl} = \varepsilon_{pij}\varepsilon_{qkl}U_{pq} - 3(\delta_{ik}U_{jl} - \delta_{il}U_{jk} - \delta_{jk}U_{il} + \delta_{jl}U_{ik}),$$

$$(3.23c) \quad r_{ijkl} = (\varepsilon_{ijkl} - 2\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + 2\delta_{jk}\delta_{il})r,$$

$$(3.23d) \quad L_{ijm} = \varepsilon_{pij}L_{pm} + 3\varepsilon_{pjm}L_{pi} + 3\varepsilon_{pmi}L_{pj},$$

$$(3.23e) \quad W_{ijm} = \varepsilon_{ijml}W_l - 2\delta_{im}W_j + 2\delta_{jm}W_i,$$

and  $S = S_{ijkl}e_i \otimes e^j \otimes e_k \otimes e^l$ ,  $J = J_{ij}e_i e_j$ ,  $U = U_{ij}e_i \otimes e^j$ ,  $r$ ,  $B = B_{ijk}e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e^k$ ,  $L = L_{ij}e_i e_j$ , and  $W = W_i e_i$  are functions on  $\mathcal{B}$  taking values in  $\mathbb{V}_{0,2}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}_{2,0}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}_{0,1}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}_{1,1}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}_{2,0}$ , and  $V$  respectively, so that these symbols satisfy the symmetries and trace conditions described in §2.2.

It is known that the  $G_2$  curvature function of a torsion-free  $G_2$ -structure takes values in the  $\mathbb{V}_{0,2}$  summand of (3.21), so by abstract principles the other summands may be expressed in terms of  $T$  and  $\nabla T$ . This can be done concretely by solving the first Bianchi identity, i.e. the equation  $d^2\omega = 0$ . The result is

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} U &= 0, \quad W = 0, \quad Q = 0, \\ B &= C, \\ r &= \frac{1}{14}T_{ij}T_{ij}, \\ J_{ij} &= -\frac{7}{12}H_{ij} - \frac{5}{24}(T_{ik}T_{kj} + \frac{1}{7}\delta_{ij}T_{kl}T_{kl}), \\ L_{ij} &= -\frac{4}{3}H_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}(T_{ik}T_{kj} + \frac{1}{7}\delta_{ij}T_{kl}T_{kl}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the general theory that the quantities  $C$ ,  $H$ , and  $S$  form a complete set of second order diffeomorphism invariants for a closed  $G_2$ -structure.



3.2.3. *Curvature of  $g_\varphi$ .* The Levi-Civita form  $\psi$  of the metric  $g_\varphi$  is given in components by  $\psi_{ij} = \theta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}T_{kl}\omega_l$ . With (3.24) in hand, it is possible to write the Riemann curvature tensor,  $\text{Riem}(g_\varphi)$ , of  $g_\varphi$  in terms of the invariants  $T, C, H$ , and  $S$ . The Riemann curvature tensor decomposes as an  $\text{SO}(7)$  representation into the scalar, traceless Ricci, and Weyl tensors,

$$(3.25) \quad \text{Riem}(g_\varphi) = \text{Scal}(g_\varphi) + \text{Ric}^0(g_\varphi) + \text{Weyl}(g_\varphi).$$

In terms of G<sub>2</sub>-representations,  $\text{Scal}(g_\varphi)$  is  $\mathbb{V}_{0,0}$ -valued,  $\text{Ric}^0(g_\varphi)$  is  $\mathbb{V}_{2,0}$ -valued, and  $\text{Weyl}(g_\varphi)$  is  $\mathbb{V}_{2,0} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{1,1} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{0,2}$ -valued. Formulas for the G<sub>2</sub>-components of  $\text{Riem}(g_\varphi)$  are found by substituting equations (3.13) and (3.20) into the Riemannian second structure equation

$$(3.26) \quad d\psi_{ij} + \psi_{ik} \wedge \psi_{kj} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Riem}_{ijkl}\omega_k \wedge \omega_l,$$

and decomposing into G<sub>2</sub>-irreducible pieces. The result is

$$(3.27a) \quad \text{Scal}(g_\varphi) = -9T_{ij}T_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}|\tau|_\varphi^2$$

$$(3.27b) \quad \text{Ric}^0(g_\varphi)_{ij} = -42H_{ij} - 12(T_{ik}T_{kj} + \frac{1}{7}\delta_{ij}T_{kl}T_{kl})$$

$$(3.27c) \quad [\text{Weyl}(g_\varphi)]_{ij}^{2,0} = 4H_{ij} + (T_{ik}T_{kj} + \frac{1}{7}\delta_{ij}T_{kl}T_{kl})$$

$$(3.27d) \quad [\text{Weyl}(g_\varphi)]_{ijk}^{1,1} = C_{ijk}$$

$$(3.27e) \quad [\text{Weyl}(g_\varphi)]_{ijkl}^{0,2} = S_{ijkl} - 3\left(\frac{1}{16}(2\varepsilon_{pij}\varepsilon_{qkl} - 3\varepsilon_{pik}\varepsilon_{qjl} + 3\varepsilon_{pil}\varepsilon_{qjk})T_{pm}T_{mq} + \frac{3}{16}(\delta_{ik}T_{jm}T_{ml} - \delta_{il}T_{jm}T_{mk} - \delta_{jk}T_{im}T_{ml} + \delta_{jl}T_{im}T_{mk}) + \frac{1}{12}T_{pq}T_{pq}(\varepsilon_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl})\right).$$

Expressions equivalent to these have appeared in the literature before. Formulas for the scalar and traceless Ricci curvatures have been found by Bryant [3], and formulas for the Weyl curvature have been found by Cleyton and Ivanov [8].

