## Optimized efficiency at maximum $\Omega$ figure of merit and efficient power of Power law dissipative Carnot like heat engines

K. Nilavarasi<sup>1</sup> and M. Ponmurugan<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, National Institute of Technology-Thiruchirapalli, Thiruchirapalli- 620 015, Tamil Nadu, India.

Central University of Tamil Nadu, Thiruvarur 610 005, Tamil Nadu, India.

(Dated: March 11, 2021)

In the present work, a power law dissipative Carnot like heat engine cycle of two irreversible isothermal and two irreversible adiabatic processes with finite time non-adiabatic dissipation is considered and the efficiency under two optimization criteria  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and efficient power,  $\chi_{ep}$  is studied. The generalized extreme bounds of the optimized efficiency under the above said optimization criteria are obtained. The lower and upper bounds of the efficiency for the low dissipation Carnot-like heat engine under these optimization criteria are obtained with dissipation level  $\delta = 1$ . In corroborate with efficiency at maximum power, this result also shows the presence of non-adiabatic dissipation does not influence the extreme bounds on the efficiency optimized by both these target functions in the low dissipation model.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The world wide problem concerning the energy and dwindling fossil fuels created a renewed interest in optimizing the efficiency of heat engines. Since heat engines, the crucial components of the Industrial revolution, convert heat energy to mechanical energy. Thus finding the more realistic upper bounds on the efficiency would pave the way for the reduction of the energy consumption in heat engines and hence a suitable solution to the concerns related to the existing energy problem. Carnot heat engine, an ideal one has the maximum efficiency,  $\eta_C = 1 - (\frac{T_c}{T_h})$ , where,  $T_c$  and  $T_h$  are the temperatures of cold and hot reservoir, respectively. The Carnot cycle consumes infinite time to complete the process and hence its power output is zero.

The real heat engines operate in finite time duration with non-zero power output whose efficiency is always bounded below the ideal Carnot efficiency. In order to obtain a non zero power, the thermodynamic processes for a heat engine should take place in a finite time duration with optimized efficiency. Finite time thermodynamics (FTT) is one such wider field of thermodynamic optimization providing more realistic bounds on the efficiency of real systems by considering finite time irreversible processes [1, 2]. The theoretical bounds determined from the FTT provide the optimal conditions for designing the real systems. Yvon [3], Novikov [4], Chambadal [5] and later Curzon and Ahlborn [6] are the pioneers in obtaining the efficiency optimized at maximum power by using endo-reversible concept in the reversible Carnot cycle. The so-called Curzon-Ahlborn expression for the efficiency at maximum power obtained from the above model is given by,  $\eta_{CA} = 1 - \left(\frac{T_c}{T_b}\right)^{1/2}$  [6].

Recently, there has been tremendous progress in identifying the performance limits of thermodynamic processes through various optimization of thermodynamic cycles of heat engine using finite-time thermodynamics [7, 8, 10, 11]. In particular, irrespective of any heat transfer laws, Esposito et.al. obtained the extreme bounds on the efficiency at maximum power for a low dissipation Carnot like heat engines [12]. The main assumption of their model is that the irreversible entropy production in each isothermal process is inversely proportional to the time required for completing that process. On the other hand, Ma used the parameter called per-unit time efficiency optimized at maximum power and obtained the extreme bounds on the efficiency [13]. This criterion was found to be a compromise between the efficiency and speed of the thermodynamic cycle.

Few heat engine studies based on the low dissipation reported the extreme bounds on the efficiency of Carnot like heat engines with the consideration of non-adiabatic dissipation in finite time adiabatic processes [14, 15]. The dissipation that occurs due to the effects of inner friction during the finite time adiabatic process is known as nonadiabatic dissipation [14, 16, 17]. These investigations showed that the additionally incorporated non-adiabatic dissipation does not influence the extreme bounds on the efficiency at maximum power. Followed by the investigation of W. Yang and Z. Tu [18], one of the present author, obtained the generalized bounds on the efficiency at maximum power by incorporating the power law dissipation in finite time Carnot like heat engine model [19] and also showed that the generalized extreme bounds are not influenced by the additionally incorporated non-adiabatic dissipation [20]. In all these studies, optimization of efficiency at maximum power is used to investigate the performance of heat engines.