#### 4. CLOSED G<sub>2</sub>-STRUCTURES WITH CONFORMALLY FLAT METRIC

4.1. **The differential analysis.** Let  $M$  be a 7-manifold endowed with a closed G<sub>2</sub>-structure  $\varphi$ , and suppose that the metric  $g_\varphi$  is (locally) conformally flat. In dimensions greater than 3, local conformal flatness is equivalent to the vanishing of the Weyl curvature. Thus, from equations (3.27c-3.27e), the second order invariants  $H, C$ , and  $S$  of  $\varphi$  must satisfy

$$(4.1a) \quad H_{ij} = \frac{1}{4}T_{ik}T_{kj} + \frac{1}{28}\delta_{ij}T_{pq}T_{pq},$$

$$(4.1b) \quad C_{ijk} = 0,$$

$$(4.1c) \quad S_{ijkl} = 3T_{ij}T_{kl} + \frac{3}{16}(2\varepsilon_{pij}\varepsilon_{qkl} - 3\varepsilon_{pik}\varepsilon_{qjl} + 3\varepsilon_{pil}\varepsilon_{qjk})T_{pm}T_{mq} + \frac{9}{16}(\delta_{ik}T_{jm}T_{ml} - \delta_{il}T_{jm}T_{mk} - \delta_{jk}T_{im}T_{ml} + \delta_{jl}T_{im}T_{mk}) + \frac{1}{16}(4\varepsilon_{ijkl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})T_{pq}T_{pq}.$$

Substituting equations (4.1) into the structure equations (3.10), (3.17), and (3.20) gives the equations

$$(4.2a) \quad d\omega_i = -\theta_{ij} \wedge \omega_j + \varepsilon_{ijk} T_{kl} \omega_j \wedge \omega_l,$$

$$(4.2b) \quad dT_{jk} = \frac{1}{4} (\varepsilon_{jkl} T_{lm} T_{mi} + 3\varepsilon_{kil} T_{lm} T_{mj} + 3\varepsilon_{ijl} T_{lm} T_{mk} + \varepsilon_{ijk} T_{pq} T_{pq}) \omega_i \\ + T_{ji} \theta_{lk} - T_{kl} \theta_{lj},$$

$$(4.2c) \quad d\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj} = (T_{ij} T_{kl} + \frac{1}{2} (2\varepsilon_{pij} \varepsilon_{qkl} - 3\varepsilon_{pik} \varepsilon_{qjl} + 3\varepsilon_{pil} \varepsilon_{qjk}) T_{pm} T_{mq} \\ - \frac{5}{2} (\delta_{ik} T_{jm} T_{ml} - \delta_{il} T_{jm} T_{mk} - \delta_{jk} T_{im} T_{ml} + \delta_{jl} T_{im} T_{mk}) \\ + 2 (\varepsilon_{ijkq} T_{lm} T_{mq} - \varepsilon_{lijq} T_{km} T_{mq}) \\ + (\frac{5}{4} \varepsilon_{ijkl} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) T_{pq} T_{pq}) \omega_k \wedge \omega_l.$$

Equations (4.2) will be collectively referred to as the *structure equations* of a closed  $G_2$ -structure with conformally flat metric. The exterior derivatives of (4.2) are identities.

4.1.1. *First consequences.* Equations (4.1a) and (3.18) taken together imply that for a closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  with  $g_\varphi$  conformally flat, the 3-form  $d\tau$  satisfies

$$(4.3) \quad d\tau = \frac{1}{8} |\tau|_\varphi^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{8} *_\varphi (\tau \wedge \tau).$$

A closed  $G_2$ -structure is said to be  $\lambda$ -quadratic [1, 3] if  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad d\tau = \frac{1}{7} |\tau|^2 \varphi + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{7} |\tau|_\varphi^2 + *_\varphi (\tau \wedge \tau) \right),$$

for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, a closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  with  $g_\varphi$  conformally flat is  $\lambda$ -quadratic for  $\lambda = -1/8$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $(M, \varphi)$  is a compact 7-manifold endowed with a closed  $G_2$ -structure such that  $g_\varphi$  is (locally) conformally flat, then the metric  $g_\varphi$  is flat and the  $G_2$ -structure is locally equivalent to the standard  $G_2$ -structure  $\phi$  on  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$*

*Proof.* Bryant [3] has shown that on a compact manifold the only possible  $\lambda$ -quadratic closed  $G_2$ -structures with  $\tau$  not identically zero have  $\lambda = 1/6$ . Thus,  $\tau$  is identically zero and the structure equations (4.2) reduce to

$$(4.5a) \quad d\omega_i = -\theta_{ij} \wedge \omega_j,$$

$$(4.5b) \quad d\theta_{ij} = -\theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj},$$

the structure equations of the Lie group  $G_2 \ltimes V$ . It follows that  $\varphi$  is locally equivalent to  $\phi$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* If  $\varphi$  is a closed  $G_2$ -structure, then a  $G_2$ -structure of the form  $e^f \varphi$  is not closed unless  $f$  is a constant. In particular, a closed  $G_2$ -structure inducing a conformally flat metric is not generally conformally torsion-free or flat itself.