Even though, the efficiency at maximum power is a desirable operational regime, several other optimization parameters are also used to enhance the heat engine performance. In particular,  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and efficient power,  $\chi_{ep}$  are two such criteria that has attracted much attention nowadays to study the heat engine performance. The former,  $\Omega$  figure of merit is defined as [21]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, School of Basic and Applied Sciences,

<sup>\*</sup> ponphy@cutn.ac.in ; nilavarasikv@gmail.com

 $\dot{\Omega} = (2\eta - \eta_{max})\dot{Q}_h$ , where  $\eta$  is the efficiency,  $\eta_{max}$  is the maximum efficiency and  $\dot{Q}_h$  is the rate of heat flow or heat exchanged with the hot reservoir per cycle time [22]. The  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit unifies the trade-off between the useful energy delivered and energy lost of heat engines [21]. Whereas the latter, efficient power provides a compromise between efficiency and power P, which is defined as  $\chi_{ep} = \eta P$  [23–25].

The extreme bounds on the efficiency by optimizing both these target functions were studied for low dissipation Carnot like heat engines without incorporating non-adiabatic dissipation [26, 27]. This raises a question whether the inclusion of non-adiabatic dissipation will influence the extreme bounds on the efficiency in the low dissipation model by optimizing both these target functions. Although the low-dissipation model is a well-founded model for many heat engines [25, 28], it has been observed that this model might not be suitable for real heat engines operating at different dissipation levels [18, 19, 25, 29]. Hence the present work investigates the generalized minimum and maximum bounds on the efficiency at maximum  $\Omega$  figure of merit and efficient power,  $\chi_{ep}$  of power law dissipative Carnot like heat engines [19] which incorporates the generalized dissipation and also addresses the influence of non-adiabatic dissipation on these extreme bounds in the low dissipation model as a special case.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II, the model of power law dissipative Carnot like heat engine is explained. In section III and IV, the optimization of efficiency at maximum  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and at maximum efficient power are derived and its extreme bounds are discussed. The paper concludes with the conclusion in section V.

### II. POWER LAW DISSIPATIVE CARNOT LIKE HEAT ENGINE

Figure 1 represents the temperature (T) and entropy (S) plane of an irreversible Carnot-like cycle  $(A \to B \to C \to D \to A)$  [15]. The system is in contact with the hot (cold) reservoir at the constant temperature  $T_h$   $(T_c)$  in the finite time interval  $t_h$   $(t_c)$  during  $A \to B$   $(C \to D)$  for an isothermal expansion (compression) processes. During  $B \to C$   $(D \to A)$  in which the system is decoupled from the hot (cold) reservoir and undergoes a finite time adiabatic expansion (compression) process in a finite time duration  $t_a$   $(t_b)$ . The value of entropy at the time the system completes a particular process is denoted by  $S_i$  (i:a,b,c,h). Here  $(A \to B \to C' \to D' \to A)$  represents the (reversible) Carnot cycle in which  $S_a = S_h$  and  $S_b = S_c$ .

In this work, a power law dissipative Carnot like heat engine cycle of two irreversible isothermal and two irreversible adiabatic processes with finite time nonadiabatic dissipation is considered [19, 20]. The four processes involved in the present model are discussed below:



FIG. 1. Figure represents the temperature (T) and entropy (S) plane of an irreversible Carnot-like cycle. The system is in contact with the hot (cold) reservoir during the time interval  $t_h$  ( $t_c$ ) and  $t_a$  ( $t_b$ ) represents the time duration for the adiabatic expansion (compression). The value of entropy at the time the system completes a particular process is denoted by  $S_i$  (i : a, b, c, h). Work done by the system to overcome the non-adiabatic dissipation in the finite time adiabatic expansion (top) and compression (bottom) processes are shown in the shaded (rectangles) areas [14, 15].

• Isothermal expansion: During this process, the working substance is in contact with the hot reservoir at a higher temperature  $T_h$  for a time interval  $t_h$ . In this process there is an exchange of  $Q_h$  amount of heat between the working substance and the hot reservoir and the change in entropy is given as

$$\Delta S = \Delta S_h = Q_h / T_h + \Delta S_h^{ir} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta S_h^{ir}$  being the irreversible entropy production during isothermal expansion process.

• Adiabatic Expansion: The non-adiabatic dissipation increases the entropy during this adiabatic expansion process and the irreversible entropy production during the time interval  $t_h < t < t_h + t_a$  is denoted by [14],

$$\Delta S_a^{ir} = S_a - S_h \tag{2}$$

where  $S_a$  and  $S_h$  denotes the entropy at the instant  $t_a$  and  $t_h$ , respectively.

• Isothermal Compression: Now the the working substance is in contact with the low temperature  $(T_c)$ cold reservoir for the time period  $t_h + t_a < t < t_h + t_a + t_c$  with the exchange of  $Q_c$  amount of heat between the working substance and the cold reservoir. The change in entropy is given as,

$$\Delta S_c = Q_c / T_c + \Delta S_c^{ir} \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta S_c^{ir}$  being the irreversible entropy production during isothermal compression process.

• Adiabatic Compression: In the process of adiabatic compression during the time interval  $t_h + t_a + t_c < t < t_h + t_a + t_c + t_b$ , the working substance is removed from the cold reservoir and now the entropy production due to non-adiabatic dissipation is given by [14],

$$\Delta S_b^{ir} = S_b - S_c \tag{4}$$

where  $S_b$  and  $S_c$  denotes the entropy at the instant  $t_b$  and  $t_c$ , respectively.

At the instance of completing the single cycle, the system recovers to its initial state and the total change in entropy of the system is zero [12, 14, 15], i.e.,  $\Delta S + \Delta S_a^{ir} + \Delta S_b^{ir} + \Delta S_c = 0$ . Therefore  $\Delta S_c = -(\Delta S + \Delta S_a^{ir} + \Delta S_b^{ir})$ . Since the present model also considers the finite time non-adiabatic process, there will be an additional irreversible entropy production  $\Delta S_a^{ir}$  and  $\Delta S_b^{ir}$  during the adiabatic processes [14, 15]. From Eq.(1) and Eq.(3), the amount of heat  $Q_h$  and  $Q_c$  exchanged between the hot and cold reservoirs and the working substance are obtained as [14, 15]

$$Q_h = T_h \{ \Delta S - \Delta S_h^{ir} \}$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

$$Q_c = T_c \{ -\Delta S - \Delta S_c^{ir} - \Delta S_a^{ir} - \Delta S_b^{ir} \}.$$
 (6)

Even though many studies incorporated the  $1/\tau$  scaling of the irreversible entropy production both in a finitetime isothermal process [12, 30] and a finite-time adiabatic process [14, 15, 17], a recent theoretical study on quantum Otto engine showed (in terms of extra adiabatic work)  $1/\tau^2$  scaling of the irreversible entropy production in a finite-time adiabatic process [31]. Here  $\tau$  is the controlling or contact time in which each processes take place. This raises a possibility that the irreversible entropy production may scales with  $\tau$  with other values of exponent for various real heat engines. Considering the above facts, the more generalized power law dissipative Carnot like heat engines has been proposed earlier and studied in detail for efficiency at maximum power [18–20].

The irreversible entropy production associated with the isothermal processes and the adiabatic processes can be written in a generalized power law dissipative form as [20],

$$\Delta S_i^{ir} = \alpha_i \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{t_i}\right)^{1/\delta},\tag{7}$$

where i : h, a, b, c and  $\sigma_i = \lambda_i \Sigma_i$ , in which  $\alpha_i$  and  $\lambda_i$ are the tuning parameters and  $\Sigma_i$  are the isothermal and adiabatic dissipation coefficients [14, 15]. The level of dissipation present in the system is signified by the value of  $\delta$  [19] in which  $\delta = 1$  represents normal or low-dissipation regime,  $0 < \delta < 1$ : Sub dissipation regime and  $\delta > 1$ : Super dissipation regime [18]. It should be noted that the employed model contains parameter  $\alpha_i$ , which might be related to the control scheme that tune the system energy levels during the isothermal and adiabatic processes [32] and the parameter  $\lambda_i$  are related with some external controlled parameter that drives the system during the isothermal and adiabatic processes in a given time interval [18, 33]. A suitable combination of control schemes [32, 33] can be employed to control the irreversible entropy generation by using these tuning parameters.