4.2. **Examples.** This section contains three examples of closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat induced metric, on the manifolds  $\mathbb{R}^7$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .

4.2.1. *The flat  $G_2$ -structure on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ .* The first example is unremarkable. The standard 3-form  $\phi$  on  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  is closed, and the induced metric  $g_\phi$  is just the standard flat metric on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ , hence a fortiori conformally flat.

It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.1 that any torsion-free  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  with  $g_\varphi$  conformally flat is equivalent to the standard  $G_2$ -structure on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ .

4.2.2. *A non-flat example on  $\mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$ .* Let  $\text{ASO}(4)$  denote the special Euclidean group in four dimensions, i.e. the group  $\text{SO}(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$  of rigid motions of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . The group  $\text{ASO}(4)$  can be regarded as the matrix group consisting of the  $5 \times 5$  matrices of the form

$$(4.6) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^4$  is a column vector and  $A \in \text{SO}(4)$ . Write the canonical left-invariant Maurer-Cartan form on  $\text{ASO}(4)$  as

$$(4.7) \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_1 & 0 & \xi_1 + \rho_1 & \xi_2 + \alpha_2 & \xi_3 + \alpha_1 \\ \eta_2 & -\xi_1 - \rho_1 & 0 & -\xi_3 + \alpha_1 & \xi_2 - \alpha_2 \\ \eta_3 & -\xi_2 - \alpha_2 & \xi_3 - \alpha_1 & 0 & -\xi_1 + \rho_1 \\ \eta_4 & -\xi_3 - \alpha_1 & -\xi_2 + \alpha_2 & \xi_1 - \rho_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The subgroup generated by the dual vectors to  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  and  $\rho_1$  is  $\text{U}(2) \subset \text{SO}(4)$ .

On the manifold  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{ASO}(4)$ , with coordinate  $t$  in the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -direction, define a  $\text{ASO}(4)$ -invariant 3-form  $\tilde{\varphi}$  by

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi} = & \frac{4}{9}t^2 dt \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \frac{256}{t^4} dt \wedge (\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \eta_3 \wedge \eta_4) \\ & + \frac{512}{3t^3} (\alpha_1 \wedge (\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 - \eta_2 \wedge \eta_4) + \alpha_2 \wedge (-\eta_1 \wedge \eta_4 - \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3)). \end{aligned}$$

The Maurer-Cartan equation  $d\mu = -\mu \wedge \mu$  implies that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  satisfies  $d\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ . In fact,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the pullback to  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{ASO}(4)$  of a closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  on the space  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{ASO}(4)/\text{U}(2)$ . Letting  $\pi : \text{ASO}(4) \rightarrow \text{ASO}(4)/\text{U}(2)$  denote the coset projection, the metric  $g_\varphi$  satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad \pi^* g_\varphi = dt^2 + \frac{4}{9}t^2 (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) + \frac{256}{t^4} (\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2 + \eta_4^2).$$

Computation using the Maurer-Cartan equation shows that  $g_\varphi$  is conformally flat. In fact, the metric  $t^4 g_\varphi$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^7$  with the flat metric.

The torsion 2-form  $\tau$  of  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$(4.10) \quad \pi^* \tau = \frac{32}{9}t \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 - \frac{1024}{t^5} (\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \eta_3 \wedge \eta_4),$$

and the scalar curvature of  $g_\varphi$  may be computed from the formula (3.27a) to be

$$(4.11) \quad \text{Scal}(g_\varphi) = -\frac{48}{t^2}.$$

It follows that the metric  $g_\varphi$  is incomplete.

The space  $\text{ASO}(4)/\text{U}(2)$  is the unit sphere bundle of the bundle of self-dual 2-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , so it is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^2$ . The integral manifolds of the distribution defined by  $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta_3 = \eta_4 = 0$  are the submanifolds of the form  $\{p\} \times S^2$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , while the integral manifolds of the distribution  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$  are the submanifolds of the form  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \{q\}$  for  $q \in S^2$ . Thus, the manifold  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{ASO}(4)/\text{U}(2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$ .

4.2.3. *An example on  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .* Write the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan form of  $\text{SU}(3)$  as

$$(4.12) \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}i\xi & \eta_4 + i\eta_5 & -\eta_7 - i\eta_6 \\ -\eta_4 + i\eta_5 & i\zeta - \frac{1}{3}i\xi & \eta_2 + i\eta_3 \\ \eta_7 - i\eta_6 & -\eta_2 + i\eta_3 & -i\zeta - \frac{1}{3}i\xi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The subgroup generated by the dual vectors to  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$  is a maximal torus  $T^2 \subset \mathrm{SU}(3)$ , while the subgroup generated by the vectors dual to  $\xi, \zeta, \eta_2$  and  $\eta_3$ , is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{U}(2)$  and will be denoted  $\mathrm{U}(2)_1$ .