Thus, the expression for amount of heat exchanged  $Q_h$ and  $Q_c$  can be rewritten as [14, 20],

$$Q_h = T_h \left\{ \Delta S - \alpha_h \left( \frac{\sigma_h}{t_h} \right)^{1/\delta} \right\}$$
(8)

and

$$Q_c = T_c \left\{ -\Delta S - \sum_{i=a,b,c} \alpha_i \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{t_i}\right)^{1/\delta} \right\}.$$
 (9)

During the total time period  $t = t_h + t_c + t_a + t_b$ , the work performed by the engine is given by,  $-W = Q_h + Q_c$ . Throughout this paper, the convention used is that the work done and heat absorbed by the system are positive. The power generated during the Carnot cycle is  $P = \frac{-W}{t}$ . On substituting the values of  $Q_h$  and  $Q_c$ , the expression for power can be written as,

$$P = \frac{1}{t} \left\{ (T_h - T_c)\Delta S - T_h \alpha_h \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{t_h}\right)^{1/\delta} - T_c \sum_{i=a,b,c} \alpha_i \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{t_i}\right)^{1/\delta} \right\}.$$
 (10)

The efficiency of the heat engine is then given by,

$$\eta = \frac{Q_h + Q_c}{Q_h} \tag{11}$$

which on substituting the values of  $Q_h$  and  $Q_c$  becomes [20],

$$\eta = \eta_C - \frac{T_c}{T_h \left[\frac{\Delta S}{\alpha_h} \left(\frac{t_h}{\sigma_h}\right)^{1/\delta} - 1\right]} \left[1 + \sum_{i=a,b,c} \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_h}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma_i t_h}{\sigma_h t_i}\right)^{1/\delta}\right].$$
(12)

In the following sections, the  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and the efficient power  $\chi_{ep}$  are used as target functions and are optimized for analyzing the performance of heat engines with (isothermal and non-adiabatic) power law dissipation.

lost energy. It can be defined as  $\dot{\Omega} = (2\eta - \eta_{max})P/\eta$ , where  $\eta_{max}$  is the maximum efficiency of a heat engine which is nothing but the Carnot efficiency  $\eta_C$  [22]. With the inclusion of  $P = \frac{Q_h + Q_c}{t}$  and  $\eta = \frac{Q_h + Q_c}{Q_h}$ , one can obtain,

# III. EFFICIENCY AT MAXIMUM $\dot{\Omega}$ FIGURE OF MERIT

The  $\Omega$  figure of merit is a trade-off function that provides a compromise between the useful energy and the

$$\dot{\Omega} = (2\eta - \eta_C) \frac{Q_h}{t}.$$
(13)

On substituting the values of  $\eta$ ,  $\eta_C$  and  $Q_h$  in Eq.(13), the expression for  $\Omega$  figure of merit can be rewritten as,

$$\dot{\Omega} = \frac{1}{t} \left\{ (T_h - T_c)\Delta S - (T_h + T_c)\alpha_h \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{t_h}\right)^{1/\delta} - 2T_c \sum_{i=a,b,c} \alpha_i \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{t_i}\right)^{1/\delta} \right\}.$$
(14)

Optimizing the  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit with respect to time  $t_i(i:h,c,a,b)$  gives the values of  $\tilde{t}_i$  at which  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit is maximum. The values for  $\tilde{t}_i(i:h,c,a,b)$  by considering  $\frac{\partial \dot{\Omega}}{\partial t_i} = 0$  are given below:

$$\tilde{t}_h = \left[ \frac{(T_h + T_c)\alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{(T_h - T_c)\Delta S} \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=a,b,c} \left( \frac{2T_c \alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{(T_h + T_c)\alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} \right) \right\} \right]^{\delta},$$
(15)

$$\tilde{t}_a = \left[\frac{2T_c\alpha_a\sigma_a^{1/\delta}}{(T_h - T_c)\Delta S}\left\{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\left(1 + \left(\frac{(T_h + T_c)\alpha_h\sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{2T_c\alpha_a\sigma_a^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} + \sum_{i=b,c}\left(\frac{\alpha_i\sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_a\sigma_a^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}\right\}\right)\right]^{\delta},\tag{16}$$