On the manifold  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ , with coordinate  $r$  in the  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -direction, define 1-forms  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7$  by

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{2 dr}{\cosh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}}, & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} &= 4 \sinh(r) \cosh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{2 + e^{2r}}{\cosh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_4 \\ \eta_5 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{2 + e^{-2r}}{\cosh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_6 \\ \eta_7 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and a 3-form  $\tilde{\varphi}$  by

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \alpha_{123} + \alpha_{145} + \alpha_{167} + \alpha_{246} - \alpha_{257} - \alpha_{347} - \alpha_{356},$$

where  $\alpha_{ijk}$  stands for the wedge product  $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \alpha_k$ . The Maurer-Cartan equation  $d\mu = -\mu \wedge \mu$  implies that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  satisfies  $d\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ . In fact,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the pullback to  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$  of a closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  on the space  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$ . The  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  is cohomogeneity-one under the action of  $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ . It is not hard to check that  $\varphi$  satisfies the conditions [9] required to extend  $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ -equivalently over  $\{r = 0\}$  and defines a closed  $G_2$ -structure on the manifold  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . The singular orbit  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathrm{SU}(3)/\mathrm{U}(2)_1$  of the  $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ -action on  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  is exactly the locus  $\{r = 0\}$ .

Letting  $\pi : \mathrm{SU}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$  denote the coset projection, the metric  $g_\varphi$  satisfies

$$(4.15) \quad \pi^* g_\varphi = \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 + \alpha_4^2 + \alpha_5^2 + \alpha_6^2 + \alpha_7^2.$$

Computation using the Maurer-Cartan equation shows that  $g_\varphi$  is conformally flat. In fact, the metric

$$(4.16) \quad h = \frac{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{4}{3}}}{(4 \cosh(r)^2 - 1)^2} g_\varphi$$

is isometric to the round metric on the 7-sphere. It is known [21] that  $S^7 \setminus \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \cong \Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , and the metric  $h$  is simply the restriction of the round metric on  $S^7$  to this subset.

The torsion 2-form  $\tau$  of  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$(4.17) \quad \tau = \frac{4 \sinh(r)}{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{23} + \frac{6 \cosh(r) - 2 \sinh(r)}{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{45} - \frac{6 \cosh(r) + 2 \sinh(r)}{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{67},$$

and the scalar curvature of  $g_\varphi$  may be computed using formula (3.27a) to be

$$(4.18) \quad \mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi) = \frac{4 - 16 \cosh(r)^2}{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

Note that the scalar curvature blows up as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , and this is at finite distance, since

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \alpha_1 = \int_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \frac{4 dr}{\cosh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}} < \infty.$$

It follows that the metric  $g_\varphi$  is incomplete.

**4.3. The classification theorem.** This section contains the statement and proof of the classification of closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat induced metric.

The following theorem is a consequence of Élie Cartan's generalisation [5] of Lie's Third Fundamental Theorem. A modern exposition of this and related theorems concerning prescribed coframing problems has been given by Bryant [4].

**Theorem 4.3.** *For any  $T_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ , there exists a closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  with conformally flat metric  $g_\varphi$  on a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^7$  whose  $G_2$ -coframe bundle  $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow U$  contains a  $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$  for which  $T(u_0) = T_0$ . Any two real analytic closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat metric that satisfy this property are isomorphic on a neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^7$ . Furthermore, any closed  $G_2$ -structure with conformally flat metric that is  $C^2$  is real-analytic.*

*Proof.* This is an application of Theorem 2 of [4]. Since the exterior derivatives of equations (4.2) are identities, the hypotheses of Cartan's Theorem are satisfied. Thus, for any  $T_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_2$  there exists a real-analytic manifold  $N$  of dimension 21 on which there are two real analytic 1-forms  $\omega$  and  $\theta$  with values in  $V$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  respectively, and a real-analytic function  $T : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$  such that  $(\omega, \theta)$  is a real analytic coframing on  $N$ , equations (4.2a), (4.2b), and (4.2c) are satisfied on  $N$ , and there exists a  $u_0 \in N$  for which  $T(u_0) = T_0$ .

By (4.2a), the equation  $\omega = 0$  defines an integrable plane field of codimension 7 on  $N$ . After shrinking  $N$  to an open neighbourhood of  $u_0$  if necessary, an application of the Frobenius Theorem shows there is a submersion  $y : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$  with  $y(u_0) = 0$  so that the leaves of this plane field are the fibres of  $y$  and, moreover, that  $dy = p\omega$  for some function  $p : N \rightarrow GL(7, \mathbb{R})$  that satisfies  $p(u_0) = I_7$ .

By (4.2a), the 3-form

$$(4.20) \quad \varphi = \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_{ijk} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_k$$

is closed. Since  $\varphi$  is  $y$ -semibasic, and since, by definition the fibres of  $y$  are connected, it follows that  $\varphi$  is the pullback to  $N$  of a closed  $G_2$ -structure on the open set  $U = y(N)$ . Denote this  $G_2$ -structure by  $\varphi$ .

Let  $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow U$  be the  $G_2$ -coframe bundle associated to  $\varphi$ . Define a mapping  $\sigma : N \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  as follows: If  $y(u) = x \in U$ , then  $dy_u : T_u N \rightarrow T_x \mathbb{R}^7 \cong \mathbb{R}^7$  is surjective, and by construction, has the same kernel as  $\omega_u : T_u N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ . Thus, there is a unique linear isomorphism  $\sigma(u) : T_x \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$  so that  $\omega_u = \sigma(u) \circ dy_u$ . Using the standard identification  $T_x \mathbb{R}^7 \cong \mathbb{R}^7$ ,  $\sigma(u)$  is  $y(u)^{-1} \in GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ . That  $\sigma(u)$  is a  $G_2$ -coframe follows from the form (4.20) of  $\varphi$ . Since  $(\omega, \theta)$  is a coframe, it follows that  $\sigma : N \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an open immersion. After possibly shrinking  $N$  again,  $N$  may be identified with an open subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

The structure equations (4.2a), (4.2b), and (4.2c) now become identified with the structure equations of  $\mathcal{B}$ , implying the  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  is closed with conformally flat metric and that the torsion function  $T$  takes the value  $T_0$  at  $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ . This proves the existence statement.

Uniqueness in the real-analytic category follows immediately from Theorem 2 of [4]. In fact, the theorem can be proved using only ODE techniques, and uniqueness is given as long as  $\varphi$  is sufficiently differentiable for  $\mathcal{B}$  to exist as a differentiable bundle and  $T$  to exist and be differentiable. It suffices that  $\varphi$  be  $C^2$ . The existence theorem provides a real analytic example, so uniqueness then proves  $\varphi$  is real-analytic.  $\square$

The function  $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$  is  $G_2$ -equivariant, so the map  $[T]$  that sends a point  $p \in M$  to the adjoint orbit  $G_2 \cdot T(u)$ , where  $u$  is any element of the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(p)$ , is well-defined. From the discussion in §2.2.2, every element of  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  is conjugate to a unique element of the form

$$(4.21) \quad \mu_1 E_{23} + \mu_2 E_{45} - (\mu_1 + \mu_2) E_{67}, \quad 0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2,$$

where  $E_{ij}$  denotes the matrix with  $(i, j)$  entry equal to 1,  $(j, i)$  entry equal to  $-1$ , and all other entries equal to 0. Let  $A$  denote the set of elements of the form (4.21). The function  $[T]$  may be then thought of as a map  $M \rightarrow A$ . It follows from Theorem 4.3 that two germs of closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat induced metric at  $p$  and  $q$  are isomorphic if and only if  $[T](p) = [T](q)$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** *The set of isomorphism classes of germs of closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat induced metric is in bijection with  $A$ .*

**Theorem 4.5.** *A closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  with (locally) conformally flat induced metric  $g_\varphi$  is, up to constant rescaling, locally equivalent to one of the three examples  $\mathbb{R}^7$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  presented in §4.2.*

*Proof.* Let  $N_1 = \mathbb{R}^7$ ,  $N_2 = \mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $N_3 = \Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  be equipped with the  $G_2$ -structures described in §4.2. From the discussion after Theorem 4.3, it is enough to show that for every element  $X \in A$ , there is some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  so that  $\lambda X$  is in the image of  $[T]$  applied to one of the  $N_i$ .

For example 4.2.1, the torsion function vanishes identically, so

$$(4.22) \quad [T](N_1) = \{0\}.$$

For example 4.2.2, a  $G_2$ -coframe  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_7)$  is furnished by

$$(4.23) \quad \omega_1 = dt, \quad \omega_{1+i} = \frac{2}{3}t\alpha_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \omega_{3+j} = \frac{16}{t^2}\theta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 4,$$

and the torsion 2-form  $\tau$  is given in terms of this coframe by

$$(4.24) \quad \tau = \frac{4}{t} (2\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 - \omega_4 \wedge \omega_5 - \omega_6 \wedge \omega_7).$$

Thus,

$$(4.25) \quad [T](N_2) = \{xE_{23} + xE_{45} - 2xE_{67} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

For example 4.2.3, the coframe  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7$  is a  $G_2$ -coframe, and the torsion 2-form  $\tau$  is given in terms of this coframe by

$$(4.26) \quad \tau = \frac{4 \sinh(r)}{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{23} + \frac{6 \cosh(r) - 2 \sinh(r)}{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{45} - \frac{6 \cosh(r) + 2 \sinh(r)}{3 \cosh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{67},$$

Thus,

$$(4.27) \quad [T](N_3) = \left\{ xE_{23} + yE_{45} - (x+y)E_{67} \left| \begin{array}{l} x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (x-y)(x+2y)(2x+y) = -16 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

It follows that

$$(4.28) \quad \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot [T](N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3) = A,$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

Although the statement of Theorem 4.5 is local, the strong rigidity imposed by the result makes it easy to give global consequences.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be a 7-manifold endowed with a closed  $G_2$ -structure such that  $g_\varphi$  is conformally flat and complete. Then  $\varphi$  is locally equivalent to the flat  $G_2$ -structure  $\phi$  on  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  and  $M$  is the quotient of  $V$  by a discrete group of  $G_2$ -automorphisms.*

**Corollary 4.7.** *There is no closed  $G_2$ -structure inducing a metric of constant negative sectional curvature.*

## 5. A RELATED FAMILY OF CLOSED $G_2$ -STRUCTURES

A key step in the classification §4 of closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat metric is the interesting coincidence that the vanishing of the Weyl curvature tensor of  $g_\varphi$  is equivalent to equations (4.1), which prescribe all of the second-order invariants  $H, C$ , and  $S$  of  $\varphi$  as quadratic functions in the components of the first-order invariant  $T$ .