$$\tilde{t}_{b} = \left[\frac{2T_{c}\alpha_{b}\sigma_{b}^{1/\delta}}{(T_{h} - T_{c})\Delta S}\left\{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\left(1 + \left(\frac{(T_{h} + T_{c})\alpha_{h}\sigma_{h}^{1/\delta}}{2T_{c}\alpha_{b}\sigma_{b}^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} + \sum_{i=a,c}\left(\frac{\alpha_{i}\sigma_{i}^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_{b}\sigma_{b}^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}\right\}\right)\right]^{\delta}, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\tilde{t}_c = \left[\frac{2T_c\alpha_c\sigma_c^{1/\delta}}{(T_h - T_c)\Delta S}\left\{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\left(1 + \left(\frac{(T_h + T_c)\alpha_h\sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{2T_c\alpha_c\sigma_c^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} + \sum_{i=a,b}\left(\frac{\alpha_i\sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_c\sigma_c^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}\right\}\right)\right]^{\delta}.$$
(18)

The ratios of  $\tilde{t}_h$  and  $\tilde{t}_i(i:a,b,c)$  can also be obtained

from the optimized  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and are given below:

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{t}_h}{\tilde{t}_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}+1} = \frac{(T_h + T_c)\alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{2T_c \alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}$$
(19)

Similarly the ratios for  $\frac{\tilde{t}_j}{\tilde{t}_i}$  with i, j = a, b, h are also given by,

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{t}_i}{\tilde{t}_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}+1} = \frac{\alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_j \sigma_j^{1/\delta}}.$$
(20)

Using Eq.(15) and Eq.(19) on Eq.(12) provides the efficiency at maximum  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit,  $\eta_{\dot{\Omega}}$  and is given by,

$$\eta_{\dot{\Omega}} = \eta_C - \frac{\frac{T_c}{T_h} \left(1 + \frac{T_h + T_c}{2T_c} \zeta\right)}{\left(\frac{T_h + T_c}{T_h - T_c}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\delta} + 1\right) (1 + \zeta) - 1}$$
(21)
$$\eta_C \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right)}\right) \equiv \eta_{\dot{\Omega}}^- \le \eta_{\dot{\Omega}} \le$$

where,  $\zeta = \sum_{i=a,b,c} \left\{ \left( \frac{2T_c}{T_h + T_c} \right) \frac{\alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}} \right\}^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}$ . It is observed that when neglecting the adiabatic dissipation coefficients,  $\sigma_a = 0$  and  $\sigma_b = 0$ , the efficiency of heat engine (Eq.21) reduces to the one derived for Carnot like heat engines without adiabatic dissipation [22].

It can be observed from Eq.(21), that the value of efficiency at the maximum  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit depends on the ratio between values of  $\sigma_i$ 's and  $\sigma_h$ . The generalized extreme bounds of the efficiency at maximum " $\dot{\Omega}$ " figure of merit are obtained from Eq.(21) as,

$${}_{C}\left(1-\frac{1}{2\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}\right) \equiv \eta_{\dot{\Omega}}^{-} \leq \eta_{\dot{\Omega}} \leq \eta_{\dot{\Omega}}^{+} \equiv \eta_{C}\left[\frac{\left(1-\eta_{C}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)+\frac{1}{\delta}}{\left(1-\eta_{C}\right)\left(2+\frac{1}{\delta}\right)+\frac{1}{\delta}}\right].$$

$$(22)$$

These generalized lower and upper bounds of the efficiency at maximum  $\Omega$  figure of merit are achieved when  $\sigma_h \to 0$  and  $\sigma_h \to \infty$ . When  $\delta = 1$ , the lower bound becomes  $3\eta_C/4$  for  $\sigma_h \to 0$  and the upper bound becomes  $\eta_C\left(\frac{3-2\eta_C}{4-3\eta_C}\right)$  for  $\sigma_h \to \infty$ , which is the bound of the efficiency of Carnot like heat engine at the maximum  $\Omega$  figure of merit obtained for the low dissipation case [26]. This shows that the inclusion of finite time nonadiabatic dissipation on low-dissipation model does not influence the lower and upper bound on the efficiency optimized at maximum  $\Omega$  figure of merit. It is to be noted that when  $\delta \to 0$  (no dissipation),  $\eta_{\dot{\Omega}}^-$  and  $\eta_{\dot{\Omega}}^+ \to \eta_C$ and when  $\delta \to \infty$  (high super dissipation limit),  $\eta_{\dot{\Omega}}^-$  and  $\eta_{\dot{\Omega}}^+ \to \eta_C/2$ . This shows that  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit provides half the Carnot efficiency even at very high level (super) of power law dissipation which further confirms that  $\Omega$ figure of merit provides a compromise between the useful energy and the energy lost. Thus, a more generalized upper and lower bounds on the efficiency of Carnot like heat engine can be obtained under the combined adiabatic and isothermal power law dissipation in the asymmetric limits.

# IV. EFFICIENCY AT MAXIMUM EFFICIENT POWER $\chi_{ep}$

This section discusses the optimization of efficient power  $\chi_{ep} = \eta P$  and its significance in detail. The efficient power can be expressed using Eq.(11) and the fact that  $P = \frac{Q_h + Q_h}{t}$  as,

$$\chi_{ep} = \eta P = \frac{(Q_h + Q_c)^2}{Q_h t} \tag{23}$$

Using Eq.(8) and Eq.(9), the following relation for  $\chi_{ep}$  is obtained.

$$\chi_{ep} = \frac{\left[ (T_h - T_c)\Delta S - T_h \alpha_h \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{t_h}\right)^{1/\delta} - T_c \sum_{i=a,b,c} \alpha_i \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{t_i}\right)^{1/\delta} \right]^2}{tT_h \left(\Delta S - \alpha_h \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{t_h}\right)^{1/\delta}\right)}.$$
(24)

Similar to the  $\Omega$  figure of merit, the optimizing the efficient power  $\chi_{ep}$  with respect to the time  $t_i(i:h,c,a,b)$  gives the values of  $\tilde{t}_i$  at which  $\chi_{ep}$  is maximum. The values for  $\tilde{t}_i(i:h,c,a,b)$  by considering  $\frac{\partial \chi_{ep}}{\partial t_i} = 0$  are given below:

$$\tilde{t}_h = \left[ \frac{T_h \alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{(T_h - T_c) \Delta S} \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{2 - \eta}{\delta} \right) + (2 - \eta) \sum_{i=a,b,c} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( \frac{2T_c \alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{T_h \alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}} (2 - \eta) \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta + 1}} \right\} \right]^{\delta},$$
(25)

$$\tilde{t}_a = \left[\frac{T_c \alpha_a \sigma_a^{1/\delta}}{(T_h - T_c)\Delta S} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=b,c} \left(\frac{\alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_a \sigma_a^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{2-\eta} + \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{T_h \alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{2T_c \alpha_a \sigma_a^{1/\delta}} (2-\eta)\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} \right\} \right]^{\delta}, \quad (26)$$

$$\tilde{t}_b = \left[ \frac{T_c \alpha_b \sigma_b^{1/\delta}}{(T_h - T_c) \Delta S} \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\delta} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=a,c} \left( \frac{\alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_b \sigma_b^{1/\delta}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} \right) + \left( \frac{2}{2-\eta} + \frac{2}{\delta} \right) \left( \frac{T_h \alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{2T_c \alpha_b \sigma_b^{1/\delta}} (2-\eta) \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} \right\} \right]^o, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\tilde{t}_c = \left[\frac{T_c \alpha_c \sigma_c^{1/\delta}}{(T_h - T_c) \Delta S} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=a,b} \left(\frac{\alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_c \sigma_c^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{2 - \eta} + \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{T_h \alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{2T_c \alpha_c \sigma_c^{1/\delta}}(2 - \eta)\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} \right\} \right]^{\delta}.$$
(28)

The ratios of  $\frac{t_h}{\tilde{t}_i}(i:a,b,c)$  can also be obtained from the optimized efficient power  $\chi_{ep}$  and are given below:

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{t}_h}{\tilde{t}_i}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{\delta}+1\right)} = \frac{T_h \alpha_h \sigma_h^{1/\delta}}{2T_c \alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}} (2-\eta).$$
(29)

Similarly the ratios for  $\frac{\tilde{t}_i}{\tilde{t}_j}$  with i, j = a, b, c are also given by,

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{t}_i}{\tilde{t}_j}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{\delta}+1\right)} = \frac{\alpha_i \sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{\alpha_j \sigma_j^{1/\delta}}.$$
(30)