Motivated by this point, it is a reasonable question to ask if there are other closed  $G_2$ -structures  $\varphi$  for which the second-order invariants  $H, C$ , and  $S$  of  $\varphi$  are given by quadratic functions in the components of the first-order invariant  $T$ . Recall that  $H, C$ , and  $S$  are  $G_2$ -equivariant functions on the  $G_2$ -coframe bundle  $\mathcal{B}$  taking values in  $\mathbf{V}_{2,0}, \mathbf{V}_{1,1}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}_{0,2}$  respectively, and  $T$  is a  $G_2$ -equivariant function on  $\mathcal{B}$  taking values in  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ .

There is an irreducible decomposition

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}_2) = \mathbf{V}_{0,2} \oplus \mathbf{V}_{2,0} \oplus \mathbf{V}_{0,0},$$

and it follows from Schur's Lemma that there is a 2-parameter family of ways in which  $H, C$ , and  $S$  may be prescribed as a  $G_2$ -equivariant quadratic function of the components of  $T$ . Namely, for  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , there are the equations

$$(5.2a) \quad H_{ij} = \frac{1-6\lambda}{7} \left( T_{ik}T_{kj} + \frac{1}{7}\delta_{ij}T_{pq}T_{pq} \right),$$

$$(5.2b) \quad C_{ijk} = 0,$$

$$(5.2c) \quad S_{ijkl} = \mu \left( 3T_{ij}T_{kl} + \frac{3}{16} (2\varepsilon_{pij}\varepsilon_{qkl} - 3\varepsilon_{pik}\varepsilon_{qjl} + 3\varepsilon_{pil}\varepsilon_{qjk}) T_{pm}T_{mq} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{9}{16} (\delta_{ik}T_{jm}T_{ml} - \delta_{il}T_{jm}T_{mk} - \delta_{jk}T_{im}T_{ml} + \delta_{jl}T_{im}T_{mk}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{16} (4\varepsilon_{ijkl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) T_{pq}T_{pq} \right).$$

Note that equation (5.2a) is equivalent to the  $\lambda$ -quadratic equation (4.4).

Substituting equation (5.2) into the structure equations (3.10), (3.17), and (3.20) and differentiating, the equations  $d^2T = 0$  and  $d^2\theta = 0$  are satisfied if and only if  $(\lambda, \mu)$  is equal to  $(-1/8, 1)$  or  $(2/5, -2/25)$ . The former case corresponds to the equations for conformal flatness (4.1), while the latter case will be studied in the remainder of this section.

Substitution of equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  into the structure equations (3.10), (3.17), and (3.20) gives the structure equations

$$(5.3a) \quad d\omega_i = -\theta_{ij} \wedge \omega_j + \varepsilon_{ijk} T_{kl} \omega_j \wedge \omega_l,$$

$$(5.3b) \quad dT_{jk} = -\frac{1}{5} (\varepsilon_{jkl} T_{lm} T_{mi} + 3\varepsilon_{kil} T_{lm} T_{mj} + 3\varepsilon_{ijl} T_{lm} T_{mk} + \varepsilon_{ijk} T_{pq} T_{pq}) \omega_i \\ + T_{jl} \theta_{lk} - T_{kl} \theta_{lj},$$

$$(5.3c) \quad d\theta_{ij} = -\theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj} + \frac{1}{25} (-6T_{ij} T_{kl} \\ - (2\varepsilon_{pij} \varepsilon_{qkl} - 3\varepsilon_{pik} \varepsilon_{qjl} + 3\varepsilon_{pil} \varepsilon_{qjk}) T_{pm} T_{mq} \\ - 13(\delta_{ik} T_{jm} T_{ml} - \delta_{il} T_{jm} T_{mk} - \delta_{jk} T_{im} T_{ml} + \delta_{jl} T_{im} T_{mk}) \\ + 5(\varepsilon_{ijkq} T_{lm} T_{mq} - \varepsilon_{lijq} T_{km} T_{mq}) \\ + (2\varepsilon_{ijkl} - 7\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + 7\delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) T_{pq} T_{pq}) \omega_k \wedge \omega_l.$$

The derivatives of equations (5.3) are identities.

By the discussion above, a closed  $G_2$ -structure satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  is 2/5-quadratic, and this rules out the existence of compact examples with non-zero torsion. The following proposition is proven in the exact same manner as Proposition 4.1.

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $(M, \varphi)$  is a compact 7-manifold endowed with a closed  $G_2$ -structure satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$ , then the metric  $g_\varphi$  is flat and the  $G_2$ -structure is locally equivalent to the standard  $G_2$ -structure  $\phi$  on  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ .*

**5.1. Examples.** This section contains three examples of closed  $G_2$ -structures satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$ .

**5.1.1. The flat  $G_2$ -structure on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ .** The standard 3-form  $\phi$  on  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  has  $T = H = C = S = 0$ , so equations (5.2) are satisfied for all values of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

It is clear from the form of equations (5.3) that any torsion-free  $G_2$ -structure satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  must be locally equivalent to  $\phi$ .