Similar to that done for  $\Omega$  figure of merit, Eq.(12) on further substitution of Eq.(25) and Eq.(29) with  $\eta = \eta_{\chi_{ep}}$ yields the efficiency at maximum efficient power,  $\chi_{ep}$  and is given by,

$$\eta_{\chi_{ep}} = \frac{\eta_C}{\delta} \left\{ \frac{\phi + \phi^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} \xi}{1 + \frac{\phi}{\delta} + \phi^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} \xi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) - \eta_C} \right\}$$
(31)

where in the above equation,  $\phi = 2 - \eta_{\chi_{ep}}$  and  $\xi =$ 

 $\left(\frac{2T_c\alpha_i\sigma_i^{1/\delta}}{T_h\alpha_h\sigma_h^{1/\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}$ . It is to be noted that the above expression contains  $\eta_{\chi_{ep}}$  on both sides which is too complicated to solve in the generalized fashion. However, the solution with  $\delta = 1$  (low dissipation) is found when neglecting the adiabatic dissipation co-efficients,  $\sigma_a = 0$ and  $\sigma_b = 0$ , which is same as the efficiency derived for Carnot like heat engines without adiabatic dissipation [27]. Similar to the efficiency at maximum  $\hat{\Omega}$  figure of merit, the value of efficiency at maximum efficient power  $\eta_{\chi_{ep}}$  also depends on the ratio between values of  $\sigma_i$ 's and  $\sigma_h$ . The generalized extreme bounds on the effi-ciency at maximum " $\chi_{ep}$ " are obtained when  $\sigma_h \to 0$ and  $\sigma_h \to \infty$ . That is, when  $\sigma_h \to 0$ ,  $\xi \to \infty$  which gives  $\eta_{\chi_{ep}} = \frac{2\eta_C}{\delta+2}$  and when  $\sigma_h \to \infty$ ,  $\xi \to 0$  which provides  $\eta_{\chi_{ep}} = \frac{\Upsilon + \sqrt{\Upsilon^2 - 8\eta_C}}{2}$ , where  $\Upsilon = (\delta + 2) - \eta_C(\delta - 1)$ . This shows that the efficiency at the maximum efficient power lies between these two extreme bounds, which is given bv.

$$\frac{2\eta_C}{\delta+2} \equiv \eta_{\chi_{ep}}^- \le \eta_{\chi_{ep}} \le \eta_{\chi_{ep}}^+ \equiv \frac{(\delta+2) - \eta_C(\delta-1) + \sqrt{((\delta+2) - \eta_C(\delta-1))^2 - 8\eta_C}}{2}.$$
(32)

Thus the generalized lower and upper bounds of efficiency at maximum efficient power are obtained for the asymmetric dissipation limits of  $\sigma_h \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sigma_h \rightarrow \infty$  respectively, for any finite values of  $\sigma_i(i:a,b,c)$ . When  $\delta = 1$ , the values of optimized efficiency at the maximum efficient power at low dissipation regime is obtained, which is  $\frac{2\eta_C}{3}$  and  $\frac{3+\sqrt{9-8\eta_C}}{2}$ , the lower and upper bound respectively [25, 27]. Similar to  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit, this result also shows that the inclusion of finite time non-adiabatic dissipation on low-dissipation model does not influence the lower and upper bound on the efficiency optimized at maximum efficient power. It is to be noted from Eq.(31) that when  $\delta \rightarrow \infty$  (high super dissipation

limit),  $\eta_{\chi_{ep}}^-$  and  $\eta_{\chi_{ep}}^+ \to 0$ . Thus, the generalized universal nature of lower and upper bounds on the efficiency of Carnot like heat engines at maximum efficient power,  $\eta_{\chi_{ep}}$  (Eq. 32) under the combinations of isothermal and adiabatic asymmetric dissipation limits is obtained.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the generalized extreme bounds of the efficiency for the power law dissipative Carnot-like heat engines under  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and efficient power  $\chi_{ep}$  optimization criteria was investigated. Since, the  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit provides the trade off between the useful energy delivered and energy lost of heat engine and the

efficient power governs the compromise between power and efficiency of heat engine, finding generalized bounds of the efficiency with these target functions are very relevant in direct correlation of the actual needs of energy consumption, availability of resources and environmental impact. Too much mathematical complexity is observed while obtaining the generalized expression for optimized efficiency at maximum  $\chi_{ep}$  as compared to  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit. When  $\delta = 1$ , the bounds of the efficiency with the  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and efficient power  $\chi_{ep}$  in the asymmetric dissipation converges to the same bounds as the

- B. Andresen, P. Salamon and R. S. Berry, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 1571 (1997)
- [2] B. Andresen, P. Salamon and R. S. Berry, Phys. Today 37, 62 (1984).
- [3] J. Yvon, Proceedings of the International Conference on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy (United Nations, Geneva, 1955), p. 387.
- [4] I. Novikov, Atommaya Energiya **3**, 409 (1957).
- [5] P. Chambadal, Les Centrales Nucleaires (Armand Colin, Paris, 1957).
- [6] F. L. Curzon and B. Ahlborn, Am. J. Phys. 43, 22 (1975).
- [7] C. Van den Broeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 190602 (2005).
- [8] N. Sanchez-Salas, L. Lopez-Palacios, S. Velasco and A. C. Hernandez, Phys. Rev. E 82, 051101 (2010).
- [9] Y. Zhang, C. Huang, G. Lin and J. Chen, Phys. Rev. E. 93, 032152 (2016).
- [10] Y. Zhang, J. Guo, G. Lin and J. Chen, J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn. 42, 253 (2017)
- [11] S. L. Medina and L. A. A. Hernandez, arXiv:1908.11861 (2019).
- [12] M. Esposito, R. Kawai, K. Lindenberg and C. Van den Broeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 150603 (2010).
- [13] S. K. Ma, Stastical Mechanics (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985), pp. 24–28.
- [14] J. Wang, J. He and Z. Wu, Phys. Rev. E 85, 031145 (2012).
- [15] J. Wang and J. He, Phys. Rev. E 86, 051112 (2012).
- [16] T. Feldmann and R. Kosloff, Phys. Rev. E 61, 4774 (2000).

corresponding ones obtained from previous low dissipation model. In corroborated with the efficiency at maximum power, these results also showed that the presence of non-adiabatic dissipation does not influence the minimum and maximum bounds on the efficiency optimized at maximum  $\dot{\Omega}$  figure of merit and also maximum efficient power obtained in the low dissipation model which does not take in to account the non-adiabatic dissipation. The future work will focus on comparison of these figure of merit predictions with different heat engine models and with observed efficiency of real heat engines [29].

- [17] Y. Hu et.al, Phys. Rev. E 88, 062115 (2013).
- [18] W. Yang and T. Zhan-Chun, Commun. Theor. Phys. 59, 175 (2013).
- [19] M Ponmurugan, J. Stat. Mech. 113202 (2019).
- [20] M. Ponmurugan, Commun. Theor. Phys. 72, 025601 (2020).
- [21] A. Calvo Hernandez, A. Medina, J.M.M. Roco, J.A. White, S. Velasco, Phys. Rev. E. 63, 037102-1-5 (2001).
- [22] N. Sanchez Salas, S. Velasco, A. Calvo Hernandez, Energy Conversion and Management, 43, 2341–2348 (2002).
- [23] J. W. Stucki, Eur. J. Biochem. **109**, 269 (1980).
- [24] T. Yilmaz, J. Ener. Inst. 79, 38 (2006).
- [25] V. Holubec and A. Ryabov, Phys. Rev. E. 92, 052125 (2015).
- [26] C. De. Tomas, J. M. M. Roco, A. C. Hernandez, Y. Wang and Z. C. Tu, Phys. Rev. E 87, 012105 (2013).
- [27] V. Singh and R. S. Johal, Phys. Rev. E 98, 062132 (2018).
- [28] T. Schmiedl and U. Seifert, Europhys. Lett. 81 20003 (2008).
- [29] S. L. Medina, G. V. Ortega and L. A. A. Hernandez, Eur. Phys. J. Plus. **134**, 348 (2019).
- [30] Y. H. Ma, R. X. Zhai, C. P. Sun and H. Dong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 210601 (2020).
- [31] J. F. Chen, C. P. Sun and H. Dong, Phys. Rev. E 100, 032144 (2019).
- [32] Y. H. Ma, D. Xu, H. Dong and C. Sun, Phys. Rev. E 98, 022133 (2018).
- [33] Y. H. Ma, D. Xu, H. Dong and C. Sun, Phys. Rev. E 98, 042112 (2018).