**5.1.2. An example on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^6$ .** Let  $H$  denote the 6-dimensional real Lie group underlying the complex 3-dimensional Heisenberg group. The complex Heisenberg group may be globally parametrised as

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \ni (x, z) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & z + \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H.$$

The group  $U(2)$  acts by automorphisms on  $H$  as  $A \cdot (x, z) = (Ax, \det A z)$ . Let  $G$  denote the semi-direct product  $U(2) \ltimes H$  with respect to this action. The left-invariant Maurer-Cartan forms of  $U(2)$  and  $H$  may be written as

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} -i\rho - i\xi_1 & \xi_2 + i\xi_3 \\ -\xi_2 + i\xi_3 & -i\rho + i\xi_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_1 + i\eta_2 & -\frac{5}{3}(\alpha_2 + i\alpha_1) \\ 0 & 0 & \eta_3 + i\eta_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. The structure equations of  $G$  are



$$(5.6a) \quad d \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \\ \eta_4 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_1 + \rho_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \\ -\xi_1 - \rho_1 & 0 & -\xi_3 & \xi_2 \\ -\xi_2 & \xi_3 & 0 & -\xi_1 + \rho_1 \\ -\xi_3 & -\xi_2 & \xi_1 - \rho_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \\ \eta_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(5.6b) \quad d \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2\rho_1 \\ 2\rho_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{3}{5} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \wedge \eta_4 + \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \\ \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 - \eta_2 \wedge \eta_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $d\rho_1 = 0$ ,  $d\xi_i = 2\xi_j \wedge \xi_k$  for  $(i, j, k)$  a cyclic permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ . On the manifold  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G$ , with coordinate  $t$  in the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -direction, define a G-invariant 3-form  $\tilde{\varphi}$  by

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi} = & \frac{625}{t^4} dt \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \frac{5}{t} dt \wedge (\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \eta_3 \wedge \eta_4) \\ & + \frac{125}{t^3} (\alpha_1 \wedge (\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 - \eta_2 \wedge \eta_4) + \alpha_2 \wedge (-\eta_1 \wedge \eta_4 - \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3)). \end{aligned}$$

The structure equations (5.6) imply that  $d\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ . In fact,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the pullback to  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G$  of a closed G<sub>2</sub>-structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G/U(2)$ . Calculation shows that  $\varphi$  satisfies equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$ . The torsion 2-form is given by

$$(5.8) \quad \tau = \frac{25}{t^2} (\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \eta_3 \wedge \eta_4) - \frac{6250}{t^5} \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2.$$

The scalar curvature of the induced metric  $g_\varphi$  is

$$(5.9) \quad \text{Scal}(g_\varphi) = -\frac{75}{t^2},$$

and it follows that the metric  $g_\varphi$  is incomplete.

The space  $G/U(2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , so  $\varphi$  defines a closed G<sub>2</sub>-structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^6$ .

5.1.3. *An example on  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ .* Write the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan form of  $SU(2, 1)$  as

$$(5.10) \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}i\xi & \eta_4 + i\eta_5 & -\eta_7 - i\eta_6 \\ \eta_4 - i\eta_5 & i\xi - \frac{1}{3}i\xi & \eta_2 + i\eta_3 \\ \eta_7 - i\eta_6 & \eta_2 - i\eta_3 & -i\xi - \frac{1}{3}i\xi \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the manifold  $(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{\frac{1}{2} \log 2\}) \times SU(2, 1)$  with coordinate  $r$  in the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -direction, define 1-forms  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7$  by

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{5 dr}{\sinh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}}, & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{10 \cosh(r)}{\sinh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{5\sqrt{2} \left( 2e^{\frac{1}{2}t} - e^{-\frac{3}{2}t} \right)}{\sinh(r)^{\frac{1}{6}}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_4 \\ \eta_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{5\sqrt{2} \left( 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} - e^{\frac{3}{2}t} \right)}{\sinh(r)^{\frac{1}{6}}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_6 \\ \eta_7 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and a 3-form  $\tilde{\varphi}$  by

$$(5.12) \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \alpha_{123} + \alpha_{145} + \alpha_{167} + \alpha_{246} - \alpha_{257} - \alpha_{347} - \alpha_{356},$$

where  $\alpha_{ijk}$  stands for the wedge product  $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \alpha_k$ . The Maurer-Cartan equation  $d\mu = -\mu \wedge \mu$  implies that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  satisfies  $d\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ . In fact,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the pullback to

$(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{\frac{1}{2} \log 2\}) \times \mathrm{SU}(2, 1)$  of a closed  $G_2$ -structure on the space  $(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{\frac{1}{2} \log 2\}) \times \mathrm{SU}(2, 1)/\mathbb{T}^2$ . Similarly to example in §4.2.3,  $\varphi$  extends over  $\{r = \frac{1}{2} \log 2\}$  to define a closed  $G_2$ -structure on  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$  that is cohomogeneity-one under the action of  $\mathrm{SU}(2, 1)$ . Computation using the Maurer-Cartan equation shows that  $\varphi$  satisfies equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$ .

Letting  $\pi : \mathrm{SU}(2, 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2, 1)/\mathbb{T}^2$  denote the coset projection, the torsion 2-form  $\tau$  of  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$(5.13) \quad \tau = -\frac{2 \cosh(r)}{3 \sinh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{23} + \frac{3 \sinh(r) + \cosh(r)}{3 \sinh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{45} + \frac{3 \sinh(r) - \cosh(r)}{3 \sinh(r)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \alpha_{67},$$

and the scalar curvature of  $g_\varphi$  may be computed using formula (3.27a) to be

$$(5.14) \quad \mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi) = \frac{3 - 4 \cosh(r)^2}{3 \sinh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

Note that the scalar curvature blows up as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $r \rightarrow 0^+$  and these are at finite distance, since

$$(5.15) \quad \int_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \alpha_1 = \int_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \frac{5 \, dr}{\sinh(r)^{\frac{2}{3}}} < \infty.$$

It follows that the metric  $g_\varphi$  is incomplete.

**5.2. Classification.** The classification of closed  $G_2$ -structures satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  proceeds in exactly the same manner as the classification of closed  $G_2$ -structures with conformally flat induced metric, and the following theorems are proven using the same techniques as are used in the proofs of the corresponding theorems in §4.3.

**Theorem 5.2.** *For any  $T_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ , there exists a closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  on a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^7$  whose  $G_2$ -coframe bundle  $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow U$  contains a  $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$  for which  $T(u_0) = T_0$ . Any two real analytic closed  $G_2$ -structures satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  that satisfy this property are isomorphic on a neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^7$ . Furthermore, any closed  $G_2$ -structure satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  that is  $C^2$  is real-analytic.*

**Theorem 5.3.** *A closed  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi$  satisfying equations (5.2) with  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2/5, -2/25)$  is, up to constant rescaling, locally equivalent to one of the three examples  $\mathbb{R}^7$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^6$ , and  $\Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$  presented in §5.1.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gavin Ball, *Seven Dimensional Geometries with Special Torsion*, Duke University, 2019.
- [2] Robert L. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, *Annals of Mathematics* **126** (1987), no. 3, 525–576.
- [3] ———, *Some remarks on  $G_2$ -structures*, *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005* (2006).
- [4] ———, *Notes on exterior differential systems*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May 2014), available at [1405.3116](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.3116).
- [5] Élie Cartan, *Sur la structure des groupes infinis de transformation*, *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure* **3e série**, **21** (1904), 153–206 (fr).
- [6] D. Catalano, F. Defever, R. Deszcz, M. Hotłoś, and Z. Olszak, *A note on almost Kähler manifolds*, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **69** (1999), 59–65. MR1722921

- [7] Richard Cleyton and Stefan Ivanov, *On the geometry of closed  $G_2$ -structures*, Comm. Math. Phys. **270** (2007), no. 1, 53–67. MR2276440
- [8] ———, *Curvature decomposition of  $G_2$ -manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **58** (2008), no. 10, 1429–1449.
- [9] Richard Cleyton and Andrew Swann, *Cohomogeneity-one  $G_2$ -structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **44** (2002), no. 2-3, 202–220. MR1969782
- [10] Marisa Fernández, Anna Fino, and Víctor Manero,  *$G_2$ -structures on Einstein solvmanifolds*, Asian J. Math. **19** (2015), no. 2, 321–342. MR3337790
- [11] Anna Fino and Alberto Raffero, *Einstein locally conformal calibrated  $G_2$ -structures*, Math. Z. **280** (2015), no. 3-4, 1093–1106. MR3369369
- [12] Anna Fino and Alberto Raffero, *A class of eternal solutions to the  $G_2$ -Laplacian flow*, arXiv e-prints (2018Jul), arXiv:1807.01128, available at [1807.01128](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.01128).
- [13] Dominic D. Joyce, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy  $G_2$ . I, II*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), no. 2, 291–328, 329–375. MR1424428
- [14] Jorge Lauret, *Laplacian solitons: Questions and homogeneous examples*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **54** (2017), 345–360.
- [15] Jorge Lauret and Marina Nicolini, *Extremally Ricci pinched  $G_2$ -structures on Lie groups*, arXiv e-prints (2019Feb), arXiv:1902.06375, available at [1902.06375](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.06375).
- [16] ———, *The classification of ERP  $G_2$ -structures on Lie groups*, arXiv e-prints (2019Sep), arXiv:1909.10620, available at [1909.10620](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.10620).
- [17] Jason D. Lotay and Yong Wei, *Laplacian flow for closed  $G_2$  structures: Shi-type estimates, uniqueness and compactness*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **27** (2017), no. 1, 165–233. MR3613456
- [18] ———, *Laplacian flow for closed  $G_2$  structures: real analyticity*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **27** (2019), no. 1, 73–109. MR3951021
- [19] ———, *Stability of torsion-free  $G_2$  structures along the Laplacian flow*, J. Differential Geom. **111** (2019), no. 3, 495–526. MR3934598
- [20] Víctor Manero and Luis Ugarte, *Einstein warped  $G_2$  and Spin(7) manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **369** (2019), no. 2, 637–673. MR3962005
- [21] Reiko Miyaoka, *The Bryant-Salamon  $G_2$  manifolds and hypersurface geometry*, arXiv e-prints (May 2006), math-ph/0605074, available at [math-ph/0605074](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0605074).

UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC À MONTRÉAL, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, CASE POSTALE 8888, SUCCURSALE CENTRE-VILLE, MONTRÉAL (QUÉBEC), H3C 3P8, CANADA

*E-mail address:* [gavin.ball@cirget.ca](mailto:gavin.ball@cirget.ca)

*URL:* <http://cirget.math.uqam.ca/~gavin/>